REPORT TO THE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY BOARD RESPONSE TO COMMENTS

CONCERNING PROPOSED REVISIONS

TO §§ 93.9f, 93.9g, 93.9i, 93.9k, 93.9l, 93.9n, 93.9o, 93.9p, 93.9q, 93.9r, 93.9z PENNSYLVANIA WATER QUALITY STANDARDS RELATING TO STREAM USE DESIGNATIONS (French Creek, et al)

In January of 1997, the Department recommended the following proposed rulemaking to the Environmental Quality Board as part of its ongoing review of Pennsylvania's water quality standards:

		Dra	inage	Present *	Proposed*
<u>Stream</u>	County		<u>ist</u>	Designation	Designation
French Creek	Chester	Basin, Source to South Branch	F	HQ-CWF	EV
		French Creek			
	Chester	Basin, South Branch French	F	HQ-TSF	EV
		Creek to Beaver Run			
	Chester	Basin, Beaver Run to Birch Run		HQ-TSF	HQ-TSF, MF
Birch Run	Chester	Basin	F	HQ-TSF	EV
French Creek	Chester	Basin, From Birch Run to the	F	HQ-TSF	HQ-TSF, MF
		Jnctn of the W. & E. Vincent, &			
		E. Pikeland Twp borders			
French Creek	Chester	Basin, From Jnctn of the W. &	F	TSF	TSF, MF
		E. Vincent, & E. Pikeland Twp			
		borders to the mouth			
W . D 1	C1 4	M · G		TOP ME	TOP ME
West Branch	Chester	Main Stem	G	TSF, MF	TSF, MF
Brandywine Creek	C1 4	Danier Carres to Hillamia Danie	\mathbf{C}	TCE ME	HO CWE
Birch Run	Chester	Basin, Source to Hibernia Park Dam	G	TSF, MF	HQ-CWF
Birch Run	Chagton		G	TCE ME	TCE ME
Difcii Kuii	Chester	Basin, Hibernia Park Dam to Mouth	G	TSF, MF	TSF, MF
		to Mouth			
UNT to W. Br.	Chester	Basin	G	TSF, MF	HQ-CWF, MF
Brandywine Cr	Chester	Dasin	U	151, 1111	iiQ-C Wi, Wii
at RM 21.2					
(UNT 00215)					
UNT to W. Br	Chester	Basin, Except for UNT to	G	WWF, MF	CWF, MF
Brandywine Cr	Chester	UNT #00193 @ RM 0.3	J	** ** 1, 1411	C W1, WII
at RM 12.3		(UNT #00194)			
(UNT 00193)		(61/1 // 6015 1)			
UNT to UNT	Chester	Basin	G	WWF, MF	EV, MF
#00193 at RM		_ ******		,	_ , ,
0.3 (UNT 00194	.)				
UNT's to W. Br	Chester	Basins	G	WWF, MF	CWF, MF
Brandywine Cr				•	,

Stream at RM's 10.0,	County	Reach	Drainage <u>List</u>	Present * Designation	Proposed* Designation
9.48, 9.14 & 8.0 (UNT's 00130, 00126, 00124 & 00119) UNT to W. Br Brandywine Cr at RM 5.2 (UNT #00108)	Chester	Basin	G	WWF, MF	EV, MF
Sutton Creek	Luzerne	Basin	I	CWF	CWF
Stony Brook	Columbia	a Basin	K	CWF	EV
Grimes Run	Clearfield	d Basin	L	HQ-CWF	CWF
Milligan Run	Clinton	Basin	L	HQ-CWF	HQ-CWF
Cedar Run	Lycomin	gBasin	L	HQ-CWF	EV
Slate Run Francis Branch Cushman Branch Cushman Branch	Lycomin Tioga Tioga Tioga	gBasin Basin Basin, Source to Bear Run Basin, Bear Run to Mouth	L L L L	HQ-CWF HQ-CWF EV HQ-CWF	EV EV EV
Cove Creek	Bedford	Basin, Source to UNT at	N	CWF	EV
UNT 14472	Bedford	Ott Town (UNT 14472) Basin	N	HQ-CWF	EV
Cove Creek	Bedford	Basin, UNT at Ott Town to Juliet Lane Bridge (T-433)	N	CWF	EV
Cove Creek	Bedford	Basin, T-433 Bridge to Mou	ıth N	CWF	CWF
S. Branch Little Aughwick Creek	Fulton	Basin, Source to Inlet of Cowans Gap Lake	N	HQ-CWF	EV
S. Branch Little Aughwick Creek	Fulton	Basin, Cowans Gap Lake to Mouth	N	HQ-CWF	HQ-CWF
Sugar Valley Run	Mifflin	Basin	N	NL	CWF
Indiantown Run	Lebanon	Basin, Source to Inlet of Marquette Lake	O	NL	CWF
Indiantown Run	Lebanon	Basin, Inlet of Marquette La to Inlet of Memorial Lake	ake O	NL	TSF

