	1	Green - action has been compl					ered minor obstacles					l a serious bar		
Action			Responsible Party(ies) and	Geographic	Expected	Potential Implementation Challenges or		Resources <u>Avai</u>	lable			Resource Suggested	ces <u>Needed</u>	Suggeste
#	Description	Performance Target(s)	Partnerships	Location	Timeline	Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Source	Financial	Source
Priori	ty Initiative 1: Coun	ty Programmatic Initiati	ves											
1.1A	Implement County Comprehensive Plan policies and actions	Development directed to established growth areas; limited development and protection of natural resources in established rural areas Develop a process to calibrate the land use changes on the landscape to long-term land use change prediction in CAST Catalogue annual ordinance updates Catalogue actions that occur at municipal level	CCPC, CCPD, municipalities	Cumberland County	Ongoing	Need to level the municipal knowledge base on the interplay between land use and water quality, updating local plans and ordinances, growth areas not consistent with Census Urbanized Areas and their contributing storm sewersheds, limited resources to address diverse needs from east to west	Education, outreach GIS analysis for long-term land use prediction Ordinance and action cataloguing	1 CCPD staff person 1 County GIS staff person 1 CCPD staff person	N/A	N/A	Local planning coordinatio n	4 Municipal staff (two in east, two in west), planning commissio ns	N/A	N/A
1.1B	Implement Cumberland Plans Program (CPP)	Countywide municipal comp plan and ordinance conformance with 2017 comp plan vision Encourage municipalities to review their ordinances through this program to address topics such as resource overlay districts, required parking reductions, climate mitigation, warehouse landscaping and lawn reduction	CCPC, CCPD, municipalities	Cumberland County	Ongoing	Municipal investment in reviewing/updating comp plans and ordinances	Education, outreach, ordinance review, comp plan review	1 CCPD staff person	\$100k (county grant 50%, municipa I 50% match)	СРР	Local planning coordinatio n	4 Municipal staff (two in east, two in west), planning commissio ns	N/A	N/A

						Potential		Resources <u>Avai</u>	<u>lable</u>			Resourc	ces <u>Needed</u>	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source
1.1C	Model ordinances (SWM)	Ag E&S/NM plan local requirement (plans need to exist, local muni doesn't review) – trigger mechanism (building permit); collect by muni, pass onto CD for input into Practice Keeper – stormwater permits for ag engineers – boilerplate BMP design options	CCPD, CCCD, municipalities	Start with select municipaliti es where stormwater fees exist (Monroe Twp, Silver Spring Twp, Upper/Lowe r Allen Twp)	Ongoing	Municipalities understanding the value of these actions, provide support on data sharing to keep the paperwork burden low, opportunity reduce review time if boilerplate BMP designs are widely adopted by practitioners	Receive plans if submitted through SBD/LD process	1 CCPD staff person	N/A	N/A	Plan review capacity Practice Keeper data input/mana gement Building Permit Approval Process Update/Trai ning	1 Additional Bay Technician 1 CCCD staff person 1 staff person per municipalit y	\$100,000 per year \$60,000 per year Variable based on municipalities willing to participate and whether or not it can be incorporated into existing job description	Increased Conservati n District budget
											Boilerplate BMP design developmen t	Consultant		
1.2A	Implement County Farmland Preservation Program	Total preservation farm goal 30,000 acres by 2030 900 ac/year to reach commissioners' goal	CCPD, PDA, municipalities	Ag land use area that fits farmland preservatio	2020- 2025	Operator/landowne r acceptance, additional staff capacity	Overall program management	1 CCPD staff person		Cumberla nd County	Program managemen t support	1 CCPD administrat ive/outrea ch person		Cumberlar County
				n criteria			Easement purchase	1 CCPD staff person	\$750,000 PER YEAR	Cumberla nd County	Easement purchase	1 CCPD administrat ive/outrea ch person	\$2,250,000 PER YEAR (PRESERVATIO N) + \$60,000 per year for staff	PDA

Green - action has been completed or is moving forward as planned - action has encountered minor obstacles Red - action has not been taken Potential **Resources Available** Responsible Implementation Action Party(ies) and Geographic Expected **Challenges or** # Description **Performance Target(s)** Partnerships Location Timeline **Recommendations** Technical Source Financial Sour N/A 1.2B Implement County Hire a shared contract CCPD, NRCS Countywide Annual 50% match to NRCS Staff training NRCS N/A **Farmland Preservation** employee with NRCS (soil contract contribution, WQ Project Shovel conservationist) to perform (Oct 1 – training, onboarding Readiness planning activities on Sept 30) time, planner preserved farms that comply certification with NRCS standards Pre-inspection form data improvements **1.2C** Farmland preservation Increase scoring criteria for CCPD, PDA, Countywide 2021 O&M partnership Guidelines update Ag Land N/A N/A program incentives preserved farm candidates for buffers, ag plans, Preservation Agricultural recommendation enhancement that implement WQ BMPs BMP verification, Board Land Preservation provide more Include preserved farm plans Board, LFT incentive based on and BMPs in PracticeKeeper the implementation  $\rightarrow$  ~190 farms of BMPs on site (beyond baseline compliance), would be a means of 1 CCPD staff, Explore prioritization of those Priority farms on the preservation getting farms recommendations Lancaster waiting list that need BMPs preserved with all , easement Farmland and are willing to implement the required BMPs revision Trust them if proceeds above and in place recommendations beyond land value are simultaneously. , supplemental provided; include research on BMP funding supplemental BMP funding research sources with preservation priority.

