

**I. Pending Issues**

---

**Water Management**

---

**State Water Plan:**

**Progress:**

**Critical Water Planning Designation Process**

All regional committees but the Delaware have held February meetings. The Delaware Committee's meeting was rescheduled to March 24<sup>th</sup> due to winter storm conditions. At these meetings, each committee reviewed the comments and testimony from the November public hearings and recommendations from DEP whether any of the watersheds under Critical Water Planning Area designation consideration no longer meet the designation criteria based on information coming from the hearings.

With the Delaware watersheds yet to be discussed, all but two of the other watersheds in other basins continue to meet the criteria and will be forwarded to the Statewide Committee for consideration. Of the two watersheds not being recommended by the regional committees, one was returned to the nominator (York/Adams Counties) for further work and the second was rejected by the Upper/Middle Susquehanna Committee as not meeting the designation criteria.

*A technical subcommittee of the Statewide Committee met on March 26, 2010. An outcome of the meeting was the subcommittee vote to move forward to the Statewide committee 14 watersheds (includes groupings of smaller watersheds) recommended by the Regional Committees for designation as Critical Water Planning Areas (CWPA). Subsequent to this meeting, a steering committee of the Statewide Committee recommended that another meeting of the technical subcommittee be convened for DEP to provide an overview of the technical aspects of each of the watersheds under consideration. That meeting is set for August 6, 2010 at 9:30 am in the DEP Southcentral Regional Office, Harrisburg. More information may be found at [www.pawaterplan.dep.state.pa.us](http://www.pawaterplan.dep.state.pa.us)*

*A meeting of the Statewide Committee has not yet been set, but will be scheduled likely later this fall at which recommendations of the technical subcommittee will be revisited and designations considered.*

**Water Resource Technical Assistance Center (WRTAC)**

DEP has contracted with the Susquehanna River Basin Commission (SRBC) to establish the Water Resource Technical Assistance Center. The establishment of the Center is a requirement of Act 220. After a request for proposal (RFP) solicitation, SRBC selected the PA Environmental Council to develop a plan to establish the Center. The WRTAC advisory committee to SRBC met this Wednesday to review the work of the contractors selected to develop the WRTAC. The contractors include PA Environmental Council and Eastern Research Group (ERG).

The three goals of the project are to establish a leading edge website, develop a comprehensive business plan and legal non profit incorporation including establishment of a board of directors. The website is nearing completion. There was discussion of adding relevant DEP content to the website and how that may work and its value. The advisory group is looking for volunteers to review the website and recommendations on what groups should constitute the board.

The financial numbers of the business plan are beginning to fall in place. PEC is also exploring a variety of fund raising options to implement the business plan, including solicitation of private business, foundations and elected members of the Senate and House for initial support.

### **Marcellus O&G Water Management Plan Activities**

Water Use Planning staff recently met with representatives of the PA Oil and Gas Producers to discuss the water withdrawal registration and reporting under Act 220 and Chapter 110 regulations. The Division of Water Use Planning has been working internally with Bureau of Information Technology and the Oil and Gas program central and regional offices in developing Marcellus electronic water use reporting and data management systems. As applications are developed to accommodate quarterly reporting of water withdrawals by Marcellus operators, longer term solutions will be developed for overall water management plan data management and sharing of information with River Basin Commissions.

DEP's Water Use Planning (WUP) and the Bureau of Information Technology met with representatives of the Susquehanna River Basin Commission (SRBC) in late 2009 to discuss coordination of data management information and systems, specifically in regards to water use in Marcellus gas operations. It was the consensus of that group to begin defining their respective data needs that will lead to data sharing in the future.

In addition, WUP staff continues to develop and further refine Marcellus electronic water use reporting and data management systems.

### **Chesapeake Bay Program:**

Chesapeake Bay TMDL: EPA is working on a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) for the Chesapeake Bay, which will establish a 'budget' for nitrogen, phosphorous and sediment loadings. The current schedule has the TMDL being completed by December 2010, but EPA is now requesting states to submit initial draft Watershed Implementation Plans (WIPs), formerly referred to as 'tributary strategies', by August, rather than the originally planned deadline of June 2010. EPA has established a website specifically for the TMDL, which can be accessed at <http://www.epa.gov/chesapeakebaytmdl/> . The website now has information on webcasts that EPA continues to provide that contain updates on their TMDL progress.