Stream Indiantown Run	<u>County</u> Lebanon	Reach	Orainage List e O	Present * Designation NL	Proposed* Designation WWF
Trout Run	York	Basin	O	WWF	EV
Mill Creek Trout Run Mill Creek North Hollow Mill Creek	Potter Potter Potter Potter Potter	Basin, Source to Trout Run Basin Basin, Trout Run to North Ho Basin Basin, North Hollow to Mouth	P	CWF HQ-CWF CWF CWF	HQ-CWF HQ-CWF HQ-CWF CWF
S. Branch Cole Cr	McKean	Basin	P	CWF	EV
Browns Run	Warren	Basin, Except for UNT's 5650 & 56501 to Dutchman Run	00 Q	CWF	EV
UNT's to Dutchman Run at RM 1.6 (UNT #56501) & RM 0.17 (UNT # 56500)	Warren	Basins	Q	CWF	CWF
Toms Run Little Hefren Run	Forest Forest	Basin, Except for Little Hefre Basin	n R R	CWF CWF	EV CWF
Muddy Run	Franklin	Basin	Z	HQ-CWF	HQ-CWF

* CWF = Cold Water Fishes
WWF = Warm Water Fishes
MF = Migratory Fishes
TSF = Trout Stocking
HQ-CWF = High Quality-Cold Water Fishes
HQ-TSF = High Quality-Trout Stocking
EV = Exceptional Value Waters
NL = Not Listed in Ch. 93

The Environmental Quality Board approved the proposed rulemaking on January 21, 1997. The proposal was published in the <u>Pennsylvania Bulletin</u> on March 22, 1997, (27 <u>Pa B</u> 1449) with provisions for a 45-day public comment period. Several persons requested that public hearings be scheduled during this public comment period to receive additional comments on the Browns Run and Trout Run proposals. While the regular public comment period concluded on May 6, 1997, as was scheduled, the public comment period was extended for Browns and Trout Runs to allow for the public hearings. The Browns Run public hearing was held on July 1, 1997 at the Warren County Courthouse and the Trout Run public hearing was held on July 2, 1997 at the Friendship Fire Company in Hellam Township, York County. This extended public comment period for Browns and Trout Runs concluded on July 2, 1997.

In response to the public comments and testimony received on the Browns Run and Trout Run proposals, the Department has determined that additional stream surveys and evaluation are needed to determine the appropriate recommendations for final rulemaking. Therefore, IRRC's comments and other public comments on the Browns Run and Trout Run proposal will be considered, along with EPA's comments on Browns Run, during the development of a separate final rulemaking which will address the Browns Run and Trout Run final recommendations.

In addition, in response to the EQB's decision at its May 21, 1998, meeting, the lower section of Cove Creek, from the T-433 Bridge downstream to the Mouth, was removed from the Part A package on the basis that further analysis of the lower basin is necessary due to the dominance of sensitive mayfly populations. The Department is proposing to retain the CWF designation for this stream segment. The Department plans to seek public comment on changing the interpretation of this metric to allow for a higher rapid bioassessment protocol (RBP) score when the dominant species is indicative of good water quality.

The EQB also agreed to remove Grimes Run from the Part A package to further consider whether the stream was meeting its use as a HQ-CWF on the effective date of the Clean Water Act (11/28/75). The Department is proposing to reclassify Grimes Run to a CWF. The PFBC has additional information which they believe indicates that these uses were being met and plans to submit it to the Department.

Final recommendations will be considered by the Board as a Part B package following completion of the additional stream evaluations for Browns Run and Trout Run and following consideration of information to be obtained relevant to Grimes Run and the lower section of Cove Creek. Therefore, comments pertaining to these streams will be addressed in the Part B package.

The EQB received comments from 121 commentators during the public comment period on this Part A of the French Creek, et al., proposed rulemaking. Three commentators, the Independent Regulatory Review Commission (IRRC), and U.S. EPA Region 3 provided general comments on the entire proposed rulemaking package. U.S. EPA Region 3 commented specifically on French Creek, West Branch Brandywine, Sutton Creek, Mill Creek, and Toms Run.

The remaining public comments on the French Creek, et al package and specific comments on the proposed revisions for French Creek, the West Branch Brandywine Creek and tributaries, Cedar and Slate Runs, Mill Creek, Toms Run and Sutton Creek are considered below:

French Creek, et al. Rulemaking Package

Supportive Comments

<u>Comment</u> - One commentator (1) supported all of the redesignation requests proposed in the French Creek, et al rulemaking package.

<u>Response</u> - The Department appreciates the support of the commentator.

