SUNOCO PIPELINE L.P.

Pennsylvania Pipeline Project

Wetland Functions and Values Assessment

-Indiana County

Joint Permit Application for a

Pennsylvania Water Obstruction & Encroachment Permit and a U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Section 404 Permit Application

Revised October 2016



Table of Contents

1.0	Introduction	3
2.0	Methods	3
3.0	Results and Impact Assessment	12
4.0	References	14

Attachment A – EV Wetland Highway Method Function and Value Evaluation Forms Attachment B – Other Wetland Highway Method Function and Value Assessments

WETLAND FUNCTIONS AND VALUES ASSESSMENT

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Sunoco Pipeline's, L.P. (SPLP) is seeking Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PADEP) Chapter 105 Water Obstruction and Encroachment and U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) Section 404 permits to allow temporary impacts to aquatic resources associated with the installation and operation of the Pennsylvania Pipeline Project (Project). To support the Indiana County Joint Application, and in accordance with 25 Pa Code \$105.13(e)(3), a wetland functions and values assessment is required and has been prepared for the proposed wetland impacts. The USACE Highway Methodology (USACE 1999) was chosen as the assessment method as it is generally acceptable to the PADEP and the USACE.

SPLP has been diligent in siting and designing the Project to avoid and minimize adverse effects to environmental resources located along the approximately 300-mile route. As part of the application materials, an in-depth alternatives analysis is presented to demonstrate these efforts. Within that alternatives analysis it is apparent that the highest quality wetlands on the Project area being avoided through reroutes and use of horizontal directional drill (HDD) technology. Direct impacts to almost all forested wetlands, the majority of scrub-shrub wetlands, and all federally listed endangered species occupied wetlands are avoided. The remaining impacted wetlands are often small, man-made, palustrine emergent, and limited to occurring within existing rights-of-way. This functions and values assessment provides further characterization of the impacted wetlands to assist the PADEP in its evaluation of the Chapter 105 application.

2.0 METHODS

As stated, the USACE Highway Methodology (USACE 1999) was chosen as the assessment method as it is generally acceptable to the PADEP and the USACE. In accordance with the method the eight functions and five values listed below were assessed for each impacted wetland. A Wetland Function-Value Evaluation Form is provided within the method's workbook and was used in the assessment of this Project's exceptional value (EV) wetlands. As first step, descriptor information on the wetland or wetland complex is provided within the header portion of the form and allows for information in respect to surround landscape as well as the impacts to be entered. As a second step, the suitability of the wetland to provide the function is assessed. Those determined to not provide the function or value or provide it at an insignificant level were considered not to be providing the function and "No" was checked. The rational for making the suitability decision and the considerations/qualifiers are then listed by code within the form in accordance with those listed in Table 1. Having a consideration/qualifier present did not automatically qualify the wetland as suitable for the function or value, but was a result of a combination of the presence and the evaluator's best professional judgment. Wetland delineation data sheets, pictures, topographical maps, soils maps, aerial maps, wetland and stream delineations, agency information (e.g., endangered species presence, designated exceptional value), other field survey information (e.g., threatened and endangered species), and best professional judgement were used during each evaluation. The third and final step, was to identify principle functions and values as those determined to be the most important. The objective of filling out the form is to document an unbiased record of the wetland, including

its location, function, appearance and relationship to its adjacent land use (USACE 1999). For nonexceptional value wetlands or "other wetlands" the same methodology was used but the results are presented in tabular format and lists only the principle functions provided.

GROUNDWATER RECHARGE/DISCHARGE — this function considers the potential for a wetland to serve as a groundwater recharge and/or discharge area. Recharge should relate to the potential for the wetland to contribute water to an aquifer. Discharge should relate to the potential for the wetland to serve as an area where groundwater can be discharged to the surface.

FLOODFLOW ALTERATION (Storage & Desynchronization) — This function considers the effectiveness of the wetland in reducing flood damage by attenuation of floodwaters for prolonged periods following precipitation events.

FISH AND SHELLFISH HABITAT — This function considers the effectiveness of seasonal or permanent waterbodies associated with the wetland in question for fish and shellfish habitat.

SEDIMENT/TOXICANT/PATHOGEN RETENTION — This function reduces or prevents degradation of water quality. It relates to the effectiveness of the wetland as a trap for sediments, toxicants, or pathogens.

NUTRIENT REMOVAL/RETENTION/TRANSFORMATION — This function relates to the effectiveness of the wetland to prevent adverse effects of excess nutrients entering aquifers or surface waters such as ponds, lakes, streams, rivers, or estuaries.

PRODUCTION EXPORT (Nutrient) — This function relates to the effectiveness of the wetland to produce food or usable products for humans or other living organisms.

SEDIMENT/SHORELINE STABILIZATION — This function relates to the effectiveness of a wetland to stabilize streambanks and shorelines against erosion.

WILDLIFE HABITAT — This function considers the effectiveness of the wetland to provide habitat for various types and populations of animals typically associated with wetlands and the wetland edge. Both resident and/ or migrating species must be considered. Species lists of observed and potential animals should be included in the wetland assessment report.

RECREATION (Consumptive and Non-Consumptive) — This value considers the effectiveness of the wetland and associated watercourses to provide recreational opportunities such as canoeing, boating, fishing, hunting, and other active or passive recreational activities. Consumptive activities consume or diminish the plants, animals, or other resources that are intrinsic to the wetland, whereas non-consumptive activities do not.

EDUCATIONAL/SCIENTIFIC VALUE — This value considers the effectiveness of the wetland as a site for an "outdoor classroom" or as a location for scientific study or research.

UNIQUENESS/HERITAGE — This value relates to the effectiveness of the wetland or its associated waterbodies to produce certain special values. Special values may include such things as archaeological sites, unusual aesthetic quality, historical events, or unique plants, animals, or geologic features.

VISUAL QUALITY/AESTHETICS — This value relates to the visual and aesthetic qualities of the wetland.

THREATENED or ENDANGERED SPECIES HABITAT — This value relates to the effectiveness of the wetland or associated waterbodies to support threatened or endangered species.

FUNCTION/VALUE	CONSIDERATIONS/QUALIFIERS
Groundwater Recharge/Discharge	 Public or private wells occur downstream of the wetland. Potential exists for public or private wells downstream of the wetland. Wetland is underlain by stratified drift. Gravel or sandy soils present in or adjacent to the wetland. Fragipan does not occur in the wetland. Fragipan, impervious soils, or bedrock does occur in the wetland. Fragipan, impervious soils, or bedrock does occur in the wetland. Wetland is associated with a perennial or intermittent watercourse. Signs of groundwater recharge are present or piezometer data demonstrates recharge. Wetland is associated with a watercourse but lacks a defined outlet or contains a constricted outlet. Wetland contains only an outlet, no inlet. Groundwater quality of stratified drift aquifer within or downstream of wetland meets drinking water standards. Quality of water associated with the wetland is high. Signs of groundwater discharge are present (e.g., springs). Water temperature suggests it is a discharge site. Wetland shows signs of variable water levels.
Floodflow Alteration	 Area of this wetland is large relative to its watershed. Wetland occurs in the upper portions of its watershed. Effective flood storage is small or non-existent upslope of or above the wetland. Wetland watershed contains a high percent of impervious surfaces. Wetland contains hydric soils which are able to absorb and detain water. Wetland exists in a relatively flat area that has flood storage potential. Wetland has an intermittent outlet, ponded water, or signs are present of variable water level.

Table 1 – Function-Value Considerations/Qualifiers

FUNCTION/VALUE	CONSIDERATIONS/QUALIFIERS
	8. During flood events, this wetland can retain higher volumes of water
	than under normal or average rainfall conditions.
	9. Wetland receives and retains overland or sheet flow runoff from
	surrounding uplands.
	10. In the event of a large storm, this wetland may receive and detain
	excessive flood water from a nearby watercourse.
	11. Valuable properties, structures, or resources are located in or near the
	floodplain downstream from the wetland.
	12. The watershed has a history of economic loss due to flooding.
	13. This wetland is associated with one or more watercourses.
	14. This wetland watercourse is sinuous or diffuse.
	15. This wetland outlet is constricted.
	16. Channel flow velocity is affected by this wetland.
	17. Land uses downstream are protected by this wetland.
	18. This wetland contains a high density of vegetation.
	19. Other
Fish and Shellfish Habitat	1. Forest land dominant in the watershed above this wetland.
	2. Abundance of cover objects present.
	STOP HERE IF THIS WETLAND IS NOT ASSOCIATED WITH A
	WATERCOURSE
	3. Size of this wetland is able to support large fish/shellfish populations.
	4. Wetland is part of a larger, contiguous watercourse.
	5. Wetland has sufficient size and depth in open water areas so as not to
	freeze solid and retain some open water during winter.
	6. Stream width (bank to bank) is more than 50 feet.
	7. Quality of the watercourse associated with this wetland is able to
	support healthy fish/shellfish populations.
	8. Streamside vegetation provides shade for the watercourse.
	9. Spawning areas are present (submerged vegetation or gravel beds).
	10. Food is available to fish/shellfish populations within this wetland.
	11. Barrier(s) to anadromous fish (such as dams, including beaver dams,
	waterfalls, road crossing) are absent from the stream reach associated
	with this wetland.
	12. Evidence of fish is present.
	13. Wetland is stocked with fish.
	14. The watercourse is persistent.
	15. Man-made streams are absent.
	16. Water velocities are not too excessive for fish usage.
	17. Defined stream channel is present.
	18. Other
Sediment/Toxicant/Pathogen	1. Potential sources of excess sediment are in the watershed above the
Retention	wetland.
	2. Potential or known sources of toxicants are in the watershed above the
	wetland.
	3. Opportunity for sediment trapping by slow moving water or deepwater
	habitat are present in this wetland.

FUNCTION/VALUE	CONSIDERATIONS/QUALIFIERS
	4. Fine grained mineral or organic soils are present.
	5. Long duration water retention time is present in this wetland.
	6. Public or private water sources occur downstream.
	7. The wetland edge is broad and intermittently aerobic.
	8. The wetland is known to have existed for more than 50 years.
	9. Drainage ditches have not been constructed in the wetland.
	STOP HERE IF WETLAND IS NOT ASSOCIATED WITH A
	WATERCOURSE.
	10. Wetland is associated with an intermittent or perennial stream or a
	lake.
	11. Channelized flows have visible velocity decreases in the wetland.
	12. Effective floodwater storage in wetland is occurring. Areas of
	impounded open water are present.
	13. No indicators of erosive forces are present. No high water velocities
	are present.
	14. Diffuse water flows are present in the wetland.
	15. Wetland has a high degree of water and vegetation interspersion.
	16. Dense vegetation provides opportunity for sediment trapping and/or
	signs of sediment accumulation by dense vegetation is present.
	17. Other
Nutrient	1. Wetland is large relative to the size of its watershed.
Removal/Retention/Transformation	2. Deep water or open water habitat exists.
	3. Overall potential for sediment trapping exists in the wetland.
	4. Potential sources of excess nutrients are present in the watershed
	above the wetland.
	5. Wetland saturated for most of the season. Ponded water is present in
	the wetland.
	6. Deep organic/sediment deposits are present.
	7. Slowly drained fine grained mineral or organic soils are present.
	8. Dense vegetation is present.
	9. Emergent vegetation and/or dense woody stems are dominant.
	10. Opportunity for nutrient attenuation exists.
	11. Vegetation diversity/abundance sufficient to utilize nutrients.
	STOP HERE IF WETLAND IS NOT ASSOCIATED WITH A
	WATERCOURSE.
	12. Waterflow through this wetland is diffuse.
	13. Water retention/detention time in this wetland is increased by
	constricted outlet or thick vegetation.
	14. Water moves slowly through this wetland.
	15. Other
Production Export (Nutrient)	1. Wildlife food sources grow within this wetland.
	2. Detritus development is present within this wetland
	3. Economically or commercially used products found in this wetland.
	4. Evidence of wildlife use found within this wetland.
	5. Higher trophic level consumers are utilizing this wetland.
	6. Fish or shellfish develop or occur in this wetland.
	7. High vegetation density is present.
	8. Wetland exhibits high degree of plant community structure/species
	diversity.

FUNCTION/VALUE	CONSIDERATIONS/QUALIFIERS
	9. High aquatic vegetative diversity/abundance is present.10. Nutrients exported in wetland watercourses (permanent outlet
	present). 11. "Flushing" of relatively large amounts of organic plant material
	occurs from this wetland. 12. Wetland contains flowering plants that are used by nectar-gathering
	insects.
	13. Indications of export are present.14. High production levels occurring, however, no visible signs of export
	(assumes export is attenuated). 15. Other
Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization	1. Indications of erosion or siltation are present.
	2. Topographical gradient is present in wetland.
	3. Potential sediment sources are present up-slope.
	4. Potential sediment sources are present upstream.
	5. No distinct shoreline or bank is evident between the waterbody and the wetland or upland.
	6. A distinct step between the open waterbody or stream and the adjacent land exists (i.e., sharp bank) with dense roots throughout.
	7. Wide wetland (>10') borders watercourse, lake, or pond.
	8. High flow velocities in the wetland.
	9. The watershed is of sufficient size to produce channelized flow.
	10. Open water fetch is present.
	11. Boating activity is present.
	 Dense vegetation is bordering watercourse, lake, or pond. High percentage of energy-absorbing emergents and/or shrubs border
	a watercourse, lake, or pond.
	14. Vegetation is comprised of large trees and shrubs that withstand major flood events or erosive incidents and stabilize the shoreline on a large scale (feet).
	15. Vegetation is comprised of a dense resilient herbaceous layer that
	stabilizes sediments and the shoreline on a small scale (inches) during
	minor flood events or potentially erosive events. 16. Other
Wildlife Habitat	1. Wetland is not degraded by human activity.
	2. Water quality of the watercourse, pond, or lake associated with this
	wetland meets or exceeds Class A or B standards.
	3. Wetland is not fragmented by development.
	4. Upland surrounding this wetland is undeveloped.
	5. More than 40% of this wetland edge is bordered by upland wildlife
	habitat (e.g., brushland, woodland, active farmland, or idle land) at least
	500 feet in width.
	6. Wetland is contiguous with other wetland systems connected by a
	watercourse or lake.
	7. Wildlife overland access to other wetlands is present.
	-
	8. Wildlife food sources are within this wetland or are nearby.
	9. Wetland exhibits a high degree of interspersion of vegetation classes
	and/or open water.

FUNCTION/VALUE	CONSIDERATIONS/QUALIFIERS
	10. Two or more islands or inclusions of upland within the wetland are present.
	11. Dominant wetland class includes deep or shallow marsh or wooded swamp.
	12. More than three acres of shallow permanent open water (less than 6.6 feet deep), including streams in or adjacent to wetland, are present.13. Density of the wetland vegetation is high.
	14. Wetland exhibits a high degree of plant species diversity.15. Wetland exhibits a high degree of diversity in plant community
	structure (e.g., tree/ shrub/vine/grasses/mosses)
	16. Plant/animal indicator species are present. (List species for project)17. Animal signs observed (tracks, scats, nesting areas, etc.)18. Seasonal uses vary for wildlife and wetland appears to support varied
	population diversity/abundance during different seasons. 19. Wetland contains or has potential to contain a high population of insects.
	20. Wetland contains or has potential to contain large amphibian populations.
	21. Wetland has a high avian utilization or it's potential.
	22. Indications of less disturbance-tolerant species are present.23. Signs of wildlife habitat enhancement are present (birdhouses,
	nesting boxes, food
	sources,
Recreation	 Wetland is part of a recreation area, park, forest, or refuge. Fishing is available within or from the wetland. Hunting is permitted in the wetland.
	4. Hiking occurs or has potential to occur within the wetland.5. Wetland is a valuable wildlife habitat.
	6. The watercourse, pond, or lake associated with the wetland is unpolluted.
	7. High visual/aesthetic quality of this potential recreation site.8. Access to water is available at this potential recreation site for boating,
	canoeing, or fishing.9. The watercourse associated with this wetland is wide and deep enough to accommodate canoeing and/or non-powered boating.
	10. Off-road public parking available at the potential recreation site.11. Accessibility and travel ease is present at this site.12. The wetland is within a short drive or safe walk from highly populated public and private areas.
	13. Other
Education/Scientific Value	 Wetland contains or is known to contain threatened, rare, or endangered species. Little or no disturbance is occurring in this wetland.
	3. Potential educational site contains a diversity of wetland classes which are accessible or potentially accessible.4. Potential educational site is undisturbed and natural.

