

---

# Pennsylvania

## Pequea and Mill Creek Watershed Section 319 National Monitoring Program Project




Figure 36: Pequea and Mill Creek (Pennsylvania) Watershed Project Location




Figure 37: Water Quality Monitoring Stations for Pequea and Mill Creek (Pennsylvania) Watershed

## PROJECT OVERVIEW

---

The Big Spring Run is a spring-fed stream located in the Mill Creek Watershed of southcentral Pennsylvania (Figure 36). Its primary uses are livestock watering, aquatic life support, and fish and wildlife support. In addition, receiving streams are used for recreation and public drinking water supply. Stream uses such as recreation and drinking water supply are impaired by elevated bacteria and nutrient concentrations.

Uncontrolled access of about 200 dairy cows and heifers to each of the two watershed streams is considered to be a major source of pollutants. Pastures adjacent to streams and upgradient cropland also are thought to contribute significant amounts of nonpoint source pollutants. Therefore, proposed land treatment will focus on streambank fencing to exclude livestock from streams, except for cattle crossings, which will also be used for drinking water access for the cattle. This will allow a natural riparian buffer to become established, which will stabilize streambanks and potentially filter pollutants from pasture runoff.

Water quality monitoring is based on a paired and upstream-downstream watershed design in which the proposed nonpoint source control is to implement livestock exclusion fencing on nearly 100 percent of the stream miles in the treatment subwatershed (Figure 36). Grab samples are collected approximately every 10 days at the outlet of each paired subwatershed and at upstream sites in the treatment subwatershed from April through November. Storm event, ground water, biological, and other monitoring are being conducted to help document the effectiveness of fencing in the treatment subwatershed.

Livestock exclusion fencing was completed in the treatment watershed in July, 1997. Water quality sampling in the study area was discontinued in July 2001. The project is presently in the data analysis and report writing phase. The Final Report is scheduled to be completed by winter 2005.

## PROJECT BACKGROUND

---

### Project Area

Total area is 3.2 square miles (mi<sup>2</sup>); Control = 1.8 mi<sup>2</sup>; Treatment = 1.4 mi<sup>2</sup>

### Relevant Hydrologic, Geologic, and Meteorologic Factors

The average annual precipitation is 43 inches. The watershed geology consists of deep well-drained silt-loam soils underlain by carbonate rock. About five percent of each subwatershed is underlain by noncarbonate rock.

### Land Use

Type	Control Watershed		Treatment Watershed	
	Acres	%	Acres	%
Agricultural	922	80	762	85
Urban	150	13	116	13
Commercial	80	7	18	2
Total	1152	100	896	100

## Water Resource Type and Size

The study area encompasses about 2.8 and 2.7 miles of tributary streams in the treatment and control subwatersheds, respectively. Annual mean discharges for 1994–2000 water years were 1.69 and 2.92 cfs at the outlets of the treatment (T-1) and control (C-1) subwatersheds, respectively.

## Water Uses and Impairments

The subwatershed streams have relatively high nutrient and fecal coliform and streptococcus concentrations that contribute to use impairments of receiving waters.

## Pollutant Sources

The primary source of pollutants is believed to be pastured dairy cows and heifers with uncontrolled access to stream and streambanks, along with the application of nutrients to croplands used for silage corn and soybean production. At the beginning of the project, about 200-400 animals were pastured in each of the treatment and control watersheds. The PA Department of Environmental Protection estimated that grazing animals deposit an average of 40 pounds of nitrogen and 8 pounds of phosphorus annually per animal. Other (commercial, urban, and septic ) sources of pollutants are considered insignificant.

## Pre-Project Water Quality

Onetime baseflow grab sampling at four and seven locations in the control and treatment subwatershed are presented in tabular form:

	<b>Fecal coliform (mg/l)</b>	<b>TP (mg/l)</b>	<b>OP (mg/l)</b>	<b>NH<sub>3</sub>+Organic N (mg/l)</b>	<b>NO<sub>3</sub>+NO<sub>2</sub></b>
<b>Treatment</b>	1,100-38,000	.06-.25	.03-.15	.3-1.6	10-18
<b>Control</b>	10,000	.02-.04	.01-.03	.1-.3	4-12

## Water Quality Objectives

The overall objective is to evaluate the effect of streambank fencing of pasture land on surface- and near-stream ground-water quality within a small watershed underlain by carbonate bedrock.

