

 Application Type
 Renewal

 Facility Type
 Industrial

 Major / Minor
 Minor

NPDES PERMIT FACT SHEET INDIVIDUAL INDUSTRIAL WASTE (IW) AND IW STORMWATER

 Application No.
 PA0003832

 APS ID
 1081178

 Authorization ID
 1427374

Applicant and Facility Information

| Applicant Name | INEOS Composites US, LLC | Facility Name | Neville Island Plant |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|
| Applicant Address | 5200 Blazer Parkway | Facility Address | 2650 Neville Road |
| | Dublin, OH 43017 | | Pittsburgh, PA 15225-1404 |
| Applicant Contact | Kara Long | Facility Contact | Deanna Shingleton |
| Applicant Phone | (614) 790-9295 | Facility Phone | 412-778-6221 |
| Client ID | 350412 | Site ID | 245074 |
| SIC Code | 2869 | Municipality | Neville Township |
| SIC Description | Manufacturing - Industrial Organic Chemicals, Nec | County | Allegheny |
| Date Application Receiv | ved February 15, 2023 | EPA Waived? | Yes |
| Date Application Accep | tedMarch 3, 2023 | If No, Reason | |
| Purpose of Application | Renewal NPDES Permit coveraç | ge | |

Summary of Review

The Department received a renewal NPDES permit application from INEOS Composites US, LLC. on February 15, 2023 for their Neville Island Plant. The facility is a polyester resin manufacturer and bulk terminal for plasticizers and related chemicals. The site has a primary SIC code of 2821, plastics material and resin manufacturing.

During the polyester resin manufacturing process, glycols, dibasic acids, and monomers are cooked in a batch process and then cooled using tempered water. The resin is then thinned in a monomer and adjusted to meet exact customer specifications. The water vapor generated by the condensation reactions is condensed and burned in the PR thermal oxidizer. The site receives plasticizer via barge and tank car. Any product and water collected from loading sumps in the plasticizer terminal portion of the facility will enter the facility wastewater system, where the collected water is clarified and discharged to the ALCOSAN publicly owned treatment works. There are several tank farms that contain raw materials and finished products. There are no completely or partially buried tanks at the facility. Each tank farm is contained within its own dike. These dikes are typically of cement construction, sufficiently impervious to contain discharged oil or chemicals, and designed to contain the largest single tank volume plus freeboard for a 25-year, 24-hour storm within its confines. The facility's storage tank secondary containment dikes all are equipped with sumps and /or manual, open and closed designed valves. Theses valves are normally kept sealed and closed, stormwater that periodically collects in these dikes or curbed areas is inspected and, if necessary, tested by the facility prior to discharge into the facility's storm water drains. Spill release control equipment is spread throughout the plant to aid with any material releases.

SIC code 2821, plastics material and resin manufacturing, is subject to the Federal Effluent Limitation Guidelines (ELG) in 40 CFR 414 (Organic Chemicals, Plastics, and Synthetic Fibers). In this case, wastewater from resin manufacturing is discharged to the publicly owned treatment works and must comply with 40 CFR part 403 and achieve standards in accordance with 40 CFR 414.111. Outfall 001 does not discharge process wastewater from resin manufacturing and only discharges steam condensate, boiler blowdown, stormwater, and occasionally hydrostatic test water. The development

| Approve | Deny | Signatures | Date |
|---------|------|---------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| х | | ah on | August 49, 2022 |
| | | Adam Olesnanik, P.E. / Environmental Engineer | August 18, 2023 |
| х | | Miden E. Fafet | |
| | | Michael E. Fifth, P.E. / Environmental Engineer Manager | August 29, 2023 |

Summary of Review

document for the Organic Chemicals, Plastics, and Synthetic Fibers ELG describes boiler blowdown as Contaminated "Nonprocess" Wastewater, (therefore designated as process wastewater), and therefore, subject to the ELG. However, based on the influent and effluent of the site's carbon treatment unit, which treats the boiler blowdown before it discharges, the boiler blowdown isn't contaminated by the resin manufacturing process and can be described as uncontaminated non-process wastewater. Based on this information, the discharges from the site are not subject to the ELGs for the resin manufacturing.