FUNCTION/VALUE	CONSIDERATIONS/QUALIFIERS
	5. Wetland is considered to be a valuable wildlife habitat.
	6. Wetland is located within a nature preserve or wildlife management
	area.
	7. Signs of wildlife habitat enhancement present (bird houses, nesting
	boxes, food sources, etc.).
	8. Off-road parking at potential educational site suitable for school bus
	access in or near wetland.
	9. Potential educational site is within safe walking distance or a short
	drive to schools.
	10. Potential educational site is within safe walking distance to other
	plant communities.
	11. Direct access to perennial stream at potential educational site is available.
	12. Direct access to pond or lake at potential educational site is available.13. No known safety hazards exist within the potential educational site.
	14. Public access to the potential educational site is controlled.
	15. Handicap accessibility is available.
	16. Site is currently used for educational or scientific purposes.
	17. Other
Uniqueness/Heritage	1. Upland surrounding wetland is primarily urban.
1 C	2. Upland surrounding wetland is developing rapidly.
	3. More than 3 acres of shallow permanent open water (less than 6.6 feet
	deep), including streams, occur in wetlands.
	4. Three or more wetland classes are present.
	5. Deep and/or shallow marsh or wooded swamp dominate.
	6. High degree of interspersion of vegetation and/or open water occur in
	this wetland.
	7. Well-vegetated stream corridor (15 feet on each side of the stream)
	occurs in this wetland.
	8. Potential educational site is within a short drive or a safe walk from
	schools.
	9. Off-road parking at potential educational site is suitable for school
	buses.
	10. No known safety hazards exist within this potential educational site.11. Direct access to perennial stream or lake exists at potential
	educational site.
	12. Two or more wetland classes are visible from primary viewing
	locations.
	13. Low-growing wetlands (marshes, scrub-shrub, bogs, and open water)
	are visible from primary viewing locations.
	14. Half an acre of open water or 200 feet of stream is visible from the
	primary viewing locations.
	15. Large area of wetland is dominated by flowering plants or plants that
	turn vibrant colors in different seasons.
	16. General appearance of the wetland visible from primary viewing
	locations is unpolluted and/or undisturbed.
	17. Overall view of the wetland is available from the surrounding upland.
	18. Quality of the water associated with the wetland is high.
	19. Opportunities for wildlife observations are available.

FUNCTION/VALUE	CONSIDERATIONS/QUALIFIERS
	20. Historical buildings are found within the wetland.
	21. Presence of pond or pond site and remains of a dam occur within the
	wetland.
	22. Wetland is within 50 yards of the nearest perennial watercourse.
	23. Visible stone or earthen foundations, berms, dams, standing
	structures, or associated features occur within the wetland.
	24. Wetland contains critical habitat for a state- or federally-listed
	threatened or endangered species.
	25. Wetland is known to be a study site for scientific research.
	26. Wetland is a natural landmark or recognized by the state natural
	heritage inventory authority as an exemplary natural community.
	27. Wetland has local significance because it serves several functional
	values.
	28. Wetland has local significance because it has biological, geological,
	or other features that are locally rare or unique.
	29. Wetland is known to contain an important archaeological site.
	30. Wetland is hydrologically connected to a state or federally designated
	scenic river.
	31. Wetland is located in an area experiencing a high wetland loss rate.
	32. Other
Visual Quality/Aesthetics	1. Multiple wetland classes are visible from primary viewing locations.
	2. Emergent marsh and/or open water are visible from primary viewing
	locations.
	3. A diversity of vegetative species is visible from primary viewing
	locations.
	4. Wetland is dominated by flowering plants or plants that turn vibrant
	colors in different seasons.
	5. Land use surrounding the wetland is undeveloped as seen from
	primary viewing locations.
	6. Visible surrounding land use form contrasts with wetland.
	7. Wetland views absent of trash, debris, and signs of disturbance.
	8. Wetland is considered to be a valuable wildlife habitat.
	9. Wetland is easily accessed.
	10. Low noise level at primary viewing locations.
	11. Unpleasant odors absent at primary viewing locations.
	12. Relatively unobstructed sight line exists through wetland.
Enderson d Case' - U.1's s	13. Other
Endangered Species Habitat	1. Wetland contains or is known to contain threatened or endangered
	species.
	2. Wetland contains critical habitat for a state or federally listed
	threatened or endangered species.

3.0 RESULTS AND IMPACT ASSESSMENT

The Project crosses a total of 13 exceptional value wetlands in Indiana County. The Wetland Function-Value Evaluation Form is filled out for each of these wetlands and is located in Attachment A. For the non-exceptional value wetlands, the assessment is provided in Tabular format and is located in Attachment B. Please see the Alternative Analysis part of the application, specifically prepared in accordance with Title 25 of the Pennsylvania Code 105.18a(a), to demonstrate that the Project has avoided impacts to aquatic resources to the maximum extent practicable and has been designed to avoid significant adverse impact on wetlands, either through aerial extent or impacts on wetland function and values.

The Project crosses the 13 exceptional value wetlands and 39 other wetlands in Indiana County. The wetland impacts associated with the Project are temporary, and original grades and hydrology will be restored. Wetland functions and values, including exceptional value wetlands, will not be significantly altered. Those wetlands crossed by an HDD have already implemented measures to reduce the potential for inadvertent return through design phase geotechnical study and careful drill alignment planning. No surface impact or function and value impact to these drilled wetlands is expected as a result of the Project. During drill operation an inadvertent return contingency plan will be implemented at all times to further reduce the potential for impacts to wetlands or the functions and values provided.

Extra precautions are taken at each wetland to protect functions and values. Before construction begins, all Project workspaces are surveyed and marked including wetland boundaries. During construction these areas are inspected often to ensure these limits are adhered too. This ensures that only permitted wetland disturbances occur. Limiting the disturbance level to the authorized and minimum amount practicable significantly reduces the potential for unplanned impacts to functions and values.

The Project will be constructed under a PADEP Chapter 102 Erosion and Sediment Control General Permit authorization. This authorization, provides for the construction sequence and requires the installation of BMPs to protect the wetland during and post-construction. The BMPs are derived directly from PADEP manuals and are designed to protect aquatic resource function and value. For example, the installation of trench breakers at wetland entry and exit points is designed to protect wetland hydrology and maintain preconstruction groundwater recharge/discharge, floodflow alteration, sediment/toxicant retention, nutrient removal, and production export when these functions are present. The erosion and control permit will also stipulate top-soil separation in non-saturated wetlands to ensure proper restoration of the native seedbank. In addition, permit authorizations will require monitoring and that monitoring will establish criteria for contour, hydrology, and vegetation restoration. This monitoring and required agency reporting will further ensure functions and values are not lost.

Stream bed and banks are required to be restored to stabilized condition, and as a result, for wetlands directly abutting stream banks the sediment/shoreline stabilization function is expected to remain unchanged. Fish and shellfish habitat is often degraded as a result of undue sedimentation at Project areas or within downstream waters. The implementation of dry crossing methods at all flowing streams, reduces during construction sedimentation impacts and restoration of stream beds and banks after installation further protects adjacent wetlands and downstream waters. In addition, stream bed substrate is required to be separated and restored to protect important fish spawning habitat. Most streams will be traversed

(trenched and backfilled) within 24 hours to reduce exposure to Project activities and unforeseen weather events.

Although many impacts are avoided and minimized, some functions and values would be temporarily affected by construction of the Project. All noted functions and values may be temporarily lost during construction as in the case of very small wetlands completely impacted by Project activities. However, these smaller wetlands often do not provide principal functions, unless an endangered species or unique/heritage value is noted. Large wetlands extending beyond the Project boundaries would still continue to provide the noted functions and values during construction as the impact area relative to the size of the wetland is minor. Several wetlands are noted as providing the wildlife habitat function. While temporary, short-term impacts may be unavoidable to non-mobile wildlife community. More mobile species are expected to occupy adjacent habitats and all sensitive species occupied wetlands have been avoided through re-routes or Project design (e.g., HDD).

In summary, the exceptional value and other wetlands impacted provide functions and values at varying levels. SPLP has taken great steps to avoid and minimize wetland impacts across Indiana County. Permanent and temporary wetland impacts are based on PADEP definitions. Permanent impacts are those areas affected by a water obstruction or encroachment that consist of both direct and indirect impacts that result from the placement or construction of a water obstruction or encroachment and include areas necessary for the operation and maintenance of the water obstruction or encroachment located in, along or across, or projecting into the floodway. Although PADEP defines operation and maintenance activities as permanent impacts, all wetlands affected by the Project will be restored to pre-construction conditions including the presence of wetland soils, hydrology, and hydrophytic vegetation. In addition, the Project does not involve any permanent fill and there will be no permanent loss of wetland area associated with the Project. SPLP will not maintain the ROW through wetland areas (i.e., no mowing); therefore, the pre-and post-construction conditions of the wetland areas will be the same, except for a nominal areal extent of forested wetland that will be converted to emergent wetland.

Temporary impacts are those areas affected during the construction of a water obstruction or encroachment that consists of both direct and indirect impacts located in, along or across, or projecting into a watercourse, floodway or body of water that are restored upon completion of construction. This does not include areas that will be maintained as a result of the operation and maintenance of the water obstruction or encroachment located in, along or across, or projecting into the floodway.

Given the PADEP permanent and temporary impact definitions, Permanent ROW impacts total 1.151 acres and temporary impacts total 0.269 acre for the 19.4 miles of proposed work located in Indiana County. These impacts include no cover type conversion in forested wetlands. As shown in Attachments A and B, wetlands affected by the Project lack several of the 13 functions and values and are of low value. Impacts are not only small-scale, but also are minimal in nature with respect to functions and values. Impacts to functions and values will be temporary, especially given restoration will occur immediately following construction and revegetation of wetlands will occur within the first growing season.

4.0 **REFERENCES**

USACE. 1999. The Highway Methodology Workbook Supplemental. US Army Corps of Engineers New England Division. 39 pp. NAEEP-360-1-30a.

Attachment A

Total area of wetland <u>0.814 ac.</u> Human made? <u>No</u>	Wetland I.D. W-N34 Latitude 40.430624 Longitude -78.998695 Prepared by: KMM Date 10/10/2016					
Adjacent land use Forested Floodplain/ Gas ROW			Distance to nearest re	oadway o	r other development 0.03 mi.	
Dominant wetland systems present_PEM, PFO	Contiguous undeveloped buffer zone present yes				Wetland Impact: See Permit Tables	
Is the wetland a separate hydraulic system? <u>No</u>		_ If n	ot, where does the wetland lie	e in the dr	ainage basin? <u>Upper</u>	Evaluation based on:
						Office Field
How many tributaries contribute to the wetland?2			_Wildlife & vegetation diversi	ity/abunda	ance (see attached list)	Corps manual wetland delineation
	~ .			р		completed? $Y \checkmark N$
Function/Value	Suita Y		y Rationale (Reference #)*	Princi		Comments
		11	1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 13, 15			
Groundwater Recharge/Discharge	\checkmark		., _, ., ., ., .,,	\checkmark		
Floodflow Alteration	\checkmark		2, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 13, 14, 18	✓		
Fish and Shellfish Habitat		✓				
Sediment/Toxicant Retention	✓		1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 16	✓	PEM Portion lying within existing gas R	OW is disturbed.
Nutrient Removal	✓		3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13	✓	PEM Portion lying within existing gas R	OW is disturbed.
Production Export		\checkmark	1, 7, 12			
Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization	✓		1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 9, 14	\checkmark		
₩ Wildlife Habitat	✓		4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 13, 15, 17, 19	✓	PEM Portion lying within existing gas R	OW is disturbed.
A Recreation		✓				
Educational/Scientific Value		✓				
★ Uniqueness/Heritage		✓				
Visual Quality/Aesthetics		\checkmark				
ES Endangered Species Habitat		✓				
Other						

Notes: Evaluation based on delineated wetland within a 200-foot study corridor.

Sampling Point: W-N34

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Abaoluto	Dominant I	ndiaatar	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30'</u>)		Species?		
1				Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)
2				
				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3* (B)
3				Species Across All Strata: (B)
4			<u> </u>	Percent of Dominant Species
5			·	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100%</u> (A/B)
6			. <u> </u>	Prevalence Index worksheet:
7				
		= Total Cove		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
50% of total cover: 0	20% of	total cover:	0	OBL species x 1 =
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15')				FACW species x 2 =
1				FAC species x 3 =
2				FACU species x 4 =
3				UPL species x 5 =
				Column Totals: (A) (B)
4				
5				Prevalence Index = B/A =
6				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
7			<u> </u>	1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
8				✓ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
9				3 - Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^{1}$
	0	= Total Cove	r	
50% of total cover: 0	20% of	total cover:	0	4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5')				data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
1. Impatiens capensis	20	\checkmark	FACW	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
2. Solidago sp.	10	<u> </u>	ND	
3. Symplocarpus foetidus	10	$\overline{\checkmark}$	OBL	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
4 Eutrochium purpureum	10		FAC	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
		<u> </u>	170	Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:
5				Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or
6			. <u> </u>	more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of
7				height.
8	-			Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less
9				than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1
10				m) tall.
11.				
· · ·	50	= Total Cove	r	Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
50% of total cover: 25		total cover:		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 15')				Woody vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
				height.
1			<u> </u>	
2				
3				
4		v	. <u> </u>	Hydrophytic
5				Vegetation
		= Total Cove		Present? Yes <u>√</u> No
50% of total cover: 0	20% of	total cover:	0	
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate s	heet.)			
ND - Not Determined				
* Vegetation not identified down to species not i	ncluded i	n dominar	ice test	

Sampling Point: W-N34

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30'</u>)		Species?		Number of Dominant Species
Acer rubrum	40	\checkmark	FAC	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:5 (A)
2. Carpinus caroliniana	10		FAC	
	-	•	TAC	Total Number of Dominant
3				Species Across All Strata:5* (B)
4				
5				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL_EACW_or EAC: 100% (A/B)
				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100% (A/B)
6				Prevalence Index worksheet:
7				
	50	= Total Cove	er	Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
50% of total cover: 25	20% of	total cover:	10	OBL species x 1 =
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15')		-		FACW species x 2 =
1. Carpinus caroliniana	30	/	FAC	FAC species x 3 =
		<u> </u>		
_{2.} Crataegus sp.	10		ND	FACU species x 4 =
_{3.} Lindera benzoin	10	\checkmark	FAC	UPL species x 5 =
				Column Totals: (A) (B)
4				
5				Prevalence Index = B/A =
6				
7				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
				✓ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
8			<u> </u>	✓ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
9				3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
	50	= Total Cove	er	
50% of total cover: 25	20% of	total cover:		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5')				data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
	40	/	FACW	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
1. Impatiens capensis	-	•		
2. Solidago sp.	10		ND	
3. Symplocarpus foetidus	10		OBL	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
1				be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
4				Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:
5				Tree Mandy plants evaluating vince 2 in (7.6 am) or
6				Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of
7				height.
				g.m
8				Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less
9				than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1
10				m) tall.
11.				
	60	Tatal Cau		Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
50% of total array 20		= Total Cove		
50% of total cover: <u>30</u>	20% of	total cover:	12	Woody vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 15')				height.
1				
2				
3			<u> </u>	
4		✓		Hydrophytic
5				Vegetation
	0	= Total Cove	or.	Present? Yes 🖌 No
50% of total cover:0		total cover:	-	
		total cover.	<u> </u>	
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate s	heet.)			
ND - Not Determined				
* Vegetation not identified down to species not i	ncludadi	n domino	nce test	
* Vegetation not identified down to species not i	nciuueu I	nuoniinai	100 1051.	
1				

Total area of wetland_0.037 ac Human made? <u>No</u>	Wetland I.D. <u>W-N41</u> Latitude <u>40.43043</u> Longitude <u>-79.026499</u>					
Adjacent land use Managed lawn/Gas ROW	Distance to nearest roadway or other development 110 ft.				Prepared by: <u>KMM</u> Date <u>10/10/2016</u>	
Dominant wetland systems present_PEM	Contiguous undeveloped buffer zone present_no				Wetland Impact: See Permit Tables	
Is the wetland a separate hydraulic system? <u>No</u>		_ If n	ot, where does the wetland l	ie in the dra	inage basin? <u>Mid</u>	Evaluation based on:
How many tributaries contribute to the wetland?	Wildlife & vegetation diversity/abundance (see attached list)				Office Field Corps manual wetland delineation	
Function/Value	Suita Y	Ν	(Reference #)*	Princip Functio	al on(s)/Value(s)	completed? Y_✓ N Comments
Groundwater Recharge/Discharge		✓	2, 5, 7, 15			
Floodflow Alteration		✓	5, 7, 13			
Fish and Shellfish Habitat		✓				
Sediment/Toxicant Retention	✓		1, 2, 6, 10	✓		
Nutrient Removal		✓	3, 4			
Production Export		✓	1, 12			
Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization	✓		1, 3, 4, 6, 9	✓		
₩ Wildlife Habitat		✓	7, 8			
A Recreation		✓				
Educational/Scientific Value		✓				
★ Uniqueness/Heritage		✓				
Visual Quality/Aesthetics		✓				
ES Endangered Species Habitat		✓				
Other						

Notes: Evaluation based on delineated wetland within a 200-foot study corridor.

