Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation					Ann
"		Target(5)	Partnerships		, meme	Challenges or Recommendations	Resources <u>/</u>	<u>Available</u>	Resource	es <u>Needed</u>	2
	·	Bu	ffers Actio	n Team	·		Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	
iori	ty Initiative 1:	Buffer Imple	ementation								
1.1	1 stellar buffer demonstration of 1-2 acres in every township (60 total), and full buffer goal implementation		Municipalities, Lancaster's buffer action team, Lancaster County Clean Water Consortium	All municipal partners	2018-2025 NEXT STEPS: List of names (both public and private buffers) acquired to identify which municipality owned buffers exist and where gaps need addressed	 With stormwater fees on the horizon, prepare to incentivize Ag and buffers with stormwater exemptions. (Financial and technical support from municipalities as we all wade through new MS4 flexibility); Not enough boots on the ground to find willing land-owners, train municipal staff, and organize plantings; Financial and technical support from municipalities as we all wade through new MS4 flexibility; CREP is no longer available for municipalities (example given at public meeting where they used to get support to use CREP dollars and trees for available lands but that has stopped) Explore compromise for implementation of buffers in sewer easement areas along riparian corridors 	Public works staff employed to maintain projects Some municipalities already have a buffer that could serve as a demonstration project COG's, source water collaboratives, and Lancaster County Clean Water Consortium can work as coordinating leadership groups to get groups of municipalities done Grant money available for implem., will assist with overall MS4 permit compliance and public education		 Signage to explain the value of a buffer at every project More boots on the ground (planning, planting, maintenance) Willing municipalities Consistent professional development for municipal and conservation staff so messaging is the same 	Dollars specifically set aside to address extreme weather events for buffer repairs so teams aren't spending general funds Estimates: \$4,000 per acre (source: EQIP) plus 20% for pre- and post- project work (willing landowner contact, monitoring, etc.) done by boots on the ground staff	2022 evolustreat the E partic catcl a lor one 2023 towa meth encc buffe repoint actic cont as w to he the E cont actic to ne 2022 with oppoint cont gaug neec cont staro cont cont cont cont cont cont cont con

Progress to Date (2020 + **1 + 2022 +2023 + 2024)** Justification for Change s action item has continued to ased on the priority objective of ing and realizing full utilization of program across watersheds; but rly in priority de-listing strategy nts. This action is more considered rm goal as work progresses from sting catchment to the next. is action item has evolved more exploring approaches and to preserve existing buffers ered (or re-establishing existing and may be threatened by for a number of different actions tion, production, etc.). LTVPs to the identification of existing nat may not have previously been , and require review to determine atus, etc. This effort was further I with assisting a handful of ed groups to help build an of projects (in particular-buffers) here is no easy, one-stop answer rotect existing buffer areas; but rs Action Team and LCWPs to engage and implement other ems with an over-arching objective ly expand the number of buffers, are and preserve existing buffers. Iffers team is a well-oiled machine rong ability to target identified ities. There is an understanding to building an inventory to better nere buffers are, maintenance nd related considerations. Is on the buffer team are working Il known stellar buffer locations he county. Thus far, 32 are and mapped. The mapping layer de photos of the buffer and ion on acreage, who planted it, isit the buffer, etc. This mapping be added to the Collaborative ed Mapping Tool and live as a ne layer in the Partners StoryMap.

ion	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships		xpected Potent imeline Implemen Challeng Recommen	ation Resource s or lations	s <u>Available</u>		es <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 +2023 + 2024)	Justification for Change
		But	ffers Action	n Team		Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
rity	/ Initiative 1:	Buffer Imple	ementation			,				· ·	
0 n a ((Create and maintain an online map of buffer niles to show progress across the county Community angagement and public aducation)		Lancaster's buffer team, Buffer specialist provided by Focus Lancaster NFWF grant, DEP	All areas but priority watersheds first Map	is online This allows us i our efforts if w there is a speci where buffers disappearing a detrimental ra Consistent and reporting of pr CREP data is pr Lidar frequenc limiting; Data Managen Action Team le online mappin, development	realize ic area re a check conservancy buffer da check conservancy buffer sa check conservancy buffer gap opportunity jects; maps to determine available next places to work in priority watersheds ent d Alliance for the	Private found. support for Chesapeake Conservancy's ability to work in Lancaster County	Mapping point person/group to show progress spatially so the public can see where their dollars are going Access to Practice Keeper or a shared map with the option for partners to add their own GIS layers so specialists can integrate projects with other efforts to show an accurate spatial image of work happening Mapping point person/group to show progress spatially so the public can see where their dollars	Dollars to pay for consistent way of reporting buffer implementation (acres, feet, etc.) Growing Greener grant so the Alliance for the Chesapeake Bay can run an "Adopt a Buffer" program as	More work will be done to identify more stellar buffer sites.2021: Inventory is nearly complete, outreach has begun for new buffers on public land in each twp. Efforts will continue into 20222020: The Collaborative Mapping Tool (CMT) added a strong ability to identify and target opportunity areas. The catchment prioritization efforts currently underway will further target very specific locations to allocate limited resources for buffer implementation. A large number of opportunity areas have been identified, but multiple landowners and stakeholders tied with limited capital and human resources for implementation and long- term maintenance has resulted in a slower implementation rate than originally desired or planned. Several municipalities have incorporated buffer requirements as part of the land development process.2024: The CWMT (version 3.0) has been updated and continues to serve as a public interface for planners and the public. Chesapeake Conservancy continues to update and assist with the tool that provides a visual representation with supporting information for buffer opportunity areas along with implemented work.2023: The CMT has been updated to include both the tier 1 and tier 2 catchments as part of the stream de-listing strategy along with BMPs implemented (including riparian buffers) in each catchment. The CMT is currently organized into 4 interfaces for end-users: 1) prioritization, 2) water quality, 3) policy, and 4) implementation data and	
						Greener grant PSU GIS specialist; county GIS team; Lancaster County		where their dollars are going PennDOT support	Buffer" program as a way to engage local businesses Estimates: \$7,000 per ArcGIS license Staff person at the	and 4) implementation data and information. Current CWMT calls out approximately 40% of stream miles buffered. 2022: CMT is fully operational and a	

Action	Description	Performance	Responsible	Geographic	Expected	Potential						
#		Target(s)	Party(ies) and Partnerships	Location	Timeline	Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources	<u>Available</u>	Resource	es <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 +2023 + 2024)	Justification for Chang
		Buf	fers Actio	n Team			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
riori	ty Initiative 1:	Buffer Imple	mentation									
							canopy report at the County			partner with GIS staff like the Conservancy	Through NFWF grant, Water Science Institute is using LIDAR data to map erosion rates. This data layer in combination with existing data layers will assist to target implementation areas. 2021: In progress under auspices of Data Management Action Team. Proposed new GIS person from the Chesapeake Conservancy will also be an added benefit. 2020: The platform (CMT) has been developed. Currently wading through and finalizing operational and end user details. Alignment with multiple platforms may prove difficult, but achievable.	
	Directed and strategic landowner outreach for immediate implementation – Ag	Forest buffer – 8,555 total acres Forest buffer narrow – 314 total acres	All partners across Lancaster County, Lancaster's buffer team, Lancaster Conservancy	Ag projects get toppriority, specifically ifa project will limitlivestock access tostreams;Pequea Watershed,Octoraro Watershed,Chiques watershed,and Cocalicowatershed becausethe data is available,	Implemented or process started for implementation by 2025 Use the charter document for more specific timeline/a Gannt chart approach (Buffer AT is the	Time; Not enough boots on the ground to find willing land owners and organize plantings; CREP acre cap for the state; No Farm Bill means a closed door for CREP; Financial and technical	Chesapeake Conservancy's buffer gap analysis ReLeaf Report	NFWF Private foundations	Plain sect outreach Staff to do landowner outreach, plant, and maintain	Non-federal income-replacing incentive Dollars specifically set aside to address extreme weather events for buffer repair so teams aren't spending general funds	 2024: 2023 priorities were essentially carried over into 2024 with a continued focus on de-listing catchments along with support for catchment lead groups. Capacity remains a challenge; but coordinated and targeted efforts are producing successes catchment-by-catchment. 2023: The Buffer Action Team identified four (4) priorities for the 2023 calendar year: 1) remove barriers to efficient 	
1.3	projects will get top projects will get top priority and all projects will be combined with other types of restoration projects as often as possible (streamside, floodplain, wetland, dam removal,			municipal partners are already participating, and/or the loading is the highest	lead for annual goals) De-listing strategy to assist with priority locations.	support from municipalities as we all wade through new MS4 flexibility; Outreach to the plain sect community can be sensitive; USACE issue permit for	WSI's list of priority landowners 2019 planting schedule from buffer team members (see Appendix)	CREP	PennDOT support	~\$29 million for new acres	landowner outreach, 2) advance outreach initiatives to potential landowners, 3) provide prompt responses and implementation of projects to newly interested landowners, and 4) streamline and fully utilize the buffer maintenance BEST program. These priorities promote or further all Buffer Priority Initiative action items; but fully provides an elevated focus	
	etc)					development on wetlands so the easement is held by USACE with different language (federal level)	CBF's K10 campaign Plain sect outreach is happening in specific communities REAP credits				with directed and strategic landowner outreach and implementation activities. Capacity remains a significant challenge, and affects the rate of implementation (lower than desired). That said, capacity	
							REAP LIEUILS				has been growing annually. Additionally, participation in both federal and state- funded buffer programs have been	

Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources	<u>Available</u>	Resource	es <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 +2023 + 2024)	Justification for Change
		Buf	fers Actior	n Team	1		Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
riori	ty Initiative 1	: Buffer Imple	ementation									
							Municipal leaders				increasing in alignment with the increasing capacity.2022: Contractor capacity is the primary bottleneck for increased implementation rates.Discussions underway where the Buffers Action Team individuals entities identified by the Buffers team could serve as primary liaisons for LTVPs for the natural sector.Buffer Action Team will begin engaging more with municipal leaders, with help from Technical Coordinator.RCPP required to do any other work on property.Verification process will help assess remaining buffer goal in the county.2021: Some partners are engaging in targeted work within prioritized catchments (Delisting strategy). The reality is still that the amount of landowners who volunteer or who are found through other project work exceeds current capacity though. A big outreach push is needed to increase acres, but without increasing professional capacity in the county, this will only result in wait lists. STORY LINKS > Pioneering project targets 8 Lancaster Streams for rapid delisting Gov. Wolf, Chesapeake Conservancy & Partners Announce Initiative to Restore 30 Aariculturally Impaired Streams by 2030https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrc s/detail/national/newsroom/releases/?cid =nrcseprd1769025Millions in federal funding to cover costs of restoring 350 miles of Lancaster County streams2020: The catchment prioritization efforts currently underway will further target very 	

Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources	<u>Available</u>	Resources	s <u>Needed</u>	Annua 202
		Buf	fers Actio	n Team			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	
Prior	ity Initiative 1:	Buffer Imple	mentation								
											resources Limited hu provides a landowne
Prior	ity Initiative 2:	Buffer Strate	gy and Regu	lation							
2.1	We will examine how high-quality template language from existing ordinances can help make required buffers the norm across the county (look at Warwick Township and East Cocalico as examples) We will hold a meeting of all municipalities who currently have ordinances with buffer requirements with the intention of getting all municipalities to adopt similar requirements	All implemented projects as a result of this Priority Initiative will be counted in reductions outlined in 1.1 or 1.3	LCWP and Consortium, Lancaster's buffer team, Kate Gonick and the Lancaster County Conservancy	All of Lancaster County Buffering headwaters is the priority so we can protect source water and preserve pristine areas	2019-2025 Include session at MS4orum about ordinances In conjunction with SWMO update process in 2022-2023	Example recommendation: All headwater streams be required to have a 35-50 foot buffer; all new developments must include buffers, landowner maintenance, and signage; Financial and technical support from municipalities as we all wade through new MS4 flexibility; Outreach to the plain sect community can be sensitive; USACE issue permit for development on wetlands so the easement is held by USACE with different language (federal level)	Report from PSU coming Willing and experienced municipal staff Consortium can offer workshops or events		A collection of common language that has been well- received by municipal boards Peer-to-peer stories and outreach from municipal officials, developers, and landowners that show how buffers make economic sense for both parties Municipal and Partners staff time to collate the ordinances and strategize how to get language options out to municipalities (potential PSU intern can finalize this part of the project as this work has already begun)		2024: Pha plan are u conversat the mode next steps ordinance Model bu docket" fo updating compone 2023: Thi and will co new Tech brought o update to Stormwat (SWMO) v most plau improved across mu countywid upcoming anticipate 2024. 2022: Mi collaborat at the Par forward in buffer orc better infi implemen how high- existing o buffers th 2021: Not opportun Permit cy that may permit.

ual Progress to Date (2020 + 021 + 2022 +2023 + 2024)	Justification for Change
ces for buffer implementation. I human and capital resources es a bottleneck with strategic mer outreach activities.	
Phase 1 of a countywide Act 167 e underway. This will entail sations and efforts associated with del ordinance (or at a minimum the eps for updating the model nce) and focus areas for updates. buffer language is a topic "on the " for discussion and next steps for ng the model ordinance as a nent of the Act 167 Plan update.	
This action item remains a priority I continue moving forward once a schnical Coordinator is identified and t on board with the LCWPs. The to the Lancaster County vater Management Ordinance D) was previously identified as the lausible reference point for ed buffer language in ordinances municipalities. With a proposed wide Act 167 plan update and ing new MS4 permit cycle, it is ated these actions will occur in	
More capacity for municipal ration from Technical Coordinator Partners. He will keep efforts moving d in 2023 including to: (1) Document ordinances in each municipality to inform outreach and nentation possibilities; (2) Examine gh-quality template language from g ordinances can help make required the norm	
Not much progress yet, but the main unity will be tied to the next MS4 cycle and updates to local SWMOs ay be required by the 2023-2027	

Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources	<u>Available</u>	Resource	es <u>Needed</u>	Annual 2021
		Buf	fers Actio	n Team			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	
Priori	ty Initiative 1	: Buffer Imple	mentation							1	
		All implemented	Lancaster buffer	Across the county,	2019	Have an organized	Lamonte Garber,		Township outreach	Dollars for the	incorporat of the land ordinances from most required b However, o to improve establishin
		projects as a result of this Priority Initiative will be counted in reductions outlined in 1.1 or 1.3	team, LCWP, Lancaster County Conservancy, Lancaster County Clean Water Consortium	priority watersheds TBD	BEST documentation was completed by end of 2020	effort (not an official County project) that complements CREP and coordinates a care establishment program; This group will oversee	Ashley Spotts		to monitor township-held easements and buffer ordinances	incentive part of the program	operationa Buffer Acti learned, po and similar functionali viability.
2.2	Create a Lancaster County Buffer Program (BEST)					the buffer work across the county; Financial and technical support from municipalities as we all wade through new MS4 flexibility; Outreach to the plain	Program to be modeled after the CREP program but creating alternatives based on the limitations identified in CREP		NGO's currently installing buffers (Farmland Trust, Alliance, CBF, PSU, Stroud, Conservancy, LCCD) with approximately 2-3 staff now, we need 3x that at every	Dollars for 3x the staff currently working on buffers - approx. \$1 million because current boots on the ground are doing every angle of buffer strategy, outreach, planning,	2023: The However, t exploring a during 202 maximize t Intent is to long-term County tha was also se buffer esta
						sect community can be sensitive; USACE issue permit for development on wetlands so the easement is held by			organization - add 20% to the cost of a project	planting, maintenance, and more - An opportunity exists to have staff be more specialized so we can all be more efficient.	landowners 2022: A cu improve co amongst va approaches protect exis

al Progress to Date (2020 + 21 + 2022 +2023 + 2024)	Justification for Change
everal municipalities have rated buffer requirements as part nd development process in their ces. There is significant pushback ost municipalities to incorporate I buffers language in ordinances. r, efforts and messaging continue ove understanding and buy-in for ning buffer requirements.	
ne BEST program remains onal and appears "established". The ction Team monitors lessons potential operational updates, ilar considerations to improve ality and to help ensure long-term	
he BEST program is operational. r, the Buffers Action Team is g and testing varied approaches 023 to better streamline and the the potential of the program. to stabilize the BEST program as a m program serving Lancaster that dovetails into LTVPs. Funding o secured to support multi-year stablishment activities to hers for newly established buffers.	
current focus of the team is to collaboration/coordination t varying entities with different hes with the intent to better existing buffers or ensure the	

ction #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources ,	<u>Available</u>	Resource	s <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 +2023 + 2024)	Justification for Change
		Buf	fers Actio	n Team			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
riorit	y Initiative 1:	: Buffer Imple	mentation									
						USACE with different language (federal level); Boots on the ground now are doing every role in every phase of buffers - we need 3x our current capacity at every organization	Township solicitors meeting to speak to them all at once				approach compliments existing approaches.2021: Pilot program has been successful so far! There are acres maintained with BEST to date (accounting of acres still in progress). Private donation of \$10k into BEST fund was a huge success. We now need more funds. A subset of the Buffer Action Team is working on planning, strategizing, and getting more funding. Working on getting additional funding from another partner organization in the amount of \$40,000 STORY LINK > Action Teams tree planting at overlook park2020: Framework for team and program has been established. Group in early stages of efforts, but successful in facilitating actions necessary to meet objectives. Limited human and capital resources is a factor restricting BMP implementation rates.	
2.3	Create a coordinated outreach campaign for public lands and semi- public lands to be required to have forested buffers	60% of churches, schools, libraries, municipalities, parks, will have buffers All implemented projects as a result of this Priority Initiative will be counted in reductions outlined in 1.1 or 1.3	LCWP	Across the county	2025 2022-Buffer Year	Focus on public health and flood control; Feedback loop: getting landowners all the right resources; Coordination of all partners outreach efforts	Alliance for the Chesapeake Bay DCNR Existing success stories to be the examples we need		Point person to work with at Council of Churches, real estate associations, builders associations, authorities associations, libraries, school districts etc.Marketing materials for each audienceCalendar of events so we don't duplicate		 2024: The de-listing strategy continues to drive locations of primary efforts, but support has been and continues to be provided to partners (particularly in the public and semi-public sector) in the form of technical and financial assistance. 2023: The de-listing strategy continues to drive locations of primary efforts, but support has been and continues to be provided to partners (particularly in the public and semi-public sector) in the form of technical and financial assistance; including the City of Lancaster's "Trees for People Plan". 2022: Efforts continue to be opportunistic as the de-listing strategy has become the 	

tion #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources	<u>Available</u>	Resource	es <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 +2023 + 2024)	Justification for Change
		Buf	fers Action	n Team			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
rior	ity Initiative 1	: Buffer Imple	mentation									
2.4	Increase the presence of buffers in marketing and events of Water Week	All implemented projects as a result of this Priority Initiative will be counted in reductions outlined in 1.1 or 1.3	LCWP, Conservancy, Consortium	Across the county	On-going	Limited participation in Water Week events - have to make sure we're not only "preaching to the choir" Use email addresses of event participants for invitations to future workshops Continuous and effective engagement plan would be helpful	Groundwater and source water collaboratives	Private foundations, corporate sponsors of Water Week	 NGO's currently installing buffers (Farmland Trust, Alliance, CBF, PSU, Stroud, Conservancy, LCCD) with approximately 2-3 staff now, we need 3x that at every organization More marketing materials and a way to get them to each audience More public participation 	Dollars for more marketing materials and a way to get them to each audience (estimate: \$60,000) Incentives for landowners to install buffers even after Water Week	primary driver in furthering buffer protection and/or implementation in defined areas where classification of the parcel as public, semi-public, or private is not a driving factor but whether a need for a buffer has been identified.2021: Efforts have been more opportunistic and ad-hoc to date, but successful at getting more public land buffers. In 2022, will need to begin concerted/coordinated effort Buffer Year should help with this coordinated effort.2020: Efforts associated with this activity have been limited thus far. Anticipate measurable progress as Action 2.1. and 2.2 progress further ahead (this action is considered a successor to 2.1 and 2.2)2024: Buffer awareness is a consistent and constant theme in most communications, marketing materials, and similar considerations; including Water Week and Lancaster Conservancy efforts.2023: Buffer awareness efforts have expanded from initial efforts tied to Water Week events. The BEST group will hold an informative session in October for the public. Extended partners including the ACB are promoting numerous buffer awareness Month.2022: Buffer awareness is a consistent and constant theme in most communications, marketing materials, and similar considerations. 2022 included incorporating protections of existing buffers where restoration, improvements, etc. are planned in stream corridors as a part of the messaging.2021: Buffer awareness is increasing, and we are reaching beyond Water Week to accomplish this. October 2021 will be "Buffer Month" and we have decided that 2022 should be "The Year of the Buffer", with cross-sector unified messaging and celebrations of riparian forests all year long	

Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources	<u>Available</u>	Resource	s <u>Needed</u>	Annual 2021
		But	ffers Actior	n Team			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	
Priorit	y Initiative 1	: Buffer Imple	ementation								
											to increase help to incre buffers amo streamside
											2020: Mess remain stro of audience related aud will require

Phase 3 Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP) Planning and Progress Template

Each county-based local area will use this template to identify:

1. Inputs – These are both existing and needed resources, public and private, to implement the identified priority initiative. These include both technical and financial resources, such as personnel, supplies, equipment and funding.