## Project Time Frame

September, 1993 to June 2001 (field work); report preparation and printing complete by winter 2005.

## Modifications Since Project Started

A new residential community was developed in the treatment subwatershed directly upstream of site T-4.

# PROJECT DESIGN

---

## Nonpoint Source Control Strategy

The control strategy involves installing streambank fencing on nearly 100 percent of the pasture land adjacent to the stream draining the treatment subwatershed. All of the farmers in this watershed have agreed to install fencing. A stabilizing vegetative buffer naturally developed soon after the fencing was installed.

## Project Schedule

Surface-water site	Basin	Station type	Pre-BMP monitoring interval (MM/YY)	Date of BMP installation	Post-BMP monitoring interval
C-1	Control	Continuous	09/93 – 06/97		07/97 - 06/01
C1-2	Control	Benthic	05/96 – 05/97		09/97 – 05/01
T-1	Treatment	Continuous	09/93 – 06/97	04/97 – 06/97	07/97 - 06/01
T1-3	Treatment	Benthic	09/93 - 05/97	04/97 – 06/97	09/97 – 05/01
T-2	Treatment	Continuous	10/93 – 06/97	04/97 – 06/97	07/97 - 06/01
T2-3	Treatment	Benthic	09/93 - 05/97	04/97 – 06/97	09/97 – 05/01
T-3	Treatment	Low flow	10/93 – 06/97	04/97 – 06/97	07/97 - 06/01
T-4	Treatment	Continuous	10/93 – 06/97	04/97 – 06/97	07/97 - 06/01

### Station Type

Continuous – Low-flow and stormflow water-quality sampling, and continuous discharge.

Benthic – Only sampled for macroinvertebrates and water quality twice a year, in May and September

Low flow – Sampled on fixed, grab sample interval. No storm sampling was conducted, and no continuous recorder was present.

## Water Quality Monitoring

The water quality monitoring effort is based on paired watershed and upstream-downstream experimental designs (Figure 36).

### Parameters Measured

#### Biological

Habitat survey  
 Benthic invertebrate monitoring  
 Algal mass  
 Fecal streptococcus (FS) (only during base flow)

#### Chemical and Other

pH  
 Temperature  
 Specific Conductance  
 Dissolved Oxygen  
 Turbidity  
 Suspended sediment (SS)  
 Total and dissolved ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>) plus organic nitrogen  
 Dissolved ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>)  
 Dissolved nitrate + nitrite (NO<sub>3</sub> + NO<sub>2</sub>)  
 Dissolved nitrite (NO<sub>2</sub>)  
 Total and dissolved phosphorus (TP and DP)  
 Dissolved orthophosphate (OP)

## Covariates

Continuous streamflow  
Continuous precipitation  
Ground water level

## Sampling Scheme

Continuous Streamflow Sites (T-1, T-2, T-4, C-1):

Type: grab and storm event composite

Frequency and season: grab approximately every 10 days from April through November. Monthly grab December through March. Fifteen to 30 composite storm flow samples per year are collected at each site.

Partial Streamflow Site (T-3):

Type: grab

Frequency and season: approximately every 10 days from April through November. Monthly grab December through March.

Ground Water (8 wells):

Type: grab

Frequency and season: The six shallow wells are sampled monthly and analyzed for fecal streptococcus. On a quarterly basis, all eight wells are sampled, including two deeper wells completed in bedrock. Analysis includes dissolved NO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>3</sub> + NO<sub>2</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>, ammonia plus organic nitrogen, and phosphorus.

Habitat, benthic invertebrate, and algal mass surveys are conducted twice per year, during May and September, at the outlet of each subwatershed (T-1 and C-1), at two points upstream (T1-3 and T2-3) in the treatment subwatershed, and at one point upstream (C1-2) in the control subwatershed.

Continuous discharge is recorded at watershed outlets and two tributary sites and partial discharge at four upstream sites. Continuous precipitation amount is recorded at one site. Additionally, ground water level is continuously monitored in seven wells.