s encountered	a serious barr	ier	
	Resourc	es <u>Needed</u>	
Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source
N/A	N/A	\$20,000 PER YEAR	NFWF, Growing Greener
		\$20,000 PER YEAR	NRCS
Guideline updates	PDA	Assume \$50,000 per farm	CEG, NFWF, GG
Practice Keeper data input/mana gement	1 CCCD staff person	\$60,000 per year	Increased Conservatio n District budget
Legal support	Land use attorney consultant	Assume \$10,000 budget	American Farmland Trust, PDA
	Technical N/A Guideline updates Practice Keeper data input/mana gement Legal	ResourceTechnicalSuggested SourceN/AN/AM/AN/AGuideline updatesPDAPractice Keeper data input/mana gement1 CCCD staff personLegal supportLand use attorney	TechnicalSourceFinancialN/AN/A\$20,000 PER YEARN/A\$20,000 PER YEARSubstriation\$20,000 PER YEARGuideline updatesPDAAssume \$50,000 per farmPractice Keeper data input/mana gement1 CCCD staff person\$60,000 per yearLegal supportLand use attorneyAssume \$10,000

						Potential		Resources Avai	lable			Resour	ces Needed	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source
1.2D	Implement Land Partnerships Grant Program – scope = farmland preservation, water resource management, parks, trails and greenways	Implement Cumberland County Land Partnerships Plan by preserving priority conservation areas, riparian buffers, ridgeline, steep slopes and other sensitive natural features. Promote landowner adoption of conservation practices.	CCPC, Land Partnerships Grant Review Committee, municipalities, watershed associations, non-profit conservation organizations,	Countywide	2021	Recreation project focus historically, water quality and land preservation promotion needs on the short-term	Program promotion, application review	1 CCPD staff person, 1 CCCD staff person	\$4,000 (2021)	Act 13 Marcellus Shale Legacy Fund (\$200,000 available)	N/A	N/A	\$4,000 (2021)	DEP
		Conservation landscaping – 0.25 ac per year	CPC and other land trusts										TBD	DCNR Lawn Conversion Grant Program
		Preserved forest/ environmental sensitive land – 20 ac per year											TBD	DCNR C2P2 Grant funding
1.2E	Implement the Cumberland County Hazard Mitigation Plan – to be adopted by municipalities in 2021	Municipal adoption (2021) Green infrastructure implementation ordinance guide (2022 at the earliest)	Municipalities, County and local emergency services, PEMA, FEMA	Countywide – floodplains	Ongoing	Timely adoption by municipalities, funding for large scale projects of meaningful result with small storm water quality benefit	Plan implementation team	1 CCPD staff person	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
1.2F	Implement the Cumberland County Local Climate Action Plan – to be developed in 2021	Public participation – plan development (survey, meetings) Green infrastructure policy recommendations County facility energy efficiency results	Carlisle Borough, other municipalities, CCPD, educational institutions – Dickinson College and Shippensburg	Countywide	2022 – plan horizon to be determine d	the initiative,	Plan development team	1 CCPD staff person, educational institutions, ICLEI, DEP	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD

						Potential		Resources <u>Avai</u>	lable			Resour	ces <u>Needed</u>	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source
1.2G	Implement Return on Environment (ROE) Reports	Utilize data as part of clean water communications Help Penn Township carry out recommendations and landowner outreach training Identify priority areas for implementation and assess municipal interest in further ROE applicability.	CCPD, CCCD, Penn Township, South Mountain Partnership, Audubon, Ag Land Preservation Board	Countywide and Penn Township	2021	Implementation partner need	Overall planning guidance	1 CCPD staff person	N/A	N/A	Distill data down to layperson language Boilerplate BMP design and ordinance amendment	1 County Communic ations Departmen t Township engineer and solicitor	Project budget as landowners have interest	South Mountain Partnership funds, Land Partnership s Program
1.3A	Countywide Water Quality Credit Offset Pilot	Demonstrate measurable success of a pilot project area where MS4s and non-MS4s can collaborate on sediment and nutrient goals	Lower Allen Township, South Middleton Township, MS4 Workgroup, Homebuilder Association, Chesapeake	MS4 watershed HUC12	2021	DEP acceptance of approach	Engineering/MS4 permit requirement coordination	HRG (CAP coordinator)	N/A	N/A	For implementa tion, if the pilot works, 1 FT MS4 Coordinator , 1 PT ag Coordinator	Rural/subu rban township staff	\$150,000 per year	Municipality stormwater fees or general fund
			Bay Foundation (policy/advoca cy)				Project opportunity ideas	Municipal engineers, farmland preservation orgs			Project design and constructio n	Municipal engineers, consultant s	Unknown until concept is developed and cost- savings are calculated	Growing Greener, PennVEST, CFA Act 13 grants, NFWF
1.3B	Countywide Stream Restoration Bundling Pilot	Bundle stream restoration design and construction activities to more cost effectively meet MS4 requirements	MS4 Workgroup	MS4 watershed HUC12 scale	2022- 2025	Municipality acceptance of approach, technical capacity of private sector to handle glut of stream restoration projects toward end of MS4 permit cycle, opportunity for more efficient permitting reviews rather than one application package per project	Review PRPs for logical bundling packages	HRG (CAP coordinator)	N/A	N/A	Engineering /constructio n	Municipal engineers/ RFPed contractor	Assume \$2,000,000 project budget scale	PennDOT, Growing Greener, municipal match