The site has one outfall, Outfall 001, which discharges into the back channel of the Ohio River, designated in 25 PA Code, Chapter 93 as a warm water fishery. The Ohio River is impaired for Dioxins, Pathogens, and PCBs, and a Final TMDL has been developed for the Ohio River to address PCBs and Chlordane. Because Outfall 001 also receives stormwater from off site, during the last permit renewal the Department included two internal monitoring points so that the permittee can isolated its contribution to Outfall 001. These internal monitoring points are IMP 101 and IMP 201. In the current permit, the hydrostatic tank test water is monitored via a part C condition and not actually reported via eDMRs. The Department has determined that this method of monitoring is not adequate for reporting purposes and is proposing an additional monitoring point for just the Hydrostatic test water discharges in part A of the permit, so that when there is a discharge, the results can be reported using eDMR to ensure that the effluent limits have been met. As part of this renewal the Department is proposing to add an additional internal monitoring point for Outfall 001 to monitor the discharges of hydrostatic tank test water, IMP 301. No monitoring requirements are imposed at Outfall 001.

IMP 101 discharges steam condensate and stormwater run-off. IMP 201 discharges steam condensate, stormwater run-off, and boiler blowdown. Boiler Blowdown is produced as the result of the boiler process. The wastewater consists of the additives used in the boiler chemistry to make the boilers run more efficiently. The boilers are "manually blown down 3 times a day, but the system allows for automatic blowdown for the purpose of efficiency. The steam condensate is also from the boiler process. It is the result of taking the heat out of the steam to be used in the manufacturing process. The condensate is released continuously at a temperature between 80-100 degrees Celsius. In the previous permit, the boiler blowdown was monitored at IMP 101 but due to some decommissioning of some older unused plant areas, the discharge of the boiler blowdown was re-routed and is now monitored at IMP 201. The boilers are used to heat all the plant buildings, steam tracing for lines and storage tanks as needed, and heating for the reactors. The boiler blowdown is treated in a carbon dioxide treatment system to treat for pH. The boiler blowdown along with the stormwater from some secondary containment areas is also run through carbon for COD and TSS treatment. IMP 301 will be the monitoring point for all hydrostatic test discharges from the site and not an exact location. IMP 301 will be used more as a reporting tool for when there is any discharge of hydrostatic tank test water.

The site was last inspected on March 11, 2021 with two violation noted; both were violations of effluent limits in Part A of Permit. These violations have since been resolved.

The site currently has no open violations

Public Participation

DEP will publish notice of the receipt of the NPDES permit application and a tentative decision to issue the individual NPDES permit in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin* in accordance with 25 Pa. Code § 92a.82. Upon publication in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*, DEP will accept written comments from interested persons for a 30-day period (which may be extended for one additional 15-day period at DEP's discretion), which will be considered in making a final decision on the application. Any person may request or petition for a public hearing with respect to the application. A public hearing may be held if DEP determines that there is significant public interest in holding a hearing. If a hearing is held, notice of the hearing will be published in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin* at least 30 days prior to the hearing and in at least one newspaper of general circulation within the geographical area of the discharge.