*EPA issued a letter to the six watershed states and the District of Columbia on July 1, 2010, with draft allocations for nitrogen and phosphorous. EPA anticipates issuing sediment allocations on August 15<sup>th</sup>. EPA plans on holding public meetings in the fall, with a comment period on the draft TMDL to be conducted from September 24 to November 8.*

As previously reported, Deputy Secretary Hines held a public discussion regarding the PA WIP, which has led to the formation of workgroups that are reviewing information related to plan development. Workgroup meetings are on-going. Additional discussion opportunities will also occur at DEP Chesapeake Bay Advisory Committee meetings.

Two-Year Milestones: At a Chesapeake Executive Council (EC) meeting in 2009, the seven Bay jurisdictions (Maryland, Virginia, Pennsylvania, Delaware, West Virginia, New York and the District of Columbia) agreed to establish short, two-year goals called milestones. The first set of milestones announced at the 2009 EC meeting are set to be met by December 31, 2011. By meeting these and future milestones, the Bay jurisdictions will put in place measures necessary for a restored Bay no later than 2025.

Presidential Executive Order on the Chesapeake Bay: The same day as the 2009 EC meeting, President Barack Obama signed an Executive Order that declares the Chesapeake a national treasure and increases the federal commitment to restoring the Bay. Highlights and goals of the Executive Order include: Establishment of a Chesapeake Bay Federal Leadership Committee (FLC); direction to EPA to fully use its Clean Water Act authorities; reduction of water pollution from federal property; development of a Bay climate change strategy; improvement of agricultural conservation practices; and expansion of public access. The Federal Leadership Committee will oversee the development and coordination of reporting, data management and other activities by agencies involved in Bay restoration. The committee is chaired by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency and includes senior representatives from the federal departments of Agriculture, Commerce, Defense, Homeland Security, Interior, Transportation and others. A website with updates on the federal activities related to the Order can be accessed at <http://executiveorder.chesapeakebay.net/default.aspx> . The final strategy *was released on* May 12, 2010.

**Chapter 105 Regulation Revisions:** The Division of Waterways, Wetlands, and Stormwater Management is currently working to revise the Chapter 105 Dam Safety and Waterway Management regulations. The Chapter 105 Wetland program is a very strong program and one of the leading wetland programs in the Mid-Atlantic States. The program is considering regulation revisions that would further strengthen the program in two (2) general areas: 1) the wetland classification system, and 2) the wetland and waterway compensation program. The proposed wetland classification system would include an assessment of natural wetland condition allowing for the classification of high value resources. The proposed waterway compensation program would require applicants to offset waterway and floodway impacts authorized by Chapter 105 authorizations similarly to the way wetland impacts are offset.

The Division is getting input on the revisions from Regional Office staff through meetings and resource agencies and the regulated community through the WRAC Chapter 105 Adhoc Workgroup, which met several times between January 9, 2008 and January 23, 2009. The workgroup reviewed conceptual changes for wetland classification and wetland compensation. Many comments on each topic were shared and discussed. Comments and recommendations from the workgroup on these topics were presented to WRAC at their July 2009 meeting.

WRAC recommended program staff continue moving forward with the regulation revision.

While the Chapter 105 Program staff are continuing work on the regulation revision, they have been placed on hold and are currently focused on proposing new permitting fees and a new submerged land license fee. The proposed fees were shared with WRAC at the May 11, 2010 meeting and the July 14, 2010 meeting for comment. *After a few questions, WRAC motioned for the draft regulations be forwarded to the EQB.*