Sampling Point: W-N41

	Abaaluta	Dominant I	ndiaatar	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30'</u>)		Species?		Number of Dominant Species
1				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 8 (A)
2				
3				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 8 (B)
4.				
5				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL_FACW_or_FAC: 100% (A/B)
6				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:(A/B)
7				Prevalence Index worksheet:
/	0	= Total Cove		Total % Cover of:Multiply by:
50% of total cover: 0				OBL species x 1 =
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15')	2070 01	10101 00 001.		FACW species x 2 =
				FAC species x 3 =
1				FACU species x 4 =
2				UPL species x 5 =
3				Column Totals: (A) (B)
4				
5				Prevalence Index = B/A =
6		·		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
7				✓ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
8				\checkmark 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
9				3 - Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^{1}$
	0	= Total Cove	r	
50% of total cover: 0	20% of	total cover:	0	4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5')				data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
1. Phalaris arundinacea	20	\checkmark	FACW	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
2. Impatiens capensis	20	\checkmark	FACW	
3. Carex lurida	10	\checkmark	OBL	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
4. Eleocharis palustris	10	\checkmark	OBL	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
5. Lysimachia nummularia	10		FACW	Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:
6. Symphyotrichum puniceum	10		OBL	Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or
7. Juncus tenuis	10		FAC	more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of
8. Eutrochium purpureum	10		FAC	height.
g Carex vulpinoidea	5	•	OBL	Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less
	5	·	OBL	than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1
10. Scirpus atrovirens	5		UBL	m) tall.
11	440			Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
	110	= Total Cove	r	of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
50% of total cover: <u>55</u>	20% of	total cover:	22	Woody vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 15')				height.
1		·		
2				
3				
4				Hydrophytic
5				Vegetation
	0	= Total Cove	r	Present? Yes <u>√</u> No
50% of total cover: 0	20% of	total cover:	0	
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate s	heet.)			

Total area of wetland 0.028 ac. Human made? No	Is	s wetla	and part of a wildlife corrido	or?_No	_ or a "habitat island"?_No	Wetland I.D. <u>W-N43</u> — Latitude <u>40.431346</u> Longitude <u>-79.037063</u>
Adjacent land use Forested / Gas ROW			Distance to nearest	roadway or	other development 165 ft.	Prepared by: <u>KMM</u> Date <u>10/10/2016</u>
Dominant wetland systems present_PEM			Contiguous undev	eloped buffe	er zone present <u>no</u>	Wetland Impact: - See Permit Tables
Is the wetland a separate hydraulic system? <u>No</u>		_ If n	ot, where does the wetland	lie in the dra	inage basin? <u>Mid</u>	Evaluation based on:
How many tributaries contribute to the wetland?			_Wildlife & vegetation diver	rsity/abunda	nce (see attached list)	Office Field Corps manual wetland delineation completed? Y_{-} N
Function/Value	Suita Y	abilit N	y Rationale (Reference #)*	Princip Function	oal on(s)/Value(s)	Comments
Groundwater Recharge/Discharge	✓		1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 13	\checkmark		
		\checkmark	13			
-Fish and Shellfish Habitat		✓				
Sediment/Toxicant Retention	\checkmark		1, 2, 6, 9, 10, 14			
Nutrient Removal		✓	5, 7, 12, 14			
Production Export		✓				
Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization		\checkmark	2, 3, 4, 6			
🖢 Wildlife Habitat		✓	4, 5, 7, 8			
A Recreation		✓				
Educational/Scientific Value		✓				
★ Uniqueness/Heritage		✓				
Visual Quality/Aesthetics		✓				
ES Endangered Species Habitat		✓				
Other						

Notes: Evaluation based on delineated wetland within a 200-foot study corridor.

Sampling Point: W-N43

001	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30'</u>)	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species
1				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
2				Total Number of Dominant
3				Species Across All Strata: 2* (B)
4				
5				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 50% (A/B)
6				
7				Prevalence Index worksheet:
/	0	= Total Cove		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
50% of total cover: 0		total cover:	· ·	OBL species x 1 =
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15')		·····		FACW species <u>30</u> x 2 = <u>60</u>
1. Rosa multiflora	5	1	FACU	FAC species 40 x 3 = 120
				FACU species 15 x 4 = 60
2				UPL species x 5 =
3				Column Totals: 85 (A) 240 (B)
4				
5				Prevalence Index = $B/A = 2.82$
6				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
7				1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
8				2 - Dominance Test is >50%
9				\checkmark 3 - Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^1$
		= Total Cove	er	4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
50% of total cover: 2.5	20% of	total cover:	1	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5')				data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
1. Microstegium vimineum	40	\checkmark	FAC	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
_{2.} Viola sp.	15	\checkmark	ND	
3. Impatiens capensis	10		FACW	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
4 Pilea pumila	10		FACW	
5. Urtica dioica	10		FACU	Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:
6. Coptis trifolia	5		FACW	Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or
7. Onoclea sensibilis	5		FACW	more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of
				height.
8				Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less
9				than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1
10				m) tall.
11				Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
		= Total Cove		of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
50% of total cover: <u>47.5</u>	<u>20% of</u>	total cover:	19	Woody vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 15')				height.
1				
2				
3				
4				Hydrophytic
5				Vegetation
	0	= Total Cove	er	Present? Yes 🗸 No
50% of total cover: 0	20% of	total cover:	0	
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate s	heet.)			
ND - Not Determined				
* Vegetation not identified down to species not in	ncluded i	n dominaı	nce test.	

						Wetland I.D. W-O46
Total area of wetland 0.051 ac. Human made? No Is wetland part of a wildlife corridor? No or a "habitat island"? No Latitude 40.432463 Longitude -78.97465						
Adjacent land use Forested			Distance to nearest r	roadway or	other development 285 ft.	Prepared by: KMM Date 10/10/2016
Dominant wetland systems present_PFO			Contiguous undeve	loped buffe	r zone present no	Wetland Impact: - See Permit Tables
Is the wetland a separate hydraulic system? <u>No</u>		_ If n	ot, where does the wetland li	e in the dra	inage basin? <u>Upper</u>	Evaluation based on:
How many tributaries contribute to the wetland?			_Wildlife & vegetation divers	sity/abunda	nce (see attached list)	Office Field Corps manual wetland delineation
	C :4	1.1114	v Rationale	Princip	al	completed? Y N
Function/Value	Suita Y	idilit N	(Reference #)*		on(s)/Value(s)	Comments
Groundwater Recharge/Discharge	✓		2, 5, 7, 15			
Floodflow Alteration	✓		2, 5, 6, 9, 13			
Fish and Shellfish Habitat		✓				
Sediment/Toxicant Retention	✓		1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 13	✓		
Nutrient Removal	✓		3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 11, 14	\checkmark		
Production Export		✓	1			
Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization	✓		2, 3, 4, 9			
🖢 Wildlife Habitat	✓		1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8	✓		
A Recreation		✓				
Educational/Scientific Value		✓				
🔶 Uniqueness/Heritage		✓				
Visual Quality/Aesthetics		\checkmark				
ES Endangered Species Habitat		✓				
Other						

Notes: Evaluation based on delineated wetland within a 200-foot study corridor.

Sampling Point: W-O46

001	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30</u> ')		Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species
1. Acer rubrum	70		FAC	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
2.				
				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)
3				Species Across All Strata:4 (B)
4				Percent of Dominant Species
5				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100% (A/B)
6				
7				Prevalence Index worksheet:
	70	= Total Cove	<u>e</u> r	Total % Cover of:Multiply by:
50% of total cover: <u>35</u>	20% of	total cover:	14	OBL species x 1 =
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15')				FACW species x 2 =
1. Lindera benzoin	25	./	FAC	FAC species x 3 =
		<u> </u>		
2. Carpinus caroliniana	10		FAC	FACU species x 4 =
_{3.} Hamamelis virginiana	5		FACU	UPL species x 5 =
4				Column Totals: (A) (B)
5				
				Prevalence Index = B/A =
6			·	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
7				1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
8				✓ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
9				3 - Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^{1}$
	40	= Total Cove	er	
50% of total cover: <u>20</u>	20% of	total cover:	8	4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5')				data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
1. Symplocarpus foetidus	60	1	OBL	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
	10		FAC	
2. Maianthemum canadense				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
3. Thalictrum dioicum	5		FAC	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
4. Smilax rotundifolia	5		FAC	Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:
5				Deminions of Four Vegetation of ata.
6				Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or
				more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of
7				height.
8				Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less
9				than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1
10				m) tall.
11				Harb All borbassous (non woody) planta, regardlass
	80	= Total Cove		Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
50% of total cover:40		total cover:		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 15')	2070 01	total 00701.		Woody vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
				height.
1				
2				
3				
4				I hadron havin
5				Hydrophytic Vegetation
	0	= Total Cove	or .	Present? Yes V No
50% of total cover:0		total cover:	-	
			0	
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate s	heet.)			

Total area of wetland 0.529 ac. Human made? No	Wetland I.D. <u>W-O48</u> Latitude <u>40.433403</u> Longitude <u>-78.982307</u>					
Adjacent land use Gas ROW			Distance to nearest r	oadway or	other development 400 ft.	Prepared by: KMM Date 10/10/2016
Dominant wetland systems present PEM			Contiguous undevel	loped buffe	r zone present yes - 400 ft.	Wetland Impact: See Permit Tables
Is the wetland a separate hydraulic system? No		_ If n	ot, where does the wetland lie	e in the drai	inage basin? <u>Upper</u>	Evaluation based on:
How many tributaries contribute to the wetland?			Wildlife & vegetation divers	ity/abundar	nce (see attached list)	Office Field Corps manual wetland delineation
Function/Value	SuitabilityRationalePrincipalFunction/ValueYN(Reference #)*Function(s)/Value(s)C					completed? Y ✓ N Comments
Groundwater Recharge/Discharge	✓		1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 15	\checkmark		
Floodflow Alteration	✓		2, 5, 6, 7, 9, 13, 16, 18	✓		
Fish and Shellfish Habitat		\checkmark				
Sediment/Toxicant Retention	✓		1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6,7, 10, 11, 16	✓		
Nutrient Removal	✓		3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 13, 14	\checkmark		
Production Export		✓	1, 12			
Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization	✓		1, 3, 4, 6, 9, 15			
← Wildlife Habitat	✓		4, 5, 7, 8, 13			
A Recreation		\checkmark				
Educational/Scientific Value		✓				
🔶 Uniqueness/Heritage		✓				
Visual Quality/Aesthetics		\checkmark				
ES Endangered Species Habitat		✓				
Other						

Notes: Evaluation based on delineated wetland within a 200-foot study corridor.

Sampling Point: W-O48 (1)

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:			
Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)		Species?		Number of Dominant Species			
1. Carpinus caroliniana	5	\checkmark	FAC	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:5 (A)			
				Total Number of Dominant			
3		·	<u> </u>	Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)			
4				Percent of Dominant Species			
5				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:100% (A/B)			
6							
7.				Prevalence Index worksheet:			
/·	5	Tatal O		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:			
50% - (1-1-1		= Total Cove		OBL species x 1 =			
50% of total cover: <u>2.5</u>	20% of	total cover:	1				
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15')				FACW species x 2 =			
1. Alnus serrulata	10		OBL	FAC species x 3 =			
2				FACU species x 4 =			
3				UPL species x 5 =			
				Column Totals: (A) (B)			
4							
5				Prevalence Index = B/A =			
6				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:			
7				1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation			
8							
0				✓ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%			
9	10			3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹			
F	10	= Total Cove		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting			
50% of total cover: <u>5</u>	20% of	total cover:	2	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)			
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5')							
1. Leersia oryzoides	30	\checkmark	OBL	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)			
2. Impatiens capensis	20	\checkmark	FACW				
3. Scirpus atrovirens	15	\checkmark	OBL	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must			
4 Microstegium vimineum	15		FAC	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.			
		·		Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:			
5. Carex lurida	10		OBL	The second state of the second s			
_{6.} Juncus effusus	10		FACW	Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of			
7. Lysimachia ciliata	7		FACW	height.			
_{8.} Typha latifolia	5		OBL				
9. Eutrochium maculatum	5		FACW	Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less			
	5	·		than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1			
10. Symplocarpus foetidus	-		OBL	m) tall.			
11. Vernonia noveboracensis	2		FACW	Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless			
	124	= Total Cove	er	of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.			
50% of total cover: 62	20% of	total cover:	24.8				
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 15')		-		Woody vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in			
				height.			
1							
2	·	·					
3		. <u> </u>					
4				Hydrophytic			
5.				Vegetation			
	0	= Total Cove		Present? Yes V No			
50% of total cover: 0		total cover:	•				
			<u> </u>				
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate s	sheet.)						

Sampling Point: W-O48 (2)

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30'</u>)	% Cover	Species?		Number of Dominant Species
1				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)
2				Total Number of Dominant
3				Species Across All Strata: <u>3</u> (B)
4				Percent of Dominant Species
5				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:100% (A/B)
6				
_	-			Prevalence Index worksheet:
7	0		<u> </u>	Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
		= Total Cove		OBL species x 1 =
50% of total cover: 0	20% of	total cover:	0	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15')				FACW species x 2 =
1				FAC species x 3 =
2				FACU species x 4 =
				UPL species x 5 =
3			·	Column Totals: (A) (B)
4		·		
5				Prevalence Index = B/A =
6				
				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
7				✓ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
8		·	<u> </u>	✓ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
9		·		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
		= Total Cove	ər	
50% of total cover: 0	20% of	total cover:	0	4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5')		_		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
1. Rudbeckia laciniata	25	1	FACW	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
	20		FACW	
2. Impatiens capensis		<u> </u>		¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
3. Symplocarpus foetidus	20		OBL	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
4. Carex crinita	10		OBL	Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:
5. Scirpus atrovirens	5		OBL	Deminions of Pour Vegetation Strata.
6. Dichanthelium clandestinum	5		FAC	Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or
6. Dichantifeitan elandestinam		·	1710	more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of
7				height.
8				Conting/Chruh Woody plants systuding vines loss
9				Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1
10.				m) tall.
10				,
II	05	·		Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
10.1		= Total Cove		of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
50% of total cover: 42.5	<u>20% of</u>	total cover:	17	Woody vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 15')				height.
1				Ť
2.				
3		·		
4		·		Hydrophytic
5				Vegetation
	0	= Total Cove	er	Present? Yes ✓ No
50% of total cover:0		total cover:	-	
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate s	neet.)			

Total area of wetland 0.009 ac. Human made? No	Is	s wetla	and part of a wildlife corrido	or? <u>No</u>	_ or a "habitat island"?_No	Wetland I.D. W-052 Latitude 40.430927 Longitude -78.964371
Adjacent land use Gas ROW			Distance to nearest	roadway or	other development 0.10 mi.	Prepared by: <u>KMM</u> Date <u>10/10/2016</u>
Dominant wetland systems present_PEM			Contiguous undeve	eloped buffe	er zone present no	Wetland Impact: See Permit Tables
Is the wetland a separate hydraulic system? <u>No</u>		_ If n	ot, where does the wetland l	lie in the dra	inage basin? <u>Upper</u>	Evaluation based on:
How many tributaries contribute to the wetland? <u>1</u>	o the wetland?					Corps manual wetland delineation
SuitabilityRationalePrincipalcompleted?Y_NFunction/ValueYN(Reference #)*Function(s)/Value(s)Comments						×
Groundwater Recharge/Discharge		✓	2, 4, 5, 7			
Floodflow Alteration		✓	5, 9, 13			
Fish and Shellfish Habitat		✓				
Sediment/Toxicant Retention	✓		1, 2, 6, 9, 10	1		
Nutrient Removal		✓	3, 4, 7			
Production Export		✓	1			
Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization		1	1, 3, 4, 9			
₩ Wildlife Habitat		✓	5, 7, 8			
A Recreation		✓				
Educational/Scientific Value		1				
🛨 Uniqueness/Heritage		✓				
Visual Quality/Aesthetics		✓				
ES Endangered Species Habitat		✓				
Other						

Notes: Evaluation based on delineated wetland within a 200-foot study corridor.