Neutral Comments

<u>Comment</u> - U.S. EPA Region 3 (5) asked for clarification as to which criteria were applied, and how the Federal promulgation was accommodated for the proposed stream redesignations in this rulemaking. Region 3 also indicated that they have requested input from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service on these changes.

Response - The Department has not yet received any additional input from U.S. EPA Region 3 or the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service for incorporation into development of this final rulemaking.

Opposing Comments

Comment - The EQB received opposing comments from two commentators (2, 3) during the public comment period, and from IRRC (4). The commentators and IRRC questioned Pennsylvania's authority to continue pursuing stream redesignations because of EPA's recent promulgation of a portion of Pennsylvania's Special Protection Program and the appropriateness of such redesignations in light of the Commonwealth's proposal to amend its antidegradation program published at 27 Pa. B. 1459 (March 22, 1997).

The commentators noted that the Board is in the process of amending Pennsylvania's antidegradation program, maintaining some features of its current program and changing other features that EPA disapproved. As a result, the commentators urge that the Board reconsider this proposal and disapprove all of the proposed upgrades to EV in this package until a clear regulatory basis for such designations is established.

These commentators (2, 3) cannot see any reason to proceed to redesignate a few streams, including upgrades beyond the protection categories proposed or requested by the sponsors or advocates of the redesignations, by using criteria which are currently being changed. They cite, as an example, the proposed recommendation in this package to elevate Browns Run to EV where the proposal goes beyond the Fish Commission's original request to only upgrade to HQ-CWF.

IRRC has also recommended that, if the current proposed stream redesignations are not consistent with the provisions of the federal promulgation, the EQB should defer further action on these regulations until it has adopted its new antidegradation regulations and the EPA has withdrawn its overriding promulgation. Conversely, IRRC suggests that if the proposed stream redesignations are consistent with the federal provisions, then it's appropriate for EQB to proceed to final-form regulations.

Response - The Department believes that these stream redesignations are consistent with the federal provisions which were promulgated by EPA to replace portions of the Commonwealth's Special Protection Program.

<u>Staff Conclusions and Recommendations</u> - The Department believes that the provisions of the federally promulgated antidegradation regulation are being met, and the potential for anticipated

changes to the Commonwealth's Special Protection Program referenced by the commentators, IRRC and U.S. EPA Region 3 does not impact the regulatory decisions being made by the stream redesignations contained in this final-form regulation.

French Creek, Birch Runs, and the West Branch Brandywine Creek and Tributaries

Supportive Comments

Comment - One commentator (120), representing the Chester County Water Resources Authority (CCWRA), expressed its full support for the proposed designations for increased protection of more than 19 streams and stream segments in Chester County. The commentator explains that protection of their water resources, particularly the headwaters tributaries, are a high priority for the citizens of Chester County and CCWRA.

Response - The Department appreciates the support of this commentator. As the commentator referenced, these redesignations recognize and protect the outstanding ecological and water resources of these streams, including the water-based recreation and water supplies supported by these water bodies.

<u>Staff Conclusions and Recommendations</u> - Based on available information and these supportive comments received during public participation, it is recommended that no changes be made to the proposed redesignations for the French Creek basins and the West Branch Brandywine Creek and tributaries.

French Creek

Supportive Comments

Comment - The Board received supportive public comments from 113 commentators (6-117) for the French Creek proposed redesignations, including many local municipal officials, agencies, community organizations, and local state legislators. The Executive Director for Green Valleys Association, a representative for the petitioning organization, commented that their membership of over 950 continues to support protecting French Creek. Co-petitioners for EV status for French Creek include all supervisors of every township within the watershed, every Chester County state senator and representative, and every county commissioner from both Chester and Bucks County. The petitioner and many of the other commentators indicate that French Creek is a Pennsylvania Scenic River and that it will soon be placed on the Pennsylvania Rivers Conservation Registry; it is the most heavily stocked and fished stream in Chester County; there are over 20,000 acres of Federal, State, and County Parks, State Game Lands, and conservation eased land in the upper reaches; and that all townships have strong ordinances protecting the stream quality.

Most of these commentators expressed that the redesignations are needed and will be an important step in recognizing and protecting the ecological and water-based recreational significance associated with the uses of this unique basin.