						Potential		Resources <u>Avail</u>	able			Resour	ces <u>Needed</u>	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggester Source
1.4	Water Quality Communication Plan	Develop messages and audience; execute plan and distribute messaging through staff	County Communicatio ns Department	Countywide	2020- 2025	Staff bandwidth, consistency (type and frequency of messages)	Communications Plan Assistance Developed by county staff with input from internal planning team	DEP/Water Words that Work County Communicati ons Department	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$5,000 (tabling materials, brochures)	DEP Block Grant, NFWF
1.5A	Chesapeake Bay Technical Inspection/ Plan Data Gathering	Collect data regarding existing farm plans and implementation progress 50 farms per year → 200 farms through 2025	CCCD	Ag land use area	2021- 2025	Farmer knowledge of the location and contents of their plan, obtaining copies of existing plans to determine if they are up to date	Site visits and plan reviews	CCCD	\$200,000	DEP	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
1.5B	Other Plan Data Gathering	Collect data regarding existing farm plans and implementation progress ~45 farms per year → 170 farms through 2025	CCCD, LFT, Ag Consultants, NRCS	Ag land use area	2021- 2025	Farmer knowledge of the location and contents of their plan, obtaining copies of existing plans to determine if they are up to date	50 farm visits per year Existing plans data sharing	LFT (CAP coordinator) NRCS (with landowner permission)	N/A	N/A	Existing plan data	Farm Consultant s	Could need financial assistance after 2021	Growing Greener, NFWF Technical Assistance
						date	sharing Request/review plans as part of farm support							

						Potential		Resources <u>Avai</u>	lable			Resourc	es <u>Needed</u>	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source
rior	ty Initiative 2: Achiev	ve New Pollutant Reduc	ctions											
2.1A	Existing BMP cataloguing (quantity and location) for select BMPs, expanding on general recommendations provided in QAPP BMPs = forest buffers, urban forest buffers, grass buffers, urban grass buffers, wet ponds and wetlands, fencing	Expand use of existing buffer layer with urban hydrology layer R&D into distinguishing ag, pasture, and turf covers from grassed buffers Manual digitizing where leaf- off <1 ft resolution imagery is available to capture ag BMPs that are visible Back check with staff field views where required Add data to Practice Keeper or another batch upload option (FieldDoc)	Lead - Chesapeake Conservancy Stakeholder peer review - PSU, USGS, Farm Bureau, PDA, LFT, EPA	Cumberland County	2021 – cataloguin g 2022 – Practice Keeper batch upload processin g and field views	EPA acceptance of the approach, further refine guidance in QAPP so that counties can accomplish this or so that the state can take the burden off of counties, utilize the approach to catalogue existing BMPs and do on the ground verification where required for reporting purposes, this is an accelerated BMP catch up approach while we continue to provide support to farmers on planning and BMP installs, reduce the amount of interruption of government entities to compliant farm	Precision Conservation Tools General methodology outline BMP field backcheck	Chesapeake Conservancy QAPP Varies by BMP, but likely 1 CCCD staff person, 1 CBF staff person, 1 PSU Extension staff person	N/A	N/A	Further GIS and data processing/ method refinement	Chesapeak e Conservan cy	\$94,000 (2021) – assume similar annual budget while R&D continues on expanded BMP list	DEP/EPA