NPDES Permit Fact Sheet Neville Island Plant

| Discharge, Receivin | ng Water | s and Water Supply Inform | mation | |
|---------------------|-----------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Outfall No. 001 | (IMPs 10 | 01, 201, and 301) | Design Flow (MGD) | 0.656 |
| Latitude 40° | 29' 39.44 | 1" | Longitude | -80° 4' 42.42" |
| Quad Name Pi | ttsburgh | West | Quad Code | 1505 |
| Wastewater Descr | iption: | Steam Condensate, Boiler | r Blowdown, Stormwater, and Hy | vdrostatic Tank Test Water |
| | | | | |
| Receiving Waters | Ohio | River | Stream Code | 32317 |
| NHD Com ID | 13439 | 96130 | RMI | 975.11 |
| Drainage Area | 19,40 | 0 | Yield (cfs/mi ²) | 0.122 |
| Q7-10 Flow (cfs) | 2,365 | | Q ₇₋₁₀ Basis | US Army Corp of Engineers |
| Elevation (ft) | 710 | | Slope (ft/ft) | 0.001 |
| Watershed No. | 20-G | | Chapter 93 Class. | WWF |
| Existing Use | | | Existing Use Qualifier | |
| Exceptions to Use | | | Exceptions to Criteria | |
| Assessment Status | s | Impaired | | |
| Cause(s) of Impair | ment | Dioxins, PCB, and Pathog | gens | |
| Source(s) of Impai | rment | Source Unknown | | |
| TMDL Status | | Final | Name Ohio River | |
| | | | | |
| Nearest Downstrea | am Publi | c Water Supply Intake | _Robinson Township Municipal | Authority (Intake 6.0 MGD) |
| PWS Waters | Ohio Riv | /er | Flow at Intake (cfs) | 2,365 |
| PWS RMI | 971.89 | | Distance from Outfall (mi) | 3.22 |
| _ | | | | |

Development of Effluent Limitations

| IMP No. | 101 | | Design Flow (MGD) | 0.320 |
|---------------|---------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Latitude | 40° 29' 39.44 | - | Longitude | -80° 04' 42.42" |
| Wastewater De | escription: | Steam Condensate and Stormwater | | |

Technology-Based Limitations

25 PA Code Chapter 92 requires pH requirements to be a minimum of 6.0 and a maximum of 9.0 S.U. for all industrial waste process and non-process discharges.

Flow Reporting requirements is in accordance with the 25 PA Code Chapter 92 regulations.

Temperature limits will be imposed per the Department's "*Implementation Guidance for Temperature Criteria*." As a policy, DEP normally imposes a maximum temperature limit of 110°F on discharges that contain residual heat. The limit is intended as a safety measure to protect sampling personnel or anyone who may come into contact with the heated discharge where it enters the receiving water.

Table 1: Regulatory Effluent Standards and Monitoring Requirements for Outfall 002

| Parameter | Monthly Average | Daily Maximum | IMAX | Units |
|-------------|-----------------|---------------|------|-------|
| Flow | Monitor | and Report | XXX | MGD |
| Temperature | XXX | XXX | 110 | °F |
| рН | Not le | S.U. | | |

Water Quality-Based Limitations

Toxic Pollutants Water Quality Analysis

The discharges from IMP 101 consist of steam condensate and stormwater, and are non-process discharges; therefore a toxic pollutant water quality analysis was not conducted for the discharge.

Total Maximum Daily Loads

The Ohio River has a TMDL for PCBs and Chlordane. The TMDL outlines a plan to achieve water quality standards in the water body. The TMDL applies only to discharges of PCBs and chlordane to the Ohio River and does not provide wasteload allocations for either. The TMDL goal is for levels of PCB and chlordane in the water column to be equal to or less than the Commonwealth's water quality criteria. The production and use of PCBs in the United States was banned in July of 1979. In addition, the TMDL acknowledges that there are no longer any known point sources of either pollutant in the watershed and the waterbody is expected to achieve TMDL standards through "natural attenuation". While it is now illegal to manufacture, distribute, or use PCBs in the United states, these synthetic oils were used in the past. However, this site has not been shown to have PCBs in its discharges and has not been known to use PCBs in its processes. Neither chlordane nor PCB's are used, generated, or stored at the site; nor is there any evidence to suggest that PCBs and chlordane were ever used, generated, or stored onsite in the past. Based upon these considerations, the Ohio TMDL is not applicable to site's discharges.