- **Response** The Department appreciates the support of these commentators.
- <u>Comment</u> U.S. EPA Region 3 expressed that there was some confusion over the intended designation for the Beaver Run subbasin as a result of the proposed redesignations of French Creek.
- Response The Department realizes that the reference to Beaver Run was inadvertently omitted from the stream evaluation report and the proposed rulemaking. Therefore, the Department will insert an entry in the final rulemaking Annex, and other supporting documentation, indicating that the Beaver Run subbasin designation is to be HQ-TSF, MF.
- Comment EPA Region 3 commented that they could not determine if Station 16FC is located in the portion of the French Creek basin from Birch Run to the junction of the West Vincent, East Vincent and East Pikeland Townships or from that junction to the mouth. Region 3 commented that Station 16FC had excellent scores which would qualify the stream as EV under the Commonwealth's classification process. They suggest that, if the station is located in the lower portion of the basin from the junction of the township borders to the mouth, the stream segment deserves at least an HQ designation.
- Response Station 16FC was located about 0.2 miles downstream from the junction of the 3 township boundaries in order to evaluate the general water quality in the lower reach of French Creek. It was used to confirm the existence of good upstream water quality and the appropriateness of the High Quality designation for the upper reach of French Creek. Since this site was so close to the original segment limit (the junction of the 3 township boundaries) and that limit is well defined, the Department opted to retain that cut-off point for consistency. It was proposed that the lower reach retain its current Trout Stocking (TSF) designation with the addition of a MF designation for protection of Migratory Fishes.
- <u>Comment</u> EPA Region 3 also questioned how the scores were derived for Station 14FC, which scored 80% during the spring but fell to 67% during the fall. They cite that there seems to be no consideration of why the score dropped 13%.
- Response Even though the two samples collected at Station 14FC scored below the 83% of reference minimum for High Quality, Station 14FC is part of a continuum of mainstem sites demonstrating a mix of EV and HQ scores. When viewed in this context, it is appropriate to retain the current HQ designation for this "middle reach" of French Creek. The Department explains in the French Creek Evaluation Report that low taxa richness or a very dominant taxon plays a critical role in the scoring, and that it is probable that the sampled habitat was more limiting to taxa richness than was the water quality.

<u>Staff Conclusions and Recommendations</u> - Based on the Department's evaluation and the supportive comments received during public participation, it is recommended that segments in the French Creek basin be designated as EV Waters or HQ as was proposed by the Board. Furthermore, Annex A and other final rulemaking documentation was revised to clarify that the Beaver Run subbasin designation is to be HQ-TSF, MF.

West Branch Brandywine Creek and Tributaries

Opposing Comments

Comment - U.S. EPA Region 3 believes that, although Briar Run 9-UNT scored 80% and did not exceed the applicable criteria, despite discharge problems (Superfund discharge and related seeps), and 8-UNT which is upstream of 9-UNT, only scored a 66.7%, the EQB should reconsider Special Protection for Briar Run.

Response - UNT #00130 ("Briar Run") was not recommended for HQ protection because it did not achieve the minimum score of 83% of the reference station score. As a result, it was proposed that UNT #00130 retain its current WWF, MF designation. The Department recognizes that the Strasburg Landfill Superfund Site, and the related seeps, which discharge to the stream between Stations 8- and 9-UNT have not had significant impacts on the stream's biota. However, Station 9-UNT had elevated levels of iron, manganese, and aluminum. These levels did not appear to violate applicable water quality criteria and water quality was generally good.

<u>Staff Conclusions and Recommendations</u> - The Department recommends that there be no changes made to the proposed redesignations for the West Branch Brandywine and tributaries, including the recommendation that UNT #00130 ("Briar Run") retain its current WWF, MF designations.

Cedar Run and Slate Run

Supportive Comments

Comment - Two commentators (118, 119), including a representative on behalf of the local Slate Run Sportsmen Club (119), supported the proposed redesignations of the Cedar Run and Slate Run watersheds to EV Waters. They comment that this redesignation will preserve and protect a very valuable natural resource for future generations to enjoy.

Response - The Department appreciates the support of these commentators.

<u>Staff Conclusions and Recommendations</u> - Based on the Department's evaluation and the supportive comments received during public participation, it is recommended that the Slate Run and Cedar Run basins be redesignated as Exceptional Value Waters, as originally proposed by the Board.

Sutton Creek

Opposing Comments

<u>Comment</u> - The petitioner (121) submitted comments suggesting that if an EV Waters designation is not possible, the Board should consider an HQ designation for Sutton

Creek. The petitioner also commented that, if nothing else, the Board reconsider the proposal by adding "swimming protection" to the current CWF designation for the residents who use Sutton Creek and its water for daily use.

<u>Response</u> - It is required by both federal and state regulations that <u>all</u> of the Commonwealth's surface waters be protected, at a minimum, so that they are "fishable and swimmable" and existing uses are maintained. The current CWF designation of Sutton Creek provides for this basic protection for swimming.

