COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

BUREAU OF DISTRICT MINING

* * * * * * * * *

IN RE: Pierson Rheems Application for Surface Mine

Permit and National Pollutant Discharge Elimination

System Discharge Permit

* * * * * * * * *

PUBLIC MEETING

BEFORE: John Repetz, Chair

Mike Cutney,

Tiffany Folk

Ross Clock

HEARING: February 21, 2024

6:02 p.m.

LOCATION: Rheems Fire Department

350 Anchor Road

Elizabethtown, PA 17022

WITNESSES: Carl Hoss, Meredith Glazier, Paul Rouse,
Patricia Longenecker, Christie Hamilton, Monkia Noll,
Tyler Case, Robert Ricedorf, Beth Molloy, Roger

Greenawalt, Dawn Frizzell

Reporter: Derek Richmond

Any reproduction of this transcript
is prohibited without authorization

by the certifying agency

1					2
2	INDEX				
3	OPENING REMARKS				
4	By Chairman John Repetz	5	_	Ω	
5	STATEMENTS BY:	J		0	
6	Carl Haas	0		10	
7		10			
8		14			
9		17			
10	Christie Hamilton	18	-	19	
11	Monika Noll	19	-	21	
12	Tyler Case	21	-	22	
13	Robert Ricedorf	22	-	24	
14	Beth Molloy	2 4	-	26	
15	Roger Greenawalt	26	_	28	
16	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:	28	-	31	
17	Dawn Frizzell			31	
18	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	31	-	32	
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
-					

			3
1		EXHIBITS	
2			
3			Page
4	Number	Description	Offered
5		NONE OFFERED	
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

PROCEEDINGS

2 | ------

3 CHAIR REPETZ: Okay, everyone, being a 4 minute after 6:00, it's time to get started.

Good evening. Welcome to the
Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection's
public hearing regarding the Pierson Rheems LLC's

8 applications for a Surface Mine Permit and a National 9 Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Discharge

10 Permit through Pierson Rheems Quarry.

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

My name is John Repetz. I am the Community Relations Manager for DEP's South Central Regional Office in Harrisburg and I'll be conducting this hearing on behalf of the staff of DEP Pottsville District Mining Office. I am joined by Mike Cutney, Tiffany Folk, and Ross Clock.

On behalf of DEP, I would like to thank the Rheems Fire Department for allowing us to use their facility here this evening. Earlier this evening DEP conducted a public meeting to provide information on the permit applications and answer questions about the permit review process. We will now move into the formal public hearing portion.

The purpose of this hearing is to receive formal testimony from you, the public, on the

permit applications. All comments this evening will be recorded by a court reporter. A transcript of this proceeding will be created and will become part of the official public record.

The application are available for public review at the Lancaster County Conservation District located at 1383 Arcadia Road, Room 200, Lancaster, PA 17601. That's during regular business hours at 07:30 a.m. To 04:00 p.m. Monday through Friday.

As you enter this evening, those wishing to present testimony were asked to sign up. Speakers will be called in the order in which they did sign up and we ask everyone to observe a five minute time limit to ensure that everyone who wishes to, will have an opportunity to speak. We ask everyone speaking to be respectful of that five minute time limit.

Relinquishing time to other individuals will not be allowed and we ask you to please be concise and stay to the point. After those that had signed up had an opportunity to speak, time permitting, we will then open the floor to anyone else who may wish to provide additional testimony. We did list the end time for this event as 08:00. It may

1 end earlier if everyone who wishes to speak has 2 spoken.

In addition to this evening's testimony, DEP will also accept written comments on the applications. Written comments will be accepted until March 7th of 2024 and can be submitted to R-A, hyphen E-P-, Pottsville D-M-O at P-A.Gov.

The staff here at DEP will not be responding to comments or questions at this time. DEP will consider all comments in the review of the applications before making final decisions. All comments and questions presented orally here tonight or in written form will receive equal consideration and will be addressed in a public comment response document.