Sampling Point: W-O52

	Abaaluta	Dominant I	ndiaatar	Dominance Test worksheet:	
Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30'</u>)		Species?			
1				Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3	(A)
					(A)
2				Total Number of Dominant	
3		·		Species Across All Strata: 3	(B)
4		. <u> </u>		Percent of Dominant Species	
5		<u> </u>		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100°	% (A/B)
6					(,,,_)
7.				Prevalence Index worksheet:	
<i>T</i>	0	Tatal Cause		Total % Cover of: Multiply	by:
		= Total Cove	-	OBL species x 1 =	
50% of total cover: 0	20% 0	total cover:	0	FACW species x 2 =	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15')	-				
1. Viburnum dentatum	5	✓	FAC	FAC species x 3 =	
2				FACU species x 4 =	
3				UPL species x 5 =	
				Column Totals: (A)	(B)
4					
5				Prevalence Index = B/A =	
6				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:	
7				1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegeta	tion
8					lion
9.				✓ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%	
J	5	Tatal Cause		$_$ 3 - Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^1$	
50% - (1-1-1		= Total Cove		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provid	de supporting
50% of total cover: <u>2.5</u>	20% 0	total cover:	1	data in Remarks or on a separate s	sheet)
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5')				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹	,
1. Microstegium vimineum			FAC		
2. Scirpus atrovirens	10	\checkmark	OBL		
3				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydro	
				be present, unless disturbed or problemati	С.
4				Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:	
5		- <u> </u>		Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 ir	(7.6 cm) or
6				more in diameter at breast height (DBH), r	
7		<u> </u>		height.	- 9
8					
9				Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding	
				than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to m) tall.	0 3.26 II (1
10					
11		·		Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants	
		= Total Cove		of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft	tall.
50% of total cover: 15	20% of	f total cover:	6	Weedy vine All weedy vince greater the	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 15')				Woody vine – All woody vines greater that height.	in 3.26 it in
1.					
·					
2		·			
3					
4				Hydrophytic	
5				Vegetation	
	0	= Total Cove	r	Present? Yes <u>√</u> No	
50% of total cover:0	20% of	total cover:	0		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate s					
Remarks. (include proto numbers here of on a separate s	neet.)				

	•			· circie		
Total area of wetland 0.545 ac. Human made? No	Is	s wetla	and part of a wildlife corrido	or? <u>Yes</u>	_ or a "habitat island"?No	Wetland I.D. <u>W-O55</u> - Latitude <u>40.430228</u> Longitude <u>-78.996113</u>
Adjacent land use Floodplain / Gas ROW / Agricultura	Adjacent land use_Floodplain / Gas ROW / Agricultural Fields Distance to nearest roadway or other development_0.02 mi. Prepared by: KMM Date_10/10/2016					
Dominant wetland systems present_PEM			Contiguous undeve	eloped buffe	r zone present <u>no</u>	Wetland Impact: See Permit Tables
Is the wetland a separate hydraulic system? <u>No</u>		_ If n	ot, where does the wetland l	ie in the dra	inage basin? <u>Upper</u>	- Evaluation based on:
How many tributaries contribute to the wetland? <u>1</u>		Wildlife & vegetation diversity/abundance (see attached list)				Office Field Corps manual wetland delineation
Function/Value	Suita Y	abilit N	(Reference #)*	Princip Function	al on(s)/Value(s)	completed? Y_✓ N Comments
Groundwater Recharge/Discharge		✓	1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 15			
Floodflow Alteration	1		2, 5, 6, 8, 9, 13, 18	✓		
-Fish and Shellfish Habitat		1				
Sediment/Toxicant Retention	✓		1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 16	✓		
Nutrient Removal	✓		3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13	✓		
Production Export		1	1, 7, 12			
Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization	1		1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 15	✓		
← Wildlife Habitat	1		4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 13,19	✓		
A Recreation		✓				
Educational/Scientific Value		1				
🔶 Uniqueness/Heritage		1				
Visual Quality/Aesthetics		✓				
ES Endangered Species Habitat		✓				
Other						

Notes: Evaluation based on delineated wetland within a 200-foot study corridor.

Sampling Point: W-O55

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:			
Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30'</u>)	% Cover	Species?		Number of Dominant Species			
1		. <u> </u>		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 5 (A)			
2							
3				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)			
4							
				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL_EACW_or EAC: 100% (A/B)			
5		·		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100% (A/B)			
6		·		Prevalence Index worksheet:			
7				Total % Cover of: Multiply by:			
		= Total Cove		OBL species x 1 =			
50% of total cover:	20% of	total cover:	0				
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15')	-	,		FACW species x 2 =			
1. Viburnum dentatum	5	<u> </u>	FAC	FAC species x 3 =			
2. Cornus amomum	5		FACW	FACU species x 4 =			
3				UPL species x 5 =			
4				Column Totals: (A) (B)			
5							
6				Prevalence Index = B/A =			
		·		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:			
7				1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation			
8		·		✓ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%			
9	10	·		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹			
-	10	= Total Cove		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting			
50% of total cover: <u>5</u>	20% of	total cover:	2	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)			
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5')		,		Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)			
1. Impatiens sp.	35		FACW				
2. Phalaris arundinacea	15	\checkmark	FACW				
3. Leersia oryzoides	15	\checkmark	OBL	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.			
4. Microstegium vimineum	10		FAC				
5. Persicaria sagittata	10	·	OBL	Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:			
6. Sagittaria latifolia	5	·	OBL	Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or			
7 Persicaria pensylvanica	5	·	FACW	more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of			
Acorus calamus	5		OBL	height.			
8. Acorus calamus				Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less			
9		·	······	than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1			
10		·		m) tall.			
11				Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless			
	100	= Total Cove	er	of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.			
50% of total cover: <u>50</u>	20% of	total cover:	20	Woody vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in			
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 15')				height.			
1		. <u> </u>					
2							
3							
4							
5.				Hydrophytic Vegetation			
	0	= Total Cove		Present? Yes \checkmark No			
50% of total cover: 0		total cover:	-				
			<u> </u>				
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate s	neet.)						

Adjacent land use Forested Floodplain/ Gas ROW Dominant wetland systems present PEM	Is wetland part of a wildlife corridor? <u>No</u> or a "habitat island"? <u>No</u> Distance to nearest roadway or other development <u>65 ft.</u> Contiguous undeveloped buffer zone present <u>no</u> If not, where does the wetland lie in the drainage basin? <u>Mid</u>					Wetland I.D. W-O63 Latitude 40.43182 Longitude -79.039457 Prepared by: KMM Date 10/10/2016 Wetland Impact: See Permit Tables Evaluation based on:
How many tributaries contribute to the wetland? <u>1</u>				Office \checkmark FieldCorps manual wetland delineation completed?Y \checkmark		
Function/Value	Suita Y	adilit N		rinci _l uncti		omments
Groundwater Recharge/Discharge	1		1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 15			
Floodflow Alteration	✓		5, 6, 7, 9, 13,			
-Fish and Shellfish Habitat		1				
Sediment/Toxicant Retention	1		1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 16	✓		
Nutrient Removal	1		3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14	✓		
Production Export		1	1, 12			
Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization	✓		3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 12, 15			
₩ Wildlife Habitat	✓		5, 6, 7, 8, 13, 19			
A Recreation		✓				
Educational/Scientific Value		1				
🛨 Uniqueness/Heritage		✓				
Visual Quality/Aesthetics		✓				
ES Endangered Species Habitat		✓				
Other						

Notes: Evaluation based on delineated wetland within a 200-foot study corridor.

Sampling Point: W-O63

	Absolute	Dominant I	ndicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30'</u>)		Species?		Number of Dominant Species
1				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:3 (A)
2				
				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)
3				Species Across All Strata:3 (B)
4				Percent of Dominant Species
5				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:(A/B)
6				Prevalence Index worksheet:
7	~			Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
		= Total Cove	-	OBL species x 1 =
50% of total cover: 0	20% of	total cover:	0	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15')				FACW species x 2 =
1				FAC species x 3 =
2				FACU species x 4 =
3				UPL species x 5 =
4				Column Totals: (A) (B)
5				
6				Prevalence Index = B/A =
7				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
8				$\frac{\checkmark}{4}$ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
				✓ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
9	0	= Total Cove		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
50% of total cover: 0			-	4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
	20 % 01	iolai covei.	<u> </u>	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5) 1. Phalaris arundinacea	35	./	FACW	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
	30	<u> </u>	OBL	
2. Persicaria sagittata		×		¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
3. Impatiens capensis	30		FACW	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
4. Verbesina alternifolia	20		FAC	Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:
5. Solidago sp.	15		ND	
6				Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of
7				height.
8				
9				Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1
10				m) tall.
11.				
	130	= Total Cove	r	Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
50% of total cover: 65	20% of	total cover:	26	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 15')	2070 01	10101 00 001.		Woody vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
				height.
1			·	
2				
3			·	
4			·	Hydrophytic
5				Vegetation
		= Total Cove	~	Present? Yes <u>√</u> No
50% of total cover:0	20% of	total cover:	0	
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate s	heet.)			
ND - Not Determined				

Total area of wetland <u>0.067 ac.</u> Human made? <u>No</u> Adjacent land use <u>Forested Floodplain/ Gas ROW</u> Dominant wetland systems present <u>PEM</u>			•	Wetland I.D. <u>W-O66</u> Latitude <u>40.431533</u> Longitude <u>-79.039086</u> Prepared by: <u>KMM</u> Date <u>10/10/2016</u> Wetland Impact: See Permit Tables		
Is the wetland a separate hydraulic system? <u>No</u> How many tributaries contribute to the wetland? <u>1</u>			Wildlife & vegetation diversity/a	Evaluation based on: Office Field Corps manual wetland delineation completed? Y N		
SuitabilityRationalePrincipalFunction/ValueYN(Reference #)*Function(s)/Value(s)O						omments
Groundwater Recharge/Discharge	\checkmark		1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 15			
Floodflow Alteration	✓		5, 6, 7, 9, 13,			
Fish and Shellfish Habitat		✓				
Sediment/Toxicant Retention	✓		1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 16	✓		
Nutrient Removal	1		3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14	\checkmark		
Production Export		✓	1, 12			
Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization	✓		3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 12, 15			
← Wildlife Habitat	✓		5, 6, 7, 8, 13, 19			
A Recreation		✓				
Educational/Scientific Value		✓				
🔶 Uniqueness/Heritage		1				
Visual Quality/Aesthetics		✓				
ES Endangered Species Habitat		✓				
Other						

Notes: Evaluation based on delineated wetland within a 200-foot study corridor.

Sampling Point: W-O66

201	Absolute	Dominant I	ndicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30'</u>) 1		Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
2 3				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)
4				Percent of Dominant Species
5 6				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:(A/B)
7				Prevalence Index worksheet:
	0	= Total Cove	r	Total % Cover of:Multiply by:
50% of total cover: 0			-	OBL species x 1 =
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15')				FACW species x 2 =
1				FAC species x 3 =
2				FACU species x 4 =
3				UPL species x 5 =
4				Column Totals: (A) (B)
5				Prevalence Index = B/A =
6				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
7				✓ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
8				✓ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
9				3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
		= Total Cove	-	4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
50% of total cover:0	20% of	total cover:	0	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5')		,		Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
1. Lysimachia ciliata	40	✓	FACW	
2. Juncus effusus	20	\checkmark	FACW	The discount of the data and the data data data and the data and the data and the data data and the data data and the data data data data data data data dat
3. Scirpus atrovirens	15		OBL	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
4. Phalaris arundinacea	10		FACW	Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:
5				
6				Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or
7				more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
8				
9				Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1
10.				m) tall.
11.				
	85	= Total Cove	r	Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
50% of total cover:42.5				
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 15')				Woody vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.
1				
2			<u> </u>	
3				
4				Hydrophytic
5	0			Vegetation Present? Yes <u>√</u> No
50% of total cover: 0		= Total Cove total cover:	-	
		total cover.	•	
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate s	ineet.)			
ND - Not Determined				

Total area of wetland 0.017 ac. Human made? No	Is	s wetla	and part of a wildlife corrido	or? <u>No</u>	_ or a "habitat island"?No	Wetland I.D. W-074 Latitude 40.439539 Longitude -79.167875
Adjacent land use Forested/ Gas ROW			Distance to nearest	Prepared by: KMM Date 10/10/2016		
Dominant wetland systems present_PEM			Contiguous undev	Wetland Impact: — See Permit Tables		
Is the wetland a separate hydraulic system? <u>No</u>		_ If n	ot, where does the wetland l	Evaluation based on:		
How many tributaries contribute to the wetland? <u>1</u>			_Wildlife & vegetation diver	Office \checkmark FieldCorps manual wetland delineationcompleted? Y_{-} N		
Function/Value	Suita Y		(Reference #)*	Princip Function	al on(s)/Value(s)	Comments
Groundwater Recharge/Discharge		✓	2, 5, 7, 15			
Floodflow Alteration		✓	5, 7, 13			
Fish and Shellfish Habitat		✓				
Sediment/Toxicant Retention	✓		1, 2, 6, 9, 10	1		
Nutrient Removal		✓	3, 4, 7			
Production Export		✓	1			
Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization	✓		1, 2, 3, 4, 9	✓		
₩ Wildlife Habitat		✓	4, 5, 7, 8			
A Recreation		\checkmark				
Educational/Scientific Value		✓				
★ Uniqueness/Heritage		1				
Visual Quality/Aesthetics		✓				
ES Endangered Species Habitat		✓				
Other						

Notes: Evaluation based on delineated wetland within a 200-foot study corridor.

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W-074

	Absolute	Dominant Ir	ndicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30'</u>) 1)	% Cover	Species?		Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:4 (A)
2				
3				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)
4				Percent of Dominant Species
5				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:(A/B)
6				Prevalence Index worksheet:
7	0		·	Total % Cover of:Multiply by:
50% of total cover: 0		= Total Cover		OBL species x 1 =
15	20% 01	total cover.	0	FACW species x 2 =
				FAC species x 3 =
1				FACU species x 4 =
2				
3				UPL species x 5 =
4				Column Totals: (A) (B)
5				Prevalence Index = B/A =
6				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
7				1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
8				✓ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
9				3 - Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^{1}$
		= Total Cover	r	4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
50% of total cover: 0	20% of	total cover:	0	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5')				data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
1. Microstegium vimineum	30	\checkmark	FAC	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
2. Scirpus atrovirens	25	\checkmark	OBL	
3. Osmundastrum cinnamomeum	15	\checkmark	FACW	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
4 Dichanthelium clandestinum	15	\checkmark	FAC	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
5. Carex lurida	10	<u> </u>	OBL	Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:
6. Carex crinita	10		OBL	Tree - Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or
7. Persicaria sagittata	10		OBL	more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of
8. Phalaris arundinacea	10		FACW	height.
	10		TAOW	Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less
9				than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1
10				m) tall.
11				Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
00.5	125	= Total Cover	05	of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
50% of total cover: <u>62.5</u>	20% of	total cover:	25	Woody vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 15')				height.
1				
2				
3				
4				Hydrophytic
5				Vegetation
	0	= Total Cover	r	Present? Yes 🖌 No
50% of total cover: 0	20% of	total cover:	0	
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sl	neet.)			1

Wetland Function-Value Evaluation Form

Total area of wetland 0.039 ac. Human made? No	Is	s wetla	and part of a wildlife corrido	r? <u>No</u>	_ or a "habitat island"? <u>No</u>	Wetland I.D. <u>W134</u> Latitude_40.43085 Longitude -78.963899
Adjacent land use Gas ROW			Distance to nearest	Prepared by: KMM Date 10/10/2016		
Dominant wetland systems present_PEM			Contiguous undeve	Wetland Impact: See Permit Tables		
Is the wetland a separate hydraulic system? <u>No</u>		_ If n	not, where does the wetland l	Evaluation based on:		
How many tributaries contribute to the wetland?			_Wildlife & vegetation diver	Office \checkmark Field Corps manual wetland delineation completed? Y \checkmark N		
Function/Value	Suita Y		(Reference #)*	Princip Function	oal on(s)/Value(s)	Comments
Groundwater Recharge/Discharge		✓	2, 4, 5, 7			
Floodflow Alteration		✓	2, 9, 13			
Fish and Shellfish Habitat		✓				
Sediment/Toxicant Retention	✓		1, 2, 6, 10	✓		
Nutrient Removal		✓	3, 4, 7			
Production Export		✓	1			
Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization	✓		1, 3, 4, 9			
₩ Wildlife Habitat		✓	5, 7, 8			
A Recreation		\checkmark				
Educational/Scientific Value		✓				
★ Uniqueness/Heritage		✓				
Visual Quality/Aesthetics		✓				
ES Endangered Species Habitat		✓				
Other						

Notes: Evaluation based on delineated wetland within a 200-foot study corridor.

* Refer to backup list of numbered considerations.