Comment - The petitioner (121) also submitted additional comments suggesting that plants of special concern have been or may be found growing in the wetlands adjoining Sutton Creek. The commentator indicates that the US Fish and Wildlife Service and the Department of Conservation and Natural Resources' Bureau of Forestry believe that flat-leaved pondweed (Potamogeton robbinsii) is found in a marsh in the headwaters of Sutton Creek and may have spread to many other wetlands along Sutton Creek. The commentator also indicated that a "Department spokesman" said bog bluegrass could very likely to be found in the Sutton Creek basin as well. Therefore, the commentator is asking that the Board delay its ruling until a study of these plants can be done.

Response - The information received from the petitioner during the public comment period regarding new evidence that certain species of special concern have been, or are expected to be found in the Sutton Creek basin was evaluated. The wetland plants referenced by the petitioner are listed as Biota of Special Concern by the Pennsylvania Natural Diversity Inventory (PNDI), with the global and state rankings and status as indicated. However, the Department has consulted with PNDI staff in an attempt to verify the results of any previous or pending studies to determine the status of these species of special concern within the Sutton Creek basin. The Department has not received any additional documentation to verify that these species currently occur or have historically occurred within the Sutton Creek basin. There are no indications of any pending studies by the petitioner, PNDI or the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

- <u>Comment</u> U.S. EPA Region 3 expressed concerns that the low scores for Sutton Creek were due primarily to some habitat parameters being rated suboptimal. It is their position that the increased protection afforded an HQ stream could benefit the habitat, rather than letting it further degrade.
- Response The habitat assessments conducted in the Sutton Creek basin revealed suboptimal to nearly optimal conditions. Habitat parameters which rated suboptimal for all Sutton Creek stations included velocity/depth regimes, channel alterations, channel flow status, vegetative disruptive pressure, and riparian vegetation zone width. These habitat parameters are not expected to be impacted (improved) by the streams special protection status. The biological score of the stream does not support a special protection designation.

<u>Staff Conclusions and Recommendations</u> - The Department continues to recommend to the Board that the Sutton Creek basin not be redesignated, and that the current CWF designation be retained, as was proposed by the Board.

Mill Creek

Opposing Comments

Comment - U.S. EPA Region 3 suggested that all of the Mill Creek basin, with the exception of North Hollow, could be designated HQ, not just the upper reach from the source to the confluence with North Hollow which was redesignated HQ-CWF based on it being a Class A Trout Water. The water quality in Mill Creek is described as excellent, and although Station 7MC did not meet the ecological evaluation criteria for HQ, it did score 73%, which Region 3 explains is close enough 83%.

Response - All seven stations in the Mill Creek survey failed to score ≥ 83% of the reference which is the minimum criterion for recommending High Quality protection based on ecological significance. The portion of the watershed represented by Station 1MC to 5MC was recommended for HQ based solely on the PFBC's Class A Trout Waters designation. In the absence of this designation for the lower reaches of Mill Creek, there was no justification for extending the HQ special protection designation to the lower basin.

As was explained in the response to similar comments on Cove Creek, the one-time grab chemistry sample results and/or the biological indicators of long-term water quality conditions of the lower Mill Creek basin do not represent water quality which is better than criteria. Therefore, it is suggested that the lower reach of Mill Creek, from the confluence with North Hollow to the mouth, should continue to retain its current CWF designation.

<u>Staff Conclusions and Recommendations</u> - It is, therefore, recommended that the Board not revise the proposed HQ-CWF redesignation for the upper Mill Creek basin. The remainder of the Mill Creek basin, from the confluence with North Hollow to the mouth, should continue to retain its current CWF designation.

The Department discovered during development of this final rulemaking that a "Basin" descriptor was inadvertently omitted from the proposed rulemaking Annex A for the first Mill Creek entry. Therefore, a "Basin" entry was inserted in the Zone column to replace the "Main Stem" descriptor that was being deleted during proposed rulemaking.

Toms Run

Neutral Comments

- Comment U.S. EPA Region 3 realizes that Little Hefren Run is impacted by acid mine drainage and they do not expect it to be upgraded to HQ protection, but they questioned why a habitat assessment was completed at Station 4LHR but no benthic studies were completed in the Little Hefren Run subbasin.
- **Response** Little Hefren Run was too small to sample for biology, but a habitat evaluation was completed and reported. Since it is impacted by acid mine drainage, the lack of benthic data had no effect on the decision to retain its current CWF designation.

<u>Staff Conclusions and Recommendations</u> - Therefore, it is recommended that there be no changes to the Board's proposal to redesignate the Toms Run basin as EV Waters, except Little Hefren Run subbasin, to retain its current CWF designation also as proposed.

LIST OF COMMENTATORS

French Creek, et al. (Part A)

Public Comment Period: March 22 thru May 6, 1997

FRENCH CREEK, et al.