Thermal WQBELs for Heated Discharges

Thermal WQBELs are evaluated using a DEP program called the "Thermal Discharge Limit Calculation Spreadsheet" created with Microsoft Excel for Windows. The program calculates temperature Waste Load Allocations's through the application of a heat transfer equation, which takes two forms in the program depending on the source of the facility's cooling water. In Case 1, intake water to a facility is from the receiving stream. In Case 2, intake water is from a source other than the receiving stream (e.g., municipal water supply). The determination of which case applies to a given discharge is determined by the input data which include the receiving stream flow rate (Q₇₋₁₀ or the minimum regulated flow for large rivers), the stream intake flow rate, external source intake flow rates, consumptive flow rates and site-specific ambient stream temperatures. Case 1 limits are generally expressed as heat rejection rates while Case 2 limits are usually expressed as temperatures.

Since the temperature criteria from 25 Pa. Code Chapter 93.7(a) are expressed on monthly and semi-monthly bases for three different aquatic life-uses—cold water fishes, warm water fishes and trout stocking—the program generates monthly and semi-monthly limits for each use. DEP selects the output that corresponds to the aquatic life-use of the receiving stream

NPDES Permit Fact Sheet Neville Island Plant

and consequently which limits apply to the discharge. Temperature WLAs are bounded by an upper limit of 110°F for the safety of sampling personnel and anyone who may come into contact with the heated discharge where it enters the receiving water. If no WLAs below 110°F are calculated, an instantaneous maximum limit of 110°F is recommended by the program.

DEP's *Implementation Guidance for Temperature Criteria* directs permit writers to assume instantaneous complete mixing of the discharge with the receiving stream when calculating thermal effluent limits unless adverse factors exist. One such factor listed in the guidance is that the "discharge is to a receiving water that is very wide, resulting in restricted dispersion of the plume, and horizontal stratification of the plume." Since wastewaters from the site discharge to the Ohio River, the dispersion of the discharge plume is likely to be limited and instantaneous complete mixing will not occur. Therefore, the acute partial mix factor calculated by the Toxics Management Spreadsheet (0.120 × 2365 cfs = 283.8 cfs) will be applied to the modeled flow rate for the thermal WQBEL analysis. The Toxics Management Spreadsheet that was used to determine the acute partial mix factor is in Attachment B.

Due to the nature of the discharge and the location on the receiving stream, all heated discharges will be evaluated as one discharge to ensure the temperature criteria is met instream from all of the heated discharges and a combined flow of 0.656 MGD was used in the model. Discharges from IMP 101 and 201 are classified under Case 2 because water is obtained from municipal water supply. The results of the thermal analysis, included in Attachment C, indicate that no WQBELs for temperature are required at IMP 101. Therefore, the 110°F instantaneous maximum temperature limit will be imposed at IMP 101.

Total Residual Chlorine

To determine if WQBELs are required for discharges containing total residual chlorine (TRC), a discharge evaluation is performed using a DEP program called TRC_CALC created with Microsoft Excel for Windows. TRC_CALC calculates TRC Waste Load Allocations (WLAs) through the application of a mass balance model which considers TRC losses due to stream and discharge chlorine demands and first-order chlorine decay. Input values for the program include flow rates and chlorine demands for the receiving stream and the discharge, the number of samples taken per month, coefficients of TRC variability, partial mix factors, and an optional factor of safety. The mass balance model calculates WLAs for acute and chronic criteria that are then converted to long term averages using calculated multipliers. The multipliers are functions of the number of samples taken per month and the TRC variability coefficients (normally kept at default values unless site specific information is available). The most stringent limitation between the acute and chronic long-term averages is converted to an average monthly limit for comparison to the BAT average monthly limit of 0.5 mg/l from 25 Pa. Code § 92a.48(b)(2). The more stringent of these average monthly TRC limitations is imposed in the permit. The results of the modeling, included in Attachment D, indicate that no WQBELs are required for TRC.

