

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

* * * * *

IN RE: HAZARDOUS SITES CLEANUP NORTH CENTRE TOWNSHIP
PFAS INVESTIGATION

* * * * *

BEFORE: MEGAN LEHMAN, Hearing Officer

Jared Dressler, Member

Randy Farmerie, Member

Cheryl Sinclair, Member

Dan Thetford, Member

Lisa Meade, Member

Jordi Comas, Member

Valentina Gardner, Member

Becky Dunlap, Member

HEARING: Monday, May 11, 2026

7:00 p.m.

LOCATION: Central Columbia Middle/High School

4777 Old Berwick Road

Bloomsburg, PA 17815

Reporter: Dominick Bartholomew

Any reproduction of this transcript

is prohibited without authorization

by the certifying agency

WITNESSES: Craig Giney, Jason Jarinko, Jon Diltz,
Laura Zeisloft, Timiothy Jasinski, Michael Maiuro,
Doyle Shadle, Greogry Reichart

I N D E X

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

OPENING REMARKS

By Hearing Officer 5 - 9

PUBLIC COMMENT

By Ms. Zeisloft 9 - 12

By Mr. Gibney 12 - 14

By Mr. Jarinko 15 - 18

By Mr. Diltz 18 - 20

By Ms. Zeisloft 20 - 22

By Mr. Jasinski 22 - 24

By Mr. Maiuro 24 - 25

By Mr. Shadle 25 - 26

By Mr. Reichart 26 - 27

DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES 28

E X H I B I T S

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

		Page	Page
<u>Number</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Offered</u>	<u>Admitted</u>

NONE OFFERED

P R O C E E D I N G S

HEARING OFFICER: Good evening. My name is Megan Lehman. I am the Regional Communications Manager for the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection's Northcentral Regional Office in Williamsport, and I will serve as hearing officer for this evening.

Thank you all for coming tonight, and thank you to the Central Columbia School District for the use of their building this evening. And also thank you again to the agencies that came out to assist with our open house tonight. That was the Pennsylvania Department of Agriculture, Pennsylvania Department of Health, Federal ATSDR, Geisinger Health System, and Penn State Extension.

I'd like to introduce my colleagues, also from DEP's Northcentral Regional Office. At the head table we have Jared Dressler, the Regional Director. Randy Farmerie, the program manager for Environmental Cleanup and Brownfields. Cheryl Sinclair, environmental group manager in the Environmental Cleanup and Brownfields Program.

And some of these folks may not be in the room, but you may have met them earlier. Dan

1 Thetford is our Assistant Regional Director. Lisa
2 Meade is our local government liaison. Jordi Comas
3 is our environmental justice coordinator. Valentina
4 Garner is a geoscientist in our Environmental
5 Cleanup and Brownfields Program. And Becky Dunlap
6 is the aquatic biology supervisor in the Clean Water
7 Program.

8 Our purpose tonight is to hold a
9 formal public hearing for DEP staff to hear
10 testimony from the public about the ongoing North
11 Centre Township PFAS investigation under the
12 Hazardous Sites Cleanup Act, or HSCA, program. DEP
13 has elected to reopen the public record and hold
14 this second public hearing, following a hearing in
15 March 2025, due to a significant expansion in the
16 area of investigation.

17 I will note for the public record
18 that prior to beginning the hearing today, DEP held
19 an open house for the public, including multiple
20 cooperating agencies, and Cheryl Sinclair also
21 provided a brief presentation about the
22 investigation to date. And again, I apologize for
23 my voice. I'm not feeling well. If I cough during
24 your presentation, you get an extra five seconds.
25 That's what I can offer.

1 So in addition to the opportunity to
2 testify this evening, you may also submit written
3 comments. That could be via email or mail no later
4 than July 10th, 2026. If you'd like to submit
5 written testimony, please pick up one of the
6 handouts from the sign-in table containing more
7 information.

8 DEP gives equal consideration to all
9 public comments, regardless of whether it's received
10 verbally tonight or in writing prior to the
11 deadline. Those who speak tonight may also submit
12 written comments of any length that they so choose.

13 Please note that our purpose here
14 tonight is to listen to your comments and gather
15 input on DEP - DEP's proposed response action. DEP
16 staff do not directly answer questions or respond to
17 individual comments during this formal proceeding.
18 All comments given tonight or in writing by the
19 deadline will be addressed by DEP, after the close
20 of the public comment period, in what is called a
21 comment and response document.