						Potential		Resources <u>Avai</u>	<u>lable</u>			Resourc	ces <u>Needed</u>	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source
2.18	Identify future ag/urban project opportunities using automated means	BMP opportunity analysis – ag conservation, land retirement, alternative crop, forest conservation, stream restoration Back check with staff field views Batch upload to FieldDoc to calculate credit opportunity	Lead - Chesapeake Conservancy Stakeholder peer review - PSU, USGS, Farm Bureau, PDA, MS4s	Cumberland County	2021 – cataloguin g 2022 – batch upload processin g and field views	Different data set scales/precision	Precision Conservation Tools Batch upload processing BMP field backcheck	Chesapeake Conservancy DEP/SRBC Varies by BMP, but	N/A	N/A	Further GIS and data processing/ method refinement	Chesapeak e Conservan cy	\$44,000 (2021)	DEP
					2023 – 2025 – implemen tation focus			likely 1 CCCD staff person, 1 CBF staff person, 1 PSU Extension staff person						
2.1C	Improve continuous data collection on urban non- structural practices	Add development-related BMPs to CAST/FieldDoc so that as land use data sets are updated, there are accompanying BMPs	NonMS4s/MS4 /muni engineers, MS4 Workgroup, Conservation District, Southampton Township?	Urban/subu rban landscape	2022	Coordination/traini ng for municipal staff, FieldDoc batch opportunity, non- MS4 engagement, difficulty obtaining past information (MS4s typically have databases from 2003-present)	Reporting platform	FieldDoc	N/A	N/A	Training Municipal data input	DEP 1 staff person per developing municipalit Y	N/A Assume \$5000 administrative cost per municipality per year and 7 developing municipalities → \$35,000 per year	N/A Municipal general fund or stormwater fees
2.1D	Implement a documentation program for commercial and homeowner nutrient applications in developed lands	Support fertilizer legislation – where legislation requires reporting, be the data clearinghouse	Cumberland County – TBD, Center for Water Quality Excellence	Countywide	TBD	Education of responsible parties, receiving timely information, training on reporting system	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
2.2A	Additional Technical Assistance to Serve Farm Operators	Hire 1 new in-house engineer/planner	CCCD, NRCS	Cumberland County	2021- 2025	Funding, expertise in labor pool, limited compliance activities by DEP, lack of funding for staff to assist the ag community in a pro- active way	Plan development and BMP design, field verification, troubleshooting	District Engineer, CCCD, USDA- NRCS, Private Consultants	\$200,000 per year	NRCS, CEG	1 engineer, 1 planner, 2 technicians	In-house hires	\$350,000 per year	NRCS – SWAT agreement, additional Conservatio n District budget

Green - action has been completed or is moving forward as planned - action has encountered minor obstacles Red - action has not been taken **Resources Available** Potential Responsible Implementation Action Party(ies) and Geographic Expected **Challenges or** # Description **Performance Target(s)** Partnerships Location Timeline Recommendations Technical Source Financial Sou N/A 2.2B Additional Technical RFP an on-call contractor to CCCD, Mount Rock 2021-Funding, expertise N/A N/A N/A 2023 Assistance to Serve Farm assist with plan and BMP consultants Spring in labor pool, Operators implementation backlog Creek Limited compliance (design, construction) Watershed activities by DEP. Lack of funding for staff to assist the ag community in a proactive way. Schedule lag between interested landowner, connection with consultant to do the work, consultant delivering the plan, follow up with CCCD staff who can assist with plan implementation, design/permitting, construction during schedule that works for landowner DEP (A 2.3 Additional nutrient 560 new/updated plans CCCD, TeamAg, Cumberland 2021-Landowner interest, Plan development TeamAg (DEP \$6,000 2022 management (NM) and developed and added to Private County funding coordinator), per plan planni agriculture erosion and Practice Keeper (ag pres Consultants, private reimb County Farm sediment (E&S) plan program, Bay Technician consultants ment development progress, other outreach) Bureau progra grant 2021) 2023-2025

۱ or ha	s encountered	a serious barr	ier	
		Resourc	es <u>Needed</u>	
irce	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source
	1 engineer, 1 planner, 2 technicians	Consultant	Assume 10 project opportunities in watershed – budget \$1.8 million	Growing Greener, NFWF
Ag ning ourse ram -	Administrati ve (enter information into PK)	DEP	N/A	N/A
	Plan updates after reimbursem ent program expires	DEP	Assume 20 plans per year (\$120,000 per year)	Growing Greener

