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ATTACHMENTS

ATTACHMENT 1: Volume II Tables 2 and 5 in Excel Format
1. Introduction and Overview of Ground-Level Ozone

Maintaining concentrations of ground-level ozone below the health-based 8-hour ozone national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS) is important because ozone is a serious human health threat and can cause damage to important food crops, forests, and wildlife.

Repeated exposure to ozone pollution may cause a variety of adverse health effects for both healthy people and those with existing conditions including: difficulty breathing, chest pains, coughing, nausea, throat irritation, and congestion. Ozone exposure can exacerbate bronchitis, heart disease, emphysema and asthma, and reduce lung capacity. Asthma is a significant and growing threat to children and adults. Ozone can aggravate asthma, causing more asthma attacks, increased use of medication, more medical treatment and more frequent visits to hospital emergency clinics. Because ozone pollution usually forms in hot weather, anyone who spends time outdoors in the summer may be affected, particularly children, the elderly, outdoor workers and people exercising. Children are most at risk from exposure to ozone because they are active outside during the summertime when ozone levels are highest.

Ozone is one of the most pervasive and detrimental pollutants known to affect vegetation, causing more injury to trees and crops than any other air pollutant in the United States. Ozone interferes with photosynthesis, the process by which plants convert water and sunlight to food. Ozone makes plants more susceptible to disease, insects, other pollutants and harsh weather. It damages the foliage of trees and other plants, ruining the landscapes of cities, parks, forests, and recreation areas. Research has shown that current ozone concentrations result in reductions in wood growth of over 10 percent in forests of the Northeast United States. There is strong scientific evidence showing that current levels of ozone are reducing crop yields, particularly in sensitive species such as soybean, cotton, and peanuts. Annual crop loss alone from ozone-affected soybeans in Illinois, Indiana and Ohio has been calculated to fall between $199 million and $346 million. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has estimated national crop yield losses due to ozone in excess of $1 billion annually. One of the key components of ozone, oxides of nitrogen, contributes to fish kills and algae blooms in sensitive waterways, such as the Chesapeake Bay.

Ozone is not emitted directly to the atmosphere, but is formed by photochemical reactions between volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and oxides of nitrogen (NO\textsubscript{X}) in the presence of sunlight. The long, hot, humid days of summer are particularly conducive to ozone formation, so ozone levels are of concern primarily during the months of May through September. The primary sources of man-made VOCs and NO\textsubscript{X}, the ozone precursors, are the evaporation of fuels and solvents (gasoline and consumer products), combustion of fuels (motor vehicles, power plants and non-road engines), and industrial processes.

1.1. 8-Hour Ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards

In July 1997, the EPA established identical primary and secondary 8-hour ozone NAAQS at a concentration of 0.08 parts per million (ppm), based on the 3-year average of the annual fourth-highest daily maximum 8-hour average ozone concentrations measured in the ambient air at each
monitored location. Implementation of the standards was delayed due to litigation. In April 2004, EPA designated the Allentown-Bethlehem-Easton (ABE) Area, which consists of Carbon, Lehigh and Northampton counties, as nonattainment for the 1997 8-hour ozone standard, based on ambient air quality monitoring data collected from 2001-2003 (69 FR 23858; April 30, 2004). The ABE Area was one of seventeen areas in Pennsylvania designated as an 8-hour ozone nonattainment area for the 1997 ozone NAAQS.

On March 12, 2008, the EPA revised the NAAQS for ozone to 0.075 ppm, averaged over 8 hours, to provide even greater protection for children, other at-risk populations and the environment against the array of ozone-induced adverse health and welfare effects (73 FR 16436; March 27, 2008). On May 21, 2012, the EPA published a final rule for the 2008 8-hour ozone NAAQS, implementing the nonattainment area classification approach and attainment deadlines, and revoking the 1997 ozone NAAQS for the purpose of transportation conformity (77 FR 30160). The EPA proposed an additional implementation rule for the 2008 ozone NAAQS on June 6, 2013, to address State Implementation Plan (SIP) and related requirements for the 2008 ozone NAAQS (78 FR 34178). The ozone standard is currently undergoing its statutory five-year review and it is anticipated that EPA will promulgate a health-based ozone standard between 0.060 and 0.070 ppm in 2014. Pennsylvania could have additional areas that do not meet this anticipated revised ozone standard.

The promulgation of a new ozone standard does not affect the need for this revision to the approved maintenance plan for the ABE Area (ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan) under the 1997 ozone NAAQS.

1.2. ABE Area and Ozone SIP Revisions

On June 26, 2007, the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (Department) submitted a SIP revision (ABE Redesignation Request) to the EPA requesting redesignation of the ABE Area to attainment of the 1997 ozone NAAQS. The Department concurrently submitted a SIP revision (ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan) to the EPA that included a maintenance plan based on the attainment and continued long-term attainment of the 1997 ozone NAAQS for the ABE Area. The maintenance plan included the 2002 baseline inventory and motor vehicle emission budgets (MVEB(s)) to be used for purposes of transportation conformity. The Department submitted supplemental inventory material to the EPA on August 9, 2007.