**Chapter 102 Regulation Revisions:** DEP staff is in the process of revising the Chapter 102, Erosion Control Regulations to ensure comprehensive and complimentary Erosion and Sediment and Post Construction Stormwater Management requirements while referencing appropriate water quality protection and permitting requirements. Revisions to the regulations include requirements addressing post construction stormwater management, antidegradation analysis and buffers. DEP staff has conducted presentations on the regulation revision process to various DEP advisory boards and committees, conservation district roundtable meetings and with other interested stakeholder groups. Once the regulated rewrite is complete, DEP will send the draft regulations to its delegated conservation districts for a 60 day review. Staff continues to meet on the draft Chapter 102 regulatory revisions, however, the timeframes for completion of the draft have been pushed back due to some of the proposed sections in Chapter 102 needing more internal discussions and clarifications. We would like to have a draft available for discussion with advisory groups by early summer. We received additional direction and had more internal discussion on some of the outstanding issues that impact moving forward with the draft regulation. The committee will meet twice the first week of July to continue working on completion of the draft. There have been several more committee meetings conducted to date and more details of the draft regulation continue to get worked through. We anticipate a few more meetings before we are prepared to go before the various advisory committees with a solid draft. The committee is working with Dana Aunkst's staff to ensure consistency and coordination between the proposed Chapter 102 draft and the proposed Chapter 92a draft, since both regulations deal with NPDES permitting. The committee continues to push forward with the Chapter 102 draft. We anticipate going before WRAC and the Ag. Advisory committee in April, 2009 with the completed draft. There were three WRAC meetings in April where the Chapter 102 draft regulations were discussed, as well as discussion of the draft at the April Agricultural Advisory Committee meeting. The Chapter 102 proposed rulemaking was approved by EQB. The next step is to obtain approval from the Governor's Office and the Office of Chief Counsel. Three public meetings/hearings were conducted in September and October. The proposed rulemaking was published in the Pennsylvania Bulletin and the comment period closed in November, 2009. There were over 1,300 commentators. Program staff are currently working on the final rulemaking, comment and response, and associated documents. The Department presented a summary of the comments and anticipated actions in response to the comments at the February meetings of WRAC and AAB and the March WRAC meeting. *The final regulations were presented to the EHB in June and were accepted. The final regulations were then presented to the Independent Regulatory Review Commission (IRRC) at their meeting on June 17. The regulations were accepted by IRRC by a vote of 4 to 1. The standing committees of the House and Senate elected to exercise their right to a 14-day review period of the regulations. The 14-day review period passed without action from either committee, so the regulations were deemed approved by the committees. Currently, the regulations are at the Attorney General's office. The office has 30 days to act upon the regulations, although more time for review may be requested. It is anticipated that with a favorable outcome throughout the remainder of the review process, publication of the regulations as final could happen in September, with a 90-day effective period, making the regulations effective by the end of the year.*

**Post Construction Stormwater Delegation Agreement:** DEP staff has developed a draft Post Construction Stormwater Delegation agreement to offer conservation districts the opportunity to review Post Construction Stormwater Management plans, in an effort to expedite the NPDES permit review process. The draft delegation agreement is currently under review. DEP met with conservation district representatives on February 8, 2008 to review the draft delegation agreement. DEP *went* before the State Conservation Commission at their March 13, 2008 meeting, requesting approval to delegate this program to conservation districts. On March 13, 2008, the State Conservation Commission unanimously approved the Post Construction Stormwater Management Delegation Agreement. The final agreement was emailed to all conservation districts statewide for their consideration. *Since that time, eight agreements have been received and have completed the multiple-agency signatory process (Chester, Columbia, Jefferson, Lehigh, Monroe, Pike, Washington, and Westmoreland Counties) and one agreement is currently in moving through the process (Berks County).*

**Proposed Permit for Oil and Gas Activities:** In response to the United States Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) rulemaking and the effect of the federal Energy Policy Act of 2005, DEP *issued* an "Erosion and Sediment Control General Permit -1 (ESCGP-1) for oil and gas activities that disturb five acres or greater at one time over the life of the project. This permit *applies* to earth disturbance activities for oil and gas exploration, production, processing, treatment operations or transmission facilities (oil and gas industry). The added protection gained through this permit will ensure that proper best management practices (BMPs) will be planned, implemented and maintained for erosion and sediment control and post construction stormwater runoff from these activities. In addition, this approach is an incentive for the operator to minimize the disturbed area and restore the area promptly after completion of the well or installation of the pipeline. Copies of the permit, permit application, and instructions have been sent to the DEP regional offices and county conservation districts statewide. The Division of Waterways, Wetlands, and Stormwater Management *conducted a number of training sessions for varied audiences throughout the state through early spring 2009.* A few of the conservation districts in the southwest and northeast regions have reported some improvement in the quality of erosion and sediment control plan submittals from some of the consultants who attended the training. DEP staff provided this training on the following agenda items: erosion and sediment control overview of new permitting options, wastewater, water management plan to include water withdrawal and module overview and impoundments.

