ENCLOSURE D PROJECT IMPACTS

A. Impacts on Designated Resources

Construction and operation of linear infrastructure Projects, including the proposed Atlantic Sunrise Project (Project) inevitably impact designated resources, including wetlands and <u>watercourses</u>waterbodies. The Project has been designed to avoid and minimize impacts to environmental as well as recreational resources. The following discussion, as well as the Alternatives Analysis provided in Attachment P-1, details the Project's potential impacts and the avoidance and minimization measures that Transco has taken thus far and will take in during construction and operation of the Project to protect designated resources. <u>Additionally, the Comprehensive Environmental Evaluation for the Central Penn Line provided in Appendix L-1 presents a summary of the alternatives analysis for the Project as whole.</u>

Transco has sited the proposed compressor, meter and regulating stations, and other minor aboveground appurtenances, such as main line valves (MLVs) and pig launcher and receiver facilities and communications towers, outside of wetlands and waterbodies.watercourses. Therefore, no direct impacts or losses to wetlands or waterbodies.watercourses are expected to result from construction and operation of the aboveground facilities.

Potential impacts associated with the Project on national and/or state forests, park lands, wildlife refuges, game lands, and/or wildlife sanctuaries were identified for the construction and operation of the Project within Lebanon County. Information regarding potential impacts to national, state, or local historic sites and cultural or archaeological landmarks within the Project area was coordinated through the Pennsylvania Historical Museum Commission (PHMC). Threatened and endangered species coordination effort was conducted through the applicable federal and state agencies. Field studies were conducted on the portions of the line for which survey access was granted by landowners. Additional portions of the line, which are currently designated as no-access parcels, will be surveyed in the future.

1

A1. National, State or Local Park, Forest or Recreation Area

Appalachian Trail and Pennsylvania State Game Llands (SGL) 211

The Appalachian Trail extends west to east across the length of Lebanon County such that the route of the Project is unable to avoid crossing this feature. The proposed route for CPL South crosses Pennsylvania SGL 211 from MP 59.8 to M- 200 MP 0.3 in Lebanon County, Pennsylvania, for a total of 1,523 feet (0.3 miles) and will include a 235-foot horizontal bore under the Appalachian Trail Crossing of SGL 211 will require a 100-foot-wide temporary <u>right-of-way (ROW)</u> and a 50-foot-wide permanent ROW. The installation of this section of pipeline will result in a temporary construction workspace disturbance of 2.9 acres, all of which is upland forest.

Following construction, Transco will maintain 1.5 acres of permanent ROW through SGL 211, resulting in approximately 1.0 acre of upland forest being permanently converted to open land for operation of the pipeline. The remaining 0.5 acres of permanent ROW includes 0.3 acres along the 235-foot horizontal bore under the Appalachian Trail, which will not be impacted during construction or operation of the Project, and 0.2 acres which will be planted with trees to restore the vegetation within the permanent ROW. In addition, Transco will plant trees to restore the vegetation within 0.9 acres of the temporary workspace required for construction of the Project. Transco is proposing this vegetation restoration in order to create a 200-foot-wide forested buffer around the Appalachian Trail. Transco's site-specific crossing plan includes details on the measures that Transco will implement to minimize impacts on recreational use of the Appalachian Trail, and this plan is provided in Appendix L-61.

Fort Indiantown Gap National Guard Training Center

The Project crosses the Fort Indiantown Gap National Guard Training Center from MP 54.9 to MP 54.9, from MP 56.8 to MP 57.1, and from MP 57.6 to MP 57.7 in Lebanon County. Transco will establish a new permanent ROW where the Project crosses the property. Transco contacted officials at Fort Indiantown Gap to determine the proximity of ordnance testing areas to the Project. Based on information received, the nearest location where artillery is fired in relation to the Project is approximately 3 miles west, and the point of explosion is approximately 5 miles west of that location. Due to the distance between

the Project and the explosion location (approximately 8 miles), vibrations at Fort Indiantown Gap are not expected to impact the pipeline.

Lebanon Valley Rail Trail

The proposed route crosses the Lebanon Valley Rail Trail at MP 37.5 in Lebanon County. Transco is requesting a new permanent ROW where the Project crosses the Trail; however, current land use at the trail crossing is agricultural, so there will be no permanent effects on the surrounding landscape. Transco's site-specific crossing plan includes details on the measures that Transco will implement to minimize impacts on recreational use of the Lebanon Valley Rail Trail, and this plan is provided in Appendix L-64.

Horse Shoe Trail

The proposed route crosses the Horse-Shoe Trail at MP 41.2 in Lebanon County. Transco's site-specific crossing plan includes details on the measures that Transco will implement to minimize impacts on recreational use of the Horse Shoe Trail, and this plan is provided in Appendix <u>L-6</u>4.

A2. Natural, Wild, or Wilderness Area

No impacts to Natural, Wild or Wilderness Areas are expected to occur within Lebanon County.

A3. National, State, or Local Historic Site

Section A6 provides a summary of potential impacts to historic, cultural and archaeological resources.

A4. National Natural Landmark

There will be no impacts to national natural landmarks as a result of the portion of the Project within Lebanon County.

A5. National Wildlife Refuge

There will be no impacts to national wildlife refuges as a result of the portion of the Project within Lebanon County.

A6. Cultural or Archeological Landmarks

On May 1, 2014, Transco met with staff of the PHMC to discuss the Project, cultural resource impacts, and proposed cultural resources investigations. Transco also requested that, for the purpose of facilitating review of archaeological resources that a process developed for other natural gas Projects in Pennsylvania aimed at providing regular Determinations of Eligibility (DOEs) for archaeological resources be implemented. This process involves routine updates on the eligibility status of archaeological resources identified during Phase I surveys. Since this initial meeting, Transco's coordination and consultation with the PHMC has been on-going.

An open house for the Project in Lebanon County was held at Annville-Cleona High School on June 10, 2014. Public comment from several landowners was received on potential cultural issues, all of which were examined and addressed during the cultural resources field reconnaissance for the Project. In addition to the open house, consultation was initiated with the Lebanon County Historical Society, Fort Indiantown Gap Military Installation, and Friends of Old Annville concerning cultural resources in Lebanon County. The Lebanon County Historical Society and the Friends of Old Annville had no comment on the Project. Consultation concerning cultural resources within Fort Indiantown Gap is currently ongoing, and was conducted during fieldwork and reporting on the base.

The Phase I archaeological field reconnaissance of the CPL-South study corridor in Lebanon County resulted in the identification of 20 archaeological resources. One of these resources, site 36LE0536, represents the archaeological footprint of a late 19th/early-mid 20th century farmstead, and contained archaeological deposits in context with extant, in situ structural remnants. This resource was recommended as Potentially Eligible for the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP), which was confirmed by the PHMC on August 5, 2014, and in its response to the March 2015 report. Field survey of a reroute alignment has subsequently avoided this resource entirely, and it is no longer projected for impacts as part of the Project. Similarly, 36LE0540 is a mid-late 19th century homestead with substantive archaeological deposits and in situ probable cellar remains and a water well. This resource was recommended as Potentially Eligible for the NRHP, which was

confirmed by the PHMC on May 13, 2015, and in its response to the November 2015
report. The Project APE entirely avoids this site. With regard to the remaining 18
archaeological resources identified in Lebanon County, the PHMC has determined that no further archaeological investigations are required prior to construction.

A total of 82 aboveground resources were identified during the Architectural History survey conducted for Project land requirements in Lebanon County; 72 of these resources are currently located within the Project, while an additional 10 resources were identified on parcels subsequently removed from the Project APE (due primarily to changes in the Project alignment). Four resources had been previously determined Eligible by PHMC, while an additional resource was determined to be a rural historic district identified by Transco and confirmed by PHMC. Transco recommended five of the resources as Potentially Eligible and eight resources as Eligible for the NRHP. PHMC review concluded that one of the resources submitted as Potentially Eligible was not Potentially Eligible, and the additional four Potentially Eligible resources were folded into a rural historic district. Transco submittal of the eight resources recommended as Eligible resulted in PHMC determination of six Eligible resources and two Not Eligible resources. One resource has not been submitted to PHMC due to lack of access for survey, while another resource was determined to be Not Eligible by PHMC. PHMC determined there were no anticipated effects to the remaining 53 resources as a result of the Project. Attachments D-1 and D-2 provide a summary of consultation with PHMC and copies of correspondence, respectively.

The geomorphological desktop study identified the Swatara Creek crossing as an area of increased potential for encountering deeply buried cultural deposits, and recommended field examination. Deep testing was conducted along the eastern bank of Swatara Creek in 2015, with the results documented in the November 2015 Addendum 1 Report Package. The only resource found in that area was an isolated find (36LE/051); no additional geomorphological work along the eastern bank of Swatara Creek in the corridor is required. The western bank of Swatara Creek, which will require geomorphological testing, remains inaccessible; it will be investigated for deeply buried cultural deposits once access to that area becomes available.

A Transco-sponsored open house was held for the Project facilities in Lebanon County at Annville-Cleona High School on June 10, 2014. Field surveys for cultural resources were conducted from May-December 2014 and resumed in March 2015. A Phase I archaeological field reconnaissance was conducted in Lebanon County and focused on the proposed pipeline alignment. The field survey investigated a 300-foot-wide study corridor, in addition to full survey coverage of ancillary work areas, which resulted in the examination of 18,928 SL (study locations) in Lebanon County. The field survey recorded 2,452 SL as occurring on steep slopes, 797 SL as wet (in either a waterbody or wetland), 5,672 SL within agricultural fields displaying sufficient ground surface visibility to meet PHMC guidelines, and 2,320 SL were determined to have been subjected to modern ground disturbance (most commonly underground pipeline utilities). The field crew also visually inspected 142 SL in residential properties. The visual inspection was supplemented by the hand excavation of 7,545 shovel tests. These investigations resulted in the identification of 25 archaeological resources within the limits of the field survey. Two of these resources have been recommended potentially eligible for the NRHP, and confirmed as such by the PHMC. One Site represents the archaeological footprint of a late 19th/early-mid 20th century farmstead, and contained archaeological deposits in context with extant, in situ structural remnants. This resource was recommended as potentially eligible for the NRHP, which was confirmed by the PHMC on August 5, 2014. Field survey of a reroute alignment has subsequently avoided this resource entirely, and it is no longer projected for impacts as part of the Project. A second site, an historic-era occupation encountered within the boundaries of Ft. Indiantown Gap, represents the archaeological footprint of a late 19th century farmstead and is considered potentially eligible; as with the other site, this resource will be avoided during construction. With regard to the remaining 23 archaeological resources identified in Lebanon County, all are recommended as not eligible for the NRHP, and the PHMC has determined that no further archaeological investigations are required prior to construction at six of these locations. The remaining 17 archaeological resources are pending formal review by the PHMC.

The architectural history field reconnaissance of the Project in Lebanon County resulted in the identification of 72 historic-era aboveground resources located within the viewshed of the Project. Ten of these resources have been recommended as potentially eligible, while four have been previously determined eligible for the NRHP. PHMC, however, requested additional documentation for fifteen of the 71 resources that they determined to be potentially eligible for the NRHP. One resource is currently under review at PHMC but has been recommended as not eligible for the NRHP.

The geomorphological desktop study identified the Swatara Creek crossing as an area of increased potential for encountering deeply-buried cultural deposits, and recommended field examination. Field survey was conducted for this location in 2015, and the results are pending PHMC review. Additional cultural resources fieldwork is projected in Lebanon County during the autumn of 2015 and (potentially) early 2016, on previously-inaccessible tracts of the CPL-South loop and additional temporary-use work areas. Attachment D further describes these efforts and findings.

A7. State Game Lands

Impacts to State Game Lands 211 is discussed in Section A1 of this attachment.

A8. Federal, State, Local or Private Plant or Wildlife Sanctuaries

There will be no anticipated impacts to federal, state, local or private plant or wildlife sanctuaries as a result of the portion of the Project within Lebanon County.

A9. Areas Identified as Prime Farmland

In an effort to identify the extent and location of important farmlands, the <u>Natural</u> <u>Resources Conservation Service</u> (NRCS), in cooperation with other interested federal, state, and local government organizations, has inventoried land that can be used for production of the nation's food supply. Important farmlands consist of prime farmland, unique farmland, and farmland of statewide or local importance. It should be noted that not

all important farmland soils are used for farming. NRCS makes important farmland designations based on soil properties, not on current or past use.

Unique farmland is land other than prime farmland that is used for the production of specific high-value food and fiber crops, (e.g., tree nuts, cranberries, and other fruits and vegetables). It has the unique combination of soil quality, growing season, moisture supply, temperature, humidity, air drainage, elevation, and aspect needed for the soil to economically produce sustainable high yields of these crops when properly managed.

Farmlands of statewide importance generally include those areas of soils that nearly meet the requirements for prime farmland and that economically produce high yields of crops when treated and managed according to acceptable farming methods. Farmland locations that are not identified as having national or statewide importance can be designated by local agricultural agencies as farmland of local importance for the production of food, feed, fiber, forage, and oilseed crops.

Approximately 18.9 miles of the Project will cross Prime Farmlands or Farmlands of Statewide Importance in Lebanon County. Pipeline construction may result in temporarily removing farmland soils from agricultural production if construction occurs during the growing season. Pipeline construction and operation will not result in any long-term loss of prime and important farmland. Soils that are currently designated as prime farmland and farmland of statewide importance will retain their designation both during and after construction. Effects on agricultural soils, including prime and important farmland soils, will be mitigated according to the Agricultural Plan and the Transco Plan, provided respectively as Attachments 6 and 17 of the Transco Environmental Construction Plan (ECP) (Attachment M). While some short-term decreases in agricultural productivity may result because of the disturbance of soil during construction, those effects can be mitigated over time by the restoration measures previously discussed and by resumption of proper soil management by landowners.

Construction of the contractor/pipe yards and contractor staging areas will temporarily effect of prime and important farmland soils. Pipeline operation will not adversely affect

agricultural soils, including prime farmland and farmland of statewide importance. Neither of the mainline valves included as a portion of this Project are expected to have impacts to Prime Farmlands or Farmlands of Statewide Importance in Lebanon County.

The Project crosses multiple agricultural conservation easements Lebanon County including NRCS Farm and Ranch Land Protection Program (FRPP) easements, Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program (CREP) and Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) easements, Agricultural Security Areas (ASA), and Agricultural Conservation Easements.

Farm and Ranch Land Protection Program

The FRPP provided matching funds to help purchase development rights to keep productive farm and ranchland in agricultural uses. State, tribal, or local governments and non-governmental organizations, as well as other entities that could become certified, had more flexibility and a shorter process to acquire easements (USDA n.d.). The Agricultural Act of 2014 repealed the FRPP; however, the Act does not affect terms or validity of any FRPP contract, agreement, or easement entered into prior to the date of enactment (February 7, 2014) or any associated payments required to be made in connection with an existing FRPP contract, agreement, or easement (USDA 2014).

To be eligible for the FRPP, lands must be privately owned, and:

- Contain at least 50 percent prime, unique, statewide or locally important farmland or contain historical or archeological resources or land that furthers a state or local policy that is consistent with FRPP purpose;
- Be subject to a pending offer by an eligible entity; and
- Be cropland, grassland, pastureland or forest land (forest land not more two-thirds of easement) that contributes to the viability of an agricultural operation or serves as a buffer to protect an agricultural operation from development.

Transco conducted title searches to identify all easements crossed by the Project facilities, including FRPP easements, and these easements are identified in Table L(d)-1.

Table L(d)-1 Properties with NRCS Easements Crossed by the Project in Lebanon County

Facility / County	<u>Tract</u>	Easement Type	<u>Mileposts</u>				
CPL South							
<u>Lebanon</u>	PA-LE-067.000	FRPP	<u>44.5 – 45.0</u>				
<u>Lebanon</u>	PA-LE-051.100	<u>NRCS</u>	42.5 – 42.6				
Key:							
<u>CPL = Central Penn Line</u>							
FRPP = Farm and Ranchland Protection Program							
NRCS = Natural Resource Conservation Service							

NRCS conservation easements are addendums to agricultural preservation easements granted either to the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania or to a county Agricultural Lands Preservation Board. All of the underlying easements, whether to the Commonwealth or to a county board contain a provision specifically permitting the landowner to continue to grant easements for natural gas pipelines across the preserved land. Each of the underlying easements for the properties listed above contain the following provision:

The granting of rights-of-way by the Grantor [the landowner] ... in and through the subject land for the installation, transportation, or use of lines for ...gas, oil or oil products is permitted. The term "granting of rights-of-way" includes the right to construct or install such lines.

Tract PA-LE-051.100

In addition to the above provision that specifically allows the landowner to grant rights-ofways for natural gas pipeline easements, the conservation easement for Tract PA-LE-051.1200 contains an addendum that states:

In the event the Pennsylvania Department of Agriculture fails to enforce any of the terms of this easement [or other interests in land], as determined in the sole discretion of the Secretary of the Unites States Department of Agriculture, the said Secretary of Agriculture and his or her successors and assigns shall have the right to enforce the terms of the easement through any and all authorities available under Federal or State law. In the event that the Pennsylvania Department of Agriculture attempts to terminate, transfer, or otherwise divest itself of any rights, title, or interest of this easement [or other interests in land] without the prior consent of the Secretary of the United States Department of Agriculture and payment of consideration to the United State, then, at the option of such Secretary, all right, title and interest in this easement [or other interests in land] shall become vested in the UNITED STATES of AMERICA.

There is no conflict between the USDA interest in the property and the Project, since there are no provisions in the easement which the Pennsylvania Department of Agriculture is required to enforce in connection with the Project. The granting of ROWs for natural gas pipelines and their construction is specifically permitted by the easement.

Tract PA-LE-067.000

The conservation easement for tract PA-LE-067.000 contains the provision above, which specifically permits the Grantor to grant rights-of-way for natural gas pipelines. This landowner has entered into agreements to grant the rights-of-way needed for the Project.

This conservation easement contains an addendum identifying the USDA's interest in the conservation easement which contains a paragraph about utilities which states:

3. Utilities. The granting of rights of way by the Grantor.... in and through the subject land for the installation, transportation, or use of, lines forgas, oil or oil products is permitted, provided the location of activities and structures, permitted under this provision, is consistent with the agricultural viability and the protection of soils purposes as articulated in this Agricultural Conservation Easement. The granting of rights of way includes the right to construct or install such lines, provided any excavation of soils to install such lines is returned to the original topography promptly upon completion of the construction or installation, and methods are taken to control soil erosion. To the greatest extent practicable, such utility rights-of-ways shall be sited to protect the impact to prime, unique and important soils. After the Agricultural Conservation Easement is recorded, granting of utility rights-of-way on the subject land may only occur through the condemnation process, which is subject to the review by the Agricultural Lands Condemnation Approval Board in accordance with 3 P.S. Section 913, unless the condemnation is exempt from review under that section. If the proposed condemnation is exempt from review by the Agricultural Lands Approval Board [sic], the Grantees shall give notice of this fact to the United States Department of Agriculture....

Since this landowner has agreed to grant the rights-of-way for the Project, no condemnation will occur. Further, the granting of ROWs for federal natural gas pipeline projects approved by FERC is specifically exempted from review by the Agricultural Lands Condemnation Approval Board in accordance with 3 P.S. Section 913, which provides:

3 P.S. §913(b). Approval required for condemnation by a political subdivision, authority, public utility or other body. -- No political subdivision, authority, public utility or other body having or exercising powers of eminent domain shall condemn any land within any agricultural security area for any purpose, unless prior approval has been obtained from Agricultural Lands Condemnation Approval Board The condemnation approvals specified by this subsection shall not be required for an underground public utility facility that does not permanently impact the tilling of soil or for any facility of an electric cooperative corporation or for any public utility facility the necessity for and the propriety and environmental effects of which has been reviewed and ratified or approved by the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission or the Federal Energy **Regulatory Commission,** regardless of whether the right to establish and maintain such underground or other public utility facility is obtained by condemnation, or by agreement with the owner.