The EPA’s transportation conformity regulation, found at 40 CFR Part 93, Subpart A and incorporated by reference in 25 Pa. Code Chapter 127, Subchapter J (relating to general conformity), requires that the transportation plan, transportation improvement program (TIP), and projects not from a conforming transportation plan and TIP must be consistent with the MVEBs in the applicable air quality SIP. This criterion is satisfied if the state can demonstrate that emissions of the pollutants or pollutant precursors for which the area is in nonattainment or maintenance, and for which the applicable SIP contains MVEBs approved or deemed adequate by the EPA, are less than or equal to the MVEBs established in the applicable SIP or SIP submission.
The metropolitan planning organizations (MPOs) for the area, the Northeastern Pennsylvania Alliance (NEPA) MPO (Carbon County) and the Lehigh Valley MPO (Lehigh and Northampton Counties), ensure that emissions resulting from their respective TIPs are less than the MVEBs in the SIP by completing periodic conformity determinations, which are subject to public comment. MPOs\(^1\) are established by federal regulation. In the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan and ABE Ozone Redesignation Request, the NEPA MPO was identified as a rural planning organization (RPO). Due to increases in population, the NEPA RPO was designated as an MPO, effective March 27, 2013. For the purposes of transportation conformity, the Department considers RPOs to perform the same function as MPOs for areas that do not meet the population requirements for establishing a MPO. The transportation conformity regulations (40 CFR § 93.124(d)) allow a SIP to establish motor vehicle budgets for each MPO or RPO, if a nonattainment area includes more than one MPO or RPO. Separate MVEBs were proposed for the MPO and RPO in the ABE Area in the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan (NEPA was an RPO in Maintenance Plan). The EPA approved all of the components of the ABE Redesignation Request and the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan, including the MVEBs, on March 4, 2008, (73 FR 11557) and redesignated the ABE Area to attainment for the 2008 8-hour ozone standard.

The approved MVEBs included in the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan were estimated using the EPA-approved highway emissions model in effect at that time, the Highway Mobile Source Emission Factor Model version 6.2 (MOBILE6.2). The MVEBs in the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan included emission budgets for both NO\(_X\) and VOC. On March 2, 2010, the EPA released a new mobile source emissions model called the Motor Vehicle Emission Simulator 2010 (MOVES2010). Any new transportation conformity analysis started after March 2, 2013, must use the most up-to-date version of MOVES.

EPA issued the MOVES2010b version of the model and its associated guidance in April 2012. EPA considers both MOVES2010a and MOVES2010b to be minor revisions to MOVES2010 that do not significantly affect the results of modeling criteria pollutant estimates for highway vehicles. References to “MOVES” in the remainder of this document relate to all of the approved versions of the model. The highway vehicle emissions modeling for this proposed SIP revision was already developed significantly using MOVES 2010a. By the time that version 2010b was issued, using MOVES2010b would have been impractical.

Compared to MOBILE6.2, the MOVES model estimates higher NO\(_X\) emissions and, in some ozone maintenance areas, higher VOC emissions being produced by highway vehicles, depending on the model’s inputs. Emissions of NO\(_X\) estimated using MOVES will always be greater for transportation planning than the NO\(_X\) emissions accounted for in the MVEBs, which were estimated using MOBILE6.2. As a result, comparing emissions from the MOVES model to the MVEB included in the approved maintenance plan would not allow local and regional transportation planning agencies to meet the requirements of transportation conformity; the emissions budget in the maintenance plan would be exceeded and transportation planning could be adversely affected. Therefore, the Department is updating the MVEBs for the ABE Area using the newer EPA-approved emissions model, MOVES2010a.

\(^1\) 23 CFR §450.300-450.336
It is important to mention that higher emission estimates calculated by MOVES2010a do not mean that emissions from vehicles dramatically increased. The MOVES model better estimates emissions that are already being produced by vehicles. Consequently, the ability of the area to maintain the standard will not be affected by using estimates produced by the new model.

1.3. EPA Requirements for Developing and Revising Maintenance Plans

The EPA-approved ABE Redesignation Request is consistent with the September 4, 1992, EPA memorandum written by John Calcagni, Director, Air Quality Management Division, entitled, Procedures for Processing Requests to Redesignate Areas to Attainment. For the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan, the Department produced an emissions inventory for NO\textsubscript{X}, VOC, and carbon monoxide (CO) for 2004, the attainment year, which included ambient air quality monitoring data that showed emissions that met the 1997 ozone NAAQS in the ABE Area. The inventory was based on a “typical summer day,” as required by the EPA. The Department developed an emissions inventory for 2018 that demonstrated that the area would meet the 1997 ozone NAAQS for a 10-year period following redesignation of the area to attainment. The emissions inventory for 2018, a maintenance year, showed that emissions of NO\textsubscript{X}, VOC, and CO in the ABE Area would not exceed emissions that occurred in 2004. The maintenance year is a year at least 10 years in the future that a state chooses to demonstrate that emissions over a 10-year period of analysis will not lead to an exceedance of the standard. A state does this by showing that emissions in the maintenance year are less than emissions in the attainment year.

An emissions inventory in the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan showed that emissions in 2009, the interim year, did not exceed emissions in 2004, the attainment year. The 2009 and 2018 inventories both considered future growth projected from a 2002 baseline year, and included emission reductions from permanent and enforceable control measures.

This proposed SIP revision updates highway emissions estimates using the newer MOVES2010a model. The Department need not update emissions estimates for nonroad sources for several reasons. For one, the growth assumptions for emissions from nonroad sources in the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan for the year 2009 were greater than the documented growth that actually occurred from 2002 to 2009. Additionally, newer, updated emission growth projections to 2018 for nonroad sources are less than emission growth projections for these sources in the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan. A few indicators of growth used to project the area source emissions inventory in the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan were less than actual area source growth factors used in this proposed SIP revision. This proposed SIP revision also includes linearly interpolated 2018 point source emission estimates derived from the Mid-Atlantic Regional Air Management Association (MARAMA) 2011 effort for modeling ambient particulate matter concentrations, which are used to revise the 2018 projected emissions in the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan.