2. Process – what is each partner able to do where and by when. These are the action items listed under each priority initiative.

3. Outputs and outcomes – both short and long-term. These are the priority initiatives identified by each county. The performance targets are the intermediate indicators that will measure progress.

4. Implementation challenges – any potential issues or roadblocks to implementation that could impede outputs and outcomes.

Asterisk: Place an asterisk next to the action number(s) for action items that appear in both the County Planning and Progress Template and the Programmatic Recommendations Template.

For each Priority Initiative or Program Element: Use the fields, as defined below, to identify the inputs and the process that will be followed to achieve each priority initiative. This is the "who, what, where, when and how" of the plan:

Description = What. This may include programs that address prevention, or as specific as planned BMP installations that will address the Priority Initiative. A programmatic or policy effort will require some ability to quantify the anticipated benefits which will allow calculation of the associated nutrient reductions.

Performance Target = How. This is an extension of the Description above. The Performance Target details the unique BMPs that will result from implementation of the Priority Initiative and serves as a benchmark to track progress in addressing the Priority Initiative. Performance Targets may be spread across multiple Responsible Parties, Geographies, and Timelines based on the specifics of the Initiative.

Responsible Party(ies) = Who. This is/are the key partner(s) who will implement the action items though outreach, assistance or funding, and who will be responsible for delivering the identified programs or practices.

Geographic Location = Where. This field identifies the geographic range of the planned implementation. This could extend to the entire county or down to a small watershed, based on the scale of the Priority Initiative, range of the Responsible Party, or planned funding/resources. NOTE: Resource limitations alone should not limit potential implementation as additional funding may become available in the future.

Expected Timeline = When. Provide the expected completion date for the planned activity. This should be a reasonable expectation, based on knowledge and experience, that will aid in tracking progress toward addressing the Priority Initiative.

Resources Available: Technical & Funding = This field will note technical and financial resources secured/available to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the resources identified in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole; or, if available, to each action.

Justification for Change

Resources Needed: Technical & Funding = This field will note technical and financial resources needed/outstanding to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the additional resources projected and identified as needed in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole; or, if possible, to each action.

Potential Implementation Challenges/Issues = This field will note challenges and issues that may delay program implementation (Description).

	Green - a	ction has been complet	ed or is moving for	ward as planned	Yellow - action ha	as encountered minor ob	stacles <u>Red</u> - action h	as not been t	aken or has encou	untered a serious	barrier	
tion #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources <u>Avail</u>	<u>able</u>	Resource	es <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Chan
		Agrie	culture Act	ion Team		1	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
iority	v Initiative 1:	Manure Manage	ment									
	<u> </u>	Reduce manure being applied to farmland by 25% Barnyard Runoff Controls – 1,352 total acres/57 new acres	Lancaster County Conservation District, Lancaster Clean Water Partners, all partners in the county, DEP, Dept of Ag	All of Lancaster County Projects to go in priority watersheds TBD based on results from community mapping tool De-listing strategy is determining priority locations	Initial tasks involve conversion of 25% to approximate pounds, and identification of distribution between manure and commercial fert. Research process and initial implementation done by 2025: vision is for a required ban to start in 2024 with full implementation by 2027 20 installs per year	Cultural shift is needed to accept that too much liquid manure is a problem instead of a resource; Extreme weather events cause damage and staff end up spending time/resources on repair instead of new implementation; Currently not enough on-farm 6-month storages Financial and technical support from municipalities as we all wade through new MS4 flexibility	Ag technician and Plain Sect Outreach coordinator-LCCD Private sector consultants	NRCS NFWF grants Plain-sect Plain-sect	MORE contractors who are able to build manure storages and install BMPs to address the manure during winter months MORE Ag technician and Plain Sect Outreach coordinators who are all consistently trained to ensure BMPs are standardized and high quality MORE private sector	Money for storages and alternative BMPs to address the manure during winter months \$138,000 for barnyard runoff controls (for new BMP acres) Financial framework	2024: The combination of CAP and ACAP funding for implementation have assisted with the acceleration of efforts. 2024 included an elevated effort with the Data Coordinator to capture and update metrics to gain better insight to the level of actual implementation against perceived needs for implementation. This is an on- going effort but has helped better direct TSPs and support entities (e.g. CAP Coordinator team) to address gaps or focus efforts. 2023: 2023 witnessed a continuation of 2022 efforts (and hurdles) and	
1 f 1	Implement a suite of on- farm BMP's to address the manure so less of a need to spread during the winter, and introduce an end to winter spreading (5-8 year phase-in period)						agricultural outreach and conservation projects	self-funding	consultants MORE non-profit partners who do agricultural outreach and conservation projects More coverage in national agriculture communications so this is widely viewed and accepted message (Farm	that includes research into nutrient credit trading, business models for manure- to-energy plants, etc. to support farms as local businesses	implementation of the RCPP funding. With the addition of the Data Coordinator at the Conservation District, a better picture and understanding of BMPs implemented and captured against BMPs implemented and need for capture was identified. This understanding has not and does not restrict the efforts to continually engage farmers and the ag community for implementation of a suite of BMPs on a farm. LFT (as a member of the CAP Coordinator Team) aims to visit at least 100 farms in 2023 in addition to the Conservation District's outreach efforts with the intent to capture existing	
									Journal, etc.)		BMPs or identify BMPs for implementation. Elevated effort to dial-in metrics (BMP implementation rates) was conducted. Effort was primarily based on cross-	

	<u>Green</u> -	action has been complete	d or is moving forw	ard as planned	Yellow - action ha	as encountered minor obst	acies <u>Red</u> - action	has not been ta	ken or has encou	intered a serious	barrier	
tion #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources <u>Ava</u>	ailable	Resource	s <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Chan
		Agric	ulture Acti	ion Team	1		Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
											 referencing PK data, other local data, surveys, field/aerial analyses, collaborative discussions, and existing data/information (e.g. ag census data). Local partners (including ACB, LFT, etc.) have visited over 125 farmers to discuss practices and comprehensive conservation projects. All partners continue to scale up outreach efforts; including with corporate agricultural partnerships (Land O'Lakes, Organic Valley, Perdue, and so on). 2022: Across the entire ag sector, implementation progressing despite hurdles encountered (Inflation and cost volatility, limited number of contractors, permitting delays, and learning curve for new contractors amongst other considerations). Long- term Verification Processes (LTVPs) development launched to help reconcile existing BMPs versus BMP needs. Funding approach has been fully coordinated amongst across the board ag sector – additional funding committed/awarded through Regional Conservation Partnership Program (through NRCS); ACAP; MEB, SWIG, and INSR through NFWF; and County-level commitment of ARPA funding. 2021: Implementation was slowed due to COVID but still progressing; material costs are rising thus further straining 	

	<u>Green</u> - a	ction has been complete	d or is moving forw	ard as planned	Yellow - action ha	as encountered minor obst	acles <u>Red</u> - action	has not been ta	ken or has enco	untered a serious	barrier	
tion #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources <u>Ava</u>	ailable	Resource	es <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Chan
I		Agric	ulture Acti	on Team	1		Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
ali 1.1 <mark>tre</mark> (e	plore digester or cernative manure eatment technologies stablish a business odel that will work)	Manure treatment technologies – 20,000 tons	All partners							\$51.9M for regional biodigester (per 2011 HRG study, adjusted to 2018 dollars)	capacity for implementation – delaying construction. STORY LINK > https://drive.google.com/file/ d/1t88kTLo5W2VOo- AVaUCOI4dW2mhit15N/view? usp=sharing 2020: Controls and storage facilities are being built albeit at a slower pace than originally desired or planned due to limited capital and human resources. Game plan(s) for ensuring BMPs are captured in a central system (PracticeKeeper) are under development to assist with identification of human and capital needs for long-term verification processes. 2024: An effort for a large- scale regional facility has been shelved for the time being, but not abandoned in favor of small-scale digesters for individual farms. Two small- scale regional facility has been shelved for the time being, but not abandoned in favor of small-scale digesters and dairy/swine manure digester implemented. 2023: An effort for a large- scale regional facility	

	Phase 3 V	Vatershed Impleme	ntation Plan (V	/IP) Progress a	nd Milestones	Template						
	<u>Green</u> -	action has been complete	ed or is moving for	ward as planned	Yellow - action h	as encountered minor ob	stacles <u>Red</u> - action ha	as not been t	taken or has enco	untered a serious	barrier	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources <u>Availa</u>	able	Resource	es <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Change
		Agrie	culture Act	tion Team			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
											determine feasibility will be conducted in 2023 to reach a final decision if this should remain a priority.2021: Implications from COVID restricted progress during the 2021 calendar year.2020: Potential regional digester in Cocalico Creek watershed area stalled. During preliminary exploratory and development phases, it became apparent the business model that will most likely succeed involves the ability for processing operations to result in a by-product (e.g. electricity, biochar, etc.) that	
1.2	Livestock access management	Grass buffer with exclusion fencing 894 acres (largely underreported – PSU survey will capture new info)	All partners, Alliance for the Chesapeake Bay, LCCD	All of Lancaster County with direct farmer outreach happening in priority watersheds first	Research process and initial implementation done by 2025; vision is for a required ban to start in 2024 with full implementation by 2027	Develop local incentive programs to promote the practice as a viable option for landowners; Add watering facilities or crossings; Current PA clean streams law restricts any local ability to require fencing livestock out of a stream or river; Financial and technical support from municipalities as we all wade through new MS4 flexibility; Extreme weather events cause damage and staff end up delayed or spending	Educational materials about herd health benefits of fencing herds out of streams	NRCS NFWF grants that include imp. dollars DEP's small business grants for	Plain Sect Outreach coordinators who are all consistently trained to ensure BMPs are standardized and high quality More coverage in national agriculture	Dollars to pay the outreach staff Dollars to pay for fencing materials and an incentive program for farmers to take any land out of production \$40.0M for fencing, with \$3.7M for stream crossings and	can be sold to cover collection and processing costs. 2024: The combination of CAP and ACAP funding for implementation have assisted with the acceleration of efforts. 2024 included an elevated effort with the Data Coordinator to capture and update metrics to gain better insight to the level of actual implementation against perceived needs for implementation. This is an on- going effort but has helped better direct TSPs and support entities (e.g. CAP Coordinator team) to address gaps or focus efforts. Real-world implementation efforts have	
						damage and staff end up delayed or spending time/resources on repair instead of new implementation		business grants for fence materials	national agriculture communications so this is widely viewed and accepted message (Farm Journal, etc)	with \$3.7M for stream crossings and \$11.9M for access approaches		

	<u>Green</u> - :	action has been complete	ed or is moving forw	ard as planned	Yellow - action h	as encountered minor obstacles	Red - action	has not been ta	ken or has encou	ntered a serious	s barrier	
ction #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources <u>Ava</u>	<u>ilable</u>	Resource	s <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Chang
		Agric	culture Act	ion Team			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
											 has identified a magnitude of existing buffers that require capturing in addition to a more plausible target for implementation of livestock access management BMPs. Grass buffers with exclusion fencing perceived with significant underreported acreage. Effort to reconcile needs finalized. 2022: Implementation progressing, but limited number of contractors, supply chain issues, etc. are continuous bottlenecks as noted in Ag 1.1. Qualitatively, the perception was an increase in exclusion fencing was realized in 2022. 2023 will include a reconciliation process for metrics to quantify the perception. 2021: Plain Sect acceptance of implementation is becoming more widespread; implementation proceeding a slower pace due to limited capital and human resources. Additional resources for moving into other catchments as part of the de-listing strategy may help accelerate implementation rates. <i>STORY LINK > Millions in federal funding to cover costs of restoring 350 miles of Lancaster County streams</i> 2020: Outreach and implementation of controls have been proceeding but at a slower pace than originally desired or planned due to limited capital and human 	

	Green - a	ction has been complete	ed or is moving for	ward as planned	Yellow - action	has encountered minor ob	stacles <u>Red</u> - actior	has not been t	aken or has enco	untered a serious	parrier	
ction #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources <u>Av</u>			es <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Chang
		Agrie	culture Ac	tion Team	1		Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
		Animal Waste Management Systems – 535,220 total animal units/476,699 new animal units	All partners, LCCD, Private sector agriculture consultants	Projects to go in priority watersheds TBD based on results from community mapping tool	Built by 2025	Dollars (funding); Financial and technical support from municipalities as we all wade through new MS4 flexibility;	LCCD	NRCS	More boots on the ground to do farmer outreach and implementation	Dollars to pay the outreach staff	2024: The combination of CAP and ACAP funding for implementation have assisted with the acceleration of efforts. 2024 included an elevated effort with the Data	
						Extreme weather events cause damage and limit time staff/contractors have for new implementation	Dept. of Ag	NFWF grants that include imp. dollars	More coverage in national agriculture communications so this is widely viewed and accepted message (Farm Journal, etc)	For six months of waste storage accommodating 100,000 AUs, approximately \$80M would be needed for tank storage and engineering and construction	Coordinator to capture and update metrics to gain better insight to the level of actual implementation against perceived needs for implementation. This is an on- going effort but has helped better direct TSPs and support entities (e.g. CAP Coordinator team) to address gaps or focus efforts.	
							NRCS	DEP's small business grants for fence materials			2023: Implementation rates are not aligned with original targets, but they may be a function of aspirational targets previously identified as a possibility. That being said,	
I.3	ncrease the number of nanure storages and petter barnyard						Private Consultants				manure storage and barnyard improvements are currently	
	nanagement						NGO's				being implemented. Financial capacity, outreach timelines, permitting timeframes, and related considerations will continue to drive implementation rates in the	
											long-term. Outreach efforts are a critical step in the implementation process, and successful outreach efforts cannot be accomplished in a	
											single friendly one-on-one visit. Performance targets were revised to reflect more probable implementation rates versus original	
											aspirational rates. Consolidation of total dairy operations continued observance in 2023.	
											2022: Reduced implementation rates observed as a direct result of	

		atershed Implemen		· -		is encountered minor ob	stacles Pod action	has not been t	aken or has encou	untered a serious	harrier	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources <u>Av</u>			es <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Chang
		Agrid	culture Actio	on Team		Recommendations	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
											COVID impacts have carried over into 2022. 2021: Implementation was slowed due to COVID but still progressing; material costs are rising thus further straining limited financial capacity for implementation; beginning to experience a lack of contractor capacity for implementation – delaying construction. 2020: Outreach and implementation of controls have been proceeding but at a slower pace than originally desired or planned due to limited capital and human resources. Game plan(s) for ensuring BMPs are captured in a central system (PracticeKeeper) are under development to assist with identification of human and capital needs for long-term verification processes.	
1.4	Create a more comprehensive reporting system for manure transport in and out of the county (host meetings of all brokers to understand what data is available) (reporting mechanism needed)	Manure transport out of the county – 149,536 total dry tons/138,035 new dry tons		All of Lancaster County	Meetings to start in fall 2018 and continue until accurate recording system is established and running by 2025	No required reporting (data gap from planners/ farmers to brokers to state); PK is only available to LCCD and specific DEP employees so accessing the specifics of manure is limited; Act 49 does not require haulers/ brokers to submit data about tons moved and dest. (no data into Practice Keeper) Continued meetings/ discussions may be appropriate as the Act 38 reporting changes are better understood.	Haulers and brokers Private consultants Winter matrix SWP teams	NFWF grants that include imp. dollars	Point person at DEP and/or Dept of Ag for the data and analysis Incentive for haulers to submit data accurately and timely Local lead	Incentive for haulers to submit data accurately and timely \$2.6M to transport manure and farmer compensation	 2024: A general reliance on Ac 38 reporting processes remains in place. Updated metrics tied to the Data Coordinator efforts area assisting with targeting communications or efforts to continuously improve capturing metrics. 2023: A general reliance on Act 38 reporting processes for progress. 2022: Limited focus on 2022 with this effort. 2023 will include an analysis of Act 38 changes as it pertains to the county and tracking manure transport. 2021: Act 38 reporting changes may help the process, and will be assessed at a later date to ascertain the 	

	<u>Green</u> - a	ction has been complete	ed or is moving for	ward as planned	Yellow - action	has encountered minor ob	stacles <u>Red</u> - action ha	is not been t	aken or has enco	untered a serious	barrier	
action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources <u>Availa</u>	<u>ıble</u>	Resource	es <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Chang
		Agric	culture Act	ion Team			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
											comprehensive reporting system and reporting mechanism components of the action description. 2020: Meetings and discussions have yielded fruitful results and established baseline agreements that a more comprehensive reporting system is needed but should be developed and implemented at the state level	
		Soil Conservation/Water Quality Plans – 176,792 total acres/106,417 new acres	LCCD, private agriculture consultants, NRCS, DEP	All of Lancaster County	2025	Assess the option of establishing minimum/baseline BMP's for all plan writers to suggest in order to achieve nutrient management and soil health; Financial and technical	USDA	NFWF grants that include imp. dollars	MORE compliance/ enforcement staff	Funding (\$4.4M) for more plan writers and compliance staff to enforce current regulations, and plans for new acres	due to inter-county trading.2024: As a result of the updated metrics and efforts of the Data Coordinator, a redefined role for the CAP Coordinator team was established to focus on capture of plans and identification of needed plans	
1.5	Write and implement 2,400 conservation plans for better nutrient management (improve consistent verification of BMPs done by any conservation professional)	Nutrient management Core N – 109,268 total acres/ 41,062 new acres				 support from municipalities as we all wade through new MS4 flexibility; Limited resources stifle the District's ability to do sufficient compliance checks for current plans (need to be done more often than once a year and 3 strike rule) Develop inventory of plan needs via de-listing strategy catchments. 	Private Agriculture consultants	DEP's Ag. Planning Reimb. Program	MORE Ag technician and Plain Sect Outreach coordinators who are all consistently trained to ensure BMPs are standardized and high quality	Fast track option to get Ag/ environmental students certified to write plans ~\$1.6 million for Nutrient Management new acres	 (or updates) in partnership with LCCD. 2023: Through the Data Coordinator and Metrics Analysis Initiative during 2023, a better understanding of the number of written plans captured was identified, along with a process to incorporate plans into PK (which serves as the first-step for BMP data entry into PK. Approximately 121,000 acres have been captured into PK as of current 	
		Nutrient Management Core P – 119,962 total acres/ 88,717 new acres				Direct transfer of BMPs in NRCS generated plans to local PK platform would provide a better snapshot of progress and needs*	Plain sect church leaders		MORE private sector consultants	Bankers, insurance agents, etc. to give farmers incentive reasons to use conservation practices	captured into PK as of current reporting, with the acreage increasing monthly with new plans written and/or existing plans captured through LTVPs. The ~121,000 acres represents roughly 50% of existing farms in the county.	

