

Exhibit C



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
BUREAU OF WATERSHED MANAGEMENT

DEP Data Records	Inspection Record #
Complaint Record #	Enforcement Record #
Permit #	

WATER OBSTRUCTION AND ENCROACHMENT INSPECTION REPORT

DEP/CCD Office	Southcentral Regional Office 909 Elmerton Avenue Harrisburg, PA 17110	Phone 717-705-4802	Project Type	Proposed Pipeline		
Address			Location	Trough Creek Valley Pike		
Owner/Permittee	Sunoco Pipeline L.P.	Phone 610-970-3284	Municipality	Union Township		
Mailing Address	535 Fritztown Road Sinking Spring, PA 19608 (See Landowner Information Below)		Water Course or Body of Water	Unnamed Tributaries to Little Trough Creek and Wetlands		
			Latitude:	40°	21'	28.03" N
			Longitude:	78°	00'	37.41" W
Type of Inspection:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ADMIN - Administrative / File Review <input type="checkbox"/> CONST - Construction Progress <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER _____ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CEI - Compliance Evaluation <input type="checkbox"/> FUI - Follow-up Inspection <input type="checkbox"/> COMPL - Complaint Inspection <input type="checkbox"/> INCDT - Incident response					

INSPECTION FINDINGS

Failure to:	Violation? (Check if yes)	Failure to:	Violation? (Check if yes)
Obtain a Chapter 105 permit	<input type="checkbox"/> [105.11] 693.6/18	Perform work according to specifications	<input type="checkbox"/> [105.44] 693.18
Operate or maintain permitted project	<input type="checkbox"/> [105.51] 693.13/18	Implement Erosion and Sediment Control Plan	<input type="checkbox"/> [105.46] 693.18
Acknowledge permit conditions	<input type="checkbox"/> [105.42] 693.18	Obtain Department approval for Environmental Assessment	<input type="checkbox"/> [105.15] 693.18
Maintain a valid permit	<input type="checkbox"/> [105.43] 693.18	Other:	<input type="checkbox"/> []

Inspection Results Code:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NOVIO (No violations noted)	<input type="checkbox"/> OUTST (Outstanding violations)	<input type="checkbox"/> RECUR (Recurring violations)
	<input type="checkbox"/> VIOIC (Violations noted and immediately corrected)	<input type="checkbox"/> VIOLS (Violation(s) noted)	<input type="checkbox"/> VOV (New and outstanding violations noted)
		<input type="checkbox"/> VRV (New and recurring violations noted)	

Describe site conditions and violations, including all pertinent dimensions and the actual or planned impacts to watercourses or bodies of water. For permitted work, confirm compliance or specify violations.

On April 8, 2015 Andrew McDonald, Ron Eberts, and William Severs of PA DEP conducted an inspection of Sunoco Pipeline L.P.'s right-of-way for the proposed PA Pipeline Project in the Trough Creek Valley area. The purpose of the inspection was to see what work had been performed and whether any regulated activities occurred due to DEP receiving reports of active tree cutting. In the vicinity of Trough Creek Valley Pike (SR 655), it was observed where the proposed PA Pipeline Project would cross the road that trees were not cut on the Western side of the road, but trees had been cut on the Eastern side of the road. It was observed that the trees were cut and still laying on the ground. The proposed Right-Of-Way was clearly marked and was followed on foot to the East from Trough Creek Valley Pike for approximately 0.5 mile. No Earth Disturbance activities were observed to have occurred. No Water Obstructions or Encroachments were observed to have occurred by Sunoco Pipeline L.P. or in association with the tree cutting. In addition, it was noted that multiple snag trees were left standing; however one snag was observed to have been cut. No shagbark hickory trees were observed and the majority of trees were pine trees.

Also, it was observed that a stream which was not identified on the application plans flows into the stream identified as S-L45a on the plan maps for permit application # E31-234. Also, it appeared likely based on visual observations that wetlands exist on the property beyond what was delineated on the permit application plans, and that the delineation provided by the Gearhart family appeared to be more representative of the water resources present than the delineation provided in permit application E31-234 by the applicant, Sunoco Pipeline L.P. However, due primarily to time constraints and also lack of vegetative growth due to the time of year, a wetland determination and verification was not able to be conducted at the time of the inspection.

Two separate properties were inspected as part of this inspection. The landowners, as identified in the application E31-234, are Marcellus Surface & Mineral Holdings, L.P. and Stephen and Ellen Gerhart.

Sketch attached? ☐ Yes ☒ No Photos taken? ☒ Yes ☐ No Additional information attached? ☐ Yes ☒ No


Inspector name (print): Andrew McDonald	Inspector Signature: <i>Andrew McDonald</i> 5/16/16	Date and Time: Report Written in Office
--	--	--

Inspector was accompanied by: <input type="checkbox"/> Owner <input type="checkbox"/> Permittee <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: <u>Ron Eberts and William Severs, PA</u> <u>DEP</u>	Signature of Owner/Permittee: Print Name: Provided to Sunoco Pipeline LP Via. e-mail	Date:
---	---	-------

The Owner/Permittee's signature acknowledges that they have read the report and received a copy and that they were given the opportunity to discuss it with the inspector. The signature does not necessarily mean that they agree with the report.

☐ White – DEP

☐ Yellow – Owner/Permittee













04.08.2016



04.08.2016