VEGETATION (Four Strata) - Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W134-WET1

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	% Cover	Species?		Number of Dominant Species
1				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
2				
3				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:
4		-		
5				Percent of Dominant Species /hD
6				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
6				Prevalence Index worksheet:
l				Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
		= Total Cove		OBL species x1 =
50% of total cover:	20% of	total cover:		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)	10	17	Car	FACW species x 2 =
1. <u>Alnus incana</u>	50	<u> </u>	MACW	FAC species x 3 =
2				FACU species x 4 =
3		_		UPL species x 5 =
4				Column Totals: (A) (B)
5				1 30 2
6				Prevalence Index = B/A =
				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
7				1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
8				✓ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
9,				3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
	50=			4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
50% of total cover:	20% of	total cover:_		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)			0.	
1. Juncus effusus	10	_ <u>/</u>	FACW	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
2. SALROUS ATROVIREAS	10	X	OBL	
3			·	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
4				be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
5				Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:
				Tree - Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or
6				more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of
7				height.
8				Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants, excluding vines, less
9				than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1
10				m) tall.
11		_		Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
11 50% of total cover: 10 Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:	_20 =	Total Cove	r J	of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
50% of total cover: 19	20% of t	otal cover:_	4	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			1	Woody vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.
1 /				nogra.
2.				
3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
4	0 0			
F				Hydrophytic
9				Vegetation Present? Yes No
		Total Cove		
50% of total cover:		otal cover:_		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate si	heet.)			
				_
1				

Wetland Function-Value Evaluation Form

Total area of wetland 0.032 ac. Human made? No	Is	s wetla	and part of a wildlife corrido	or? <u>No</u>	_ or a "habitat island"?No	Wetland I.D. <u>W135</u> — Latitude <u>40.430018</u> Longitude <u>-78.957865</u>
Adjacent land use Gas ROW			Distance to nearest	Prepared by: <u>KMM</u> Date <u>10/10/2016</u>		
Dominant wetland systems present_PEM			Contiguous undev	Wetland Impact: — See Permit Tables		
Is the wetland a separate hydraulic system? <u>No</u>		_ If n	ot, where does the wetland l	lie in the dra	inage basin? <u>Upper</u>	Evaluation based on:
How many tributaries contribute to the wetland? <u>1</u>	Wildlife & vegetation diversity/abundance (see attached list)					Office \checkmark FieldCorps manual wetland delineation completed?Y \checkmark
Function/Value	Suita Y		(Reference #)*	Princip Function	al on(s)/Value(s)	Comments
Groundwater Recharge/Discharge		✓	2, 5, 7, 15			
Floodflow Alteration		✓	5, 7, 13			
Fish and Shellfish Habitat		✓				
Sediment/Toxicant Retention	✓		1, 2, 6, 9, 10	1		
Nutrient Removal		✓	3, 4, 7			
Production Export		✓	1			
Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization	✓		1, 2, 3, 4, 9	✓		
₩ Wildlife Habitat		✓	4, 5, 7, 8			
A Recreation		\checkmark				
Educational/Scientific Value		✓				
★ Uniqueness/Heritage		1				
Visual Quality/Aesthetics		✓				
ES Endangered Species Habitat		✓				
Other						

Notes: Evaluation based on delineated wetland within a 200-foot study corridor.

* Refer to backup list of numbered considerations.

VEGETATION (Four Strata) - Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W/35-WETI

	Absolute Dominant Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot size:) 1)	<u>% Cover</u> <u>Species?</u> <u>Status</u>	Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:
2		Total Number of Dominant
3		Species Across All Strata: (B)
4		Percent of Dominant Species / (5~)
5		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:(A/B)
6		Prevalence index worksheet:
7		
	= Total Cover	Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
50% of total cover:	20% of total cover:	OBL species x1 =
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		FACW species x 2 =
1,		FAC species x 3 =
2		FACU species x 4 =
3		UPL species x 5 =
4		Column Totals: (A) (B)
5		Prevalence Index = B/A =
6		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
7		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
8		$\sqrt{2}$ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
9		3 - Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^{1}$
	= Total Cover	4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
50% of total cover:	20% of total cover:	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)	20 1/	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
1. SURPUS atrovirens	du X OBL	
2. Sciepus Cyperinus		¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
3. Demundastrum cinnamonieu		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
4		Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:
5		
6		Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of
7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	height.
8		Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants, excluding vines, less
9		than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1
10		m) tall.
11,		Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
	50 = Total Cover	of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
50% of total cover:	20% of total cover:	Woody vine - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)		height.
1		
2	<u> </u>	
3		
4		Hydrophytic
5		Vegetation
	= Total Cover	Present? Yes No
50% of total cover:	20% of total cover:	
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sl	neet.)	
74		1

Wetland Function-Value Evaluation Form

Total area of wetland 0.045 ac. Human made? No	Is	s wetla	and part of a wildlife corrido	or? <u>No</u>	_ or a "habitat island"? <u>No</u>	Wetland I.D. <u>W136</u> — Latitude <u>40.429678</u> Longitude <u>-78.955388</u>
Adjacent land use Gas ROW			Distance to nearest	Prepared by: <u>KMM</u> Date <u>10/10/2016</u>		
Dominant wetland systems present_PEM			Contiguous undev	Wetland Impact: - See Permit Tables		
Is the wetland a separate hydraulic system? <u>No</u>		_ If n	ot, where does the wetland l	lie in the dra	inage basin? <u>Upper</u>	Evaluation based on:
How many tributaries contribute to the wetland? <u>1</u>	Wildlife & vegetation diversity/abundance (see attached list)					Office \checkmark FieldCorps manual wetland delineation completed?Y \checkmark
Function/Value	Suita Y		(Reference #)*	Princip Function	oal on(s)/Value(s)	Comments
Groundwater Recharge/Discharge		✓	2, 5, 7, 15			
Floodflow Alteration		✓	5, 7, 13			
Fish and Shellfish Habitat		✓				
Sediment/Toxicant Retention	✓		1, 2, 6, 9, 10	1		
Nutrient Removal		✓	3, 4, 7			
Production Export		✓	1			
Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization	✓		1, 2, 3, 4, 9	✓		
₩ Wildlife Habitat		✓	4, 5, 7, 8			
A Recreation		\checkmark				
Educational/Scientific Value		✓				
★ Uniqueness/Heritage		1				
Visual Quality/Aesthetics		✓				
ES Endangered Species Habitat		✓				
Other						

Notes: Evaluation based on delineated wetland within a 200-foot study corridor.

* Refer to backup list of numbered considerations.

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W136-4ET1

			Indicator	Dominance Test workshe	eet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	% Cover S	pecies?	Status	Number of Dominant Spec	ies 😕
				That Are OBL, FACW, or F	FAC: (A)
2				Total Number of Dominant	7
3				Species Across All Strata:	
4					
5.				Percent of Dominant Speci	ies 150 (A/B)
6				That Are OBL, FACW, or F	AC: ///// (A/B)
7 1/	<u></u>			Prevalence Index worksh	leet:
				Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
50% of total cover:		otal Cove		OBL species	
	20% 011018	al cover:_		FACW species	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)					
1				FAC species	
2				FACU species	
3				UPL species	x 5 =
4				Column Totals:	(A) (B)
5					
6					B/A =
				Hydrophytic Vegetation I	
				1 - Rapid Test for Hydr	
0				2 - Dominance Test is	>50%
9				3 - Prevalence Index is	i ≤3.0 ¹
		otal Cove		4 - Morphological Adap	otations ¹ (Provide supporting
50% of total cover:	20% of tota	al cover:_			on a separate sheet)
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)	20		B 121	Problematic Hydrophyt	
1. SCIRPUS CYPPPINUS		10 Aug	QBL		ic vegetation (Explain)
1. Scirpus cyperinus 2. Saupus Atrovirens	20	X	OBL	1	
3. DADCLEG Sensibiles		X	FACUS	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and be present, unless disturbe	d wetland hydrology must
4					
5				Definitions of Four Vegeta	ation Strata:
6				Tree - Woody plants, exclu	Iding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or
					height (DBH), regardless of
7				height.	
8				Sapling/Shrub - Woody pl	ants, excluding vines, less
9				than 3 in. DBH and greater	
10				m) tall.	
11				Herb - All herbaceous (nor	1-woody) plants, regardless
		tal Cove		of size, and woody plants le	ess than 3.28 ft tall.
50% of total cover: 25	20% of tota	l cover:_	10	Woody vine – All woody vi	nos greater than 2.28 ft in
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)				height.	nes greater man 3.20 it in
1,					
2	_				1
3					
4.					
5.				Hydrophytic Vegetation	1. × 1
	- To	tal Cove		Present? Yes	1 No
50% of total cover:					
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sh		r cover			
ricinaria. (molude proto numbers here of on a separate si	ieel.)				

Attachment B

Wetland	Cowardin ¹	County	Provided Principal Functions ²	Within Existing ROW	Assessed Quality ³	Unique Functions and Values (for only "Good" or "Excellent")
A20A	PEM	Washington	Sediment/Toxicant Retention	x	Poor	N/A
SZ1	PEM	Washington	None	x	Poor	N/A
SZ2	PEM	Washington	None	x	Poor	N/A
T1	PEM	Washington	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration,	x	Fair	N/A
T27	PEM	Washington	Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient	x	Fair	N/A
T28	PEM	Washington	Sediment/Toxicant Retention	X	Poor	N/A
120	I LIVI	waanington	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	^	1 001	N/A
W12	PEM	Washington		x	Poor	
W13	PEM	Washington	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal	x	Fair	N/A
W14	PEM	Washington	Sediment/Toxicant Retention	x	Poor	N/A
W204	PEM	Washington	None	X	Poor	N/A N/A
W37	PEM	Washington	Nutrient Removal Sediment/Toxicant Retention	X	Poor	
W42	PEM	Washington	Sediment/Toxicant Retention	х	Poor	N/A
W43	PEM	Washington	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal		Fair	N/A
W44	PEM	Washington	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal, Sediment/Shoreline stabilization		Fair	N/A
W5	PEM	Washington	Sediment/Toxicant Retention	x	Poor	N/A
W8	PEM	Washington	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal, Sediment/Shoreline stabilization	x	Fair	N/A
W46-1	PEM	Allegheny	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal	x	Fair	N/A
W62	PEM	Allegheny	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and	x	Poor	N/A
W63	PEM	Allegheny	Nutrient Removal Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	x	Poor	N/A
W05	FLIVI	Allegheny	ocument roxeant retention, rutilient removal	*	P 001	1074
BB77	PEM	Westmoreland	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal		Poor	N/A
BB80	PEM/PSS	Westmoreland	Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient		Fair	N/A
	PEM		Removal Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	v		N/A
CS1 CS3	PEM	Westmoreland Westmoreland	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	x	Poor Poor	N/A N/A
M67	PEM	Westmoreland	None	~	Poor	N/A
M69	PEM	Westmoreland	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal		Poor	N/A
M71			Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Export Production, Nutrient Removal			N/A
	PEM/PSS	Westmoreland			Fair	
M72	PEM PEM	Westmoreland	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	x x	Poor	N/A N/A
M73 M75	PEM/PFO	Westmoreland	Floodflow Histeration, Sediment/Toxican Retention, Floodflow Floodflow Histeration, Sediment/Toxican Retention, Floodflow Alteration, Nutrient Removal, Sediment/Shoreline stabilization	x	Poor Good	HQ watershed, large aerial extent (>1 ac including wetlands off-ROW), riparian to Porters Run, landscape support present (provides downstream benefits, part of larger contiguous habitat)
M76	PEM	Westmoreland	none		Poor	N/A
M77	PEM	Westmoreland	none	х	Poor	N/A
M78	PEM	Westmoreland	Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient	x	Poor	N/A
N28	PEM/PFO	Westmoreland	Removal Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal, Production Export, Sediment Shoreline Stabilization, Wildlife Habitat	x	Excellent	Located within PGC State Gameland, large aerial extent, large buffer to UNT to Conemaugh River, landscape support present (provides downstream benefits, part of larger contiguous habitat)
N72	PEM	Westmoreland	Sediment/ Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal	Х	Poor	N/A
N76	PEM	Westmoreland	Sediment/ Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	X	Poor	N/A
N78	PEM	Westmoreland	Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention Sediment/ Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal	X	Poor	N/A N/A
N79 N80	PEM PEM	Westmoreland Westmoreland	Sediment/ Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal Nutrient Removal	X X	Poor Poor	N/A N/A
N80 N81	PEM	Westmoreland	None	X	Poor	N/A N/A
N82	PEM/PSS	Westmoreland	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal	x	Poor	N/A
045	PEM/PFO	Westmoreland	Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal	x	Fair	N/A
P13	PEM	Westmoreland	Groundwater Recharge/ Discharge, Sediment/ Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient	x	Good	Provides buffer and is riparian to UNT of Boatyard Run
P14	PEM	Westmoreland	Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal Groundwater Recharge/ Discharge, Sediment/ Toxicant Retention,	x	Fair	N/A Wetland is buffered by forested habitat, provides buffer and
P15	PEM/PFO	Westmoreland	and Nutrient Removal	x	Good	is riparian to UNT of Boatyard Run, landscape support present (provides downstream benefits, part of larger contiguous habitat), adjacent land use natural
P16 P17	PEM	Westmoreland	Sediment/ Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal None	x	Poor	N/A N/A
P17 P18	PEM PEM	Westmoreland Westmoreland	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal	X	Poor Poor	N/A N/A
P20	PEM	Westmoreland	Sediment/ Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	x	Poor	N/A
P22	PEM	Westmoreland	Sediment/ Toxicant Retention	x	Poor	N/A
P25	PEM/PFO	Westmoreland	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal	x	Good	HQ watershed, large aerial extent (>1 ac including wetlands off-ROW), provides buffer and riparian to UNT to Beaver Run, landscape support present (provides downstream benefits, part of larger contiguous habitat), wildlife corridor, wetland buffered by forested habitat, adjacent land use natural
P26	PEM	Westmoreland	Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Floodflow Alteration, Nutrient Removal, Sediment/Shoreline stabilization	x	Good	HQ watershed, large aerial extent (>1 ac on and off-ROW), riparian to UNT to Porters Run, provides only buffer to UNT to Porters Run
P27	PEM	Westmoreland	Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Floodflow Alteration, Nutrient Removal, Sediment/Shoreline stabilization	x	Good	HQ watershed, large aerial extent (>1 ac on and off-ROW), riparian to UNT to Porters Run, provides only buffer to UNT to Porters Run
P28	PEM	Westmoreland	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal	x	Poor	N/A