	Green -	action has been completed	d or is moving forw	ard as planned	Yellow - action h	as encountered minor of	ostacles <u>Red</u> - action	has not been t	aken or has encou	ntered a serious	barrier	
ction #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources <u>Ava</u>	<u>ilable</u>	Resources	Needed	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Char
		Agric	ulture Acti	on Team			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
		Nutrient Management N							MORE non-profit			
		Placement – 37,850 total							partners who do		While significant progress has	
		acres							agricultural outreach		been observed,	
									and conservation		implementation remains a	
									projects		challenge due to capacity	
											limitations or farmer	
											hesitation. For practical	
		Nutrient Management N							More coverage in		purposes, efforts have been	
		Rate – 20,613 total acres/							national agriculture		more focused on writing and	
		20,479 new acres							communications so		identifying (existing) plans	
									this is widely viewed		over the past year in lieu of	
									and accepted		concentrating on	
									message (Farm		implementation of previously identified plans.	
									Journal, etc		identified plans.	
											2022: Early stages of	
											implementation of LTVPs and	
		Nutrient Management N					Dedicated individual at LCCD				data management (PK) to gain	
		Timing – 6,286 total acres					for PK management and data				a better understanding of the	
							entry				extent of developed and	
											implemented plans.	
											Concentration centered in	
											priority catchments. LCCD	
		Nutrient Management P									hired a data manager during	
		Placement – 63,577 total									3 rd quarter. Anticipate a	
		acres									reconciliation of number of	
											plans against total farm	
											parcels will be pursued in 2023.	
		Nutrient Management P Rate									2023.	
		– 34,420 total acres									2021: Numerous plans	
											submitted to the APRP but	
											specific quantity is unknown	
											(number of plans and where);	
		Nutrient Management P									beginning to experience TSP	
		Timing – 47,073 total acres									capacity issues to develop	
											plans in a timely manner. Will	
											continue priority focus on	
		Dairy Precision Feeding – 500									catchments targeted under	
		total animal units									the de-listing strategy,	
											2020: Outreach and	
											implementation of plans have	
											been proceeding but at a	
											slower pace than originally	
											desired or planned due to	
											limited capital and human	
											resources. It is evident private	
											consultants have plans that	
											are not captured in	
											PracticeKeeper, and efforts to	

	Green - 2	ction has been complete		NIP) Progress ar		has encountered minor ob	stacles Red - action	has not been t	aken or has encou	untered a serious	barrier	
action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources <u>Ava</u>			es <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Change
	L	Agri	L culture Act	tion Team	<u> </u>		Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
											capture plans will most likely require financial resources.	
riori	ity Initiative 2: S	Soil Health										
		Cover crops with fall nutrients – 115,538 total acres/110,587 new acres	LCCD, Ag Council, Stroud	All of Lancaster County with a focus on priority watersheds and plain sect community	2025	No current required documentation; No data system currently identified but Practice Keeper has potential;	NRCS and USDA	NFWF grants that include imp. dollars	Satellite imagery for verification using best technology available	Dollars (\$15.4M) for more equipment	2024: Metrics were revised to reflect on-the-ground investigations for probable level of implementation. However, there is continued reliance on the existing verification processes for	
		Cover crop commodity – 17,775 total acres	-			Bay Program cover crop definitions do not fit Lancaster County cultural practices very well – little credit because of manure application*; Financial and technical support from municipalities as we all wade through new MS4 flexibility;	Penn State		Staff time to canvass the county	Industry leaders (supermarkets, food processor) to pay a higher premium for crops raised with conservation practices/cover crops	credited amounts. 2023: Exploratory discussions were conducted with CBF, and the general perception is the no-till and cover crop aerial imagery analysis tool can serve as an improved reporting tool for county-level tracking. CBF is currently in a refinement process with the tool, and anticipate next steps for	
2.1	Create a system to verify and document use of cover crops, increasing the number acres at the same time (85% of farms will have cover crops during winter months)	Traditional cover crop – 3,545 total acres	-			Extreme weather events; Humid summers make seed less available for fall purchase (ref Lancaster Farming article) NRCS new satellite data not accepted in the model	Bay Program		A better cover crop definition in CAST to give credit to cover crops that receive nutrients, are harvested in the spring as sillage for animals on the same farm		 possible implementation into Lancaster County LTVPs to be better understood sometime during the fall of 2023. A Soil Health Learning Group is under development (led by ACB and PA Soil Coalition) for 2024 to build on the momentum created by the Lancaster Soil Health Club. As an offshoot of the club, the group will focus on creating an 	
							Plain Sect church leaders		More coverage in national agriculture communications so this is widely viewed and accepted message (Farm Journal, etc)		 group will focus on creating an information sharing environment for farmers and TSPs. 2022: Verification underway by Lancaster Farmland Trust through a pilot of verification 	
							PraticeKeeper Conservation Plans				by 3 rd parties. This will include cover crops. CBF developed a no-till and cover crop aerial imagery analysis tool that will	
											be explored in more detail during 2023. Current	

Action # Description	Agric	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	as encountered minor ob Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources <u>Availa</u> Technical		aken or has encou Resource	s <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Change
	Agri	culture Ac	tion Team			Technical	Financial	Taskaisal		1	
								Technical	Financial		
						Ag Technicians				 assumption is possibly marrying the CBF tool with LFT on-the-ground efforts to improve cover crop and no till reporting. 2021: Implementation continues and is a focus in outreach and engagement efforts 2020: Implementation of cover crop approaches have grown exponentially and been successful. However, not all approaches are counted or being counted due to slight variations from the three specific approaches that count 	
Increase no-till practices (specific request to the plain sect communities to identify S-8 farm 2.2 clusters who are sharing equipment or who could share equipment – we want to get them the equipment if it helps)	total acres/821 new acres	LCCD, PA No-Till Alliance, Stroud	All of Lancaster County with a focus on priority watersheds and plain sect communities	2025	 The governor's push for PA to be the #1 state for organic production can be more integrated with water quality efforts and messaging overall (example: organic makes notill a more difficult sell because they cannot use herbicides); Financial and technical support from municipalities as we all wade through new MS4 flexibility; Shifting dairy industry will likely drive more farmers to produce but we need to get the no-till message to them before they make the switch; Promoting soil health to horse farmers is especially difficult. Capture of practices via transect survey can help ascertain progress. 	More no-till planters are available from local equipment manufacturers Host more movie premier events of Stroud's soil health film and pair it with a panel of farmers Peer-to-peer outreach from NTA members Municipalities who want to support this practice to protect their roads	NFWF grants that include imp. and peer-to-peer outreach dollars to pay farmers for their time (Stroud)	Local benefits for no- till farmers More coverage in national agriculture communications so this is widely viewed and accepted message (Farm Journal, etc.)	Dollars (\$3.1M annually) for more equipment Industry leaders to pay a higher premium for crops raised with conservation tillage practices	as reductions.2024: See Ag 2.1. No-till along with cover crops continue to be a priority focus in messaging an communications with individual farmers.2023: See Ag 2.1 note.2023: See Ag 2.1 note.Success has also been observed with the development of a tobacco no- till planter arrangement developed by LCCD and PSU AEC.2022: See Ag 2.1 note2022: See Ag 2.1 note2022: See Ag 2.1 note2022: See Ag 2.1 note2021: Extremely difficult to measure progress (but we know implementation is occurring) as there is no required reporting; many partners focusing on/promoting soil health practices; emerging markets may enhance implementation. Communication of transect survey data for practices may help ascertain progress.	

	Phase 3 W	atershed Implemer	ntation Plan (V	VIP) Progress ar	nd Milestones	s Template						
	<u>Green</u> - a	ction has been complete	ed or is moving for	ward as planned	Yellow - action h	nas encountered minor ob	stacles <u>Red</u> - action	has not been t	aken or has enco	untered a serious	barrier	
action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources <u>Av</u>	ailable	Resourc	es <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Chan
		Agric	culture Act	tion Team			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
2.3	Better pasture and crop management for healthier upland soils	Prescribed grazing – 9,116 total acres/6,327 new acres	LCCD, Private Ag. Consultants, Stroud	All of Lancaster County with a focus on priority watersheds and plain sect community	2025	Average farm size is small so enough pasture can be difficult; Manure injection equipment is expensive; Participation rates are currently low so peer-to-peer is difficult; Shifting dairy industry will likely drive more farmers to produce	LCCD Private Consultants NGO's NGO's		More staff to do outreach to find willing land-owners Farmers to do peer- to-peer conversations More coverage in national agriculture communications (Farm Journal, etc.)	Incentive program to do soil health practices \$800K for prescribed grazing (new acres) and \$339K for manure injection, with services provided largely by haulers	 implementation has been proceeding at a slower pace than originally desired or planned due to limited capital and human resources. Seed money for a local incentive program may accelerate implementation. 2024: Overall trend of implementation is in the desired direction. However, a focus with LTVPs is underway to ensure we are re-verifying previously implemented practices to ensure continuous crediting. 2023: Manure incorporation efforts have been entered into PK. Level of implementation is not up to original targets; but tracking, reporting, etc. has been resolved. 2023 implementation efforts included silvopasture type approaches and bioreactor(s) along with traditional prescribed grazing BMPs. 2022: Injector not taking off as initially anticipated Need to set time aside to better understand the whole picture associated with injection (SCC reporting? Module in PK?) to outline a flowchart in 2023 Injections have occurred, but not seeing the numbers showing up yet, metrics analyses for 2023 annual report will include a reconciliation process to ensure these numbers are captured and reported via PK. In addition to funding from a private foundation, the Lancaster County Conservation 	

	<u>Green</u> - a	ction has been complete	ed or is moving forv	vard as planned	Yellow - action h	as encountered minor obst	acles <u>Red</u> - action	has not been t	aken or has enco	untered a serious	barrier	
action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources <u>Ava</u>	<u>ailable</u>	Resource	es <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Chang
		Agric	culture Act	ion Team			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
Priori 3.1	Education and Outreach (focus on flood control, public health benefits, herd health, building legacy options for families, economics, and achieving compliance; winter/spring 2020 Ag event organized by source water collaboratives)	Ag Community Ec			On-going	Time; Funding for staff time; So many landowners to reach; So many options that it gets confusing for landowners and conservation professionals; Plain Sect are traditionally nervous about govt funding			More coverage in national agriculture communications so this is widely viewed and accepted message (Farm Journal, etc)	\$400K per year for additional staffing (5 persons) and outreach	Image: second	

	<u>Green</u> - ac	ction has been complete	d or is moving forw	ard as planned	Yellow - action ha	as encountered minor obst	acles <u>Red</u> - action	has not been ta	ken or has encou	intered a serious	barrier	
tion #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources <u>Ava</u>			es <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Chan
		Agric	ulture Acti	on Team			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
											 through multiple funding streams (RCPP, NFWF, LCF, etc.) where successful trust- building efforts have led to plans and BMPs for implementation. 2024 may be considered aspirational for previously identified 76% of agricultural restoration goals (and 84% buffer restoration goals), but it's not out of line either. The Amish Liaison Program was re-launched in de-listing catchments in the Octoraro Watershed. 2022: TSPs generating inventories with the intent that we have an understanding where individual farmers stand leading to an effort to organize "buckets" of awaiting technical assistance, funding, etc. Parcel-level data gleaned through outreach visits as part of the delisting strategy is being collected to document not only the number of farms visited, but also their willingness, plan status, BMP needs, funding sources, and openness to federal funding. Delisting strategy progress: On-the-ground partners have completed outreach to approximately 39% of landowners of high-priority farms, with outreach anticipated to 100% estimated to be complete by the end of 2022. Across all catchments, implementation is now completed/underway, or with landowners who are likely amenable make up a total of 76% of the agricultural 	

	Green -	action has been complete	ed or is moving forw	vard as planned	Yellow - action h	as encountered minor obstacles	Red - action	has not been ta	ken or has encountered a ser	ious barrier	
tion #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources <u>Ava</u>		Resources <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 +	stification for Chang
		Agric	culture Act	ion Team			Technical	Financial	Technical Financia	1	
										restoration goals and 84% of buffer restoration goals. 12 of the 21 catchments are on track to meet restoration goals by 2024. Relationship building and addressing goals of the farm are the most important and viable in-roads for outreach and getting to 'yes' for implementation. Reality is that to do that currently, it is a slow process – very hard to jumpstart implementation regardless of funding in-hand by bypassing the trust building. 2021: Both outreach and corresponding implementation funding need to increase substantially to meet goals by 2025; outreach has been successful but timing bottlenecks emerged with flow of implementation funding; risk of losing landowner interest if unable to implement BMPs in a timely manner. Pace currently dictated by catchments of focus in de-listing strategy. 2020: A significant amount of time and effort has been focused on outreach and has been very successful. However, activities have been proceeding at a relatively slow pace due to limited capital and human resources available for one-on-one or individual farm engagements	

Phase 3 Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP) Planning and Progress Template

Each county-based local area will use this template to identify:

1. Inputs – These are both existing and needed resources, public and private, to implement the identified priority initiative. These include both technical and financial resources, such as personnel, supplies, equipment and funding.

2. Process – what is each partner able to do where and by when. These are the action items listed under each priority initiative.

3. Outputs and outcomes – both short and long-term. These are the priority initiatives identified by each county. The performance targets are the intermediate indicators that will measure progress.

4. Implementation challenges – any potential issues or roadblocks to implementation that could impede outputs and outcomes.

Asterisk: Place an asterisk next to the action number(s) for action items that appear in both the County Planning and Progress Template and the Programmatic Recommendations Template.

For each Priority Initiative or Program Element: Use the fields, as defined below, to identify the inputs and the process that will be followed to achieve each priority initiative. This is the "who, what, where, when and how" of the plan:

Description = What. This may include programs that address prevention, education, or as specific as planned BMP installations that will address the Priority Initiative. A programmatic or policy effort will require some ability to quantify the anticipated benefits which will allow calculation of the associated nutrient reductions.

Performance Target = How. This is an extension of the Description above. The Performance Target details the unique BMPs that will result from implementation of the Priority Initiative and serves as a benchmark to track progress in addressing the Priority Initiative. Performance Targets may be spread across multiple Responsible Parties, Geographies, and Timelines based on the specifics of the Initiative.

Responsible Party(ies) = Who. This is/are the key partner(s) who will implement the action items though outreach, assistance or funding, and who will be responsible for delivering the identified programs or practices.

Geographic Location = Where. This field identifies the geographic range of the planned implementation. This could extend to the entire county or down to a small watershed, based on the scale of the Priority Initiative, range of the Responsible Party, or planned funding/resources. NOTE: Resource limitations alone should not limit potential implementation as additional funding may become available in the future.

Expected Timeline = When. Provide the expected completion date for the planned activity. This should be a reasonable expectation, based on knowledge and experience, that will aid in tracking progress toward addressing the Priority Initiative.

Resources Available: Technical & Funding = This field will note technical and financial resources secured/available to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the resources identified in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole; or, if available, to each action.

Resources Needed: Technical & Funding = This field will note technical and financial resources needed/outstanding to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the additional resources projected and identified as needed in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole; or, if possible, to each action.

Potential Implementation Challenges/Issues = This field will note challenges and issues that may delay program implementation (Description).