We ask everyone to please be respectful of those in attendance this evening and refrain from talking when others are speaking. It will make it easier for everyone to hear what is being said. It will make it easier for the court reporter to record an accurate account for the transcription.

So when your name is called, we ask you to please come forward. Here, I'll hand you the microphone. We ask you to start by stating your name

and then spelling it for the court reporter to ensure the accuracy of the transcription.

So with that we will begin. And the first name on the list is Carl Haas.

MR. Haas: I would ask for just a little bit longer than 5 minutes because I have to stop for air. My name is Carl Haas. I live at 336 Bossler Rd.

Eight months ago in May of last year, the Pierson Quarry in Rheems -. In May of last year, Pierson Quarry Rheems caused my well to go dry.

Pierson never notified me or my neighbors of their liability. If I would have a well problem it was more or less kept a secret.

Consequently, a third party was always required for Pierson to take action to resolve the well problem. Two wells have been affected within the last two years. DEP required Pierson to drill my well deeper. But the water is contaminated. Now, something from bacteria which I have, is like an unparallel of different germs and bacteria. According to the Center for Disease Control I quote, if coliform, if the total coliform count is high, then it is very possible that harmful germs like viruses, bacteria and parasites might also be found in the water.

DEP investigated for eight months and after three failed water tests showed the coliform bacteria. I still have no drinkable water.

DEP is reviewing their decision. If they're not in my favor I will then have to appeal to the Environmental Resource Board. But I'm hoping their reconsideration will find Pierson liable for not getting a pure water according to code. Here's the code. Pennsylvania Title 25, section 77.533. The operator of a design coal mine which affects by surface mining activities in public or private water supply by contamination, interruption or diminution shall restore or replace the effected supply with a source of water adequate in quantity and quality for the purpose of which it is served.

And for my purpose of course, it is human consumption. Where did the bacteria come from? And something the Penn State Water resources told me, my whole case can be the problem because deepening the well can cause ground seals or the casing to become loose underground and chloroform bacteria can follow the casing all the way down to the water supply.

The quarry does not accept responsibility for my contaminated water. Pierson

```
offered me $3,500 as a neighborly gesture, so they
1
2
   wouldn't have to line up for responsibility of
   filing. But I refused the offer because that is a
3
   fraction of my expenses, which amount over $11,000,
4
5
   $4,267 in purification equipment, $3,800 in
6
   maintenance over the next ten years. According to
7
   estimates I received from IMCA, $3,000 minimum in
   daily doctor report provides that I must take figured
   over the next ten years that I must take during -
10
   because of drinking purified water that kills even
11
   good bacteria. I am not even telling the damage from
12
   decreased real estate value because I have checked
   with that agent yet.
13
14
                   Let me end by saying, that activity at
15
   the Quarry did not contaminate my well. But rather RE
16
   Pierson failed to replace my drinking water with an
   alternative source fit for human consumption as
17
   required by the code I read earlier. Thank you.
18
19
                   CHAIR REPETZ:
                                  Thank you. Next on the
20
   list is Meredith Glavier.
```

21 <u>COURT REPORTER</u>: I need your name 22 address, spell please. Go ahead.

MS. GLAZIER: Meredith Glazier.

MS. GLAZIER: Meredith Glazier.

M-E-R-E-D-I-T-H-G-L-A-Z-I-E-R. I have two like short

25 questions that you guys can answer. There are two

homes within a quarter mile of the quarry that have geothermal systems which utilize wells. It's making sure that those wells would be treated same as drinking water wells if they were impacted. People can't go for months without heating or air conditioning.

And also in your quarry and water supply frequently asked question sheets that you pass out within your district at meetings like this, it says if a restored or replaced water supply operation and maintenance costs that exceed the cost associated with the original supply, the mining company is responsible to provide permanent payments of the increased cost. And I'm just wondering why this is not the case for Carl? And will this be the case for future homeowners as well?