Ambient air quality monitoring results for the ABE Area demonstrate continued attainment of the 1997 ozone NAAQS (0.08 ppm, with rounding 84 parts per billion), as shown in Table 1. Monitoring results for 2012 indicate an average design value of 75 ppb.
Table 1: ABE Area 2011 Design Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monitor</th>
<th>2010 4&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; Highest</th>
<th>2011 4&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; Highest</th>
<th>2012 4&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; Highest</th>
<th>3-Year Average or Design Value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Allentown 42-077-0004</td>
<td>80 ppb</td>
<td>79 ppb</td>
<td>75 ppb</td>
<td>78 ppb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freemansburg 42-095-0025</td>
<td>82 ppb</td>
<td>76 ppb</td>
<td>73 ppb</td>
<td>77 ppb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easton 42-095-8000</td>
<td>69 ppb</td>
<td>72 ppb</td>
<td>73 ppb</td>
<td>71 ppb</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A “design value” is the annual fourth highest daily maximum 8-hour ozone concentration, averaged over three years.

The EPA Office of Transportation and Air Quality developed guidance titled, *Policy Guidance on the Use of MOVES2010 and Subsequent Minor Revisions for State Implementation Plan Development, Transportation Conformity, and Other Purposes,* (MOVES2010 guidance) EPA 420-B-12-010, April 2012. This guidance describes requirements for SIP revisions. For maintenance demonstrations, areas can have a revised motor vehicle emissions inventory and budget using MOVES without revising emissions for other sectors included in the applicable SIP or completing additional modeling if:

- The SIP continues to meet applicable requirements when the previous motor vehicle emissions inventories are replaced with inventories generated by MOVES2010a; and

- The state can document that growth and control strategy assumptions for non-motor vehicle sources continue to be valid and minor updates do not change the overall conclusions of the SIP.

The EPA further states that if both of the criteria are met, the state can simply re-submit the original maintenance plan with the revised motor vehicle emissions inventories, using the most current version of the MOVES model. However, if either criterion is not met, the emissions categories in the maintenance plan that have changed must be brought up to date.

Since one of the criteria listed above is not met for point source emissions, this proposed SIP revision includes information addressing the recommendations described in the MOVES2010 policy guidance, listed here:

- Demonstration that the 1997 ozone NAAQS approved maintenance plan continues to meet applicable requirements with the revised motor vehicle emissions inventories, as calculated by the most recently approved MOVES model, and recalculation of the attainment year, interim year and maintenance year MVEBs with the latest planning assumptions, including documentation of the updated assumptions;

- Review of the point, area, and nonroad emissions inventories for the interim year and maintenance year to determine if growth and control strategy assumptions have changed and, if so, development of an analysis to determine if these changes affect the conclusion.
of the maintenance plan that air quality will remain compliant with the 1997 ozone NAAQS; and

- Assessment to confirm that excess emissions exist and the quantification of these excess emissions for use in the safety margin applied to the MVEBs.

### 1.4. Maintenance Plan Demonstration and Motor Vehicle Emissions Budgets

Tables 2a and 2b show the revised 2004, 2009 and 2018 NO\textsubscript{X} MVEBs calculated using the latest planning assumptions for the ABE Area using MOVES2010a. In Tables 2a and 2b, only NO\textsubscript{X} emission budgets are revised in this proposed SIP revision. The existing MOBILE6.2-based VOC MVEBs are sufficient for future conformity determinations and are included here for reference only. Assumptions used in the MOVES2010a modeling, including model inputs, growth assumptions and modeling methodology, are provided in Appendix C. Highway vehicle emissions generated by MOVES2010a show a clear, downward trend in emissions in future years.

Table 2a: Motor Vehicle Emissions Budgets Calculated with MOVES2010a for NO\textsubscript{X} and with MOBILE6.2 for VOC for Lehigh Valley MPO (Lehigh and Northampton Counties)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>NO\textsubscript{X} Emissions (tons/day) (MOVES2010a)</th>
<th>VOC Emissions (tons/day) (MOBILE6.2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2004 Attainment Year</td>
<td>49.83</td>
<td>26.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009 Modeled Emissions</td>
<td>37.48</td>
<td>19.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conformity Portion of Safety Margins(^2)</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009 Interim Year Budget</td>
<td>39.18</td>
<td>20.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 Modeled Emissions</td>
<td>18.71</td>
<td>11.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conformity Portion of Safety Margins</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 Maintenance Year Budgets</td>
<td>20.41</td>
<td>12.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^2\) A “safety margin” is the amount of emissions by which the total projected emissions from all sources of a given pollutant are less than the total emissions that would satisfy the applicable requirement for reasonable further progress, attainment, or maintenance (40 CFR §93.101). The state may designate a portion of these emissions for use by the MPO or Department of Transportation (DOT) as a safety margin to be used for transportation projects. See 40 CFR §93.124(a) for application of a safety margin. The Department also applies safety margins for planning areas with RPOs.
Table 2b: Motor Vehicle Emissions Budgets Calculated with MOVES2010a for NO\textsubscript{X} and MOBILE 6.2 for VOC for NEPA MPO (Carbon County)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>NO\textsubscript{X} Emissions (tons/day) (MOVES2010a)</th>
<th>VOC Emissions (tons/day) (MOBILE6.2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2004 Attainment Year</td>
<td>9.55</td>
<td>4.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009 Modeled Emissions</td>
<td>6.60</td>
<td>3.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conformity Portion of Safety Margins (see Footnote 2 on pg 6)</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009 Interim Year Budget</td>
<td>6.90</td>
<td>3.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 Modeled Emissions</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>1.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conformity Portion of Safety Margins</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 Maintenance Year Budgets</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>2.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.5. **Non-Mobile Inventory Review**