No.	Name and Address	Zip	Submitted 1 pg Summary	Provided Testimony
1	Paul E. Pfitzenmeyer, Chairman West Caln Township Board of Supervisors 721 West Kings Highway, P.O. Box 175	19376		
2	Wagontown, PA George E. Ellis, President Pennsylvania Coal Association 212 North Third Street, Suite 102 Harrisburg, PA	17101		
3	David F. Sheppard, Jr., CAE, Chairman, The PLUS Alliance 600 North 12 th Street Lemoyne, PA	17043		
4	Robert E. Nyce Executive Director Independent Regulatory Review Commission 14 th Floor, 333 Market Street Harrisburg, PA	17120		
5	Evelyn S. MacKnight, Chief PA/DE Branch, Office of Watersheds US EPA, Region 3 841 Chestnut Building Philadelphia, PA	19107- 4431		

FRENCH CREEK

No.	Name and Address	Zip	Submitted 1 pg Summary	Provided Testimony
6	W. Daniel Rudloff	1	I S ··· · · ·	J
7	Clifford M. Sayre			
8	Tom Prusak			
9	Mr. W. E. "Pete" Goodman, III			
10	Lisa Giordano, President	19543		
	The Evergreen Association			
	Box 458			
	Morgantown, PA			
11	Carl E. Dusinberre			
12	Mary J. Reilly			
13	Stephen J. Swider			
14	Glenn R. Knoblauch	19601-		
	Executive Director	3591		
	Berks County Planning Commission			
	Berks County Services Center			
	633 Court Street, 14th Floor			
	Reading, PA			
15	David J. Alstrom			
16	Michael D. Pintavalle			
17	Mr. and Mrs. David Mullen			
18	Mr. Arsene W. Koth, Jr.			
19	Mitsie Toland	19301		
	Open Land Conservancy of Chester County			
	P.O. Box 1031			
	Paoli, PA			
20	Richard G. Fried, M.D.	19442		
	The Kimberton Clinic, Inc.			
	800 Hares Hill Road			

	P.O. Box 447		
	Kimberton, PA		
21	Morrison C. Huston		
22	Gene E. Mayberry	19464	
	The Prudential, Gilbert Real Estate	19.01	
	2120 East High Street		
	Pottstown, PA		
23	Gladys and Bernard J. mc Govern		
24	Robert S. Johnson, President	19301	
	Broken Spur, Inc.	19001	
	Cowboy's Tattoo Ranch		
	2 East Lancaster Avenue		
	Paoli, PA		
25	Donald H. Cook, M.D.		
26	Don Cook, President	19421-	
	Horse-Shoe Trail Club, Inc.	0182	
	P.O. Box 182		
	Birchrunville, PA		
27	Frank and Margaret Perina		
28	Maureen McGovern		
29	The Honorable James W. Gerlach	17120-	
	Senate of Pennsylvania	3044	
	Senate Post Office Box 203044		
	Harrisburg, PA		
30	Timothy T. Fox, M.D.	19301	
	General, Laparoscopic and Colorectal Surgery		
	Paoli Pointe, Suite 102		
	11 Industrial Boulevard		
	Paoli, PA		
31	David H. Malamed, M.D.	19406	
	University of Pennsylvania Health System		
	Department of Radiology		
	170 N. Henderson Road, Suite 100		
22	King of Prussia, PA	10460	
32	Theodore S. Morgan	19460	
	T.S. Morgan Associates		
	660 Hollow Road		
22	Phoenixville, PA		
33	Miss Lauren C. Davison		
34	Mr. Andrew D. Swinick		
35	Robert Jones		
36	Mr. Murray Halton		
37	Michael S. Burg, Esquire	10202	
38	David A. Jackson, R.S., Director	19382-	
	Bureau of Environmental Health Protection	4543	
	Chester County Health Department		
	Government Services Center, Suite 295 601 Westtown Road		
	West Chester, PA		
39	,	19465	+
39	Mr. Wesley T. Sessa 18 th Century Restorations, Inc.	19403	
	1226 Warwick Furnace Road		
	Pottstown, PA		
40	Chari Towne, Program Director	19470-	1
40	Schuylkill Riverkeeper	0459	
	P.O. Box 459	0433	
	St. Peters, PA		
41	Mr. Walter C. Zaremba, Jr.	19475	
71	1411. Watter C. Zareniua, Ji.	17713	Ļ