		Phase 3 Watershed Imple	mentation Pla	n (WIP) Pro	gress and Mile	estones Template						
		<u>Green</u> - action has be	en completed or is	moving forwa	rd as planned	ellow - action has enco	ountered minor o	obstacles <u>Re</u>	ed - action has not l	peen taken or h	as encountered a seriou	s barrier
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources	<u>Available</u>	Resource	s <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Change
	Water Qual	ity Monitoring ("D	ata Manag	gement") Action T	eam	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
	Priority In	itiative 1: Data Manage	ment							I		
1.1	Create a central location for County conservation plans, restoration project permits, grant applications, etc.		LCCD, DEP, SRBC	Countywide	Ongoing; intend to have the system set up and running by 2023	 Explore opening Practice Keeper to more agriculture and conservation professionals; make it talk to programs like CSDatum, etc.; Lack of immediately- available funding to set up the software; Not all partners are ready to share their data; Practice Keeper is currently a private and locked system; Concerns over landowners' privacy FieldDoc use and data integration Input deck for long-term verification processes may be helpful and need to be considered* 	Practice Keeper, World View experts at the LCCD and DEP CS Datum, ESRI, and ARRO experts ready to help County GIS team		1-2 staff people at the Conservation District to spearhead the work (Conservation Plans) ArcGIS license and more Practice Keeper licenses Staff time to collate data	\$200,000 \$10,000 Planning grant \$500,000+	 2024: PracticeKeeper is still serving as the primary database for ag and some stormwater management practices. CSDatum and individual municipal databases are serving as the primary repositories for urban-suburban BMPs. Collaborative events are still ongoing as not to duplicate efforts and to record as many BMPs that may have been missed within PracticeKeeper through catchment meetings and consistent communication. Efforts are ongoing for consistent and complete data entry to ensure integrity and clarity when reporting. 2023: PK is serving as the primary database for ag and some natural sector BMPs implemented. CSDatum and individual municipal databases are serving as the primary repositories for urban- suburban BMPs. Some natural sector BMPs are currently being built into 	

	l	Phase 3 Watershed Imple	mentation Pla	n (WIP) Prog	ress and Mi	estones Template						
		<u>Green</u> - action has bee	en completed or is	moving forward	as planned	Yellow - action has enco	untered minor	obstacles <u>Red</u>	- action has not k	een taken or h	as encountered a seriou	s barrier
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resource	s <u>Available</u>	Resource	s <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Change
·	Water Quali	ty Monitoring ("D	ata Manag	gement")	Action [•]	Геат	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
		tiative 1: Data Manage										
											 understood no absolute centralized database can be created that adheres to requirements or limitations tied to individual sectors; as individual sector requirements may conflict with another sector. In turn, efforts are regularly completed to coordinate and collaborate data and information across sectors and databases to help guide BMP implementation efforts. Improvements have been identified for data and information to be captured and distributed amongst partners. Some data for older BMPs may never be fully identified, but data and information for CAST reporting has been built into current platforms for current and future BMPs. 2022: Continued in efforts to ensure individual platforms (PK, CSDatum, etc.) are recording the data/info needed and we are not duplicating efforts. Efforts are starting to progress "into the weeds" (e.g. who, what, where, etc. is recorded for SWM facilities on ag lands (e.g. chicken house triggers local SWMO for SWM). 2022 included launching an exploration of using CSDatum as the central repository for urban/suburban sector 	

Action Performance Target(s) Responsible Geographic Expected Potential Implementation Resources Available Reso	
# Party(ies) and Location Timeline Implementation Resources Available Resources Needed Date (2020	gress to
Recommendations	+ 2021 + Justification
Water Quality Monitoring ("Data Management") Action Team	
Priority Initiative 1: Data Management	
Phone you have been well been wel	s) it least as until DEP ine portal e hift to and m non- exploring individual a and g. WQ data for to the e data will the end- r bata entry overall with ms. ot a true but n the e will be f, FieldDoc, nat capture he mation for ation and es and rt of the r team) is ng vvation iceKeeper ch to reviously held by nts is hent, but require

	Phase 3 Watershed Imple	ementation Pla	in (WIP) Pro	gress and Mile	stones Template						
	<u>Green</u> - action has be	en completed or is	s moving forwa	rd as planned	ellow - action has enc	ountered minor	obstacles <u>Re</u>	<u>d</u> - action has not l	peen taken or h	as encountered a seriou	s barrier
ction #	Description Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resource	s <u>Available</u>	Resource	s <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification fo Change
	Water Quality Monitoring ("D	ata Mana	gemenť	") Action T	eam	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
	Priority Initiative 1: Data Manage	ment									
										stages of use and will capture projects that don't fit a cost-share category, permit, etc. CMT will be used to assist with prioritization and project- specific information for BMPs. It is anticipated an SOP (or protocol) will be developed to ensure information is entered into the appropriate platform.	
	Better documentation of current	Haulers, brokers	Countywide	On-going (tied to Ag	Currently no system to	FieldDoc		Staff time to collate		2024: See Ag 1.4	
1.1	Manure transport			Action team)	Funding for staffing; Funding for PracticeKeeper			data		2023: See Ag 1.4 2022: See Ag 1.4 2021: Waiting to assess changes to Act 38 reporting to ascertain next steps. 2020: Relevant stakeholders have agreed in principle that a central system is appropriate but that is the extent of results associated with	
1.3	In-stream monitoring	SRBC, DEP, EPA, USGS, CBP, WSI	Countywide	On-going (thru 2025 and beyond)	Collate and organize Lancaster specific data to help us set better baselines and measure progress	SRBC		Staff time to collate and analyze data		2024: LCCD has a total of 14 in-stream sonde units throughout the county and collaborating with	

		Phase 3 Watershed Impl	ementation Pla	n (WIP) Prog	ress and Mil	estones Template						
		Green - action has be	een completed or is	moving forward	d as planned	Yellow - action has enc	ountered minor o	obstacles <u>Re</u>	d - action has not l	oeen taken or ha	s encountered a seriou	s barrier
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources	<u>Available</u>	Resource	s <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification fo Change
· ·	Water Quali	ty Monitoring ("[Data Manag	gement") Action 1	Геат	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
	Priority Ini	tiative 1: Data Manage	ement									
			Presently: J sondes + 4 USGS stations (known)			Monitor watershed management units Assess Octorara approach for potential replication	Citizen Data volunteer at Lancaster County Conservancy and LCCD PSU-NFWF macro sampling teams/entities		SRBC staff time SRBC staff time Lab(s) and/or equipment (initial and long-term water quality monitoring equipment)	\$160,000 (sondes/ stations-initial install, maintain, analyses); long- term equipment maintenance	USGS and SRBC to ensure data collected are representative. There will also be 5 new telemetered stations to begin real-time data visualization. LCCD has also expanded their volunteer program with a new equipment location at Historic Poole Forge for better accessibility to ELANCO area volunteers. LCCD, & PSU AEC have, sampled 17 targeted stream segments for macroinvertebrates and habitat assessments. OWA continues to use CSDatum for water quality data entry and have expressed how easy it is for them. The LRWA in-stream monitoring station has been collecting data. LCCD received funding to purchase more monitoring equipment enabling more volunteers to sample local streams. DEP biologist sampling in Lancaster has been attending local meetings and collaborating with partners. LCCD also continues to conduct fishery surveys on restoration project sites. There are plans in place to continue identifying areas and streams in need of monitoring across agencies and organizations. The collaboration of such data	

		Phase 3 Watershed Imple	mentation Pla	n (WIP) Prog	ress and Mi	lestones Template						
		Green - action has be	en completed or is	moving forward	as planned	Yellow - action has enco	untered minor	obstacles <u>Red</u>	- action has not b	peen taken or h	as encountered a seriou	s barrier
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources	s <u>Available</u>	Resource	s <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification fo Change
	Water Ouali	ity Monitoring ("D	ata Manag	gement")	Action ⁻	Гeam	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
		itiative 1: Data Manage		5								
	r nonty ini		ment					1		1		
											collection is also continuing.	
											continuing.	
											2023: The LCWPs were	
											successfully able to	
											implement ten (1) water	
											quality monitoring	
											stations in the Conestoga	
											and Pequea watersheds to	
											complement existing SRBC	
											water quality monitoring	
											stations.	
											The OWA continues to roll	
											out its comprehensive	
											water quality modeling	
											tool to assist with decision	
											points for BMP	
											implementation and	
											assess improvements in	
											the Octorara watershed.	
											The Lititz Run Watershed	
											Alliance (LRWA) installed a	
											"cabinet" on Lititz Run	
											with several pieces of	
											water quality monitoring	
											equipment. The LRWA	
											intends to expand the amount of equipment to	
											amount of equipment to gather a more	
											comprehensive list of	
											parameters to gauge	
											stream health and	
											corresponding watershed	
											health.	
											Additional monitoring	
											equipment would be ideal	
											to capture long-term	
											trends across watersheds,	
											but the introduction of the	
											current slate of equipment	
											has been welcomed.	
											2022: The Octorara	
											Watershed Assoc. (OWA)	
											with assistance from	
											multiple partners and the	
											EPA is developing a	

	PI	nase 3 Watershed Imple	ementation Pla	n (WIP) Progr	ess and Mile	estones Template						
		Green - action has be	en completed or is	moving forward	as planned	<u>(ellow</u> - action has enco	untered minor	obstacles <u>Red</u>	- action has not	been taken or h	as encountered a seriou	s barrier
ction C #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources	s <u>Available</u>	Resource	s <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification fo Change
Wa	ater Quality	y Monitoring ("D	ata Manag	gement")	Action T	eam	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
	Priority Initia	ative 1: Data Manage	ment									
											comprehensive waterquality modeling tooldesigned to better reflectlocalized conditions. Basedon the final product(s) ofthis tool, it may beappropriate to replicateacross other watersheds;but will cross that bridgeafter the OWA tool is upand running.The DM team has evolvedinto a committee morefocused on monitoring-related considerations.DM efforts overall aremonitored by the CAPCoord team2021: WQ modeling toolunder development in theOctorara. Resourcesshifted with assistancefrom the Penn State AECfor de-listing strategymonitoring support. Datadump into the CWMT, butend user data display stillunder development.2020: Activities haveprogressed albeit at aslower pace than desiredor originally planned dueto human and capitalresource limitations.Through the PSU Ag andEnvironment Center,monitoring effortsassociated with theConewago Initiative andother endeavors will be"transferred" to select(priority) areas across thecounty to capture water	

	Pl	hase 3 Watershed Imple	ementation Pla	n (WIP) Pro	gress and Mil	lestones Template						
		Green - action has be	en completed or is	moving forwar	rd as planned	Yellow - action has enc	ountered minor	r obstacles <u>Re</u>	ed - action has not l	peen taken or ha	as encountered a serious	barrier
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resource	es <u>Available</u>	Resource	s <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification fo Change
	Water Qualit	y Monitoring ("D	ata Manag	gement") Action ⁻	Team	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
	Priority Initi	ative 1: Data Manage	ment									
			SRBC, DEP, LCCD, LCCWC, municipalities, non- profits and private sector consultants	Countywide	Four per year	Funding and staff for collection and maintenance of units Acquiring and incorporating WQ data	SRBC portal	NFWF Focus Lancaster grant (till 2020)	Software and web support to display data		2024: CSDatum is still being used for some data entry for water quality monitoring results and that data are being shared through LCCD.	
						from DEP Willingness to share data	DEP web interfaces				LCCD and LCWP have selected a firm to create and establish data dashboard to track and publicize CAP goals, BMP implementation, and	
						CSDatum				water quality. The goal of this data dashboard would be for the greater public to be knowledgeable of where the county is at meeting the clean water goals and where some		
1.4	Display of monitoring results spatially to promote greater public involvement in the tracking process									gaps may be located. CWMT updated to show more water quality attributes from a variety of organizations (v3.0) – continues to work with		
											Chesapeake Conservancy as tool evolves	
											It is a 2025 goal to continue working on an interactive interface displaying water quality goals.	
											2023: CSDatum was modified to allow data entry of water quality monitoring results from municipal and non-	
										municipal users and partners. Discussions regarding how to reflect water quality data in the CWMT have been		
											broached, but a definitive approach has not been established yet. The CWMT primarily shows	

		Phase 3 Watershed Imple	mentation Pla	n (WIP) Prog	ress and Mile	estones Template						
		Green - action has bee	n completed or is	moving forward	d as planned	ellow - action has enco	ountered minor o	bstacles <u>Re</u>	<u>d</u> - action has not b	been taken or ha	as encountered a seriou	s barrier
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources	<u>Available</u>	Resource	s <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Change
	Water Quali	ity Monitoring ("D	ata Manag	gement") Action T	eam	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
	Priority Ini	itiative 1: Data Manager	nent				<u> </u>					
											modeling results and BMP implementation locations. 2022: Efforts focused on	
											better defining long-term goals and measurement via long-term monitoring. This will dictate what the	
											dashboard will look like in the long run. Efforts in 2022 are on-going with current focus on defining	
											over-arching goals and objectives and subsequent activities.	
											2021: Data dump into the CWMT, but end user data display still under development (funding	
											needed to assist-pursuing Campbell Found. for funding)	
											2020: Components and functionality of information for display has	
											been established. Currently working through multiple platform interface "rules".	
				Countywide		Data alignment with other web-based/GIS-based tools (e.g. FieldDoc, PK, etc.)	CSDatum, WQ portals, etc. for WQ related information		Web support		2024: The CWMT (version 3.0) has been updated and continues to serve as a public interface for planners and the public. Chesapeake Conservancy	
1.5	Implement GIS-based collaborative tool (Collaborative Watershed								Historical data analysis prior to transfer to CWMT		continues to update and assist with the tool. 2023: The CWMT (version	
	Management Tool (CWMT))										2.0) is up and running. The tool is public-interfacing platform and tool for catchment leads and de-	
											listing strategy tracking. 2022: CWMT is up and running. Per Action 1.4, a	
											discussion is anticipated in	

		Phase 3 Watershed Impl	ementation Pla	n (WIP) Prog	gress and Mil	estones Template						
		Green - action has be	een completed or is	moving forwar	d as planned	Yellow - action has enco	untered minor	obstacles <u>Re</u>	<u>d</u> - action has not	been taken or h	as encountered a seriou	s barrier
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	formance Target(s) Responsible Geographic Expected Potential Party(ies) and Location Timeline Implementation Partnerships Article Challenges or Resources Available		s <u>Available</u>	Resources <u>Needed</u>		Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Change			
	Water Quali	ity Monitoring ("[Data Manag	gement") Action 1	Геат	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
	Priority Ini	tiative 1: Data Manage	ement						<u> </u>		I	
											2023 to build or inject a level of communication or data transfer between differing platforms. 2021: CWMT "upgrades" continue and a primary tool for the de-listing strategy. Pursuing funding (Campbell Found.) for better organization of WQ data "dumped" into platform 2020: The CMT is up and running; with continued development and data alignment activities underway.	

Phase 3 Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP) Planning and Progress Template

Each county-based local area will use this template to identify:

1. Inputs – These are both existing and needed resources, public and private, to implement the identified priority initiative. These include both technical and financial resources, such as personnel, supplies, equipment and funding.

2. Process – what is each partner able to do where and by when. These are the action items listed under each priority initiative.

3. Outputs and outcomes – both short and long-term. These are the priority initiatives identified by each county. The performance targets are the intermediate indicators that will measure progress.

4. Implementation challenges – any potential issues or roadblocks to implementation that could impede outputs and outcomes.

Asterisk: Place an asterisk next to the action number(s) for action items that appear in both the County Planning and Progress Template and the Programmatic Recommendations Template.

For each Priority Initiative or Program Element: Use the fields, as defined below, to identify the inputs and the process that will be followed to achieve each priority initiative. This is the "who, what, where, when and how" of the plan:

Description = What. This may include programs that address prevention, education, or as specific as planned BMP installations that will address the Priority Initiative. A programmatic or policy effort will require some ability to quantify the anticipated benefits which will allow calculation of the associated nutrient reductions.

Performance Target = How. This is an extension of the Description above. The Performance Target details the unique BMPs that will result from implementation of the Priority Initiative and serves as a benchmark to track progress in addressing the Priority Initiative. Performance Targets may be spread across multiple Responsible Parties, Geographies, and Timelines based on the specifics of the Initiative.

Responsible Party(ies) = Who. This is/are the key partner(s) who will implement the action items though outreach, assistance or funding, and who will be responsible for delivering the identified programs or practices.

Geographic Location = Where. This field identifies the geographic range of the planned implementation. This could extend to the entire county or down to a small watershed, based on the scale of the Priority Initiative, range of the Responsible Party, or planned funding/resources. NOTE: Resource limitations alone should not limit potential implementation as additional funding may become available in the future.

Expected Timeline = When. Provide the expected completion date for the planned activity. This should be a reasonable expectation, based on knowledge and experience, that will aid in tracking progress toward addressing the Priority Initiative.

Resources Available: Technical & Funding = This field will note technical and financial resources secured/available to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the resources identified in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole; or, if available, to each action.

Resources Needed: Technical & Funding = This field will note technical and financial resources needed/outstanding to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the additional resources projected and identified as needed in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole; or, if possible, to each action.

Potential Implementation Challenges/Issues = This field will note challenges and issues that may delay program implementation (Description).

