

June 2020 e-permitting Release Notes

All Program areas

ID	Title	Description
33940	Consultant License number should be validated against EFAC TS during completeness	<p>Various programs have the ability to indicate if they are using a consulting firm for their application. In addition to selecting a firm, they also enter the consultant working for that firm. If the applicant indicates that the consultant is any of the professional 'types' (not OTHER); then a license number is required for that consultant and both the firm and the consultant info are saved to EFAC TS.</p> <p>EFAC TS validates that the license number is valid and if not, the EFAC TS load fails. Therefore, on completeness check we need to validate the license number if the type selected is anything but OTHER for that consultant.</p>
898	Consultant to also receive Correction Notice emails	<p>Consultant should also be emailed the Correction Notice availability.</p> <p>Consultants given access for that permit should be copied on the correction notice email that gets sent to the permittee. this includes consultants given specific permission to an application or those who are considered 'master preparers' and have access to all applications for a specific client.</p>

Available New Features

These new features can be turned 'on' or 'off' by program area. If you would like this feature turned on for your program, please let us know.

Location	Description
External	By program area the Notifications History tab, previously only available on internal, can now be seen by external users. Similar to the Internal e-permitting; when the applicant goes from the Dashboard to the Overview; there will be a tab for Notifications just like internal; they can view/download.
External	By program area the All Attachments tab, previously only available on internal, can now be seen by external users. Similar to internal, when a person navigates from their dashboard to a specific authorization; in addition to the overview tab there would be a tab for All Attachments.

Air Quality

ID	Title	Description
10538	Air Quality - Single Source Determination verbiage updates	Updates to Single Source Determination; the below will completely replace the current module line items

SECTION A.11 – SINGLE SOURCE DETERMINATION			
Is there an existing facility within ¼ mile of your facility?*		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
If yes, answer the following questions*.			
Are there equipment and activities at each facility in the same major industrial grouping?		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
Please specify the classifications for all existing facilities within ¼ mile:			
Do the facilities share equipment and activities?		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
Are there equipment and activities at each facility under the control of the same person or persons under common control?		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
8537	Air Quality - GP5/GP5A - Adding items to Fugitive Emissions Module	Add a Federal Regulations [OOOO, OOOOa, Other] question to the Fugitive Emissions module	
8541	Air Quality - GP5/GP5A - Add line items around Federal Rules	Federal Rules will now be a mandatory question. Add one more check box at the end with "None". If checked, a text box will pop up with "Please describe." The text box will not be mandatory for the user to fill in.	
34985	AQ - GP5/5A - Air Quality - Allegheny and Philadelphia Counties Redirect	Applications for facilities in Allegheny and Philadelphia Counties should NOT be redirected to the regional offices and need to be told to contact the local agency.	
9402	Air Quality - GP5 and GP5a - Reducing agent follow-up line item change	In the SCR Control Details line items there is a question ""Was a reducing agent used?"" with a YES/NO answer. If yes is answered the follow-up is an option to pick the agent used - valid choices are Ammonia and Urea. Beneath that is a follow-up which is only showing if Ammonia is selected "Details of process control used for proper mixing/control of reducing agent with gas steam". That particular follow-up should always show if the reducing agent question is answered YES. In other words -whether Ammonia or Urea are chosen, that details line item should always be present.	
35006	Air Quality - External - Add Notifications History tab to Authorization Overview	This should be available for the entire system with permissions by program area/role to turn it off/on. It should be turned on for Air Quality. Similar to the Internal e-permitting; when the applicant goes from the Dashboard to the Overview; there will be a tab for Notifications just like internal; they can view/download.	
35012	Air Quality - External - Add All Attachments Tab to Overview	Similar to internal, when a person navigates from their dashboard to a specific authorization; in addition to the overview tab there should be a tab for All Attachments.	

BWEW

ID	Title	Description
12552	BWEW - GP-5 - GP Limitations Section	GP-5 Module: The GP Limitations section (Sites where GP-5 does not Apply), does not list all of the areas specifically listed in the General Permit 5 in section #5.

