

**Attachment 6**  
**PNDI and Agency Coordination Status (11/20/15)**

SPLP has corresponded with the state and federal agencies administering protected threatened/ endangered species under a large project PNDI information request (Table 1). Specifically, large Project PNDI packages were submitted to the Pennsylvania Department of Conservation and Natural Resources (PADCNR), Game Commission (PGC), and Fish and Boat Commission (PAFBC), as well as the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) on December 11, 2013 for the proposed Pennsylvania Pipeline Project (Project) under the previous name of Mariner East 2 (Tab 6A). A 1,500-foot buffer area was applied to the Project to ensure adequate coverage for slight shifts in the Project’s limit-of-disturbance. Upon receipt of the agencies’ initial responses (Tab 6B), species-specific survey plans were developed and implemented in accordance with the agencies’ requests or additional agency coordination was completed as necessary to clarify the requests and/or identify project changes. In most cases the direct impact will be avoided, however if the agency requires any pre-construction, in-construction, or post-construction stipulations of reaching a no-impact determination then those will be outlined with in species- or group of species-specific conservation plans. These conservations plans will be implemented by the SPLP to ensure sensitive species are avoided, protected, and the potential for harm is minimized.

**Table 1: Agency Coordination Summary**

<b>Agency</b>	<b>Initial Large Project PNDI Submittal</b>	<b>Initial Large Project PNDI Response</b>	<b>Additional Coordination</b>	<b>Project Meetings</b>
USFWS	11-Dec-13	19-Mar-14	1-Apr-14, 9-Apr-14, 22-Apr-14, 6-May-2014, 28-May-14, 5-Aug-14	29-Apr-14
PGC	11-Dec-13	14-Mar-14		23-Apr-15
PA FBC	11-Dec-13	27-Jan-14; 21-May-14	11-Mar-15	4-Mar-15
PA DCNR	11-Dec-13	30-Jan-14	Reroutes 13-Mar-14	16-Apr-15

The following provides a brief summary of the various actions taken to satisfy agency requirements regarding species of concern identified along the proposed Project. In addition, Table 2 presents the locations and survey/reporting status for the species identified by each agency.

**PA DCNR**

The PA DCNR response dated January 30, 2014, identified Areas of Concern (AOCs) for various plants along the entire buffer area and provided shapefiles of these AOCs. An additional inquiry was sent to PA DCNR regarding some potential reroutes on the Project and additional AOCs were provided in another response letter received on March 13, 2014. A meeting was held with PA DCNR on April 16, 2015 to discuss the previous year’s survey results and planned upcoming surveys.

Based on the habitat requirements and phenology of the various species identified, teams of qualified botanists have been surveying the AOCs and have documented/recorded the presence or absence of target species for each area. Surveys were started in April 2014 and were complete in August 2015 evaluating all the species identified in the AOCs provided. Following the completion of field surveys, the results were presented to the DCNR in a November 4, 2015 request for effects determination that included a supporting survey report and conservation plan.

To date, the DCNR has not commented on the request. Through continued coordination with the PA DCNR, SPLP expects to reach a no impact determination from the PA DCNR. Any commitments made by SPLP during this coordination will be stated with the PA DCNR determination letter and mirrored within the conservation plan. These commitments may include measures such as fencing and/or top soil segregation.

## **PGC**

The PGC response identified the Indiana bat, Allegheny woodrat, Eastern small-footed bat, Northern harrier, Northern long-eared bat, silver-haired bat, and bald eagle as species of concern in the Project area. PGC also indicated that the Project may cross potential bat hibernacula. The PGC deferred comments/coordination to the USFWS on the Indiana bat and bald eagle, and later deferred comments on the Northern long-eared bat to the USFWS when the species was listed as Federally Threatened in May 2015. The response letter also included mapping of proposed survey areas for the Allegheny woodrat and Eastern small-footed bat and an area with restrictions due to the potential presence of the Northern harrier. No activities were required for the bald eagle.

In response to the PGC's survey requirements, a team of experienced biologists surveyed the requested areas for the Allegheny woodrat and Eastern small-footed bat: survey areas for these two species often overlapped. Surveys were started in June 2014 and were completed in April 2015. Survey results were submitted to PGC in July 2015. PGC has reviewed the survey results and has requested that Mitigation plans be completed for both the Allegheny woodrat and Eastern small-footed bat. The plans are expected to be submitted in November 2015.

Surveys for the bat species started in May 2014 and were completed in June 2015 (refer to Table 2). Mine portal and cave field location surveys began in August 2014 and were completed in September 2014. Bat netting surveys of appropriate features began and were completed in October 2014. These survey results were provided in a report submitted in September 2015.

Through continued coordination with the PGC, SPLP expects to reach a no impact determination from the PGC. Any commitments made by SPLP during this coordination will be stated with the PGC determination letter and mirrored within project conservation plans. These commitments may include measures such as fencing, time of year restrictions, habitat construction, and/or biological monitoring.

## **PAFBC**

The PAFBC response dated January 27, 2014, identified the timber rattlesnake, freshwater mussels, fish, Eastern redbelly turtle, and bog turtle as species of concern in the Project area. The PAFBC deferred comments on the bog turtle to the USFWS in the response letter. The response letter included coordinates of survey areas for the timber rattlesnake and also provided specific water bodies of concern for the mussels and fish species. PAFBC also provided direction for areas to survey for the Eastern redbelly turtle in Chester and Delaware counties which included large, deep streams, rivers, ponds, lakes and wetlands. An additional letter was received on May 21, 2014 that included some additional survey areas for the timber rattlesnake. Additional coordination included a meeting held with PAFBC on March 4, 2015 to discuss initial survey results and planned upcoming surveys. An additional email response regarding timber rattlesnake surveys was received on March 11, 2015.