The Addendum to each of this conservation easement also requires the "Grantees" to provide notice to the USDA of the exemption of the tracts from review by the Agricultural Lands Condemnation Approval Board. "Grantees" is defined in each of the conservation easements as the county Agricultural Security Board. While Transco does not know if such notices were made to the USDA by the county Agricultural Security Boards, the USDA has been receiving notices regarding the Project since its inception, and has been on the list of stakeholders since May 2, 2014.

Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program

The CREP is a federal/state natural resource conservation program established to improve the water quality of the Chesapeake Bay. The program is part of a larger effort to address state and nationally significant agriculture-related environmental problems. The Pennsylvania CREP is managed jointly by the FSA and the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, although numerous other federal and state agencies and private conservation groups are partners in the program (USDA 2011; Pennsylvania CREP 2016). The program helps farmers improve the water quality of the upper and lower Susquehanna and lower Potomac River basins by reducing sediment, livestock manure, and other nutrient runoff to the Chesapeake Bay. These efforts help to lower water temperatures, increase dissolved oxygen levels, and provide additional wildlife habitat in the Chesapeake Bay and its watershed (USDA 2011).

CREP was authorized under the Food Security Act of 1985, as amended, and was first announced in April 2000. The program initially targeted a total voluntary enrollment of 100,000 acres of land in 20 counties in the lower Susquehanna and Potomac River basins, including lands in Columbia, Lancaster, Lebanon, Northumberland, and Schuylkill counties. The program has expanded to include an additional 100,000 acres in 23 northern tier counties in Pennsylvania, including Luzerne, Lycoming, Susquehanna, and Wyoming counties (USDA 2011). Through CREP, program participants receive financial incentives from the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) to voluntarily enroll in the program for contracts of 10 to 15 years. Participants remove cropland or marginal pastureland from agricultural production and convert the land to native grasses, trees, and other vegetation (USDA 2011; Pennsylvania CREP 2016).

Conservation Reserve Program

The CRP is a land conservation program administered by the FSA. In exchange for a yearly rental payment, farmers enrolled in the program agree to remove environmentally sensitive land from agricultural production and plant species that will improve environmental health and quality. Contracts for land enrolled in CRP are 10 to 15 years in length. The long term goal of the program is to re-establish valuable land cover to help improve water quality, prevent soil erosion, and reduce loss of wildlife habitat (USDA 2014).

There is one known property enrolled in the CREP/CRP Programs crossed by the Project in Lebanon County (see Table L(d)-2). Transco is working with the NRCS, local FSA agents, and landowners to obtain information on CREP/CRP properties in the Project area. Where the ROW crosses CREP/CRP acreage, Transco will reseed based on each landowner's conservation plan filed at the local NRCS office. Seeding rates and species selection for CRP acreage will be determined based on the each conservation plan. Transco will work with the NRCS and landowners to make sure that all CRP acreage is identified and that the appropriate seed mix is planted on individual acreage

Agricultural Security Areas and Agricultural Conservation Easements

An Agricultural Security Area (ASA) is a unit of land of 250 or more acres reserved for agricultural production of crops, livestock, or livestock products that have been designated as such under Pennsylvania Code 1967 P. L. 992, No. 442 and 32 P. S. § \$ 5001–5012.

ASA lands are provided protection from laws prohibiting agricultural activities. An ASA designation does not prohibit the landowner from developing oil or gas on the land.

Therefore, construction and operation of the pipeline facilities will not affect the classification of the ASA parcels.

An agricultural conservation easement is a protection placed on a land that provides the landowner the right to prevent development or improvement of a parcel for any purpose outside of agricultural production (PFPA 2012). Such easements may be sold or donated by a landowner to the state, county, local government, or local land trust. For a parcel to qualify for an agricultural conservation easement, it must first be designated as an ASA. ASA lands are designated by local municipalities upon the request of landowners to promote permanent and viable farming operations. Owners of ASA lands may apply for the purchase of an agricultural conservation easement to receive preferential zoning treatment. The ASA designation does not restrict the use of the property, which can be developed in any manner authorized by local ordinances and regulations. The existence of utility facilities does not prevent land from being designated as an ASA or agricultural conservation easement.

Agricultural conservation easement programs are administered individually by local boards and staff within the 57 counties across Pennsylvania. Transco is currently consulting with the counties crossed by the Project to identify agricultural conservation easements within the Project area. In addition, Transco has conducted title searches on all parcels crossed by the Project to identify easements. Agricultural conservation easement programs crossed by the Project include the Lancaster Agricultural Preserve, the Lancaster County Conservancy, and the Lancaster Farmland Trust. Agricultural conservation easements crossed by the Project are listed in Table L(d)-2.

TABLE L(d)-2									
Pennsylvania Conservation Easements Crossed by the Atlantic Sunrise Project in Lebanon County									
		Begin	<u>End</u>	Land Affected During Construction	Land Affected During Operation				
<u>Facility</u>	<u>Easement Type</u>	<u>Milepost</u>	<u>Milepost</u>	(acres)	<u>(acres)</u>				
CPL South Lebanon County	ACA // a harrary Assignational	27.0	20.5		2.7				
PA-LE-014.000 PA-LE-051.100	ASA/Lebanon Agricultural Preserve/NRCS/CREP ASA/Lebanon Agricultural	<u>37.9</u>	<u>38.5</u>	<u>9.9</u>	<u>3.7</u>				
PA-LE-051.110	<u>Preserve</u> <u>ASA</u>	<u>42.7</u>	<u>42.7</u>	<u>0.9</u>	<u>0.4</u>				
PA-LE-051.120	<u>ASA</u>	<u>42.7</u>	<u>43.0</u>	<u>4.9</u>	<u>1.9</u>				
PA-LE-051.130	<u>ASA</u>	<u>43.0</u>	<u>43.0</u>	<u>0.3</u>	<u>0.1</u>				
PA-LE-051.140	<u>ASA</u>	<u>43.01</u>	<u>43.2</u>	4.9 0.3 3.1	<u>0.4</u> 1.9 <u>0.1</u> 1.2				
PA-LE- 066.000	ASA/Lebanon Agricultural Preserve	<u>44.3</u>	<u>44.4</u>	<u>2.7</u>	<u>1.0</u>				
PA-LE-067.000	ASA/FRPP	44.42	<u>44.8</u>	<u>8.3</u>	<u>3.1</u>				
PA-LE- 070.000	ASA/Lebanon Agricultural Preserve	<u>45.0</u>	<u>45.3</u>	<u>6.3</u>	<u>2.2</u>				
PA-LE- 088.000	ASA/CREP	<u>47.6</u>	<u>48.0</u>	<u>6.0</u>	<u>2.4</u>				
PA-LE- 129.000	ASA/Lebanon Agricultural Preserve	<u>52.5</u>	<u>52.9</u>	<u>6.6</u>	<u>2.4</u> <u>2.1</u>				
PA-LE-157.100	ASA	<u>54.9</u>	<u>55.3</u>	<u>5.8</u>	<u>2.3</u>				
PA-LE-175.000	<u>ASA</u>	<u>56.6</u>	<u>56.7</u>	<u>5.8</u> 2.2	2.3 0.8 2.0				
PA-LE-228.000	ASA/Lebanon Valley Conservancy	<u>62.1</u>	<u>62.5</u>	<u>5.2</u>					
PA-LE-229.100	Lebanon Valley Conservancy	<u>62.5</u>	<u>63.0</u>	<u>6.7</u>	<u>3.2</u>				

A conservation easement is a type of contract that functions like a deed restriction and restricts real property to uses and improvements that are compatible with the stated conservation purposes of the conservation easement. The existence of a conservation easement recorded against the title to a tract of land does not necessarily prohibit all development of the land. A typical conservation easement, even if intended to protect agricultural use, may specifically allow one or more residences, farm buildings, driveways, aboveground and belowground utilities, and other structures, even though these activities and improvements will convert some of the land to nonproduction use and reduce some of the agricultural production potential of the property. Uses that are not prohibited by a conservation easement are also permitted.

Transco will restore agricultural properties with conservation easements in accordance with the methods described the Transco Agricultural Plan provided as Attachment 6 of the Transco ECP (Attachment M).

B. Impacts on the Aquatic Environment

B1. Aquatic Habitats

All temporary impacts to aquatic resources are related to the construction of the pipeline. All permanent impacts to aquatic resources are related to the removal of vegetative cover for operation. No fill or impervious cover will be added to aquatic resources as part of this Project. Operational ROW will be maintained for a width of 10-feet at waterbody watercourse approaches and through palustrine emergent (PEM) and palustrine scrubshrub (PSS) wetlands, and for a width of 30-feet through palustrine forested-(PFO) wetlands. Transco is proposing the construction ROW widths to provide for safe and efficient construction of large-diameter pipeline facilities in accordance with Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) regulations (29 CFR 1926.650-1926.652, Subpart P) and Interstate Natural Gas Association of America's (INGAA) workspace guidelines (INGAA 1999). Reductions of the construction ROWs will be made, where practicable, at various locations to address specific environmental or residential issues along the proposed pipelines. The impact analysis included in this section is related to resources associated with Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PADEP) Chapter 105 jurisdictional areas.

The proposed Project extends through 46 special protection watersheds (e.g., High Quality or Exceptional Value) and watersheds that are considered siltation impaired. As a result, an anti-degradation analysis was prepared for the Project and a detailed listing of each watershed, cause of siltation, and location it will be crossed is provided for Lebanon County within Attachment M. Additionally, the Comprehensive Environmental Evaluation for the Central Penn Line provided in Appendix L-1 presents a summary of the anti-degradation consistency analysis for the Project as whole.

The Project will affect 56-55 streams, 58-59 floodways, and 39 wetlands within Lebanon County. Per PA DEP guidance, the installation of a pipe under streams is considered a permanent impact. Please note that the total count of wetlands affected is based on cover types, such that a single wetland complex could have multiple wetland counts. For example, a single wetland complex comprised of PEM, PSS, and PFO

cover types was counted as three wetland crossings for the purpose of impact presentation in this Application. Tables summarizing the overall impacts on waterbodies and wetlands for the Project as a whole are included in the Comprehensive Environmental Evaluation for the Central Penn Line in Appendix L-1.

Through the implementation of the **Environmental Construction Plan** (ECP), including the Best Management Practices (BMPs), water quality impacts are expected to be minimized to the extent practicable during construction, and no long term water quality impacts are expected to occur. Prior to conducting any in-stream work, all associated BMPs shall be installed and functional. BMPs will be installed at the edge of work areas, as necessary, to prevent siltation into wetlands in the vicinity of construction activities and will be maintained throughout the period of the construction activities. Wetland and **waterbody** <u>watercourse</u> boundaries will be clearly marked prior to construction activities.

Restoration of streams will involve, at a minimum, restoring each stream to pre-construction contours <u>revegetating surface water banks and riparian areas in accordance with the Riparian Area Impact Assessment and Restoration Plan for the Project area in Lebanon County provided in Appendix L-2.and riparian plantings along the stream banks. In wetland areas, restoration activities will involve returning wetlands to pre-construction contours and seeding in non-inundated areas with an approved wetland seed mix. In general, hydrologic conditions are not anticipated to be impacted as a result of Project construction.</u>

Transco has incorporated the following avoidance and minimization measures to reduce impacts to aquatic resources.

Erosion and Sediment Controls

Construction activities can disturb surface soils and cause subsequent sediment transport into adjacent wetlands. Sedimentation will be minimized by the installation of temporary sediment control measures between the upland construction areas and the wetlands. Permanent erosion controls, including slope breakers, trench breakers, and vegetative

cover, will be used in adjacent upland areas to minimize long-term sedimentation into the wetlands. Energy dissipation devices may be installed at the down-slope end of slope breakers to minimize erosion of soil off the ROW into wetlands. Trench plugs will be installed in upland slopes adjacent to wetlands to prevent trench erosion. Trench plugs also will be spaced in accordance with the applicable state and federal regulations and installed at the edges of the wetland and on either side of waterbody watercourse crossings to prevent subsurface drainage along the pipeline.

To minimize erosion and promote revegetation within the wetland, removal of the root mats for woody vegetation will be allowed only directly over the trench area or where required to ensure safe working conditions. This serves to enhance regeneration of vegetation on the construction and permanent ROW. Permanent erosion control structures that could alter hydrology (e.g., slope breakers) will not be installed within wetlands, but these structures will be used in the adjacent upland areas to control erosion and sedimentation. Transco will employ BMPs as specified in erosion and sediment control permits and approved by PA DEP to further minimize the potential for soil compaction.

Turbidity and sedimentation could result from in-stream construction activities, trench dewatering, and/or construction-related stormwater runoff. In slow-moving waters, increases in suspended sediments could increase the biological oxygen demand and reduce levels of dissolved oxygen in localized areas during construction. Suspended sediments also could alter the chemical and physical characteristics of the water column on a temporary basis.

Transco will cross surface waters with flowing water present at the time of construction using dry-ditch construction methods to the greatest extent practicable. Dry-ditch crossing and trenchless waterbody watercourse crossing methods can dramatically reduce downstream sediment transport effects compared to wet open-cut crossings (Reid and Anderson 1999; Reid et al. 2002). Transco will employ best management practices (BMPs) as specified in its Erosion and Sediment Control General Permit 2 (ESCGP-2) application, which contains a site-specific erosion and sediment control plan to further

minimize the potential for soil compaction <u>(see Attachment M - Provided under Separate</u> Cover).-

Transco will also install temporary equipment bridges across surface waters to reduce the potential for turbidity caused by movement of construction equipment and vehicular traffic. Equipment bridges will be constructed of clean rock or gravel and culverts, timber mats, or portable prefabricated bridges, depending on surface water conditions (e.g., if excessively soft soils are encountered in the surface water bed, or if high water flows occur, portable bridges will be used at minor surface water crossings instead of rock and culverts). Typical drawings for equipment bridges are provided in Attachment 2 of the Transco ECP (Attachment M). Equipment bridges will be maintained until the pipe is installed and they are no longer needed. The bridges will then be removed. Equipment bridges will be designed to accommodate normal to high surface water flow and will be maintained to prevent flow restriction during the period of time the bridge is in use during construction.

To minimize sedimentation during pipeline construction across waterbodies watercourses, trench spoil will be placed at least 10 feet away from water's edge, unless impractical due to topography, as specified in the Transco Project-specific Wetland and Waterbody

Construction and Mitigation Procedures (Procedures) (Attachment 18 of the Transco ECP [Attachment M]). Erosion controls will be placed around spoil piles to prevent sediment from flowing into surface waters. Additional Temporary Workspace (ATWS) will typically be set back 50 feet from the water's edge unless otherwise approved by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) and the United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) (see Attachment P-1, Appendix P-1).

Once the pipe is placed in the trench, the excavated material will be replaced immediately, and the surface water banks and bed will be restored to preconstruction contours. To stabilize the banks, the surface water banks and riparian areas will be revegetated using approved seed mixes and/or erosion control blankets or matting *in accordance with the Riparian Area Impact Assessment and Restoration Plan for Lebanon County within Appendix L-2*.

Compaction

Compaction of wetland soils and rutting within wetlands will be minimized by using lowground-pressure equipment and temporary equipment mats. In general, rutting of soils, which is a sign of compaction, in wetlands or in other areas during wet conditions will be avoided or minimized through the use of timber mats as deemed necessary during construction or by postponing work until soils have dried. In addition, Transco will minimize compaction of soils within agricultural lands, residential areas, and not saturated or inundated wetlands by stripping, segregating, and stockpiling topsoil separately from subsoil during construction. The Transco Procedures (ECP Attachment 18, provided in Attachment M of this Application) (Transco Procedures) and Agricultural and Construction Monitoring Plan (ECP Attachment 6, provided in Attachment M of this Application) indicate that the top 12 inches of topsoil from wetland and agricultural areas disturbed by trenching will be segregated from subsoil, except in areas where standing water is present, soils are saturated, or where shallow depth to bedrock conditions exist. These exceptions will be identified via visual assessment during grading and documented in the field with the Environmental and/or Agricultural Inspector. Immediately after backfilling is complete, the segregated topsoil will be restored to its original horizon location. Transco will segregate 12 inches of topsoil or the entire topsoil layer if it is less than 12 inches. Restoration of the soil surface elevations and contours and revegetation will be performed in accordance with Transco's ECP (Attachment M). In areas where topsoil has been segregated, the subsoil will be placed back in the trench first and the topsoil will be placed over the subsoil. Backfilling will occur to approximate grade; however, a soil crown may be placed above the trench to accommodate future soil settling.

If compaction occurs during construction, Transco will also use subsurface decompaction techniques, as described in the Transco Draft-Agricultural Construction and Monitoring Plan within Attachment 6 of Transco's ECP (Attachment M). Transco will employ BMPs as specified in erosion and sediment control permits and approved by the PA DEP to further minimize the potential for soil compaction.

<u>Hydrology</u>

Stream crossings are to be performed during low flow conditions with oversight from an environmental inspector. Storm event weather forecasts will be monitored prior to and during the stream crossing. The contractor will be required to maintain an adequate number of pumps on-site to facilitate an unanticipated increase in stream flow.

Per the Transco Procedures, provided as Attachment 18 of the ECP (Attachment M), the following additional measures for dam-and-pump **waterbody** <u>watercourse</u> crossings will be implemented:

- <u>Use</u> <u>Ss</u>ufficient pumps, including on-site backup pumps, will be used to maintain downstream flows:
- Pumps will be properly aligned to prevent surface water bed scour at the pump discharge;
- Dams will be constructed with materials that prevent sediment and other pollutants from entering the waterbodywatercourse;
- Pump intakes will be screened to minimize entrainment of fish; and,
- Dams and pumps will be continuously monitored to ensure proper operation throughout the <u>waterbody-watercourse</u> crossing.

Per the Transco Procedures, provided as Attachment 18 of the ECP (<u>Attachment M</u>Volume 3), the following additional measures for flume crossings of waterbodies watercourses will be conducted:

- Sand bags, sand bag and plastic sheeting diversion structures, or the equivalent will be used to develop an effective seal and to divert stream flow through the flume pipe;
- Flume pipes will remain in place until trenching, pipe laying, backfilling, and initial streambed restoration efforts are complete;
- Flume pipes will be properly aligned to prevent bank erosion and streambed scour;
 and
- All flume pipes and dams that are not part of the equipment bridge will be removed as soon as final cleanup of the streambed and bank is complete.

Per the Transco Procedures, provided as Attachment 18 of the Transco ECP (Attachment M), the following additional measures for wet open-cut crossings of minor and intermediate surface waters will be implemented:

- In-stream construction activities (including trenching, pipe installation, backfilling, and surface water bed restoration) will be completed within 24 to 48 hours, except for areas that require blasting or other rock-breaking measures; and,
- Operation of equipment in the surface water will be limited to that needed to construct the crossing.

Permanent changes in surface and subsurface hydrology along the pipeline alignment through a wetland can have a long-term effect on hydrology and associated function and value. Trench plugs will be installed at the entrance and exit of the pipeline through each wetland to ensure that the subsurface hydrology remains intact. Restoration of each wetland will include returning contours to preconstruction levels (within 6 inches) and removing temporary erosion control measures.

Permanent changes in surface and subsurface hydrology along the pipeline alignment through a wetland can have a long-term effect on hydrology and associated function and value. Trench plugs will be installed at the entrance and exit of the pipeline through each wetland to ensure that the subsurface hydrology remains intact. Restoration of each wetland will include returning contours to preconstruction levels (within 6 inches) and removing temporary erosion control measures.

Trench Dewatering

During construction, the open trench could accumulate water, either from the seepage of groundwater or from precipitation. This water must be removed from the trench to allow construction to proceed. During trench dewatering, water will be pumped from the trench and discharged into vegetated upland areas after first being filtered through a straw bale structure and/or filter bag. The rate of flow from the pump will be regulated to prevent scouring from runoff. Dewatering will be conducted in a manner designed to prevent the

flow of heavily silt-laden water directly into adjacent surface waters or wetlands and will be performed in accordance with the PA DEP and USACE permit requirements and the FERC Order.