22 Before we begin the public comments,
23 I would like to go over a few ground rules. First
24 of all, please turn off cell phones or put them on
25 vibrate, and if you need to take a call, please step

1 outside.

2 Second, I will call on people to
3 speak in the order in which they signed up. We have
4 around nine people for tonight. When your name is
5 called, please come up to this microphone at the
6 podium here. This is very important. Say your name
7 and spell it for the Court Reporter, and then
8 provide your comments. Please make sure you're
9 speaking into the microphone and clearly so that
10 everyone can hear you.

11 Each person is allowed three minutes
12 to offer testimony. Cheryl Sinclair is sitting in
13 the front row here, and will keep the time. So she
14 will hold up a warning sign when you have one minute
15 left. And then each speaker will have an
16 opportunity to finish their sentence. So if you go
17 over that three minutes, we give you a little extra.
18 But at some point, you know, if you choose not to
19 yield, we will have to cut you off. I doubt that
20 will happen, but.

21 Please be respectful of everyone's
22 opportunity to speak and to be heard. So we ask, do
23 not interrupt while others are speaking by clapping,
24 booing, or speaking out of turn.

25 Thank you for your cooperation with

1 these ground rules. All right.

2 Now, our first registered speaker.
3 First of all, I will say the next few so that people
4 can be prepared that they're going to have to get up
5 soon. The first speaker was Jillian Martin, and she
6 had a family medical situation, so we did allow her
7 to have someone speak on her behalf. So Laura
8 Zeisloff will be speaking for Jillian Martin. And
9 then following that, you'll have Craig Gibney and
10 Jason Jarinko.

11 So Laura.

12 MS. ZEISLOFT: I don't know if this -
13 since Jillian wrote it. So you wanted to spell
14 first and last name, did you say?

15 HEARING OFFICER: If you could.

16 MS. ZEISLOFT: Okay.

17 Jillian, J-I-L-L-I-A-N. Martin,
18 M-A-R-T-I-N.

19 Good evening. My name is Jillian
20 Martin, and I reside in North Centre Township with
21 my husband and young son. I am here because there
22 is documented PFAS presence on our property as well
23 as the property directly adjacent to us. There is a
24 clear potential pathway for migration through soil
25 and runoff into surrounding areas, including

1 groundwater.

2 My family began planning to build our
3 home in good faith, but those plans were put on hold
4 once we became aware of the contamination concerns.
5 Since then, we have been living with ongoing
6 uncertainty about environmental and public health
7 risks tied to the situation.

8 I want to be clear about one thing
9 tonight. The presence of PFAS, even at levels that
10 may not yet exceed certain thresholds, represents a
11 legitimate environmental concern. The EPA has
12 stated that there is no safe level of exposure.
13 Combined with the potential migration pathways, this
14 creates a long term risk that cannot and should not
15 be ignored.

16 What concerns me the most is not just
17 the contamination itself, but whether ordinary,
18 everyday residents are too often expected to place
19 blind trust and assurances connected to powerful
20 financial and industrial interests. Through my own
21 experiences, I have seen firsthand how public trust
22 can be shaped by studies and approvals connected to
23 financial interests. Those experiences have
24 permanently changed the - the way I view corporate
25 assurances and claims of safety.

1 This is why I believe that
2 independent oversight matters in situations
3 involving persistent environmental contaminants like
4 PFAS. Residents should not bear the burden of
5 uncertainty while waiting years to learn whether
6 groundwater, soil, or public health impacts will
7 worsen over time.

8 While I understand that no formal
9 permit applications have been submitted to DEP at
10 this time, the environmental conditions already
11 exist. This is not a hypothetical issue. It is a
12 present condition with long term consequences.

13 I respectfully ask the Department to
14 ensure that all documentation submitted by residents
15 is formally maintained within the public and
16 administrative record, to carefully evaluate
17 contamination risks and migration pathways in any
18 future review process, and to provide effective
19 residents with a meaningful transparency moving
20 forward.

21 Communities like ours are not asking
22 for special treatment. We are simply asking for
23 protection of basic resources that sustain our
24 families and future generations. I appreciate the
25 Department's role in this process, and ask that

1 these concerns be taken seriously before
2 irreversible decisions are made.

3 Respectfully, on behalf of Jillian
4 Martin. Thank you.

5 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Can you
6 hear me? Thank you very much. Craig Gibney is next
7 followed by Jason Jarinko and Jon Diltz.