P29 P30 P33 P33 P34 P35 P7 Q4	PEM	County	Provided Principal Functions ²	Within Existing ROW	Assessed Quality ³	Unique Functions and Values (for only "Good" or "Excellent")
P33 P34 P35 P7		Westmoreland	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal	x	Poor	N/A
P34 P35 P7	PEM	Westmoreland		X	Poor	N/A
P35 P7	PEM/PFO	Westmoreland	Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal	x	Good	HQ watershed, large aerial exten (1-1 ac including wetlands off-ROW), provides buffer and riparian to UNT to Beaver Run, landscape support present (provides downstream benefits, part of larger contiguous habitat), wetland is buffered by forested habitat, adjacent land use low intensity (residential)
P7	PEM	Westmoreland	None	Х	Poor	N/A
	PEM	Westmoreland	None	X	Poor	N/A
	PEM PEM	Westmoreland Westmoreland	Sediment/Toxicant Retention Groundwater Recharge/Discharge	X X	Poor Poor	N/A N/A
			Sediment/Toxicant Retention,Groundwater Recharge/Discharge			N/A
Q6 Q69	PEM/PFO PEM, PSS, PFO	Westmoreland	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal, Production Export, Wildlife Habitat	x	Poor Good	Large aerial extent (>1 ac in ROW), buffer to several UNTs to Conemaugh River, landscape support present (provides downstream benefits, part of larger contiguous habitat), wetland is buffered by extensive forested habitat, wildlife
Q7	PEM	Westmoreland	Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient	x	Poor	habitat and corridor. N/A
<u> </u>	. 2	rootinorolana	Removal Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention,	~	1.001	Large aerial extent (>1 ac in ROW), buffer to several UNTs
Q70	PFO/PEM	Westmoreland	Nutrient Removal, Production Export, Wildlife Habitat		Good	to Conemaugh River, landscape support present (provides downstream benefits, part of larger contiguous habitat), wetland is buffered by extensive forested habitat, habitat is contiguous with PGC State Gameland to the north, adjacent land use is natural
Q8	PSS	Westmoreland	Sediment/Toxicant Retention	Х	Poor	N/A
Q92	PEM/PSS	Westmoreland	Sediment/Toxicant Retention		Poor	N/A N/A
SZ6	PEM	Westmoreland	Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	х	Poor	IN/A
SZ7	PEM	Westmoreland	Nutrient Removal	X	Poor	N/A
W48	PEM	Westmoreland	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal, Sediment/Shoreline stabilization	х	Fair	N/A
W49	PEM. PFO	Westmoreland	Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal,	x	Good	N/A
W52	PEM	Westmoreland	Sediment/Shoreline stabilization None	x	Poor	N/A
W53	PEM	Westmoreland	Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal,	x	Good	N/A
W55	PEM		Sediment/Shoreline stabilization None	x	Poor	N/A
W54 W56	PEM	Westmoreland Westmoreland	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	X	Poor	N/A N/A
W58	PEM	Westmoreland	None	X	Poor	N/A
W60	PEM	Westmoreland	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal, Sediment/Shoreline stabilization	х	Poor	N/A
W61	PSS	Westmoreland	Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal, Wildlife Habitat	x	Fair	N/A
W64	PEM	Westmoreland	Nutrient Removal	Х	Poor	N/A
W65	PEM	Westmoreland	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and	х	Good	N/A
W68	PEM	Westmoreland	Nutrient Removal, Wildlife Habitat Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	x	Poor	N/A
W69	PEM	Westmoreland	Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	x	Fair	N/A
W70	PEM	Westmoreland	Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	х	Fair	N/A
W71	PEM	Westmoreland	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal, Sediment/Shoreline stabilization	х	Fair	N/A
CC30	PEM	Indiana	Sediment/Toxicant Retention and Nutrient Removal		poor	N/A
J51	PEM/PFO/PSS	Indiana	Sediment/Toxicant Retention and Nutrient Removal	X	Poor	N/A
J52 J53	PEM PEM	Indiana	None Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention,	x	Poor Fair	N/A N/A
N34	PEM, PFO	Indiana	Nutrient Removal Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal, Wildlife Habitat	x	Good	N/A
N35	PSS	Indiana	Sediment/Toxicant Retention and Nutrient Removal		Poor	N/A
N37	PEM	Indiana	None	X	Poor	N/A
N38	PEM, PSS	Indiana	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention	x	Fair	N/A
N39	PEM	Indiana	Groundwater Recharge/ Discharge	х	Poor	N/A
N45	PEM	Indiana	Groundwater Recharge/ Discharge, Sediment/ Toxicant Retention		Poor	N/A
	PEM	Indiana	Sediment/ Toxicant Retention	х	Poor	N/A
N47	PEM	Indiana	Sediment/ Toxicant Retention Sediment/ Toxicant Retention	x	Poor	N/A N/A
N49	PEM PEM	Indiana Indiana	None	X X	Poor Poor	N/A N/A
N49 N50	PEM	Indiana	Sediment/Toxicant Retention and Nutrient Removal	x	Poor	N/A
N49		Indiana	Sediment/Toxicant Retention and Nutrient Removal	x	Poor	N/A
N49 N50 N52	PEM	Indiana	Groundwater Recharge/ Discharge, Sediment/ Toxicant Retention		Poor	N/A
N49 N50 N52 N53	PEM		Croundwater Reshares / Dischares Ordina (/T. 1. 1.D. 1. 1			N1/A
N49 N50 N52 N53 N54 N55 N55 N56	PEM PEM	Indiana	Groundwater Recharge/ Discharge, Sediment/ Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal Groundwater Recharge/ Discharge, Sediment/ Toxicant Retention,	x	Poor	N/A N/A
N49 N50 N52 N53 N54 N55 N56 N57	PEM PEM PEM, PSS	Indiana	Nutrient Removal Groundwater Recharge/ Discharge, Sediment/ Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	x	Poor	N/A
N49 N50 N52 N53 N54 N55 N55 N56	PEM PEM	Indiana	Nutrient Removal Groundwater Recharge/ Discharge, Sediment/ Toxicant Retention,		-	
N49 N50 N52 N53 N54 N55 N56 N57	PEM PEM PEM, PSS	Indiana	Nutrient Removal Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal Sediment/Toxicant Retention	x	Poor	N/A N/A
N49 N50 N52 N53 N54 N55 N56 N57 N60	PEM PEM PEM, PSS PEM	Indiana Indiana Indiana	Nutrient Removal Groundwater Recharge/ Discharge, Sediment/ Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal Sediment/ Toxicant Retention Groundwater Recharge/ Discharge, Sediment/ Toxicant Retention None	x x	Poor Poor	N/A N/A N/A N/A
N49 N50 N52 N53 N54 N55 N56 N57 N60 N61	PEM PEM, PSS PEM PEM	Indiana Indiana Indiana Indiana	Nutrient Removal Groundwater Recharge/ Discharge, Sediment/ Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal Sediment/ Toxicant Retention Groundwater Recharge/ Discharge, Sediment/ Toxicant Retention None Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal, and Export Removal	x x x	Poor Poor Poor	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A
N49 N50 N52 N53 N54 N55 N56 N57 N60 N61 N69	PEM PEM, PSS PEM PEM PEM	Indiana Indiana Indiana Indiana Indiana	Nutrient Removal Groundwater Recharge/ Discharge, Sediment/ Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal Sediment/ Toxicant Retention Groundwater Recharge/ Discharge, Sediment/ Toxicant Retention None	x x x	Poor Poor Poor Poor	N/A N/A N/A N/A

Wetland	Cowardin ¹	County	Provided Principal Functions ²	Within Existing ROW	Assessed Quality ³	Unique Functions and Values (for only "Good" or "Excellent")
057	PEM	Indiana	None	X	Poor	N/A
058	PEM	Indiana	Sediment/Toxicant Retention and Nutrient Removal	x	Poor	N/A N/A
O59	PEM	Indiana	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge		Poor	N/A
O60	PEM	Indiana	Groundwater Recharge/ Discharge, Sediment/ Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	x	Poor	N/A
O61	PEM	Indiana	Sediment/ Toxicant Retention	x	Poor	N/A
O62	PEM	Indiana	Sediment/ Toxicant Retention	X	Poor	N/A
O68	PEM	Indiana	None	х	Poor	N/A
070	PEM. PFO	Indiana	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration,		Fair	N/A
			Sediment/Toxicant Retention	X		N/A
071	PEM	Indiana	None Sediment/Toxicant Retention and Nutrient Removal	x	Poor	N/A N/A
072	PEM	Indiana	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration,	X	Poor	Large aerial extent (>1 ac in ROW), buffer to UNT to
077	PEM, PSS	Indiana	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal, Wildlife Habitat	x	Good	Bucklick Creek, landscape support present (provides downstream benefits, part of larger contiguous habitat)
P1	PEM	Indiana	None	X	Poor	N/A
P2	PEM/PSS	Indiana	Sediment/Toxicant Retention and Nutrient Removal Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	x	poor	N/A N/A
Pond-N6	PUB	Indiana		х	Fair	IV/A
BB141	PEM	Cambria	None		Poor	N/A
55446	PEM, PSS	Cambria	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention		Poor	N/A
BB142 BB144	PEM, PSS	Cambria	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention		Poor	N/A
	PEM	Cambria	None		Poor	N/A
BB145 BB146	PEM	Cambria	None		Poor	N/A N/A
20140			Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention,			N/A N/A
BB147	PEM, PSS	Cambria	Nutrient Removal		Fair	
BB148	PEM	Cambria	Sediment/Toxicant Retention		Poor	N/A
BB67	PEM, PSS, PFO	Cambria	Sediment/Toxicant Retention	х	Poor	N/A
BB89	PEM	Cambria	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention		Poor	N/A
CC12	PEM	Cambria	None		Poor	N/A
CC12	PEM	Cambria	None		Poor	N/A
	PEM, PFO		Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention	x	Fair	N/A
CC15		Cambria				
CC16	PEM	Cambria	Sediment/Toxicant Retention	х	Poor	N/A
CC18	PEM	Cambria	None	v	Poor	N/A
CC19	PEM	Cambria	Sediment/Toxicant Retention None	X	Poor	N/A N/A
<u>CC2</u>	PEM PEM	Cambria	Sediment/Toxicant Retention		Poor Poor	N/A N/A
CC20		Cambria	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention,			N/A N/A
K28	PEM	Cambria	Nutrient Removal	х	Fair	10/3
K30	PFO	Cambria	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal		Poor	N/A
K31	PEM, PSS, PFO	Cambria	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal, Production Export, and Wildlife Habitat		Excellent	Large aerial extent (>1 ac on and off-ROW), floodplain/large buffer to Little Conemaugh River, landscape support present (provides downstream benefits, is part of larger contiguous habitat), buffered by forested habitat
L63	PEM, PFO	Cambria	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention		Poor	N/A
	PEM, PFO	Cambria	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention		Poor	N/A
L64	PEM, PFO	Combrio	Sediment/Toxicant Retention		Poor	N/A
L65	PEM, PFO	Cambria Cambria	None	x	Poor	N/A N/A
L66 M60	PEM, PSS	Cambria	Sediment/Toxicant Retention	x	Poor	N/A
Moo			Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention,			N/A
M61	PEM, PSS PEM, PFO	Cambria	Nutrient Removal Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention	x	Fair Poor	N/A
<u>N1</u>	PEM	Cambria	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention	x	Poor	N/A
N10	PEM, PFO, PUB	Cambria	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention	x	Poor	N/A
N11	PEM, PFO	Cambria	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention	x	Poor	N/A
N12	PEM	Cambria	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention	x	Poor	N/A
N14	PEM, PSS, PFO	Cambria	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal, Production Export, and Wildlife Habitat	x	Good	Large aerial extent (>1 ac including wetlands off-ROW), buffer and riparian to UNT to North Branch Little Conemaugh River, landscape support present (provides downstream benefits, is part of larger contiguous habitat), buffered by forested habitat
	PEM	Cambria	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention	x	Poor	N/A
N17	PEM, PSS, PFO	Cambria	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal, Production Export, and Wildlife Habitat	x	Good	Large aerial extent (>1 ac on and off-ROW), floodplain/large buffer to North Branch Little Conemaugh River, landscape support present (provides downstream benefits, is part of larger contiguous habitat), buffered by forested habitat
N18 N2	PEM	Cambria	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention		Poor	N/A
N20	PEM, PFO	Cambria	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal		Good	Large aerial extent (on and off-ROW), large buffer and riparian to UNT to Hinckston Run, landscape support present (provides downstream benefits, part of larger contiguous habitat), buffered by forested habitat, adjacent land use intensity low (residential)
N24	PEM, PFO	Cambria	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal		Good	Large aerial extent (on and off-ROW), buffer and riparian to Hinckston Run, landscape support present (provides downstream benefits, part of larger contiguous habitat), buffered by forested habitat, adjacent land use intensity low (residential)
N25	PSS	Cambria	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal	х	Poor	N/A
	PEM, PSS	Cambria	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal	Х	Poor	N/A
N26 N27	PEM	Cambria	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal	х	Poor	N/A

Wetland	Cowardin ¹	County	Provided Principal Functions ²	Within Existing ROW	Assessed Quality ³	Unique Functions and Values (for only "Good" or "Excellent")
N31	PEM	Cambria	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention	x	Poor	N/A
	PEM	Cambria	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention	х	Poor	N/A
N5 N6	PEM	Cambria	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge		Poor	N/A
NO			Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention			N/A
N8	PEM	Cambria		x	Poor	
NO	PEM, PFO	Cambria	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention,	x	Fair	N/A
N9 01	PEM, PSS	Cambria	Nutrient Removal None	x	Poor	N/A
010	PEM	Cambria	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge	x	Poor	N/A
012	PEM	Cambria	Sediment/Toxicant Retention	X	Poor	N/A
015	PEM	Cambria	None		Poor	N/A
	PEM	Cambria	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention	х	Fair	N/A
017	PEM, PSS	Cambria	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal, Production Export, and Wildlife Habitat	x	Good	HQ watershed, large aerial extent (>1 ac on and off-ROW) floodplain/large buffer and riparian to UNT to Noels Creek, landscape support present (provides benefits downstream, part of larger contiguous habitat), adjacent land use natura
02	PEM	Cambria	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal	x	Poor	N/A
021	PEM	Cambria	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal	x	Poor	N/A
023		Gambha	Sediment/Toxicant Retention	~		N/A
024	PEM	Cambria			Poor	
O25	PEM	Cambria	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal	X	Poor	N/A
027	PEM PEM, PFO	Cambria Cambria	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal, Production Export, and Wildlife Habitat	x	Poor Excellent	N/A HQ watershed, large aerial extent (>1 ac on and off-ROW) floodplain/large buffer and riparian to UNT to Noels Creek, landscape support present (provides benefits downstream, part of larger contiguous habitat), adjacent land use natura
O35	PSS	Cambria	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal		Fair	N/A
04	PEM	Cambria	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention	x	Poor	N/A
05	PEM	Cambria	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention	x	Fair	N/A
06	PEM	Cambria	None	x	Poor	N/A
08	PEM	Cambria	Sediment/Toxicant Retention	Х	Poor	N/A
	PEM	Cambria	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention	х	Poor	N/A
09	PEM		None	x	Poor	N/A
Q49 Q50	PEM	Cambria Cambria	None	X	Poor	N/A N/A
Q51	PEM	Cambria	None	x	Poor	N/A
Q65	PEM	Cambria	None		Poor	N/A
					_	
BB159	PEM PEM	Blair Blair	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal None		Poor	N/A N/A
BB59 L42	PEM	Blair	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge	x	Poor Poor	N/A N/A
		Diali	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and			N/A
			Nutrient Removal	x	Fair	
L43	PEM	Blair				
L44	PEM	Blair	Nutrient Removal	х	Poor	N/A
L44 L59	PEM PEM	Blair Blair	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	X X	Poor	N/A
L44 L59 Q54	PEM PEM PEM	Blair Blair Blair	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal None		Poor Poor	N/A N/A
L44 L59 Q54 Q56	PEM PEM PEM PEM	Blair Blair Blair Blair	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal None None		Poor Poor Poor	N/A N/A N/A
L44 L59 Q54	PEM PEM PEM	Blair Blair Blair	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal None		Poor Poor	N/A N/A
L44 L59 Q54 Q56	PEM PEM PEM PEM	Blair Blair Blair Blair	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal None None None Sediment/Toxicant Retention		Poor Poor Poor	N/A N/A N/A
L44 L59 Q54 Q56 Q58	PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM, PSS, PFO PEM	Blair Blair Blair Blair Blair Blair	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal None None Sediment/Toxicant Retention Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal		Poor Poor Poor Poor	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A
L44 L59 Q54 Q56 Q58 BB127 CC27 CC28	PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM, PSS, PFO PEM PEM	Blair Blair Blair Blair Blair Huntingdon Huntingdon	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal None None Sediment/Toxicant Retention Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal Sediment/Toxicant Retention	X	Poor Poor Poor Poor Fair Poor	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A
L44 L59 Q54 Q56 Q58 BB127 CC27 CC28 K63	PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM, PSS, PFO PEM PEM PEM	Blair Blair Blair Blair Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal None None Sediment/Toxicant Retention Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal Sediment/Toxicant Retention None	X	Poor Poor Poor Poor Fair Poor Poor	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A
L44 L59 Q54 Q56 Q58 BB127 CC27 CC28 K63 K65	PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM	Blair Blair Blair Blair Blair Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal None None Sediment/Toxicant Retention Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal Sediment/Toxicant Retention	X	Poor Poor Poor Poor Fair Poor Poor Poor Poor	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A
L44 L59 Q54 Q56 Q58 BB127 CC27 CC28 K63 K65 K66	PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM	Blair Blair Blair Blair Blair Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal None None Sediment/Toxicant Retention Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal Sediment/Toxicant Retention None None Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention	X	Poor Poor Poor Poor Fair Poor Poor Fair Fair	N/A
L44 L59 Q54 Q56 Q58 BB127 CC27 CC28 K63 K65	PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM	Blair Blair Blair Blair Blair Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal None None Sediment/Toxicant Retention Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal Sediment/Toxicant Retention None None	X	Poor Poor Poor Poor Fair Poor Poor Poor Poor	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A
L44 L59 Q54 Q56 Q58 BB127 CC27 CC28 K63 K65 K66	PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM	Blair Blair Blair Blair Blair Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal None None Sediment/Toxicant Retention Sediment/Toxicant Retention Sediment/Toxicant Retention None Rone Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	X	Poor Poor Poor Poor Fair Poor Poor Fair Fair	N/A Large aerial extent (>1 acon and off-ROW), landscape support present (provides large buffer to UNT to George Creek, downstream benefits, part of larger contiguous habitat), larger wetland system buffered by forested land
L44 L59 Q54 Q56 Q58 BB127 CC27 CC28 K63 K65 K65 K66 K67	PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM	Blair Blair Blair Blair Blair Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal None None Sediment/Toxicant Retention Sediment/Toxicant Retention Sediment/Toxicant Retention None Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	X	Poor Poor Poor Poor Fair Poor Poor Fair Fair Fair	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A
L44 L59 Q54 Q56 Q58 BB127 CC27 CC28 K63 K65 K66 K66 K67	PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM	Blair Blair Blair Blair Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal None None Sediment/Toxicant Retention Sediment/Toxicant Retention Sediment/Toxicant Retention None Croundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	x	Poor Poor Poor Poor Fair Poor Poor Fair Fair Good	N/A Large aerial extent (>1 ac on and off-ROW), landscape Creek, downstream benefits, part of larger contiguous habitat), larger wetland system buffered by forested land Large aerial extent (>1 ac on and off-ROW), landscape support present (provides large buffer to Blacklog Creek, downstream benefits, part of larger contiguous habitat), larger wetland system buffered by forested land Large aerial extent (>1 ac on and off-ROW), landscape support present (provides large buffer to Blacklog Creek, downstream benefits, part of larger contiguous habital), provides wildlife corridor
L44 L59 Q54 Q56 Q58 BB127 CC27 CC28 K63 K65 K66 K67 K68 K69 K70 K72	PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM	Blair Blair Blair Blair Blair Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal None None Sediment/Toxicant Retention Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal Sediment/Toxicant Retention None None Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal None	x	Poor Poor Poor Fair Poor Poor Fair Fair Good Good Good	N/A
L44 L59 Q54 Q56 Q58 BB127 CC27 CC28 K63 K66 K66 K67 K68 K69 K70 K72 L10	PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM	Blair Blair Blair Blair Blair Blair Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal None None Sediment/Toxicant Retention Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal Sediment/Toxicant Retention None Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal None None	x	Poor Poor Poor Fair Poor Poor Fair Fair Good Good Good Poor Poor	N/A N/A
L44 L59 Q54 Q56 Q58 BB127 CC27 CC28 K63 K65 K66 K67 K68 K69 K70 K72 L10 L11	PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM	Blair Blair Blair Blair Blair Blair Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal None None Sediment/Toxicant Retention Sediment/Toxicant Retention Sediment/Toxicant Retention None None Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal None None None Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	x	Poor Poor Poor Poor Fair Poor Poor Fair Fair Good Good Good Poor Poor Poor	N/A Large aerial extent (>1 at on and off-ROW), landscape support present (provides large buffer to Blacklog Creek, downstream benefits, part of larger contiguous habitat), provides wildlife corridor Large aerial extent (>1 at on and off-ROW), landscape support present (provides large buffer to Blacklog Creek, downstream benefits, part of larger contiguous habitat), provides wildlife corridor N/A N/A N/A N/A
L44 L59 Q54 Q58 Q58 BB127 CC27 CC27 CC28 K63 K65 K66 K67 K68 K69 K70 K70 K72 L10 L11 L12	PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM	Blair Blair Blair Blair Blair Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal None None Sediment/Toxicant Retention Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal None None None Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal Sedimen	x	Poor Poor Poor Poor Poor Poor Poor Fair Fair Good Good Good Poor Poor Poor Poor Poor Poor Poor	N/A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
L44 L59 Q54 Q56 Q58 BB127 CC27 CC28 K63 K66 K67 K68 K67 K68 K69 K70 K72 L10 L11 L11 L12 L13	PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM	Blair Blair Blair Blair Blair Blair Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal None None Sediment/Toxicant Retention Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal None Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	x	Poor Poor Poor Fair Poor Poor Fair Fair Good Good Good Poor Poor Poor Poor Poor Poor Poor P	N/A Large aerial extent (>1 ac on and off-ROW), landscape support present (provides large buffer to Blacklog Creek, downstream benefits, part of larger contiguous habital), provides wildlife corridor Large aerial extent (>1 ac on and off-ROW), landscape support present (provides large buffer to Blacklog Creek, downstream benefits, part of larger contiguous habital), provides wildlife corridor Large aerial extent (>1 ac on and off-ROW), landscape support present (provides large buffer to Blacklog Creek, downstream benefits, part of larger contiguous habital), provides wildlife corridor N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A
L44 L59 Q54 Q56 Q58 BB127 CC27 CC28 K63 K66 K66 K67 K68 K69 K70 K72 L10 L11 L12 L13 L14	PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM	Blair Blair Blair Blair Blair Blair Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal None None Sediment/Toxicant Retention Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	x	Poor Poor Poor Poor Fair Poor Poor Fair Fair Good Good Good Poor Poor Poor Poor Poor Poor Poor P	N/A Large aerial extent (>1 at c on and off-ROW), landscape support present (provides large buffer to Blacklog Creek, downstream benefits, part of larger contiguous habitat), provides wildlife corridor Large aerial extent (>1 at c on and off-ROW), landscape support present (provides large buffer to Blacklog Creek, downstream benefits, part of larger contiguous habitat), provides wildlife corridor N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A
L44 L59 Q54 Q56 Q58 BB127 CC27 CC28 K63 K66 K67 K68 K67 K68 K69 K70 K72 L10 L11 L11 L12 L13	PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM	Blair Blair Blair Blair Blair Blair Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon Huntingdon	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal None None Sediment/Toxicant Retention Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal None Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	x	Poor Poor Poor Fair Poor Poor Fair Fair Good Good Good Poor Poor Poor Poor Poor Poor Poor P	N/A Large aerial extent (>1 ac on and off-ROW), landscape support present (provides large buffer to Blacklog Creek, downstream benefits, part of larger contiguous habital), provides wildlife corridor Large aerial extent (>1 ac on and off-ROW), landscape support present (provides large buffer to Blacklog Creek, downstream benefits, part of larger contiguous habital), provides wildlife corridor Large aerial extent (>1 ac on and off-ROW), landscape support present (provides large buffer to Blacklog Creek, downstream benefits, part of larger contiguous habital), provides wildlife corridor N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A