Blasting

Transco anticipates the use of blasting in bodies of water or watercourses; however,
Transco will not know for certain until construction activities commence.

Watercourses with a higher potential for blasting are those with shallow depth to
bedrock, as indicated within Table L(d)-3. Transco's construction contractor will be
required to demonstrate that blasting is necessary by first attempting to remove
bedrock material using mechanical means, such as a hydraulic ram or splitter, rock
trenching machine, or rock saw. Transco has submitted an Application for use of
Explosives in Commonwealth Waters to the Pennsylvania Fish and Boat
Commission (PFBC) for each proposed stream crossing in the event that blasting of
bedrock is required properly install the pipe.

Atlantic Sunrise Project – PA DEP Chapter 105 Joint Permit Application Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC

Lebanon County

Attachment L – Environmental Assessment

Enclosure D – Description of Impacts

	Table L(d)-34 Watercourses with Shallow Depth to Bedrock Crossed by the Atlantic Sunrise Project in Lebanon County									
Waterbody ID	Water Waterbody Name ^a	Approximate Milepost ^b	Latitude	Longitude	Municipality	Stream Type	Crossing Length (feet)	roject in Lebanol Water Quality Classification ^c	n County Fishery Classification ^d	Crossing Method ^e
WW-T30- 4003	UNT to Conewago Creek	37.25	40.21487	-76.53949	South Londonderry	Ephemeral	10.00	TSF, MF	Approved Trout Waters, Trout Stocked Stream	<u> </u>
WW-T13- 4002A	UNT to Little Conewago Creek	<u>41.06</u>	40.25916	-76.53095	South Londonderry	Ephemeral	<u>15.73</u>	TSF, MF	N/A	II.
WW-T33- 4001	UNT to Gingrich Run	<mark>42.03</mark>	40.27269	-76.53157	South Annville	Ephemeral	11.74	TSF, MF	N/A	<u>"</u>
WW-T64- 4001	UNT to Conewago Creek	36.95	40.21350	<mark>-76.53451</mark>	South Londonderry	Intermittent	36.32	TSF, MF	Trout Stocked Stream	II.
WW-T13- 4002	UNT to Little Conewago Creek	41.07	<u>40.25926</u>	<mark>-76.53101</mark>	South Londonderry	Intermittent	10.21	TSF, MF	N/A	<u>II</u>
WW-T43- 5001	UNT to Quittapahilla Creek	M-0183 1.60	40.33421	-76.53467	North Annville	Intermittent	12.68	TSF, MF	Approved Trout Waters, Trout Stocked Stream	II.
WW-T13- 4005	UNT to Little Conewago Creek	<mark>41.13</mark>	40.26009	-76.53120	South Londonderry	Perennial	10.00	TSF, MF	N/A	II.
WW-T13- 4003	UNT to Gingrich Run	<u>41.92</u>	40.27127	-76.53198	South Annville	Perennial	13.52	TSF, MF	N/A	<u>"</u>
WW-T43- 5003	Quittapahilla Creek	M-0183 1.32	40.33221	-76.53899	North Annville	Perennial	10.00	TSF, MF	Approved Trout Waters, Trout Stocked Stream	<u>II</u>
WW-T13- 4004	UNT to Little Conewago Creek	M-0436 0.07	<u>40.26200</u>	<mark>-76.53197</mark>	South Annville	<u>Perennial</u>	<mark>11.10</mark>	TSF, MF	N/A	<u>"</u>

a: UNT: Unnamed Tributary. UNT name was identified based on review of USGS topographical mapping.

b: Milepost provided for access roads indicate the point at which the access road meets the proposed pipeline.

c: PA Water Quality Classification Definition: TSF = Trout stocked fishery, MF = Migratory Fishery (Chapter 93 Pennsylvania Code). Water quality classifications were identified through a desktop review of available GIS data layers. A waterbody that was not assigned a water quality classification on the GIS data layer was given the same classification as the waterbody it drains into. All water quality classifications shown are designated uses, no existing uses are present in the Project area.

d: N/A = Not Applicable, no state fishery classification; PA Fishery Classifications: Approved trout waters; Wild Trout Waters (PFBC 2014a, 2014b, and 2014c).

e: II = Dry Crossing Method, including Flume, Dam and Pump, or Dry Open Cut for waterbodies that are dry at the time of crossing.

Transco will make every effort to remove rock using mechanical means and avoid blasting within surface water crossings; however, if conditions are encountered that warrant the use of controlled blasting, the appropriate permits and approvals will be obtained and regulatory requirements will be met prior to blasting. In general, if blasting is required at a waterbody crossing, the preparation of the rock for blasting (i.e., drilling shot holes) will cause sufficient disturbance to displace most aquatic organisms from the immediate vicinity of the blast and temporarily increase surface water turbidity. If in-water blasting is deemed necessary, Transco will implement its Blasting Plan, provided as Attachment 10 of the Transco ECP (Attachment M), and the site-specific blasting plan that will be produced for each area requiring blasting, which specifically addresses in-water blasting. In addition, Transco will obtain the required permits, licenses, and approvals and notify agencies in accordance with permit requirements. The Blasting Plan outlines proper precautions and necessary pre-blast planning to be implemented to minimize potential effects. Transco's contractor will also create a detailed, site-specific blasting plan for each area proposed for blasting; each site-specific blasting plan will be consistent with the provisions of the Project-specific Blasting Plan. Immediately following blasting, Transco will remove rock that impedes surface water flow. These steps will minimize the effects of blasting on aquatic organisms.

In general, if blasting is required at a watercourse crossing, the preparation of the rock for blasting (i.e., drilling shot holes) will not cause sufficient disturbance to displace aquatic organisms and will not increase surface water turbidity, since dry crossing methods will be utilized at each crossing. If in-water blasting is deemed necessary, Transco will implement its Blasting Plan, provided as Attachment 10 of the Transco ECP (Attachment M), and the site-specific blasting plan that will be produced for each area requiring blasting, which specifically addresses in-water blasting. In addition, Transco will obtain the required permits, licenses, and approvals and notify agencies in accordance with permit requirements. The Blasting Plan outlines proper precautions and necessary pre-blast planning to be implemented to minimize potential effects. Transco's contractor will also create a detailed, site-specific blasting plan for each area proposed for blasting; each site-specific blasting plan will be consistent with the provisions of the Project-specific Blasting

Plan. Immediately following blasting, Transco will remove rock that impedes surface water flow. Furthermore, Transco will implement a two-foot depth of cover within consolidated rock to minimize the amount of blasting required and the duration of construction activity within the affected watercourse.

Spill Control

Inadvertent spills of fluids used during construction, such as fuels, lubricants, and solvents, could contaminate wetland soils and have adverse impacts on wetland vegetation. The Spill Plan for Oil and Hazardous Materials (*Transco Spill Plan*), provided as Attachment 9 of the Transco ECP (Attachment M), will be implemented to minimize the potential for spills and minimize effects from spills. In general, storage of equipment, hazardous materials, chemicals, fuels, lubricating oils, will occur at least 100 feet from wetlands—and waterbodies watercourses, and bodies of water. The Horizontal Directional Drill (HDD) Contingency Plan in Attachment 3 of the ECP (Attachment M) addresses inadvertent returns.

Fuel spills that occur during construction, although unlikely, could result in toxicity to aquatic organisms and associated modifications of aquatic habitat, as well as decreased oxygen concentrations. Transco has developed a Spill Plan for Oil and Hazardous Materials (Transco Spill Plan), included as Attachment 9 of the ECP (Attachment M), that The Transco Spill Plan describes measures that will be implemented by Transco personnel and its contractors to prevent and, if necessary, control any inadvertent spill of hazardous materials that could affect water quality. The Transco Spill Plan-for Oil and Hazardous Materials will be updated with site-specific information prior to the initiation of construction activities. Hazardous materials, chemicals, lubricating oils, and fuels used during construction will be stored in upland areas at least 100 feet from surface waters unless otherwise approved by applicable regulatory agencies, and refueling of construction equipment will be conducted at least 100 feet from surface waters unless otherwise approved by applicable regulatory agencies. Additional precautions such as continual monitoring of fuel transfer and use of spill kits will be employed. Disposal of hazardous materials will also be conducted in accordance with the Transco Spill Plan for Oil and Hazardous Materials

Revegetation

Some wetland vegetation will be cut, removed, or crushed during construction. After the completion of construction, wetland areas within the ROW will be restored to preconstruction contours and revegetated with annual ryegrass—where standing water is not present to stabilize disturbed soils. For the pipeline construction ROW and ancillary facilities, Transco proposes to utilize either winter wheat or annual ryegrass as a nurse crop on the ROW from January 1 through May 15 and August 15 through December 31. During the summer months (May 15 through August 15), it is recommended that browntop millet be utilized as the nurse crop. The use of cereal (winter) rye is highly discouraged due to the allopathic effects it could have on the establishment of the permanent crop. It is recommended that annual rye be planted at a nurse rate of 4 pounds per acre and winter wheat at a rate of 10 pounds per acre, individually. Browntop millet should be seeded at a rate of 5 pounds per acre.

Annual ryegrass, winter wheat, and browntop millet are is intended to be a temporary cover to enhance soil stability. In wetland areas, restoration activities will involve returning wetlands to pre-construction contours and seeding in non-inundated areas with an approved wetland seed mix. The seed mixes proposed for the Project are included within the Riparian Area Impact Assessment and Restoration Plan for Lebanon County (Appendix L-2), and in the BMPs and Quantities Plan Set, included within Attachment M of the revised Application. Affected wetland areas will be allowed to revegetate naturally from existing adjacent seed banks. PEM wetlands, dominated primarily by low-growing sedges, rushes, and other herbaceous vegetation will revert to emergent vegetation following construction, resulting in no permanent change to wetland type. Wetland areas will not be amended with fertilizer, lime, or mulch unless required by applicable federal and state agencies.

Wetlands will be monitored post-construction in accordance with the Transco Procedures

(Attachment 18 of the Transco ECP [Attachment M]). Wetlands will be monitored for a

period of 3 to 5 years after the completion of construction to ensure successful
revegetation of the Project area. Revegetation will be considered successful when the

vegetative cover returns to at least 80 percent of the type, density, and distribution of the native vegetation in adjacent, undisturbed portions of the wetland. <u>Within three years after construction, Transco will file a report with FERC identifying the status of the wetland revegetation efforts and documenting success as defined in the Transco Procedures.</u>

For any wetland where revegetation is not successful at the end of three years after construction, Transco will develop and implement (in consultation with a professional wetland ecologist) a remedial revegetation plan to actively revegetate wetlands. Transco will continue revegetation efforts and file a report annually documenting progress in these wetlands until wetland revegetation is successful.

Additional avoidance and minimization measures are described in the Transco Procedures, which is included as Attachment 18 of the Transco ECP (Attachment M). To minimize adverse impacts at waterbody-watercourse crossings, the Transco Procedures will be implemented during construction, post-construction restoration, and operation of the Project. In addition, construction activities at waterbody-watercourse crossings will be performed in accordance with the USACE permit requirements, PA DEP permit requirements, and the FERC Order. waterbody-watercourse crossings will be performed in accordance with the USACE permit requirements, PA DEP permit requirements, and the FERC Order. waterbody-watercourse crossings will be

Cleanup and Restoration

Upon completion of wetland crossings, Transco will promptly restore wetlands to their original configurations and contours and promptly stabilize disturbed adjacent upland areas. *Final wetland elevations will be determined using civil survey (sub-centimeter accuracy) data collected prior to construction.* Through these activities, Transco seeks to protect wetlands from sediment transport and restore as quickly as possible. Following construction, Transco will monitor disturbed wetlands and adjacent uplands until restoration and long-term stabilization is documented.

Upon completion of in-stream construction, Transco will stabilize the surface restore water the stream bed and banks to minimize erosion, and washouts, and associated turbidity and sedimentation. Transco will stabilize the surface water banks and bed

stream bed and banks to preconstruction contours- such that they are similar to banks at the limits of disturbance. Transco will also utilize pre-construction photographs. Banks will be stabilized using geotextile fabric. Appendix L-3, Table 1 identifies each watercourse and the stream restoration detail to be utilized on either bank. A typical detail for streambed restoration is included in the BMPs and Quantities Plan Set within Attachment M. The streambed will be restored to grade using native streambed material. Depending on surface water conditions, the banks and bed may be stabilized using erosion control fabric, clean fill or native cobbles, riprap, and/or permanent slope breakers. To further stabilize the surface water banks, Transco will re-vegetate the banks and riparian areas using approved seed mixes in accordance with the Riparian Area Impact Assessment and Restoration Plan for Lebanon County within Appendix L-2. - The temporary vegetation will stabilize the area until indigenous riparian species are reestablished. If inclement weather limits the effectiveness of reseeding efforts, temporary erosion control measures will be implemented to minimize erosion until conditions are suitable for reseeding. The temporary erosion control measures will be monitored and maintained until conditions are suitable for completion of restoration. No fertilizers, lime, or mulch will be utilized in riparian areas unless required in writing by the PA DEP and USACE permit requirements and the FERC Order.

Following construction, disturbed areas will be reseeded with approved seed mixes in accordance with the Transco Project-specific Upland Erosion Control, Revegetation, and Maintenance Plan (*Transco* Plan), *the* and Transco Procedures (Attachments 17 and 18 of the Transco ECP (Attachment M)), and the *Riparian Area Impact Assessment and Restoration Plan for Lebanon County (Appendix L-2)*. Trees and other woody vegetation will be allowed to reestablish naturally within the temporary ROWs and other temporary workspaces that were cleared for construction of the pipeline. The use of soil conservation techniques will avoid and/or minimize erosion and runoff that could potentially affect surface water quality.

Temporary alteration of the ROWs and construction areas is expected to be relatively insignificant compared to the size of drainage areas and sub-watersheds of surface water systems across the Project area. Considering the Project's BMPs for erosion and sediment

control measures provided in Attachment 2 of the Transco ECP (Attachment M), such as the use of slope breakers, silt fence, and sediment traps, site stabilization during construction, and revegetation, changes in the type of the vegetative cover and shading of surface waters will vary by crossing. Areas with forested riparian zones may experience temporary or permanent reduction/loss of shading and thermal effects in the vicinity of areas where tree clearing occurs. The construction ROWs will be as narrow as practicable to construct safely, and the area of increased light penetration and increased water temperatures willshould be limited to a relatively short length of the surface water; therefore, habitat changes within the channel will be minimal. In areas where the forested buffer can regenerate naturally (in the construction ROW outside the permanent ROW), the effect will decrease over time as trees grow and mature and shading increases.

Wetlands

There are 1.79901.7899 acres of temporary wetland-impacts to PEM wetlands, 0.0511 acres of temporary impacts to PSS wetlands, and 0.47940.4487 acres of temporary impacts to PFO wetlands associated with the construction of the pipeline, which will be restored upon completion of construction, allowing the wetlands to revert to the preconstruction vegetation type. The Project will result in 0.2312 acres of permanent impacts to PEM wetlands, 0.0065 acres of permanent impacts to PSS wetlands, and 0.6155 acres of permanent impacts to PFO wetlands. There will be no fill placed in wetlands and no permanent loss of wetlands as a result of the Project. Construction workspace has been generally reduced to 75 feet in wetlands at most wetland crossings, unless necessary due to site specific constraints. Attachment P-1, Appendix P-1 provides a table of locations and justifications where ROWs in certain wetlands exceed the 75 foot maximum width recommended by the FERC. Permanent impacts to wetlands are associated with those wetlands located within the new permanent easement which is to be maintained during operation of the pipeline, as directed by the PA DEP. For PFO wetlands, this is considered to be a vegetative class change. Table L(d)-44 details the anticipated impacts to wetlands as a result of the Project.

Table L(d)-4
Wetland Impacts Associated with the Atlantic Sunrise Project in Lebanon County

Resource Name	Chapter 105.17 Wetland Classification	Wetland Cowardin Classification	Temporary Impact area (acres) ^a	Permanent Impact area (acres)	Latitude	Longitude
W-T10-6002A	Other	PEM	0.1534	0.0203	40.42992	-76.52578
W-T10- 6003A/W-T10- 6003A1	Other	PEM	0.0884	0.0051	40.42290	-76.52305
W-T10-6004	Other Other	<mark>PEM</mark>	<mark>0.0769</mark>	<mark>0.0103</mark>	40.42059	<mark>-76.52341</mark>
W-T11-4002	Other	PEM	0.0398	0.0000	4 0.23089	-76.54227
W-T11-4003	Other	PEM	0.0601	0.0177	40.22823	-76.54544
W-T11-4004	Other	PEM	0.0352	0.0048	40.21982	<mark>-76.54868</mark>
W-T13-4005	Other	<mark>PEM</mark>	0.0037	0.0000	40.26071	-76.53157
W-T13-6002	Other	PEM	0.0864	0.0131	<mark>40.41914</mark>	<mark>-76.52390</mark>
W-T14-5002	Other Other	PEM	<mark>0.0634</mark>	0.0000	40.35092	<mark>-76.53544</mark>
W-T14-5003	Other Other	PEM	0.0082	<mark>0.0016</mark>	40.35439	<mark>-76.53393</mark>
W-T14-5005A	<mark>Other</mark>	PEM	<mark>0.0947</mark>	<mark>0.0152</mark>	<mark>40.36327</mark>	<mark>-76.53390</mark>
W-T14-5008A	<mark>Other</mark>	<mark>PEM</mark>	<mark>0.0594</mark>	0.0099	40.37703	<mark>-76.53222</mark>
W-T14-5010	Other	PEM	0.0444	0.0074	<mark>40.38344</mark>	-76.53265
W-T14-5010-1	<mark>Other</mark>	PEM	<mark>0.0417</mark>	0.0073	40.38340	<mark>-76.53268</mark>
W-T14-5014	<mark>Other</mark>	<mark>PEM</mark>	0.0120	0.0000	<mark>40.40856</mark>	<mark>-76.52574</mark>
W-T14-5015A	<mark>Other</mark>	PEM	0.0038	0.0000	<mark>40.41341</mark>	<mark>-76.52417</mark>
W-T18-4003	Other	PEM	0.0076	0.0000	40.22942	<mark>-76.54407</mark>
W-T23-6002A	EV	PEM	<mark>0.0268</mark>	0.0000	<mark>40.48014</mark>	<mark>-76.55519</mark>
W-T30-6003	<mark>Other</mark>	PEM	<mark>0.0759</mark>	<mark>0.0131</mark>	<mark>40.44884</mark>	<mark>-76.53563</mark>
W-T32-4001	Other Other	PEM	0.0970	<mark>0.0101</mark>	40.23952	<mark>-76.53918</mark>
W-T32-5001	Other	PEM	0.0007	0.0000	<mark>40.40145</mark>	-76.53035
W-T32-6001	Other	PEM	0.0368	0.0040	40.45352	-76.53281
W-T33-6001	EV	PEM	0.0520	<mark>0.0065</mark>	40.48720	<mark>-76.55674</mark>
W-T33-6001	EV	PEM	0.0004	0.0000	<mark>40.48712</mark>	<mark>-76.55630</mark>
W-T40-6001A	Other Other	PEM	<mark>0.3156</mark>	<mark>0.0460</mark>	<mark>40.45982</mark>	<mark>-76.53547</mark>
W-T43-4001	Other	PEM	0.0004	0.0009	<mark>40.27287</mark>	-76.53157
W-T43-5001	Other	PEM	0.0041	0.0000	40.33420	-76.53306
W-T43-5003	Other Other	PEM	0.0023	0.0000	40.33402	<mark>-76.53550</mark>
W-T43-5004	<mark>Other</mark>	PEM	0.0476	0.0093	40.33245	<mark>-76.53849</mark>
W-T43-6002	EV	PEM	0.0091	0.0000	40.47807	-76.55213
W-T44-7001	Other	PEM	<mark>0.0617</mark>	0.0083	<mark>40.49648</mark>	-76.55087