Anti-Backsliding

The following limits in table 2 below were imposed on the previous permit and due to the federal regulation specified in 40 CFR 122.44 (1) are applied to the permit.

| Devemeter | Loading | | Concentration (mg/L) | | | | Reporting Requirements | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------------|--------------------|
| Parameter | Monthly Avg. | Daily Max. | Instant. Min. | Monthly Avg. | Daily Max. | Inst. Max. | Measure Frequency | Sample Type |
| Flow (mgd) | Report | Report | | | | | 1/week | Calculation |
| pH (s.u.) | | | 6.0 | | | 9.0 | 1/week | Grab |
| Total Residual Chlorine (TRC) | | | | 0.5 | 1.0 | | 1/week | Grab |
| Temperature (°F) | | | | | 110 | | 1/week | i-s |
| Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) | | | | 30 | 60 | | 1/week | 24- hour composite |
| Total Suspended Solids | | | | 30 | 60 | | 1/week | 24- hour composite |
| Oil & Grease | | | | 15 | 20 | | 1/week | Grab |

Table 2. Current Limitations at IMP 101

Proposed Final Effluent Limitations

The final effluent limitation and reporting requirements for IMP 101 are in table 3 below. The limits are the most stringent values from the above limitation analysis. The temperature limitation was incorrectly listed as a Daily Maximum Limitations in the last permit when it should have been listed as an Instantaneous Maximum limitation. The temperature limitation has been changed to reflect this.

Table 3. Proposed Limitations at IMP 101

| Decemeter | Loading | | Concentration (mg/L) | | | | Reporting Requirements | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| Falameter | Monthly Avg. | Daily Max. | Instant. Min. | Monthly Avg. | Daily Max. | Inst. Max. | Measure Frequency | Sample Type |
| Flow (mgd) | Report | Report | | | | | 1/week | Calculation |
| рН (s.u.) | | | 6.0 | | | 9.0 | 1/week | Grab |
| Total Residual Chlorine (TRC) | | | | 0.5 | 1.0 | | 1/week | Grab |
| Temperature (°F) | | | | | | 110 | 1/week | i-s |
| Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) | | | | 30 | 60 | | 1/week | 24- hour composite |
| Total Suspended Solids | | | | 30 | 60 | | 1/week | 24- hour composite |
| Oil & Grease | | | | 15 | 20 | | 1/week | Grab |

Development of Effluent Limitations

| IMP No. | 201 | | Design Flow (MGD) | 0.336 |
|---------------|---------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Latitude | 40º 29' 35.23 | 9 | Longitude | -80° 04' 43.42" |
| Wastewater De | escription: | Steam Condensate, Stormwater, ar | nd Boiler Blowdown | |

Technology-Based Limitations

25 PA Code Chapter 92 requires pH requirements to be a minimum of 6.0 and a maximum of 9.0 S.U. for all industrial waste process and non-process discharges.

Flow Reporting requirements is in accordance with the 25 PA Code Chapter 92 regulations.

Boiler blowdown is considered a low volume waste source in 40 CFR 423.12 and will have effluent limitation for TSS and Oil and grease.

The boiler system uses municipal water supply, indicating that TRC will be present in the water supply. Pennsylvania regulations at 25 Pa. Code § 92a.48(b) require the imposition of technology-based TRC limits for facilities that use chlorination and that are not already subject to TRC limits based on applicable federal ELGs or a facility-specific BPJ evaluation.

Temperature limits will be imposed per the Department's "*Implementation Guidance for Temperature Criteria*." As a policy, DEP normally imposes a maximum temperature limit of 110°F on discharges that contain residual heat. The limit is intended as a safety measure to protect sampling personnel or anyone who may come into contact with the heated discharge where it enters the receiving water.