The main crux of my speech in 2019,

DEP and USGS conducted PFAS Statewide. The results

were released in 2021. Every surface water location

sampled in northwest Lancaster County contains PFAS.

PFAS is per and poly fluoroalkyl substances, that are
forever emerging contaminated.

Every surface water location sampled in northwest Lancaster County contains PFAS, some at very high levels. Elizabethtown landfill, a superfund

site behind the municipal building, was called out as potential regional source of PFAS. So it's not that far away. Also, the PFAS contamination can also, with the firefighting boom in this area.

In December of 2022, EPA issued guidance to states to issue NDSP storm water permits on their behalf. Pennsylvania is one of those states instructing that if there is reason to spec PFAS contamination, then the sampling protocol for the permit has to include PFAS sample. The draft NPDS permit or Rheems Quarry prepared by popular mining district and released for public comment in the spring of 2023, did not include PFAS.

Neighbors against Rheems quarry expansion, which is our local neighborhood group, sampled with PFAS in the vicinity of the quarry in March of 2022.

Two surface water samples, one to the southwest, one to the southeast of the quarry, were sampled along with an untreated well water sample down the stream of the quarry. Levels exceeding PAVE. Maximum contaminant levels were detected to the southwest. Both surface samples exceed that the EPA proposed MCL of four parts per trillion, which is just infinite to a fault. It's like ---. Basically,

- 1 | EPA is saying any PFAS is bad. Groundwater was not
- 2 detected for PFAS. This mirrors the findings of
- 3 PAPE's peace 2019 report. PFAS is in the surface
- 4 water, but not yet in groundwater in this area.
- 5 Dewatering two to 3 million gallons of water a day
- 6 from the west and south will rapidly transport that
- 7 | contaminant to the groundwater. This is what the
- 8 expansion project proposes.
- 9 Approximately 80 rentals exist in 1
- 10 mile radius of the quarry. The residents are now
- 11 living in fear of not only running out of water from
- 12 home, but also having cancer causing chemicals
- 13 introduced into their homes. Ag operations producing
- 14 hogs, beef, milk, chickens, all utilizing all water
- 15 to feed those animals could make those products
- 16 unsellable.
- 17 Article one, Section 27 of the PA
- 18 Constitution states that the people have the right to
- 19 clean air, pure water, and to the preservation of the
- 20 | natural, scenic, historic and aesthetic values of the
- 21 environment. Trustees of article one, which includes
- 22 the state as well as the municipality, have a
- 23 mandatory obligation to refrain from permitting the
- 24 degradation, domination or depletion of public
- 25 | natural resources. Groundwater instruments are public

- 1 natural resources, even if they're being accessed
 2 from a private property.
- Granting permits taking into account
 the potential for PFAS contamination in wells and
 releases of surface water through deep watering
 activities, and mitigating for all those impacts
 violates article one, section 27 of the PA
 Constitution, and also PA statute Chapter 28, section
 3208, the non-coal mining, Conversion and Reclamation
 Act, which requires guarry operations not cause any
- 12 <u>CHAIR REPETZ</u>: Thank you Meredith.
- 13 Next is Paul Rouse.

water contamination.

- MR. ROUSE: Paul. P-A-U-L Rouse.
- 15 R-O-U-S-E. Just checking was that -. My name is Paul
- 16 Ross, my wife Candace sitting over there and I live
- 17 | at 1610 Landis Road, Mount Joy which is a 50 acre
- 18 small holding farm. Directly downstream from this
- 19 proposed mine. And by downstream, I mean that if it
- 20 rains on this 30 acres, whatever doesn't sink into
- 21 the ground will flow through my property into Donegal
- 22 Creek and down into the bay.
- What concerns me most about this
- 24 proposed mine is sink holes. As you saw in that
- 25 picture it's in the limestone cuts, my property. And