	T 1: 0 :	1	
	Township Supervisor		
	East Vincent Township		
	262 Ridge Road		
	Spring City, PA		
42	The Honorable Timothy F. Hennessey	19320	
	PA House of Representatives	13520	
	One City Hall Place		
	Coatesville, PA		
43	Frank R. Wilson, Jr., President	19425	
	Frank Wilson Driving School, Inc.		
	P.O. Box 463		
	Chester Springs, PA		
44	Ms. Nancy Ellen Joseph		
45	Charles G. Moody, III, Chairman	19465	
43		13403	
	North Coventry Township		
	Board of Supervisors		
	845 S. Hanover Street		
	Pottstown, PA		
46	Mr. Nathan Hayward, III	19710	
	Power of Attorney for Anna H. Lisle		
	One New London Road, Box 36		
	Montchanin, DE		
47	Mr. Timothy F. Hough		
4/	Mr. Timothy F. Hough		
4.0			
48	Mr. Edward R. Nugent, III		
49	Mr. Bruce G. Riddel		
50	Mr. Francis A. Nash		
51	Mr. Ronald M. Graham	19482	
31	Corchin, Graham, Rosato & Mauer, P.C.	15102	
	The Commons at Valley Forge		
	Suite Seven, P.O. Box 987-223		
	Valley Forge, PA		
52	Carol Armstrong, Ph.D., Director	19355	
	Mnem Neuropschology Laboratory		
	4023 Howell Road		
	Malvern, PA		
53	Mr. Peter J. Smyrl		
33	Wil. 1 etci J. Siliyii		
E 1	Ma Mam M IIII	10242	
54	Ms. Mary M. Hill	19343	
	Nantmeal Hunt Farm		
	3111 Horseshoe Trail		
	Glenmoore, PA		 <u> </u>
55	Mr. Daniel Barringer		
56	Mr. George E. Martin	19465	
	Counselor at Law		
	299 Fox Gayte		
	- I		
	Coventryville, PA	10467	
57	C. Stanley Stubbe, Chairman	19465	
	North Coventry Twp Planning Commission		
	845 S. Hanover Street		
	Pottstown, PA		
58	James A. McClean, Jr., Esquire	19610	
	The Law Offices of Peter N. Munsing	17010	
	939 Penn Avenue		
L	757 I OIII / I VOIIIC	1.5	

	Wyomissing, PA		
59	The Honorable Chris Ross	17120-	
	PA House of Representatives	2020	
	House Post Office Box 202020	2020	
	Harrisburg, PA		
60	Mr. Wayne Freese		
	Will Wayle Freese		
61	Rachel Theis		
62	Philip S. Wallis	19063	
	Natural Lands Trust, Hildacy Farm		
	1031 Palmers Mill Road		
	Media, PA		
63	Lola S. Reed, M.D.	19460	
	30 McAvoy Lane		
	Phoenixville, PA		
64	Mr. William S. Gross	19520	
	Redding Furnace Farm		
	125 Mansion Road		
	Elverson, PA		
65	Mr. Matt Cremers	19465	
	MRC Appraisal Company		
	1805 Ridge Road		
	Pottstown, PA		
66	Mr. James R. Rosato, Jr.	19482	
	Corchin, Graham, Rosato & Mauer, P.C.		
	The Commons at Valley Forge		
	Suite Seven, P.O. Box 987-23		
	Valley Forge, PA		
67	Ms. Marilyn Hyden Randolph, Township Clerk	19460	
	Schuylkill Township Board of Supervisors		
	801 Valley Park Road		
	Phoenixville, PA		
68	The Honorable Robert J. Flick	17120-	
	PA House of Representatives	2020	
	House P.O. Box 202020		
	Harrisburg, PA		
69	Ms. Colleen Koos-Mayerson		
	Mr. Hy Mayerson		
	IV. A. H.B.		
70	Ms. Ann H. Brewster		
71	Mr. Bradley J. Dyer		
72	John and Annette Johnson		
73	M. John Johnson, President	19335	
	Harmony Hill Civic Assn., Inc.		
	1085 Harmony Hill Road		
	Downingtown, PA		
74	M. John Johnson, President	19381-	
	West Chester Fish, Game & Wildlife Assn, Inc.	0511	
	P.O. Box 511		
	West Chester, PA		
75	Robert F. Molzahn, President	19442	
	Dame Juliana Leaque		
	P.O. Box 178		
	Kimberton, PA		
76	Robert K. Momyer, President	19460	
1	• ′		+

	The Communication Arts Group 206 South Whitehorse Road Phoenixville, PA		
77	Mr. and Mrs. Don Brown		
78	Mr. Daniel P. Mannix, V Law Offices of Butler, Griffen & Mannix Sixteen East Market Street West Chester, PA	19382- 3151	
79	Ms. Susan P. Mc Govern		
80	Mr. Carl K. Yeager		
81	Mrs. Annette P. Johnson Mr. Marlytt J. Johnson		
82	Owen J. Roberts Middle School - Stream Watch 881 Ridge Road Pottstown, PA	19465	
83	George G. Ryon		
84	Sherman Perkins		
85	Robert J. Johnson, Senior Counsel Gilbert Associates, Inc. P.O. Box 1498 Reading, PA	19603	
86	Kenneth Shaffer		
87	Mrs. Ingeborg T. Robb		
88	Tom and Kristen Bissinger		
89	Norene B. Benton		
90	The Honorable Curt Schroder PA House of Representatives House P.O. Box 202020 Room 160A East Wing Harrisburg, PA	17120- 2020	
91	Dr. Ralph D. Heister, Jr., Exec. Director Green Valleys Association 1285 Hollow Road, P.O. Box 113 Birchrunville, PA	19421	
92	Jean A. Bogar		
93	Wm. Michael Tomlinson		
94	Kathryn E. Sloan Corner Cupboard Antiques Kimberton Road Kimberton, PA	19442- 0717	
95	David L. and Kathy Sloan		
96	Mr. A. Joseph Armstrong 450 Lucky Hill Road West Chester, PA	19382	
97	Mr. Howard I. Irving	17112	