Table 4. Technology Based Limitations

| Deremeter | BPT effluent Limitations (mg/l) | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Parameter | Daily Maximum | Monthly Average | | | | |
| pH (S.U) | Between 6.0 and 9.0 | | | | | |
| Flow | Report | Report | | | | |
| Total Suspended Solids | 100.0 | 30.0 | | | | |
| Oil and Grease | 20.0 | 15.0 | | | | |
| Total Residual Chlorine | 1.0 | 0.5 | | | | |
| Temperature | | | | | | |

Water Quality-Based Limitations

Toxic Pollutants Water Quality Analysis

The discharges from IMP 101 consist of Steam Condensate, Boiler Blowdown, and stormwater; and are non-process discharges. Therefore, a toxic pollutant water quality analysis was not conducted for the discharge from IMP 201.

Total Maximum Daily Loads

The Ohio River has a TMDL for PCBs and Chlordane. The TMDL outlines a plan to achieve water quality standards in the water body. The TMDL applies only to discharges of PCBs and chlordane to the Ohio River and does not provide wasteload allocations for either. The TMDL goal is for levels of PCB and chlordane in the water column to be equal to or less than the Commonwealth's water quality criteria. The production and use of PCBs in the United States was banned in July of 1979. In addition, the TMDL acknowledges that there are no longer any known point sources of either pollutant in the watershed and the watershed is expected to achieve TMDL standards through "natural attenuation". While it is now illegal to manufacture, distribute, or use PCBs in the United states, these synthetic oils were used in the past. However, this site has not been shown to have PCBs in its discharge and has not been known to use PCBs. Neither chlordane nor PCB's are used, generated, or stored at the site; nor is there any evidence to suggest that PCBs and chlordane were ever used, generated, or stored onsite in the past. Based upon these considerations, the Ohio TMDL is not applicable to site's discharges.

Thermal WQBELs for Heated Discharges

Thermal WQBELs are evaluated using a DEP program called the "Thermal Discharge Limit Calculation Spreadsheet" created with Microsoft Excel for Windows. The program calculates temperature WLAs through the application of a heat transfer equation, which takes two forms in the program depending on the source of the facility's cooling water. In Case 1, intake water to a facility is from the receiving stream. In Case 2, intake water is from a source other than the receiving stream (e.g., municipal water supply). The determination of which case applies to a given discharge is determined by the input data which include the receiving stream flow rate (Q₇₋₁₀ or the minimum regulated flow for large rivers), the stream intake flow rate, external source intake flow rates, consumptive flow rates and site-specific ambient stream temperatures. Case 1 limits are generally expressed as heat rejection rates while Case 2 limits are usually expressed as temperatures.

Since the temperature criteria from 25 Pa. Code Chapter 93.7(a) are expressed on monthly and semi-monthly bases for three different aquatic life-uses—cold water fishes, warm water fishes and trout stocking—the program generates monthly and semi-monthly limits for each use. DEP selects the output that corresponds to the aquatic life-use of the receiving stream and consequently which limits apply to the discharge. Temperature WLAs are bounded by an upper limit of 110°F for the safety of sampling personnel and anyone who may come into contact with the heated discharge where it enters the receiving water. If no WLAs below 110°F are calculated, an instantaneous maximum limit of 110°F is recommended by the program.

DEP's *Implementation Guidance for Temperature Criteria* directs permit writers to assume instantaneous complete mixing of the discharge with the receiving stream when calculating thermal effluent limits unless adverse factors exist. One such factor listed in the guidance is that the "discharge is to a receiving water that is very wide, resulting in restricted dispersion of the plume, and horizontal stratification of the plume." Since wastewaters from the site discharge to the Ohio River, the dispersion of the discharge plume is likely to be limited and instantaneous complete mixing will not occur. Therefore, the acute partial mix factor calculated by the Toxics Management Spreadsheet (0.120 × 2365 cfs = 283.8 cfs) will be applied to the modeled flow rate for the thermal WQBEL analysis. The Toxics Management Spreadsheet that was used to determine the acute partial mix factor is in Attachment B.

