pennsylvania
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
BUREAU OF CLEAN WATER

Save as PDF

Clear Report

CHAPTER 102 INSPECTION REPORT

PA Department of Environmental Protection

Permit No.: PAD510225

Report No.: 06

GENERAL INFORMATION

Project/Site Name:	**Alliance 51st Street, Philadelphia**	Permit Issuance Date:	9/5/2023
Site Address:	**1656 S. 51st St.**	Permit Expiration Date:	9/4/2028
Site City, State, ZIP:	**Philadelphia, PA 19143**	Permit Type:	NPDES IP
RP/Permittee Name:	**Eric Carlson**	Site Municipality(ies):	Philadelphia
RP/Permittee Address:	40 Morris Ave., Suite 230	Site County(ies):	Philadelphia
RP/Permittee City, State, ZIP:	Bryn Mawr, PA 19010	Earth Disturbance:	11.54 acres
RP/Permittee Email:	ecarlson@alliancehp.com	Site Latitude:	39.935278
Surface Water(s):	Schuylkill River	Site Longitude:	- 75.209236
Special Protection?	☐ Yes ☒ No	Complaint Inspection?	☒ Yes ☐ No
Construction Stage:	Bulk earthwork	Activity:	Construction ≥ 1 ac.

Operator Name	Operator Company	Operator Email	Approved
			☐
			☐
			☐

INSPECTION INFORMATION

Inspection Date:	9/4/24	Inspection Time:	11:05 ☒ AM ☐ PM
Lead Inspector Name:	Robert Page	Inspector Email:	ropage@pa.gov
Inspector Title:	Env. Grp. Mgr.	Inspector Phone:	484.250.5104
Other Inspector(s):	n/a	Weather:	74 deg., sunny

Was a representative of the project on-site during the inspection? ☐ Yes ☒ No n/a

Representative Name:	n/a	Email:	**n/a**
Representative Company:	**n/a**	Phone:	**n/a**

Type of Inspection: ☐ Initial ☒ Follow-up (Previous Report #05) ☒ Photographs attached

Brief description of the site and summary of observations:

Robert Page (DEP) conducted a Chapter 102 follow-up inspection of this industrial redevelopment site (reference previous Chapter 102 inspections 7/18, 7/29, 8/8, and 8/14, and 8/20/2024). No one was present at the site during this inspection and the site was fenced and locked; I did not enter the site and took all pictures and observations from 51st Street and the Bartram's Gardens trail.
I noted improvement in the overall condition of the filtersock since the last inspection on 8/20/2024. I observed filtersock mostly bisecting the site's upslope and downslope sections as photographed; mulching maintained in downslope sections of the site; and the large fill dirt stockpile to remain temporarily stabilized. Storm sewer inlet protections were intact. I observed the previous sediment staining on Bartram's Garden trail. No violations noted during this inspection.

INSPECTION FINDINGS		
	☒	No violations observed at this time.
a.	☐	Failure to implement and/or maintain E&S BMPs for earth disturbance (§§ 102.4(b)(1), 102.22(a)(1)).
b.	☐	Failure to develop and/or implement a written E&S Plan (§ 102.4(b)(2)).
c.	☐	Failure to have a person trained and experienced in E&S control methods develop an E&S Plan (§ 102.4(b)(3)).
d.	☐	Failure to have the E&S Plan and/or inspection/monitoring reports on-site and available for review (§ 102.4(b)(8)).
e.	☐	Failure of permittee to obtain all necessary approvals/permits from DEP/CCD prior to commencing earth disturbance (§ 102.4(d)).
f.	☐	Failure to hold a pre-construction meeting and/or invite DEP/CCD staff and/or provide at least 7 days' notice (§ 102.5(e)).
g.	☐	Failure of an operator to submit a co-permittee acknowledgement form (§ 102.5(h)).
h.	☐	Failure to prepare and/or implement and/or provide upon request a PPC Plan when required (§ 102.5(l)).
i.	☐	Failure to temporarily stabilize areas where there will be a cessation of earth disturbance activities for at least 4 days (§ 102.22(b)).
j.	☐	Failure to complete or accurately complete visual site inspections as required by the permit (CSL § 402(b)).
k.	☐	Failure to implement PCSM BMPs as specified in the approved PCSM Plan (§ 102.8(a)).
l.	☐	Failure to operate and maintain PCSM BMPs as specified in an approved PCSM Plan or deed (§ 102.8(a)).
m.	☐	Failure to have the PCSM Plan, inspection reports, and/or monitoring records available for review (§ 102.8(j)).
n.	☐	Failure to have a licensed professional or a designee present on-site during critical stages of PCSM BMPs (§ 102.8(k)).
o.	☐	Failure to record an instrument for PCSM BMPs (§ 102.8(m)(2)).
p.	☐	Failure to meet riparian forest buffer criteria (§ 102.14(b)).
q.	☐	Failure to permanently stabilize a project site or any phase or stage thereof (§ 102.22(a)).
r.	☐	Failure to remove temporary E&S BMPs once permanent stabilization has been established (§ 102.22(a)(1)).
s.	☐	Failure to obtain NPDES permit prior to commencing earth disturbance activity with at least one acre of disturbance (§ 102.5(a)).
t.	☐	Failure to obtain E&S permit prior to commencing earth disturbance activity with at least 25 acres of disturbance for timber harvesting and road maintenance (§ 102.5(b)).
u.	☐	Failure to obtain E&S permit prior to commencing earth disturbance activity with at least 5 acres of disturbance for oil and gas activities (§ 102.5(c)).
v.	☐	Failure of activity not requiring a permit to comply with Chapter 102 requirements (§ 102.5(k)).
w.	☐	Failure to follow the approved construction sequence in an E&S or PCSM Plan (CSL § 402(b)).
x.	☐	Failure to submit a Notice of Termination (NOT) (§ 102.7(a)).
y.	☐	Unauthorized discharge of polluting substances to waters of the Commonwealth resulting in pollution (CSL § 401).

