Requirement E

PASPGP-5 Reporting Criteria Checklist



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION **BUREAU OF WATERWAYS ENGINEERING AND WETLANDS**

<u>D</u>	EP USE ONLY
	Non-Reporting
	Reporting

PASPGP-5 REPORTING CRITERIA CHECKLIST

The following questionnaire must be completed (instructions on pages 1-6) and submitted as part of all DEP

Chapter 105 applications / registrations to determine the appropriate Federal Pennsylvania State Programmatic General Permit-5 (PASPGP-5) review procedure. Incomplete submissions, including lack of certification signature, will be returned.							
Applicant	Applicant / Project Name: Shell Pipeline Company LP/Falcon Ethane Pipeline Project County(s): Beaver						
SECTIO	ON A: I	ELIG	IBILITY DETERMINATION				
YES 🗌	NO 🛚	1.	Does the proposed work associated with the Single and Complete Project temporarily and/or permanently impact greater than 1.0 acre of waters and/or wetlands, and/or result in the loss of greater than 1,000 linear feet of stream channel(s)?				
YES 🗌	NO 🛚	2.	Is any of the proposed work located waterward of the ordinary high water mark (OHWM) of any of the ineligible waterbodies identified in the instructions?				
YES 🗌	NO 🛚	3.	Has any portion of the proposed work been denied a DEP Chapter 105 Permit, a State Water Quality Certification as required under Section 401 of the Clean Water Act, or a Coastal Zone Consistency Determination?				
YES 🗌	NO 🗵	4.	Does the proposed work result in the diversion of more than 10,000 gallons per day of surface water or groundwater into or out of the Great Lakes Basin (Lake Erie Watershed)?				

SECTIO	ON B: I	REP	ORTING ACTIVITY DETERMINATION				
YES 🗌	NO 🛚	1.	Does the proposed work associated with a Single and Confeatures, permanently and/or temporarily impact greater than 0 including jurisdictional wetlands?				
YES 🗌	NO 🛚	2a.	Does the application/registration include any proposed Single impacting greater than 250 linear feet of streams, rivers, or other				
YES 🗌	NO 🛚	2b.	Is the Single and Complete Project for stream bank stabilizenhancement and propose impacts of greater than 500 linear fee	· ·			
YES 🗌	NO 🛛	2c.	Does the Single and Complete Project qualify for DEP GP-1 for F	Fish and Enhancement Structures?			
YES 🗌	NO 🛚	2d.	Is the project covered by a programmatic State Water Qualit conditioned upon receiving approval by- the Environmental Review				
YES 🛚	NO 🗌	3.	Does the application/registration include any Single and Comple conversion of greater than 0.10 acre of forested and/or shrul regulated activity?				
YES 🗌	NO ⊠	4.	If the application/registration is for a utility line, do any of the si the United States, including jurisdictional wetlands, exceed 500 li				
YES 🛚	NO 🗌	5.	Is this application/registration to place a buried utility line in water utility line will run parallel to or along a stream bed?	ers and/or wetlands in a manner that the			

SE	СТІС	ON B: I	REP	ORTING ACTIVIT	Y DETERMINA	ATION (CONT)	
YES	S 🗆	NO ⊠	6.	Department of the A	rmy authorization	has been issued through an Ir	mplete Project whereby a previous adividual Permit, a Nationwide Permit, ctivity? If YES, please complete the
Ī	Au	thorizati	on	Authorization	Date	Federal Pe	rmitted Impacts
	714	Type	011	Number	(mm/dd/yyyy)	Wetlands	Waters
ŀ							
YES	S 🖂	NO 🗆	7a.		in waters of the		erally listed, proposed, or candidate eet of these listed waterways? See
YES	S 🖂	NO 🗌	7b.	Species Act (ESA) could include PNDI	"no effect" determ language stating "	ination from the Corps? Doci	WS, or a Section 7 of the Endangered umented clearance from the USFWS n a USFWS letter the language must sted species."
YES	S 🗌	NO ⊠	8a.			Federally regulated wetlands ns for list of counties.	in a county that requires bog turtle
YES	S 🗆	NO 🗌	8b.	determination from	the Corps? Docur N IMPACT"; or, if	mented clearance from the U in a USFWS letter the langu	FWS, or a Section 7 ESA "no effects" SFWS could include PNDI language age must indicate "no effect" or "the
YES	S 🖂	NO 🗌	9a.	Does the completed conflict(s) for a Fede			OI) search receipt identify a potential
YES	S 🖂	NO 🗌	9b.	through signing of th	ne PNDI receipt, to		7 ESA clearance, or do you agree, easures identified on the PNDI receipt
YES	S 🗆	NO 🗵	10.	Is the proposed work associated with maintenance dredging of a reservoir's design storage including the removal of accumulated sediments? This corresponds to activities authorized pu Section 7 of the Dam Safety and Encroachments Act (DSEA), 32 P.S. § 693.1, et seq., and the regulations promulgated there under in the PA Bulletin (codified at 25 PA. Code, Chapte 105.131(c)).			s to activities authorized pursuant to S. § 693.1, et seq., and the rules and
YES	S 🖂	NO 🗌	11a.	Form as part of the	application/registra	ation, have you included the r	of a Cultural Resources Notification esponse letter from the State Historic eum Commission (PHMC) with your
YES	S⊠	NO 🗌	11b.	Has the PHMC dete permit area?	rmined that archa	eological or other cultural reso	ources are believed to exist within the
YES	S 🖂	NO 🗌	11c.	If yes for 11b, have effect" determination		low-up documented clearance	e from PHMC, or a Section 106 "no
YES	S 🗌	NO 🗵	12a.		I by Congress as		and Scenic River System or in a river ole inclusion in the System? See
YES	S 🗌	NO 🗌	12b.		ch river that the pr		deral agency with direct management sely affect any Wild and Scenic River
YES	S 🔲	NO 🖂	13.	Does the proposed p	project require the	preparation of an Environmen	tal Impact Statement?
YES	s 🖂	NO 🗌	14.			or area of indirect impact (se located within the Commonwo	condary impact) extend across state ealth of Pennsylvania)?