	<u>Green</u> - action	n has been completed or is m	oving forward as p	lanned <u>Yell</u>	- action has e	ncountered minor obst	acles <u>Red</u> - a	ction has not k	been taken or has	encountered a	serious barrier	
tion #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources	<u>Available</u>	Resources	<u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Char
	'	Stormwate	r Action Te	eam			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	-	
oritv In	nitiative 1: Update	e Act 167 Integrated	Water Resou	rces Count	tv Plan							
Priorit Count that in inform I.1 every plan w ordina	tize Act 167 planning in the ty (develop new Act 167 plan ncludes updated plan nation and modeling for "County watershed. A new will provide updated ances to support regional f and flood management)		County Planning, Municipal., Lancaster County Clean Water Consortium	Countywide	When funding is available				Lead org. (Consulting engineer/ planner) to assist LCPD	\$3 million for Lancaster County	 2024: County Planning has engaged and contracted a consultant for phase 1 Act 167 Plan development. An Act 167 Kickoff meeting with municipal staff and municipal engineers was held in July 2024, with continued engagements occurring during the fall of 2024 and spring 2025. 2023: An application for an update of the countywide Act 167 Plan was spearheaded by the LCPD and approved by the County Commissioners. The application submitted to PADEP is limited to the phase 1 planning effort for an Act 167 plan update. If awarded, the effort would launch in 2024. 2022: County planning (LCPD) is interested in updating the Act 167 Plan if the funding hurdle can be overcome. A draft scope for a two phase plan update has been generated. LCPD has had preliminary meetings with the Clean Water Partners (Partners) and the Lanc Co Conservation District (LCCD) to discuss the importance of updating the Act 167 plan and feasibility of submitting a request for County ARPA funds. LCPD is creating an outline and scope of work to advance this effort. Next step to get feedback from PA DEP in coordination with the Partners and LCCD. Partners staff mentioned that an alternative to ARPA funds is the \$8.8 mill in new State funding (formatted like GGG 	

	Groop as	tion has been completed or is n	oving forward as r	Jannod Valle	- action has a	ncountered minor obst	aclos Pod a	ction has not he	on takon or has	oncountarad	a sorious barrier	
Action #	Description	tion has been completed or is n Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations		s <u>Available</u>	een taken or has Resources		Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Chan
		Stormwate	r Action Te	eam			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
riority	/ Initiative 1: Upd	ate Act 167 Integrated	Water Resou	rces Count	y Plan							
											LCPD would need funding for consultant to draft the plan as well as help managing the consultant.LCPD staff must assess if it makes sense to update Blueprints or create an entirely new document. Blueprints strategic focus and strategies would not change, and not sure it makes sense to just update background info/base data.2021: County Planning Commission should take lead on this effort. It is important for financial and technical resources to be allocated to the County to update Blue Prints. Alternative is to petition DEP to allow CAP to count toward update and Action Teams as implementation resource.2020: There is consensus that both an Act 167 plan update is appropriate; and an update should incorporate data, considerations, etc. that reflects water quality information and modeling to better ensure stormwater management and flood mitigation planning and project implementation balances both water quantity and quality. Actions to move this initiative forward are limited by financial	

	<u>Green</u> - a	ction has been completed or is me	oving forward as p	lanned <u>Yel</u>	ow - action has e	ncountered minor obst	acles <u>Red</u> - a	ction has not be	en taken or has	encountered a	a serious barrier	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources	<u>Available</u>	Resources	<u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Chang
	·	Stormwate	r Action Te	eam			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
riori	ty Initiative 1: Upd	late Act 167 Integrated	Water Resou	rces Count	ty Plan							
1.2	Have 167 plan that has pollutants/modeling parameters consistent with CAST (dependent on 1.1)		County planning, Municipalities	Countywide	When funding available	Modeling is not consistent with the CAST model and more accurate baselines are required. New legislation at the state level to provide consistency between Act 167 and CAST model	Local consultants				 2024: To be determined during phase 1 plan development if this action can be incorporated or achieved. 2023: Intent for an Act 167 plan update would include modeling parameters consistent with CAST. This topic would be visited during phase 1 of the plan update in 2024 if awarded funds. 2022: Updated plan should definitely include data and modeling, and ideally would be consistent with the CAST model. Action is still coded red, but has been a subject of discussion for the Act 167 Plan update scope development noted under 1.1. 2020: See progress to date on 	

	<u>Green</u> - actio	on has been completed or is n	noving forward as p	blanned <u>Yell</u>	ow - action has e	encountered minor obs	tacles <u>Red</u> - a	ction has not be	en taken or has	encountered a	serious barrier	
ction #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources	s <u>Available</u>	Resources	<u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Chan
	I.	Stormwate	er Action To	eam			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	_	
riority	y Initiative 1: Updat	e Act 167 Integrated	Water Resou	rces Coun	ty Plan							
1.3	Update model ordinance(s) for countywide and/or watershed goals		County Planning, Municipalities, Solicitors, Community Stakeholders	Countywide	FY2024-2025	Develop a robust model municipal stormwater ordinance(s) for Lancaster County that explicitly defines water quality goals, implementation requirements, buffer extents, and supports other initiatives in the County WIP, including green infrastructure, conservation overlays, riparian corridor standards, and restricting development and construction within floodplains and advocate for municipal adoption. Time constraints, no funding, and municipal adoption	Local agencies, local consultants				 2024: Anticipated to be addressed (or course of action to be taken) during phase 1 plan development. 2023: It is anticipated the model ordinance update will coincide with an Act 167 Plan update and/or MS4 permit renewal cycle. Both are anticipated to occur/launch during the 2024 calendar year. Discussions have occurred during 2023 with the Engineers Roundtable group to begin organizing considerations, changes, etc. to the current model ordinance for the next update. 2022: Individual municipalities have largely moved forward on adopting new ordinances independently. Model ordinance should be updated in coordination with the Act 167 Plan, and because many Munis will have already adopted a new SWM ordinance, it would be best to wait until next MS4 permit cycle in 2024-2025. LCPD staff are serving on Stormwater Action Team, Ordinance and other related ordinances 2021: Intent is to develop model ordinance options under Stormwater Action Team and Lancaster Conservancy partnership. Tie county-wide stormwater ordinance model to Act 167 and/or new MS4 permit requirements. 	

	<u>Green</u> - act	ion has been completed or is mo	ving forward as p	lanned <u>Yello</u>	- action has e	ncountered minor obs	tacles <u>Red</u> - a	ction has not b	een taken or has e	encountered a	serious barrier	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources	s <u>Available</u>	Resources	<u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Chang
1		Stormwater	Action Te	eam			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	-	
Priorit	ty Initiative 1: Upda	te Act 167 Integrated V	Vater Resou	rces County	/ Plan							
											2020: There is consensus an Act 167 plan update is appropriate, and a subsequent update to the model ordinance is necessary. The engineer's group has convened several times to move this action forward, but there is also consensus to wait until the next MS4 permit draft (2023- 2028 cycle) is issued first.	
1.4	Establish greater regionalization of runoff and flood management	Stormwater Performance Stds – Runoff Reduction (35,762 total acres treated/ 6,205 new acres treated) Stormwater Performance Stds – Treatment (7,152 total acres treated/158 new acres treated)	County Planning, Municipalities	Countywide	On-going	Updated Act 167 and model ordinance(s) would establish basis for watershed-wide implementation of practices to cost- effectively achieve pollutant reduction goals. Localized plans (with conditions outlined in watershed permits or local SWMOs) that usurp Act 167 plans be a more efficient approach* BMP reconciliation during 2022-2023 will be critical	Local agencies, local consultants		Flexibility in regional management of water quality under Act 167	Funding for development of more advanced GIS tools: \$180,000 total to do; and funding for Act 167 Plan and subsequent elements (see Action 1.1) Capital Cost of new BMPs: ~\$208 million (current assumption is ~60% of BMPs	2024: Municipal collaboration in an effort to regionalize runoff management has begun in select municipal groups. Lititz Borough and Warwick Township developed a watershed based MS4 permit, the first of its kind in Lancaster County. Act 167 Phase 1 plan development will address the topic during engagements. 2023: It is anticipated this subject will be touched on in further detail during an Act 167 plan update. If awarded funds, efforts would get underway in 2024. Metrics analyses conducted during 2023 in	

<u>Green</u>	- action has been completed or is n	noving forward as p	lanned <u>Yello</u>	- action has e	encountered minor obst	acles <u>Red</u> - a	ction has not be	en taken or has	encountered a	serious barrier	
ction Description #	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources	<u>Available</u>	Resource	s <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Char
	Stormwate	r Action Te	eam			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
iority Initiative 1: U	odate Act 167 Integrated	Water Resou	rces County	/ Plan							
					to align accounting with on the ground conditions				simply need reported)	 conjunction with urban- suburban LTVPs guidance development revealed potentially uncaptured/unreported BMPs across urban-suburban sector. Performance targets revised assuming capture of PCM BMPs and related urban-suburban BMPs through MS4 permit annual reporting revised processes 2022: LCPD is supportive of this regionalization effort. Best opportunity to include the planning portion of this initiative (not necessarily implementation) would be to incorporate this work into the Act 167 planning. 2021: Follow delisting strategy to work on priority watersheds. Identify opportunities for regional projects/permits/joint construction to comply with MS4 requirements and met CAP goals. 2020: Implementation of projects that provide regional and extended community benefits have become more prevalent across the county. Mechanisms to better track or plan for more targeted project locations would be ideal (see Actions 1.1 and 1.2). 	

	<u>Green</u> - actio	on has been completed or is n	noving forward as p	planned <u>Yell</u>	ow - action has e	ncountered minor obs	tacles <u>Red</u> - a	ction has not be	en taken or has	encountered a	a serious barrier	
tion #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources	<u>Available</u>	Resources	s <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Chan
	1	Stormwate	er Action To	eam			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	_	
orit	y Initiative 1: Updat	e Act 167 Integrated	Water Resou	rces Count	ty Plan							
2.1	Clarify and broadly publicize flexibility criteria allowing focus on watersheds rather than municipally regulated MS4-UAs (process for watershed-based permits established, understood, and implemented by permittees to allow for greater documentation, recording, verification, and reporting of BMP beyond those located in MS4-UAs)		Lancaster County, municipalities	Countywide Priority Watersheds: Pequea Creek, Cocalico Creek Chiques Creek Others	CY2019-2021	As presented to permittees over the last ten years, DEP and EPA requirements and programs complicate this process and serve as a disincentive. However, recent guidance distributed to municipalities outlines a different methodology. Consistent training and regulation is vital. Generalized process for regional general permits (e.g. watershed-based) would be ideal.*	Technical expertise is present; DEP clarification memo/letter watershed groups			12 plans (1 per watershed) at \$50,000 = \$600,000 minimum needed to do the plans	 2024: LCWP has hired 2 municipal liaisons in order to more widely publicize the message of watershed-based collaboration and BMP installation beyond MS4 permit requirements. 2023: Lititz/Warwick will submit a watershed-based permit as the baseline consideration from PADEP for their Joint Individual MS4 permit application during the fall 2023. Efforts in 2023 have focused more on urbanized municipalities subject to MS4 permit requirements during the 2023 calendar year. Intent would be to expand identified criteria (specifically with LTVPs and BMP inventories) to rural municipalities during 2023 to better define processes, criteria, findings, etc. 2022: LCPD strongly supports watershed based or regional planning and permits that cross political boundaries, and which reflect natural watershed boundaries to the greatest extent possible. The Lititz Run WBP has been developed with assistance from NFWF and is currently planned to serve as the basis of the Warwick/Lititz Joint Individual MS4 Permit application in 2024. 	

Green - ac	tion has been completed or is n	noving forward as r	blanned Yell	ow - action has e	ncountered minor obs	tacles Red - a	ction has not be	en taken or has	encountered a	serious barrier	
Action Description #	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources		Resource		Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Chang
	Stormwate	er Action To	eam			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
Priority Initiative 1: Upda	ate Act 167 Integrated	Water Resou	rces Coun	ty Plan							
										application permit process with LCCD and DEP to discuss options for joint and/or regional watershed-based permits and plans. 2020: The development and implementation of watershed- based permits and plans is slowly progressing forward (Lititz Run WBP, Chiques Creek Report Card, Pequea WIP) that prioritizes watershed health have been moving along. Financial limitations are the primary hurdle for further efforts across other watersheds.	
2.2 Create goal line that is both definitive and does not stop at the end of a permit cycle (Establish quantifiable milestones that are consistent with CAST/Bay models, verifiable via consistent reporting templates that are consistent, accessible, and widely accepted)		Lancaster County, municipalities, Lancaster County Clean Water Consortium	Countywide	CY2019-2023	Milestones need to be consistent beyond those under current MS4 permits Action is required by DEP/EPA to make this process less complicated DEP/EPA must provide clear direction with regard to pollutant reduction calculation methodologies, verification protocols, and reporting requirements.	Technical expertise is present			\$20,000 per year is necessary to create and maintain a local report. \$80,000 – \$100,000 per year is necessary to host a point person for stormwater for the county	 2024: See Stormwater 2.1 2023: Outreach efforts and communications with several municipalities have evolved where municipalities are taking more into account the health of a watershed and/or stream system overall in lieu of simply meeting MS4 permit obligations; along with additional benefits of proposed projects (flood mitigation, infrastructure protection, etc.). No definitive approaches have been established to create a goal or measurement mechanisms yet, but the fact conversations have progressed down this "rabbit hole" are promising. 2022: Conversations centered around expanded long-term monitoring that would 	

	<u>Green</u> - ac	tion has been completed or is mo	oving forward as p	lanned <u>Yellov</u>	- action has e	ncountered minor obs	stacles <u>Red</u> -	action has not b	een taken or has	encountered a	serious barrier	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resource	es <u>Available</u>	Resources	Needed	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Chang
	-	Stormwater	Action Te	eam			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
Priorit	ty Initiative 1: Upda	ate Act 167 Integrated \	Nater Resou	rces County	/ Plan							
				·							Water Quality "Action team"	
											actions. 2021: Formalize metrics based on CAP goals. Work to create consistent reporting and counting of BMPs in CAP. 2020: While there is consensus this is an appropriate action to undertake, it is difficult to establish definitive and broad milestones due to changing	
			Lancaster County,	Countywide,	FY2021	Current DEP and	Technical	Dollars for PRP	Currently,	\$45,000 per	technologies, changing requirements, and so on. This action may come to the forefront through the further development of watershed- based documents (see Action 2.1). 2024: Multiple projects have	
		Bioretention and rain garden – 955 total acres treated/273 new acres treated Bioswales – 3,455 total acres treated	Municipalities	Priority watersheds: Pequea Creek Cocalico Creek Chiques Creek, etc.		EPA requirements make it more difficult for municipalities to focus on water quality rather than specific, inefficient program requirements.	expertise is present	projects are listed in the PRP report but need to be updated based on final, approved PRP's	Lancaster County MS4s will collectively spend appx. \$1M to achieve a 100,000-lb N reduction in the current MS4 permit cycle. This	watershed plan: - Dollars would be mostly for BMP's that will be listed in other Priority Initiatives but can receive	been completed, are underway, or have been completed demonstrating this focus. This includes the Little Conestoga Blue-Green Corridor and West/East Hempfield Township focus on the headwaters of Swarr Run.	
2.3	Seek creative solutions to focus on the problem (pollution), not the geography (MS4 and urban areas) – Prioritize projects that include multiple benefits	Filter strip runoff – 14 total acres treated/10 new acres treated Urban buffers – 256 total acres/144 new acres Impervious surface reduction – 58 total acres treated/58 new acres treated Wet ponds and wetlands – 706 total acres treated/322 new acres treated Stormdrain cleanout – 29,610 lbs. of sediment removed				MS4 permits shifting from TSS to TN reductions. this will take new dollars and expertise from the municipalities and engineers. Process established for meeting water quality goals outside of regulated geography and in a cost- efficient manner			funding could be leveraged against future permit cycle compliance if it could be spent on watershed-based solutions that includes projects outside of the traditional MS4 area.	credit in stormwater work Capital Cost of New BMPs: ~\$69 million (current assumption is ~50%-~60% of BMPs simply need reported)	2023: See Stormwater 2.2. Additionally, several municipalities (West Lampeter Twp., East Hempfield Township, and Warwick Township) are taking a more proactive approach with assisting entities without resources to tackle and correct problems affecting water resources within jurisdictions. Metrics analyses conducted during 2023 in conjunction with urban-suburban LTVPs guidance development revealed probable numerous	

	<u>Green</u> - a	action has been completed or is mo	oving forward as p	lanned Yellov	- action has e	ncountered minor obsta	cles <u>Red</u> - a	ction has not be	en taken or has	encountered a	serious barrier	
n	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources	<u>Available</u>	Resources	<u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Cha
		Stormwater	Action Te	eam			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
ority	Initiative 1: Up	date Act 167 Integrated \	Nater Resou	rces County	/ Plan							
		Grey infrastructure – 23,772 acres				PennDOT/turnpike					across urban-suburban sector.	
						coordination and					Performance targets revised	
						collaboration (including					assuming capture of PCM BMPs	
		Street sweeping – 155 acres treated	-			legislator support to help					and related urban-suburban	
						facilitate the process)					BMPs through MS4 permit	
						PMD reconciliation during					annual reporting revised	
		Dry ponds – 2,444 total acres				BMP reconciliation during 2022-2023 will be critical					processes (reflected in total acres treated only).	
		treated/396 new acres treated				to align accounting with					acres acated only.	
		Infiltration practices – 1,784 total acres	_			on the ground conditions					2022: Several municipalities	
		treated/120 new acres treated				(existing local databases					have collaborated together and	
						uncovered show					partnered in an official capacity	
		Extended dry basins – 9,602 total acres				approximately 5,000					(Intergovernmental	
		treated/386 new acres treated				uncaptured/ unreported					Cooperation Agreement(s)-	
		Versteted ever showned 1 257 tetel	-			BMPs)					Lititz & Warwick, East	
		Vegetated open channel – 1,257 total acres treated/432 new acres treated									Hempfield & West Hempfield,	
		ucres treated/452 new acres treated									etc.) for BMP implementation,	
		Filtering Practices - 148 total acres									and focused on ag-based reductions (East Lampeter	
		treated									Twp., East Cocalioco Twp., etc.)	
											directed at priority areas.	
		Permeable Pavement – 8.3 total acres treated/1 new acre treated									2021: Identifying and	
											implementing projects that	
											provide multiple benefits and	
											extended community benefits	
											is not the issue with this action.	
											Changes to programmatic/	
											policy requirements,	
											coordination amongst multiple	
											stakeholders	
											2020: Identifying and	
											implementing projects that	
											provide multiple benefits and	
											extended community benefits	
											is not the issue with this action.	
											Changes to	
											programmatic/policy	
											requirements, coordination amongst multiple stakeholders,	
											and so on inherently slows the	
											overall process down. There	
											are more opportunities	
											(projects) than current funding	
											streams.	

		ed Implementation Plar	· · · -									
	<u>Green</u> - actio	on has been completed or is m	noving forward as p	lanned <u>Yello</u>	- action has er	ncountered minor obs	stacles <u>Red</u> - a	action has not l	been taken or has	encountered a	serious barrier	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resource	s <u>Available</u>	Resources	<u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Chang
	· · · · · ·	Stormwate	r Action Te	eam			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	_	
riori	ty Initiative 1: Updat	te Act 167 Integrated	Water Resou	rces Count	y Plan							
3.1	Align permit parameters to water quality goals		Lancaster County, municipalities, DEP	Countywide		If a municipality is asked to submit the same information for each plan (102, 537, etc.), they should not need to duplicate efforts like hiring engineers twice or reformulate the data each time. Various DEP and EPA strategies are presently not aligned and improvements are not accounted for across programs (102, 105, 537, NPDES, MS4, etc.)	Technical expertise is present		Staff time at DEP		 2024: Phase 1 of the Act 167 Plan development project will address this item to determine potential inclusion of or defined steps related to this action item. It is assumed this will be explored in conjunction with the next MS4 permit round. 2022: Action is still coded red. However, there is anticipation that the DEP MS4 workgroup organized for 2022-2023 discussions may address this item and next steps would reveal themselves. 2021: Consistent data across DEP, other state agencies and funding programs should align to "count" all projects that affect water quality. 2020: The municipalities in the Lititz Run watershed are progressing with this action (but they are currently the only ones). There has been pushback from various departments at DEP regarding this effort. The draft Lititz Run WBP is anticipated to be submitted to DEP during the first quarter of 2021. 	There is awareness the MS4 workgroup collaborated on improvements to the genera MS4 permit for the next cycle Extent of permit parameters aligning with water quality goals are unknown until the new draft permit is released
3.2	Create greater consistency and accountability for review, inspections, and documentation of operation and maintenance of permit sites		Lancaster County, municipalities	Countywide	Understanding full funding and human resource needs is still under development	County and municipalities should clarify and implement protocols to ensure consistent	Technical expertise is present		Consistent inspection requires staff time from LCCD E&S staff and DEP; documentation and	More funding for staff and staff training (details under development)	2024: Multiple municipalities and efforts with CSDatum have moved forward addressing this item. The two new municipal	

Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources	s <u>Available</u>	Resources	<u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Chan
	1	Stormwate	r Action Te	eam			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	-	
riority	Initiative 1: Updat	te Act 167 Integrated	Water Resou	rces County	/ Plan							
						 reporting for Bay TMDL compliance. Tied to long-term verification processes inventory efforts. Clarification of appropriate procedure to report verifications per the BMP Verification Plan is needed* 	PennDOT Connect		reporting protocols for operation and maintenance.		Iiaisons include this work within their efforts.2023: A substantial effort to communicate urban-surburban LTVPs and BMP inventories was conducted in 2023 with the intent to ensure BMPs are re- verified at proper intervals and BMP inventories are fully updated to capture "unreported" BMPs. Changes and updates to CSDatum and individual municipal databases have been underway to improve the number of known BMPs. Performance targets for urban-suburban type BMPs have been updated to reflect the anticipated and previously "unreported" (or uncaptured) BMPs along with projected new BMPs.2022: Urban/suburban guidance document and associated LTVPs development and implementation across all municipalities was pursued in 2022. 2023 would include direct assistance efforts to help select municipalities.2021: Human and capital resources are the main limitations for fully implemented programs. There are several efforts underway to use stormwater fees to fund inspection and maintenance activities (including for privately-owned BMPs).2020: There is strong consensus and support for this 	

	Green - action has been completed or is n	noving forward as p	olanned <u>Yell</u>	- action has e	encountered minor obst	acles <u>Red</u> - a	action has not be	een taken or has	encountered a	a serious barrier	
tion Descr #	ption Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resource	s <u>Available</u>	Resource	s <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Chan
	Stormwate	r Action Te	eam			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
riority Initiativ	e 1: Update Act 167 Integrated	Water Resou	rces Coun	ty Plan			1				
										use stormwater fees to fund inspection and maintenance activities (including for privately-owned BMPs).	
iority Initiativ	e 4: Project Funding										
Seek and acquire legislation and fu implementation, maintenance of v projects (obtain s for implementati maintenance of a necessary to achi compliance)	nding for operation, and rater quality ufficient funding on and ongoing	Z Lancaster County, municipalities, private companies related to fertilizer legislation	Countywide	Coordination with Ag Action Team	Current state legislation that complicates and/or prohibits various public- private initiatives should be addressed to facilitate P3 initiatives and allow for private and public funds to be used collaboratively. The goal for this BMP is taken from the state recommendation, which relies on a change in the fertilizer legislation. Without that legislative change, we can only strive to treat 100 acres.	Technical expertise is present			Funding and legislation to support larger goal*	 2024: Focus continues on implementation funding, but maintenance funding continues to be a limiting factor. 2023: Creative funding mechanisms is a central objective to the LCWPs overall. A continuous limiting factor is sufficient funding to conduct maintenance and monitoring activities for implemented BMPs. 2022: Creative funding mechanisms were explored in more detail during 2022 (including N4W with East Lampeter) along with collaboration events held by LCWPs to align different entities with known funding streams and unifying support for pursuit of different funding streams. 2021: Funding mechanism would remove many barriers 	

Gree	<u>n</u> - action has been completed or	is moving forward as	planned <u>Yel</u>	ow - action has e	encountered minor obs	tacles <u>Red</u> - a	action has not be	en taken or has	encountered a	a serious barrier	
tion Description #	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resource	s <u>Available</u>	Resources	s <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Chan
	Stormwa	ter Action T	eam	·		Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
iority Initiative 1:	Jpdate Act 167 Integrat	ed Water Resou	irces Coun	ty Plan					·	·	
4.2 Employ market-driven solu for project funding (e.g. stormwater offset, credit t environmental impact bon	ions	Lancaster County, municipalities	Countywide	On-going	Practices such as stormwater offsets and wetlands banking transfers need to be enabled and established by DEP. EIB, green-crowd funding, and other private investments programs must be permitted via legislative change.	Technical expertise is present			Funding	 2024: With significant project implementation funding and resources limited to handle implementation activities, this action has developed out strategies but limited with implementation of those strategies. As long as sufficient funding exists, triggers for market-driven solutions will remain in holding but ready for implementation. 2023: See Stormwater 4.1 2022: This action is a fundamental component of discussions regarding creative funding mechanisms, regional opportunities, etc. Lancaster County saw a surge in interested organizations identifying opportunities, potential mechanisms, etc. for implementation over the next few years. 2021: Efforts continue 2020: Efforts have been underway implementing strategies or components of market-driven solutions (developer implemented regional stormwater management projects, social impact bonds, mitigation banking, and so on). Legislative or programmatic support would be ideal to knocking down remaining barriers for desired actions related to P3 	

		ed Implementation Plan	· · · -		-		taalaa Dad					
		on has been completed or is mo	oving forward as p	planned <u>Yell</u>	ow - action has e	encountered minor obs	tacles <u>Red</u> - a	action has not be	een taken or has	encountered a	a serious barrier	
tion #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resource	s <u>Available</u>	Resources	Needed	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Char
·		Stormwater	r Action To	eam			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	_	
iorit	ty Initiative 1: Upda	te Act 167 Integrated	Water Resou	rces Coun	ty Plan							
4.3	Revise funding criteria to ensure alignment with adopted policy and planning goals		Lancaster County MPO/TIP, Smart Growth Funds, Lancaster County Ag Preserve Board, LGH Lighten Up Lancaster	Countywide	On-going	Increase funding for Green Infrastructure and water quality BMPs for preserved farms, transportation and bridge projects, and complete streets	Technical expertise is present			Funding	 2024: Changes to criteria noted in 2022 were completed. Effects will not be known until next 2-year TIP cycle. 2023: Changes to criteria noted in 2022 were completed. Effects will not be known until next 2-year TIP cycle. 2022: MPO is currently modifying the TIP scoring process, and draft version has removed environmental factors in selection process. However, the LCPD and MPO is still supportive of this effort through the PennDOT Connects Program. LCPD/MPO do not see this as a funding criteria issue now, but instead a partnership issue after projects are selected. Adjusted the sub-grants program to align with GG program 2021: Water quality and regional projects have seen greater emphasis in local funding programs. Technical resources are needed to develop master list of projects in county to tie together limited resources. 2020: There is consensus this is needed, but limits to financial 	
1.4	Build water quality improvement measures into capital and maintenance projects	Dirt and Gravel Roads E&S – 224,245 total linear feet/181,151 new linear feet	Municipalities, Municipal Authorities, Lancaster County Conservation District Watershed team for Dirt and Gravel Roads program	Countywide	2025	Increase # of green infrastructure projects and water quality BMPs installed with municipal capital and maintenance projects				Capital Cost of new BMPs: ~\$2.88 million	resources are real. 2024: This can be described as a universal adopted approach with capital projects. 2023: As noted in 2022, this is a fundamental component of capital improvement efforts now. Coupled with notes provided in Stormwater 2.2,	

	Phase 3 Waters	hed Implementation Plan	(WIP) Progres	s and Mile	stones Templa	ate						
	<u>Green</u> - ac	tion has been completed or is me	oving forward as p	lanned <u>Yel</u>	ow - action has e	ncountered minor obst	acles <u>Red</u> - a	action has not be	een taken or has	encountered a	serious barrier	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resource	s <u>Available</u>	Resource	s <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Change
		Stormwate	r Action Te	eam			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
Priori	ty Initiative 1: Upd	ate Act 167 Integrated	Water Resou	rces Coun	ty Plan							
											 projects that provide multiple benefits more and more (e.g. complete stream restoration while simultaneously improving the structure and protection of sewer mains) 2022: This action could be considered a fundamental component of capital improvement plans now. However, there is also an elevated focus on building resiliency into proposed projects. 2021: Continuing as originally envisioned. 2020: The Dirt & Gravel Road/Low-Volume Roads program is very popular (even amongst boroughs and urbanized areas). Several municipalities have been implementing true asset management programs that provides considerations for stormwater facilities and water quality improvements in other focus areas (e.g. transportation improvements). 	
Priori	ty Initiative 5: Iden	tify alternate sources o	f project ider	ntification								
			Municipalities, Lancaster County	Countywide	On-going	Regular review of hazard mitigation plans Limited staffing to review materials		Dirt and Gravel Roads program and dollars			2024: The hazard mitigation plan update has been discussed at multiple municipalities during 2024, and stormwater infrastructure improvements	
5.1	Identify projects from hazard mitigation planning initiatives							DCNR buffer grants			 are intended to be integrated into these objectives identified in the hazard mitigation plans. 2023: See Stormwater 2.2 and 4.4 	
											2022: Not uncommon for municipalities to prioritize projects with multiple benefits. Limitations are only related to	

Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and	Geographic	Expected	Potential					America Director to Date	
		Partnerships	Location	Timeline	Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources	<u>Available</u>	Resources	<u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Chan
	Stormwate	r Action Te	eam			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
Initiative 1: Updat	e Act 167 Integrated	Water Resou	rces Count	y Plan							
										funding, permitting, and contractor availability bottlenecks.	
										2021: Continuing as originally envisioned.	
										2020: This is becoming more normal in overall project development and implementation efforts. However, human and capital resource limitations slow efforts to fully implement and coordinate/prioritize	
		Municipalities,	Countywide	On-going	Regular review of					opportunities. 2024: See Stormwater 2.2, 4.4,	
		Authorities, Lancaster County			improvement plans					2023: See Stormwater 2.2, 4.4,	
ntify projects from municipal ital improvement plans					Limited staffing to review materials		DCNR buffer grants			and 5.1 2022: See Actions 4.4 and 5.1	
										2021: See Action 5.1	
		Municipalities, Municipal Authorities,	Countywide	On-going	Regular review of local, county, and state infrastructure		Roads program			2024: See Stormwater 2.2, 4.4, and 5.1	
ntify projects from local, inty, and state infrastructure		Lancaster County			improvement plans Limited staffing to review					2023: See Stormwater 2.2, 4.4, and 5.1	
provement plans					materials					2022: See Actions 4.4 and 5.1	
										2020: See Action 5.1	
		Municipalities, Conservation District, Watershed groups, Lancaster	Countywide	On-going, with emphasis on de- listing strategy priority locations	Regular review of watershed plans Limited staffing to review		Roads program			2024: There is a continued reliance on 319 and watershed plans (Conoy, Lititz Run, Pequea, etc.) for project	
ntify projects from watershed n <mark>s</mark>		County			materials					2023: 319 plans and watershed action plans are serving as primary catalysts and planning	
n Ir Dr	tify projects from local, aty, and state infrastructure ovement plans	tify projects from local, sty, and state infrastructure rovement plans tify projects from watershed	tify projects from municipal cal improvement plans Municipal Authorities, Lancaster County tify projects from local tify projects from local ty, and state infrastructure ovement plans Municipalities, Municipal Authorities, Lancaster County tify projects from watershed Municipalities, Municipal Authorities, Lancaster County	tify projects from municipal rai improvement plans Municipal Authorities, Lancaster County Countywide tify projects from local rty, and state infrastructure ovement plans Municipalities, Municipal Authorities, Lancaster County Countywide tify projects from local rty, and state infrastructure ovement plans Municipalities, Countywide Countywide tify projects from watershed Image: County watershed Countywide	tify projects from municipal al improvement plans Municipal Authorities, Lancaster County Image: Countywide On-going tify projects from local ity, and state infrastructure ovement plans Image: Countywide On-going On-going tify projects from watershed Image: Countywide Image: Countywide On-going On-going tify projects from watershed Image: Countywide Image: Countywide Image: Countywide Image: Countywide	Municipal Authorities, Lancaster CountyMunicipal Authorities, Lancaster CountyMunicipal Lancaster CountyMunicipal Lancaster CountyMunicipal Lancaster CountyMunicipal Limited staffing to review materialstity projects from Nocal, uy, and state infrastructure ovement plansMunicipalities, Municipal Authorities, Lancaster CountyCountywideOn-goingRegular review of local, county, and state infrastructure improvement planstity projects from Nocal, uy, and state infrastructure ovement plansMunicipalities, Conservation District, Watershed groups, Lancaster CountyCountywideOn-going, with emphasis on de- lising strategy priority locationsRegular review of watershed planstity projects from watershetMunicipalities, CountyCountywideOn-going, with emphasis on de- lising strategy priority locationsRegular review of watershed plans	Municipal Authorities, Lancaster County Municipal Authorities, Lancaster County Municipal Authorities, Lancaster County Municipal Municipal Municipal Municipal Municipal Municipal Municipal Authorities, Lancaster County On-going Regular review of local, county, and state infrastructure improvement plans Regular review of local, county, and state infrastructure improvement plans Improvement plans tity projects from local, ty, and state infrastructure owement plans Municipalities, Lancaster County Countywide On-going, with emphasis on de- listing strategy projects from watershed Regular review of watershed plans tity projects from watershed Municipalities, Lancaster County Countywide On-going, with emphasis on de- listing strategy projects from watershed Regular review of watershed plans	Municipal Authorities, Lancaster County Municipal autioprovement plans municipal capital improvement plans municipal capital improvement plans Linked staffing to review materials CONR buffer grants Municipalities, Municipalities, Lancaster County Municipalities, Municipalities, Lancaster County Contywide On-going Regular review of local, county, and state infrastructure improvement plans Dirt and Gravel Roads program and dollars Municipalities, Municipalities, Lancaster County Municipalities, Lancaster County Countywide On-going Regular review of local, county, and state infrastructure improvement plans Dirt and Gravel Roads program and dollars Municipalities, Municipalities, Lancaster County Countywide On-going, with emphasis on de- groups, Lancaster County Regular review of watershed plans Dirt and Gravel Roads program and dollars Municipalities, Conservation Conservation groups, Lancaster County On-going, with emphasis on de- groups, Lancaster County Regular review of watershed plans Dirt and Gravel Roads program and dollars Hu projects from watershed Municipalities, County Countywide groups, Lancaster County On-going, with emphasis on de- groups, Lancaster County Regular review of watershed plans Dirt and Gravel Roads program and dollars	Municipal advisorities, Lancaster County Municipal advisorities, Lancaster County Municipal advisorities, Lancaster County Municipal advisorities, Lancaster County Municipal advisorities, Municipal Autorities, Lancaster County Municipal advisorities, Lancaster County Municipal advisorities, Lancaster County Municipal advisorities, Lancaster Lancaster Lancaster Lancaster	Image: here in the projects from numicical from n	Result of the second s

	<u>Green</u> - ac	tion has been completed or is m	oving forward as p	lanned <u>Yello</u>	w - action has e	ncountered minor obs	tacles <u>Red</u> - a	action has not b	een taken or has	encountered a	serious barrier	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resource	s <u>Available</u>	Resources	Needed	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Change
		Stormwate	r Action Te	eam	<u>.</u>		Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
Priori	ty Initiative 1: Upda	ate Act 167 Integrated	Water Resou	rces Count	y Plan						1	
											 that encompass water quality benefits, watershed improvements, infrastructure protection, and capital improvements. 2022: This has become a primary driver in certain watersheds for selecting BMPs for implementation including Chiques, Lititz Run, and Pequea watersheds. 2021: See Action 5.1 and watershed plans provided a starting point for the delisting strategy 2020: See Action 5.1 	
Priori	ty Initiative SR1: St	ream Restoration										
		In-stream restoration - Urban (35,180 total linear ft/35,179 new linear feet)	Municipalities, LCCD, WSI, watershed groups, DTU, USFWS, LandStudies, USACE, DEP, Lancaster County Clean Water	Contiguous projects in priority watersheds TBD Specific sites TBD based on	2019 – 2025 (and beyond)	As site specific details become available, we will use the alternative BMP template for floodplain and stream restoration projects with legacy sediment.	Practice Keeper as data hub for permits and projects	319 funding for Mill Creek, Conowingo, and Conewago	Outreach staff to make initial contact with landowners	Funding	2024: Efforts are underway to improve long-term monitoring activities associated with recently and proposed stream restoration projects (including implementation of WQ data sondes).	
SR1.1	50 projects plus basic, cost effective monitoring (field inspections) of before and after water quality results that are shared (include sourcewater protection work)	In-stream restoration – Non-urban (138,948 total linear ft/76,775 new linear feet)	County Clean Water Consortium, DEP, PAFBC, EPA	The intention is to address source water		Lack of funding available to achieve the projects at the pace we need Long permit timelines Presumed contiguous	Data experts like the Academy of Natural Science, SRBC, WSI, Chesapeake Conservancy, PSU, and more	Growing Greener funding	Permit processors (Harrisburg-based work)	Capital Cost of new BMPs: ~\$58 million	2023: Significant stream and floodplain restoration projects have been completed or are underway (e.g. Little Conestoga Blue-Green Corridor). Monitoring conducted is generally dictated by permit	
		Wetland restoration/creation in floodplain – 452 total acres/266 new acres		issues as well		willing landowners when that may not be the case, especially in the short term		Exelon funding	Excavators	\$75,000 per acre of wetland restoration	requirements. Discussions have occurred for long-term monitoring necessary as part of LTVPs, but a definitive process	

	Groon - actic	on has been completed or is n	oving forward as r	lanned Vall	action has a	encountered minor obs	tacles Red	action has not h	been taken or has	encountered a	serious harrier	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations		s <u>Available</u>	Resources		Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Chan
		Stormwate	r Action Te	eam		Recommendations	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	-	
Priori	ity Initiative 1: Updat	e Act 167 Integrated	Water Resou	rces Count	tv Plan							
						Develop an acceptable monitoring protocol that includes a publically viewable format Greater state/federal permit process speed (especially for commercial projects) Current MS4 set up limits municipal interest/availability to participate In general, current municipal ordinances do not make these projects an easy "yes" for a developer.		Private funding	Technical assistants to install projects (contractors) and project managers Monitoring equipment and data analysis experts	Add 20% on top of all costs for pre- and post- project work (finding willing land owners, identifying the best project locations, followed by monitoring, maintenance, etc)	has yet to be locked down (this is an on-going conversation). 2022: Discussions regarding expanded monitoring (not just projects) underway Regional-based stream restoration type projects under development (Little Conestoga) 2021: Projects have been implemented but data management has not caught up to be able to track implementation progress. CAP funding is providing another source of funding for implementation but funding remains the limiting factor. 2020: There is no shortage of opportunities and potential projects. Shortage of financial resources is the only limiting factor.	
SR1.2	Dam removal notification system so that appropriate restoration accompanies any removals (programmatic recommendation)		County, PAFBC, Water Science Institute	All areas	2025	A necessary comprehensive approach with connection between dam removal and restoration work; current situation allows a dam to be removed and the permitee to walk away, which results in much more sediment pollution	County, PSU, watershed specialists, PAFBC				 2024: Collaboration and communications continue to ensure known proposed dam removals are known. 2023: Collaboration and communications continue to ensure known proposed dam removals are known. 2022: Collaboration and engagements with entities known to target dam removals (e.g. American Rivers) was elevated to increase the awareness of proposed dam removals. 2021: Emphasize greater communication and coordination with state agencies prior to scheduled removal. 	

