

September 2019 e-permitting Release Notes

All Programs

- **21811** – Find ALL line items that are for Address Line 1 and Address Line 2. Particularly, if the address will load to EFACTS, must have a max field length of 45 characters.
- **19671 – [EFACTS update]** – A checkbox has been added to the client page within EFACTS. This checkbox is an indicator if the client is a Consulting Firm. Any active client with this checkbox checked will appear in the dropdown list of “Consulting Firms” within e-permitting.
- **23105** – This update needs to happen for all eFACTS integration, all programs, and all authorizations. Personnel who clicks SEND on the correction notice needs to be the same name as entered into EFACTS; it should not be the lead reviewer’s name. On both creation and submission, it must to be the person who clicks the send notification button.
- **23203** – On the internal review dashboard tasks tab, the grid of tasks now include a 'Begin Date' column. The begin date column shows the begin date of a task. If the task is not yet started, then there is a "Begin" hyperlink where the begin date will appear. Once the begin hyperlink is clicked, the begin date replaces the hyperlink on the grid and assigned to the person who clicked the begin hyperlink. The date is a short date "8/12/2019".
- **23859** – All task details now have a begin date field. This field is editable by any user. The begin date is required to be able to close the task. The begin date is on or before the complete date. The begin date can be set manually within the task detail or it is populated when a user clicks the 'begin' link on the tasks tab.

Oil & Gas Surface

- **21148** – In the Layer Package and Shapefile section, the following is addressed:
Every shapefile required to be submitted by operators includes the following extensions.
 - I. **DBF**
 - II. PRJ
 - III. **SBN**
 - IV. SBX
 - V. SHP
 - VI. SHX

Adding the two bold file extensions for each shapefile. Currently the LOD (limit of disturbance) for the ESCGP side is missing DBF and SBN those need added.

The following question needs added to the top of the layer package / shapefile section.

Will the project include a:

- Proposed Pipeline Y/N*
- Temporary Access Road Y/N*
- Permanent Access Road Y/N*

If they answer “Yes” to any of these it will require them to upload those 6 shapefile extension files.

It has been seen through the submission of these permit operators who are uploading their LOD's, even though they can't be used because they are missing extensions), but not uploading any access road or pipeline data when they should because it's part of the project.

- **21407** – EFACTS Mapping update for Permittee contact information in Surface applications.
- **21437** – Within the Permit Chief Final Sign-off task there are only 2 options for disposition – Accept and Deny. The option to withdraw has been added.
- **21809** – EFACTS has a 45-character limit for Address lines 1 & 2, and 45 each in the Client Information Module in the Permittee Information Section. A 45-character limit on the Address fields has been added.
- **21869** – Business rule removed from drainage control details page: “Under drainage control details the volume of stormwater pre-vs. post can have the same value. Presently, the system gives an error if this occurs, and it should not. The rule right now is: “The two values in the “Volume of stormwater runoff (acre-feet) with planned stormwater BMPs” row cannot be the same, unless the value in the “Pre-construction” column of the “Volume of stormwater runoff (acre-feet) without planned stormwater BMPs” is zero.” Rule removed so the values can be the same at any time.
- **22819** – Updated integration mapping for ESCGP3. Project Name = Project Name; Project Search Name = Project Name; Project Description = Comma delimited list of checkbox text items. If OTHER is checked, the project description is concatenated “Other - <here is my project description>”

Oil & Gas Subsurface

- **20017** – In the Coal Module, the following will be required for CDOW/UDOW New, Alteration, and Redrill:
 - Coordination Act Section 7 Exception Request required when #3 answered NO; the following changes to question #4:
 - #4 YES
 - #4A YES
 - Grid of operators displays and the Mining Map and Drilling Map are required within the operators detail page – maps required for each operator.
 - #4 YES
 - #4A NO
 - Grid of operators displays and only the Mining Map is required within the operators detail page – maps required for each operator.
 - In the Regulatory Questions Module – document required in New, Alterations, and Redrills. Operator Ownership and Control Form (yes, change the name of the document to this) is required when:
 - CDOW New, Alterations, and Redrills
 - Yes to #12 or No to #12 and Yes to #12b
 - UDOW New, Alterations, and Redrills
 - Yes to #11 or No to #11 and Yes to #11b