8 MR. GIBNEY: My name is Craig Gibney,
9 and I live in North Centre Township. G-I-B-N-E-Y.
10 I'm good?

11 Four to six gallons per minute one
12 half mile away, it seemed that whenever a new well
13 was drilled at a nearby single home development
14 consisting of a half dozen homes that four to six
15 gallons per minute was enough to put sediment and
16 the stench of sulfur in my drinking water.

17 For the April 13th, 2026 Press
18 Enterprise, 267,000 gallons per day was the water
19 usage recently permitted for what presumably is a
20 modern efficient data center. The concern is that a
21 four to six gallons per minute can upset a
22 neighbor's drinking water one half mile distance.
23 What will the continuous usage of water tolling up
24 to 267,000 gallons per day, day after day, do to our
25 drinking water and the aquifer that supplies it?

1 Unfortunately for the residents of
2 North Centre Township and several other adjacent
3 townships, the presence of PFAS has been confirmed
4 by the DEP in several areas of the aquifer supplying
5 our drinking water. The constant pounding of the
6 aquifer has a potential to spread these PFAS
7 throughout the remaining areas of our Township.
8 These chemicals respect no political boundaries, and
9 could have the potential to spread into the
10 neighboring Scott, Orange, Briar Creek, and South
11 Centre Townships which already have some.

12 The State of Pennsylvania enacted a
13 mandate over 50 years ago, required all of its
14 townships to make available land areas suitable for
15 various end uses. However, I can't believe that the
16 state's intent was to set up the potential to poison
17 its citizens. I can't believe it was the state's
18 intent to potentially export poison from one
19 township to its neighboring townships. The State of
20 Pennsylvania is comprised of over 1,500 townships.
21 Would it not be prudent to stop the introduction and
22 construction of large water users in those townships
23 and adjacent townships sharing the same aquifer that
24 have been identified with PFAS?

25 Would the loss of these dozen or so

1 townships through the data center craze really have
2 that much of an impact on the overwhelming spread of
3 data centers across our state?

4 I have used the word potential
5 several times as no one can currently predict the
6 outcome or remediation needed for the issue of PFAS.
7 As such, should this not be the reason to stop the
8 introduction of large water users in contaminated
9 townships until a solution is reached?

10 For the past couple of years, the
11 State of Pennsylvania has been financially assisting
12 those homeowners identified with PFAS in their
13 drinking water with filtration units with the POET
14 system. The state has also been trying to identify
15 the source of remediation of a petroleum leak into
16 the Susquehanna River at Berwick. This leak had
17 been taking place for decades. For the Press
18 Enterprise, this leak poses no threat to human
19 health. Both of these initiatives are funded by the
20 same program, which is running out of funding. My
21 suggestion is that - is to take those funds going to
22 the Berwick leak and redirect them to the filtration
23 unit safeguarding the health of impacted families.
24 Thank you.

25 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, sir.

1 MR. GIBNEY: You're welcome.

2 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. I think
3 they gave me a trick microphone. There it is.

4 Next is Jason Jarinko, followed by
5 Jon Diltz. And then Laura Zeisloff will be back
6 speaking on her behalf.

7 MR. JARINKO: Okay.

8 Jason - Jason Jarinko, J-A-S-O-N
9 J-A-R-I-N-K-O.

10 Neighbors, tonight when you tuck your
11 children in, please look at their faces and ask
12 yourself, how much more are we willing to risk for
13 their future? We already have a PFAS plume
14 spreading through our groundwater right here in
15 North Centre Township. These forever chemicals will
16 never break down. They build up in our bodies every
17 year.

18 The major 2025 USC study found that
19 communities with PFAS in drinking water faced up to
20 33 percent higher rates of certain cancers linked to
21 over 6,800 extra cancer cases across the country
22 every single year.

23 For decades, our residents,
24 specifically kids, have been drinking this water and
25 bathing in it during critical formative years.

1 Every glass, every shower was adding to their risk
2 of endocrine, digestive, oral cavity, pharynx, skin,
3 and respiratory systems. This link underscores the
4 urgent need for more comprehensive research. Thank
5 you, Geisinger, for coming tonight.

6 We are approaching the two year
7 anniversary of investigation of water and soil
8 samples. The source has been attributed to the
9 legal spreading of sludge permitted by the
10 government in the '80s and '90s. The purpose of
11 this speech is not to point the fingers at the DEP
12 and the government, but to outstretch my hand and
13 ask for your help. Please help us place a pause on
14 future construction and building of massive data
15 centers.