### Monitoring Scheme for the Pequea and Mill Creek Section 319 National Monitoring Program Project

Design	Sites or Activities	Primary Parameters	Covariates	Frequency of WQ Sampling	Frequency of Habitat/Biological Assessment	Duration
Paired watershed	Treatment and control watershed	Habitat and benthic invertebrate survey Algal mass SS Total organic nitrogen NH <sub>3</sub> , OP, FS NO <sub>3</sub> + NO <sub>2</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> , TP, DP, TP	Discharge Precipitation	Sampling every 10 days (Apr.-Nov.) Monthly sampling from Dec. to March	May and September of each year (at sites T-1, T1-3, T2-3, C-1, and C1-2)	4 yrs pre-BMP 4 yrs post-BMP
Upstream-Downstream	Treatment watershed		Discharge Precipitation Ground-water level and quality	Storm event samples (15-30 per year) (at sites T-1, T-2, T-4, and C-1)		

## Modifications Since Project Start

Additional biological, chemical, and continuous discharge monitoring sites were added to the treatment watershed to make an upstream-downstream design.

A new biological site was added upstream in the control subwatershed. A new continuous monitoring station and water quality site was added to the treatment subwatershed to document effects of a new residential development upstream of pasture land.

Piezometers were installed at two locations in the treatment basin (T-1 and T-2) and one location in the control basin (C-1) during 1999. They were located near and within the stream channel to determine the altitudes of hydraulic heads in the shallow ground water near the stream channel. This was used to estimate potential shallow ground-water flow directions. Nitrogen isotope and age-dating samples were collected in the piezometers, shallow ground-water wells, and stream sites in order to develop an understanding of the interaction between ground water and surface water at the sites.

## Progress To Date

Streambank fencing in pastured areas of the treatment basin was completed in July, 1997. Several stable stream crossings for cattle were also installed.

# DATA MANAGEMENT AND ANALYSIS

Data are stored and maintained locally by U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) and entered into the USGS WATSTORE database. The following data were collected during the critical season (April through November). Data for 2001 were collected from April through June (termination of data collection).

## NPSMS Data Summary

DATA TYPE: Fixed Time

STATION TYPE: CONTROL (C-1)

STUDY TYPE: Paired

### CHEMICAL PARAMETERS

Parameter Name	QUARTILE VALUES			Counts/Season	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
	-75-	-50-	-25-							
TEMPERATURE, WATER (CENTIGRADE)				Highest	5	5	7	8	2	0
				High	20	1	2	1	2	1
				Low	10	10	5	7	12	4
				Lowest	6	7	7	8	7	4
PRECIPITATION, TOTAL (INCHES PER DAY)	0.64	.31	.11	Highest	21	8	11	12	15	3
				High	15	10	12	9	16	9
				Low	15	24	16	24	28	8
				Lowest	35	40	32	12	43	4
FLOW, STREAM, INSTANTANEOUS, CFS	2.2	1.8	1.4	Highest	18	1	8	1	7	3
				High	4	3	2	1	4	3
				Low	1	3	2	6	4	2
				Lowest	0	16	10	16	8	1
TURBIDITY, HACH TURBIDIMETER	9	6.1	3.5	Highest	6	8	6	3	0	5
				High	3	6	4	2	3	3
				Low	5	5	7	3	7	1
				Lowest	9	4	5	16	11	0
SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE	700	691	682.5	Highest	5	5	0	10	15	9
				High	5	0	0	1	1	0
				Low	5	2	0	1	1	0
				Lowest	8	15	21	12	6	0
OXYGEN, DISSOLVED	10.8	10.1	9.4	Highest	7	8	8	9	10	3
				High	4	2	4	2	8	3
				Low	8	6	4	5	1	1
				Lowest	4	4	5	7	4	2
PH (STANDARD UNITS)	7.86	7.75	7.5	Highest	3	2	2	4	5	1

