3800-FM-BCW0271g Rev. 11/2022 Chapter 102 Inspection Report pennsylvania DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION BUREAU OF CLEAN WATER

Save as PDF

Clear Report

CHAPTER 102 INSPECTION REPORT

PA Department of Environmental Protection

| Permit No.: | PAD510225 | |
|-------------|-----------|--|
| | | |

PAD510225

Report No.: 03

| GENERAL INFORMATION | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| Project/Site Name: | Alliance 51st Street, Philadelphia | Permit Issuance Date: | 9/5/2023 | | | | |
| Site Address: | 1656 S. 51 st St. | Permit Expiration Date: | | | | | |
| Site City, State, ZIP: | Philadelphia, PA 19143 | Permit Type: | NPDES IP | | | | |
| RP/Permittee Name: | Eric Carlson | Site Municipality(ies): | Philadelphia | | | | |
| RP/Permittee Address: | 40 Morris Ave., Suite 230 | Site County(ies): | Philadelphia | | | | |
| RP/Permittee City, State, 2 | ZIP: Bryn Mawr, PA 19010 | Earth Disturbance: | 11.54 | acres | | | |
| RP/Permittee Email: | ecarlson@alliancehp.com | Site Latitude: | 39.935278 | | | | |
| Surface Water(s): | Schuylkill River | Site Longitude: - | 75.209236 | | | | |
| Special Protection? | ☐ Yes ⊠ No | Complaint Inspection? | ⊠ Yes | ☐ No | | | |
| Construction Stage: | Bulk earthwork | Activity: | Construction | <u>></u> 1 ac. | | | |
| Operator Name | Operator Company | Operator E | mail | Approved | | | |
| Bruce Price | Arco Design/Build | brprice@arcoo | db.com | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | INSPECTION INFORM | IATION | | | | | |
| Inspection Date: | 8/8/2024 | Inspection Time: | 10:25 | АМ 🗌 РМ | | | |
| Lead Inspector Name: | Linda Mackey | Inspector Email: | Imackey@pa.g | gov | | | |
| Inspector Title: | Compliance Specialist | Inspector Phone: | 484.250.5148 | | | | |
| Other Inspector(s): | Robert Page, Env. Grp. Mgr. | Weather: | Rain, 75°F | | | | |
| Was a representative of the project on-site during the inspection? ☐ Yes ☒ No | | | | | | | |
| Representative Name: | n/a | Email: n/a | | | | | |
| Representative Company: | n/a | Phone: n/a | | | | | |
| Type of Inspection: | Initial 🛛 Follow-up (Previous Re | eport#) | Photographs | attached | | | |
| Brief description of the site | and summary of observations: | | | | | | |
| Robert Page and Linda Mackey (DEP) conducted a Chapter 102 follow-up inspection of this industrial redevelopment site (previous inspections 7/18/24 and 7/29/24). Upon arrival we met with Bruce Price of ARCO Design & Build, who granted us access to the site. Mr. Price did not accompany us during the inspection. Before we began our inspection, Mr. Price stated that Bao Nguyen of Philadelphia Water Dept. had been there every day since Monday 8/5/24 to inspect. We observed the previously installed compost filter sock and rock filters to be remaining in place. The compost filter sock on the southern, long side of the fill stockpile is almost full in areas, due to stockpile erosion. This side is currently contained within the rock check dams and swale on this side of the stockpile. The same fill stockpile, on the lower side and trail side, is eroding, with no stabilization, after at least 2 weeks of exposure. Rills have begun to form in the stockpile, creating increased potential for slope failure and sediment-laden run-off in heavy rain. | | | | | | | |