31

Table L(d)-4
Wetland Impacts Associated with the Atlantic Sunrise Project in Lebanon County

Resource Name	Chapter 105.17 Wetland Classification	Wetland Cowardin Classification	Temporary Impact area (acres) ^a	Permanent Impact area (acres)	Latitude	Longitude
W-T53-6001	Other	PEM	0.0010	0.0000	<mark>40.46546</mark>	-76.54045
W-T67-6001	Other	PEM	<mark>0.0550</mark>	0.0001	40.45801	<mark>-76.53245</mark>
W-T67-6002	<u>Other</u>	PEM	<mark>0.1045</mark>	0.0096	40.45880	-76.53346
W-T69-6001		PEM	<mark>0.0539</mark>	0.0052	40.43791	<mark>-76.52893</mark>
W-T96-4003A	Other	PEM	0.0688	0.0128	40.21703	<mark>-76.54395</mark>
Total	PEM Wetland Imp	acts	1.7990 1.7899	<mark>0.2312</mark>		
W-T13-4002	Other	PSS	0.0023	0.0000	<mark>40.27137</mark>	<mark>-76.53200</mark>
W-T14-5015B	<u>Other</u>	PSS	0.0372	<mark>0.0065</mark>	<mark>40.41340</mark>	<mark>-76.52427</mark>
W-T10-6003B	Other	PSS	<mark>0.0116</mark>	0.0000	40.42321	<mark>-76.52329</mark>
Total	PSS Wetland Imp	<mark>acts</mark>	<mark>0.0511</mark>	<mark>0.0065</mark>		
W-T10-6002C	Other	PFO	0.0937	<mark>0.1178</mark>	<mark>40.42978</mark>	<mark>-76.52595</mark>
W-T13-4001	Other	PFO	0.0848	0.0617	<mark>40.25195</mark>	-76.53141
W-T13-4004	Other	PFO	0.0087	0.0012	<mark>40.26212</mark>	-76.53223
W-T14-5005C	<mark>Other</mark>	PFO	<mark>0.0019</mark>	0.0000	40.3633 <mark>1</mark>	<mark>-76.53408</mark>
W-T20-7001	EV	PFO	0.0037	<mark>0.0115</mark>	40.50370	-76.53446
W-T23-6001C	EV	PFO	<mark>0.0098</mark>	0.0229	<mark>40.49962</mark>	<mark>-76.54245</mark>
W-T23-6002C / W-T23-6002-2	EV	PFO	0.2109	0.2859	40.48082	<mark>-76.55631</mark>
W-T30-6001	Other	PFO	<mark>0.0422</mark> 0. <u>0115</u>	0.0479	40.44290	-76.53656
W-T40-6001C	Other	PFO	0.1172	0.1295	<mark>40.45999</mark>	-76.53574
W-T96-4003C	Other	PFO	0.0025	0.0000	<mark>40.21723</mark>	-76.54391
Total	PFO Wetland Imp		0.4794 0.4487	<mark>0.6155</mark>		

Source: http://www.pacode.com/secure/data/025/chapter105/s105.17.html

https://www.fws.gov/wetlands/Data/Wetland-Codes.html

Key:

PEM = Palustrine Emergent

PSS = Palustrine Shrub-Shrub

PFO = Palustrine Forested

EV = Exceptional Value

Temporary impacts to PFO wetlands include temporal conversion from forested to scrub-shrub or emergent wetland. Within this wetland, a 30-foot-wide corridor centered over the pipeline will be permanently converted from forested to scrub-shrub or emergent wetland; the remainder of the wetland will be allowed to fully revert back to PFO.^a Temporary impacts and permanent impacts are accounted for separately
PERMITTAL TEMPORARY TEMPOR

Transco will use the conventional open-cut pipeline crossing method in wetlands where soils are unsaturated and able to support construction equipment at the time of crossing. This method requires segregation of topsoil from subsoil along the trench line. Where present, The Transco Procedures (ECP Attachment 18, provided within Attachment M of this Application) and Agricultural and Construction Monitoring Plan (ECP Attachment 6, provided within Attachment M of this Application) indicate that the top 12 inches of topsoil from wetland and agricultural areas disturbed by trenching will be segregated from subsoil, except in areas where standing water is present, soils are saturated, or where shallow depth to bedrock conditions exist. These exceptions will be identified via visual assessment during grading and documented in the field with the Environmental and/or Agricultural Inspector. Once this is done Transco will conduct trench excavation, pipe laying, backfilling, and grade restoration. Immediately after backfilling is complete, Transco will replace the segregated topsoil to its original horizon location and install applicable erosion control measures. Transco will use the conventional open-cut wetland construction method for crossing wetlands with saturated soils or soils unable to support construction equipment without considerable soil disturbance. Prior to crossing and movement of construction equipment through these wetlands, Transco will stabilize the ROW using equipment mats to allow for a stable, safe working condition and to prevent significant rutting/soil disturbance. Transco will temporarily store trench spoil in a ridge along the pipeline trench, leaving gaps as appropriate intervals to provide for natural circulation or drainage of water. Topsoil will not be segregated where standing water is present or soils are saturated.

While excavating the trench, Transco will attempt to assemble the pipeline in a staging area located in an upland area unless the wetland is dry enough to support skids and pipe. Transco will then move the pipe to the ROW. Pipe stringing and fabrication may occur within the wetland adjacent to the trench or adjacent to the wetland in an approved ATWS. After lowering the pipeline into the trench, Transco will work from equipment mats to perform backfilling, final cleanup, and grading.

Construction in inundated wetland areas may require the push-pull technique. The push-pull technique can be used in large inundated wetland areas (>300 feet crossing length)

where sufficient water is present to float the pipeline in the trench and where grade elevation over the length of the push-pull area will not require damming to maintain adequate water levels for flotation of the pipe. Transco will use this technique when inundated conditions prevent the use of conventional open-cut wetland construction techniques.

Operation of construction equipment through wetlands will be limited to only that necessary for each stage of pipeline installation (e.g., clearing, trenching). Topsoil segregation techniques will be used in wetlands that are not saturated or inundated to preserve the seed bank and to facilitate successful restoration. Wetland crossing methods will be determined based on site-specific conditions at the time of crossing. Wetlands with soils that can support construction equipment may be crossed using the conventional open-cut method, as described below, with the use of timber mats to prevent soil rutting. In forested wetlands, Transco will minimize tree clearing to the extent practicable while maintaining safe construction conditions. Typical drawings for the wetland crossings are provided in Attachment 2 of the Transco ECP (Attachment M).

Some wetland vegetation will be cut, removed, or crushed during construction. After the completion of construction, wetland areas within the ROW will be restored to preconstruction contours and revegetated with annual ryegrass where standing water is not present to stabilize disturbed soils. Annual ryegrass is intended to be a temporary cover to enhance soil stability. *In wetland areas, restoration activities will involve returning wetlands to pre-construction contours and seeding in non-inundated areas with an approved wetland seed mix.* PEM wetlands, dominated primarily by low-growing sedges, rushes, and other herbaceous vegetation will revert to emergent vegetation following construction, resulting in no permanent change to wetland type. Wetland areas will not be amended with fertilizer, lime, or mulch unless required by appropriate federal and state agencies.

Upon completion of wetland crossings, Transco will restore wetlands to their original configurations and contours and stabilize disturbed adjacent upland areas. Through these activities, Transco seeks to protect wetlands from sediment transport and restore native

hydrophytic vegetation as quickly as possible. Following construction, Transco will monitor disturbed wetlands and adjacent uplands until restoration and long-term stabilization is documented per the requirements of the FERC Order and other applicable regulatory approvals.

Revegetation will be considered successful when the vegetative cover returns to at least 80 percent of the type, density, and distribution of the native vegetation in adjacent, portions of the wetland not disturbed by construction of the Project.

Additional wetland avoidance and minimization measures are described in the Transco Procedures, which is included as Attachment 18 of the Transco ECP (Attachment M) as well as the Alternatives Analysis for this application (Attachment P-1).

Compensatory Mitigation for Wetland Impacts

No permanent fill will be placed in wetlands as a result of this Project. Mitigation will be required for permanent conversion of vegetation cover type for PFO and PSS wetlands and for temporary conversion of vegetation cover type for PFO wetlands.

The following impact mitigation ratios were determined in coordination with the PA DEP and USACE: 2.5:1 for EV PFO wetlands, 2:1 for non-EV PFO wetlands, 1.75:1 for EV PSS wetlands, and 1.5:1 for non-EV PSS wetlands.

No permanent fill will be placed in wetlands as a result of this Project. However, there will be permanent conversion of PFO wetlands to PEM or PSS vegetation types within the permanent ROW; therefore all PFO wetland impacts not classified as Exceptional Value (EV) are being compensated for at a ratio of 2:1, while EV wetlands will be compensated for at a 2.5:1 ratio. Construction and operation of the Project will impact

The Project will result in 0.52 acre if impacts to non-EV PFO wetlands, and 0.54 acre of impacts to EV PFO wetlands, and 0.01 acre of permanent impacts to non-EV PSS wetlands. No EV PSS wetlands are anticipated to be impacted in Lebanon County. Mitigation will be required for the 1.07 acres of wetland impacts within Lebanon County which will be compensated for at the Hibred Farms Permittee Responsible Mitigation

wetlands which will be compensated for at the Hibred Farms Mitigation Site as detailed in Attachment Q. Additionally, 0.6934 acres of EV PFO wetland impacts have been identified in Lebanon County. Off-site Transco proposes to provide off-site mitigation for will be provided for a total of 3.3285 acres of PFO wetlands affected in Lebanon County. [(0.54 acre*2.5=1.35 acres)+(0.52 acre*2.0 = 1.04 acres)+(0.01 acre*1.5 = 0.02 acre) = 2.41 acres]. The mitigation site will provide sufficient acreage to compensate for the above-listed impacts, with additional ecological benefits beyond the required mitigation. Some of the temporary and permanent PFO impact areas are also being replanted on-site, providing double mitigation for these areas.

The mitigation site is oversized to account for potential Project-related wetland impacts within parcels that currently do not have access for surveys. For mitigation planning purposes remote sensed features on the remaining no-survey parcels are included on the impact table in Attachment E-3 and are depicted on the impact mapping in Attachment H-2. Remote sensed features are identified with an RS prefix (e.g., WW-RS-1009) within the impact tables and mapping. [(0.7975 acres*2 ratio = 1.5950 acres) + (0.6934 acres*2.5 ratio = 1.7335 acres) = 3.3285 acres].

Transco has provided a Mitigation Master Plan and a Site Specific Mitigation Plan for the Hibred Farms PRM site in Lancaster County. Under the authorization of this Chapter 105 permit application, Transco is seeking authorization to construct the Mitigation Site for this Project. Impacts to regulated resources at the Hibred Farms PRM site are included in the revised Application for Lancaster County.

The USACE Highway Methodology Workbook Supplement: Wetland Functions and Values (Supplement 1993) was used to evaluate the functions and values of the wetlands at the impact site and the PRM Sites. The Supplement is a qualitative approach to describing the physical characteristics of and identifying the functions and values exhibited by a wetland.

The Wetland Function-Value Evaluation Form in the Supplement was completed at the PRM Sites in order to capture the existing or pre-restoration conditions at the PRM Sites as well as the anticipated ecological lift the site will experience as a result of the restoration activities. The baseline conditions of the existing wetlands at the PRM Sites already represent a functional uplift with respect to the functions and values as compared to the impacted wetlands for which the PRM Sites will be providing mitigation. The functional uplift will further be improved through the proposed restoration efforts. The wetland functions and values assessments performed at the Project impact locations and the PRM Sites indicate that the mitigation site, once restored, will compensate for and replace the functions and values impacted as a result of the Project by providing improved wildlife habitat, flood flow alteration, and nutrient removal/retention (the top three functions and values lost as a result of Project construction) at comparatively high levels (see Attachment Q-1).

Hibred Farms PRM Site

The proposed mitigation addresses current impairments to the Hibred Farms PRM sites existing hydrology. Upon review of the 1939 aerial imagery, the PRM Site exists in its natural state as a mixed PEM, PSS, PFO wetland. By 1959, aerial imagery indicates clearly that an intensive ditching system was installed to lower the water table in the area for agricultural practices. Since that time the main stem has continued to incise, creating a zone of depression adjacent to the incised channel, negatively affecting the hydrology of the wetlands on-site, while also creating instability and downstream sedimentation impacts. When compared to the bog turtle core habitat map, it can be seen that very little core habitat occurs adjacent to the main stem, further confirming the influence of the incision on the PRM Site's hydrology. Lastly these headcuts and the systems overall instability will continue to incise the side ditches, ultimately resulting in a reduction of core bog turtle habitat at the PRM Site.

The proposed mitigation addresses the incision of the main stem, stabilizing the stream, increasing stream and floodplain interaction, reducing downstream sedimentation, and raising the water table adjacent to the channel. This improved hydrology will enhance the

bog turtle habitat on-site.

The proposed woody PSS species are non-aggressive species, many of which are currently present within the PRM Site. All selected species and planting rates were approved by the USFWS in consultation with bog turtle specialists from agencies in other states. In addition, species in adjacent bog turtle wetlands were inventoried as part of the species list selection. These plantings are proposed to be planted only in limited non-core habitat areas. Long-term, Transco is providing the long-term easement holder with a long-term maintenance and monitoring fund. This money can be used to ensure that there is no encroachment of any woody tree species into the core bog turtle habitat areas.

Overall the restored main stem improves the hydrology of the site, addresses the current causes of instability, and when combined with the structure of the Projects proposed long-term maintenance and management provides a sustainable enhancement to the existing bog turtle habitat on-site.

Waterbodies Watercourses and Bodies of Water

Fifty-six-five watercourses waterbodies will be crossed during construction of the pipeline in Lebanon County. The centerline of the pipeline will cross each waterbody-watercourse utilizing the construction method indicated in the table below. Temporary construction bridges will be used to cross the streams with equipment, as necessary, during construction. Transco is proposing to cross waterbodies using a dam-and-pump, flume, or conventional bore construction method. Temporary stream impacts total 1.6590_1.6485_acres of perennial streams, and approximately 0.5436 acres of intermittent and ephemeral streams. Permanent stream impacts are defined by the placement of the pipeline and permanent operation easement as directed by PA DEP, and total 0.1783 acres of perennial streams and 0.0722 acres of intermittent and ephemeral streams.

Transco is proposing to cross watercourses using a dam-and-pump, flume, or conventional bore construction method (see also Attachment P-1, Appendix P-1).

No fill or water obstructions will be added to streams as a part of this Project, therefore no loss of stream functions and values is expected to occur. Impacts are detailed in Table L(d)- $\underline{52}$.

Table L(d)-52
Stream Impacts Associated with the Atlantic Sunrise Project in Lebanon County

Resource ID	Resource Name	Chapter 93	Stream	Stream PERC Trout Status			Temporary	Temporary Impact Impact Dimensions		Permanent Impact	Latitude	Longitude	Waterbody Crossing
Resource ib	Nesource Hame	Classificationa	Туре	Oli Calli I I BO I Tout Olatus	` `			(Length x Width)		area	Latitude	Longitude	Method
					(Fe	eet) ^b	(Acres)	(Fe	et) ^D	(Acres)			
WW-T10-7001	UNT to Swatara Creek	CWF, MF	Perennial	Wild Trout Waters (under review)	88.00	12.48	0.0228	10.48	12.48	0.0029	40.52598	-76.49362	Dam-and-Pump
WW-T10-7003	UNT to Swatara Creek	CWF, MF	Perennial	Wild Trout Waters (under review)	80.38	13.91	0.0307	10.00	13.91	0.0032	40.52328	-76.49928	Dam-and-Pump
WW-T10-7004	UNT to Swatra Creek	CWF, MF	Perennial	Wild Trout Waters (under review)	84.90	45.90	0.0710	10.71	45.90	0.0103	40.51676	-76.51826	Flume
WW-T13-4003	UNT to Gingrich Run	TSF, MF	Perennial	None	44.01	8.80	0.0312	13.52	8.80	0.0027	40.27127	-76.53198	Dam-and-Pump
WW-T13-4004	UNT to Little Conewago Creek	TSF, MF	Perennial	None	86.70	18.20	0.0378	11.10	18.20	0.0042	40.26200	-76.53197	Dam-and-Pump
WW-T13-4005	UNT to Little Conewago Creek	TSF, MF	Perennial	None	125.50	6.80	0.0240	10.00	6.80	0.0014	40.26009	-76.53120	Dam-and-Pump
WW-T14-5004	UNT to Swatara Creek	WWF, MF	Perennial	None	95.82	17.30	0.0323	11.45	17.30	0.0040	40.36007	-76.53322	Flume
WW-T14-5005	UNT to Swatara Creek	WWF, MF	Perennial	None	80.66	5.03	0.0095	10.11	5.03	0.0012	40.36329	-76.53396	Dam-and-Pump
WW-T14-5006	Swatara Creek	WWF, MF	Perennial	None	141.67	174.09	0.5616	10.16	174.09	0.0400	40.36975	-76.53528	Flume
WW-T14-5008	UNT to Swatara Creek	WWF, MF	Perennial	None	75.71	10.60	0.0218	10.87	10.60	0.0026	40.38338	-76.53276	Dam-and-Pump
WW-T14-5009A	UNT to Swatara Creek	WWF, MF	Perennial	None	81.41	6.90	0.0149	10.06	6.90	0.0016	40.39121	-76.52845	Dam-and-Pump
WW-T20-7001	UNT to Swatara Creek	CWF, MF	Perennial	Wild Trout Waters (under review)	80.81	28.55	0.0401	10.12	28.55	0.0066	40.50540	-76.53046	Dam-and-Pump
WW-T20-7002	UNT to Swatara Creek	CWF, MF	Perennial	Wild Trout Waters (under review)	66.95	12.15	0.0191	10.30	12.15	0.0028	40.50372	-76.53450	Dam-and-Pump
WW-T20-7003	UNT to Swatra Creek	CWF, MF	Perennial	Wild Trout Waters (under review)	87.54	12.76	0.0168	10.01	12.76	0.0029	40.51097	-76.52302	Dam-and-Pump
WW-T23-6001	UNT to Swatara Creek	CWF, MF	Perennial	Wild Trout Waters (under review)	86.59	43.06	0.0705	10.77	43.06	0.0099	40.49948	-76.54253	Dam-and-Pump
WW-T23-6002	UNT to Swatara Creek	CWF, MF	Perennial	Wild Trout Waters (under review)	88.20	42.21	0.0829	12.04	42.21	0.0098	40.50330	-76.53522	Dam-and-Pump
WW-T23-6003	Trout Run	CWF, MF	Perennial	Approved Trout Waters; Trout Stocked Stream; Wild Trout Waters	85.95	33.26	0.0560	10.67	33.26	0.0076	40.48024	-76.55557	Dam-and-Pump
WW-T25-6001	UNT to Trout Run	CWF, MF	Perennial	Wild Trout Waters, Trout Stocked Stream	4.39	4.98	0.0005	15.35	4.98	0.0018	40.47417	-76.55263	N/A
WW-T30-4002	Conewago Creek	TSF, MF	Perennial	Approved Trout Waters, Trout Stocked Stream	80.79	34.39	0.0594	10.00	34.39	0.0079	40.21718	-76.54416	Dam-and-Pump

Table L(d)-52
Stream Impacts Associated with the Atlantic Sunrise Project in Lebanon County