				High	3	4	4	3	6	1
				Low	12	8	8	9	11	4
				Lowest	5	9	7	8	1	3
NITROGEN, AMMONIA, DISSOLVED	0.05	0.04	0.02	Highest	4	5	8	6	0	0
				High	4	3	4	2	3	0
				Low	14	7	8	9	7	8
				Lowest	1	8	2	7	13	1
NITROGEN, NITRITE, DISSOLVED	0.04	0.03	0.02	Highest	8	11	5	10	5	3
				High	4	6	8	3	3	2
				Low	9	3	7	5	5	2
				Lowest	2	3	2	6	10	2
NITROGEN, AMMONIA+ORGANIC, DISSOLVED	0.30	<0.20	<0.20	Highest	4	2	6	9	3	0
				High	6	7	8	6	8	4
				Low	13	14	8	0	0	0
				Lowest	0	0	0	9	12	5
NITROGEN, AMMONIA+ORGANIC, TOTAL	0.40	0.30	<0.20	Highest	5	4	6	7	2	0
				High	1	1	4	5	5	2
				Low	7	6	9	8	11	7
				Lowest	10	12	3	4	5	0
NITROGEN, NITRITE+NITRATE, DISSOLVED	10	10	9.7	Highest	15	20	10	2	1	1
				High	3	0	0	0	0	0
				Low	2	1	3	1	1	1
				Lowest	3	2	9	21	21	7
PHOSPHORUS, TOTAL (MG/L)	0.08	0.04	0.03	Highest	4	2	8	3	0	0
				High	6	6	7	5	8	4
				Low	5	5	2	9	7	2
				Lowest	8	10	5	7	8	3

**QUARTILE VALUES**

Parameter Name	-75-	-50-	-25-		1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
PHOSPHORUS, DISSOLVED ORTHOPHOSPHATE	0.04	0.03	0.02	Highest	3	4	9	-	0	0
				High	5	2	2	-	0	0
				Low	6	3	5	-	1	1
				Lowest	9	14	5	-	0	1
PHOSPHORUS, DISSOLVED	0.03	0.03	0.02	Highest	6	5	13	7	10	2
				High	7	0	0	1	0	0
				Low	7	12	5	7	7	4
				Lowest	3	6	4	9	6	3
STREPTOCOCCI, FECAL, KF AGAR	5720	3580	2190	Highest	4	1	0	2	0	0
				High	0	1	1	1	0	0
				Low	3	2	2	1	0	0
				Lowest	1	4	5	4	8	3
SUSPENDED SEDIMENT	107	84	20	Highest	2	1	0	0	0	0
				High	0	1	0	0	0	0
				Low	8	13	14	5	4	1
				Lowest	11	8	8	19	19	8

**DATA TYPE:** Fixed Time  
**STATION TYPE:** STUDY (T-1)  
**CHEMICAL PARAMETERS**

Parameter Name	-75-	-50-	-25-		1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
TEMPERATURE, WATER (CENTIGRADE)	20.5	18.7	13	Highest	0	2	4	6	0	0
				High	4	4	3	2	2	0
				Low	12	8	7	9	13	5
				Lowest	7	9	7	7	8	4
PRECIPITATION, TOTAL (INCHES PER DAY)	0.64	.31	.11	Highest	21	8	11	12	15	3
				High	15	10	12	9	16	9
				Low	15	24	16	24	28	8
				Lowest	35	40	32	12	43	4
FLOW, STREAM, INSTANTANEOUS, CFS	1.5	.9	.6	Highest	18	1	8	1	3	1
				High	5	6	3	2	8	5
				Low	0	4	2	7	4	3
				Lowest	0	12	9	14	8	0
TURBIDITY, HACH TURBIDIMETER	7	4	3	Highest	8	6	4	1	4	1
				High	5	6	2	4	5	7
				Low	5	2	5	4	3	1
				Lowest	5	9	11	15	9	0
SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE	680	640	609	Highest	3	0	0	9	13	8
				High	10	4	2	4	7	0
				Low	5	6	4	4	0	1
				Lowest	5	12	15	7	2	0
OXYGEN, DISSOLVED	12.4	11.4	9.8	Highest	3	6	2	4	3	1