16 I am also sending a strong request to
17 our elected officials, starting with our township
18 supervisors and representative - Representatives
19 Culver and Leadbeter. Please listen to our concerns
20 and place a pause in future construction and
21 building massive data centers. The administrative
22 law of the United States should protect the science
23 of what I'm about to address, but cannot because of
24 our Governor's fast track and permit system aimed at
25 data center support. The fast track system is

1 circumventing the process of balanced government.

2 Let's focus on water. This data -
3 this data center that we don't have an application
4 for yet could pull hundreds of thousands to millions
5 of gallons of water per day from our aquifer, enough
6 to match the daily use of an entire town of
7 approximately 15,500 homes. To put this in
8 perspective, that's the size of Wilkes-Barre. One
9 customer equaling 15,000 homes.

10 The massive pumping doesn't just
11 threaten to run our wells dry or stress our aquifer.
12 It can shift groundwater flows and drag this toxic
13 PFAS plume toward even more families' wells. It
14 also harms our streams of wildlife by pulling water
15 out of the natural system that fish, birds, and
16 other animals depend on.

17 After the closed loop uses the water
18 and experiences evaporation, will this create a more
19 concentrated dose of PFSA effluents? How can the
20 DEP monitor movement of the aquifer and PFAS
21 contaminants? How can the DEP monitor aquifer
22 withdrawal of water? How can the DEP monitor the
23 effluents from data centers in this PFAS
24 contaminated community?

25 Just as an example, Wildcat Ridge in

1 Archibald and Project Hazelnut plan to use 2.8 to
2 3.3 million gallons of water per day respectively
3 during summer heat events. A home of four uses
4 approximately 150 to 200 gallons of water per day.
5 I know that because I track my water on a daily
6 basis.

7 The data center uses - the data
8 center usage equates to approximately 38.3 years of
9 water for that household at that 200 gallons of
10 usage. I'm sorry, 38 gallons. Yeah. The data
11 center usage equates to 38.3 years of water for that
12 household at 200 gallons per day, and over 51 years
13 if they use 150 gallons per day.

14 HEARING OFFICER: Sir, you're -
15 you're at time. If you could just wrap up, please.

16 MR. JARINKO: Okay.

17 So I'm just asking you, please
18 intervene. Please help us before it's too late.

19 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Next is
20 Jon Diltz, followed by Laura Zeisloft and then Tim
21 Jasinski.

22 MR. DILTZ: Name is Jon Diltz, J-O-N
23 D-I-L-T-Z.

24 There are approximately 802 housing
25 units in North Centre Township, Columbia County,

1 Pennsylvania. Based on the recent census report
2 data, the records - from the records I can obtain
3 indicate that fewer than 200 dwelling wells have
4 been tested, but I saw on the slide it was 149 North
5 Centre Township tested for PFAS under the DEP.
6 That's less than 20 percent testing. I know there
7 are other people that have tested their wells on
8 their own, but haven't given the data to the DEP for
9 whatever reason.

10 But given this, does the DEP think
11 they have an accurate picture of the North Centre
12 Township PFAS plume, including the length, breadth,
13 and depth? And also the path or paths of the plume?
14 If yes, how accurate is that in terms of percentage?
15 Are we 20 percent? Are we 80 percent? If no, is it
16 reasonable to assume that any major construction
17 projects could affect the dimensions and/or paths of
18 the plume from rerouting of surface water and runoff
19 during the construction? Also, is it reasonable to
20 assume that with an industrial usage building, such
21 as a data center that could be extracting hundreds
22 of thousands of gallons of well water a day and
23 returning it to the water table, could it cause
24 unforeseen plume migration where it had not been
25 before?

1 If that's true, would it not be
2 reasonable to place a temporary moratorium on any
3 data center or any other major industry that would
4 be using large sums of well water to meet the state
5 demands of their final product?

6 I heard a couple weeks ago a rumor
7 that the DEP was unaware of the possibility of a
8 data center being proposed in North Centre Township.
9 If that is true, I hope I have given the DEP food
10 for thought before the magnitude of the North Centre
11 Township PFAS inadvertently becomes exponentially
12 worse. Especially we do not have the full and
13 accurate picture.

14 Given the provision proposed plans of
15 3,000 to 4,000 data centers having streamlined
16 construction schedules across the country, this may
17 also be true of PFAS hotspots across the country.
18 Thank you.

19 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, sir.
20 Next is Laura Zeisloft. And again, she'll be
21 followed by Timothy Jasinski and Michael Maiuro.
22 And I apologize for any mispronunciations. I'll do
23 my best.