- **21131** – Cover letter signature changes: The NW code includes the NCRO and NWRO counties have Randy Kresge. The SW code, which includes the SCRO & SERO counties, have Tom Donohue. Use NW for Randy all the time.
- **21132** – Conventional Well Permit Template update for CDOW, CDOW Alteration, CDOW Redrill, CDOW Renewal: Cover letters, No. 2 on priority list, please use the following documents: air emissions language and the appropriate signers for the regions. Only one signer per region.
- **21133** – Unconventional Well Permit Template update for UDOW, UDOW Alteration, UDOW Redrill, UDOW Renewal: Cover letters, No. 2 on priority list, please use the following documents: air emissions language and the appropriate signers for the regions. Only one signer per region.
- **21433** - In all OG Subsurface application types which have the regulatory questions; please correct the following spelling error: Under question #2, "Is this proposed well at a site...." if NO is answered, there is a follow-up "Will the purposed limit of any new earth...". The word "purposed" has been corrected to "proposed". This was identified in the UDOW New; but is not corrected in all subsurface applications that have the regulatory questions.
- **21957** – The following are in review tasks for OG subsurface – this is on the internal review side and they are read only. Remove from all 8 of the OG subsurface applications: three Distance Coordination questions, which are addressed elsewhere in the application; Interested Parties within the Geologic task, as Interested Parties are reviewed in a separate module.
- **22106** – Regulatory questions area: Question #5 must say "Did you obtain written consent?" if answered yes. The word "written" is missing. This has been updated in all of the subsurface applications which have the Regulatory Module.
- **22107** – In the Coal Module: Question #5 is now a follow-up if Question #4 is answered Yes. This has been updated in all of the subsurface applications which have the Coal Module.
- **22108** – In the Coal Module there is the ability to add a new Coal Seam. The line item has been updated from a textbox field to a dropdown list OR radio buttons. The valid values are: SOLID and VOID. Updated in all of the subsurface applications which have the Coal Module.
- **14091** – **[does not need tested]** GIS needs certain data views to grab e-permitting data for the OG Mapping Tool. This is a database view that GIS will programmatically access.
- **24006** – Last action taken column not updating, corrected. Dashboard remained on Geologic Review although decision review was completed.
- **23981** – The system appears to not be saving the selection of the "Priority Review" box when checked, as well as the "Requested Issuance Date", corrected.

Mining

- **20065** – Reclamation Module – Indiana Bat Plan (PEP) is listed in the missing attachments before anything is answered. There is a Missing PEP question to be answered YES and then the PEP attachment is required. If answer is no, then attachment is not required.
- **20066** – Reclamation module – move the sentence about PNDI required outside the yes/no question. It is always required therefore it will be at the top of the module.

- **23990/23991** – Mining NOI Cover letter language updated. Had been including some information from the Bituminous Surface Mine and removed a duplicated sentence regarding comments/questions.

Asbestos

- **19537** – [does not need tested] Integrate with L&I web service to send asbestos notifications.

Air Quality

- **8536** – Change the question, “Does the facility contain source(s) previously exempted or other sources not included in this general permit application?” to “Does the facility contain source(s) previously exempted?”
Found in Facility Information module, section "Exempt Source Information"
- **8538** – Removed the map check list line items – UAT#041 Map Checklist Check Boxes. They are not required fields. Upload of a map is required, and the map is required to have those elements.
- **9404** – In the enclosed flare control detail line items – for applicable federal rules, the 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart HHH has been removed.
- **9405** – Removed line item, "Describe the warning/alarm system that protects....". It is the last line item before the attachments grid.
- **22102** – Email notification to Resource Account when new application is submitted. E-mail notifications to ra-epair@pa.gov. Subject: New ePermitting Submission Payment. Body: An electronic GP-[5/5A] application was submitted by [EFACTS.Client Search Name] for a facility in [County] County. Receipt sent to [Permit Contact Name] at [Permit Contact email].
- **22982** – Lead reviewers and permit chiefs will get an email when a correction notice is resubmitted by the applicant. This is in addition to the person who clicked the send correction notice button. When a correction is resubmitted the total number of email recipients on the internal side are: the person who clicked it; the lead reviewer; the permit chief.
- **22984** – For brand new facilities, there is no annual admin fee charged in the application.

BWEW

- **Instant Access** – Users may now register for a Greenport account, fill out their EFA form electronically, and then begin and submit a Chapter 105 application. A specified group will be reviewing these EFA applications and either linking them to an existing EFACTS client or creating a new EFACTS client. Once a relationship is established in EFACTS it will also create the appropriate linkage in Greenport.
- **21982** – On the review side, the application information block is at the top of all the tabs. At the bottom add "Permit numbers; " and then a comma delimited list of all the permit numbers generated for that application.
Example: "Permit numbers: GP59283910, GP829839729, GP11981983917"

Storage Tanks

- **Instant Access for existing clients to pay invoices** – Users may now register for a Greenport account and then enter an invoice number to link to an appropriate EFACTS client. When this relationship is made the appropriate Greenport linkage will be made automatically and the user may immediately pay their invoices.