	The Headerswille In account Control		
1	The Harleysville Insurance Companies		
	Susquehanna Branch Office		
	P.O. Box 6237		
	2700 Commerce Drive		
	Harrisburg, PA		
98	Thomas J. Zervas		
70	Thomas J. Zervas		
99	Mr. Rodney L. Horton		
100	Mr. Dale D. Goodman, Vice President	19406	
100		19400	
	Security Elevator Company		
	201 South Gulph Road		
	P.O. Box 62010		
	King of Prussia, PA		
101	Mr. E. P. Messikomer		
102	Dr. Mark B. Boas, O.D., M.S.	19341	
	Family Eye Care, Contact Lens Center		
	577 W. Uwchlan Avenue		
	Exton, PA		
103	Mr. Dan Greig, District Manager	19382-	
100	Chester County Conservation District	4519	
	Government Services Center, Suite 395	1017	
	601 Westtown Road		
	West Chester, PA		
104	Mr. John D. Funk	19341	
	Valley Financial Systems, Inc.		
	760 Constitution Drive, Suite 100		
	Exton, PA		
105	Ms. Karen L. Martynick, Chairman	19380-	
	Mr. Colin A. Hanna	3066	
	Mr. Andrew E. Dinniman		
	Office of the Commissioners		
	Courthouse, 2 N. High St., Suite 512		
	West Chester, PA		
106	Mr. Karl C. Heine, President	19380	
100	Valley Forge Chapter of Trout Unlimited	17360	
	P.O. Box 1356		
107	West Chester, PA		
107	Mr. Andrew C. Thompson		
108	Ms. Susan A. McGarvey		
	, in the second		
109	Mr. Steven B. Coffey	T	
110	IN E	10464	
110	Ms. Emma Stamas	19464	
1	Deep Ecology Group		
1	Unitarian Universalist Fellowship of Pottstown		
1	1358 St. Peters Road		
	Pottstown, PA		
111	Ms. Helen Zipperlen	19442	
1	Camphill Village Kimberton Hills, Inc.		
	P.O. Box 155		
1	Kimberton, PA		
112	Mr. Robert Wendelgass, State Director	19107	+
112	Clean Water Action	1910/	
	1128 Walnut Street, Suite 300		
	Philadelphia, PA	10.500	
113	Charles H. Jacob, Chairman	19520	
	Charles II. Succes, Charlinan	'	1

	Warwick Township Board of Supervisors		
	2500 Ridge Road		
	Elverson, PA		
114	Charles H. Jacob, Chairman	19470	
	Federation of Northern Chester Co. Communities		
	P.O. Box 130		
	St. Peters, PA		
115	Mr. Philip E. Pyle, Jr.	19350	
	19 White Clay Drive		
	Landenberg, PA		
116	Mr. David Reeves		
117	The Honorable Carole Rubley	17120-	
	House of Representatives	2020	
	House Post Office Box 202020		
	Harrisburg, PA		

CEDAR RUN and SLATE RUN

No.	Name and Address	Zip	Submitted 1 pg Summary	Provided Testimony
118	Thomas W. Finkbiner	17769		·
	Slate Run Tackle Shop			
	Box 1, Rt. 414			
	Slate Run, PA			
119	J. Richard Meyers, President	17769		
	Slate Run Sportsmen Club			
	P.O. Box 5			
	Slate Run, PA			

FRENCH CREEK, BIRCH RUNS & UNT'S to WEST BRANCH BRANDYWINE CREEK

			Submitted	Provided
No.	Name and Address	Zip	1 pg Summary	Testimony
120	Janet L. Bowers, P.G., Executive Director	19382-		
	Chester County Water Resources Authority	4537		
	Government Services Center, Suite 270			
	601 Westtown Road			
	West Chester, PA			

SUTTON CREEK

No.	Name and Address	Zip	Submitted 1 pg Summary	Provided Testimony
121	Stephen Simko, Chairman Keep Sutton Creek Clean Committee RR 1, Box 173 Pittston, PA	18643	,	2 3333332