3800-FM-BCW0271g Rev. 11/2022 Chapter 102 Inspection Report

| INSPECTION FINDINGS | | | | | | |
|---------------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | No violations observed at this time. | | | | |
| a. | | Failure to implement and/or maintain E&S BMPs for earth disturbance (§§ 102.4(b)(1), 102.22(a)(1)). | | | | |
| b. | | Failure to develop and/or implement a written E&S Plan (§ 102.4(b)(2)). | | | | |
| C. | | Failure to have a person trained and experienced in E&S control methods develop an E&S Plan (§ 102.4(b)(3)). | | | | |
| d. | | Failure to have the E&S Plan and/or inspection/monitoring reports on-site and available for review (§ 102.4(b)(8)). | | | | |
| e. | | Failure of permittee to obtain all necessary approvals/permits from DEP/CCD prior to commencing earth disturbance (§ 102.4(d)). | | | | |
| f. | | Failure to hold a pre-construction meeting and/or invite DEP/CCD staff and/or provide at least 7 days' notice (§ 102.5(e)). | | | | |
| g. | | Failure of an operator to submit a co-permittee acknowledgement form (§ 102.5(h)). | | | | |
| h. | | Failure to prepare and/or implement and/or provide upon request a PPC Plan when required (§ 102.5(I)). | | | | |
| i. | \boxtimes | Failure to temporarily stabilize areas where there will be a cessation of earth disturbance activities for at least 4 days (§ 102.22(b)). | | | | |
| j. | | Failure to complete or accurately complete visual site inspections as required by the permit (CSL § 402(b)). | | | | |
| k. | | Failure to implement PCSM BMPs as specified in the approved PCSM Plan (§ 102.8(a)). | | | | |
| l. | | Failure to operate and maintain PCSM BMPs as specified in an approved PCSM Plan or deed (§ 102.8(a)). | | | | |
| m. | | Failure to have the PCSM Plan, inspection reports, and/or monitoring records available for review (§ 102.8(j)). | | | | |
| n. | | Failure to have a licensed professional or a designee present on-site during critical stages of PCSM BMPs (§ 102.8(k)). | | | | |
| 0. | | Failure to record an instrument for PCSM BMPs (§ 102.8(m)(2)). | | | | |
| p. | | Failure to meet riparian forest buffer criteria (§ 102.14(b)). | | | | |
| q. | | Failure to permanently stabilize a project site or any phase or stage thereof (§ 102.22(a)). | | | | |
| r. | | Failure to remove temporary E&S BMPs once permanent stabilization has been established (§ 102.22(a)(1)). | | | | |
| S. | | Failure to obtain NPDES permit prior to commencing earth disturbance activity with at least one acre of disturbance (§ 102.5(a)). | | | | |
| t. | | Failure to obtain E&S permit prior to commencing earth disturbance activity with at least 25 acres of disturbance for timber harvesting and road maintenance (§ 102.5(b)). | | | | |
| u. | | Failure to obtain E&S permit prior to commencing earth disturbance activity with at least 5 acres of disturbance for oil and gas activities (§ 102.5(c)). | | | | |
| ٧. | | Failure of activity not requiring a permit to comply with Chapter 102 requirements (§ 102.5(k)). | | | | |
| W. | | Failure to follow the approved construction sequence in an E&S or PCSM Plan (CSL § 402(b)). | | | | |
| X. | | Failure to submit a Notice of Termination (NOT) (§ 102.7(a)). | | | | |
| y. | | Unauthorized discharge of polluting substances to waters of the Commonwealth resulting in pollution (CSL § 401). | | | | |