**General NPDES Construction Permit (PAG-2) Renewal** The PAG-2 authorizing the discharge of stormwater during construction activities was extended until December, 2008. The Division of Waterways, Wetlands, and Stormwater Management has developed a draft of this permit and anticipate it being published in the Pennsylvania Bulletin for comment in September. On December 13, 2008, the PAG-2 six-month extension notice appeared in the Pennsylvania Bulletin, extending the current PAG-2 until June, 2009. Division staff will be working on the draft final PAG-2 over the next few weeks in preparation for publication in the Pennsylvania Bulletin for comments. On February 27, the request for publication was sent to DEP's Policy Office. With other program priorities such as Chapter 102 revisions and permit by rule, a request was made to the DEP Policy Office the week of April 29 to grant a second extension to the PAG-2. The current PAG-2 is due to expire June, 2009. This second extension would move the expiration deadline to December, 2009. The extension for the PAG-2 was granted and notice was published in the Pennsylvania Bulletin that the PAG-2 was extended until December, 2009. The revised PAG-2 was published in the Pennsylvania Bulletin in December, 2009. This authorization will be good thru December, 2011.

---

## **Waste Management**

---

### **American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA).**

**Leaking Underground Storage Tank ARRA:** Under the ARRA, \$200 million is provided for Leaking Underground Storage Tank (LUST) cleanups, nationwide. EPA has awarded a grant in the amount of

\$6,163,000.00 to Pennsylvania to conduct cleanups at LUST sites where a private party is unable to perform the work or has abandoned the site. *DEP is performing corrective action work under the Storage Tank laws and regulations at 69 eligible sites where properties owners have voluntarily agreed to provide DEP access. As of June 30, 2010, DEP contractors have completed approximately \$3.5 million worth of work (57%) and DEP expended approximately \$2.7 million (44%).* The grant term expires on September 30, 2011.

**Superfund ARRA:** Under the ARRA, \$600 million is provided to EPA for federal superfund projects. EPA has chosen to use ARRA funds at two Pennsylvania sites, the Havertown Pentachlorophenol (PCP) Site, located in Haverford Township, Delaware County and the Crossley Farms Site, located in Hereford Township, Berks County. EPA is using ARRA funds in the amount of \$4.2 million at the Havertown PCP site and \$6.478 million at the Crossley Farms site. EPA initiated action at the Havertown PCP site in November 2009 and expects to complete construction in the spring/early summer 2010. EPA expects to initiate construction at the Crossley Farms site in July 2010. Pennsylvania is obligated to pay 10% of the cleanup costs at these sites according to the superfund statute and regulations (there is no exemption from this requirement under ARRA). The Commonwealth's share will come from the Hazardous Sites Cleanup Fund.

**Solid Waste Advisory Committee:** The Department presented the draft final rulemaking on the Beneficial Use of Coal Ash at the May 27, 2010 SWAC meeting. The Solid Waste Advisory Committee unanimously approved the request to submit the draft final regulation, Chapter 290 - Beneficial Use of Coal Ash to the Environmental Quality Board as a final rulemaking, requesting that consideration of comments provided during the meeting be addressed.

The Committee unanimously approved moving the Draft Final Rulemaking Petition to the Hazardous Waste Regulations for Disposal of Wastewater Treatment Sludge Filter Cake (Waste Management Disposal Services of PA), as presented at this meeting to the Environmental Quality Board as a final rulemaking.

**Storage Tank Advisory Committee:** *A quorum was present at the June 8, 2010 STAC meeting and following review and discussion of the draft final Uniform Environmental Covenants Act (Chapter 253) regulations, the STAC voted to approve the rulemaking as written for presentation to the Environmental Quality Board (EQB) as final rulemaking. There were 8 votes in favor, 1 opposed, and 1 abstention.*

*With regard to the Land Recycling Program (Chapter 250) draft final rulemaking, the STAC approved the rulemaking as written for presentation to the EQB as final rulemaking on a vote of 6 in favor, 3 opposed, and 1 abstention.*

---

## **Land Recycling Program**

---

*The Uniform Environmental Covenants Act (UECA) regulations were approved by the EQB on December 15th 2009. The EQB also approved the proposed revisions to Chapter 250 on December 15th 2009. Both packages will be open for a 30 day comment beginning March 6th.*

---

## **Energy Initiatives**

---

**PA Energy Development Authority:** *The Pa. Energy Development Authority program is reviewing applications for its current solicitation of approximately \$20 million, including approximately \$2 million to be reserved for projects within the Duquesne Light Service territory. The maximum grant amount will be \$1 million. Funding for the program is provided by the Environmental Stewardship Fund, the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act and the Duquesne Light legal settlement with Pa. PUC. Applications were only accepted through the eGrants on-line grant system at <https://www.grants.dcnr.state.pa.us/>. Winners will be announced at the Aug. 27 meeting of the PEDDA Board of Directors in Harrisburg.*