- 1 | I heard from one of the residents who've been here
- 2 for a long time. The old house on our farm, which is
- 3 234 years old, built in 1790, was one of the original
- 4 king's land grabs. I'm not sure if that's true, but
- 5 that's what they say. Certainly, 29 years after
- 6 Michael Gross built the old house, he built the new
- 7 house, which was in 1819, and that has a dry laid
- 8 | foundation on stone.
- 9 As I said, it's on the excess shale
- 10 limestone, and I'm worried that the dewatering
- 11 proposed by the mine will cause the cost to get holes
- 12 in it, and we could have sinkholes. Now, that worries
- 13 me, because these are two of the oldest buildings in
- 14 | the area, and they're pretty historic.
- In fact, when my stepson tried to
- 16 build, did build a house on part of our property in a
- 17 different township, East Donegal Township, which is
- 18 just across the road. One of the conditions of his
- 19 building was that he wouldn't adversely affect the
- 20 best example of federal architecture in the area,
- 21 | which is our new house.
- 22 If there were to be a sinkhole that
- 23 | would damage the building, it would be quite an
- 24 embarrassment to find that it was caused by an avert
- 25 act by a department with protection in its name. I

- tried to tell you, think on insurance. I thought that
 would be an easy thing. You can't get sinkhole
 insurance. There's no such thing. Apparently, what is
 sold as sinkhole insurance is fix the building after
 you fix the hole insurance.
 - So the hole has to be plugged and stabilized at the homeowners or the property owners expense. And that could be incredibly expensive, 100 foot deep hole. Could be. I don't know how many triaxle loads of fill it would be. Plus, you got to stabilize it.

- So what I ask you is, if you decide that, notwithstanding these good people, local objection to the mine, if you decide to give the permit anyway, please, could you put a condition in there that shifts the onus on proving whose fault, the dewatering or the sinkhole, the mine, the welfare and dryers, from us. Because there's no ways we could ever prove that we know that.
- To the mine, to prove that it wasn't them. It would be a lot easier for those of us who can't afford to spend hundreds of tens of thousands of dollars trying to go against these people with deep pockets. We'd really appreciate that if you could do that. Thank you.

1 CHAIR REPEZ: Thank you, Paul.

2 Next is Patricia Longenicker.

MS. LONGENECKER: Patricia

4 Longenecker. P-A-T-R-I-C-I-A-L-O-N-G-E-N-E-C-K-E-R.

5 | Berry Patch Farm Turnpike Road, West Donegal

6 Township.

To follow up with what Meredith shared. From our constitution as trustees of these resources, the Commonwealth shall conserve and maintain for the benefit of all people. Our state has an opportunity to reduce effects on both issues and commit to making residents welfare and well-being a priority in denying expansion of this quarry.

Physical threats are obvious, as we've heard this evening. Groundwater contamination, structural damage to tax paying residents homes, dust, pollutant, emissions. The town of Rheems needs Government to respect their property rights, not award them with mitigation repair systems after damage has denied them fair market price on their investments.

I thank DEP reps' for coming and sharing with these good people. We represent quite a composite of Lancaster County, of our heritage, of doing well justly for each other.

- I am hopeful, with the new attitudes
 in Harrisburg in DEP, under a new Governor, that we
 can see change continue. This is the beginning
 tonight that DEP would have reached out to all of us
 who can be impacted by decision making in Harrisburg
 and elsewhere.
- 7 So thank you from all of us. I'm sure that you have come to show interest in our welfare and our future here. So thank you and thank you to 10 all of you who have stayed course. This is a nice 11 representation tonight. You all could have been home 12 doing something else with family, and you came here. So it's important that we show who we are, the 13 hardworking people who built this community and want 14 15 to keep it. Thank you.
- CHAIR REPETZ: Thank you, Patricia.

