3800-FM-BCW0271g Rev. 11/2022 Chapter 102 Inspection Report pennsylvania DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION BUREAU OF CLEAN WATER

Save as PDF

Clear Report

CHAPTER 102 INSPECTION REPORT

PA Department of Environmental Protection

| Permit No.: | PAD510225 | |
|-------------|-----------|--|
| | | |

Report No.: 07 **GENERAL INFORMATION**

| Project/Site Name: | Alliance 51st Street, Philadelphia | Permit Issuance Date: | 9/5/2023 | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| Site Address: | 1656 S. 51 st St. | Permit Expiration Date: | 9/4/2028 | | | | |
| Site City, State, ZIP: | Philadelphia, PA 19143 | Permit Type: | NPDES IP | | | | |
| RP/Permittee Name: | Eric Carlson | Site Municipality(ies): | Philadelphia | | | | |
| RP/Permittee Address: | 40 Morris Ave., Suite 230 | Site County(ies): | Philadelphia | | | | |
| RP/Permittee City, State, 2 | ZIP: Bryn Mawr, PA 19010 | Earth Disturbance: | 11.54 | acres | | | |
| RP/Permittee Email: | ecarlson@alliancehp.com | Site Latitude: | 39.935278 | | | | |
| Surface Water(s): | Schuylkill River | Site Longitude: - | 75.209236 | | | | |
| Special Protection? | ☐ Yes ⊠ No | Complaint Inspection? | ⊠ Yes [| ☐ No | | | |
| Construction Stage: | Inactive | Activity: | Construction | <u>></u> 1 ac. | | | |
| Operator Name | Operator Company | Operator E | mail | Approved | | | |
| n/a | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | INSPECTION INFORM | IATION | | | | | |
| Inspection Date: | 9/17/24 | Inspection Time: | 11:25 | АМ 🗌 РМ | | | |
| Lead Inspector Name: | Robert Page | Inspector Email: | ropage@pa.go | V | | | |
| Inspector Title: | spector Title: Env. Grp. Mgr. Inspe | | 484.250.5104 | | | | |
| Other Inspector(s): | Chance Feighner | Weather: | Overcast, 75°F | = | | | |
| Was a representative of the project on-site during the inspection? | | | | | | | |
| Representative Name: | n/a | Email: n/a | | | | | |
| Representative Company: | n/a | Phone: n/a | | | | | |
| Type of Inspection: | Initial 🛛 Follow-up (Previous Re | eport #06) | Photographs | attached | | | |
| Brief description of the site | and summary of observations: | | | | | | |
| Robert Page and Chance Feighner (both DEP) conducted a Chapter 102 follow-up inspection of this industrial redevelopment site (reference previous Chapter 102 inspections 7/18, 7/29, 8/8, 8/14, 8/20, and 9/4/2024). | | | | | | | |
| Upon arrival, we observed that Shearon Environmental Design, Inc. was on-site, conducting mulching activity on the most upslope sections of the site. No other contractors or permittee representatives were present; we did not enter the site and took all pictures and observations from 51st Street and the Bartram's Garden trail. | | | | | | | |
| We noted that, that as previously agreed and documented would be permissible, filter socks around horizontal inlets were removed. Other filter socks at the site were observed to be in overall good condition. | | | | | | | |
| Downslope areas remained mulched. The parts of the large fill dirt stockpile that I could see from either 51st St. or Bartram's Garden trail maintained mulching and matting. We observed traces of germination on the stockpile. | | | | | | | |
| (continued on Page 4) | | | | | | | |

3800-FM-BCW0271g Rev. 11/2022 Chapter 102 Inspection Report

| INSPECTION FINDINGS | | | | | | |
|---------------------|-------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | \boxtimes | No violations observed at this time. | | | | |
| a. | | Failure to implement and/or maintain E&S BMPs for earth disturbance (§§ 102.4(b)(1), 102.22(a)(1)). | | | | |
| b. | | Failure to develop and/or implement a written E&S Plan (§ 102.4(b)(2)). | | | | |
| C. | | Failure to have a person trained and experienced in E&S control methods develop an E&S Plan (§ 102.4(b)(3)). | | | | |
| d. | | Failure to have the E&S Plan and/or inspection/monitoring reports on-site and available for review (§ 102.4(b)(8)). | | | | |
| e. | | Failure of permittee to obtain all necessary approvals/permits from DEP/CCD prior to commencing earth disturbance (§ 102.4(d)). | | | | |
| f. | | Failure to hold a pre-construction meeting and/or invite DEP/CCD staff and/or provide at least 7 days' notice (§ 102.5(e)). | | | | |
| g. | | Failure of an operator to submit a co-permittee acknowledgement form (§ 102.5(h)). | | | | |
| h. | | Failure to prepare and/or implement and/or provide upon request a PPC Plan when required (§ 102.5(I)). | | | | |
| i. | | Failure to temporarily stabilize areas where there will be a cessation of earth disturbance activities for at least 4 days (§ 102.22(b)). | | | | |
| j. | | Failure to complete or accurately complete visual site inspections as required by the permit (CSL § 402(b)). | | | | |
| k. | | Failure to implement PCSM BMPs as specified in the approved PCSM Plan (§ 102.8(a)). | | | | |
| I. | | Failure to operate and maintain PCSM BMPs as specified in an approved PCSM Plan or deed (§ 102.8(a)). | | | | |
| m. | | Failure to have the PCSM Plan, inspection reports, and/or monitoring records available for review (§ 102.8(j)). | | | | |
| n. | | Failure to have a licensed professional or a designee present on-site during critical stages of PCSM BMPs (§ 102.8(k)). | | | | |
| 0. | | Failure to record an instrument for PCSM BMPs (§ 102.8(m)(2)). | | | | |
| p. | | Failure to meet riparian forest buffer criteria (§ 102.14(b)). | | | | |
| q. | | Failure to permanently stabilize a project site or any phase or stage thereof (§ 102.22(a)). | | | | |
| r. | | Failure to remove temporary E&S BMPs once permanent stabilization has been established (§ 102.22(a)(1)). | | | | |
| S. | | Failure to obtain NPDES permit prior to commencing earth disturbance activity with at least one acre of disturbance (§ 102.5(a)). | | | | |
| t. | | Failure to obtain E&S permit prior to commencing earth disturbance activity with at least 25 acres of disturbance for timber harvesting and road maintenance (§ 102.5(b)). | | | | |
| u. | | Failure to obtain E&S permit prior to commencing earth disturbance activity with at least 5 acres of disturbance for oil and gas activities (§ 102.5(c)). | | | | |
| ٧. | | Failure of activity not requiring a permit to comply with Chapter 102 requirements (§ 102.5(k)). | | | | |
| W. | | Failure to follow the approved construction sequence in an E&S or PCSM Plan (CSL § 402(b)). | | | | |
| Χ. | | Failure to submit a Notice of Termination (NOT) (§ 102.7(a)). | | | | |
| y. | | Unauthorized discharge of polluting substances to waters of the Commonwealth resulting in pollution (CSL § 401). | | | | |