The EPA, in its MOVES2010 guidance, states that revisions to existing SIPs and budgets must continue to meet applicable requirements under the Clean Air Act. A maintenance plan revised to take into account new emission estimates due to an update in the highway model must demonstrate that attainment of the standard continues, even with updated control measures and growth estimates. This proposed SIP revision includes increases in the maintenance plan’s NO\textsubscript{X} emission estimates for the attainment, interim and maintenance years, resulting from revising highway emissions using MOVES instead of the MOBILE6.2 model, and accounting for emissions of point sources using the latest planning assumptions and growth estimates. In this proposed SIP revision, area and nonroad source inventories are not being revised. Total emissions in the years 2009 and 2018 cannot exceed the emissions in the 2004 attainment year inventory. The Department concludes that the ABE Area will continue to demonstrate attainment of the 1997 ozone NAAQS because total emissions of NO\textsubscript{X} in the interim year and maintenance year will be less than the 2004 attainment year inventory for NO\textsubscript{X}. Developing a revised highway inventory for CO is unnecessary for this proposed SIP revision because CO emissions decrease using MOVES in place of the MOBILE6.2 model. VOC emissions are not being updated because VOC emission estimates for the ABE Area do not increase to the point at which the existing motor vehicle emission budget is exceeded when using the MOVES model for transportation conformity.

Growth and control strategy assumptions have changed for all of the emission categories since the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan was approved. The changes in these assumptions have generally decreased forecasted emissions in future years. A severe economic recession occurred.
since the maintenance plan was approved and employment was greatly depressed, falling short of the employment projections in the maintenance plan for the year 2009. More controls of source categories have been implemented at both the federal and state level. The nonroad NO\textsubscript{X} emission inventory and the area source NO\textsubscript{X} inventory are not being revised for the interim and maintenance year in this proposed SIP revision. The point source emission inventory is being updated. The Department compared updated growth estimates with growth assumptions and growth estimates in the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan. That analysis is included below. More detailed information can be found in Volume II: Technical Support Document.

Some SIP revisions for certain areas in the Commonwealth will require the Department to update growth and strategy assumptions of point, area, and non-road sources. A number of areas in the Commonwealth are home to newly built point sources, such as electric generating units (EGUs). Some areas have experienced Marcellus Shale gas development, which has increased area source emissions.

The EPA promulgated the Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR) in 2005 to control NO\textsubscript{X} and sulfur dioxide (SO\textsubscript{2}) emissions from EGUs (70 FR 25162; May 12, 2005 and later amendments). The U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit remanded CAIR in December 2008, without vacating it, in *North Carolina v. EPA*, 531 F.3d 896, *modified*, 550 F.9d 1176 (D.C. Cir. 2008). EPA developed the Cross-State Air Pollution Rule (CSAPR) in 2011 to limit the transport of NO\textsubscript{X} and SO\textsubscript{2}, which could have changed projected emissions of point sources (76 FR 48208; Aug. 8, 2011 and later revisions). The court vacated CSAPR on August 21, 2012, however, and ordered EPA to continue administering CAIR pending the promulgation of a valid replacement. See *EME Homer City Generation L.P. v. EPA*, 696 F.3d 7 (D.C. Cir. 2012), *rehearing denied*, 2013 U.S. App. LEXIS 1623 and 1624 (D.C. Cir. Jan. 24, 2013). On June 24, 2013, the Supreme Court of the United States accepted review of the case. Following the Circuit Court’s direction, CAIR remains in place pending the Supreme Court’s review. On November 19, 2012, EPA’s then-Assistant Administrator Gina McCarthy issued a memorandum stating that it is appropriate for EPA to rely on CAIR reductions as permanent and enforceable until court proceedings are resolved or, if the vacatur of CSAPR remains in place, until EPA promulgates a replacement rule and state plans for implementation of the replacement rule are approved. Some owners and operators of EGUs have responded to new economic and regulatory developments by closing coal-fired electric generation units or by switching fuels (to natural gas) at their facilities, which includes facilities in the ABE Area.

Table 3 shows that emissions in the future, interim and maintenance years will not exceed overall emissions from all sources in 2004, the attainment year, even though highway emissions for NO\textsubscript{X} are greater in the ABE Area when modeled by MOVES than when the emissions were estimated by MOBILE6.2 in the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan. Actual emissions for the 2009 point sources, updated 2018 projected point source emissions, actual area source growth rates for 2002 to 2009, and updated area source growth factors for 2009 to 2018 are included in this proposed SIP revision and Technical Support Document (Volume II). Projected nonroad category emissions from the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan are used for 2009 and 2018 in this proposed SIP revision. Projected nonroad sources do not need to be updated because emissions from these categories have not increased since the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan was submitted to the EPA. Attainment of the 1997 ozone standard is maintained by showing that revised actual and
projected growth for 2009 and 2018 does not increase overall future emissions included in the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan. This proposed SIP revision demonstrates that growth assumptions in the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan generally forecast greater growth than what actually occurred or will occur in the major source category segments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Point</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Nonroad</th>
<th>Mobile</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>58.8</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>59.4</td>
<td>134.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>85.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ(2004-2009)</td>
<td>-31.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-1.90</td>
<td>-15.3</td>
<td>-48.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>59.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ(2004-2018)</td>
<td>-32.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-6.30</td>
<td>-37.4</td>
<td>-74.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Source Category Emissions

2.1. Point Source Category Emissions

2.1.1. CONTROL MEASURES

At the time of the development of the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan, many large sources were affected by the requirements of the federal Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR). Pennsylvania implemented NOX control programs, including implementation of CAIR, which control NOX from large industrial boilers, internal combustion engines, electric generating units, and cement kilns. Another control measure took effect for NOX emissions for point sources after the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan was approved. New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) affected new engines installed at facilities after January 1, 2011, by requiring internal combustion engines to meet a 50 percent reduction in NOX. These changes to NSPS requirements will reduce point source NOX emissions in 2018.
2.1.2. GROWTH ESTIMATES IN THE ORIGINAL ABE OZONE MAINTENANCE PLAN

Annual point source inventories using historical emissions data reported to the Department by point source facilities were included in the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan. The Department developed an emissions inventory of point sources for calendar years 2002 and 2004. The 2002 point source emissions inventory represents a time when the ABE Area did not meet the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS. The 2004 point source inventory showed emissions that occurred during 2004, the attainment year for the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS.

