



Pennsylvania State Historic Preservation Office

PENNSYLVANIA HISTORICAL AND MUSEUM COMMISSION

9 January 2016

Michael Kenneally
Architectural Historian
AECOM
681 Andersen Drive
Suite 400
Pittsburgh PA 15220

RE: ER# 2015-1839-042-D, COE: Falcon Ethane Pipeline Project, Washington, Allegheny and Beaver Counties

Dear Mr. Kenneally,

Thank you for submitting information concerning the above referenced project. The State Historic Preservation Office reviews projects in accordance with state and federal laws. Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, and the implementing regulations (36 CFR Part 800) of the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, is the primary federal legislation. The Environmental Rights amendment, Article 1, Section 27 of the Pennsylvania Constitution, and the Pennsylvania History Code, 37 Pa. Cons. Stat. Section 500 et seq. (1988) is the primary state legislation.

Above Ground Resources

We have the following comments on the Historic Architectural Reconnaissance Report:

For the following previously identified resources that have been demolished, please provide a copy of a historic and current aerial comparison and/or current photographs that show loss of integrity:

Survey code 1, Key No. 155445
Survey code 24, Key No. 104719
Survey code 34, Key No. 100557

For table 2, please provide justifications in the NRHP Status/Recommendations column as to why a resource does not meet the registration requirements of the agricultural context (i.e., lacks a domestic outbuilding, loss of barn, etc.). It appears some of this information may be contained in the Resource Description column but should be moved to the NRHP Status/Recommendations column for ease of use. For survey codes 22, 33 and 40, there is a recommendation for further survey; please provide a justification as to why further survey is recommended (i.e. potential architectural significance). Also, for those properties recommended for further survey, the project should have the potential to affect the characteristics that would qualify the property for National Register eligibility. For example, if a property has the potential for architectural significance but the project does not have the potential to affect architectural features, then we are of the opinion that an Abbreviated Historic Resource Survey Form is adequate and no further survey is needed.

We also request that you provide a pdf of the Abbreviated Survey Forms prepared for the project as well as the GIS shape files for the resource locations and/or boundaries. This will enable us to issue Key Numbers for the previously unidentified resources.

Upon receipt of the above listed information, we will provide further comments on identification of historic properties.

If you need further information concerning this review, please contact Barbara Frederick at (717) 772-0921.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "D. McLearn", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Douglas C. McLearn, Chief
Division of Archaeology and Protection