Parameter Name	75th	50th	25th	High	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
PH (STANDARD UNITS)	8	7.84	7.67	High	4	1	1	3	3	1
				Low	4	5	8	5	10	3
				Lowest	12	9	8	12	7	4
				Highest	0	2	4	4	5	0
NITROGEN, AMMONIA, DISSOLVED	0.06	0.035	0.03	High	3	2	7	1	6	1
				Low	4	6	1	5	6	0
				Lowest	16	13	8	14	6	8
				Highest	7	3	5	5	0	0
NITROGEN, NITRITE, DISSOLVED	0.07	0.06	0.05	High	9	6	4	4	5	1
				Low	1	3	3	5	0	0
				Lowest	6	11	10	10	18	8
				Highest	11	8	3	3	1	0
NITROGEN, AMMONIA+ORGANIC, DISSOLVED	0.42	0.3	0.2	High	3	3	3	3	1	0
				Low	3	2	1	2	1	2
				Lowest	6	10	15	15	20	7
				Highest	4	4	8	9	2	0
NITROGEN, AMMONIA+ORGANIC, TOTAL	0.7	0.55	0.38	High	7	2	7	7	6	3
				Low	8	14	6	8	11	6
				Lowest	4	3	1	0	4	0
				Highest	3	2	5	4	0	0
NITROGEN, NITRITE+NITRATE, DISSOLVED	12.2	11	9.4	High	1	0	4	3	0	0
				Low	7	6	4	10	7	6
				Lowest	12	15	9	7	16	3
				Highest	3	0	0	0	0	0

QUARTILE VALUES

Parameter Name	-75-	-50-	-25-		1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
PHOSPHORUS, TOTAL (MG/L)	0.1	0.06	0.04	Highest	3	2	6	13	6	0
				High	1	1	7	6	10	0
				Low	3	7	6	3	3	3
				Lowest	16	13	3	2	4	6
PHOSPHORUS, DISSOLVED ORTHOPHOSPHATE	0.06	0.025	0.02	Highest	3	3	7	-	0	0
				High	4	6	6	-	1	0
				Low	6	2	1	-	0	1
				Lowest	10	12	7	-	0	1
PHOSPHORUS, DISSOLVED	0.05	0.025	0.02	Highest	3	4	11	17	17	0
				High	4	5	4	5	2	2
				Low	7	3	1	0	1	2
				Lowest	9	11	6	1	3	5
STREPTOCOCCI, FECAL, KF AGAR	98320	10880	1710	Highest	0	0	0	0	0	0
				High	1	0	0	1	0	0
				Low	6	4	2	3	2	1
				Lowest	1	4	6	4	6	2
SUSPENDED SEDIMENT	54	26	6	Highest	2	3	1	0	0	1
				High	4	5	2	1	0	0
				Low	13	8	10	15	14	6
				Lowest	2	7	9	8	9	2

DATA TYPE: Storm

STUDY TYPE: Paired

STATION TYPE: CONTROL (C-1)

CHEMICAL PARAMETERS

QUARTILE VALUES

Parameter Name	75-	-50-	-25-		1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
FLOW, STREAM, MEAN DAILY	23.14	13.38	9.39	Highest	8	4	0	3	4	1
				High	3	2	5	5	3	0
				Low	5	3	1	5	2	1
				Lowest	0	10	4	3	3	3
NITROGEN, AMMONIA, DISSO	.355	.255	.145	Highest	0	0	3	2	4	0
				High	1	2	0	3	1	0
				Low	6	5	11	5	4	2
				Lowest	9	8	0	6	3	3
NITROGEN, NITRITE, DISSOLV	.095	.075	.055	Highest	2	2	3	2	1	2
				High	1	0	2	3	3	0
				Low	3	3	6	2	4	3
				Lowest	10	10	3	9	4	0