 Next is Christie Hamilton.
- MS. HAMILTON: Christie.
- 19 C-H-R-I-S-T-I-E. Hamilton. H-A-M-I-L-T-O-N. Thank
- 20 you.
- 21 So, as you already heard, we have a community here
- 22 that has been suffering related to the quarry. I am
- 23 going to officially ask, on behalf of myself, who
- 24 | lives right on Donovan Drive in West Donovan
- 25 | Township, and behalf of the community that is here,

1 that we ask that you do not approve the pending
2 applications.

my foundation. I have cracks in my walls. I have called about when the blasts occur and they knock pictures off of my wall. I don't think that it is pitting, spanning the quarry is going to help us into any of those areas. Let alone the water supply, which we are all very worried about.

The value of the homes. How do I sell my home when I have a quarry that's expanding and we have all these issues, the sinkhole, et cetera.

I need you to hear us. I need you to see that the community is here. We have filled West Donegal Township meetings to the guild with our community, stating against any expansion of this quarry. But yet we are still here. And we need a voice and we need somebody to support us because we are violating codes, as you heard earlier.

And we are struggling as a small community to survive here where we love. We came here because we love the area. Rheem school is no longer however, our Milton Hershey has now bought that school and is going to be putting little children there. We need to make sure they have safe water to

drink. We need to make sure the school is okay and is not affected by the blast thing.

We can't afford to have more expansion and more blasts. So please help us. Please support us. And I am asking with all heart intent, but we are also violating codes that we do not accept these applications. Thank you.

<u>CHAIR REPETZ</u>: Thank you, Christie.

9 Next is Monika Noll.

MS. NOLL: Monica, M-O-N-I-K-A. Noll. N-O-L-L. I wanted to thank the representatives from DEP, being here 3 hours is quite a long time. As well as everybody who came today. The mission statement of the Department of Environmental Protection Mission is to protect Pennsylvania's air, land and water from pollution and to provide for the health and safety of its citizens through a cleaner environment. We will work as partners with individuals, organizations, governments and businesses to prevent pollution and restore our natural resources.

In my opinion, further expansion of the quarry does not meet any of the elements within the mission statement. You've heard concerns about water quality, wells, foundations. I don't have a well, fortunately. I have foundation issues. We have

- 1 cracks in our foundations that we did not have prior 2 to Pierson coming into the community. We've heard 3 concerns about property value. Sinkhole.
- We have a huge sinkhole on High

 Street. It's not included in your map. It's actually

 a little bit further down. I'm told, well, there's

 not much of an impact because it's further away from

 Rheems, but it's not further away from us. It's not

 further away from Bossler Road.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

- I just have extreme concerns about the neighborhood that the quarry has. I understand from the 05:00 to 06:00 session that once it's approved through the zoning, rezoning process that it's kind of like out of everybody else's hands. But I don't think it is based upon your mission statement. And I would ask you to please reconsider the expansion based upon what your mission statement is and the concerns of our community. Thank you.
- 19 <u>CHAIR REPETZ</u>: Thank you. Next is 20 Tyler Case.
 - MR. CASE: Tyler Case. T-Y-L-E-R-C-A-S-E. I'm a new resident to Elizabethtown. I'm here basically to tell two brief stories. When I came across this issue it immediately spoke to me.

25 Water is arguably one of the most

- 1 undervalued, invaluable resources we have. When it is
- 2 screwed up, it is screwed up for a long, long time.
- 3 It can happen due to any number of circumstances. My
- 4 parents moved their dream home. They have installed
- 5 | not one, not two, but three wells. And they still
- 6 have dry runs and are out of water, just by natural
- 7 circumstances alone.
- 8 My sister moved to the country. They
- 9 are fighting with a drilling company for oil. Her
- 10 water has contaminated. She cannot use it, cannot
- 11 drink it. When they wash their clothes, their clothes
- 12 are staining with oil. This is a scenario that you
- 13 hear time and time again. It isn't a matter of if, it
- 14 is a matter of when.
- The risks do not outweigh the rewards.
- 16 | If this is allowed to happen, we will be the fools. I
- 17 | moved here. I did not buy a home with a well. I'm not
- 18 unaffected by this. If it gets into the aguifer and
- 19 damages the water, we're all screwed. Thank you.
- 20 CHAIR REPETZ: Thank you. Next is
- 21 Robert Ricedorf.
- 22 MR. RICEDORF: Thank you. Robert Rice.
- 23 R-I-C-E-D-O-R-F.
- 24 COURT REPORTER: Thank you.
- MR. RICEDORF: Okay. 206 Colebrook