<p>Is the site a historical, cultural or archaeological site as identified in the latest published version of the Pennsylvania Inventory of Historical Places or the National Register of Historical Places? This information is available from the Pennsylvania Historical and Museum Commission.</p> <p>Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input checked="" type="radio"/></p>		
<p>Is the site or impact in a local historic sites officially approved or recognized by a municipality?</p> <p>Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input checked="" type="radio"/></p>		
<p>Is the site identified in the latest published version of the National Registry of Natural Landmarks?</p> <p>Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input checked="" type="radio"/></p>		
<p>Is the site in an area in or within 100 feet of a watercourse designated wild in the National or State Scenic Rivers system in accordance with the National Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968 or the Pennsylvania Scenic Rivers Act? For details on scenic river classifications, contact the DCNR, Division of Greenways and Conservation Partnerships, Pennsylvania Scenic Rivers Program.</p> <p>Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input checked="" type="radio"/></p>		
<p>Is the site in or impact an Exceptional Value Wetland as identified in 105.17?</p> <p>Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input checked="" type="radio"/></p>		
<p>Would the site involve construction activities in stocked trout streams from March 1 through June 15, in wild trout streams from October 1 through December 31, and in Lake Erie tributaries from March 1 through June 15 and from September 1 through December 31? Stocked and wild trout stream locations are compiled by the Commission's Division of Fishery Management.</p> <p>Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input checked="" type="radio"/></p>		
<p>Have you obtained written approval from the Pennsylvania Fish and Boat Commission's Division of Environmental Services to conduct these activities?</p> <p>Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input checked="" type="radio"/></p>		
<p>Is the project located in a Reservoir(s)?</p> <p>Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input checked="" type="radio"/></p>		
<p>Would the project impact any streams or waterbodies designated as Exceptional Value Waters as defined and listed in Chapter 93 (relating to water quality standards)?</p> <p>Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input checked="" type="radio"/></p>		
<p>Is the project located where there would be an impact on species of special concern listed under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, the Wild Resources Conservation Act, the Fish and Boat Code or the Game and Wildlife Code? Records regarding species of special concern are maintained in a computer database called the "Pennsylvania Natural Diversity Inventory" (PNDI). To verify that there will be no such impacts for a specific project, the Department requires submission of the PNDI Form and an initiated search receipt.</p> <p>Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input checked="" type="radio"/></p>		
Note ID	Test Case #	Business Rule/Note
1		Answer of YES to any of these questions initiates a business rule on completeness check with the following message: You have indicated 'Yes' to a site or condition that does not apply to this type of permit. Please return to the Authorization Overview and deselect this type of permit. Failure to do so will not allow you to continue with the submission.
34868	Require a structure/activity be linked to at least one impact	Require that a structure or activity require linkage to at least one impact. Currently impacts are required to be linked to at least one structure/activity but not the reverse.
34873	GP-6 - line item text update	GP-6 Module - Project Validation, when you answer Yes to the site located in an EV water, the paragraph that opens up has a typo. There is an upside down ? That should be a ' (single quote)

Mining

ID	Title	Description
35099	Collateral Details for Mining Bonding Internal and External	Collateral items added to the bond submittal form and then reviewed in internal.
27823	Mining would like the financial guarantee amount restricted to whole numbers and the label to say (dollar amount)	Can we add the word dollar to the line items that ask for an amount? In order to provide the bond necessary to satisfy the requirement for conventional bonding on this permit, we, the Permittee, request a Land Reclamation Financial Guarantee in the dollar amount of. *3 Can these fields be restricted to numeric whole numbers?
31464	Operations and Bonding Module - validation message text update	I tried the completeness check with the Question "The operator has held a coal mining license for at least 5 years?*" answered "No". Is it possible to have the message say which Yes/No question is the issue? Right now the Business Rule Validation doesn't tell the applicant which question in the module is the problem.
31459	Operations and Bonding - removal of extra spaces in line item and implement integer restriction	Note this business rule to get the questions to appear:

		<p>This line item will not be shown if they haven't entered a value >0 in one of the Remining Reclaimed line items from the General Information Module</p> <p>Answer YES to 'are you planning on requesting a RFG/FG shows a bonding amount field that should be limited to integers Also, the LRFG amount should be restricted to integers</p>
33962	Bond Submittal Form - LRFG and RFG items missing and business rules not in place	<p>User reported: I was able to enter bond amounts for surety, collateral, and LRFG but the RFG bond wasn't an option, it should be. Also, Please implement a business rule that the total bond amount entered by the applicant is not more than what is required. Completeness check message: "You have entered bond amounts greater than what is required. Please reduce amount of bond."</p>
30187	Bond Submittal Form for applicant	<p>During the Mining Bituminous review, at a certain point it is time for the applicant to get their bond. The reviewer will start a Bond Request task where they will enter some data and then 'Send Bond Request' button will be clicked. At the time that button is clicked, this module - called the Bond Submittal Form - would be enabled and required for the applicant to complete.</p>

Oil & Gas Subsurface

ID	Title	Description
33813	Interested parties business rule to ensure applicant processes uploaded file	Add a business rule to the completeness check of the Interested Parties module for all subsurface applications. The date/time the .DBF file was processed must be greater than the date/time that the .DBF file was attached.
34245	Add date sent and lead reviewer to correction notice	At the top of the correction notice which the applicant can view; add "Date Sent:" and then the sent date. At the bottom of the correction notice add "Lead Reviewer:" and the name of the lead reviewer
28027	External withdrawal notification language.	First sentence in notification is not capitalized. 'we' should be 'We'.

Oil & Gas Surface

ID	Title	Description
28707	Add Farm name and well number to dashboard	Add Farm name and well number to dashboard
29549	When the Site Location is changed thru a correction notice, it should also delete all notification details that were added to the Act 14 Notifications module	Applicant changed the answer to 'Is this an existing ESCGP-3' from NO to YES. Upon updating/changing this module, it should have deleted all Act 14 Notification details in the module; however, it only deleted one set. All notifications details should be deleted.

32265	Notifications need to be sent to additional individuals in notifications grid	Related to the Production issue, we are incorrectly setting the Sent indicator on e-facts load for any additional notifications added in the notification grid but the notification had not been sent. This is corrected.
37439	Open violations not pulling from e-facts to e-permitting properly	ESCGP3 compliance history and certification module - open violations grid is not pulling in open violations from eFACTS observed for two authorizations:

Storage Tanks

ID	Title	Description
34149	On Approval of edit facility, if new tanks were added, payment for those tanks should be required and then official registration may be downloaded	They submit amendment with a new tank Amendment is approved; instead of a temporary registration they are notified that they should pay the invoice This immediately creates an invoice that can be paid - There should be an amount created; it will be a pro-rated amount from the tank status date to the end of the billing cycle for that region. After payment they can print the final version
34154	Adding a new facility to an existing client	Ability for a user to add a new facility to an existing client. Also, the ability for DEP staff to review this submission.