NITROGEN, AMMONIA+ORGANIC, DISS	1.05	1	.75	Highest	2	2	4	4	5	1
				High	1	2	0	0	1	0
				Low	5	2	6	6	3	2
				Lowest	8	9	3	6	3	2
NITROGEN, AMMONIA+ORGANIC, TOTAL	2.95	2.3	1.9	Highest	0	3	1	4	6	1
				High	0	0	4	4	2	2
				Low	4	2	2	3	1	0
				Lowest	12	10	7	4	3	2
NITROGEN, NITRITE+NITRAT	4.05	3.6	2 .65	Highest	6	2	5	0	2	1
				High	1	1	2	2	2	1
				Low	4	5	2	5	4	2
				Lowest	5	7	5	9	4	1
PHOSPHORUS, TOTAL (MG/L)	1.3	.825	.57	Highest	0	0	1	3	6	1
				High	5	4	4	4	1	0
				Low	3	0	2	2	0	0
				Lowest	8	11	7	7	5	4
PHOSPHORUS, DISSOLVED	.54	.32	.21	Highest	4	0	0	1	1	0
				High	3	1	3	2	3	1
				Low	4	2	4	4	1	0
				Lowest	5	12	7	9	7	4
SUSPENDED SEDIMENT	718	501.5	347.5	Highest	6	1	5	2	6	1
				High	2	4	2	3	1	0
				Low	1	1	1	0	0	0
				Lowest	6	9	6	11	5	4

DATA TYPE: Storm

STUDY TYPE: Paired

STATION TYPE: STUDY (T-1)

CHEMICAL PARAMETERS

Parameter Name	QUARTILE VALUES				COUNTS/SEASON					
	-75-	-50-	-25-		1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
FLOW, STREAM, MEAN DAILY	15.58	5.37	4.41	Highest	7	0	2	1	2	1
				High	6	4	7	7	3	1
				Low	3	1	1	2	3	1
				Lowest	0	9	4	4	2	2
NITROGEN, AMMONIA, DISSO	.46	.26	.13	Highest	2	1	2	1	0	0
				High	4	5	6	6	5	0
				Low	8	5	3	3	2	3
				Lowest	2	3	3	4	3	2
NITROGEN, NITRITE, DISSOLV	.17	.1	.06	Highest	0	2	0	2	2	0
				High	5	3	5	4	1	3
				Low	8	8	5	6	4	2
				Lowest	3	1	4	2	3	0
NITROGEN, AMMONIA+ORGANIC, DISS	1.6	1.2	.9	Highest	2	2	3	3	3	0
				High	3	7	4	3	2	1
				Low	8	3	6	3	3	3
				Lowest	3	2	1	5	2	1
NITROGEN, AMMONIA+ORGANIC, TOTAL	3.2	2.3	1.9	Highest	1	2	3	3	4	1
				High	2	4	3	7	3	1
				Low	2	2	1	0	0	1
				Lowest	11	6	7	3	3	2
NITROGEN, NITRITE+NITRATE	7	5.9	2.6	Highest	2	1	2	0	0	0
				High	1	3	1	3	2	1
				Low	10	5	8	9	6	4
				Lowest	3	5	3	2	2	0
PHOSPHORUS, TOTAL (MG/L)	1.5	1.1	.73	Highest	1	1	2	2	4	1
				High	0	0	1	4	1	0
				Low	5	4	4	4	1	0
				Lowest	10	9	7	4	4	4
PHOSPHORUS, DISSOLVED	.76	.59	.38	Highest	1	1	0	0	2	0
				High	2	1	3	1	1	0
				Low	4	4	2	6	0	2
				Lowest	9	8	9	6	7	3
SUSPENDED SEDIMENT	735	376	125	Highest	6	0	0	0	2	1
				High	4	0	5	2	3	0
				Low	4	5	3	8	1	2
				Lowest	1	9	6	4	4	2

DATA TYPE: Bio/Habitat

STUDY TYPE: Paired

STATION TYPE: CONTROL (C-1)

BIOLOGICAL PARAMETERS (Non-Chemical)

Parameter Name	Fully	Threatened	INDICES Partially	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
				Scores/Values					
HILSENHOFF BIOTIC INDEX	0-6.5	6.51-8.5	8.51-10	5.62	6.33	5.69	6.75	6.50	5.04
TAXA RICHNESS	20	11	10	21	21.5	24	18.5	22	24
EPT INDEX	6	4	1	2	3.5	3	1.5	3	3
PERCENT DOMINANT TAXA	20	35	50	25.9	29.8	25.0	39.4	38.0	35.0
SCRAPERS/FILTER COLLECT	.8	.4	.2	.081	.031	.098	.012	.078	.096

STATION TYPE: STUDY (T-1)

BIOLOGICAL PARAMETERS (Non-Chemical)