1 Road.

2 COURT REPORTER: Okay.

MR. RICEDORF: Okay. My wife and I,

4 | Carolyn, we live at 206 Colebrook Rd. And I'm just

5 about a lifelong resident of the village of Rheems.

6 Other than the time that my wife and I lived in

7 | Elizabethtown to a higher education. I'm going to

8 | talk about the community, healthy community.

9 We heard a lot about expenses, damage,

10 so forth and so on. And we got a blessing here. Right

11 before the end of the year, we got the Catherine

12 Hershey Children's School of Learning moved,

13 purchased the former Rheems Elementary School and we

14 want to keep them here. Because we're all very

15 | concerned what was going to happen with the former

16 school.

In addition to that, we have a 392,000

18 | square foot warehouse being built right next to the

19 quarry that has utilities for refrigeration. That's

20 | confirmed. I confirmed that. It can be refrigeration

21 | for sections of the warehouse. It can be

22 refrigeration for the entire warehouse, but it will

23 have utilities for that. So that deals with

24 pneumonia. So that's obviously a concern.

25 So what I want to recommend here and

request, and I don't know who all the parties will be involved in this. But I am requiring and requesting as a resident, that set amount of money is put in escrow for a period of time. I don't know what that set amount of money is. If this gets approved. If this gets approved there needs to be a set amount of money put in escrow. And a third party manager for the damages that could occur and probably will occur.

Because if history has any prediction of the future, we're going to have problems with these wells, because this expansion is expanding out towards private wells. So we are going to probably have more additional well problems. So my requirement request is that an escrow account be established. A sizable amount of money be put in escrow, held in there for a period of time, decided among the parties involved to protect these people in the event that Carl Haas, as he stated, said that he has \$12,000 worth of expenses right now. And Pierson Quarry is only willing to give up \$3,500.

And to stop the debating and the arguments about who pays what, how much, so forth. We know these problems were created by the quarry. And the quarry, with DEP and the township officials should be involved with this also, set aside a

certain amount of money in escrow to protect the community of this village of Rheems. Thank you.

CHAIR REPETZ: Thank you Robert. That brings us to the end of the list of those who had signed up and wished to speak at this time.

Does anyone else wish to offer any testimony this evening? Certainly come forward please.

MS. MOLLOY: My name is Beth Molloy.

Last name M-O-L-L-O-Y. I live just on the other side of Rheems Elementary which now going to be the new elementary school.

I started working from home just about five years ago. When I first did that, I was home to a lot of the blasting. And for years, I would start to feel these blasts. They got, at times, progressively worse. I documented, like for weeks on end. On a scale one to ten. I would say within the last two years, there were times where it was beyond a ten. I literally jumped up. I thought there's a gas explosion in my house. To the point where I had to call the township and explain that did something just happen that I need to call 911, like, what's going on?

I felt my whole house was shaking.

They put me to the DEP. We had somebody come out to an evaluation. They said that it was at that time, within the legal ramifications of that it was okay.

However, since I have been in this town, probably a little less than 20 years, I see cracks in my foundation, in my basement as well. I also have had to have repairs in my drywall done to the point where when that last thing happens, we're going to have to get another drywall person in to repair that again.

So I wanted to concur with some of the things that Mr. Ricedorf was saying. Said if we cannot, if the vote continues to go through there needs to be some type of financial bucket of money that is set aside where, when there are situations like mine or others here with wells and water issues, as well as other damages that can occur. That there is some place that we can go to say, this is what happened, we need to have something corrected, and there needs to be a financial compensation for that.