z.	☐	Failure to comply with the terms and conditions of a permit or order (CSL § 402(b)).
aa.	☐	Failure to remove building materials and/or wastes from the site for recycling or disposal in accordance with DEP regulations as required by the permit (CSL § 402(b)).
bb.	☐	Failure to comply with DEP regulations or the Clean Streams Law (CSL § 611).
cc.	☐	Failure to take necessary measures to prevent pollutants from reaching waters of the Commonwealth (§ 91.34(a)).
dd.	☐	Failure to notify DEP of new or expanded earth disturbance not identified in an NPDES permit application (§ 92a.24(b)).
ee.	☐	Failure to notify and/or obtain authorization from DEP/CCD for changes to NPDES permitted activities (§ 92a.41(a)(12)).
ff.	☐	Other:
	☐	During the inspection violations of Chapter 105 were observed and are identified in a separate Chapter 105 inspection report.
	☐	E&S BMPs were evaluated and appear to be functioning as designed.
	☐	PCSM BMPs were evaluated and appear to be functioning as designed. ☐ Form 3800-FM-BCW0531a was used to document the PCSM BMP evaluation.
	☐	There is a need for modifications to the E&S Plan, PCSM Plan, or permit coverage. Describe:

COMPLIANCE ASSISTANCE RECOMMENDATIONS

The following is recommended to maintain site's Chapter 102 compliance:

In accordance with DEP's Erosion and Sediment Pollution Control Program Manual, Technical Guidance No. 363-2134-008 (March 2012), Page 65, inspect filter socks weekly and after each runoff event. In addition, DEP requests any damaged socks be repaired according to manufacturer's specifications or replaced within 24 hours of inspection.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

n/a

NOTICE AND SIGNATURES

This report is official notification that a representative of the Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) has conducted an inspection of your earth disturbance activity to determine compliance with 25 Pa. Code Chapter 102 and the Pennsylvania Clean Streams Law. This representative may be an employee of a County Conservation District (CCD), which by delegation agreement with DEP is authorized to investigate complaints, inspect earth disturbance activities and conduct compliance actions. Any violations observed by DEP/CCD have been noted in this report and constitute unlawful conduct as defined in Section 611 of the Clean Streams Law. Failure to take corrective actions to resolve the violations may result in administrative, civil and/or criminal penalties being assessed by DEP as as specified at Section 602 of the Clean Streams Law. The Clean Streams Law provides for up to $10,000 per day in civil penalties, up to $10,000 in summary criminal penalties, and up to $25,000 in misdemeanor criminal penalties for each violation. This report does not constitute an Order or appealable action of DEP. Nothing contained herein shall be deemed to grant or imply immunity from legal action for any violation noted herein. For further information or assistance contact the DEP/CCD inspector.

The Project Site Representative's signature acknowledges that they have read the report and were given an opportunity to discuss the report with the inspector. The signature does not necessarily mean the signee agrees with the report. All comments by the inspector are based on visual site observations and do not constitute professional practice under applicable law.

☐ Violations are documented in this report and this report serves as a Notice of Violation (NOV).

☐ A follow-up inspection will occur on or about: _____

Site Representative Signature	Date	Inspector Signature	Date
	9/5/2024		9/5/24

cc: **Philadelphia Water Department**