Emission projections for the point source sector for 11 states, including Pennsylvania, and the District of Columbia, were prepared for MARAMA by MACTEC Federal Programs, Inc. (MACTEC). Future year projections were developed by MACTEC so that states could use the point source inventories in their SIPs. Emission projections were prepared for years 2009 and 2018.

For the purpose of projecting emissions into the future, the point source inventory in the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan is divided into two subsectors – the EGU sector and the non-EGU sector. Different projection methods were used for those two sectors. For the EGU sector, the Mid-Atlantic/Northeast Visibility Union (MANE-VU) used the Integrating Planning Model (IPM) to project future electric generation, as well as to calculate the impact of control programs on future emission levels. The EGU modeling data was made available by MANE-VU to its member states, including Pennsylvania. The ABE Area has six CAIR-affected (EGU) emission sources. For the non-EGU sector, growth factors were developed by using the EPA’s Economic Growth Analysis System Version 5.0 (EGAS 5.0). The U.S. Department of Energy fuel consumption forecasts were used to replace default values in EGAS 5.0. Also, state-supplied population, employment, and other emission projection data were used to update and enhance the EGAS 5.0 default values.

GenOn Energy announced in February 2012 that it intends to decommission and shut down the Portland Generating Station, located in Northampton County, effective January 2015. Because the status of this facility could potentially change, the emissions from the facility are included in the actual 2009 and projected 2018 emission inventories for point sources. If the facility shuts down as planned, it will be removed from future inventories submitted to EPA that are created after the shutdown date.

2.1.3. POINT SOURCE CATEGORY CONCLUSION

This proposed SIP revision revises the projected NOₓ emissions for 2009 in the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan with actual emissions for point sources in 2009, because the actual emissions are readily available and allow easy comparison between the projected emissions in the maintenance plan and the actual emissions. The Department assessed point source emissions by comparing the actual emissions inventory data submitted to the Department by owners of point sources for 2009 to the estimated 2009 NOₓ emissions from point sources in the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan. The ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan overestimated point source emissions for 2009. Table 4 shows that the projected point source emissions of NOₓ in the ABE Ozone
Maintenance Plan were 31.3 tons per day more than actual 2009 point source emissions. Actual emissions of NO\textsubscript{X} declined significantly from 2004 to 2009, even when compared to the slow growth in emissions forecasted in the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan.

Table 4: 2009 Point Source Emissions
Maintenance Plan Estimates versus Actual

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2009 Emissions</th>
<th>NO\textsubscript{X} (tons/day)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance Plan Estimate</td>
<td>58.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>27.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this proposed SIP revision, the Department is revising the 2018 point source inventory in the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan by using emissions from the inventory developed by MARAMA. Because the updated inventory produced by MARAMA uses different years for the baseline and projected inventories, and different surrogates to estimate growth than were used in the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan, comparison between growth factors used to produce the two inventories is difficult. The MARAMA projected inventory estimated emissions for the years 2017 and 2020 from a baseline 2007 emissions inventory. In order to obtain emission estimates for the 2018 maintenance year for this proposed SIP revision, a straight line interpolation between 2007 and 2020 was used. Projected point source NO\textsubscript{X} emissions in 2018 using the MARAMA estimates are still well below the 2018 projected point source emissions in the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan, as shown in Table 5, and thus show that projected point source emissions in 2018 will fall below the 2004 (attainment year) emission levels.

Table 5: 2018 Point Source Emissions
Maintenance Plan Estimates versus Revised 2018 Emissions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2018 Emissions</th>
<th>NO\textsubscript{X} (tons/day)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018 Maintenance Plan Estimate</td>
<td>66.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 Revised Estimate (MARAMA Inventory)</td>
<td>26.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.2. **Area Source Category Emissions**

2.2.1. **Control Measures**

The area sector includes, but is not limited to, such diverse emissions source categories as: surface coating; commercial and consumer solvent use; residential heating; open burning; traffic line painting; and landfill gases. Emissions are produced from a wide number of sources for which actual emissions or direct indicators of emissions are not available. One control measure took effect for NO\textsubscript{X} emissions for area sources after the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan was approved. New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) affected new engines installed at facilities after January 1, 2011, by requiring a 50 percent reduction in NO\textsubscript{X} emissions. These changes to NSPS requirements will have some beneficial effect on area sources by 2018.

2.2.2. **Growth Estimates in the 2006 Maintenance Plan**

Area source emission growth factors in the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan were developed by using the default configuration in EGAS 5.0. EGAS 5.0 used the U.S. Department of Energy Annual Energy Outlook 2004 (AEO2004) fuel use projections. In this proposed SIP revision, the AEO2005 fuel consumption forecasts were used to replace AEO2004 forecasts. State-supplied population and employment data were used to replace default data, when the state-specific data was available. Growth estimates were represented by growth factors for each area source classification code (SCC) for each county.