Parameter Name	Fully	Threatened	INDICES Partially	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
				Scores/Values					
HILSENHOFF BIOTIC INDEX	0-6.5	6.51-8.5	8.51-10	5.92	6.43	5.91	7.15	6.28	5.65
TAXA RICHNESS	20	11	10	26	26.0	30	23.5	24	29
EPT INDEX	6	4	1	3	2.5	5	2	2	1
PERCENT DOMINANT TAXA	20	35	50	25.2	35.2	22.4	32.9	20.6	31.3
SCRAPERS/FILTER COLLECT	.8	.4	.2	.072	.053	.325	.096	.211	.32

\*\*Note that for years 1996-2000, index values are average for data collected in May and September of that year. Data for year 2001 are only for May sample collection.

## INFORMATION, EDUCATION, AND PUBLICITY

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) has had an important role in the information and education (I&E) programs in the Pequea and Mill Creek watershed. NRCS provides an employee to gather nutrient management data in the watershed. The Lancaster County Conservation District and the Pennsylvania State University Cooperative Extension Service maintain active I&E programs in the area. Also, as part of the USDA-funded Pequea-Mill Creeks Hydrologic Unit Area (HUA), the landowners in the watersheds will be targeted for additional educational programs.

The study watersheds have been used for numerous field tours. Most recently (2003 and 2004), high school students from Annapolis, MD collected benthic-macroinvertebrate and water quality samples. Project personnel helped with the sampling, provided data from the fencing study for comparative purposes, and helped the students understand how their results were reflective of agricultural watersheds.

### Progress Towards Meeting Goals

The Pennsylvania State University Cooperative Extension Service has produced an educational video which includes information about the project and participating farmers.

## TOTAL PROJECT BUDGET

Project Element	Funding Required									
	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Personnel	\$91,980	\$67,656	\$90,097	\$94,207	\$98,424	\$92,472	\$86,382	\$93,614	\$75,438	\$2,348
Equipment and Supplies	\$5,600	\$5,020	\$4,000	\$4,000	\$5,000	\$4,000	\$4,000	\$3,040	\$200	\$0
			\$7,380	\$6,181	\$8,875	\$9,070	\$8,800	\$10,288	\$0	\$0
			\$30,500	\$31,057	\$27,900	\$30,240	\$23,928	\$32,375	\$0	\$0
			\$121,393	\$119,614	\$112,133	\$107,842	\$98,942	\$109,498	\$74,634	\$2,496
			\$4,000	\$10,241	\$11,920	\$13,040	\$5,158	\$2,634	\$1,260	\$2,092
			\$257,370	\$265,300	\$264,252	\$256,664	\$227,210	\$251,450	\$151,532	\$6,936

except for 2003, when only 43% of total funds were USGS match.

---

## ***IMPACT OF OTHER FEDERAL AND STATE PROGRAMS***

---

The Chesapeake Bay Program, which has set a goal of a 40% reduction in annual loads of total ammonia plus organic nitrogen and total phosphorus to the Bay, has had a significant impact on the project. The Bay Program has provided 100% cost-share money to help landowners install streambank fencing.

---

## ***OTHER PERTINENT INFORMATION***

---

Water quality monitoring for the project was discontinued in July 2001. Thus, for this project, four years of pre-treatment and four years of post-treatment data were collected to document the effectiveness of streambank fencing in reducing the load of nutrients and suspended sediment to receiving streams.

---

## ***PROJECT CONTACTS***

---

### **Administration**

Barbara Lathrop  
Water Quality Biologist  
Pennsylvania Department of  
Environmental Protection  
Bureau of Watershed Conservation  
P.O. Box 8555  
Harrisburg, PA 17105-8555  
(717) 787-5259

### **Land Treatment**

Frank Lucas  
Project Leader  
USDA-NRCS  
P.O. Box 207  
311 B Airport Drive  
Smoketown, PA 17576  
(717) 396-9423; Fax (717) 396-9427

### **Water Quality Monitoring, Data Analysis, and Project Results**

Daniel Galeone  
U.S. Geological Survey  
215 Limekiln Road  
New Cumberland, PA 17070  
(717) 730-6952; Fax (717) 730-6997  
dgaleone@usgs.gov