		Green - action has been comple	eted or is moving	forward as plai	nned <u>Yello</u>	- action has encount	ered minor obstacle	s <u>Red</u> - action	has not bee	n taken or h	as encountered	a serious barr	rier	
			Responsible			Potential Implementation		Resources <u>Avail</u>	<u>able</u>				ces <u>Needed</u>	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source
2.4	Public/private Farm BMP sponsorship program	Utilize REAP program to leverage funding, sponsor farmers who perform BMPs and pay them cash from tax rebates that corporation receives from funding the program	CAEDC, Giant Foods/Ahold, LFT, SCC, The Nature Conservancy, Chesapeake Bay Foundation, PennAg, Capital RC&D	Cumberland County	2021- 2022 initiation	Corporate interest,	Initial coordination	HRG (CAP coordinator)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2.5	Low and no-till cover crop and tillage BMPs	Increase existing County program by 40% by leveraging existing County cost share program, transect survey, more detailed questionnaire information regarding BMP implementation versus cost share coverage	CCCD, NFWF, Capital RC&D	Ag lands in County	2021- 2022 (adjust next steps based on first two years of adjustme nts)	Landowner interest, BMP verification (annual) and paperwork	Means/methods assistance Transect survey	CCCD Capital RC&D	\$54,600 \$27,300	DEP Act 13 NFWF	N/A	N/A	\$27,300 every other year	DEP
2.6	4R Practice Education and Implementation	Transition manure management plans to nutrient management plans and incentivize implementation Increase existing 4R practice (N rate and timing) adoption by 6,000 acres	4R Alliance, Capital RC&D, CBF	Priority HUC	2021- 2022	Landowner interest, BMP verification (annual)	Educational support	4R Alliance/The Nature Conservancy	\$45,000	NFWF	Cost share recommend ations/equi pment/soft ware	Consultant	\$50,000	DEP
2.7	Conservation Landscape/Turf to Meadow Conversion/Watershed Forestry Initiative	Promote new program and enable three large tract landowners' participation (20 acres of conversion per property, 60 acres total; assume 190 acres occur in Cumberland through other initiatives) Address weed ordinance changes in target municipalities so that conservation landscape can be adopted by landowners	Alliance for the Bay, CCPC, DCNR, Western PA Conservancy, CBF, Pheasants Forever, Audubon, Master Watershed Stewards, Lower Allen Township, South Middleton	Urban/subu rban landscape in Cumberland County	2021- 2022	Landowner education and acceptance	Planting plan assistance	CCPD, Alliance for the Bay (in- kind)	\$50,000 for lawn conversi on (forest or meadow)	2021 DEP Block Grant	N/A	N/A	\$5,000 per acre (forest), \$3,700 per acre (meadow) Lawn Conversion PLUS Program \$300,000 (budget for all forest)	DCNR

				Po										
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Resources <u>Avai</u> Source	lable Financial	Source	Technical	Resourc Suggested Source	es <u>Needed</u> Financial	Suggeste Source
# 2.8A	Description Riparian buffer and re- forestation BMPs	Performance Target(s)Partner with Chesapeake Bay Foundation to plant 100,000 trees (portion of 500,000 trees that will be RFPed for growers)17 acres - 2 farms per year – forest buffers with fenced pasture43 acres - 3 farms per year – grass buffer with fenced pasture50 riparian forest acres – CREP CLEAR 30 renewal, CBF, Alliance for the Bay support/lead42 acres – grass buffers155 acres – urban forest buffer (19 stream miles) – 5 miles per year (22 acres in PRPs)250 acres – urban forest planting – target one large landowner in urbanized area	Partnerships CBF, CCCD, Alliance for the Bay, CCWA, YBWA, Mountain Creek Trout Club & Conservation Society, Cumberland Valley Trout Unlimited, LFT, Pheasants Forever	Location Cumberland County	Timeline 2022 – line up landowne rs 2023- 2025 – implemen tation	Recommendations Landowner partnerships, landowner education, volunteer acceptance of grass buffer plantings, grass buffer maintenance guide for farmers, routine site visits to confirm buffers are thriving, invasive species removal during establishment	Technical In-kind, annual tree sale efforts Buffer my Stream/Prime Prospects data set Landowner outreach, buffer design, planting plan, 10 Million Trees tree supplies Landowner outreach/educati on Implementation – planting	Source CCCD DCNR CBF LFT (CAP coordinator) Volunteers	Financial CREP	Source	Technical Parcel- specific BMP recommend ation and contact info Implementa tion – design, equipment, materials	Source Restoratio n Reports	Financial \$50,000 \$2.8 M budget	DCNR, NFWF DCNR, NFWF, PACD, TreeVitali DEP, Coldwate Heritage Partnersh Implemen tion Gran Foundatio

Green - action has been completed or is moving forward as planned - action has encountered minor obstacles Red - action has not been taken Potential **Resources Available** Responsible Implementation Action Party(ies) and Geographic Expected **Challenges or** # Description **Performance Target(s) Partnerships** Location Timeline **Recommendations** Technical Source Financial Sour NRCS/ 2.8B Wetland restoration 48 acres implemented by NRCS Cumberland 2025 If additional Design, permit, NRCS TBD Ρ implementation on 2025, currently programmed County landowner interest, construction marginal production ag and likely no more because of it takes years to get land the lead time needed to get NRCS funding  $\rightarrow$ the projects planned and would need to funded identify an alternative funding source; bog turtle habitat co-benefit may assist us with funding opportunities/techn ical assistance N/A 2.8C Non-urban stream 4,000 feet restored with CBF, CCWA, Mount Rock 2021 -Design/permit/cons Habitat structures TU/PAFBC/U N/A SFWS restoration floodplain restoration scope YBWA, Spring identify truction cycle seems that includes water quality Creek qualifying Mountain to work in two-year credits associated with Stream Creek Trout Watershed project increments Restoration Expert Panel -Club & locations Protocol 3 Conservation 2022 -Society, Cumberland funding Valley Trout solicitatio Unlimited, n American 2023-Rivers 2025 – design/pe rmit/cons truction pending funding award 2.8D Operation and Pair up MWS volunteers w/ Volunteer Cumberland 2021 -Volunteer training, Technical DCNR N/A N/A Maintenance new buffers to incentivize establish guidance group, County liability, Performance landowner acceptance MWS materials/equipmen contractor, Master team to t funding Volunteer Master Watershed maintenance Watershed provide Stewards, CBF referrals labor Stewards volunteer hours

or ha	as encountered	a serious barı	rier	
		Resourc	ces <u>Needed</u>	
r <b>ce</b> ΈQI	Technical N/A	Suggested Source N/A	Financial N/A	Suggested Source N/A
	Design, permit, constructio	Private sector, USFWS, TU	Assume \$450/LF - \$1.8 M for total	Growing Greener, NFWF
	n services		project delivery, not O&M	
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

