

Table 14-2
Follow-Up Correspondence for Stormwater Plan and Floodplain Consistency
for SCRO Counties

County	Municipality	Stormwater Plan Consistent	Floodplain Consistent	Follow-Up Summary
Blair	Juniata	Y	Y	
	Allegheny	Y	Y	
	Blair	Y	Y	
	Frankstown	Y	Y	
	Woodbury	NO PLAN	Y	
Huntingdon	Penn	Y	Y	
	Union	Y	NO PLAN	
	Shirley	Y	Y	
	Tell	NO PLAN	Y	
Juniata	Lack	NO PLAN	NR	
Perry	Toboyne	NO PLAN	NR	
	Jackson	Y	Y	
Cumberland	Lower Mifflin	NO PLAN	Y	
	Upper Frankford	Y**	Y	
	Lower Frankford	Y	Y	
	North Middleton	Y	Y	
	Middlesex	Y	Y	
	Silver Spring	Y	Y	
	Monroe	Y	Y	
	Upper Allen	Y	Y	
	Lower Allen	Y	Y	

Notes:

N/A = not applicable (no additional follow-up is necessary, or the Project does not cross FEMA floodplains)

NR = no response received to date; stormwater management analysis and floodplain management analysis is provided in municipality correspondences

TBD = to be determined; correspondence is ongoing

** = County has authority to enforce floodplain/stormwater management regulations

Table 14-2
Follow-Up Correspondence for Stormwater Plan and Floodplain Consistency
for SCRO Counties

County	Municipality	Stormwater Plan Consistent	Floodplain Consistent	Follow-Up Summary
York	Fairview	Y**	NR	
Dauphin	Lower Swatara	Y	Y	
	Highspire	Y	Y	
	Middletown	Y	N/A	
	Londonderry	Y	Y	
	Derry	Y	Y	
	Conewago	Y	Y	
Lebanon	South Londonderry	TBD*	Y	South Londonderry Township requested erosion and sediment control plans which SPLP submitted to the Township in June 2016. The Township reviewed the plans and submitted comments on 9/15/16. S. Londonderry is asking for CCD & DEP approvals, a riparian corridor easement, cost estimates for E&S improvements & performance security prior to construction occurring. SPLP sent a response letter to the Township on 11/4/16.
	South Annville	N/A**	Y**	
	West Cornwall	N/A**	Y**	
	Cornwall	Y	Y	
	South Lebanon	Y**	Y**	
	Heidelberg	Y**	Y	

Notes:

N/A = not applicable (no additional follow-up is necessary, or the Project does not cross FEMA floodplains)

NR = no response received to date; stormwater management analysis and floodplain management analysis is provided in municipality correspondences

TBD = to be determined; correspondence is ongoing

** = County has authority to enforce floodplain/stormwater management regulations

Table 14-2
Follow-Up Correspondence for Stormwater Plan and Floodplain Consistency
for SCRO Counties

County	Municipality	Stormwater Plan Consistent	Floodplain Consistent	Follow-Up Summary
Lancaster	Clay	NR	NR	
	West Cocalico	Y	Y	
Berks	South Heidelberg	Y	Y	
	Spring	Y	Y	
	Cumru	NR	N/A	
	Brecknock	Y	Y	
	Robeson	Y	Y	
	New Morgan	Y	Y	
	Caernarvon	TBD*	TBD*	Caernarvon Township requested erosion and sediment control plans, which SPLP submitted to the Township in June 2016. Since this submittal, the Township has requested calculations for the change in coverage. A response to the Township has been drafted and is undergoing legal review.

Notes:

N/A = not applicable (no additional follow-up is necessary, or the Project does not cross FEMA floodplains)

NR = no response received to date; stormwater management analysis and floodplain management analysis is provided in municipality correspondences

TBD = to be determined; correspondence is ongoing

** = County has authority to enforce floodplain/stormwater management regulations