







Bureau of Mining Programs

Final-Form Rulemaking: Noncoal Mining Clarifications and Corrections

Environmental Quality Board Meeting April 11, 2023

Background

- Chapter 77 was finalized in 1990
 - Minor changes made in 1991, 1998 and 2008
 - Fee updates made in 2012 and 2019
- Since 1990, Department experience has highlighted several areas of Chapter 77 that need clarification
- This rulemaking corrects errors and provides clarification to existing regulations



Summary of Proposed Rulemaking

- Added and revised definitions
- Typographical corrections and references updated
- Clarifications and updates on public notice and participation, small permit transfers, permit revisions, insurance requirements, limited liability companies
- Minor revisions to Certificate of Deposit limit, permit terms, civil penalties



Summary of Proposed Rulemaking

Blasting (explosives)

 Option for alternative air blast limit if justified and no structures will be affected

Exploration (Sections 77.109 & 77.113)

- Drilling vs. extraction
- 20 ton threshold with option for 1,000 tons with justification
- Permit waiver for exploratory drilling only
- Exploration permit or waiver still has environmental requirements

Proposed Rulemaking

- EQB adopted proposed rulemaking on November 17, 2020
- Published on March 20, 2021, for 45-day public comment period



- Comments from 4 public commentators and Independent Regulatory Review Commission
- Final rulemaking includes language changes to three sections of Annex A and other minor formatting changes throughout
- Additional context and explanation provided in the Preamble and Regulatory Analysis Form on blasting, exploration, permit terms and revisions, civil penalties, and public participation provisions



Alternative Blast level

- Comment: Are there adverse impacts from allowing a higher (alternative) air blast threshold?
- Response: No. A higher threshold is only allowed when no structures will be affected by the higher air blast and justification is provided that the higher limit is necessary.

Civil Penalties

- Comment: Should both The Clean Streams Law and Noncoal SMCRA be referenced in civil penalties regulations?
- Response: Yes, and the applicable regulations are revised accordingly.

Exploration

- Comment: How does the 200-ton minimum requirement specified in PennDOT's Bulletin 14 relate to the 1,000-ton upper threshold for exploration?
- Response: Bulletin 14 requires a 200-ton minimum sample to qualify aggregate for PennDOT use and several samples may be needed for qualification. Allowing up to 5 samples balances sufficient extraction of material while minimizing earth disturbance and burden on the operator.



Water Quality Uses

- Comment: Should existing uses for a stream be included in factors DEP considers when granting a waiver?
- Response: Yes. The regulation is revised to include both existing and designated uses of the stream.



Public Participation

 Comment: Concern that the proposed revisions related to the public comment period and the timing of the Department findings report reduces opportunities for public comment

Response:

- Public notice (by newspaper publication) that a permit application was submitted is not changed by the proposed revisions so the public comment period remains the same.
- There is no change in the timing of the findings report release, which is provided as a record of the public hearing and to support the permitting decision, not to solicit further public comment.

Changes from Proposed Rule

- Clarify that DEP considers the impact to both the existing uses and designated uses of streams when granting a noncoal exploration activity permit waiver
- Clarify when the summary report of informal conference/public hearing comments is available to the public
- Clarify when the Department assesses civil penalties under the Noncoal Surface Mining Conservation and Reclamation Act and The Clean Streams Law



Advisory Committee Review

- Proposed regulation developed in consultation with Aggregate Advisory Board (AAB)
- AAB recommended that the Department proceed with the proposed rulemaking on May 6, 2020
- Revised draft final rulemaking presented to AAB on February 3, 2023
 - After making a few suggested revisions, AAB voted unanimously to recommend that the Department proceed with the final rulemaking.



Recommendation

The Department recommends the Board adopt the Noncoal Mining Clarifications and Corrections final-form rulemaking.











Bureau of Mining Programs

John Stefanko

Deputy Secretary
Active and Abandoned Mining Operations
jstefanko@pa.gov

Nathan Houtz

Director, Bureau of Mining Programs nhoutz@pa.gov

Richard Marcil

Assistant Counsel, Bureau of Regulatory Counsel rmarcil@pa.gov