			· · · -	s and Miles								
	<u>Green</u> - actio	on has been completed or is n	noving forward as p	lanned <u>Yell</u>	ow - action has e	encountered minor obs	stacles <u>Red</u> - a	action has not b	een taken or has	encountered a	a serious barrier	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resource	s <u>Available</u>	Resource	s <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Chan
		Stormwate	r Action Te	eam			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
riority	y Initiative 1: Updat	te Act 167 Integrated	Water Resou	rces Coun	ty Plan			1	1			
		0			,						2020: This became a specific	
											issue in the Chiques Creek	
											watershed and revealed an	
											inherent gap in the overall	
											coordination efforts that have	
											been accomplished.	
											Programmatic/policy changes	
											are requested to address this	
											issue.	
riority	y Initiative LP1: Gro	wth Management										
			Municipalities,	Countywide	LCPC Growth	Municipal participation	Municipalities			Grants and	2024: More information will	
			Lancaster Co Planning	(Focus: Designated	tracking - 2 year increments	Increase % of new	(Regs & Impl.). LCPC staff for			resources needed by	be captured and known after the next LCPD update.	
			Commission (LCPC),	Growth Areas)	increments	dwellings in UGAs to	growth tracking			municipalities	the next LCPD update.	
			and developers.	Growth Areasy		accommodate projected	growth tracking			manicipantics	2023: More information will	
						pop and increase % of					be captured and known after	
						new non-residential SF.					the next LCPD update.	
						Increase residential net						
						density to target density					2022: The LCPD is actively	
						for each UGA; 9.0+					working towards this goal, and	
						DU/Ac, 6.5 DU/Ac or 5.5					it is a priority initiative,	
						DU/Ac depending on the					however full cooperation is	
						UGA.					needed from Municipalities.	
	Direct growth to UGA's and VGA's											
LP1.1	(DGA's). Prioritize redevelopment										Unfortunately, the LCPD doesn't have any recent	
	and infill in DGAs. Build more										growth tracking data to	
	compactly and efficiently										demonstrate progress on this	
											item, last time period is from	
											2015-2019. This will be	
											updated in the near future	
											2021: Coordinate with LCPC	
											and county planning to	
											coordinate model ordinances	
											around UGAs and VGAs	
											2020: There is consensus for	
											this action. Economic and	
											political realities limit	
											observance from time to time.	

Green	- action has been completed or is m	oving forward as p	planned <u>Yell</u>	🗤 - action has e	encountered minor ob	stacles <u>Red</u> - a	action has not be	een taken or has	encountered a	a serious barrier	
Action Description #	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources	s <u>Available</u>	Resource	s <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Chan
	Stormwate	r Action To	eam			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
riority Initiative 1: U	odate Act 167 Integrated	Water Resou	irces Count	y Plan			1				
LP1.2 Utilize low impact developme (LD) practices	Conservation Landscaping – 125 total acres	Municipalities, LC Clean Water Consortium, Developers, and LCPC	Countywide (Focus: Designated Growth Areas)	2019-2025	Increase use of LID practices. Municipal participation Developer resistance	Staff of various partners			Capital Cost of new BMPs: ~\$26,000	 2024: The current model ordinance essentially promotes LID approaches, with several municipalities updating SWMOs to call out LID approaches more deliberately. 2023: The current model ordinance essentially promotes LID approaches, with several municipalities updating SWMOs to call out LID approaches more deliberately. It is anticipated the more deliberate call-outs for LID approaches will be included in the next model ordinance update. 2022: LCPD recommends including LID best practices in the model SWM ordinance drafted with the Act 167 Plan. Could be an appendix item, and even voluntary and suggested, but would be good to advocate for the use of LID practices. The LCPD already has a document of "Recommended Model Development Principles" dated Nov 2004 that could serve as a start. 2021: Baseline component of most stormwater projects (green infrastructure or GI- based elements included on a majority of applications) 2020: This is a fundamental 	

		ned Implementation Plan										
	<u>Green</u> - act	ion has been completed or is mo	oving forward as p	olanned <u>Yel</u>	ow - action has e	encountered minor obs	stacles <u>Red</u> - a	iction has not be	een taken or has	encountered a	serious barrier	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources	s <u>Available</u>	Resources	s <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Chan
	·	Stormwater	Action To	eam		·	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
riori	ty Initiative 1: Upda	te Act 167 Integrated \	Nater Resou	rces Coun	ty Plan		1					
.P1.3	Limit large-lot suburban development in rural areas		Municipalities and LCPC	Countywide (Focus: Designated Growth Areas)	LCPC Growth tracking - 2 year increments	Reduce total acres developed per year in rural areas, and reduce % of new dwellings outside UGAs Municipal participation Developer resistance	LCPC staff for growth tracking				 2024: There is a growing unwritten observance of this action during plan review stages (particularly at the county planning level) 2023: See Stormwater LP 1.1 2022: LCPD has policies in place and is tracking this. Unfortunately, the LCPD doesn't have any recent growth tracking data to demonstrate progress on this item, last time period is from 2015-2019. This will be updated in the near future. 2021: More naturalized open spaces being observed with large-lot subdivisions, which may be the compromise. 2020: There is consensus for this action. Economic and political realities limit observance from time to time. 	
LP1.4	Plan to fully serve Urban Growth Areas (UGAs) and align water and sewer service with UGA's	Septic connection of 3,008 total systems (to municipal service)/2,645 new systems	Municipalities, Municipal Authorities and LCPC (education & advocacy)	Countywide (Focus: Designated Growth Areas)	LCPC Growth tracking - 2 year increments	Increase % parcels in UGA with water and sewer service Costs, Municipal participation, Authority participation	LCPC staff for growth tracking			Matching funds to municipalities for Act 537 plans (of approx. \$100,00 per muni)* Capital Cost of new BMPs: ~\$38.2 million	 2024: LCPD is still working through a capacity analysis. Primary focus is currently with increasing municipal participation efforts in the process. 2023: LCPD is still working through a capacity analysis. Primary focus is currently with increasing municipal participation efforts in the process. 2022: LCPD has policies in place to encourage this. LCPD is currently working on a water and sewer capacity analysis – mapping tool and has performed edge parcel analyses for regional comp plan implementation meetings. 	

		ed Implementation Plan			-							
Action Do #	Green - acti	on has been completed or is mo Performance Target(s)	Responsible Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	ncountered minor obst Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations		action has not be s <u>Available</u>	en taken or has Resources		Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Chan
		Stormwate	r Action Te	eam			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	_	
Priority Initia	itive 1: Upda	te Act 167 Integrated	Water Resou	rces Count	y Plan							
IP1 5	propriate wastewater nt in rural areas	Septic pumping of 10,000 systems	Municipalities, Municipal Authorities, PA DEP and LCPC	Countywide (Focus: Rural Areas)	2019-2025	Reduce number of failing on-lot disposal systems (OLDS) Costs, Stricter regulations required from state, municipal participation				Funding for countywide system (details TBD)	LCPD intends on conducting review/reassessment of designated growth areas (DGA's) boundaries with municipalities in the future.2021: Financial resource limitations still exist.2020: There is consensus for this action. Economic and political realities limit observance from time to time. Financial resource limitations hinder full realization of this action.2023: See Stormwater LP1.4, and anticipate a 2024 focus by LCPD regarding this topic.2022: This effort was not considered a priority in 2022, and may not come to front burner until 2024.2021: Efforts under consideration to collect data on total septic systems, annual pump outs, condition and immediate failures. Coordinate with Sewer Authorities for service areas and areas to upgrade.2020: There is consensus for this action. Economic and political realities limit observance from time to time. Financial resource limitations hinder failures. Coordinate with Sewer Authorities for service areas and areas to upgrade.2020: There is consensus for this action. Economic and political realities limit observance from time to time. Financial resource limitations hinder full realization of this action.	

	Green - actio	on has been completed or is n	noving forward as n	lanned Valle	w - action has a	encountered minor obsta	cles Rod	action has not be	een taken or has	encountered a	serious harrier	
ction #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations		s <u>Available</u>	Resources		Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Chan
		Stormwate	er Action Te	eam			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	-	
riorit	y Initiative 1: Updat	e Act 167 Integrated	Water Resou	rces Count	y Plan							
LP1.6	Adopt and/or Update Act 537 Plans		Municipalities, PA DEP, and LCPC	Countywide, with emphasis on priority areas determined by de-listing strategy	2019-2025	Increase number of municipalities that adopt or update their Act 537 Plans Costs, Stricter regulations required from state, municipal participation				Matching funds to municipalities for Act 537 plans (approx. \$100,000 per muni)*	 2024: No known recent Act 537 updates. All plans are currently "up to date" or in place for all active facilities. 2023: No known recent Act 537 updates besides municipalities noted for 2022 2022: LCPD reviews Act 537 plans as part of the municipal and DEP adoption process, but this process is entirely driven by municipalities and funding resources. Recent Act 537 Plans to be reviewed and adopted include West Cocalico Twp and West Earl Twp 2021: Due to limited resources, needs will originate in priority areas 2020: Financial resource limitations hinder full realization of this action. This may be resolved through WBPs and fully integrated water resource plans as noted in previous initiatives. 	
LP1.7	Utilize TDRs for Ag land, woodlots and other natural areas as a tool to promote greater density in UGAs/VGAs		Municipalities, LCPC (guidance)	Countywide	2019-2025	Increase # of muni using TDRs. Explore possibility of a pilot TDR ordinance between multiple municipalities Logistical hurdles, municipal participation				Funding/grants to revise or draft ordinances	 2024: This is a tool with significant supporting information provided by LCPD, but limited number of municipalities have adopted this tool. 2023: This is a tool with significant supporting information provided by LCPD, but limited number of municipalities have adopted this tool. 2022: While the LCPD recognizes this as one possible tool, it is not specifically mentioned in places2040, and while supportive of these when proposed by municipalities, 	

	<u>Green</u> - actio	n has been completed or is m	noving forward as p	lanned <u>Yello</u>	- action has e	ncountered minor obst	acles <u>Red</u> - a	ction has not be	en taken or has	encountered a	serious barrier	
ction #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources	s <u>Available</u>	Resources	<u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Chan
I		Stormwate	r Action Te	eam			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
riorit	y Initiative 1: Updat	e Act 167 Integrated	Water Resou	rces County	y Plan	I					1	
											they do not typically advocate for them. This is considered a potential tool in the toolbox where it could be a fit if other approaches are exhausted. 2021: Elements are being observed in select areas. 2020: This is a complicated subject, but interest in adopting this approach or elements of this approach is	
iorit	y Initiative LP2: Imp	rove Planning and De	esign								growing.	
			Municipalities, with LCPC	Countywide	2019-2025	Increase number of Comprehensive watershed management, water/sewer infrastructure, rural wastewater management, stormwater management and green infrastructure plans Funding. Municipal participation				Grants and funding (\$50,000 - \$100,000 per plan/ordinance)	2024: IWRP and IWRM approaches may become more apparent after the next draft MS4 permit is released. However, a significant number of municipalities are participating in watershed planning and implementation efforts across the county (Chiques, Lititz Run, Cocalico, Pequea, Conoy, Little Conestoga) 2023: IWRP and IWRM	
P2.1	Improve Planning & Design and Utilize Integrated Water Resource Planning and Management (IWRP & IWRM)										approaches may become more apparent after the next draft MS4 permit is released. However, a significant number of municipalities are participating in watershed planning efforts across the county (Chiques, Lititz Run, Cocalico, Pequea, Little Conestoga)	
											2022: IWRP & IWRM types of approaches will become more apparent with the next MS4 permit cycle.	
											2021: Limitations hinder progress.	

	Phase 3 Watersh	ed Implementation Plai	n (WIP) Progres	s and Miles	tones Templa	ite						
	<u>Green</u> - actio	on has been completed or is n	noving forward as p	lanned <u>Yell</u>	ow - action has er	ncountered minor obs	tacles <u>Red</u> - a	ction has not be	een taken or has	encountered a	serious barrier	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources	s <u>Available</u>	Resources	s <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Chan
	· · ·	Stormwate	er Action Te	eam			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
Priori [®]	ty Initiative 1: Updat	te Act 167 Integrated	Water Resou	rces Count	ty Plan							
											2020: There is consensus for this action. Economic and political realities limit observance from time to time. Financial resource limitations hinder full realization of this action.	
LP2.2	Amend or adopt local ordinances that minimize stormwater runoff and regulate development that protects water resources (tied to Act 167 focus areas and goals)		Municipalities, with LCPC	Countywide	2019-2025	Increase number of ordinances municipalities adopt that minimize stormwater runoff and regulate development that protects water resources; including Zoning, SALDO, stormwater and floodplain management, well head protection ordinances, conservation zoning district and natural resource protection standards) Municipal part.				Funding/grants to revise or draft ordinances (\$50,000 - \$100,000 per plan/ordinance)	2024: See Stormwater 1.1 2023: See Stormwater 1.1 2022: The LCPD began to address this initiative through the comp plan catalytic tool "Simplified Zoning". Water Quality & Stormwater Management Workshop Topic reports with strategies to implement various ordinances were created and can be used to help advocate for this item. LCPD staff serves on the Stormwater Action Team ordinance subcommittee 2021: Sub-committee of Stormwater Action Team to develop model ordinances with municipal officials. 2020: There is consensus for this action. Economic and political realities limit observance from time to time.	
LP2.3	Revise Project Funding Criteria		Municipalities, LCPC, Lancaster Co MPO (TTAC), DEP and DCNR	Countywide	2019-205 (i.e. 2019- 2022 MPO/TIP)	Funding criteria should be revised to ensure alignment with adopted policy and planning goals. Current PennDOT, DEP, and DCNR regs do not require consistency.	Various stakeholders. (i.e. PennDot Connects Program and County LRTP)			Need to leverage existing funding	2024: See Stormwater 4.3 2023: See Stormwater 4.3 2022: MPO is currently modifying the TIP scoring process, and draft version has removed environmental factors	

		hed Implementation Plan										
	<u>Green</u> - ac	tion has been completed or is n	noving forward as p	planned <u>Yell</u>	ow - action has e	encountered minor obs	tacles <u>Red</u> - a	iction has not be	en taken or has	encountered a	serious barrier	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources	s <u>Available</u>	Resources	<u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Chang
	,	Stormwate	er Action Te	eam		·	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
Priori	ity Initiative 1: Upd	ate Act 167 Integrated	Water Resou	rces Coun	ty Plan							
						Consistency between Central office and districts. Coordination between MPO and municipalities					the LCPD and MPO is still supportive of this effort through the PennDOT Connects Program. LCPD/MPO do not see this as a funding criteria issue now, but instead a partnership issue after projects are selected. The PennDOT Connects program relies on strong partnership and collaboration with LCPD/MPO and its municipal planning partners. 2021: Progress has been made and several local, county, and state funding programs have shifted to include water quality goals part of funding criteria. Additional technical resources needed. 2020: Programmatic and/or policy changes outside the control of local governments is necessary for this action to be fully realized.	
LP2.4	Water quality improvement measures should be built into capital and maintenance projects		Municipalities, Municipal Authorities, LCPC, Lancaster MPO (TTAC)	Countywide	2019-2025 (i.e. 2019-2022 MPO/TIP)	Increase # of green infrastructure projects installed with municipal capital and maintenance projects Municipal participation, funding	Various stakeholders. (i.e. PennDot Connects Program, and County LRTP)			Need to leverage existing funding	2024: See Stormwater 4.4 and 5.2 2023: See Stormwater 4.4 and 5.2 2022: As noted in Action 4.4, this is a fundamental consideration when developing and implementing projects. 2021: Several instances where the development of parks is intersecting with water quality improvement projects. STORY LINKS > https://www.lancasterpublicart .com/cullitonpark https://lancastersciencefactory .org/cullitonpark/ https://pacleanwateracademy.	

	<u>Green</u> - acti	on has been completed or is m	oving forward as p	lanned <u>Yell</u>	😶 - action has e	ncountered minor obs	stacles <u>Red</u> - a	action has not b	een taken or has	encountered a	a serious barrier	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resource	s <u>Available</u>	Resources	s <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Chan
		Stormwate	r Action Te	eam			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
riority	y Initiative 1: Upda	te Act 167 Integrated	Water Resou	rces Coun	ty Plan							
102 5	Practice regional and place-based planning and analysis		Municipalities, LCPC	Countywide	2019-2025	Increase # of municipalities with regional comprehensive plans and natural resource and water resource plans Municipal participation, resistance to regionalization	LCPC and municipal staffs				learner.net/mod/page/view.ph p?id=4342 https://lancastercleanwaterpar tners.com/2020/07/murry- ridge-park-green- infrastructure-improvements/ 2020: Programmatic and/or policy changes outside the control of local governments is necessary for this action to be fully realized. 2023: Along with previous regional planning engagements. 2023: Along with previous regions identified in 2022, the north central region is currently conducting a regional comprehensive plan update. 2022: The LCPD is currently working with local municipalities on three multi- municipal regional plans: South Region, Northwest Region, and Cocalico Region. These plans will serve as those required municipal regional comprehensive plan updates. 2021: Underway, albeit minimal locations STORY LINK > Save the Bay Magazine - Spring 2020 - Chesapeake Bay Foundation (cbf.org) (p.7) 2020: T	

	<u>Green</u> - actio	n has been completed or is m	oving forward as p	lanned <u>Yell</u>	- action has e	encountered minor obs	stacles <u>Red</u> - a	action has not be	en taken or has	encountered a	serious barrier	
ction De #	escription	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources	s <u>Available</u>	Resource	s <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Chang
	· ·	Stormwate	r Action Te	eam			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
iority Initiat	tive 1: Update	e Act 167 Integrated	Water Resou	rces Count	y Plan			1				
Utilize official	Il maps for regional management and f natural resources		Municipalities, LCPC		2019-2025	Increase number of municipalities participating in regional official maps Municipal participation, lack of municipal familiarity with official maps under MPC	LCPC and municipal staffs			Funds and ability to municipalities for utilizing official maps for regional SWM*	 2024: This approach is still advocated for, but widespread adoption has not been observed. 2023: This approach is still advocated for. No new maps have been adopted thus far in 2023. 2022: The LCPD advocates for including stormwater management and natural resource protection elements on Official Maps. Four official maps were adopted or amended in 2021, so far none in 2022. These included Denver Boro (incl. trails and corridors), East Hempfield Twp (Incl. open space/parks/trails & regional stormwater management BMPs), Manor Twp (incl. proposed conservation easements & pedestrian trails), and Quarryville Boro (Incl. water network improvements & trails). 2021: Political and regulatory restrictions limit use of official maps. Credit could be offered in MS4 permit for those municipalities that utilize official map with water quality elements. 2020: There is consensus for this action. Economic and political realities limit observance from time to time. 	