County-level population data for 2000, and projections for 2010 and 2020 from the Pennsylvania State Data Center, [http://pasdc.hbg.psu.edu/](http://pasdc.hbg.psu.edu/), were provided to derive growth factors for this proposed SIP revision. This data were interpolated to obtain growth factors for projecting emissions from 2002 to 2009 for SCCs that are population based. General employment data for 21 counties or areas for 2000, and projections to 2010 and 2020, were used. This data were also interpolated to estimate growth factors for projecting from 2002 to 2009 and 2018 for nine area source categories. For all other area source categories, AEO2005 forecasts were used for projecting emissions from area source fuel combustion, when available. If AEO2005 forecasts are not available, EGAS 5.0 default factors were used.

The ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan relied upon many different types of socioeconomic indicators that served as surrogates to actual sales data to estimate emissions from the area source sector when actual sales data was not available. Population, employment, fuel use and housing data are some of the surrogates used to estimate emissions. Methodologies for estimating some emissions from some area sources were updated by the EPA in the time since EPA approved the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan, but, because the emissions change similarly for the attainment, interim and maintenance years, the changes will not result in a significant difference between the attainment year’s emissions and the maintenance year’s emissions. As can be seen from Tables 6 and 7, with the exception of natural gas commercial boilers and residential natural gas use, emissions would decrease from area sources, since actual growth rates from 2002 to 2009 and projected growth rates from 2009 to 2018 are lower or nearly the same when compared to the
growth rates used in the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan. Additionally, in Table 7 the growth rate for the Commercial Anthracite Coal category is listed as zero. This reflects an error in the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan where this category was counted in both the area and point source inventories. When actual emissions for 2009 were reviewed, the area source value became zero after subtracting out point sources using EPA’s inventory development methodology. The metrics presented in Tables 6 and 7 serve as growth surrogates for most source classification codes (SCCs) in the area source category in the maintenance plan, which account for a large majority of the emissions in the area source inventory.

Table 6: Comparison of Growth Factors Used in Maintenance Plan and Inventory Updates from 2002 to 2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009 Population *</td>
<td>1.0490</td>
<td>1.0878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009 Total Employment</td>
<td>1.0256</td>
<td>1.0102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009 Commercial Boilers Natural Gas</td>
<td>1.0106</td>
<td>1.0890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009 Commercial Boilers, Distillate</td>
<td>1.0731</td>
<td>0.9968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009 Commercial Boilers, Coal</td>
<td>1.0481</td>
<td>0.6636</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Growth for the year 2009 is computed from 2002 to 2009.
Table 7: Comparison of Growth Factors Used in Maintenance Plan and Inventory Updates from 2009 to 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
<td>1.0595</td>
<td>1.0373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employees</td>
<td>1.0335</td>
<td>1.0319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthracite Coal, Industrial</td>
<td>0.9983</td>
<td>0.9136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthracite, Commercial</td>
<td>0.9865</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Combustor Types, Distillate Oil, Residential</td>
<td>0.9598</td>
<td>0.8226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boilers and Internal Combustion Engines, Natural Gas, Commercial</td>
<td>1.0521</td>
<td>1.0687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Combustor Types, Natural Gas, Residential</td>
<td>1.0181</td>
<td>1.0188</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Growth for the year 2018 is given as a factor estimating growth from 2009 to 2018. Due to different time ranges used for growth estimates in the maintenance plan and more recent inventory data, growth based on 2002 was impossible to estimate. This method allows for a direct comparison.

2.2.3. GROWTH ESTIMATES IN MARAMA 2011 REGIONAL MODELING EFFORT

The development of growth factors for the MARAMA 2011 regional modeling effort for area sources used one or a combination of the following as surrogates for growth: AEO2010, population data, or employment data. A direct comparison cannot be made between the growth factors based on AEO2005 or population in the original maintenance plan and this proposed SIP revision which uses growth factors from MARAMA’s 2011 regional modeling effort. As years pass, different inventory methodologies are developed. This proposed SIP revision uses the best possible method to compare emission growth factors developed using differing methodologies (See Volume II: Technical Support Document). The MARAMA 2011 regional modeling effort developed growth factors for most SCCs using AEO2010 or county level population. Employment data was derived from North American Industry Classification System to the state level. Since employment data to the county level was not available from the MARAMA 2011 modeling, state employment data was applied to all SCCs that use employment data and counties in this proposed SIP revision. The recession reduced employment, which fell short of the projections in the maintenance plan for the year 2009. Starting from depressed employment levels in 2009, it is unlikely that employment projections in the maintenance plan will be exceeded in 2018, even if employment growth recovers to normal levels in the near future.
2.2.4. Marcellus Shale Emissions

Significant infrastructure development to extract Marcellus Shale natural gas in some Pennsylvania counties has occurred since the Department submitted the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan to EPA. Area source emissions result from the placement of drilling equipment, gathering lines and compressor stations. The eastern edge of the Marcellus Shale geologic formation lies under a portion of the ABE Area (Carbon County). The ABE Area has not experienced any Marcellus Shale development as of the submission of this proposed SIP revision, but it is unknown if the ABE area will experience this development in the future.

2.2.5. Area Source Category Conclusion

Updated emission growth factors for fuel use, which produces NO\textsubscript{X} emissions, demonstrate that area source emission growth for 2002-2009 and 2009-2018 was less than predicted by the area source growth factors in the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan. Therefore, area source emissions were not revised in this proposed SIP revision because area source emissions are expected to be lower than predicted in the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan. Estimates of NO\textsubscript{X} emissions from area sources in the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan were slightly higher in both 2009 and 2018 (see Table 3) than the 2004 attainment year, but estimates of NO\textsubscript{X} emissions from all categories in the ABE Area are still well below the emissions in the attainment year.