Resource ID	Resource Name	Chapter 93 Classification ^a	Stream Type	Stream PFBC Trout Status	Dime	ary Impact nsions x Width)	Temporary Impact area	lmp Dimer	anent pact nsions x Width)	Permanent Impact area	Latitude	Longitude	Waterbody Crossing Method
					(Fe	et) ^b	(Acres)	(Fe	et) ^b	(Acres)			
WW-T30-6004	UNT to Qureg Run	WWF, MF	Perennial	None	41.91	7.50	0.0089	10.00	7.50	0.0017	40.44296	-76.53664	Conventional Bore
WW-T32-6001	Forge Creek	WWF, MF	Perennial	None	65.84	18.39	0.0259	10.01	18.39	0.0042	40.45347	-76.53286	Dam-and-Pump
WW-T33-6001	UNT to Trout Run	CWF, MF	Perennial	Approved Trout Waters; Trout Stocked Stream; Wild Trout Waters	82.96	12.27	0.0254	10.02	12.27	0.0028	40.48746	-76.55645	Dam-and-Pump
WW-T33-6001A	UNT to Trout Run	CWF, MF	Perennial	Wild Trout Waters, Trout Stocked Stream	10.41	4.98	0.0012	0.00	0.00	0.0000	40.48715	-76.55632	N/A
WW-T40-6001	UNT to Forge Creek	WWF, MF	Perennial	None	89.57	33.07	0.0683	10.39	33.07	0.0076	40.46111	-76.53679	Dam-and-Pump
WW-T40-6001A	UNT to Forge Creek	WWF, MF	Perennial	None	81.43	27.01	0.0437	10.08	27.01	0.0062	40.46038	-76.53602	Dam-and-Pump
WW-T40-6004	UNT to Qureg Run	WWF, MF	Perennial	None	117.10	10.38	0.0193	15.19	10.38	0.0025	40.42996	-76.52586	Dam-and-Pump
WW-T43-5003	Quittapahilla Creek	TSF, MF	Perennial	Approved Trout Waters, Trout Stocked Stream	83.55	53.49	0.1010	10.00	53.49	0.0123	40.33221	-76.53899	Flume
WW-T43-6001	UNT to Trout Run	CWF, MF	Perennial	Wild Trout Waters	110.20	20.83	0.0398	13.81	20.83	0.0047	40.48922	-76.55573	Dam-and-Pump
WW-T43-6003A	UNT to Trout Run	CWF, MF	Perennial	Approved Trout Waters; Trout Stocked Stream; Wild Trout Waters	42.79	4.98	0.0051	0.00	0.00	0.0000	40.47804	-76.55219	Dam-and-Pump
WW-T44-7002	UNT to Trout Run	CWF, MF	Perennial	Wild Trout Waters	89.46	20.40	0.0442	10.91	20.40	0.0047	40.49636	-76.55132	Dam-and-Pump
WW-T53-4001	UNT to Little Conewago Creek	TSF, MF	Perennial	None	69.67	3.88	0.0060	10.02	3.88	0.0009	40.23945	-76.53918	Dam-and-Pump
WW-T64-5001	Gingrich Run	TSF, MF	Perennial	None	81.71	17.19	0.0316	10.11	17.19	0.0040	40.28045	-76.53061	Flume
WW-T99-5008A	UNT to Swatara Creek	WWF, MF	Perennial	None	83.26	14.19	0.0264	10.71	14.19	0.0033	40.38299	-76.53235	Dam-and-Pump
		Perennial St	ream Impacts				1.6485			0.1783			
WW-T10-6002	UNT to Swatara Creek	WWF, MF	Intermittent	None	80.03	10.45	0.0247	10.00	10.45	0.0024	40.42197	-76.52258	Dam-and-Pump
WW-T10-7002	UNT to Swatara Creek	CWF, MF	Intermittent	Wild Trout Waters (under review)	87.88	14.26	0.0252	10.21	14.26	0.0033	40.52548	-76.49601	Dam-and-Pump
WW-T13-4002	UNT to Little Conewago Creek	TSF, MF	Intermittent	None	83.08	4.25	0.0088	10.21	4.25	0.0011	40.25926	-76.53101	Dam-and-Pump
WW-T13-4002A	UNT to Little Conewago Creek	TSF, MF	Ephemeral	None	106.24	5.61	0.0087	15.73	5.61	0.0015	40.25916	-76.53095	Dam-and-Pump
WW-T14-5003	UNT to Swatara Creek	WWF, MF	Intermittent	None	88.61	22.45	0.0357	10.76	22.45	0.0052	40.35435	-76.53385	Dam-and-Pump
WW-T14-5006A	UNT to Swatara Creek	WWF, MF	Intermittent	None	157.11	6.71	0.0072	0.00	0.00	0.0000	40.37003	-76.53464	N/A

Table L(d)-52
Stream Impacts Associated with the Atlantic Sunrise Project in Lebanon County

Resource ID	Resource Name	Chapter 93 Classification ^a	Stream Type	Stream PFBC Trout Status	(Length x Width)		Temporary Impact area	Dimensions (Length x Width)		Permanent Impact area	Latitude	Longitude	Waterbody Crossing Method
					(Fe	eet) ^b	(Acres)	(Feet) ^b		(Acres)			
WW-T14-5007	UNT to Swatara Creek	WWF, MF	Intermittent	None	83.65	7.90	0.0180	10.16	7.90	0.0018	40.37704	-76.53213	Dam-and-Pump
WW-T14-5010	UNT to Reeds Creek	WWF, MF	Intermittent	None	108.51	39.18	0.0528	12.84	39.18	0.0090	40.40864	-76.52589	Dam-and-Pump
WW-T14-5011	UNT to Reeds Creek	WWF, MF	Intermittent	None	91.52	15.42	0.0277	10.76	15.42	0.0036	40.41044	-76.52605	Dam-and-Pump
WW-T14-5011A	UNT to Reeds Creek	WWF, MF	Intermittent	None	80.96	3.55	0.0140	10.00	3.55	0.0009	40.41048	-76.52601	Dam-and-Pump
WW-T14-5013	Reeds Creek	WWF, MF	Intermittent	None	65.82	12.48	0.0179	10.14	12.48	0.0028	40.41334	-76.52426	Dam-and-Pump
WW-T14-5013A	UNT to Reeds Creek	WWF, MF	Intermittent	None	72.51	12.81	0.0194	10.33	12.81	0.0029	40.41343	-76.52431	Dam-and-Pump
WW-T18-4002	UNT to Little Conewago Creek	TSF, MF	Ephemeral	None	50.79	5.15	0.0055	0.00	0.00	0.0000	40.23160	-76.54139	N/A
WW-T30-4003	UNT to Conewago Creek	TSF, MF	Ephemeral	Approved Trout Waters, Trout Stocked Stream	64.87	5.49	0.0095	10.00	5.49	0.0013	40.21487	-76.53949	Dam-and-Pump
WW-T30-6005	UNT to Qureg Run	WWF, MF	Intermittent	None	84.94	15.99	0.0234	11.40	15.99	0.0036	40.44554	-76.53645	Dam-and-Pump
WW-T33-4001	UNT to Gingrich Run	TSF, MF	Ephemeral	None	70.68	16.20	0.0254	11.74	16.20	0.0042	40.27269	-76.53157	Dam-and-Pump
WW-T40-6003	UNT to Qureg Run	WWF, MF	Intermittent	None	84.07	19.82	0.0378	10.16	19.82	0.0046	40.44163	-76.53503	Dam-and-Pump
WW-T43-4001	UNT to Conewago Creek	TSF, MF	Ephemeral	Approved Trout Waters, Trout Stocked Stream	13.76	4.98	0.0018	18.52	9.22	0.0021	40.23653	-76.53692	Flume
WW-T43-5001	UNT to Quittapahilla Creek	TSF, MF	Intermittent	Approved Trout Waters, Trout Stocked Stream	81.09	6.32	0.0093	12.68	6.32	0.0015	40.33421	-76.53467	Dam-and-Pump
WW-T43-6004	UNT to Trout Run	CWF, MF	Intermittent	Approved Trout Waters; Trout Stocked Stream; Wild Trout Waters	110.69	5.19	0.0126	10.42	5.19	0.0012	40.47819	-76.55243	Dam-and-Pump
WW-T44-5001	UNT to Swatara Creek	WWF, MF	Intermittent	None	81.98	10.23	0.0232	10.00	10.23	0.0023	40.40131	-76.53026	Dam-and-Pump
WW-T64-4001	UNT to Conewago Creek	TSF, MF	Intermittent	Trout Stocked Stream	241.83	58.51	0.0877	36.32	58.51	0.0135	40.21350	-76.53451	Dam-and-Pump
WW-T67-6001	UNT to Forge Creek	WWF, MF	Intermittent	None	26.86	3.26	0.0024	13.99	3.26	0.0011	40.45793	-76.53254	Dam-and-Pump
WW-T69-6001	UNT to Qureg Run	WWF, MF	Ephemeral	None	90.42	19.09	0.0311	11.33	19.09	0.0044	40.43893	-76.53033	Dam-and-Pump
WW-T69-6002	UNT to Qureg Run	WWF, MF	Intermittent	None	49.70	23.83	0.0211	0.00	0.00	0.0000	40.43849	-76.52953	N/A
Ephemeral and Intermittent Stream Impacts							0.5436			0.0722			

http://www.pacode.com/secure/data/025/chapter93/chap93toc.html

http://www.fishandboat.com/waters_trout.htm

a: CWF = Cold Water Fishery; MF = Migratory Fishes; WWF = Warm Water Fishery; TSF = Trout Stocked.
 b: Widths are reported as the maximum width for the feature. Stream lengths are reported on the impact maps as the sum of the permanent and temporary lengths.^a Temporary impacts and permanent impacts are accounted for separately

<u>Dam-and-Pump Crossing Method</u>

The dam-and-pump method for crossing surface waters temporarily diverts flow around the construction workspace while maintaining downstream flow. Transco will install dams upstream and downstream of the proposed trench and then use pumps and hoses to convey flow around the in-stream workspace to create a dry work area. The pumped water will be discharged downstream of the construction workspace. Pumps will be sized to accommodate flow based on the size of the tributary watershed. Spare pumps will be onsite for use in case the in-use pump mechanically fails during construction. Once the damand-pump equipment is in place and active, Transco will excavate the trench and install the pipeline in the dry ditch.

Flume Crossing

When using a flume crossing, Transco will install flume pipe(s) over the crossing location prior to trenching. Transco will maintain these pipes until restoration of the waterbody watercourse is complete. Transco will use flumes of a size and quantity sufficient to maintain the maximum anticipated downstream flows per the applicable regulations. Transco will then thread the natural gas pipe under the flume pipe(s), and backfill the ditch while flows are maintained through the flume pipe(s) and downstream.

Conventional Bore Crossing Method

Conventional bore allows for trenchless construction across an area but is applicable to shorter and shallower crossings than those requiring the HDD method. To complete a conventional bore, Transco will excavate a pit on either side of the stream to provide a work area for the equipment. Transco will then use a boring machine to bore to a diameter equal to the diameter of the pipe (or casing, if required) at the depth of pipeline installation and push the pipeline through the bore to the opposite pit. The conventional bore crossing method requires level temporary workspaces on either side of the crossing for pit excavation and boring machine operation. As a result, conventional bore is frequently infeasible due to adjacent slopes or wetlands.

Transco proposes to use the conventional bore method to cross an unnamed tributary to Qureg Creek (WW-T30-6004) at Milepost 55.49. This waterbody watercourse crossing is

located immediately adjacent to a primary roadway that will be crossed using the conventional bore method. The bore will be extended to also cross the adjacent waterbody watercourse, such that the entry and exit pit of the bore will not be located within an adjacent wetland. This crossing is a feasible location for conventional bore due to absence of steep gradients or wetlands adjacent to the stream and road and the presence of suitable work areas for the setup and operation of a boring machine.

Unless otherwise approved, Transco will adhere to time of year restrictions on in-stream construction for those streams with trout designations as set by the PFBC. For streams identified by PFBC for having stocked sections within the Project, no in-stream work will occur from March 1 to June 15. For wild trout waters, no in-stream work will occur between October 1 and December 1. Transco has coordinatediscoordinating with the PFBC to confirm the time of year restrictions that are applicable to the streams crossed by the Project.

Waterbody Watercourse Restoration and Compensatory Mitigation Upon completion of in-stream construction, Transco will stabilize restore the stream bed and banksthe banks to minimize erosion, and washouts, and associated turbidity and sedimentation. Transco will stabilize the stream bed and bankssurface water banks and bed to preconstruction contours such that they are similar to banks at the limits of disturbance. Transco will also utilize pre-construction photographs. Depending on surface water conditions, the banks and bed may be stabilized using erosion control fabric, clean fill or native cobbles, and/or permanent slope breakers. Banks will be stabilized using geotextile fabric. Appendix L-3, Table 1 identifies each watercourse and the stream restoration detail to be utilized on either bank. A typical detail for streambed restoration is included in the BMPs and Quantities Plan Set within Attachment M. The streambed will be restored to grade using native streambed material. To further stabilize the surface water banks, Transco will revegetate the banks and riparian areas using approved seed mixes in accordance with the Riparian Area Impact Assessment and Restoration Plan in Appendix L-2. The temporary vegetation will stabilize the area until indigenous riparian species are re-established. If inclement weather limits the effectiveness of reseeding efforts, temporary erosion control measures

will be implemented to minimize erosion until conditions are suitable for reseeding. The temporary erosion control measures will be monitored and maintained until conditions are suitable for completion of restoration. No fertilizers, lime, or mulch will be utilized in riparian areas unless required in writing by <u>the PA DEP and USACE permit requirements</u> and the FERC order.**DEP**.

Following construction, disturbed areas adjacent to waterbodies watercourses and bodies of water will be reseeded with approved seed mixes in accordance with the Transco Project-specific Upland Erosion Control, Revegetation, and Maintenance Plan (Plan) and Transco Procedures (Attachments 17 and 18 of the Transco ECP (Attachment M) Riparian Area Impact Assessment and Restoration Plan for Lebanon County within Appendix L-2). Trees and other woody vegetation will be allowed to reestablish naturally within the temporary ROWs and other temporary workspaces that were cleared for construction of the pipeline. The use of soil conservation techniques will avoid and/or minimize erosion and runoff that could potentially affect surface water quality.

No permanent fill will be placed in any waterbodies watercourses as a result of this Project, and no stream relocation is expected. Therefore, no stream mitigation is proposed for this Project.

Floodplains

Conewago Creek, Swatara Creek, Forge Creek, Quittapahilla Creek, UNT to Little Conewago Creek, Gingrich Run, and Trout Run have FEMA designated floodplains that are located within the construction workspace. PA DEP defines a regulatory floodway as a 50-foot buffer, which is established 50 feet from the delineated boundary of streams that do not have *Federal Emergency Management Agency* (FEMA) mapped 100-year floodplains (32 P.S. § 679.302 Chapter 106). Temporary impacts to floodways are expected from construction activities, but there will be no permanent loss of flood storage capacity as all pre-construction surface elevations will be re-established during restoration. Temporary floodway impacts will be 46.074916.1835 acres. Of the total temporary impacts, 5.0281 will be to FEMA mapped floodplains. Permanent floodway impacts will be 1.5967 acres as a result of operation of the pipeline ROW. Of the total

permanent impacts, 0.3625 acre will be to FEMA mapped floodplains. These impacts are associated with vegetation management only and do not represent a permanent modification of ground elevation or loss of flood storage capacity. Impact details are included in Table L(d)-36. As stated above, a Riparian Area Impact Assessment and Restoration Plan for the Project area in Lebanon County is provided in Appendix L-2. The Riparian Area Impact Assessment and Restoration Plan for Lebanon County includes measures for replanting and reestablishing the riparian buffer within the regulated floodplain (FEMA mapped 100-year floodplain or 50-foot-wide floodway if no FEMA-mapped floodplain is present, whichever is greater) (see Appendix L-2).

Table L(d)-3
Floodplain Impacts Associated With the Atlantic Sunrise Project in Lebanon County

Resource ID	Resource Name	Floodway Type	Temporal Dimensions (Le	ry Impact ength x Width)ª	Temporary Impact area	Permane Dimension Wid		Permanent Impact area	Latitude	Longitude
			(Fe		(Acres)	(Fe		(Acres)		
Floodway to WW-RS-40	UNT to Shells Run	Assumed 50-foot	657.99	73.13	0.3932	0.00	0.00	0.0000	4 0.20675	-76.52541
Floodway to WW-T10-6002	Floodway to UNT to Swatara Creek	Assumed 50 Feet	<mark>667.56</mark>	<mark>149.01</mark>	<mark>0.4308</mark>	<mark>460.41</mark>	<mark>124.73</mark>	0.0336	<mark>40.42197</mark>	-76.52258
Floodway to WW-T10-7001	Floodway to UNT to Swatara Creek	Assumed 50 Feet	<mark>152.48</mark>	<mark>102.39</mark>	<mark>0.2157</mark>	<mark>123.27</mark>	<mark>10.00</mark>	0.0246	<u>40.52598</u>	<mark>-76.49362</mark>
Floodway to WW-T10-7002	Floodway to UNT to Swatara Creek	Assumed 50 Feet	<mark>131.19</mark>	<mark>101.67</mark>	0.2075	<mark>118.23</mark>	10.00	0.0235	<mark>40.52548</mark>	<mark>-76.49601</mark>
Floodway to WW-T10-7003	Floodway to UNT to Swatara Creek	Assumed 50 Feet	<mark>153.29</mark>	<mark>100.00</mark>	<mark>0.2179</mark>	<mark>116.91</mark>	10.00	0.0233	<mark>40.52328</mark>	<mark>-76.49928</mark>
Floodway to WW-T10-7004	Floodway to UNT to Swatara Creek	Assumed 50 Feet	<mark>221.58</mark>	117.71	0.2313	<mark>151.03</mark>	10.00	0.0238	40.51678	-76.51826
Floodway to WW-T13- 4001	Floodway to UNT to Little Conewago Creek	Assumed 50 Feet	126.85	4 8.96	0.0450	90.64	10.00	0.0066	40.25174	-76.53155
Floodway to WW-T13-4002	Floodway to UNT to Little Conewago Creek	Assumed 50 Feet ^b	<mark>217.57</mark>	102.75	0.3319	177.91	10.00	0.0373	40.25926	-76.53101
Floodway to WW-T13-4003	Floodway to UNT to Gingrich Run	Assumed 50 Feet	<mark>284.13</mark>	107.71	0.3462	243.19	10.00	<mark>0.0515</mark>	40.27127	-76.53198
Floodway to WW-T13-4004	Floodway to UNT to Little Conewago Creek	Assumed 50 Feet	<mark>156.66</mark>	104.43	0.2106	128.70	10.92	0.0245	40.26200	-76.53197
Floodway to WW-T13-4005	Floodway to UNT to Little Conewago Creek	Assumed 50 Feet ^b	<mark>278.85</mark>	128.76	0.3026	172.43	10.00	0.0367	40.26009	-76.53120
Floodway to WW-T14- 15010	Floodway to UNT to Reeds Creek	Assumed 50 Feet	N/A	N/A	0.0100	0.00	0.00	0.000	N/A	N/A
Floodway to WW-T14-5001	Floodway to UNT to Quittapahilla Creek	Assumed 50 Feet	<mark>144.35</mark>	<mark>108.06</mark>	<mark>0.1277</mark>	<mark>98.10</mark>	<mark>36.42</mark>	0.0172	<mark>40.34187</mark>	<mark>-76.53065</mark>
Floodway to WW-T14-5002	Floodway to UNT to Swatara Creek	Assumed 50 Feet	<mark>210.80</mark>	<mark>52.88</mark>	<mark>0.0687</mark>	0.00	0.00	0.0000	<mark>40.35075</mark>	-76.53545
Floodway to WW-T14-5003	Floodway to UNT to Swatara Creek	Assumed 50 Feet	<mark>141.65</mark>	100.00	<mark>0.1954</mark>	129.01	10.00	0.0223	40.35435	-76.53385
Floodway to WW-T14-5004	Floodway to UNT to Swatara Creek	Assumed 50 Feet	<mark>194.29</mark>	100.00	0.2268	<mark>122.84</mark>	<mark>10.00</mark>	0.0235	40.3600 <mark>7</mark>	<mark>-76.53322</mark>
Floodway to WW-T14-5005	Floodway to UNT to Swatara Creek	Assumed 50 Feet	<mark>144.07</mark>	100.00	0.0989	<mark>125.06</mark>	<mark>10.00</mark>	0.0119	<mark>40.36329</mark>	<mark>-76.53396</mark>
Floodway to WW-T14- 5006	Floodway to Swatara Creek	FEMA Detailed	N/A	N/A	0.6300	<mark>0.00</mark>	0.00	0.000	N/A	N/A
Floodway to WW-T14-5006	Floodway to Swatara Creek	FEMA Detailed	<mark>1607.78</mark>	<mark>591.90</mark>	<mark>1.7411</mark>	<mark>554.00</mark>	10.00	0.0870	<mark>40.36975</mark>	-76.53528
Floodway to WW-T14-5007	Floodway to UNT to Swatara Creek	Assumed 50 Feetb	136.72	100.00	0.1526	<mark>113.46</mark>	10.00	0.0137	40.37704	<mark>-76.53213</mark>
Floodway to WW-T14-5008	Floodway to UNT to Swatara Creek	Assumed 50 Feet	<mark>184.85</mark>	<mark>106.33</mark>	<mark>0.1635</mark>	<mark>148.15</mark>	<mark>10.00</mark>	0.0222	<mark>40.38338</mark>	-76.53276
Floodway to WW-T14- 5009A	Floodway to UNT to Swatara Creek	Assumed 50 Feet ^b	<mark>133.49</mark>	<mark>124.45</mark>	<mark>0.2110</mark>	113.92	10.00	0.0239	40.39121	<mark>-76.52845</mark>
Floodway to WW-T14-5010	Floodway to UNT to Reeds Creek	Assumed 50 Feet	310.43	<mark>113.36</mark>	0.2849	<mark>195.17</mark>	10.00	0.0341	<mark>40.40864</mark>	-76.52589
Floodway to WW-T14-5011	Floodway to UNT to Reeds Creek	Assumed 50 Feetb	<mark>183.16</mark>	<mark>143.05</mark>	<mark>0.2374</mark>	129.30	10.00	0.0243	<mark>40.41044</mark>	-76.52605
Floodway to WW-T14-5013	Floodway to Reeds Creek	Assumed 50 Feet ^b	<mark>172.16</mark>	100.00	0.1971	<mark>153.45</mark>	10.00	0.0224	40.41334	-76.52426
Floodway to WW-T18-4002	Floodway to UNT to Little Conewago Creek	Assumed 50 Feet	<mark>150.85</mark>	<mark>55.11</mark>	0.1177	136.29	10.00	0.0297	40.23151	-76.54136
Floodway to WW-T20-7001	Floodway to UNT to Swatara Creek	Assumed 50 Feet	130.99	100.00	0.2089	<mark>129.11</mark>	10.00	0.0230	40.50540	-76.53046
Floodway to WW-T20-7002	Floodway to UNT to Swatara Creek	Assumed 50 Feet	160.80	100.00	0.1983	<mark>121.18</mark>	10.00	0.0207	40.50372	-76.53450
Floodway to WW-T20-7003	Floodway to UNT to Swatara Creek	Assumed 50 Feet	<mark>210.82</mark>	<mark>114.63</mark>	<mark>0.2361</mark>	<mark>115.04</mark>	<mark>15.33</mark>	0.0235	<mark>40.51097</mark>	-76.52302