Permit No.: PAD510225
Report No.: 03

3800-FM-BCW0271g Rev. 11/2022 Permit No.: PAD510225 **Chapter 102 Inspection Report** Report No.: 03 Failure to comply with the terms and conditions of a permit or order (CSL § 402(b)). Z. Failure to remove building materials and/or wastes from the site for recycling or disposal in accordance aa. with DEP regulations as required by the permit (CSL § 402(b)). bb. Failure to comply with DEP regulations or the Clean Streams Law (CSL § 611). Failure to take necessary measures to prevent pollutants from reaching waters of the Commonwealth (§ CC. 91.34(a)). Failure to notify DEP of new or expanded earth disturbance not identified in an NPDES permit application dd. (§ 92a.24(b)). Failure to notify and/or obtain authorization from DEP/CCD for changes to NPDES permitted activities (§ ee. 92a.41(a)(12)). ff. Other: During the inspection violations of Chapter 105 were observed and are identified in a separate Chapter 105 inspection report. E&S BMPs were evaluated and appear to be functioning as designed. П PCSM BMPs were evaluated and appear to be functioning as designed. Form 3800-FM-BCW0531a was used to document the PCSM BMP evaluation. There is a need for modifications to the E&S Plan, PCSM Plan, or permit coverage. Describe: **COMPLIANCE ASSISTANCE RECOMMENDATIONS** We noted that the large fill dirt stockpile on site remained unstabilized at the time of this inspection, with downslope filter sock being the only best management practice in place to protect the Bartram's Garden trail from sedimentation. Failure to temporarily stabilize an earth disturbance activity or any stage or phase of an activity where cessation of activities exceeds four (4) days is a violation of 25 Pa. Code, Section 102.22(b). During the 7/29/24 inspection, ARCO representatives indicated that they would begin installation of erosion control blanketing on 8/1/24 (in lieu of the ability to obtain vegetative germination due to quality of stockpile material and seasonal dry weather). Then, in an email from an ARCO representative on 8/6/24, ARCO indicated that they would begin to seed and mat the stockpile on the date of this inspection, 8/8/24. Upon our unannounced inspection today, 8/8/24, the ARCO representative, Bruce Price, stated that the erosion control blanket was not arriving to the site until next week, on 8/13/24. DEP advised that this delay would require, per the approved plans, temporary mulching to occur until the erosion control blanket could be installed. In addition, Mr. Price stated that ARCO would continue to monitor for sediment leaving the site every day. Per the site's approved NPDES Individual Permit, visual site inspection reports should be completed after every runoff event and weekly; and be made available upon request.

3800-FM-BCW0271g Rev. 11/2022 Chapter 102 Inspection Report

| ADDITIONAL COMMENTS | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------|--|--|
| n/a | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| NOTICE AND SIG | GNATURES | | | |
| This report is official notification that a representative of the Depa | | DEP) has conducted an | | |
| inspection of your earth disturbance activity to determine compliance | ce with 25 Pa. Code Chapter 102 and i | the Pennsylvania Clean | | |
| Streams Law. This representative may be an employee of a County Conservation District (CCD), which by delegation agreement with DEP is authorized to investigate complaints, inspect earth disturbance activities and conduct compliance actions. Any violations | | | | |
| observed by DEP/CCD have been noted in this report and constitute to Law. Failure to take corrective actions to resolve the violations may | | | | |
| assessed by DEP as as specified at Section 602 of the Clean Stream day in civil penalties, up to \$10,000 in summary criminal penalties, | ns Law. The Clean Streams Law provid | es for up to \$10,000 per | | |
| violation. This report does not constitute an Order or appealable actio | n of DEP. Nothing contained herein sha | II be deemed to grant or | | |
| imply immunity from legal action for any violation noted herein. For fu | | | | |
| The Project Site Representative's signature acknowledges that they the report with the inspector. The signature does not necessarily r inspector are based on visual site observations and do not constitute | mean the signee agrees with the report | t. All comments by the | | |
| ☐ Violations are documented in this report and this report se | erves as a Notice of Violation (NOV) |) <u>.</u> | | |
| ☐ A follow-up inspection will occur on or about: ongoing | , | | | |
| Ct() | Robert E Pay | | | |
| 25 a Soc 8/12/2024 | Wer Er of | 8/9/24 | | |
| Site Representative Signature Date | Inspector Signature | Date | | |
| cc: Philadelphia Water Department | | | | |
| ARCO Design & Build | | | | |

Permit No.: PAD510225
Report No.: 03