Permit No.: PAD510225
Report No.: 07

3800-FM-BCW0271g Rev. 11/2022 Permit No.: PAD510225 **Chapter 102 Inspection Report** Report No.: 07 Failure to comply with the terms and conditions of a permit or order (CSL § 402(b)). Z. Failure to remove building materials and/or wastes from the site for recycling or disposal in accordance aa. with DEP regulations as required by the permit (CSL § 402(b)). Failure to comply with DEP regulations or the Clean Streams Law (CSL § 611). bb. Failure to take necessary measures to prevent pollutants from reaching waters of the Commonwealth (§ CC. 91.34(a)). Failure to notify DEP of new or expanded earth disturbance not identified in an NPDES permit application dd. (§ 92a.24(b)). Failure to notify and/or obtain authorization from DEP/CCD for changes to NPDES permitted activities (§ ee. 92a.41(a)(12)). ff. Other: During the inspection violations of Chapter 105 were observed and are identified in a separate Chapter 105 inspection report. E&S BMPs were evaluated and appear to be functioning as designed. П PCSM BMPs were evaluated and appear to be functioning as designed. Form 3800-FM-BCW0531a was used to document the PCSM BMP evaluation. There is a need for modifications to the E&S Plan, PCSM Plan, or permit coverage. Describe: **COMPLIANCE ASSISTANCE RECOMMENDATIONS** In accordance with DEP's Erosion and Sediment Pollution Control Program Manual, Technical Guidance No. 363-2134-008 (March 2012), Page 65, inspect filter socks weekly and after each runoff event. In addition, DEP requests that damaged socks be repaired according to manufacturer's specifications or replaced within 24 hours of inspection. It is recommended to discuss any ongoing Bartram's Garden trail scraping activities with DEP's Environmental Cleanup and Brownfields (ECB) Program.

| Chapter 102 Inspection Report | | Report No.: PAD510225 |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | AL COMMENTS | |
| | AL COMMENTS | |
| (continued from Page 1) | | |
| We noted an increase in the amount of sediment on the I from the site as documented in previous reports. DEP's September 4 th . Since only 0.1 inches of rain has fallen ir of a significant storm event since the last inspection, the part, from the addition of new stone adjacent to the area inspection report. No violations noted during this inspecti | previous Chapter 102 inspection of Philadelphia County since Septer increased sediment may possibly be of previous discharge. See photo | of this site took place on the mber 1 st , indicating the lack the resultant, in total or in |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | ND SIGNATURES | |
| This report is official notification that a representative of the inspection of your earth disturbance activity to determine com Streams Law. This representative may be an employee of a Coper is authorized to investigate complaints, inspect earth disposerved by DEP/CCD have been noted in this report and constaw. Failure to take corrective actions to resolve the violatic assessed by DEP as as specified at Section 602 of the Clean Stay in civil penalties, up to \$10,000 in summary criminal penaltion. This report does not constitute an Order or appealable imply immunity from legal action for any violation noted herein. | npliance with 25 Pa. Code Chapter 10 punty Conservation District (CCD), which isturbance activities and conduct compititute unlawful conduct as defined in Secons may result in administrative, civil Streams Law. The Clean Streams Law alties, and up to \$25,000 in misdemeet action of DEP. Nothing contained her | 02 and the Pennsylvania Clean ch by delegation agreement with appliance actions. Any violations ection 611 of the Clean Streams and/or criminal penalties being a provides for up to \$10,000 per anor criminal penalties for each arein shall be deemed to grant or |
| The Project Site Representative's signature acknowledges that the report with the inspector. The signature does not necessinspector are based on visual site observations and do not con- | sarily mean the signee agrees with th | ne report. All comments by the |
| ☐ Violations are documented in this report and this rep | oort serves as a Notice of Violation | (NOV). |
| A follow-up inspection will occur on or about: ongo | oing | |
| $\Omega + \alpha$ | 01 1 | |

Inspector Signature

9/19/24

Date

9/19/2024

Date

Site Representative Signature

cc:

Philadelphia Water Department