2.3. Nonroad Source Category Emissions

2.3.1. Control Measures

The nonroad category contains many different types of equipment. The Department used NONROAD2005 for the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan. All versions of the EPA’s NONROAD Model estimate emissions for over 200 types of engines and vehicles. Nonroad engines and vehicles can be used for such applications as construction, lawn and garden care, farming, and industrial applications. In addition, emissions from three types of activities – including aircraft, locomotive, and commercial marine vessel activities – are not estimated in the NONROAD Model but are in the nonroad category. In the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan, the NONROAD2005 model was used to estimate emissions of nonroad vehicles and engines. The model went through one major revision since it was used for the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan. NONROAD2008a is the latest version of this model. This new version of the model incorporates two reduction strategies that affect engines and vehicles in the nonroad sector:

- Diesel recreational marine standards in the 2008 final rule on locomotive and marine engines (See Control of Emissions of Air Pollution From Locomotive Engines and Marine Compression-Ignition Engines Less Than 30 Liters per Cylinder, 73 FR 25098; May 6, 2008); and
The October 2008 small spark ignition and spark ignition and recreational marine rule (See Control of Emissions From Nonroad Spark-Ignition Engines and Equipment, 73 FR 59034; October 8, 2008).

For the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan, the Department modified files in the NONROAD Model, when state specific data was available, that affect emission categories such as residential lawn and garden and recreational marine vessels.

2.3.2. GROWTH ESTIMATES

Emissions from nonroad sources have decreased since the submittal of the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan as a result of additional controls mentioned above in Section 2.3.1, and other controls that have been phased in since 2004 for engines used in the nonroad sector. The fleet turnover that occurs as older, more polluting nonroad equipment and vehicles are replaced by newer equipment and vehicles that meet more stringent emission standards has continued to lower emissions in the nonroad sector since the late 1990s, and will continue to do so for the next 10 years. Because of these controls, emission reductions occurred across all segments of the nonroad category, including construction equipment, farming equipment, locomotives, and lawn and garden equipment. Furthermore, the recession significantly lowered construction, railroad, aircraft, and industrial activity, and, consequently, lowered emissions. Even if growth returns to pre-recession levels, overall growth will likely remain lower than predicted in the maintenance plan up to the 2018 maintenance year.

2.3.3. NONROAD SOURCE CATEGORY CONCLUSION

The Department demonstrated that it is reasonable to assume that emissions growth for nonroad sources that has occurred, and is occurring, is less than the growth forecasted in the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan for NO\textsubscript{X} for both maintenance years: 2009 and 2018. The Department compared growth estimates between those used in NONROAD2005 and NONROAD2008a, and took into account fleet turnover and the effects of the recession. Emissions of NO\textsubscript{X} from the nonroad source sector have not increased as a result of any new activity or update in methodology. Therefore, no revisions to the nonroad source sector for NO\textsubscript{X} emissions are necessary.

2.4. Highway Source Category Emissions

2.4.1. CONTROL MEASURES

Assumptions for vehicle emission standards have not been changed significantly in the modeled analysis from when the Department submitted the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan to EPA. Pennsylvania began implementation of California emission standards, which are incorporated by

In January 2012, the California Air Resources Board (CARB) approved significant revisions to its regulations for criteria pollutant and greenhouse gas emissions from passenger cars, light-duty trucks and medium-duty vehicles. The amendments, referred to as LEV III, were approved by California’s Office of Administrative Law (OAL) on August 7, 2012, and will become effective in model year (MY) 2015 for criteria pollutants and in MY 2017 for greenhouse gases. The requirements were automatically incorporated by reference as part of the Pennsylvania Clean Vehicles Program, to the extent the amendments apply to Pennsylvania’s program, under 25 Pa. Code § 126.411.

On August 28, 2012, the EPA and the U.S. Department of Transportation’s National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) issued a joint Final Rulemaking to extend the National Program of harmonized greenhouse gas and fuel economy standards to MYs 2017-2025 passenger vehicles. On May 21, 2013, the EPA proposed emission standards for MYs 2017-2025 light duty, medium duty, and some heavy duty vehicles, referred to as Tier 3 emission standards, as well as sulfur content standards for fuel in a proposed rulemaking (78 FR 29816).

The mobile source modeling for this proposed SIP revision was completed prior to the publication of the proposed Tier 3 standards, and, as a result, the impact of the proposed standards was not included in the modeling. The California LEV III rulemaking, the EPA-NHTSA National Program Final Rulemaking, and the EPA Tier III Proposed Rulemaking have not been analyzed as to their effect on future year emission estimates of NOX in this proposed SIP revision. However, it is reasonable to assume that NOX emissions will decrease as these vehicles replace older vehicles during fleet turnover in Pennsylvania and the rest of the country.

2.4.2. GROWTH ESTIMATES

Overall emissions from highway vehicles will continue to decrease as new vehicles take the place of older vehicles during normal fleet turnover. Fleet turnover is the greatest driver in lowering emissions from highway vehicles. Fleet turnover has been slower than forecast in the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan. This is, in part, a result of the recent recession and its lingering effects. Other factors have some impact on emissions from the highway source category, such as changes in fleet age, vehicle miles traveled, and the fleet mix of vehicles. The fleet age is increasing as people operate their vehicles for a longer period of time. This will reduce the rate of fleet turnover and the reductions expected in emissions. It is difficult to compare vehicle miles traveled (VMT) in Pennsylvania between the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan and this proposed SIP revision, because the method for estimating VMT has changed. Nationally, there was a slight decline in VMT during the recession. The percentage of vehicles in Pennsylvania that are light-duty trucks is also shrinking. Consumers are purchasing more passenger cars than light-duty trucks. Passenger cars tend to have lower emissions.
NO\textsubscript{X} emission estimates in this proposed SIP revision were developed using MOVES2010a and the latest planning assumptions. Emissions have been estimated for 2004 (attainment year), 2009 and 2018 (maintenance years). The MOBILE6.2 emission estimates in the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan have been included in Table 8 to illustrate relative changes in emissions.