Due to the nature of the discharge and the location on the receiving stream, all heated discharges will be evaluated as one discharge to ensure the temperature criteria is met instream from all of the heated discharges and a combined flow of 0.656 MGD was used in the model. Discharges from IMP 101 and 201 are classified under Case 2 because water is obtained from municipal water supply. The results of the thermal analysis, included in Attachment C, indicate that no WQBELs for temperature are required at IMP 201. Therefore, the 110°F instantaneous maximum temperature limit will be imposed at IMP 201.

Total Residual Chlorine

To determine if WQBELs are required for discharges containing total residual chlorine (TRC), a discharge evaluation is performed using a DEP program called TRC_CALC created with Microsoft Excel for Windows. TRC_CALC calculates TRC Waste Load Allocations (WLAs) through the application of a mass balance model which considers TRC losses due to stream and discharge chlorine demands and first-order chlorine decay. Input values for the program include flow rates and chlorine demands for the receiving stream and the discharge, the number of samples taken per month, coefficients of TRC variability, partial mix factors, and an optional factor of safety. The mass balance model calculates WLAs for acute and chronic criteria that are then converted to long term averages using calculated multipliers. The multipliers are functions of the number of samples taken per month and the TRC variability coefficients (normally kept at default values unless site specific information is available). The most stringent limitation between the acute and chronic long-term averages is converted to an average monthly limit for comparison to the BAT average monthly limit of 0.5 mg/l from 25 Pa. Code § 92a.48(b)(2). The more stringent of these average monthly TRC limitations is imposed in the permit. The results of the modeling, included in Attachment E, indicate that no WQBELs are required for TRC.

Anti-Backsliding

The following limits in table 5 below were imposed on the previous permit and due to the federal regulation specified in 40 CFR 122.44 (1) are applied to the permit.

Table 5. Current Limitations at IMP 201

| Deremeter | Loading | | Concentration (mg/L) | | | | Reporting Requirements | |
|------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| Parameter | Monthly Avg. | Daily Max. | Instant. Min. | Monthly Avg. | Daily Max. | Inst. Max. | Measure Frequency | Sample Type |
| Flow (mgd) | Report | Report | | | | | 1/week | Calculation |
| pH (s.u.) | | | 6.0 | | | 9.0 | 1/week | Grab |
| Temperature (°F) | | | | | 110 | | 1/week | i-s |
| Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) | | | | 30 | 60 | | 1/week | 24- hour composite |
| Total Suspended Solids | | | | 30 | 60 | | 1/week | 24- hour composite |

Proposed Final Effluent Limits

The final effluent limitation and Reporting requirements for IMP 201 are in table 6 below. The limits are the most stringent values from the above limitation analysis. The temperature limitation was incorrectly listed as a Daily Maximum Limitations in the last permit when it should have been listed as an Instantaneous Maximum limitation. The temperature limitation has been changed to reflect this

Table 6. Proposed Limitations at IMP 101

| Deremeter | Loading | | Concentration (mg/L) | | | | Reporting Requirements | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| Parameter | Monthly Avg. | Daily Max. | Instant. Min. | Monthly Avg. | Daily Max. | Inst. Max. | Measure Frequency | Sample Type |
| Flow (mgd) | Report | Report | | | | | 1/week | Calculation |
| рН (s.u.) | | | 6.0 | | | 9.0 | 1/week | Grab |
| Total Residual Chlorine (TRC) | | | | 0.5 | 1.0 | | 1/week | Grab |
| Temperature (°F) | | | | | | 110 | 1/week | i-s |
| Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) | | | | 30 | 60 | | 1/week | 24- hour composite |
| Total Suspended Solids | | | | 30 | 60 | | 1/week | 24- hour composite |
| Oil & Grease | | | | 15 | 20 | | 1/week | Grab |

Development of Effluent Limitations

| IMP No. | 301 | Design Flow (MGD) | Varies |
|---------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Latitude | 40° 29' 39.44" | Longitude | -80° 04' 42.42" |
| Wastewater De | scription: Hydrostatic Tank Test Water | | |

Technology-Based Limitations

Hydrostatic test water discharge from petroleum storage tanks is also addressed in PAG-10 General Permit. The concentration limits for hydrostatic test water from the general permit are shown in Table 7.