Wetland	Cowardin ¹	County	Provided Principal Functions ²	Within Existing ROW	Assessed Quality ³	Unique Functions and Values (for only "Good" or "Excellent")
L18	PEM	Huntingdon	None	х	Poor	N/A
L20	PEM	Huntingdon	None	X	Poor	N/A
L21 L24/L25	PEM PEM	Huntingdon Huntingdon	None Groundwater Recharge/Discharge	X	Poor Poor	N/A N/A
L24/L25	PEM	Huntingdon	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	x	Good	IN/A Large aerial extent (>1 ac or and off-ROW), landscape support present (provides large buffer to Little Trough Creek and UNT to Little Trough Creek, downstream benefits, part of larger contiguous habitat), provides wildlife corridor
L28	PEM	Huntingdon	None	X	Poor	N/A
L29	PEM	Huntingdon	Sediment/Toxicant Retention	x	Poor	N/A
L31	PEM	Huntingdon	Sediment/Toxicant Retention		Poor	N/A N/A
L32	PEM	Huntingdon	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention	х	Fair	N/A
L33a [L33]	PEM	Huntingdon	Sediment/Toxicant Retention		Poor	
L36	PSS	Huntingdon	Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal		Fair	N/A
L5	PEM	Huntingdon	None	x	Poor	N/A
L6	PEM	Huntingdon	None	X	Poor	N/A
L7	PEM	Huntingdon	Sediment/Toxicant Retention		Poor	N/A
L8	PEM	Huntingdon	None		Poor	N/A
L9	PEM	Huntingdon	None		Poor	N/A
LK-2 (Raystown Lake)	PUB	Huntingdon	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Fish and Shellfish Habitat, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal, Production Export, Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization, Wildlife Habitat, Recreation, Educational/Scientific Value, Uniqueness/Heritage, and Visual Quality/Aesthetics		Excellent	Large aerial extent (>1 ac on and off-ROW), provides opportunity for boating, fishing, and other recreational uses, serves as a wildlife habitat and corridor, aestheticly pleasing, managed floodflow control, amoung other miscellaneous uses.
M1	PEM	Huntingdon	Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention	X	Poor	N/A
M10 M12	PEM PEM	Huntingdon Huntingdon	Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	x	Poor Poor	N/A N/A
		-	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention.			Provides buffer to Hares Valley Creek, landscape support
M13	PEM	Huntingdon	Nutrient Removal, Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization	x	Good	present (provides downstream benefits)
M15	PEM	Huntingdon	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	X	Poor	N/A
M17	PEM	Huntingdon	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention	х	Fair	N/A
M2	PEM	Huntingdon	Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention	х	Poor	N/A
M3	PEM, PSS	Huntingdon	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration,	x	Fair	N/A
M6	PEM	Huntingdon	Sediment/Toxicant Retention None	x	Poor	N/A
		-	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration,	x		N/A
M7	PEM	Huntingdon	Sediment/Toxicant Retention	X	Fair	
M8	PEM	Huntingdon	None	x	Poor	N/A
МЭ	PEM	Huntingdon	Sediment/Toxicant Retention	x	Poor	N/A
Pond-l4	PUB	Huntingdon	Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal, Wildlife Habitat	x	Good	Deepwater habitat provides opportunity for downstream benefits such as floodflow control, sediment and nutrient settling and attenuation, and wildlife habitat and corridor, landscape support present (provides large buffer to an UNT to Little Trough Creek.
W332	PEM	Huntingdon	None		Poor	N/A
W333	PEM	Huntingdon	None		Poor	N/A
Y1	PFO	Huntingdon	None	x	Poor	NA
Y12	PEM	Huntingdon	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	x	Fair	N/A
Y13	PEM	Huntingdon	None	x	Poor	N/A
Y14	PEM	Huntingdon	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal		Poor	N/A
Y2	PSS	Huntingdon	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	x	Good	In PGC State Gameland, large areal extent (>1 ac on and off ROW), provides buffer to James Creek, landscape support present (provides benefits downstream, part of larger contiguous habitat), provides wildlife corridor
Y3	PSS	Huntingdon	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	x	Good	In PGC State Gameland, large areal extent (>1 ac on and off ROW), provides buffer to James Creek, landscape support present (provides benefits downstream, part of larger contiguous habitat), provides wildlife corridor
¥4	PFO	Huntingdon	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	x	Good	In PGC State Gameland, large areal extent (>1 ac on and off ROW), provides buffer to UNT to Raystown Branch Juniata River, landscape support present (provides benefits downstream, part of larger contiguous habitat), provides wildlife corridor
Y6	PFO	Huntingdon	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	x	Fair	N/A
¥7	PEM, PFO	Huntingdon	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention,	x	Fair	N/A
Y9	PFO	Huntingdon	Nutrient Removal Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention,	x	Fair	N/A
19	110	Tunanguon	Wildlife Habitat	^	i dii	
K58	PEM	Juniata	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	X	Poor	N/A
K59	PEM	Juniata	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention	x	Fair	N/A
K60	PFO	Juniate	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention,	x	Fair	N/A
NOU	PFU	Juniata	Nutrient Removal	^	Fair	

Wetland	Cowardin ¹	County	Provided Principal Functions ²	Within Existing ROW	Assessed Quality ³	Unique Functions and Values (for only "Good" or "Excellent")
L3 Q64	PEM PEM	Juniata Juniata	None Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	X X	Poor Poor	N/A N/A
K50 W36d	PEM PEM	Perry Perry	Sediment/Toxicant Retention Recreation	x	Poor Poor	N/A N/A
WJOU		reny		~	POOL	
BB129	PEM	Cumberland	Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	x	Fair	N/A
BB15	PEM	Cumberland	Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention		Poor	N/A
BB151	PEM	Cumberland	None		Poor	N/A
BB155	PEM, PSS, PFO	Cumberland	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal, Production Export		Good	Provides buffer to UNT to Bloser Creek, landscape support present (provides downstream benefits)
BB43	PEM	Cumberland	None		Poor	N/A
BB44	PEM	Cumberland	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention		Fair	N/A
H54	PEM	Cumberland	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal		Poor	N/A
124	PEM, PFO	Cumberland	Floodflow Alteration, Fish and Shellfish Habitat, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	х	Fair	N/A
		0.1.1.1	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal			N/A N/A
126	PEM	Cumberland Cumberland	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	x	Poor Poor	N/A
136	PEM, PFO	Cumberland	Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal, Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization	x	Good	Large aerial extent (>1 ac), provides buffer to Conodoguinet Creek, landscape support present (provides downstream
139	PEM	Cumberland	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal	x	Good	Provides buffer to UNT to Conodoguinet Creek, landscape support present (provides downstream benefits, contiguous with other riparian areas along UNT to Conodoguinet Creek)
141	PEM	Cumberland	Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	х	Fair	N/A
143	PEM	Cumberland	Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	х	Fair	N/A
144	PEM	Cumberland	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal		Poor	N/A
145	PEM	Cumberland	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal		Poor	N/A
146	PEM	Cumberland	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal		Poor	N/A
148	PEM	Cumberland	Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	х	Fair	N/A
149	PEM	Cumberland	Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal		Fair	N/A
152	PEM	Cumberland	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	х	Poor	N/A
153	PEM	Cumberland	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal	x	Good	HQ watershed, headwater of UNT to Opossum Creek, landscape support present (provides downstream benefits)
154	PEM	Cumberland	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention	х	Fair	N/A
155	PEM	Cumberland	Sediment/Toxicant Retention	x	Poor	N/A
156	PEM	Cumberland	None	X	Poor	N/A N/A
158 160	PEM	Cumberland Cumberland	None Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	x	Poor Fair	N/A
I 61	PEM	Cumberland	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal	x	Good	Potential bog turtle habitat, large aerial extent (>1 ac with off- ROW wetlands), provides buffer for UNT to Conodoguinet Creek, landscape support present (provides downstream benefits)
162	PEM	Cumberland	Sediment/Toxicant Retention Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration,	X	Poor	N/A N/A
163	PEM	Cumberland	Sediment/Toxicant Retention	x	Fair	
164	PEM	Cumberland	Sediment/Toxicant Retention Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient	x	Poor	N/A N/A
J20	PEM	Cumberland	Removal	x	Fair	
J21	PEM	Cumberland	Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal	х	Fair	N/A
J22	PEM	Cumberland	Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal	x	Fair	N/A
J23	PEM	Cumberland	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal	X	Poor	N/A
J24	PEM	Cumberland	Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient	X	Fair	N/A
J25	PEM	Cumberland	None	х	Poor	N/A
J26	PEM	Cumberland	None	x	Poor	N/A
J27	PEM	Cumberland	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal	x	Poor	N/A
J31	PEM	Cumberland	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention	х	Fair	N/A
J32	PEM	Cumberland	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention	x	Fair	N/A
J35	PEM	Cumberland	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Fish and Shellfish Habitat, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal, Production Export, and Wildlife Habitat	x	Excellent	Large aerial extent (>1 ac on and off-ROW), potential bog turtle habitat, provides large buffer to Locust Creek, landscape support present (provides downstream benefits, part of larger contiguous habitat)
J36	PEM	Cumberland	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal	Х	Poor	N/A