So I just wanted to let you know that I'm very close to the quarry, and it is very disheartening to see what's happening to our town.

And I realize sometimes things I can't control to stop it. I have come to these types of meetings for

- 1 goodness, since they started years ago. So I do thank
- 2 | you for your time tonight. I just wanted to share
- 3 kind of my experience with my own house. And, yeah,
- 4 | just let you know that it's for real and it's not
- 5 pleasant.
- 6 So, Thank you.
- 7 CHAIR REPETZ: Thank you Beth. Anyone
- 8 else wish to offer testimony? Yes, sir. Please.
- 9 MR. GREENAWALT: Thank you. My name is
- 10 Roger Greenawalt. I live right here on Harrisburg
- 11 Avenue. And just like she has said.
- 12 COURT REPORTER: Please spell that.
- MR. GREENAWALT: Yes, it's
- 14 Green A-W-A-L-T. Just like she had said, I had the
- 15 same problem. Over time, my wife did the same thing.
- 16 | It's a loud boom house rattles and same thing. We had
- 17 cracks in our walls, and there were apparently bad.
- 18 Same thing, DEP came out and said those measurements
- 19 were within the legal.
- But anyway, that's one thing. The
- 21 other thing is I had a sinkhole open up two years ago
- 22 close to my house. I had no clue that the party is
- 23 responsible, that's why I found out afterwards when
- 24 this meeting came up. But I got to repair myself and
- 25 got the engineer to qualify to make sure that it was

- 1 done right. So there were \$2,000 that came out of my
- 2 pocket. Afterwards, we started with this here. I
- 3 | found out that they were responsible for any of the
- 4 | sinkholes in the zone. So that came out of my pocket.
- 5 And I had contact with DEP and they got in contact
- 6 | with them. But I'm not getting any endorses as far as
- 7 | I know. Cause they said once I've done it, I can care
- 8 for it myself. So that's the only thing. Want
- 9 more sinkholes? If open up yet, so it'll be at my
- 10 cost Thank you.
- 11 CHAIR REPETZ: Thank you, Roger.
- 12 | Anyone else?
- 13 MS. NOLL: I'm back. Monika Noll.
- 14 I forgot to say something or a question? We've just
- 15 heard, but we have heard at our board of supervisor
- 16 | meetings in the township about the MS four project.
- 17 | And I think I'll do some research. And you may know
- 18 | this, I know you can't answer it now. But there's
- 19 been a lot of work done by charity in this township
- 20 regarding the MS four project. And I'm just
- 21 | wondering, with all these pollutants that we've heard
- 22 about tonight, if that will negatively impact the
- 23 money that's being spent on MS four projects in our
- 24 area and surrounding communities.
- 25 CHAIR REPETZ: Thank you for that

- 1 additional information.
- 2 Anyone else? Last chance. Going once.
- 3 Twice. Okay, just a couple of reminders. Written
- 4 comments will be accepted until March 7th. Some of
- 5 you may have brought the written comments with you
- 6 this evening. If you did, please drop them off. We
- 7 | will accept them before you leave this evening.
- Last chance. All the comments.
- 9 PUBLIC MEMBER: Just a quick question,
- 10 All the comments we said before are all still
- 11 | connected, right?
- 12 PUBLIC MEMBER: Absolutely.
- CHAIR REPETZ: All comments are taken
- 14 into consideration orally tonight or written
- 15 previously submitted or yet to be submitted. It will
- 16 all be under review.
- 17 | PUBLIC MEMBER: Going forward. What is
- 18 | the timeline?
- 19 CHAIR REPETZ: This is a hearing. We
- 20 don't really respond to any questions regarding the
- 21 topic.
- 22 PUBLIC MEMBER: Okay.
- CHAIR REPETZ: This isn't the forum
- 24 | for that to happen. Okay. They might be able to
- 25 answer your question afterwards, but not during the

```
1 official proceeding. Okay?
```