**Alternative Fuels Incentive Grant (AFIG) Program:** *The Alternative Fuels Incentive Grant (AFIG) Program opens for applications July 19. Applications are being accepted through the eGrants on-line grant system at <https://www.grants.dcnr.state.pa.us/>. The solicitation closes August 27. The AFIG program was established in 1992 under Act 166. Act 178 was passed in 2004, resulting in two new programs designed to stimulate the production and use of biodiesel and ethanol in the commonwealth. The Alternative Fuels Incentive Fund receives about \$5 to \$6 million annually from the General Fund. The amount received is equal to 0.25 mills of the utilities gross receipts tax collected during each fiscal year. AFIG was established to promote the production and use of alternative fuels, alternative fuel vehicles, and related transportation technologies to reduce air emissions, utilize indigenous fuels, and create economic development.*

**Nitrogen Tire Inflation System Grant:** *The Fiscal Year 2010 – 2011 Nitrogen Tire Inflation System Grant Program opened July 1. This program provides Pennsylvania automotive service providers with 50 percent matching grants of up to a \$5,000 reimbursement to purchase and install a nitrogen tire inflation system. Filling tires with nitrogen helps improve vehicle fuel economy - reducing the consumption of imported oil - and potentially extends vehicle tire wear over time.*

*The program's guidelines and application package is available at:*

*[http://www.portal.state.pa.us/portal/server.pt/community/alternative\\_fuels\\_incentive\\_grant/10492](http://www.portal.state.pa.us/portal/server.pt/community/alternative_fuels_incentive_grant/10492)*

*Grants provided are also listed on the program's website.*

---

## **Mining/Reclamation/AMD Issues**

---

**Reclamation Fee:** The final rulemaking was published in the Pennsylvania Bulletin on August 30, 2008. . <http://www.pabulletin.com/secure/data/vol38/38-35/1585.html> The regulation established an adjustable reclamation fee to provide for the on-going Operation and Maintenance (O & M) Costs for the (now defunct) Alternate Bonding System bond forfeiture discharge sites. The fee calculated for 2010 is \$0. This is based primarily on the fact that the fund has about \$4 M and the regulations require a minimum of \$3 M. A second factor is that all of the required treatment systems have not yet been constructed. It is anticipated that the fee will be increased substantially in the future as more facilities come on line. Preliminary analysis suggests that the fee for 2011 may remain at \$0. This is primarily the result of the fact that there has been more revenue than anticipated, from the reclamation fee while it was in effect in 2009 and civil penalties. Also, expenses have been lower than anticipated. Good progress is being made in systematically approaching the problem, with designs underway for many of the treatment facilities.

**Third 5 Year Report:** The University of Pittsburgh commenced work on report preparation in February 2009. University researchers have compiled most of the information needed for impact assessment from Department files and databases. Researchers have created a geographic information system (GIS) that is used in establishing relationships between study period mining and overlying properties, structures, streams, water supplies and other surface features. In addition to the Department's data, researchers have reviewed reports prepared by the Center for Public Integrity as a means of gathering additional information on mining-related impacts and landowners' views regarding those impacts. The researchers are currently engaged in evaluating the data that has been gathered. University biologists have visited stream sites where mining related impacts were reported and performed independent biological assessments of many of the sites. In November, DEP directed researchers to perform biological assessments of several additional streams, which the researchers were unable to sample between the award of the contract and the end of May. (Under the applicable scoring protocol, macroinvertebrate sampling must be performed between October and May) Researchers experienced several unanticipated set backs in correlating information from various sources and, as a result, are running behind schedule. Based on revised projections, the delivery date for the final report has been pushed from May to July 2010. *Major portions of the report have been delivered by the contractor for preliminary review.*

**Beneficial Use of Coal Ash at Mine Sites:** The mining program continues to work with the Bureau of Waste Management to address the comments received in response to the draft coal ash regulations (Chapter 290).