In response to the PAFBC's survey requirements, a team of experienced biologists surveyed the requested areas for the timber rattlesnake beginning in May 2014. Surveys were completed in early July 2015. The results were presented to the PAFBC in a survey report and species protections out-lined within a conservation plan submitted in August 2015.

A team of experienced biologists surveyed appropriate areas in Chester and Delaware County for Eastern redbelly turtle habitat. Following the completion of field surveys, the results were presented to the PAFBC in a Report submitted in October 2015.

SPLP received two no impact determination response letters from the PAFBC, one on September 22, 2015 for timber rattlesnakes and one on October 26, 2015 for freshwater mussels, fish, and Eastern redbelly turtle. The PAFBC determination letters included measures such as fencing, time of year restrictions, preconstruction surveys, and biological monitoring. These measures will be mirrored within project conservation plans.

## **USFWS**

The USFWS response dated March 19, 2014, identified the Indiana bat, Northern long-eared bat, bog turtle, and migratory birds as species of concern and a number of follow-up conversations were held by phone (Table 1) for further clarification. The Northeastern bulrush was identified as an additional species of concern on April 1, 2014, and a field meeting occurred on April 29, 2014 to review some wetland areas to determine suitability for bog turtle habitat and the need for Phase II surveys.

In response to USFWS survey requirements, qualified Biologists have been surveying for Indiana and Northern long-eared bats, bog turtle, and Northeastern bulrush. Indiana and Northern long-eared bat surveys began in May 2014 and continued during the netting season through June 2015. These survey results were provided in a Report submitted in October 2015. Mine portal and cave field location surveys began in August 2014 and were completed in September 2014. Bat netting surveys of appropriate features began and were completed in October 2014. These survey results were provided in a Report submitted in October 2015. A *Myotis* Conservation Plan was also submitted in October 2015 for Indiana and Northern long-eared bats.

Bog turtle surveys began in December 2013 and were completed in August 2015. These survey results were provided in a Report submitted in October 2015. A Bog Turtle Conservation Plan was also submitted in October 2015. Northeastern bulrush surveys began in August 2014 and were completed in August 2015. These survey results were provided in a Report submitted in October 2015. A Northeastern Bulrush Conservation Plan was also submitted in October 2015.

Through continued coordination with the USFWS, SPLP expects to reach a not likely to adversely affect determination from the USFWS. Any commitments made by SPLP during this coordination will be stated with the USFWS determination letter and mirrored within project construction plans. These commitments may include measures such as fencing, time of year restrictions, and/or biological monitoring.

Agency	Species	Location	Survey Status	Impact Request Submittal	Conservation Plan	Clearance Received
USFWS	Indiana bat/ Northern long-eared bat	Mist netting from Delmont, Westmoreland County to Twin Oaks, Delaware County	Completed	Submitted October 2015	Yes, in USFWS review	Pending
		Mine Portals and Cave surveys and netting of select areas reviewed via PASDA data and Geology (Washington County to Delaware County)	Completed			
	Bog turtle	Cumberland, York, Dauphin, Lancaster, Berks, Delaware, Chester	Completed	Submitted October 2015	Yes, in USFWS review	Pending
	Northeastern bulrush	Cambria, Blair, Juniata, Perry, Huntingdon	Completed	Submitted October 2015	Yes, in USFWS review	Pending
PGC	Indiana bat/ Northern long-eared bat	Defer to USFWS	NA	NA	NA	NA
	Allegheny woodrat	Indiana, Cambria, Blair, Huntingdon, Juniata, Perry, Cumberland	Completed	Submitted July 2015	In prep in response to PGC no impact measures	Pending
	Eastern small-footed bat	Cambria, Blair, Huntingdon, Perry	Completed	Submitted July 2015	In prep in response to PGC no impact measures	Pending
	Northern Harrier	Cumberland	NA	NA	NA, no impact expected	Pending

	Silver-haired bat	Pennsylvania Statewide (with other bat surveys)	Completed	Submitted September 2015	Not required, no impact	NA
PA FBC	Bog turtle	Defer to USFWS	NA	NA	NA	NA
	Eastern redbelly turtle	Chester, Delaware County (large streams, rivers, large ponds, lakes, standing water wetlands)	Completed	Submitted October 2015	In prep in response to PAFBC no-impact measures	October 26, 2015
	Timber rattlesnake	Indiana, Cambria, Blair, Huntington, Juniata, Perry, Cumberland	Completed	Submitted August 2015	Yes, FINAL	September 22, 2015
	Mussels	Huntington (Aughwick Creek), Juniata (Tuscarora Creek), Cumberland (Connodoguinet Creek)	Not needed due to HDD/Bore	NA	Not required, no impact	October 26, 2015
	Fish	Washington/Allegheny (Monongahela River), Cambria (Little Conemaugh River)	Not needed due to HDD/Bore	NA	Not required, no impact	October 26, 2015
PA DCNR	RTE Plants	Indiana, Cambria, Huntington, Blair	Completed	Submitted November 2015	Yes, in DCNR review	Pending

USFWS = US Fish and Wildlife Service

PGC = PA Game Commission

PA FBC = PA Fish and Boat Commission