Wetland	Cowardin ¹	County	Provided Principal Functions ²	Within Existing ROW	Assessed Quality ³	Unique Functions and Values (for only "Good" or "Excellent")
J40	PEM	Cumberland	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration,	х	Good	HQ watershed, potential bog turtle habitat, large aerial extent
J9	PEM	Cumberland	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal	Х	Poor	N/A
К1	PEM	Cumberland	Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal	x	Fair	N/A
K11	PEM	Cumberland	Sediment/Toxicant Retention	x	Poor	N/A
K12	PEM	Cumberland	None	X	Poor	N/A
K13	PEM	Cumberland	None	X	Poor	N/A
K14	PEM	Cumberland	None	Х	Poor	N/A
K15	PEM	Cumberland	Sediment/Toxicant Retention	x	Poor	N/A
K16	PEM	Cumberland	Sediment/Toxicant Retention	x	Poor	N/A
K2	PEM	Cumberland	Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient		Fair	N/A N/A
КЗ	PEM	Cumberland	Removal	x	Fair	
K41	PEM	Cumberland	None		Poor	N/A
K44	PEM, PFO	Cumberland	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal, Production Export, and Wildlife Habitat	x	Good	Large aerial extent (>1 ac on and off-ROW), provides buffer to two UNTs to Conodoguinet Creek, landscape support present (provides downstream benefits, part of larger contiguous habitat)
K5	PEM	Cumberland	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal	X	Poor	N/A
K6	PEM	Cumberland	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal	x	Poor	N/A
K7 K9	PEM PEM	Cumberland	None None	X X	Poor Poor	N/A N/A
K9 Pond-J3	PEM PUB	Cumberland Cumberland	None	^	Poor Poor	N/A N/A
			Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient			N/A N/A
Pond-J4	PUB	Cumberland	Removal		Fair	
W14e	PEM	Cumberland	None	х	Poor	N/A
W177	PEM	Cumberland	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal		Good	Large aerial extent (>1 ac), provides buffer to Bloser Creek, landscape support present (provides downstream benefits)
W19d	PEM	Cumberland	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal		Good	Provides buffer to UNT to Bloser Creek, landscape support present (provides downstream benefits)
W22d	PEM	Cumberland	None	x	Poor	N/A
W33d	PEM	Cumberland	Sediment/Toxicant Retention	X	Poor	N/A
BB1	PEM	York	Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	x	Fair	N/A
BB152	PEM	York	Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention	x	Poor	N/A
BB21	PEM	York	Sediment/Toxicant Retention	Х	Poor	N/A
H50	PEM	York	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention,	х	Fair	N/A
H51	PEM, PFO	York	Nutrient Removal Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	X	Good	Large aerial extent (>1 ac on and off-ROW), potential bog turtle habitat, provides buffer to UNT to Susquehanna River, buffered by adjacent forested area, landscape support present (part of larger contiguous habitat that is contiguous with the Susquehanna River)
120	PEM	York	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal None	X	Poor	N/A N/A
122 123	PEM PEM	York York	None	X X	Poor	N/A N/A
J63	PFO	York	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention	x	Poor	N/A
W3c	PEM	York	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention	x	Poor	N/A
A16	PEM	Dauphin	Sediment/Toxicant Retention	X	Poor	N/A
A17 A18	PEM	Dauphin Dauphin	Sediment/Toxicant Retention Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal, Production Export, and Wildlife Habitat	x	Poor Good	N/A Saturated PFO, large areal extent (>ac and riparian to Susquehanna River), provides buffer to Susquehanna River, landscape support present (part of larger contiguous riverine habitat)
A22	PEM	Dauphin	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention		Fair	N/A
A23	PEM	Dauphin	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal	x	Poor	N/A
A25	PEM	Dauphin	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	x	Good	Large aerial extent (>1 ac with off-ROW wetlands), provides buffer for Spring Creek, landscape support present (part of larger contiguous habitat, provides downstream benefits)
A27	PEM	Dauphin	Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	x	Fair	N/A
B55	PEM	Dauphin	None	x	Poor	N/A
B55	PEM	Dauphin	Floodflow Alteration and Sediment/Toxicant Retention	X	Poor	N/A N/A
B57	PEM	Dauphin	Floodflow Alteration and Sediment/Toxicant Retention	x	Poor	N/A
B58	PEM, PFO	Dauphin	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal, Production Export, and Wildlife Habitat	x	Good	Large aerial extent (>1 ac on and off-ROW), provides large buffer for Iron Run, landscape support present (part of larger contiguous habitat, provides downstream benefits)
B59	PEM	Dauphin	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	X	Poor	N/A
B60	PEM	Dauphin	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	x	Poor	N/A
B61	PEM, PFO	Dauphin	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal, Production Export, and Wildlife Habitat Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration,	x	Good	Large aerial extent (>1 ac on and off-ROW), provides large buffer for Iron Run, landscape support present (part of larger contiguous habitat. provides downstream benefits) Large aerial extent (>1 ac with off-ROW wetlands), provides
B64	PFO	Dauphin	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodilow Atteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration,	x	Good	Large aerial extent (>1 ac winn on-ROW wetands), provides buffer to UNT to Lisa Lake, landscape support present (provides downstream benefits) Provides buffer to UNT to Lisa Lake, landscape support
B76 BB36	PSS PEM	Dauphin	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	x	Good Poor	present (provides downstream benefits), adjacent land use intensity low (residential). buffered by surrounding forested N/A
		Dauphin	Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	v		N/A N/A
BB39	PEM	Dauphin	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration,	x	Fair	Large aerial extent (>1 ac on and off-ROW), provides large
C26	PEM, PFO	Dauphin	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	x	Good	buffer for Iron Run, landscape support present (part of larger

Wetland	Cowardin ¹	County	Provided Principal Functions ²	Within Existing ROW	Assessed Quality ³	Unique Functions and Values (for only "Good" or "Excellent")
C27	PEM, PSS	Dauphin	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	x	Fair	N/A
C28	PEM	Dauphin	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	x	Fair	N/A
CC22	PEM	Dauphin	None	x	Poor	N/A
J47	PEM, PFO	Dauphin	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	x	Good	Large aerial extent (>1 ac on and off-ROW), provides large buffer for UNT to Spring Creek, landscape support present (part of larger contiguous habitat, provides downstream benefits), buffered by adjacent forested lands
K23 S2	PEM PEM, PFO	Dauphin Dauphin	None Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	x	Poor Fair	N/A N/A
A1	PEM	Lebanon	None	x	Poor	N/A
A11	PEM	Lebanon	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration,	x	Good	Potential bog turtle habitat, riparian to Beck Creek - provides
A13	PEM	Lebanon	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal None	x	Poor	buffer N/A
A2	PEM	Lebanon	None	х	Poor	N/A
A3 A6	PEM PEM	Lebanon Lebanon	None None	x	Poor Poor	N/A N/A
A9	PEM	Lebanon	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	x	Fair	N/A
B66	PEM	Lebanon	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention,	x	Fair	N/A
BB154	PEM	Lebanon	Nutrient Removal None	x	Poor	N/A
C16	PEM, PFO	Lebanon	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	x	Fair	N/A
C17	PEM	Lebanon	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention	x	Poor	N/A
H13	PEM, PSS, PFO	Lebanon	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal, Production Export, and Wildlife Habitat	x	Excellent	On PGC State Game Land, potential bog turtle habitat, large aerial extent (<1 ac on and off-ROW), large buffer to Middle Creek, landscape support present (provides benefits downstream, part of larger contiguous habitat), provide wildlife corridor to Middle Creek Reservoir
H14	PEM, PFO	Lebanon	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal, Production Export, and Wildlife Habitat	x	Excellent	On PGC State Game Land, potential bog turtle habitat, tag aerial extent (>1 ac on and off-ROW), large buffer to Middle Creek, landscape support present (provides benefits downstream, part of larger contiguous habitat), provide wildlife corridor to Middle Creek Reservoir
B10	PEM	Lancaster	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal		Fair	N/A
B11	PEM	Lancaster	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal		Poor	N/A
B5	PEM	Lancaster	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal		Fair	N/A
B7	PEM	Lancaster	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal		Fair	N/A
B72	PEM	Lancaster	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal		Good	Large aerial extent (>1 ac), provides buffer to Harnish Run, landscape support present (provides downstream benefits)
B74	PEM	Lancaster	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention,		Fair	N/A
H28	PEM	Lancaster	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration,		Poor	N/A HQ watershed, large aerial extent (>1 ac on and off-ROW),
			Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal			provides buffer to UNT to Cocalico Creek, landscape
J54	PFO	Lancaster			Good	support present (provides downstream benefits), provides wildlife corridor to forested areas to south and southeast
J54 W8c	PFO PEM	Lancaster	None		Good Poor	
			None Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal	x		wildlife corridor to forested areas to south and southeast N/A Nutrient removal prior to discharge to UNT to East Branch Conestoga which flows to nutrient impaired Conestoga Rive
W8c	PEM	Lancaster	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal	x	Poor	wildlife corridor to forested areas to south and southeast N/A Nutrient removal prior to discharge to UNT to East Branch Conestoga which flows to nutrient impaired Conestoga Rive landscape support present, buffer present, creates buffer to East Branch Conestoga River, adjacent land use intensity low (forested and school) N/A
W8c A37	PEM	Lancaster Berks	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal		Poor Good	wildlife corridor to forested areas to south and southeast N/A Nutrient removal prior to discharge to UNT to East Branch Conestoga which flows to nutrient impaired Conestoga Riv landscape support present, buffer present, creates buffer the East Branch Conestoga River, adjacent land use intensity low (forested and school) N/A Potential bog turtle habitat, landscape support present, buffer present, adjacent land use intensity low (forested an
W8c A37 A45	PEM PEM	Lancaster Berks Berks	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration,	x	Poor Good Poor	wildlife corridor to forested areas to south and southeast N/A Nutrient removal prior to discharge to UNT to East Branch Conestoga which flows to nutrient impaired Conestoga Rive landscape support present, buffer present, creates buffer to East Branch Conestoga River, adjacent land use intensity low (forested and school) N/A Potential bog turtle habitat, landscape support present,
W8c A37 A45 A49	PEM PEM PEM	Lancaster Berks Berks Berks	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal	x x	Poor Good Poor Good	wildlife corridor to forested areas to south and southeast N/A Nutrient removal prior to discharge to UNT to East Branch Conestoga which flows to nutrient impaired Conestoga Rive landscape support present, buffer present, creates buffer te East Branch Conestoga River, adjacent land use intensity low (forested and school) N/A Potential bog turtle habitat, landscape support present, buffer present, adjacent land use intensity low (forested and residentia) N/A Large aerial extent (>1 ac with off-ROW wetland), potential bog turtle habitat, landscape support present (adjacent to and benefits UNT to Little Cocalico Creek, part of larger surrounding extensive habitat)
W8c A37 A45 A49 B16	PEM PEM PEM PEM	Lancaster Berks Berks Berks Berks	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and	x x x	Poor Good Poor Good Fair	wildlife corridor to forested areas to south and southeast N/A Nutrient removal prior to discharge to UNT to East Branch Conestoga which flows to nutrient impaired Conestoga Rive Landscape support present, buffer present, creates buffer to East Branch Conestoga River, adjacent land use intensity low (forested and school) N/A Potential bog turtle habitat, landscape support present, adjacent land use intensity low (forested and school) N/A Large aerial extent (>1 ac with off-ROW wetland), potential bog turtle habitat, landscape support present (partice Rigidicent to and benefits UNT to Little Cocalico Creek, part of larger
W8c A37 A45 A49 B16 B18 B40 B48	PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM	Lancaster Berks	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal	x x x x x x x	Poor Good Poor Good Fair Good Fair Poor	wildlife corridor to forested areas to south and southeast N/A Nutrient removal prior to discharge to UNT to East Branch Conestoga which flows to nutrient impaired Conestoga Rive landscape support present, buffer present, creates buffer the East Branch Conestoga River, adjacent land use intensity low (forested and school) N/A Potential bog turtle habitat, landscape support present, buffer present, adjacent land use intensity low (forested and residential) N/A Large aerial extent (>1 ac with off-ROW wetland), potential bog turtle habitat, landscape support present (adjacent to and benefits UNT to Little Cocalico Creek, part of larger surrounding extensive habitat) N/A N/A
W8c A37 A45 A49 B16 B18 B40 B48 BA10	PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM	Lancaster Berks Berks Berks Berks Berks Berks Berks Berks	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal Mutrient Removal	x x x x x x x x x	Poor Good Poor Good Fair Good Fair Poor Poor	wildlife corridor to forested areas to south and southeast N/A Nutrient removal prior to discharge to UNT to East Branch Conestoga which flows to nutrient impaired Conestoga Rive Landscape support present, buffer present, creates buffer to East Branch Conestoga River, adjacent land use intensity low (forested and school) N/A Potential bog turtle habitat, landscape support present, adjacent land use intensity low (forested an residential) N/A Large aerial extent (>1 ac with off-ROW wetland), potential og turtle habitat, landscape support present (adjacent to and benefits UNT to Little Cocalico Creek, part of larger surrounding extensive habitat) N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A
W8c A37 A45 A49 B16 B18 B40 B48	PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM	Lancaster Berks	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal	x x x x x x x	Poor Good Poor Good Fair Good Fair Poor	wildlife corridor to forested areas to south and southeast N/A Nutrient removal prior to discharge to UNT to East Branch Conestoga which flows to nutrient impaired Conestoga Rive Landscape support present, buffer present, creates buffer to East Branch Conestoga River, adjacent land use intensity low (forested and school) N/A Potential bog turtle habitat, landscape support present, buffer present, adjacent land use intensity low (forested and residential) N/A Large aerial extent (>1 ac with off-ROW wetland), potential bog turtle habitat, landscape support present (adjacent to and benefits) UNT to Little Cocalico Creek, part of larger surrounding extensive habitat) N/A Conestoga River, adjacc
W8c A37 A45 A49 B16 B18 B18 B40 B48 BA10 H23	PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM PEM	Lancaster Berks Berks Berks Berks Berks Berks Berks Berks Berks Berks	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal None Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, and Nutrient Removal None	x x x x x x x x x x x x	Poor Good Poor Good Fair Good Fair Poor Poor Poor	wildlife corridor to forested areas to south and southeast N/A Nutrient removal prior to discharge to UNT to East Branch Conestoga which flows to nutrient impaired Conestoga Rive landscape support present, buffer present, creates buffer to East Branch Conestoga River, adjacent land use intensity low (forested and school) N/A Potential bog turtle habitat, landscape support present, buffer present, adjacent land use intensity low (forested and residential) N/A Large aerial extent (>1 ac with off-ROW wetland), potential bog turtle habitat, landscape support present (adjacent to and benefits UNT to Little Cocalico Creek, part of larger surrounding extensive habitat) N/A N/A N/A Large aerial extent (>1 ac), nutrient renoval prior to discharge to UNT to East Branch Conestoga Avich flows to nutrient impaired Conestoga River, landscape support present, buffer present, creates buffer to East Branch

Wetland	Cowardin ¹	County	Provided Principal Functions ²	Within Existing ROW	Assessed Quality ³	Unique Functions and Values (for only "Good" or "Excellent")
B19	PEM	Chester	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention	x	Fair	N/A
B71	PFO	Chester	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Production Export	x	Good	Large aerial extent (>1 ac on and off-ROW), buffers UNT to Valley Creek, provides benefits downstream to Valley Cree impaired waterbody (impairment unknown)
C42	PEM	Chester	None	Х	Poor	N/A
C43	PEM, PFO	Chester	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal, Production Export,	х	Excellent	Potential bog turtle habitat, large aerial extent (>1 ac), nutrient removal prior to discharge to UNT to Marsh Creek,
C47	PEM	Chester	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal, Production Export	x	Good	Potential bog turtle habitat, large aerial extent (-1 ac), buffered by other habitat, buffers UNT to Marsh Creek, landscape support present (upstream of DCNR State Park Marsh Creek - provides downstream benefits, part of large contiguous habitat)
C48	PEM	Chester	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	Х	Poor	N/A
C49	PEM	Chester	Sediment/Toxicant Retention	х	Poor	N/A
H15	PEM, PFO	Chester	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	х	Poor	N/A
H16	PEM	Chester	Sediment/Toxicant Retention	Х	Poor	N/A
H17	PEM, PFO	Chester	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	x	Good	Potential bog turtle habitat, large aerial extent (>1 ac), buffers UNT to Marsh Creek, landscape support present (upstream of DCNR State Park - Marsh Creek - provides downstream benefits, part of larger contiguous habitat)
K21	PEM	Chester	None	х	Poor	N/A
Q75	PFO	Chester	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	x	Good	Buffers UNT to Marsh Creek, landscape support present (upstream of DCNR State Park - Marsh Creek - provides downstream benefits, part of larger contiguous habitat)
Q76	PSS	Chester	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	x	Good	Buffers UNT to Marsh Creek, landscape support present (upstream of DCNR State Park - Marsh Creek - provides downstream benefits, part of larger contiguous habitat)
Q77	PEM	Chester	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	x	Good	Buffers UNT to Marsh Creek, landscape support present (upstream of DCNR State Park - Marsh Creek - provides downstream benefits, part of larger contiguous habitat)
			Sediment/Toxicant Retention			N/A
BA5	PFO	Delaware			Poor	
BA6	PFO	Delaware	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal		Poor	N/A
C21	PFO	Delaware	Wildlife Habitat	x	Poor	N/A
H41	PEM, PSS	Delaware	Sediment/Toxicant Retention	x	Poor	N/A
11	PEM, PSS	Delaware	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Production Export	х	Good	N/A
116	PEM, PFO	Delaware	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, Sediment/Toxicant Retention	x	Fair	N/A
15	PEM	Delaware	Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal	x	Poor	N/A

Towardin classification only included for impacted portion of the wetland.
 ²Wetland functions were determined using the Highway Method.
 ³Not a Highway Method category, assigned based on presence of principle functions given the presences absence of the consderations and qualifiers listed below, as well as best
 X Part or all of the assessed wetland [complex] is located within an existing [maintained] right-of-way.

Generalized Assessment of Quality ³							
Excellent	Many to All Functions and Values						
Good	Several to Many Functions and Values						
Fair	Few to Several Functions and Values						
Poor	Few to No Functions and Values						
	Unique Functions and Values Considered						
Size - at least	one acre of wetland visible on topo/NWI (large aerial extent)						
Saturated PFO or PSS wetland							
Bog or fen possible							
HQ or EV wate	HQ or EV watershed						
On state or Federal land							
In NRCS easement							
Provides nutrient removal or other benefit upstream of a USEPA 303(b) impaired waterbody							
Bog turtle habitat (O = occupied) or potential habitat (1P or 2P), or pending (P*) / T&E habitat							
Landscape Support (habitat surrounding wetland extensive/part of larger habitat, provides benefits to water quality and wildlife using wetland)							
Buffer (habita	iffer (habitat surrounding wetland not extensive but buffered such that impacts to water quality and wildlife minimized, or provides a buffer to a feature)						
Adjacent Land	Adjacent Land Use (not intense such that water flowing into wetland anticipated to be better quality and land use result in minor disturbance to wildlife using wetland)						
Wildlife Corric	Wildlife Corridor						