						Potential		Resources <u>Avail</u>	able_			Resour	ces <u>Needed</u>	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source
2.9	Implement more prescribed grazing measures	40 acres per year – new and newly reported (160 acres total)	NRCS, Capital RC&D, CCCD	Cumberland County – landowners who raise horses, dairy, beef	2025	Not widely adopted today, existing funding through Capital RC&D that can be used to kickstart efforts	Landowner education	NRCS, Capital RC&D	Crop to grazing conversi on funding	CBF/Capit al RC&D/RC PP Grant	N/A	N/A	1 grazing plan per year (\$4000 each) - \$20,000 total planning budget 3000 LF of fencing per year – Assume \$4.50/LF - \$13,500 per project or \$67,500 total project budget	NRCS
2.10	Animal waste management BMP implementation for livestock	Goal = 40% of farms with livestock have appropriately sized waste management (~18,500 AUs or about 100 farms, 25 farms per year newly reported or newly constructed)	CCD, SCC, NRCS, CEG Program, LFT	Livestock farms	2021- 2025	Current capacity through NRCS and CCCD implements about 5 projects per year; Time to get through planning, design, and construction; outreach to smaller farms that likely need the assistance; match cash value for small farms; readiness to plan/implement projects when outreach efforts yields willing landowners	Project implementation – 2 farms/year Project implementation – 3 farms/year	NRCS	TBD	EQIP	Design, permit, constructio n services	Private sector	Assume 5 projects per year, \$130,000 each, \$650,000 per year, \$3.25 M total	Growing Greener, NFWF, PennVEST, private capital

Green - action has been completed or is moving forward as planned - action has encountered minor obstacles Red - action has not been taken Potential **Resources Available** Responsible Implementation Action Party(ies) and Geographic Expected **Challenges or** # Description **Performance Target(s) Partnerships** Location Timeline **Recommendations** Technical Source Financial Sour Mapping challenges, N/A 2.11 Implement more 40 acres of treated area, CCCD, Livestock 2021-N/A \$1,568,0 CEG barnyard runoff 2025 assuming we map 200 more Chesapeake farms typical logistics 00 per control/loafing lot and build 20 more (5 farms Conservancy, regarding year, management/ag per year) LFT landowner buy-in \$7.84 M stormwater management and project total development/fundi \$316,250 2021 C ng 3 farms Block leaky Grant barnyard S Operatio 2021-2.12 Manure treatment Track Dickinson College CCCD, Central What if project Facility operation Dickinson Dickins Dickinson Cumberland 2022 College technology digester/composting project doesn't College nal for dry ton treatment College County complete/operate budget according to design specifications when fully implemented? Implement the Cold CCCD Complete habitat study Cumberland LeTort 2021 Connect goals of Plan writing \$5000 Coldwa 2.13A Water Conservation Plan Valley Trout Spring conservation plan to Heritag for LeTort Spring Unlimited, Watershed CAP goals Partne Headwaters CCCD р Implement recommended 2022-2.13B Implement the Cold Cumberland LeTort Designs for TU/PAFBC Average Coldwa Water Conservation Plan 2025 BMPs from plan and habitat Valley Trout Spring habitat \$8,000 Herita for LeTort Spring Unlimited, Watershed restoration Partne study per year CCCD Headwaters per p Prog project 2.14 MS4-related Pollutant Plan implementation by end Cumberland Urbanized 2023 Municipal capacity Municipal Municipalitie Unknow Munici **Reduction Plan** of current permit term County MS4 planning to implement plans engineer s, public n value budge Implementation municipalities (technical, financial) design/permit, works (taxes, area Stay up to date with MS4 construction departments storm readiness Workgroup on their successes er fees and needs grants

n or ha	s encountered	a serious barr	ier	
		Resourc	es <u>Needed</u>	
rce	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source
DEP	Consultant for program managemen t and project delivery	RFP	\$219,000 per year, \$1.1 M total	DEP
ison ge	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
vater age ershi	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
vater age ershi gram			\$ Match	CVTU Partnership s and Grants
cipal ets s, nwat es, s)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