24 MS. ZEISLOFT: Laura Zeisloft, North
25 Centre Township. Laura, L-A-U-R-A. Zeisloft,

1 Z-E-I-S-L-O-F-T. Speaking on behalf of myself.

2 So I'm here tonight in support of our
3 family, our township, and our neighbors seeking
4 commitment from the DEP until we resolve this issue
5 fully. I'm asking for your help to protect our
6 community. Looking to understand a plan of full
7 communication regarding PFAS and this issue. Some
8 are still unaware that water can be contaminated. I
9 want to ensure that testing is available for
10 everyone. If my neighbors hadn't told me and I
11 hadn't sought out this information on my own, I
12 wouldn't know to be here tonight. And that scares
13 me that there are people that are still uninformed
14 that they can have contaminated wells.

15 I understand that township elected
16 officials are maybe not involved in each of the
17 communities impacted. One of my questions for the
18 DEP is, can you help us to understand what your
19 preference is and your expectations regarding
20 support and participation from townships and their
21 leading supervisors who were elected?

22 I officially want to go on the record
23 tonight asking DEP to stay close to our residents.
24 As you heard some of my neighbors in North Centre
25 Township discuss the fact that a data center overlay

1 is being placed and going to be significantly large
2 and impact areas where there is PFAS contamination.
3 I ask for your support and your commitment to
4 staying along this journey with us to ensure that
5 you help us face what's uncharted territory, and
6 honestly, unknown risks that we are facing when
7 there's already existing environmental concerns in
8 our community. Thank you for hearing me out.

9 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Next is
10 Timothy Jasinski followed by Michael Maiuro and
11 Doyle Shadle.

12 MR. JASINSKI: Timothy,
13 T-I-M-O-T-H-Y. Jasinski, J-A-S-I-N-S-K-I.

14 I want to thank you for having this
15 forum. It's very important to a lot of people in
16 this township.

17 We have two family farms in North
18 Centre Township. We were affected directly in the
19 '80s and '90s. We were approached by the
20 municipality of Bloomsburg and by the pet food
21 industry. Pet food industry wanted to directly
22 inject it into the ground, and we allowed them to do
23 that for two years. We had major concerns at that
24 time when we stopped it. We never went with the
25 municipality of Bloomsburg, but we farmed directly

1 next to Donnie Edwards's farm.

2 We've seen the direct impact of skin
3 lesions, irritations, drinking water on multiple
4 family members and neighbors, mainly along Route 93.
5 I was directly affected. Cousins were directly
6 affected. We made a lot of mistakes back in the
7 '80s and '90s. We were unsure of it.

8 I don't want to repeat that again.
9 Drinking and water quality is quite important
10 quality to our livelihood, and I don't want to
11 repeat the mistakes that we made in the '80s and
12 '90s. And like I said, I've directly seen it. I've
13 seen the piles of sludge on Donnie Edwards's farm
14 because we farmed right next to him. It was covered
15 with plastic, and I picked the plastic up and looked
16 underneath it. There was particulates and
17 undisclosed material in there that you wouldn't want
18 to see and spread on your farm. And it was done on
19 a daily basis.

20 The days that they were spreading it,
21 it irritated your eyes and your throat if you were
22 outside. It was mistakes made, continuously. Thank
23 goodness they got a handle on it in the mid '90s and
24 late '90s and stopped it. But now we're paying for
25 it now, 30 and 40 years later.

1 So I have two farms that are affected
2 and multiple neighbors that are affected. I hope it
3 doesn't continue with the data center when what they
4 do with their water quality and how much water
5 they're going to mitigate from the ground and expose
6 us all to what next? Thank you.

7 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Next is
8 Michael Maiuro, followed by Doyle Shadle and Gregory
9 Reichart.

10 MR. MAIURO: Michael Maiuro, M-I-C-H-
11 A-E-L M-A-I-U-R-O.

12 I don't have a speech tonight, but I
13 would like to thank you for having the open house.
14 We do appreciate it. And although we don't have as
15 many residents as I would like to see, we will be
16 spreading the messages that were provided here
17 today. So I just have a couple of questions I'd
18 like to get documented on the record.

19 What are the risks of disturbing
20 these locations with confirmed contaminated soil
21 samples by industrial scale construction to the
22 groundwater plume and surface water runoff? And
23 under the Hazardous Site Cleanup Act, what's DEP's
24 role in preventing further ground and surface water
25 contamination at these sources if construction is to

1 be permitted by the Township on land with an active
2 HSCA? Thanks.