Table L(d)-3
Floodplain Impacts Associated With the Atlantic Sunrise Project in Lebanon County

Resource ID	Resource Name	Floodway Type	Temporar Dimensions (Le	ength x Width)a	Temporary Impact area (Acres)			Permanent Impact area (Acres)	Latitude	Longitude
Floodway to WW-T23-6001	Floodway to UNT to Swatara Creek	Assumed 50 Feet	265.85	100.00	0.2634	163.75	10.00	0.0203	40.49948	-76.54253
Floodway to WW-T23-6002	Floodway to UNT to Swatara Creek	Assumed 50 Feet	275.35	111.79	0.2493	199.56	10.00	0.0332	40.50330	-76.53522
Floodway to WW-T23-6003	Floodway to Trout Run	FEMA Detailed	185.99	101.92	0.2584	183.24	10.00	0.0306	40.48024	-76.5557
Floodway to WW-T25-6001	Floodway to UNT to Trout Run	Assumed 50 Feet	134.51	24.30	0.0109	131.94	19.95	0.0382	40.47417	-76.55263
Floodway to WW-T30-4002	Floodway to Conewago Creek	FEMA Detailed ^b	<mark>674.41</mark>	225.00	<mark>1.5265</mark>	533.80	10.00	0.1012	40.21718	-76.54416
Floodway to WW-T30-4003	Floodway to UNT to Conewago Creek	Assumed 50 Feet	<mark>111.91</mark>	105.29	0.2033	<mark>105.81</mark>	<mark>11.76</mark>	0.0230	40.21487	-76.53949
Floodway to WW-T30-6004	Floodway to UNT to Qureg Run	Assumed 50 Feetb	<mark>215.69</mark>	100.00	0.2315	174.28	10.00	0.0257	40.44296	-76.53664
Floodway to WW-T30-6005	Floodway to UNT to Qureg Run	Assumed 50 Feet	<mark>214.77</mark>	<mark>119.73</mark>	0.2302	123.97	10.00	0.0244	40.44554	-76.53646
Floodway to WW-T32-6001	Floodway to Forge Creek	FEMA Detailed	212.91	115.01	0.3167	202.75	10.00	0.0380	40.45347	-76.53286
Floodway to WW-T33-4001	Floodway to UNT to Gingrich Run	Assumed 50 Feet	209.36	100.08	0.3095	168.45	10.00	0.0325	40.27269	-76.53157
Floodway to WW-T33-6001	Floodway to UNT to Trout Run	Assumed 50 Feetb	<mark>295.78</mark>	<mark>112.05</mark>	<mark>0.2888</mark>	<mark>117.71</mark>	10.00	0.0233	<mark>40.48746</mark>	<mark>-76.55645</mark>
Floodway to WW-T33-6001 WW-T33-6001-1	Floodway to UNT to Trout Run	Assumed 50 Feet ^b	<mark>135.84</mark>	<mark>13.15</mark>	0.0327	0.00	0.00	0.0000	40.48712	<mark>-76.55627</mark>
Floodway to WW-T40-6001	Floodway to UNT to Forge Creek	Assumed 50 Feet	<mark>586.25</mark>	<mark>176.24</mark>	<mark>0.5698</mark>	<mark>137.56</mark>	<mark>33.50</mark>	0.0258	<mark>40.46111</mark>	<mark>-76.53679</mark>
Floodway to WW-T40- 6001A	Floodway to UNT to Forge Creek	Assumed 50 Feet	<mark>131.47</mark>	<mark>113.47</mark>	<mark>0.1376</mark>	<mark>92.20</mark>	10.00	0.0140	<mark>40.46038</mark>	<mark>-76.53602</mark>
Floodway to WW-T40-6002	Floodway to Forge Creek	FEMA Detailed	<mark>1237.02</mark>	<mark>175.73</mark>	<mark>0.2375</mark>	0.00	0.00	0.0000	40.45851	<mark>-76.54185</mark>
Floodway to WW-T40-6003	Floodway to UNT to Qureg Run	Assumed 50 Feet	<mark>167.78</mark>	<mark>127.77</mark>	0.2171	<mark>123.97</mark>	10.00	0.0234	<mark>40.44163</mark>	<mark>-76.53503</mark>
Floodway to WW-T40- 6003A	Floodway to UNT to Qureg Run	Assumed 50 Feet	<mark>65.66</mark>	<mark>15.02</mark>	0.0107	0.00	0.00	0.0000	40.44122	<mark>-76.53505</mark>
Floodway to WW-T40-6004	Floodway to UNT to Qureg Run	Assumed 50 Feet	<mark>169.73</mark>	<mark>44.81</mark>	0.0205	0.00	0.00	0.0000	<mark>40.42995</mark>	<mark>-76.52588</mark>
Floodway to WW-T43-4001	Floodway to UNT to Conewago Creek	Assumed 50 Feet	<mark>453.37</mark>	<mark>62.95</mark>	<mark>0.1545</mark>	0.00	0.00	0.0000	<mark>40.23596</mark>	<mark>-76.53680</mark>
Floodway to WW-T43-5001	Floodway to UNT to Quittapahilla Creek	Assumed 50 Feetb	<mark>387.76</mark>	100.00	0.3811	133.79	10.00	0.0281	40.33421	-76.53467
Floodway to WW-T43-5003	Floodway to Quittapahilla Creek	FEMA Detailed	<mark>219.59</mark>	100.00	0.2611	<mark>189.63</mark>	10.00	0.0294	40.33221	-76.53899
Floodway to WW-T43-6001	Floodway to UNT to Trout Run	Assumed 50 Feet	<mark>194.75</mark>	100.00	<mark>0.2595</mark>	<mark>159.34</mark>	10.00	0.0297	40.48922	-76.55573
Floodway to WW-T43-6002	Floodway to UNT to Trout Run	Assumed 50 Feet	<mark>51.15</mark>	<mark>18.55</mark>	0.0114	0.00	0.00	0.0000	40.49421	-76.55572
Floodway to WW-T43-6003	Floodway to UNT to Trout Run	Assumed 50 Feet	135.73	13.44	0.0118	0.00	0.00	0.0000	40.47783	-76.55173
Floodway to WW-T43-6004	Floodway to UNT to Trout Run	Assumed 50 Feetb	<mark>225.52</mark>	<mark>102.00</mark>	<mark>0.3749</mark>	<mark>214.84</mark>	10.00	0.0468	<mark>40.47819</mark>	<mark>-76.55243</mark>
Floodway to WW-T44-5001	Floodway to UNT to Swatara Creek	Assumed 50 Feet	144.88	113.96	0.2124	118.49	17.10	0.0243	40.40131	-76.53026
Floodway to WW-T44-7002	Floodway to UNT to Trout Run	Assumed 50 Feet ^b	177.60	100.00	0.2266	138.73	10.00	0.0258	40.49636	-76.55132
Floodway to WW-T53-4001	Floodway to UNT to Little Conewago Creek	FEMA Detailed	170.26	104.67	0.1862	170.92	10.00	0.0283	40.23945	-76.53918
Floodway to WW-T64-4001	Floodway to UNT to Conewago Creek	Assumed 50 Feetb	<mark>549.58</mark>	170.23	0.7849	523.53	10.00	0.1054	40.21350	-76.53451
Floodway to WW-T64-5001	Floodway to Gingrich Run	FEMA Detailed	394.26	188.04	0.5006	216.70	<mark>44.13</mark>	0.0480	40.28045	-76.53061
Floodway to WW-T67-6001	Floodway to UNT to Forge Creek	Assumed 50 Feet	117.64	<mark>93.85</mark>	0.1233	<mark>115.33</mark>	10.00	0.0251	40.45793	-76.53254

Table L(d)-3 Floodplain Impacts Associated With the Atlantic Sunrise Project in Lebanon County

Resource ID	Resource Name	Floodway Type	Temporary Impact Dimensions (Length x Width) ^a (Feet)		Temporary Impact area (Acres)	Permanent Impact Dimensions (Length x Width) ^a (Feet)		Permanent Impact area (Acres)	Latitude	Longitude
Floodway to WW-T69-6001	Floodway to UNT to Qureg Run	Assumed 50 Feet	183.40	112.08	0.2276	136.24	10.00	0.0257	40.43893	-76.53033
Floodway to WW-T69-6002	Floodway to UNT to Qureg Run	Assumed 50 Feet	131.16	110.93	0.1864	116.40	10.00	0.0234	40.43849	-76.52952
Floodway to WW-T99- 5008A	Floodway to UNT to Swatara Creek	Assumed 50 Feet	145.04	112.12	0.2062	125.00	13.27	0.0249	40.38299	-76.53236
	Floodway Impacts							<mark>1.5967</mark>		

a: Widths are reported as the maximum width for the feature. Stream lengths are reported on the impact maps as the sum of the permanent and temporary lengths. b: Multiple streams are included in these calculations as the floodways overlap.

B1a. Food Chain Production

Most of the waterbodies-watercourses crossed by the Atlantic Sunrise Project are minor and intermediate streams, which have food chains that are driven by detrital input from riparian vegetation, rather than phytoplankton. Therefore, temporary increases in sediment load will not have an adverse effect on the trophic structure of the streams. It is unlikely that temporary increases in turbidity will have an adverse effect on aquatic biota of the area, especially since many of these waterbodies-watercourses may already be turbid and/or are subjected to higher turbidity levels from precipitation-based run-off. As such, the existing in-stream communities are adapted to living in turbid conditions. Oxygen levels will begin to return to normal within hours of the completion of in-stream construction as the sediment settles. Effects will generally be limited to the short period of in-stream construction, and conditions are expected to return to normal following stream restoration activities. To minimize these effects, Transco will implement BMPs to control erosion and sediment run-off from workspaces.

In particular, the following measures specific to <u>waterbody</u> <u>watercourse</u> crossings will be implemented, as appropriate:

- Sediment barriers will be installed across the entire construction ROW at all waterbody
 <u>watercourse</u> crossings where necessary to prevent the flow of sediments into the
 waterbody watercourse. In the travel lane, these may consist of removable sediment
 barriers or drivable berms. Removable sediment barriers may be removed during the
 construction day, but will be re-installed after construction has stopped for the day or
 when heavy precipitation is imminent;
- Where <u>waterbodies</u> <u>watercourses</u> are adjacent to the construction ROW, sediment barriers will be installed along the edge of the construction ROW as necessary to contain spoil and sediment within the construction ROW;
- Trench plugs will be used at all <u>waterbody watercourse</u> crossings as necessary to
 prevent diversion of water into upland portions of the pipeline trench and to keep any
 accumulated trench water out of the <u>waterbody watercourse</u>, unless otherwise approved
 by the on-site environmental inspector to allow natural flow of water into the
 <u>waterbody watercourse</u>; and
- Applicable waterbody watercourse setbacks will be maintained until construction-related ground- disturbing activities are complete. The setback distances vary based on the type

of activity being performed, but all will be clearly marked in the field with signs and/or highly visible flagging prior to pipeline construction.

B1b. General Habitat (including Nesting, Spawning, Rearing, Resting, Migration, Feeding, and Escape Cover)

Within the Atlantic Sunrise Project area throughout Pennsylvania, general temporary construction-related impacts on wildlife species will be related to habitat disturbance and human activities, while permanent impacts are those associated with the conversion of forest habitats to open or scrub-shrub areas because of construction and maintenance of the permanent ROW. Indirect impacts on wildlife include those associated with increased human activity. Construction of the Project likely will result in the temporary displacement of or stress on animals in areas adjacent to construction and cause movement of some wildlife away from the Project area. Stress on wildlife could affect general health, reproduction, and viability of young, depending on the sensitivity of a particular species, season of the year, and other factors. Other temporary impacts on wildlife species include those from pipeline trenching activities and associated spoil piles, which could result in a short-term barrier to movement to some species. During clearing and grading activities, more mobile wildlife species (e.g., larger mammals, birds, and reptiles) will be able to avoid the construction area, and many are expected to leave the area during construction. Construction activity will be temporary and will stay in a given area for only a few weeks, at most. Habitat recovery will occur to the maximum extent possible, aided by the use of the impact minimization and restoration measures outlined in the ECP (Attachment M).

Direct and long-term impacts on wildlife habitat resulting from construction and operation of the proposed Project will include the clearing of uplands, wetlands, and riparian areas required for temporary workspace and new permanent easement. Where feasible, Transco has routed the pipeline to follow existing corridors (and thus follow existing forest edges). The direct removal of vegetation has the potential to reduce the amount of available habitat, food resources, and cover. An important effect of vegetation removal is habitat fragmentation. Habitat fragmentation occurs as larger areas of habitat are reduced and/or split into smaller non-contiguous areas by development. Besides the direct loss of habitat, habitat fragmentation can also cause change in habitat vegetation composition (which could include the introduction of noxious and/or invasive species). It is not anticipated that wildlife populations that utilize the Project area will be

permanently adversely affected by the proposed Project. While temporary impacts on food, cover, and water sources may occur, none of the species located within the Project area are specialized in such a way that construction of the Project will inhibit the overall fitness or reproductive output of the populations as a whole.

Temporary habitat alteration at the crossing location, and increased suspended solids concentrations and sedimentation downstream from the crossing may temporarily degrade fish spawning and nursery areas, resulting in a temporary reduction in reproductive potential.

Transco expects these effects to be temporary in nature because the suspended sediments will be flushed by the existing currents or settle out, and aquatic communities will subsequently recolonize the affected area.

Permanent impacts to spawning, however, are not anticipated as a result of this Project.

Impacts to spawning should be mitigated by timing construction outside of the restricted period for activities within Wild Trout streams. There are no Class A Wild Trout streams crossed by the Project within Lebanon County. Transco is coordinating has coordinated with the PFBC to confirm the time of year restrictions that are applicable to the streams crossed by the Project.

B1c. Habitat for Threatened and Endangered Plant and Animal Species

Transco has consulted with the PA DCNR, PGC, PFBC, and USFWS regarding federal and state-listed threatened and endangered species in the Project area. A summary of this correspondence is included in Attachment G of this permit application package.

B1d. Environmental Study Areas

The Project will not affect any locations in which environmental studies are known to be taking place.

B1d1. Sanctuaries

The Project will not affect sanctuaries in Lebanon County.

B1d2. Refuges

The Project will not affect refuges in Lebanon County.

B2. Water Quantity and Streamflow

B2a. Natural Drainage Patterns

It is anticipated that the Project will not impact natural drainage patterns. The stormwater management controls included in the Project (discussed in Attachment M ECP) have been designed to maintain natural or current drainage characteristics, as appropriate.

B2b. Flushing Characteristics

It is not anticipated that the Project will impact flushing characteristics.

B2c. Current Patterns

The Project may have minor, localized temporary effects on current patterns in the immediate vicinity of the proposed stream crossings during construction but will be minimized where possible through the appropriate implementation of BMPs based upon calculations completed in accordance with PA DEP Chapter 102. Permanent impacts to current patterns are not anticipated as all disturbed areas will be restored to pre-construction conditions.

B2d and e. Groundwater Discharge for Baseflow and Natural Recharge Area for Ground and Surface Waters

The Project is not expected to impact any potable water intakes or public water supplies which rely on groundwater recharge. Transco identified potable and non-potable surface water intake structures in proximity to the Project using eMapPA. Across the Project, nineteen surface water intakes were identified less than 3 miles downstream of Project waterbody-watercourse crossings using eMapPA. Of the 19 surface water intakes identified, the primary uses were identified as agriculture (12), commercial (1), industrial (2), mineral (1), and oil/gas (3). Based on their primary uses, it is unlikely that the 18 non-commercial surface water intakes are used for potable water. Transco verified that these 18 intakes are not listed in Pennsylvania's Drinking Water Reporting System, indicating these intakes are not public water supplies and are, therefore, not used as community potable water sources. The single commercial surface water intake is located in Wyoming County.

53

Through correspondence with Transco, the City of Lebanon Water Authority indicated that one of their potable water intakes is located on Swatara Creek, adjacent to Jonestown, Pennsylvania (Luciotti 2015). This intake is identified on the Pennsylvania Project Location Map (Attachment I-2) and is located approximately 1.9 miles from CPL South MP 53.3. Pennsylvania's Drinking Water Reporting System identifies this operator as a community water supplier serving a population of 57,000 using this intake and another at Siegrist Dam. One waterbody watercourse crossing, an unnamed tributary to Swatara Creek (WW-T10-6002), is located 2.6 miles upstream of this intake. This is the only waterbody watercourse crossing within 3 miles upstream of the City of Lebanon Water Authority intake. Transco proposes to cross this unnamed tributary to Swatara Creek using the flume method. Correspondence to date with the City of Lebanon Water Authority is documented in Table L(d)-74.