**Proposed Rulemaking-Coal Permit Fees:** DEP presented a proposed rulemaking package to the MRAB at the October meeting. This package included fees for coal mining permit applications. The MRAB objected to the fee amounts and recommended that the rulemaking proceed with out the fee revisions. In the meantime, BMR is working with the MRAB's Regulation, Legislative and Technical Committee (RLT) to establish a fee approach that is in line with recommendations the MRAB made at the January meeting.

On April 22, the MRAB made a recommendation that the Department prepare a rulemaking package that includes permit fees that would generate about \$400,000 per year. This rulemaking package is being assembled. *The rulemaking package has been prepared and will be routed for approval soon.*

**Noncoal Program Fees-**preliminary work has commenced for fees to support he noncoal mining program. The fees have been calculated to support the program costs (about \$3M). Outreach meetings have been held to explain the reason for the fees and how the amounts were calculated. *The proposed fees were approved at the June EQB meeting.*

**NPDES Permitting for mine sites-***The mining program has focused its attention on improving the documentation for NPDES permit reviews. This is necessary due to recent initiatives by EPA and OSM. Efforts will focus on dealing with the conductivity/TDS requirements and reasonable assurance of meeting the state water quality standards. EPA plans to conduct a permit quality review for NPDES permits for mine sites in 2010.*

---

## **Office of Homeland Security**

---

*The Protection Steering Committee met on May 6, 2010 and the Energy and Environment Working Group Chair briefed the members of the steering Committee on the Level 3 criteria for Critical Infrastructure/Key Resources. The Energy and Environment Working Group focuses on Energy, Dams, Water and Wastewater Treatment and Nuclear Power Plants. In addition, the DEP Energy Office is collaborating with the PUC and PEMA under a Department of Energy Grant to develop an Energy Emergency Plan and an Energy Assurance Plan for the Commonwealth.*

*The Director of the Bureau of Energy Innovation and Technology Deployment will be briefing the Governor's Preparedness Interagency Emergency Management Committee this month at PEMA*

---

## **Environmental Education**

---

### **Environmental Education Grants Program (EEGP)**

*Contracts for the 2010 round are in process for full execution. Grantees are submitting benchmarking to EE staff. The events/products report for this round is in draft form.*

**Outreach:** Environmental Education outreach activities include the following:

- *July 8 – 9 Trailer Exhibits  
Cranberry Community Days*



- July 13 – 14 Ag Educator Conference (PAAE)  
Huntington HS

**Workshops Conferences and Board Meetings:**

- June 22 Energy Education Workshop  
NWP Collegiate Academy
- June 29 Energy Education Workshop  
Dickinson College
- July 13 Energy Education Workshop  
HACC Center for Sustainability

**Upcoming Outreach Events:**

- July 22 Energy Exhibits  
Exploring Climate Change Workshop  
Pittsburgh Regional Center for Science Teachers  
Powdermill Nature Preserve

**Other EE Initiatives:**

The EE Director is working with the Pa Department of Education (PDE) and the EE Advisory Council to revise Environment and Ecology Academic Standards and develop standards assessment content. The Standards Assessment System (SAS) is the new initiative under PDE to further refine concepts, competencies, essential questions and vocabulary within academic standard areas. The goal of SAS is to provide school districts with a common framework for continuous enhancement and improvement of formal curriculum.

The Director is working with other agencies and organizations to enhance career opportunities through the Governor’s Science, Technology, Engineering and Math (STEM) initiative. Regional networks have been developed and a STEM Center will be established. The STEM initiative meshes well with DEP’s alternative energy technologies related programs.

The Pennsylvania Center for Environmental Education (PCEE) Board has been reestablished as a provision of the new EE Act. DEP’s Director of EE serves as Board Chair. PCEE is located in Slippery Rock, PA and is funded and administered by the State System of Higher Education. The center serves as a clearing house and resource tool for formal and non-formal educators throughout the state.

**Falcon Outreach/Education:**

*Continuing Falcon Wire postings and email exchanges*

**EP Draft Technical Guidance Documents**

<b>Draft Date</b>	<b>Document Title</b>	<b>Comment Deadline</b>
6/1/2010	<i>Air Quality Permit Exemptions</i>	7/18/2010

**i. DEP Regulations and Policies: Proposals Open for Comment**

**PA Bulletin  
Publication  
Date**

**Proposal or Regulation**

**Comment  
Deadline**

---

*None*

**DEP Regulations and Proposals Recently Finalized**

**PA Bulletin  
Publication  
Date**

**Proposals or Regulations**

---

*None*