Table 8: Revised Motor Vehicle Emissions Using MOVES2010a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Original MOBILE6.2 Emissions (tons/summer day)</th>
<th>Revised MOVES2010a Emissions (tons/summer day)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO\textsubscript{X}</td>
<td>48.33</td>
<td>33.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>30.54</td>
<td>22.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*VOC emissions are not being revised in this SIP revision because the VOC MVEBs in the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan developed using MOBILE6.2 are sufficient and will be used for transportation conformity.

Table 8 shows that the MOVES model estimates NO\textsubscript{X} emissions in 2009 and 2018 exceed the MOBILE6.2-derived emissions estimates in the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan. This would cause transportation planning emissions estimates using MOVES to exceed the MVEBs. The updated NO\textsubscript{X} MVEBs and approved VOC MVEBs provided in Tables 2a and 2b will help ensure that the MPOs in the ABE Area can demonstrate transportation conformity using MOVES2010a, while the emissions estimates still demonstrate that the ABE Area demonstrates attainment with, and continued maintenance of, the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS.

2.4.3. MOBILE SOURCE CATEGORY CONCLUSION

This proposed SIP revision includes revised NO\textsubscript{X} MVEBs for the ABE Area using updated highway vehicle emissions estimates derived from MOVES. Appendix C of this proposed SIP revision replaces Appendix C in the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan in its entirety. Appendix C of this proposed SIP revision combines sections C3 and C4, and deletes section C5 of Appendix C in the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan. Appendix C of this proposed SIP revision describes the highway modeling methodology necessary to produce accurate emission estimates from the MOVES model.

3. Safety Margins

A safety margin is the amount of emissions by which the total projected emissions from all sources of a given pollutant are less than the total emissions that would satisfy the applicable requirement for reasonable further progress, attainment, or maintenance (40 CFR §93.101). Table 3 shows that the emissions in the maintenance years, even when using the conservative emission estimates in the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan, for point, area and nonroad sectors, provide a significant safety margin for continued maintenance of the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS.
Therefore, a portion of the safety margin is being allocated to the MVEBs for transportation projects (see Tables 2a and 2b) to help ensure that any future planning assumption updates will not negatively impact the area’s ability to demonstrate transportation conformity. Adequate safety margins will also defer the need for future SIP revisions, where the purpose of the SIP revision is only to update numbers and not to document any actual emission reductions to the atmosphere.

To help ensure that the transportation conformity process is not needlessly delayed, a 2.0 ton/day safety margin for NOX has been applied to the MVEB in 2009 and a 2.0 ton/day safety margin for NOX has been applied to the MVEB in 2018. The safety margins included in the VOC MVEBs are the safety margins included in the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan using MOBILE6.2. The ABE Area contains the Lehigh Valley MPO and the NEPA MPO. The safety margins are distributed between the two MPOs based on the percentage of emissions that each MPO contributes to the area total. All indications are that the 2009 and 2018 emission estimates for point, area and nonroad sources in the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan are higher than any actual emissions for 2009 and revised estimates for 2018 in this proposed SIP revision. Tables 2a and 2b quantitatively show that these safety margins will continue to allow the area to remain well below the 2004 attainment year emissions inventory cap, thereby ensuring that future air quality will continue to meet the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS.

4. Motor Vehicle Emission Budget for Transportation Conformity

The Department proposes to establish budgets for highway emissions in order to ensure that transportation emissions do not impede clean air goals for the next decade and beyond. The information in Tables 9a and 9b, once EPA approves this proposed SIP revision for the purposes of transportation conformity, will establish MVEBs for the ABE Area. The MVEBs are exhibited separately for the two MPOs in the ABE Area.

Table 9a: Motor Vehicle Emissions Budgets – Lehigh Valley MPO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calendar Year</th>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>NOX</th>
<th>VOC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td></td>
<td>35,542.68 kilograms/summer day</td>
<td>18,731.92 kilograms/summer day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>39.1787 tons/summer day</td>
<td>20.6482 tons/summer day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td></td>
<td>18,512.06 kilograms/summer day</td>
<td>11,278.96 kilograms/summer day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20.4058 tons/summer day</td>
<td>12.4328 tons/summer day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 9b: Motor Vehicle Emissions Budgets – NEPA MPO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calendar Year</th>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>NO\textsubscript{X}</th>
<th>VOC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NO\textsubscript{X}</td>
<td>6,257.55 kilograms/summer day</td>
<td>3,118.21 kilograms/summer day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.8977 tons/summer day</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.4372 tons/summer day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>3,212.37 kilograms/summer day</td>
<td>2,052.98 kilograms/summer day</td>
<td>2.2630 tons/summer day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.5410 tons/summer day</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Conversion factor used for Table 9a and 9b: 1kg = 2.2046 lbs

5. Conclusion

By examining actual and projected emissions, increased controls for all emissions categories, and evidence that actual emissions growth for point source, area source, and nonroad source categories has been less than the projected emissions growth for most sources in the approved ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan, the Department has shown the conclusion in the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan that the ABE Area will continue to maintain the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS to be valid, even after updating the highway emissions estimates using the new EPA-approved MOVES model. Updating NO\textsubscript{X} emissions in the MVEB will not negatively affect the ABE Area’s ability to maintain the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS. In this proposed SIP revision, the Department has updated emissions of NO\textsubscript{X} that were included in the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan for point and highway sources. Additionally, the Department compared updated growth factors for NO\textsubscript{X} for area sources with those that were included in the ABE Ozone Maintenance Plan, to show that growth was less than expected and that the area source inventory did not need to be revised. Emissions of nonroad sources were not updated because growth in emissions for those sources decreased or were unaffected.