						Potential		Resources Avai	lable_			Resourc	ces <u>Needed</u>	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	-	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source
2.15	Wet Pond/Wetland Installation	Identify 1 large property owner from University of Vermont restorable wetland layer to install and preserve a 300-acre wetland	Wildlife for Everyone?	Priority watershed (ag or non- ag)	ID landowne r (2021) Funding (2022) Design/pe rmit (2023- 2024) Constructi on (2025)	Landowner participation, permitting, funding	GIS mapping Outreach	CCPD Watershed group based on prospective location, CCCD	N/A	N/A	Design, permitting, constructio n	Private sector	\$1,000,000	US Endowment for Forestry and Communitie s, DCNR, PA F&B, private capital
2.16	Impervious surface reduction project	Identify a blighted area that could be converted to a park (3-acre area) Report 15 acres reduced through PRP implementation	Cumberland County Housing and Redevelopmen t Authority and municipalities	Carlisle Borough?, river- bordering Boroughs?, Newville and connect to Cumberland Valley Rail Trail?	ID landowne r (2021) Funding (2022) Design/pe rmit (2023- 2024) Constructi on (2025)	To enhance buy-in and identify co- benefits to water quality, will need municipalities to define project priorities for the blighted property designations. Would need to do outreach/build support ahead of parcel targeting.	Mapping	CCPD	?	Municipal PRP Implemen tation Budget	Design, permit, constructio n	Private sector	\$3,000,000	CDBG (potentially , DCNR, FEMA
2.17	Continue dirt and gravel road program	Restore 0.75-mile per year, 19,800-feet overall	CCCD	County Wide	2025	Continue D&G Road program funding	Education, technical assistance, project oversight	CCCD, Center for Dirt & Gravel Road Studies, State Conservation Commission	\$225,000 per year	State Conservat ion Commissi on	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

		Green - action has been comp	leted or is moving	forward as plan	nned <u>Yello</u>	- action has encount	ered minor obstacle	s <u>Red</u> - action	has not bee	n taken or h	as encountered	l a serious bar	rier	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Resources <u>Avail</u> Source	<u>able</u> Financial	Source	Technical	Resour Suggested Source	ces <u>Needed</u> Financial	Suggester Source
2.18	Utilize stormwater fee credit programs (where they exist) to collect existing farm BMP information	Catalogue farm BMP information collected by municipalities with stormwater fees	Silver Spring Township	Silver Spring Township	2021	Policy is new so education to farming community will be key	Plan review/Township staff training Data collection Practice Keeper input	CCCD Silver Spring Township MS4 Coordinator Chesapeake Bay Technician	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
		toring, Verifying, Resea							-	-				
3.1A	Enhanced local monitoring program	CAST-21 acknowledgement of our data Expand monitoring based on Corridors of Opportunity area monitoring gaps	ALLARM/Dickin son College, Shippensburg University, CCWA, YBWA, CVTU, fly fishing outfitters	Countywide	2021- 2022	Consistent data collection, QAQC continuation	Sample collection	ALLARM volunteers ALLARM staff	N/A	N/A	Sample Collection for new sites identified through CAP implementa tion	ALLARM volunteers	N/A	N/A
3.1B	Enhanced local monitoring program – Mount Rock Spring Creek Watershed	Set up HUC-12 level watershed monitoring program in focus watershed so that as BMPs are installed, we can monitor water quality changes	ALLARM/Dickin son College, Shippensburg University, CCWA, CVTU	Mount Rock Spring Creek Watershed	2020- 2021	Consistent data collection, QAQC continuation	\$30,000 intern salary budget QAQC	PSU Harrisburg PSU/ALLARM	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$10,000 EQUIPMENT (2021)	DEP Block Grant
3.2A	Continue farm decision maker trips to showcase BMPs that work	Two trips per year, increase participation by 10% each year	CBF, CCCD, NRCS, Cumberland County Farm Bureau	Cumberland County	Fall and Spring of each year	Promotion to increase participation each year, expand logistics/# of local and Bay trips to allow for increased participation, consider alternative transportation options to canoes if it helps to scale up the initiative	N/A	N/A	Trip coordina tion and field facilitatio n	CBF	N/A	N/A	\$2000 per year to expand resources	PACD Adult Education Non-Point Source Education Grant Private Sector Donation/S ponsorship