	<u>Green</u> - actio	on has been completed or is m	noving forward as p	lanned <u>Yell</u>	👥 - action has e	ncountered minor obst	acles <u>Red</u> - a	ction has not b	een taken or has e	encountered a	serious barrier	
ction #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources	s <u>Available</u>	Resources	<u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Chang
		Stormwate	r Action Te	eam	1		Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
riorit	y Initiative 1: Updat	e Act 167 Integrated	Water Resou	rces Count	y Plan							
P2.7	Utilize agricultural preservation process to promote greater implementation of agricultural BMPs		Lancaster County Agricultural Preserve Board, Lancaster Farmland Trust, Lancaster County Conservation District	Countywide (Focus: Rural Areas)	2-19-2025	Increase number of BMPs implemented on preserved farms The purchase of development rights does not carry BMP obligations Ag Action Team coordination and tie-in to Action LP3.5. Multiple planning and programmatic tools will be necessary to expand pockets of success (zoning (limit # of homes or subdivisions that can exist on ag zones property), TDRs, easements, etc.). Passage of SB64 by the House would allow private land trusts access to state funding for easement acquisition for farm preservation.* With significant ag land use in Lancaster County, multiple tools and avenues for preservation will be necessary to improve BMP implementation rates.	APB and LFT staff				 2024: See Ag 1.1. Additionally, ACAP and CAP funding prioritizes the ag sector. 2023: See Ag 1.1 2022: The LCPD is not listed under responsible parties, but we are encouraging the LFT and APB to implement ag BMPs, especially stream buffers, and when possible, use as a criterion in selecting farms for preservation. Current conversations are centered around potential increased TSP support for APB staff or at a minimum a coordination platform. 2021: Expanding considerations and exploring needed/ additional tools to increase ag preservation beyond simply promoting the need. 2020: Ag Action Team has taken the lead 	
riorit	y Initiative LP3: Nat	ural Resources, Oper	Space and Pa	arks				·	· 			
.P3.1	Preserve natural and forested lands		Lancaster County Conservancy, Municipalities, LCPC, State	Countywide (Focus: Rural Areas)	2019-2025	Preserve natural lands and land with forest cover (2,000-3,000 acres).	Current LCC staff	Conservation Fund	Staff & maintenance	County funding	2024: This continues to be an observed action. 2023: This is an unwritten objective. Better defined	

		ed Implementation Plar	· · · -									
	<u>Green</u> - acti	on has been completed or is m	noving forward as p	lanned <u>Yello</u>	u - action has e	ncountered minor obs	tacles <u>Red</u> - a	action has not be	een taken or has	encountered a	serious barrier	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resource	es <u>Available</u>	Resources	<u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Chan
		Stormwate	r Action Te	eam			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
riorit	v Initiative 1: Upda	te Act 167 Integrated	Water Resou	rces Count	v Plan							
						Prioritize contiguous areas. Funding, landowner participation	State agencies (Game Comm., State Parks, Forestry, DCNR)	State funding			objectives may be realized through Stormwater LP1.1 2022: This is one of the policies of places2040, under	
											the Big Ideas, "Preserve large contiguous areas of agricultural and natural land and we actively advocate for this policy.	
											The LCPD completed an Ag and natural lands analysis for it's Fall 2021 Comp Plan implementation workshops to encourage this initiative.	
											2021: Preservation is still a fundamental component of efforts, but retirement to open space is not the ideal metric	
											2020: There is strong consensus and realized actions associated with this initiative. Human and financial resources for outreach, long-term maintenance, etc. are the primary limiting hurdles still	
			Municipalities, Lancaster County Conservancy	Countywide		Funding, landowner participation					working through. 2024: See Stormwater LP3.1 2023: See Stormwater LP3.1.	
			Lancaster County Parks, LCPC			Increase acreage (or number) of areas being conserved for wise use & management to maintain ecological functions					Additionally, the Lancaster Conservancy has purchased more lands targeted for conservation.	
	Conserve natural resources and services throughout Lancaster County's urban, suburban and rural areas					(wetlands, steeps slopes, erodible soils, forest blocks < 100 acres.					2022: This is an unspoken-but inherent-objective of the Long- Term Verification Processes (LTVPs) under development and implementation in the county.	
											2021: Human and financial resources for outreach, long- term maintenance, etc. are the primary limiting hurdles still	
											working through. One example	

	Green - actio	on has been completed or is m	oving forward as p	lanned Yello	🗤 - action has e	ncountered minor obsta	icles Red - a	ction has not be	en taken or has	encountered a	serious barrier	
ction #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources		Resources		Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Chang
		Stormwate	r Action Te	eam			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	_	
riority	y Initiative 1: Updat	e Act 167 Integrated	Water Resou	rces County	y Plan							
LP3.3	Restore ecological connections and natural resource systems throughout Lancaster County's urban, suburban and rural areas		Municipalities, Lancaster County Parks, LCPC, Lancaster County Conservancy		2019-2025	Increase number of ecological connections through restoration efforts. Funding, landowner participation					 such as turf to meadow BMP could be employed in suburban and rural areas. Improvements in model ordinances might assist with this (see Action LP1.2) 2020: There is strong consensus and realized actions associated with this initiative. Human and financial resources for outreach, long-term maintenance, etc. are the primary limiting hurdles still working through. 2024: These connections are being identified in watershed action plans for implementation 2023: These connections are being identified in watershed action plans for implementation; along with considerations for protection of existing buffers as noted in the Buffers Priority Initiative. 2022: The LCPD Simplify Zoning implementation team addressed this issue (11/24/21) Recommends drafting a model ordinance to protect sensitive natural features. The LCPD is actively working on this, especially with trail planning and development. 2021: Some funding programs are giving priority to projects in close proximity to other projects, headwaters, and natural areas. 2020: There is strong consensus and realized actions associated with this initiative. 	

	<u>Green</u> - act	ion has been completed or is m	noving forward as p	olanned <u>Yell</u>	😶 - action has er	ncountered minor obst	acles <u>Red</u> - a	action has not be	een taken or has	encountered a	serious barrier	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources	s <u>Available</u>	Resources	<u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Chang
		Stormwate	r Action Te	eam	·		Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
Priority	y Initiative 1: Upda	te Act 167 Integrated	Water Resou	rces Count	y Plan	·		·	<u>.</u>			
											maintenance, etc. are the primary limiting hurdles still working through.	
			Municipalities, Lancaster County Parks, LCPC, Lancaster County Conservancy	Countywide	Check progress towards goal in 2025	Increase number and acreage of neighborhood and regional parks, greenways and trails. Adopted County standard: 15 acres of parkland/1,000 residents.				Funding and grants	2024: Updated metrics are still in progress, but individual municipal approaches to improve and implement projects has increased. 2023: Anticipate updated metrics in 2024 or 2025	
	Neighborhood and regional parks, greenways and trails (5 acres in county/regional parks and 10					Funding, County and municipal participation					2022: The LCPD is actively working on this item, especially related trail planning and development. No updated metrics available at this time 2021: Several municipalities	
	acres in local/municipal parks)										are taking on this goal (Conewago Restoration Project, Ephrata Project CAP application)	
											2020: There is strong consensus and realized actions associated with this initiative. Human and financial resources for outreach, project implementation, long-term	

	Green - actio	n has been completed or is n	noving forward as r	planned Yell	ow - action has e	encountered minor obs	tacles Red -	action has not b	een taken or has e	encountered a	serious barrier	
action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations		es <u>Available</u>	Resources		Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Chai
		Stormwate	er Action To	eam			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	-	
riority	y Initiative 1: Updat	e Act 167 Integrated	Water Resou	rces Coun	ty Plan							
			Municipalities, LCPC	Countywide	2019-2025	Increase # of muni using TDRs. Explore possibility of a pilot TDR ordinance					2024: See Stormwater LP1.7 2023: See Stormwater LP1.7	
						between multiple municipalities					2022: See LP 1.7	
	Utilize TDRs as a tool to preserve					Logistical hurdles, municipal participation					2021: Lancaster has experienced an increase in residential and commercial	
	high quality ag land, woodlots and other natural areas					Tie-in to Action LP2.7					development. TDRs (or similar) have not been historically needed to assist in the development process. TDRs are	
											now present in Caernarvon, Manheim, Penn, Warwick, West Hempfield, and West Lampeter Townships.	
riority	y Initiative LP4: Tree	• Canopy									2020: See LP 1.7	
			County, Municipalities, Lancaster County Conservancy, Chesapeake Bay Conservancy	Countywide	2020	Funding, staffing	DCNR	CBF K10 Campaign, DCNR	GIS technology and staff		2024: It is still anticipated the CBF tool may assist with this assessment, but currently the focus is with the potential for a greater range of BMPs. 2023: It is anticipated that the	
											CBF aerial analysis tool may provide more detailed information and data regarding canopies after the current	
l.1a	Conduct a tree canopy assessment (Conduct a new tree canopy assessment in 2020, to compare to 2010 baseline)										refinement process is complete sometime in fall 2023. Data and information is known for the City of Lancaster at this time.	
											2022: All Tree Canopy (LP4) items are part of a process outlined in Blueprints. Funding	
											for LCPD to do a tree canopy assessment is not available, however this data might be available through the Checanaake Conservancy	
											Chesapeake Conservancy. 2021: Baseline does not exist for the county, but efforts are	

	<u>Green</u> - act	ion has been completed or is mo	oving forward as p	lanned <u>Yell</u>	•••• action has e	encountered minor obs	stacles <u>Red</u> - a	action has not b	een taken or has	encountered a	serious barrier	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resource	s <u>Available</u>	Resources	Needed	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Chang
	·	Stormwater	Action Te	eam	·		Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
Priori	ity Initiative 1: Upda	te Act 167 Integrated \	Nater Resou	rces Count	ty Plan							
											underway in key areas to improve canopy. 2020: Activities are moving forward, but human and financial resource limitation hinder timely progress.	
LP4.1b	Set tree canopy targets, and implement tree canopy action plans at county and municipal level		LCPC, Municipalities, Lancaster County Conservancy, and Lancaster Clean Water Consortium.	Countywide	2019-2025	Increase number of municipalities setting targets Funding, staffing Possibly select 3 priority large watersheds for initial imp.	DCNR Lancaster County GIS	CBF K10 Campaign, DCNR	GIS technology and staff		 2024: CBF K10 Campaign was well-received and utilized by multiple municipalities and entities; but no absolute targets were established. 2023: City of Lancaster organized a Trees for People Plan with targets and objectives. Several municipalities were receptive to the CBF K10 Campaign and have participated 2022: This is one of the strategies listed in Blueprints, Action Item #14, but no action recently. Need a model Tree preservation ordinance 2021: See LP4.1a 2020: Activities are moving forward, but human and financial resource limitation hinder timely progress. 	
LP4.1c	Increase tree canopy cover	Tree planting of 10 total acres (urban tree canopy) Urban forest planting – 27 total acres/23 new acres		Priority watersheds	2030	Increase % tree canopy cover in priority watersheds by 2030 Municipal buy-in	Tree Canopy Report Releaf Report (DCNR)			Capital Cost of new BMPs: ~\$21,000	2024: See Stormwater LP4.1b 2023: See Stormwater LP4.1b 2022: Several implementation grant projects included tree canopy BMP implementation. 2021: See LP4.1a 2020: Definitive buy-in and	

	Phase 3 Watersh	ed Implementation Pla	n (WIP) Progres	s and Miles	tones Templ	ate						
	<u>Green</u> - actio	on has been completed or is r	noving forward as p	olanned <u>Yell</u>	ow - action has e	encountered minor obs	stacles <u>Red</u> - a	action has not b	een taken or has	encountered a	a serious barrier	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resource	s <u>Available</u>	Resources	<u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Chan
		Stormwate	er Action Te	eam			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial		
Priori	ty Initiative 1: Updat	te Act 167 Integrated	Water Resou	rces Count	ty Plan							
LP4.2a	Create a model tree preservation ordinance or "Planning Guide"		LCPC, municipalities, developers	Countywide	2019-2025	Adopt a model tree preservation ordinance or "Planning Guide"	PA Land Trust Assoc. (PALTA). DCNR				 2024: Outline to proceed with this effort has been completed, but an effort has not been launched yet. 2023: Outline to proceed with this effort has been completed, but an effort has not been launched yet. 2022: Recently, the Simplify Zoning implementation team addressed this issue in the Water Quality and Stormwater Management Workshop Topic report (11/24/21) Recommends drafting a model ordinance. Resources for creating a tree preservation ordinance are provided. 2021: Ordinance sub-team bains formed within Action 	
.P4.2b	Adopt (or amend) tree preservation ordinances at municipal level		Municipalities, developers, LCPC	Countywide	2019-2025	Increase number of tree preservation ordinances adopted Municipal capacity	PALTA				 being formed within Action Team 2020: No update at this time. 2024: See Stormwater LP4.2a 2023: See Stormwater LP4.2a 2022: Process identified in the Water Quality and Stormwater Management Workshop Topic report (11/24/21). 2021: See LP4.2a 	
LP4.2c	Enforce existing landscape ordinances and existing or new tree preservation ordinances		Municipalities, developers	Countywide	2019-2025	Preserve existing landscaping and trees Municipal Capacity, Developer/land owner cooperation			Municipal staffing		 2020: No update at this time. 2024: An approximate additional four (4) municipalities have updated or amended ordinances with respect to native vegetation. 2023: Approximately eleven municipalities have updated ordinances requiring native vegetation as part of proposed land development plans. 	

	<u>Green</u> - acti	on has been completed or is n	noving forward as p	lanned <u>Yell</u>	over a ction has e	ncountered minor obst	acles <u>Red</u> - a	action has not be	een taken or has	encountered a	serious barrier	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources <u>Available</u>		Resources <u>Needed</u>		Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Chan
Stormwater Action Team							Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	1	
riority	v Initiative 1: Upda	te Act 167 Integrated	Water Resou	rces Count	ty Plan							
LP4.3 E	Education and Outreach		Lancaster County Conservancy, Tree Tenders, LCPC, DCNR	Countywide	2019-2025	Conduct trainings					 2022: Several municipalities have updated their ordinances to better align landscape considerations and requirements with water quality objectives. 2021: See LP4.2a 2020: Conflicts between ordinance language and water quality goals have been encountered. 2024: Water Week and MS4orum continue to be popular and anticipated events. 2023: Numerous trainings and outreach efforts launched by the Buffers Action Team regarding trees and tree canopies have occurred through 2023 and are planned into the fall 2023. One-on-one engagements occur with municipalities to promote objectives and identify opportunities. Continuous collaboration and education/outreach efforts occur across the calendar year including but not limited to Engineers Roundtable, MS4orum, Water Week, LCWP stakeholder meetings, and so on where buffers, tree 	
											 plantings, etc. are an element of discussions. 2022: Tree preservation and increasing tree canopy is a topic that the LCPD will be addressing in the future. 2021: Coordinate with City of Lancaster and Alliance for the Chesapeake Bay Green 	

	Phase 3 Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP) Progress and Milestones Template Green - action has been completed or is moving forward as planned Yellow - action has encountered minor obstacles Red - action has not been taken or has encountered a serious barrier											
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources <u>Available</u> Resources <u>Needed</u>			Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022 + 2023 + 2024)	Justification for Change	
Stormwater Action Team Technical Financial Technical												
Priori	Priority Initiative 1: Update Act 167 Integrated Water Resources County Plan											
											2020: Human and financial resource shortfalls limit capacity and ability to expand training efforts.	

Phase 3 Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP) Planning and Progress Template

Each county-based local area will use this template to identify:

1. Inputs – These are both existing and needed resources, public and private, to implement the identified priority initiative. These include both technical and financial resources, such as personnel, supplies, equipment and funding.

2. Process – what is each partner able to do where and by when. These are the action items listed under each priority initiative.

3. Outputs and outcomes – both short and long-term. These are the priority initiatives identified by each county. The performance targets are the intermediate indicators that will measure progress.

4. Implementation challenges – any potential issues or roadblocks to implementation that could impede outputs and outcomes.

Asterisk: Place an asterisk next to the action number(s) for action items that appear in both the County Planning and Progress Template and the Programmatic Recommendations Template.

For each Priority Initiative or Program Element: Use the fields, as defined below, to identify the inputs and the process that will be followed to achieve each priority initiative. This is the "who, what, where, when and how" of the plan:

Description = What. This may include programs that address prevention, education, or as specific as planned BMP installations that will address the Priority Initiative. A programmatic or policy effort will require some ability to quantify the anticipated benefits which will allow calculation of the associated nutrient reductions.

Performance Target = How. This is an extension of the Description above. The Performance Target details the unique BMPs that will result from implementation of the Priority Initiative and serves as a benchmark to track progress in addressing the Priority Initiative. Performance Targets may be spread across multiple Responsible Parties, Geographies, and Timelines based on the specifics of the Initiative.

Responsible Party(ies) = Who. This is/are the key partner(s) who will implement the action items though outreach, assistance or funding, and who will be responsible for delivering the identified programs or practices.

Geographic Location = Where. This field identifies the geographic range of the planned implementation. This could extend to the entire county or down to a small watershed, based on the scale of the Priority Initiative, range of the Responsible Party, or planned funding/resources. NOTE: Resource limitations alone should not limit potential implementation as additional funding may become available in the future.

Expected Timeline = When. Provide the expected completion date for the planned activity. This should be a reasonable expectation, based on knowledge and experience, that will aid in tracking progress toward addressing the Priority Initiative.

Resources Available: Technical & Funding = This field will note technical and financial resources secured/available to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the resources identified in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole; or, if available, to each action.

Resources Needed: Technical & Funding = This field will note technical and financial resources needed/outstanding to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the additional resources projected and identified as needed in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole; or, if possible, to each action.

Potential Implementation Challenges/Issues = This field will note challenges and issues that may delay program implementation (Description).