My name is Chris Davies and I'm against petro/agriculture/construction welfare programs, crony capitalism and waste in general. Feel free to contact me at chris.greentown@gmail.com if any of this resonates with you.

- That secretary came in and left after she spoke. She didn't want to hear the public comments or respond to any of them. Just goes to show she's here for the money and after that she's out. She doesn't care about the state. PA is just a checkbook for her.

- Again there was a lot of talk about reducing emissions and zero mention of programs to encourage more plant-based diets when 1/4-1/3 of all emissions are from the agricultural sector. If this agency isn't an arm of the petroleum industry, just pointing out you sound indistinguishable from one.

- The story about the \$100,000 well plug costs is another example of how grossly inefficient this topdown grant system is. That money should have never been taken from people if it wasn't going to be used efficiently. Now undeserving people are getting huge bonuses on everyone else's dime. That's not acceptable. Zero accountability. You basically just said "oops" and there were no repercussions for you or your agency for wasting that much of taxpayer money.

- Blue hydrogen is a storage technology, a dirty one, and has nothing to do with sustainable energy production.

- We should not be using billions in taxpayer money, taken by force, for a project that is completely speculative, unproven, and has been shown to be completely inadequate by anyone who takes a critical assessment of it.

- This whole project reads as a golden parachute for the fossil fuel, petroleum, car manufacture, and construction industry billionaires to steal more value from the planet on their way out. The only rationalization I can come up with for why the CAC is so protective of this hydrogen project is that you all must be getting huge kickbacks. And if you're not then what are you doing letting this nonsense go completely unchallenged? All I've seen are softball questions and little to no research done into this technologies merits (or rather the sheer lack of them). Have none of you looked into any criticism of this technology? Again, this makes me suspect you all getting paid to greenlight this garbage.

- Last month the ARCH2 speaker was moved after the public comments, and it was ironic that the speaker proposed to want an open discussion about the project, but no one from the public was allowed to comment on it in any kind of back-and-forth way. The meeting finished an hour early as well. There was plenty of time. I get the Sunshine Act only requires you to provide a few minutes for guests to speak, but that's a minimum. You can allow people to speak as long as you like. And it speaks to the state of government agencies when all they're concerned with is meeting the bare minimum to stay within legal bounds. More proof of egregious waste and laziness in government agencies across the board.

- I'll ask you all this: in what areas of your service here are you going above and beyond? Because in the domains of protecting taxpayer dollars from golden parachutes for billionaires, and empowering the public to adequately voice their criticism of projects that negatively impact their communities you're completely failing.

ORIGINAL:

My name is Chris Davies and I am a resident of PA. I did not like how the comment section was moved before the ARCH2 Hydrogen presentation was given last month. This was not a de-minumus alteration to the agenda. It made it so the public couldn't make an informed comment on the business that was being conducted and presented that day. And I see now the public comment period has been pushed before the ARCH2 presentation this week as well. This is anti-transparent behavior from the CAC.

Hydrogen is not a solution to climate change or energy independence. It is a way to store energy (inefficiently) and not a producer of energy in any way. It is nothing more than a golden parachute for billion dollar fossil fuel and petroleum companies.