3 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Next is
4 Doyle Shadle.

5 MR. SHADLE: Doyle Shadle, D-O-Y-L-E
6 S-H-A-D-L-E. I live in 448 Highway Church Road.

7 We have - our water was tested as
8 being the worst. It come in, the samples come in
9 really high. We went with the - we were hesitant to
10 be getting - go for the filtration system, but we
11 decided to go with one. Now our filtration system,
12 I don't know how everybody else's is, but our
13 filtration system is totally different than
14 everybody else's. We have five pieces down in our
15 basement compared to everybody else that I know of,
16 that I talked to.

17 Now when it comes to the cost of
18 this, when we have to do the maintenance and stuff
19 onto it when it's done, it's going to be a lot more
20 than probably other people's. Just recently, I took
21 in - when I, we did our filter, we put an inline
22 filter ahead. I took the filter out. This is after
23 five months. Now these filters are supposed to last
24 up to six months, or eight months, and this is what
25 it looks like. I had scaling and stuff on top.

1 And the thing I get worried about,
2 and I understand. They're - they're talking about
3 doing these data centers in. If I had a list right
4 - right now and people that have contaminated water
5 near them where they're going to do this data
6 center, this could be even worse. And this is
7 costly. I mean, it's. You can get three of these
8 filters for 60 some bucks, but still that's a cost
9 besides what you're paying more to get this fixed.

10 We should never have had this in the
11 beginning. I've lived there for 40 years, and on
12 Highway Church Road. And we were, had a meeting
13 about this back in - in the day. And we were
14 assured oh, this will be fine, this will be fine.
15 Nobody ever did any kind of testing done back in
16 there. I understand, but this - this stuff is
17 really crucial. I got a daughter that's got cancer.
18 Now I don't know if this is caused from it, but I -
19 I really think that DEP ought to take a better look
20 at this because as far as I'm concerned, this is -
21 this is really crucial. It should have never
22 happened, so. Thank you.

23 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, sir.
24 The last registered speaker is Gregory Reichart.

25 MR. REICHART: Gregory Reichart, R-E-

1 I-C-H-A-R-T.

2 Questions more for technical DEP
3 regarding the Safe Drinking Water Act. The, you
4 know, public drinking water systems were required to
5 sample for PFAS for the regulation over a four
6 quarter period and average the results. And that
7 was the number that determined whether they had to
8 do treatment or other actions and things like that.
9 But I'm not aware of any situations where residents
10 are being offered multiple samples. As we know,
11 it's a very low detection limit that we're looking
12 at, you know, parts per trillion. Very easy to get
13 false positives, false negatives, everything else.

14 And if we're relying on one sample
15 point, one sample data point for determining whether
16 that home is impacted or not impacted, I don't know
17 why we're not following the same standards that
18 public water systems have to of getting four
19 quarters of data and averaging it so we can rule out
20 maybe some possible, you know, possible false
21 positives, false negatives. I think it'll protect
22 the residents better, you know. Just because they
23 have one clean sample doesn't mean that their well
24 is actually clean. There should be multiple sets of
25 data to come to that conclusion. Thank you.

1 HEARING OFFICER: So thank you for
2 everyone who chose to come today to listen and who
3 came to speak. That was our last registered
4 speaker. And I will just check one more time. Is
5 there anyone else before we close out? Okay.

6 So this will conclude tonight's
7 public hearing. As a reminder, written comments
8 will be accepted through July 10th. And if you wish
9 to submit written comments or questions, please take
10 a handout. That handout also has information on how
11 to find the webpage that contains this information.
12 And we update it about every once - once every month
13 or so. And I believe Cheryl will probably put the
14 slideshow from tonight up on the webpage as well.
15 Okay.

16 And a few of us will still be here if
17 you have any questions afterwards, but we will be
18 starting to pack up. So DEP, I guess for the
19 webpage. No, actually, I guess that was it. So
20 thank you for being here for your comments. We
21 appreciate your interest in this matter. Have a
22 safe trip home, and good evening.

23 * * * * *

24 HEARING CONCLUDED AT 7:25 P.M.

25 * * * * *

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings, a hearing held before Hearing Officer Lehman was reported by me on 5/11/26 and that I, Dominick Bartholomew, read this transcript and that I attest that this transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceeding.

Dated the 1st day of June, 2026.

Dominick Bartholomew

Dominick Bartholomew,

Court Reporter