						Potential		Resources <u>Avai</u>	lable			Resourc	es <u>Needed</u>	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Geographic Partnerships Location	c Expected Timeline	Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source	
3.2B	Farm outreach town halls	Three per year, increase participation by 10% each year, sign farmers up for plans and project site visits	CBF, CCCD, NRCS, Cumberland County Farm Bureau, LFT	Cumberland County	Winter, Spring, Fall of each year	Promotion to increase participation each year	Coordination	CBF	\$7,000	NFWF	N/A	N/A	\$7,000	NFWF Private Sector Donation/S ponsorship
3.3	Utilize Restoration Reports for landowner outreach	Assist with development of Restoration Reports Train outreach team members on it	South Mountain Partnership, Chesapeake Conservancy, CCWA, YBWA, Mountain Creek Trout Club & Conservation Society, Cumberland Valley Trout Unlimited	Cumberland County	2021	Relevance for target landowners – guide the process to the targeted audiences	Background data to support development of Restoration Reports	Chesapeake Conservancy	~\$2000	South Mountain Partnershi p	Consulting support to build out Restoration Reports for Cumberland County landowners	Chesapeak e Conservan cy	\$10,000	DEP Block Grant, NFWF initiative for watershed approach
3.4	Enhance the capacity of local watershed associations for short- term success and long- term sustainability	Share each other's social media content When developing water quality projects, include them in the scoping/funding process When we utilize/obtain funding for outreach, reserve some for partner promotional items (hats, shirts, etc.) Encourage project implementation on the watershed level so that these partners enhance their relationships with non-peers with a co-benefit of diversifying their membership	CCWA, YBWA, Mountain Creek Trout Club & Conservation Society, Cumberland Valley Trout Unlimited, Big Spring Watershed Association, Letort Regional Authority, Friends of Opossum Lake, Middle Spring Watershed Association, POWR	Countywide	Ongoing	Continued coordination among a lot of active groups, keep project leads list active so that watershed approach to grant applications can be developed well in advance of submittal deadlines	Social media shares Project development support	County – department to be determined CAP Coordinator (HRG)	Limited match	Watershe d group members hip dues	N/A	N/A	\$5000 per organization to produce promotional materials (hats, shirts, stickers) for members	Add on to a NFWF app where watershed association is engaged in project and there is an outreach/ri bbon- cutting project outcome

Green - action has been completed or is moving forward as planned - action has encountered minor obstacles Red - action has not been taken Potential **Resources Available** Responsible Implementation Action Party(ies) and **Challenges or** Geographic Expected Description **Performance Target(s) Partnerships** Location Timeline **Recommendations** Technical Financial Sou # Source 3.5 Develop a spreadsheet Cumberland 2021 N/A N/A Conservation Excellence Penn State Success of program Farm Business Penn State Grant Planning Tool decision tool or web-based Extension, so that it can be Advising Extension "Turbo Tax"-like tool so a State expanded to other HRG (CAP practitioner or farmer can Conservation counties, support Financial services Center for Water Coordinator) decide what the right mix of Commission, support grant/loan/tax credits is for PENNVEST, Ag Quality Excellence their farm based on their Choice Farm so that funding can Private sector Ag Choice income statement, balance Credit be simpler for banking support Farm Credit sheet, and other factors to be landowners determined

#### Phase 3 Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP) Planning and Progress Template

#### Each county-based local area will use this template to identify:

1. Inputs – These are both existing and needed resources, public and private, to implement the identified priority initiative. These include both technical and financial resources, such as personnel, supplies, equipment and funding.

2. Process – what is each partner able to do where and by when. These are the action items listed under each priority initiative.

3. Outputs and outcomes – both short and long-term. These are the priority initiatives identified by each county. The performance targets are the intermediate indicators that will measure progress.

4. Implementation challenges – any potential issues or roadblocks to implementation that could impede outputs and outcomes.

Asterisk: Place an asterisk next to the action number(s) for action items that appear in both the County Planning and Progress Template and the Programmatic Recommendations Template.

For each Priority Initiative or Program Element: Use the fields, as defined below, to identify the inputs and the process that will be followed to achieve each priority initiative. This is the "who, what, where, when and how" of the plan:

**Description** = What. This may include programs that address prevention, education, or as specific as planned BMP installations that will address the Priority Initiative. A programmatic or policy effort will require some ability to quantify the anticipated benefits which will allow calculation of the associated nutrient reductions.

**Performance Target** = How. This is an extension of the Description above. The Performance Target details the unique BMPs that will result from implementation of the Priority Initiative and serves as a benchmark to track progress in addressing the Priority Initiative. Performance Targets may be spread across multiple Responsible Parties, Geographies, and Timelines based on the specifics of the Initiative.

Responsible Party(ies) = Who. This is/are the key partner(s) who will implement the action items though outreach, assistance or funding, and who will be responsible for delivering the identified programs or practices.

**Geographic Location** = Where. This field identifies the geographic range of the planned implementation. This could extend to the entire county or down to a small watershed, based on the scale of the Priority Initiative, range of the Responsible Party, or planned funding/resources. NOTE: Resource limitations alone should not limit potential implementation as additional funding may become available in the future.

Expected Timeline = When. Provide the expected completion date for the planned activity. This should be a reasonable expectation, based on knowledge and experience, that will aid in tracking progress toward addressing the Priority Initiative.

**Resources Available: Technical & Funding =** This field will note technical and financial resources secured/available to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the resources identified in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole; or, if available, to each action.

n or has encountered a serious barrier											
		Resourc	es <u>Needed</u>	1							
irce	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source							
	Coding/prog ramming	Center for Water Quality Excellence	N/A	N/A							

**Resources Needed: Technical & Funding =** This field will note technical and financial resources needed/outstanding to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the additional resources projected and identified as needed in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole; or, if possible, to each action.

Potential Implementation Challenges/Issues = This field will note challenges and issues that may delay program implementation (Description).