SECTION B: REPORTING ACTIVITY DETERMINATION (CONT)								
YES [□ NO 🗵	15.	Is the proposed work authorized pursuant to DEP Chapter 105 permits in conjunction with coal and non-coal mining permits issued by the DEP District Mining Offices (Bureau of Mining and Reclamation), including activities authorized pursuant to Chapter 105 Waiver 4 [25 Pa. Code §105.12(a)(4)] and GP-101 and GP-102]?),	
YES [⊠ NO □	16.	Is the proposed work associated with the construction of a Mitigation Bank or In Lieu Fee site developed to meet the requirements of the Corps and EPA April 10, 2008 Compensatory Mitigation for Losses of Aquatic Resources: Final Rule?					
YES [□ NO ⊠	17.	Will the proposed work alter, use, build upon, attempt to possess, or that may harm or impair any existing or proposed Corps Civil Works project, and any Corps-owned or managed property?					g
YES [□ NO ⊠	18a.		ngle and Complete al subdivision or de		e construction or exp	pansion of a residential, commercia	al
YES [NO □	18b.					oundary that are not proposed to b ide wetland acreage: 20 acres.	е
YES [NO ⋈ 18c. Are you proposing to protect the wetland area(s) through a deed restriction or conservation easement follows the Corps' Model Protective Covenant?			iction or conservation easement that	at			
YES [□ NO⊠	19.	Does the pro 1 year?	oposed work tempo	orarily impact water	s and/or wetlands th	nat will remain in place for more tha	n
YES NO 20. Do you have any other pending applications/registrations with the DEP or Corps that this total proposed project to function and meet its intended purpose? If YES, information.								
-	Application / R Number /			Project Name	Date of Submittal to DEP (mm/dd/yyyy)	DEP / CCD Reviewing Office	Corps Reviewing Office	
- -								
YES □ NO ⊠ 21.		Hope, Penn	isylvania.) and/or t	he Lehigh River (the U.S. Route 202 Bridge in New Francis E. Walter Dam, located in ne 30?		
YES [NO ≥ 22a. Does the proposed work occur in any of the waters listed in the instructions?		ctions?					
YES [NO	22b.	Will you com	nply with all of the id	dentified conservati	on measures?		

SECTION C: CERTIFICATION					
certify that the information provided on this form is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and information. If any of the information and/or plans is found to be in error, falsified, and/or incomplete, your Chapter 105/PASPGP-5 authorization/verification may be subject to modification, suspension, or revocation in accordance with applicable regulations.					
Stephel 6	11/9/17 Date				
		tephen Van Stone Attorney-In-Fact			
Stephen Van Stone Name Typed or P		Anomey in Fuel			

IMPERVIOUS SURFACE DATA FOR CORPS					
YES ☐ NO ☒ 1a. Is you Chesto	r project located in the er, Lancaster, or York	e Corps of Engineers Philadelphia Distric County?	t (Delaware River Watershed), or in		
YES NO 10. Does imper	your project propose impervious surfaces within waters and wetlands? If YES, provide area of vious surface within the footprint of waters and wetlands: 6,779.23 ○ square feet				
YES NO NO Co. Does any part of your project propose the removal of any impervious surfaces? If YES, provinger impervious surface being removed: square feet					
	ermanent wetlan ugust 2018.	nd impact removed in			
	;	FOR DEP USE ONLY			
DEP / District Manage	er Signature		Date		
Name Typed or P	rinted		Permit Number		

Requirement F

Bog Turtle Habitat Screening

(This requirement is not applicable due the Project's location in counties where bog turtles do not occur)