Table L(d)-74
City of Lebanon Water Authority Communication Summary

Date	Communication Initiated	Result
January 21, 2015	Transco completed a phone conversation with Ron Luciotti, staff at the City of Lebanon Water Authority	Mr. Luciotti provided the location of the surface water intake to be on Swatara Creek in Jonestown PA.
January 21, 2015	Transco contacted the Water Authority's executive director, Jonathan Beers by phone for additional information	Transco did not receive a response from Mr. Beers
February 11, 2015	Transco contacted Mr. Beers by e-mail for additional information	Mr. Beers provided a rough figure with the City of Lebanon Water Authority surface water intake locations
February 21, 2015	Transco contacted Mr. Beers by e-mail to request a copy of the City of Lebanon Water Authority Source Water Assessment Program Report	Mr. Beers provided the report via e-mail.
October 17, 2016	Transco provided the Notification Plan to the City of Lebanon Water Authority for comment	Pending

Transco has prepared a notification plan to be used in the event of a spill upstream from the City of Lebanon Water Authority intake. The plan details Transco's procedures in the event of a spill, including emergency response and reporting. Transco has provided a copy of the

notification plan to the City of Lebanon Water Authority for comment and is awaiting a response.

Potential impacts to the City of Lebanon Water Authority water intake will be avoided by implementing Transco's Spill Plan (<u>ECP</u> Attachment 9, <u>provided within Attachment of this Application of the ECP</u>) and the City of Lebanon Water Authority Notification Plan in the event of a spill upstream from the intake. Transco will follow the spill prevention practices enumerated in the Spill Plan, which include proper container and tank storage and inspection instructions, loading/unloading procedures, and spill response kit specifications. Refueling and transferring of liquids will occur only in pre-designated locations that are on level ground and at least 100 feet from any wetland or waterway, thereby preventing contamination of <u>waterbodies watercourses</u>. As stated in the Spill Plan, Transco will maintain and immediately respond to spills as appropriate to the situation, with responses ranging from the use of absorbent pads to the employment of an emergency spill response contractor.

Transco will avoid potential impacts to distal public water supplies within Lebanon County by using dry-ditch crossing methods and implementation of all erosion and sediment control plans as specified in the Transco Procedures.

During construction, Transco will adhere to all measures in the Transco Procedures
(ECP Attachment 18, provided within Attachment M of this Application), which will
minimize sedimentation and turbidity, minimize streambed and bank disturbance, and
limit the time it takes to complete in-stream construction. Transco will cross
watercourses with flowing water present at the time of construction using dry-ditch or
trenchless construction methods to the greatest extent practicable. To minimize
sedimentation during pipeline construction across each watercourse, trench spoil will be
placed at least 10 feet away from water's edge, unless impractical due to topography.
Erosion controls will be placed around spoil piles to prevent sediment from flowing into
watercourses.

Transco will avoid potential impacts to distal public water supplies within Lebanon County by using dry-ditch crossing methods and implementation of all erosion and sediment control plans as specified in the Transco Procedures. During construction,

Transco will adhere to all measures in the Transco Procedures (Attachment 18 of the ECP), which will minimize sedimentation and turbidity, minimize streambed and bank disturbance, and limit the time it takes to complete in-stream construction. Transco will cross waterbodies with flowing water present at the time of construction using dry-ditch or trenchless construction methods to the greatest extent practicable. To minimize sedimentation during pipeline construction across each waterbody, trench spoil will be placed at least 10 feet away from water's edge, unless impractical due to topography. Erosion controls will be placed around spoil piles to prevent sediment from flowing into waterbodies.

B2f. Storm and Flood Water Storage and Control

It is not anticipated that the Project will adversely impact storm and flood water storage and control. Transco has developed a Post Construction Stormwater Management Plan in accordance with PA DEP Chapter 102 to prevent impacts to stormwater discharges and control. There will be no loss of flood storage capacity within designated floodways as pre-construction surface contours will be restored.

B3. Water Quality

B3a. Preventing Pollution

Construction activities can disturb surface soils and cause subsequent sediment transport into adjacent wetlands. Sedimentation will be minimized by the installation of temporary sediment control measures between the upland construction areas and the wetlands. Permanent erosion controls, including slope breakers, trench breakers, and vegetative cover, will be used in adjacent upland areas to minimize long-term sedimentation into the wetlands. Energy dissipation devices may be installed at the down-slope end of slope breakers to minimize erosion of soil off the ROW into wetlands. Trench plugs will be installed in upland slopes adjacent to wetlands to prevent trench erosion and siltation.

To minimize erosion and promote revegetation within the wetland, removal of the root mats for woody vegetation will be allowed only directly over the trench area or where required to ensure safe working conditions. This serves to enhance regeneration of vegetation on the construction

and permanent ROW. Permanent erosion control structures that could alter hydrology (e.g., slope breakers) will not be installed within wetlands, but these structures will be used in the adjacent upland areas to control erosion and sedimentation. Transco will employ BMPs as specified in erosion and sediment control permits and approved by PA DEP to further minimize the potential for soil compaction.

Turbidity and sedimentation could result from in-stream construction activities, trench dewatering, and/or construction-related stormwater runoff. In slow-moving waters, increases in suspended sediments could increase the biological oxygen demand and reduce levels of dissolved oxygen in localized areas during construction. Suspended sediments also could alter the chemical and physical characteristics of the water column on a temporary basis.

Transco will cross surface waters with flowing water present at the time of construction using dry-ditch construction methods to the greatest extent practicable. Dry-ditch crossing methods can dramatically reduce downstream sediment transport effects compared to wet open-cut crossings. Transco will employ best management practices (BMPs) as specified in Transco's Erosion and Sediment Control General Permit 2 (ESCGP-2) application, which contains site-specific erosion and sediment control plan to further minimize the potential for soil compaction.

Transco will also install temporary equipment bridges across surface waters to reduce the potential for turbidity caused by movement of construction equipment and vehicular traffic. Equipment bridges will be constructed of clean rock or gravel and culverts, timber mats, or portable prefabricated bridges, depending on surface water conditions (e.g., if excessively soft soils are encountered in the surface water bed, or if high water flows occur, portable bridges will be used at minor surface water crossings instead of rock and culverts). Typical drawings for equipment bridges are provided in Attachment 2 of the Transco ECP (Attachment M). Equipment bridges will be maintained until the pipe is installed and they are no longer needed. The bridges will then be removed. Equipment bridges will be designed to accommodate normal to high surface water flow and will be maintained to prevent flow restriction during the period of time the bridge is in use during construction.

To minimize sedimentation during pipeline construction across each <u>waterbody watercourse</u>, trench spoil will be placed at least 10 feet away from water's edge, unless impractical due to topography, as specified in the Transco Procedures (Attachment 18 of the Transco ECP (Attachment M)). Erosion controls will be placed around spoil piles to prevent sediment from flowing into surface waters. ATWS will typically be set back 50 feet from the water's edge unless otherwise approved by applicable regulatory agencies.

Once the pipe is placed in the trench, the excavated material will be replaced immediately, and the surface water banks and bed will be restored to preconstruction contours. To stabilize the banks, the surface water banks and riparian areas will be revegetated using approved seed mixes and/or erosion control blankets or matting in accordance with the Riparian Area Impact Assessment and Restoration Plan for Lebanon County within Appendix L-2.

B3b. Sedimentation Control and Patterns

The Project ECP and associated plans describe techniques that will be used to minimize erosion and release of sediments during and following Project construction. The ECP is included as Attachment M.

Post-construction stormwater management measures will also be implemented for water quality in areas where it is required. For the pipeline portion of the Project, no new impervious surfaces are proposed. The Post Construction Stormwater Management Plan is designed to manage stormwater runoff associated with new impervious areas (gravel) for the proposed aboveground facilities. With the implementation of the E&S Pollution Control Plan and the stormwater management measures, water quality impacts are not anticipated.

B3c. Salinity Distribution

This section is not applicable to the proposed Project in Lebanon County.

B3d. Natural Water Filtration

Waterbodies <u>Watercourses</u> and wetlands in the Project area have some function in water filtration; however, impacts to these features will be temporary and natural water filtration capabilities will be restored.

B4. Recreation

B4a and b. Game and Non-Game Species

Within the Atlantic Sunrise Project area throughout Pennsylvania, construction of the pipeline facilities will negatively affect wildlife and wildlife habitat short-term within the immediate vicinity of open land along the pipeline route, which predominantly consists of existing ROWs. Effects will include disturbance due to clearing and trench excavation. This will affect less mobile species, including those that hide within burrows along the route, to a greater degree than those that can quickly flee the Project area. Following construction activities, the existing ROWs will be restored to preconstruction conditions to the extent practicable, and it is expected that wildlife will quickly return to the vicinity of the ROWs, using them as corridors for travel, refuge, foraging, and nesting. Following construction, these ROWs will be maintained in a manner similar to current conditions.

Construction within forested land will cause temporary effects on local wildlife populations, because forested habitat will be converted to successional stages of open herbaceous and scrub-shrub habitat for several years to decades until a mature forest community redevelops. During this time there is a risk of intrusion by invasive or noxious species. To mitigate against this risk, Transco will manage invasive and noxious species according to the Noxious and Invasive Management Plan included as Attachment 11 of the ECP (Attachment M).

Some wildlife species that rely on forested habitat may be negatively affected by the temporary loss of forest. Removal of vegetation within forested land could cause long-term displacement of some local wildlife populations. However, any such impacts, while adverse, are expected to be highly localized and unlikely to have any population-level impacts based on the presence of suitable adjacent habitat for use. In addition, other wildlife species that prefer open land and scrub-shrub habitat will benefit from the temporary habitat conversion. As referenced in Attachment L, Enclosure C, Section A2a through A2g, Transco is developing a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) with the USFWS addressing conservation measures for migratory birds in the Project area. The MOA includes mitigation for removal of upland forest and forest fragmentation.

59

Transco does not expect significant direct mortality of wildlife to occur as a result of construction activities. Transco anticipates that the majority of wildlife will disperse from the vicinity of construction activities in response to disturbance from construction vehicles and equipment. Construction vehicles will adhere to low speed limits along all access roads to avoid wildlife mortality.

Following construction, the temporary workspaces outside the aboveground facility fence lines will be maintained in a manner similar to preconstruction conditions. Therefore, effects on wildlife in agricultural lands and open lands that are within temporary workspaces for aboveground facilities will be temporary, and these habitats are expected to recover within weeks to months following construction.

B4c. Fishing

Temporary impacts to streams during construction activities may temporarily affect fishing opportunities; however, no permanent impacts are anticipated within Lebanon County.

B4d and e. Hiking and Observation (wildlife)

Impacts to hiking or observation are discussed in Section A1 of this Attachment.

B4f. Other

Transco has initiated coordination with PFBC regarding the need to prepare site-specific Aids to Navigation (ATON) plans for each of the crossings which have been identified by PFBC as being recreationally navigable. Within the Project limits of Lebanon County, these include: Conewago Creek and Swatara Creek. Transco will-prepared ATON plans in accordance with PFBC guidelines including the use of buoys, signage, and portage, as necessary. Transco submitted the ATON plans to PFBC on October 4, 2016 and will provide copies of the ATON approvals to PA DEP as received. Transco will implement the approved plans during construction to minimize impacts to recreational boaters within the Project area. Swatara Creek is a designated water trail, and implementation of the approved ATON plan will minimize impacts on recreation use of the trail.

60

B5. Upstream and Downstream Property

The implementation of the Project-specific ECP will minimize the impacts to properties upstream and downstream of the Project. The ECP is included in Section M.

B6. Other Environmental Factors

Selection of the proposed pipeline route was partially based on landowner preference, as well as avoidance of environmental impacts to resources such as <u>waterbodies</u> <u>watercourses</u> and wetlands. The route minimized impacts to these features as much as possible and still fulfills the purpose and needs of the Project. Specific routing criteria is discussed in the Alternatives Analysis (Attachment P-1).

C. Environmental Impacts on Other Adjacent Land and Water Resources

Construction impacts to adjacent properties and water resources will be minimized through the use of the Project-specific ECP. This plan will conform to federal, state, and local regulations and prevent movement of sediment off the construction site. Stormwater generated on-site during construction will also be managed and released in a manner that conforms to applicable federal, state, and local regulations.

D. Cumulative Environmental Impacts

Cumulative effects may result when the environmental effects associated with construction and operation of a proposed Project are added to the environmental effects of other Projects or activities occurring in the same area. The <u>United States Environmental Protection Agency</u> (USEPA) guidelines state:

"Cumulative impacts result when the effects of an action are added to or interact with other effects in a particular place and within a particular time. It is the combination of these effects, and any resulting environmental degradation, that should be the focus of cumulative impact analysis. While impacts can be differentiated by direct, indirect, and cumulative, the concept of cumulative impacts takes into account all disturbances since cumulative impacts result in the compounding of the effects of all actions over time." (USEPA 1999).

To identify and assess potential cumulative effects of the Project, Transco is considering other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable Projects and other human-related activities near the Project facilities. <u>The Comprehensive Environmental Evaluation for the Central Penn Line provided in Appendix L-1 includes a summary of cumulative impacts for the Project as whole.</u>

The existing conditions in the Project area reflect changes from past and present activities. Although much of the area is rural and relatively undeveloped, substantial alterations to the natural environment have occurred due to agriculture, mining, transportation Projects, and other development. The potential for cumulative effects from the Project exists for the following resources: groundwater, consumptive water use, surface water, vegetation, wildlife (federally and state-protected species of flora and fauna), cultural resources, socioeconomics, geology, soils, land use, air quality, and noise.

Transco has identified past, present, and reasonably foreseeable Projects and other human-related activities occurring in the vicinity of the Project (within 10 miles) that may result in cumulative effects when combined with the effects of the Project. Transco consulted with the affected municipal and county planning agencies to identify Projects in the vicinity of the Project. Transco also identified other activities, such as residential Projects located within a 0.5-mile radius of the Project, as well as transportation and energy development Projects located within a 10-mile radius of the Project.

The assessment of cumulative effects assumes that Project effects are minimized by the successful implementation of the environmental protection and mitigation measures described in the Transco ECP and compliance with applicable standard practices and federal, state, and local regulations and permit requirements.

The assessment area for potential cumulative effects includes the area directly affected by construction of the Project facilities in addition to the anticipated area of effect the Project may have on each resource. This assessment area varies for each resource, based on the potential for effects to extend beyond the area of direct effect. For example, effects on air quality have the potential to extend beyond the Project boundaries, but effects on geologic and soil resources

62

would likely not extend beyond the construction boundaries. Cumulative effects are considered in the context of the appropriate geographic area of potential effect (e.g., watershed boundaries for water quality and use, and county boundaries for socioeconomics).

Water Use and Quality

This section addresses past, present, and reasonably foreseeable actions that, when taken into consideration with the Project, could result in cumulative effects on water quality and use. Potentially affected water resources include groundwater, surface water crossings, groundwater and surface water withdrawals, waterbodies_watercourses, and wetlands. The geographic area considered in the evaluation includes the watershed basin within the Project effect area. Potential cumulative effects resulting from construction activities and operations and maintenance have been considered as part of this analysis.

This analysis considered effects from other Projects within the same watershed (8-digit Hydrologic Unit Code [HUC]) and within 10 miles of the Project activities. The Project pipeline facilities cross two major watershed subregions (i.e., 4-digit HUCs) as defined by the *United States Geological Survey* (USGS) (USGS 2013): the Susquehanna River subregion in Pennsylvania and the Potomac River subregion in Virginia. The Project pipeline facilities cross four major watershed basins (i.e., 6- digit HUCs): the Upper Susquehanna, the Lower Susquehanna, and the West Branch Susquehanna in Pennsylvania, and the Potomac in Virginia. The Project pipeline facilities cross eight watershed subbasins (i.e., 8-digit HUCs): the Upper Susquehanna-Lackawanna, the Upper Susquehanna-Tunkhannock, the Lower Susquehanna, the Lower Susquehanna-Swatara, the Lower Susquehanna-Penns, the Middle West Branch Susquehanna, and the Lower West Branch Susquehanna in Pennsylvania, and the Middle Potomac-Anacostia- Occoquan in Virginia.

Shallow (perched) aquifers could sustain effects from temporary changes in overland water flow and recharge caused by clearing and grading of the ROWs and temporary workspaces. In forested areas, water infiltration, which is normally enhanced by vegetation, will be reduced until vegetation is reestablished. In areas where groundwater is encountered within the open trench, dewatering may result in a minor, temporary fluctuation in local groundwater levels. On

occasion, blasting can cause adverse effects on groundwater flow and potentially reduce or eliminate the amount of groundwater supplied to nearby wells and/or springs.

To minimize potential effects associated with construction, Transco will implement mitigation measures during construction, including those described in the Transco Plan and the Transco Procedures, state-guidelines, and public and private well monitoring pre- and post-construction upon landowner request. For instance, waterbars will direct stormwater runoff from the construction ROW to well-vegetated areas or in accordance with state BMPs. Transco will also use sediment control measures such as filter bags, silt fences, and dewatering structures during dewatering and hydrostatic test water discharge activities. Where blasting is required, Transco will develop a site-specific blasting plan in addition to the general Blasting Plan provided in Attachment 10 of the Transco ECP (Attachment M) prior to blasting activities, and will conduct full-scale blast tests where recommended by Transco's Project Engineer. If any wells are affected by construction activities, Transco will repair them and/or compensate landowners for Project-related damage to wells.

Implementation of the comprehensive BMPs presented in Attachment 2 of the Transco ECP (Attachment M) will ensure the Project-related effects on groundwater are temporary and occur within or very near the construction ROW. Based on Transco's review, none of the Projects identified will overlap the construction ROW during the time of the Project's influence on groundwater. Therefore, the Project will not cause a significant measurable cumulative effect on groundwater resources when considered in addition to other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable actions.

Surface water resources may be affected during various stages of construction. Clearing and grading of stream banks, in-stream trenching, trench dewatering, and backfilling, could result in temporary modification of aquatic habitat, increased sedimentation, turbidity, decreased dissolved oxygen concentrations, releases of chemical and nutrient pollutants from sediments, thermal effects, modification of riparian areas, and introduction of chemical contaminants such as fuel and lubricants.

The Project facilities cross or are located within two major watershed sub-regions as defined by the USGS (USGS 2013). Transco will use waterbody-watercourse crossing methods based on site-specific conditions and resource sensitivity, which include dry-ditch, trenchless, and opencut. Transco has routed the proposed pipeline facilities to avoid and minimize effects on waterbodies watercourses and bodies of water to the greatest extent practicable while maintaining engineering standards and safety. Transco completed field routing surveys within a 600-foot-wide study corridor to identify a preferred alignment that, among other factors, avoided and minimized waterbody watercourse effects. Environmental criteria used during the routing surveys specific to waterbodies watercourses included: (1) crossing waterbodies watercourses at 90 degree angles to minimize in-stream disturbance whenever practicable; and (2) avoiding or minimizing crossings of major waterbodies watercourses and bodies of water). Construction of the Project across waterbodies watercourses may result in temporary adverse effects as a result of in-stream construction activities or construction on slopes adjacent to stream channels. Clearing and grading of stream banks, blasting, in-stream trenching, trench dewatering, and backfilling could result in temporary modification of aquatic habitat, increased sedimentation, turbidity, decreased dissolved oxygen concentrations, releases of chemical and nutrient pollutants from sediments, thermal effects, modification of riparian areas, and introduction of chemical contaminants such as fuel and lubricants.

Transco developed the ECP (Attachment M), including the Transco Procedures (Attachment 18 of the Transco ECP), in part to address temporary waterbody watercourse effects associated with construction of the Project. The Transco ECP is intended to satisfy the waterbody watercourse restoration requirements of resource protection agencies with applicable federal jurisdiction over areas affected by the Project. Construction activities at stream crossings will also comply with any additional measures detailed in applicable federal stream crossing permits.

Drilling for natural gas reserves in Pennsylvania is currently underway in several of the counties affected by the Project. Effects from drilling activities are associated with well pad development and improvement of existing dirt and paved roads. Similarly, several gathering line and natural gas well interconnect pipeline construction Projects were recently completed or are ongoing within the same watersheds crossed by the Project in Pennsylvania. Information on the exact

locations and construction schedules for these Projects was not readily available; however, based on the general location of these Projects and the ongoing nature of their development, the potential exists for cumulative effects on surface waters affected within the same watersheds crossed by the Project.