- 2 PUBLIC MEMBER: Yep.
- CHAIR REPETZ: Wish to speak?
- MS. HAMILTON: Yes, hold on a second.
- 5 | Can I stay here?
- 6 CHAIR REPETZ: Better with a
- 7 microphone.
- 8 MS. HAMILTON: Okay. Christie
- 9 | Hamilton. What I would encourage you to do is what I
- 10 | would ask, and I'm sure the community would welcome
- 11 it. Is you should come and you should visit, and you
- 12 should see the foundation, the cracks, the sinkholes,
- 13 the well thing, the well water.
- 14 You should come into the community and
- 15 you should go door by door, and you should talk to
- 16 each and every one of us, because all of us could be
- 17 here tonight. But I can tell you that in this
- 18 | surrounding community, you will hear everyone say
- 19 that we are not for expansion of a quarry. And so if
- 20 you don't have to take my word for it, but you need
- 21 to come talk to the community. Because this is
- 22 | important and this is a big deal. And I moved here
- 23 for my children, and a lot of other people did, too.
- 24 And this is what we have to deal with and we
- 25 | shouldn't have to. Thank you.

CHAIR REPETZ: Thank you.

1

18

Thank you.

2 MS. LONGENECKER: Off the subject of 3 quarry, I want you to understand there's a movement foot FNM props. People of science, we've gathered 4 5 together, Lancaster county people, and we are 6 beginning to assess this kind of situation with 7 particulate monitors. So that at the site, for example, here in Rheems, if we got them established 9 at your homes, it would measure what is happening in 10 the hour. It's all done electronically computerized. So if anyone would like to be part of 11 12 this, we installed one at my place, and I'm going to 13 be helping get some established. So my phone number, 14 you want to write it down? It's Patty Longenecker. 15 And it's 717-367-2405. And I would gladly work for any of you to have that installed at your home. And 16 17 there is proof of what is happening as it occurs.

19 CHAIR REPETZ: Thank you. Yes, Ma'am.

MS. FRIZZELL: My name is Dawn D-A-W-N

21 | Frizzell. F-R-I-Z-Z-E-L-L. I just want to thank Carl

22 | for all his support and everything he has said.

But a lot of us showed up at the

24 | zoning board meeting before this was even approved.

25 And not one of us voted yes with us. It was the

zoning board. They were the ones responsible for this 1 2 and moved everything forward.

So I appreciate you guys coming, and I really hope that you take the time and listen to the comments.

6 CHAIR REPETZ: Thank you Dawn. Yes, 7 Carl.

3

4

5

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HAASS: I don't know what the solution is. I would say the DEP-. I think because a 10 lot of these problems, most of them are unprovable. 11 Now, the foundation cracks, the sinkholes, the contamination, it's theoretical.

Did my contamination come from farmland? Did it come from the well head? Did it come from a fall in the rock structure? Which the fellow from Penn State said it is a possibility.

So given the fact that a lot of things are theoretical, I would ask DEP to have some kind of influence, to take that out of the equation to -. When there's an issue of license, you might say if you're in a zone of influence and you have a crack in your foundation within a certain period of time after plastic or if water is contaminated, if the person has no record of contamination on their site, it's automatically falls back on the quarry.

And secondly, well, like Bob said, an escrow count would be a second thing. And thirdly, I would like DEP to have some kind of a time limit somehow enforceable so that a condition mine doesn't go on for eight months to a year with no resolution. It should be done in a reasonable amount of time. CHAIR REPETZ: Okay. Thank you Carl. And with that, we will conclude this evening's hearing. I want to thank you for your attendance and your participation in this event. Thank you very much. And have a safe journey home this evening. Thank you. HEARING CONCLUDED AT 6:49 P.M.

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings, hearing held before Chair Repetz, was reported by me on February 22, 2024 and that I, Derek Richmond, read this transcript, and that I attest that this transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceeding.

Date the 14 day of February, 2024

Derek Richmond,

Court Reporter