Implementation of the Transco Procedures will limit the effects of crossings in duration and distance and limit the effect of construction activities to the stream being crossed. None of the other activities identified during the cumulative effect analysis will also affect the same waterbodies-watercourses in the same timeframe as the Project; therefore Transco believes there will be no significant measurable cumulative effects on these resources.

To conduct the hydrostatic testing for the Project facilities, Transco will obtain applicable regulatory approvals for water withdrawals, which will be based on regulatory withdrawal rates, volumes, and passby flow restrictions, prior to commencing surface water withdrawals. Up to 61 million gallons of water is anticipated to be withdrawn from surface waterbodies-watercourses within the Project area for use in hydrostatic testing. Transco will use withdrawal methods that will not reduce water flow to a point that will substantially affect base flow conditions, fish habitat and other aquatic wildlife or recreational uses. Transco will coordinate with local and state agencies, as necessary, to conduct water withdrawals in a manner that will not reduce water availability to a point that will affect public usage. Transco does not anticipate any significant water quality effects resulting from discharge of hydrostatic test water. New pipeline facilities will consist of new steel pipe, coated internally, that will be free of chemicals and lubricant, and Transco does not propose to use antifreeze or any chemical additives for drying or other purposes. Transco will consult with the PADEP for a PAG-10 Hydrostatic Test Water Discharge Permit. In addition, Transco received water withdrawal permits on September 8, 2016 from the Susquehanna River Basin Commission for Swatara Creek in North Annville Township, Lebanon County. Site Plans and Cross Sections of the withdrawal locations is found in Appendix L-4 and Appendix L-5. Transco will consult with the PA DEP and the Susquehanna River Basin Commission regarding hydrostatic test water withdrawal and discharge permits within the jurisdictional areas of each agency. Transco will continue to update FERC on the progress of agency consultation and permit applications.

HDDs will require the use of water during operation of the drill to cool the drill head, seal the walls of the hole, and process returns. For specific waterbody watercourse crossings, where feasible and approved by the applicable regulatory agencies, Transco will draw the required water from the waterbody watercourse that is being crossed via HDD. Withdrawal locations will be selected to minimize effects on waterbodies watercourses in a similar manner as described above. Where drawing water from the waterbody watercourse is unavailable, Transco will obtain water from an approved off-site source.

The state water withdrawal permitting processes ensure that concurrent Projects involving water withdrawals from the river basins crossed by the Project do not exceed acceptable levels or result in significant cumulative effects. Based on the temporary, localized effect of drawdown due to the relatively small quantities of water required for the Project, Transco expects that the hydrostatic testing and construction dewatering activities planned for the Project will not contribute to measurable cumulative effects on these water resources.

There will be no permanent loss of wetland area from construction of the Project. The Project will have temporary effects on palustrine emergent (PEM) and palustrine scrub-shrub (PSS) wetlands and result in permanent conversion of palustrine forested (PFO) wetlands to PSS or PEM wetlands in proposed new permanent pipeline ROW. Transco is proposing compensatory off-site mitigation for Project-related impacts to PFO wetlands for temporal conversion of PFO wetlands within the temporary construction easement and permanent conversion of PFO wetlands to PEM wetlands within a 15-foot wide operation and maintenance corridor on either side of the pipeline centerline within the permanent easement. Off-site mitigation will also provide compensation for temporal conversion of PSS wetlands to PEM wetlands within a 10foot wide operation and maintenance corridor centered over the pipeline within the permanent easement. Transco will restore temporarily disturbed PEM and PSS wetlands. PFO wetlands within temporary construction workspace will be temporarily converted to PEM wetlands and will return to their original state over time. Within the 10-foot-wide operation corridor, PFO wetlands will be permanently converted to PEM or PSS wetlands. In addition, Transco will permanently maintain a 30-foot-wide corridor through PFO wetlands where trees taller than 15 feet will be selectively cut and removed. Additionally, Transco is providing off-site compensatory mitigation for PFO wetland impacts.

Transco has routed the proposed pipeline facilities and associated workspaces to avoid and minimize effects on wetlands while maintaining engineering standards and safety. Transco completed field routing surveys within a 600-foot wide-corridor to identify proposed pipeline alignments that, among other factors, avoided or minimized wetland effects to the extent practicable. Environmental criteria used during the routing surveys specific to wetlands included: (1) minimizing effects at <u>wetland crossings to the maximum extent practicable</u>any single wetland crossing to 1 acre or less whenever practicable; and (2) avoiding or minimizing effects on forested wetlands.

Transco will implement the measures in its Procedures to minimize effects on wetlands from Project activities during the construction, post-construction restoration, and operation phases of the Project. In addition, the Project construction activities at wetland crossings will be performed in accordance with applicable federal regulatory requirements, such as the use of specialized construction techniques designed to minimize effects and reduce workspace area. Implementing these measures will help to limit adverse effects on wetlands from the Project to the construction ROW in each wetland. The Transco Procedures will result in no net loss of wetlands, although there will be some conversion of wetland value and type.

Based on the above analysis, Transco believes there will be no significant measurable cumulative effects from the Project on wetlands.

Fish, Vegetation and Wildlife

This section addresses past, present, and reasonably foreseeable actions that, when taken into consideration with the Project, could result in cumulative effects on fish, vegetation and wildlife. The geographic area considered in the evaluation of potential vegetation effects included the ecosystem within 0.5 mile of the Project effect area.

The majority of effects associated with Project pipeline construction will be temporary disturbances associated primarily with clearing the construction workspace of vegetation.

Temporary effects will either be short-term, where restoration to preconstruction conditions will be completed following construction, or long-term, where restoration to preconstruction

68

conditions will take place over several growing seasons and may result in a permanent change in the vegetation structure. Long-term, temporary disturbances will be associated primarily with areas where forested land is cleared within temporary workspaces. Construction of the new aboveground facilities and expansion of some existing facilities will result in the permanent loss of vegetation communities that are cleared and replaced with impervious surfaces or converted from upland forest to open land. A summary of the temporary and permanent land that will be disturbed by the Project is provided as Attachment J.

Following construction, temporary workspaces on open land and agricultural land will be restored according to the Transco Plan (Attachment M). During operation, Transco will maintain additional <u>5025</u>-foot-wide permanent ROWs along <u>CPL South</u>the Unity Loop_In accordance with the Transco Plan, maintenance will include mowing the permanent ROW no more than once every 3 years. However, a 10-foot-wide corridor centered over the pipeline will be maintained annually in an herbaceous state that facilitates visual inspections. This maintenance will result in permanent conversion of existing upland and wetland forested areas to herbaceous or scrub-shrub vegetation communities.

Transco will implement specific measures to reduce these effects, including: (1) minimizing the footprint of the proposed work activities and the duration of disturbances to the extent practicable, (2) protecting topsoil in agricultural areas and mitigating subsoil compaction, (3) adhering to the Noxious and Invasive Species Management Plan (Attachment 11 of the Transco ECP (*Attachment Molume 3*)), (4) co-locating with existing ROWs to the extent practicable, (5) installing erosion controls to prevent the loss of soils and reseeding to stabilize the soils and speed revegetation, and (6) monitoring the success of revegetation efforts and taking appropriate action to address areas that have not been fully revegetated with native seed mix. The development Projects identified during the cumulative effects analysis are relatively small and in various stages of planning and completion. Therefore, Transco believes there will be no significant measurable cumulative effects on vegetation.

Also reviewed were past, present, and reasonably foreseeable actions that, when taken into consideration with the Project, could result in cumulative effects on wildlife, including threatened and endangered species and fisheries. The geographic area considered in the evaluation

includes vegetative habitats that are used by wildlife (breeding grounds, migratory routes, range, etc.) within the Project area. These include forested areas, herbaceous habitats, wetlands, and other similar vegetative habitats used by wildlife and protected species. Potential cumulative effects resulting from construction activities and operation and maintenance have been considered as part of this analysis.

Construction of the Project facilities has the potential to negatively affect wildlife and wildlife habitat within the immediate vicinity of the pipeline route and locations of the aboveground facilities. Effects may include disturbance due to clearing and trench excavation. Transco does not expect significant direct mortality of wildlife to occur as a result of construction activities. Transco anticipates that the majority of wildlife will disperse from the vicinity of construction activities in response to disturbance from construction vehicles and equipment, but limited mortality of slow-moving or sessile organisms could occur within the footprint of the aboveground facilities, partly as a result of construction vehicle traffic. Noise and ground disturbance generated by pipeline construction activities may temporarily affect wildlife behavior in the immediate vicinity of the facilities.

Following construction activities, the existing ROWs will be restored to preconstruction conditions to the extent practicable, and it is expected that wildlife will quickly return to the vicinity of the ROWs, using them as corridors for travel, refuge, foraging, and nesting. Following construction, these ROWs will be maintained in a manner similar to current conditions. Transco will also restore temporary workspaces outside agricultural areas using an herbaceous seed mix that will minimize competition with native woody plant species while offering additional wildlife habitat and food sources, or another type of seed mix agreed upon with the applicable agencies and the landowner. Habitat fragmentation and conversion of forested lands will occur as a result of this Project and the other Projects that involve clearing of forested land during construction and/or operation. However, Transco has sought to avoid and minimize forest clearing, to the extent practicable, through co-location with other utility corridors and long-term maintenance of ROW widths in forested wetlands. Restoration of vegetation in Project construction areas will be ensured by adherence to the Transco Plan and Procedures (Attachments 17 and 18 of the Transco ECP (Attachment M)), and other applicable federal permit conditions. Other than the occasional presence of vehicles during inspections and maintenance, Transco does not

anticipate any other disturbance to wildlife along the pipeline ROWs during operation. Similarly, operation of the aboveground facilities is not expected to adversely affect wildlife populations.

Because the pipeline ROW will be revegetated and useable by wildlife following construction, and important habitats have been avoided to the greatest extent practicable, long-term effects on wildlife habitats will be minimized and avoided. Transco expects that similar restoration activities would be employed for the Projects identified in the cumulative effects analysis as well, along with the implementation of BMPs and other effect avoidance measures. Based on this, Transco believes there will be no significant measurable cumulative effects of the Project on wildlife.

Transco completed surveys for threatened and endangered species through the Project area and developed suitable avoidance, minimization, and mitigation measures to prevent adverse effects. Transco believes that through these agency consultations cumulative effects have been appropriately addressed.

Transco consulted with the Pennsylvania Fish and Boat Commission (PFBC) to identify both game and non-game fishery species and determine fishery classifications for waterbodies watercourses crossed by the proposed pipeline. Due to the Project route and location and the presence of downstream dams, Transco determined that consultation with NOAA Fisheries was not necessary for the Project.

Construction of the Project may include temporary effects on waterbodies watercourses and associated fisheries crossed by the Project. Temporary effects on fisheries include disturbance of waterbody watercourse banks, removal of bank vegetation, and in some instances, modification of flow during dry-crossing construction. Transco will minimize effects resulting from construction through adherence to the Transco Procedures in the ECP (Attachment M). Transco is also consulting with the PFBC on these and other fishery effect minimization and mitigation measures. No waterbodies watercourses or fishery resources will be affected by construction of new and modified existing aboveground facilities. Transco does not anticipate that the operation and maintenance of the pipeline or aboveground facilities will have adverse effects on fishery resources.

The Project effect on fisheries will be similar to that described for surface waters. Implementation of the Transco Procedures will limit the effects of crossings in duration and distance and limit the effect of construction activities to the stream, and fishery, being crossed. None of the other activities identified in the cumulative effects analysis will also affect the same streams or fisheries within the same timeframe as the Project; therefore, there is no potential for cumulative effect on these fisheries.

Cultural Resources

Transco is currently consulting has consulted with the Pennsylvania Historical and Museum Commission (PHMC) and applicable Tribal Historic Preservation Offices regarding potential effects on cultural resources resulting from the Project. Beginning in May 2014, Transco commenced with Phase I cultural resources surveys in Pennsylvania. Survey in Pennsylvania continued into 2016. Transco completed surveys for cultural resources through the majority of the Project area and developed suitable avoidance, minimization, and mitigation measures to prevent adverse effects (see Attachment D-1 and D-2). Use of avoidance and mitigation measures would be expected to prevent adverse effects.

Transco believes that through these agency consultations cumulative effects have been appropriately addressed.will continue in 2015.

As part of the Section 106 review process, Transco will work with the PHMC on the appropriate avoidance or mitigation efforts for any identified resource listed or potentially eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP). Where practicable, NRHP cultural resources will be avoided during construction of the Project. Where unavoidable, these resources will be addressed in accordance with review and approval from the state SHPOs for excavation, management, and mitigation.

Transco will continue to consult with the PHMC to identify and develop avoidance and mitigation measures including the implementation of its Unanticipated Discovery Plans if listed or eligible sites are identified. Use of avoidance and mitigation measures would be expected to prevent adverse effects. Transco believes through agency consultations cumulative effects will be appropriately addressed.

Land Use, Recreation and Aesthetics

The geographic area considered in the evaluation of land use includes the community, metropolitan area, county, state, or region within the Project effect area. For recreation, the geographic area considered in this analysis includes rivers, lakes, geographic areas, or land management units within the Project effect area. For visual resources, the geographic area would be the viewshed within 0.5 mile of the Project study area. Potential cumulative effects resulting from construction activities and operations and maintenance have been considered as part of this analysis.

The primary pipeline-related effects on existing land uses for the Project will be associated with vegetation clearing during construction. Agricultural lands crossed by the Project include large tracts of row and field crops such as corn, soybeans, wheat, and hay. No commercial silviculture (i.e., timber production) operations have been identified along the pipeline route. A majority of the commercial and industrial land crossed by the Project is not currently in use and is located within contractor/pipe yards. Open land (e.g., nonforested and undeveloped land not classified for another use, including land maintained as utility ROWs) is also crossed by the Project.

Transco will allow forested areas affected within the temporary construction ROWs and other temporary workspaces to revert to forest through natural successional processes after construction. Within the permanent ROW, Transco will maintain currently forested upland areas in an herbaceous vegetation state to facilitate inspection and operation of the Project facilities.

Transco will acquire road and railroad crossing permits from the appropriate state or local jurisdiction, as required by state and/or local road encroachment permits and regulations. By complying with these permits, Transco will not permanently affect any roads or railroads. Transco proposes to construct its pipeline facilities within or adjacent to existing utility corridors where practicable. Where co-located with existing corridors, Transco will seek to overlap the construction ROWs with existing utility ROWs to minimize new disturbance.

Transco identified public land, conservation land, recreational areas, and other designated or special use areas in the vicinity of the Project and obtained additional information for these

areas by consulting with federal, state, county, and local agencies and private landowners; reviewing aerial photographs and maps of the Project area; and through field surveys conducted in 2014 <u>through 2016</u>. Transco <u>is coordinating has coordinated</u> with appropriate federal, state, and municipal agencies on proposed crossings on public lands to minimize effects on recreation.

Land use effects resulting from transportation and residential/commercial developments in the vicinity of the Project are expected to be minimal due to the scope and nature of the work (as in the case of the transportation Projects) or because of the minimal or lack of activity (as in the residential/commercial developments). Land use effects associated with other natural gas pipelines would be similar to those for the Project, with similar mitigation measures and effect avoidance measures being implemented. Based on the above analysis, Transco believes there will be no significant measurable cumulative effects of the Project on land use.

Visual effects associated with construction activities will result from the removal of vegetation, particularly in forested areas. These effects will be observed where the pipeline parallels or crosses roads and where vegetation is removed between the ROWs and residences. Potential mitigation measures may include planting visual screens along roadways and in residential areas in coordination with regulatory agencies, as necessary. While temporary visual effects on the landscape will occur during Project construction due to clearing, grading, and construction activities, Transco will restore disturbed areas in accordance with the Transco Plan and Transco Procedures (Attachments 17 and 18 of the Transco ECP (Attachment M)).

In summary, Transco believes there will be no significant measurable cumulative effects of the Project on land use, recreation, or visual resources.

E. Other Impacts to Water Resources Required to fulfill the Purposes of the Project As described in Attachment J – Project Description, the Atlantic Sunrise Project involves water obstructions and encroachments in 10 counties: Clinton, Lycoming, Susquehanna, Wyoming, Luzerne, Columbia, Northumberland, Schuylkill, Lebanon and Lancaster counties. This permit application package identifies the water obstructions and encroachments required in Lebanon

County on parcels that are accessible to date. Transco is submitting separate applications that will identify the water obstructions and encroachments in the other counties, as applicable.

F. References

- Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Department of Conservation and Natural Resources.

 2013. Bureau of Topographic and Geologic Survey. Available online at www.dcnrstate.pa.us/topogeo/. Accessed March 2014.
- Cowardin LM, Carter V, Golet FC, LaRoe ET. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deepwater habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service Pub. FWS/OBS-79/31, Washington, DC.
- Moyer, D.L., and Hyer, K.E., 2009, Continuous turbidity monitoring in the IndianCreek watershed, Tazewell County, Virginia, 2006–08: U.S. Geological SurveyScientific Investigations Report 2009–5085, 42 p.
- Interstate Natural Gas Association of America (INGAA). 1999. Temporary Right-of-Way

 Width Requirements for Pipeline Construction. Available at:

 http://www.ingaa.org/File.aspx?id=19105. Accessed on April 25, 2014.
- <u>Pennsylvania Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program (CREP). 2016. "What is CREP?". Available at: http://www.creppa.org/about/. Accessed on November 3, 2016.</u>
- Pennsylvania Farmland Preservation Association (PFPA). 2012. Agricultural Conservation

 Easements. Available at: http://pafarmland.org/index.php/calendar/conservation.

 Accessed on September 26, 2014.
- Pennsylvania Fish and Boat Commission. 2014a. *Class A Wild Trout Waters*. Bureau of Fisheries website: http://www.fish.state.pa.us/classa.pdf. Accessed February 2015 and reviewed with PFBC.
- Pennsylvania Fish and Boat Commission. 2014b. *Regional Listings of Approved Trout Waters*. Available from http://www.fish.state.pa.us/fishpub/summary/troutwaters.html. Accessed February 2015 and reviewed with PFBC.

- Pennsylvania Fish and Boat Commission. 2014c. Stream Section That Supports Natural Reproduction of Trout. March 2014. Available from: http://www.fish.state.pa.us/trout_repro.htm. Accessed February 2015 and reviewed with PFBC.
- Reid, S.M., and P.G. Anderson. 1999. Effects of sediment released during opencut pipeline water crossings on stream and river ecosystems. Canadian Water Resources Journal 24:23-39.
- The Pennsylvania Code. Title 25 Environmental Protection, Chapter 93. Water Quality Standards. Available online at http://www.pacode.com/secure/data/025/chapter-93/chap93toc.html/. March 2014.
- <u>United States Department of Agriculture (USDA). 2011. Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program Pennsylvania. Fact Sheet, United States Department of Agriculture, Farm Services Agency (March 2011). Available at: http://www.fsa.usda.gov/Internet/FSA_File/crep_penn_mar2011.pdf. Accessed on November 3, 2016.</u>
- U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA). 2014. Conservation Reserve Program. Available online
 at: http://www.fsa.usda.gov/FSA/webapp?area=home&subject=copr&topic=crp.
 Accessed on October 16, 2014.
- United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2008.

 Soil Survey of Lebanon, Pennsylvania. Web Soil Survey. Available online at

 http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/. Accessed January 2014.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA). n.d. Farm and Ranch Lands Protection Program.

 Available at:

 http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/national/programs/easements/farmranch.

 Accessed March 15, 2015.
- <u>United States Geological Survey (USGS). 2013. Watershed Boundary Dataset Facts. Available</u>
 <u>at: http://nhd.usgs.gov/wbd_facts.html. Accessed on July 10, 2014.</u>
- Waters, T. F. 1995. Sediment in streams: sources, biological effects and control.

 American Fisheries Society Monograph 7:1-251.