Table 7. Technology based effluent limits for hydrostatic test water

| Parameter | Minimum | Average Monthly | Instantaneous Maximum |
|--------------------------------------|---------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| Flow (GPM) | | Report | |
| Duration (hours) | | Report | |
| Total Volume Discharged (Gallons) | | Report Total Monthly | |
| Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L) | 5.0 | | |
| pH (standard units) | 6.0 | | 9.0 |
| Total Residual Chlorine (TRC) (mg/L) | | Report | 0.05 |
| Total Suspended Solids (TSS) (mg/L) | | 30.0 | 60.0 |
| Oil and Grease (mg/L) | | 15.0 | 30.0 |
| Dissolved Iron (mg/L) | | | 7.0 |
| Benzene (mg/L) | | | 0.0025 |
| BTEX (mg/L) | | | 0.25 |

Water Quality-Based Limitations

A water quality analysis was not performed to calculate water quality-based effluent limitations as the discharge water is hydrostatic test water.

Anti-Backsliding

IMP 301 is new to the permit, but the discharge of hydrostatic test water is not. The discharges were previously monitored via a part C condition. The part C condition include limitations that the wastewater must meet before discharging. The limitations can be used pursuant to EPA's anti-backsliding regulation, 40 CFR 122.44(I) and are displayed in Table 8 below.

Table 8: Current Hydrostatic Tank Test Water Limitations

| | Effluent Limitations | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| Parameter | Instant. Minimum | Average Monthly | Instantaneous Maximum | | | |
| pH (S.U.) | 6.0 | XXX | 9.0 | | | |
| Total Residual Chlorine (TRC) (mg/L) | XXX | XXX | 0.05 | | | |
| Total Suspended Solids (TSS) (mg/L) | XXX | XXX | 60 | | | |
| Oil and Grease (mg/L) | XXX | XXX | 30 | | | |
| Dissolved Iron (mg/L) | XXX | XXX | 7.0 | | | |
| Benzene (mg/L) | XXX | XXX | 0.0025 | | | |
| BTEX (mg/L) | XXX | XXX | 0.25 | | | |

Proposed Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements

The proposed effluent monitoring requirements for IMP 301 are displayed in Table 9 below, they are the most stringent values from the above effluent limitation development.

Table 9: Current Limitations at IMP 301

| | E | ffluent Limit | ations | Monitoring Requirements | | | |
|---------------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------------------------|--------|---------------------------------------------|----------------|--|--|
| Parameter | Instant. Minimum | stant. Average Instantaneous imum Monthly Maximum | | Minimum Measurement Frequency (1),(2) | Sample Type | | |
| Flow (GPM) (3) | XXX | Report | XXX | 1/discharge | Measured | | |
| Duration of Discharge (Hours) (3) | XXX | Report | XXX | 1/discharge | Measured | | |
| Total Volume Discharged (Gallons) (3) | xxx | Report Total Monthly | ххх | 1/month | Calculated | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L) | 5.0 | XXX | XXX | 2/discharge | Grab | | |
| pH (S.U.) | 6.0 | XXX | 9.0 | 2/discharge | Grab | | |
| Total Residual Chlorine (TRC) (mg/L) (4) | XXX | Report | 0.05 | 2/discharge | Grab | | |
| Total Suspended Solids (TSS) (mg/L) | XXX | 30 | 60 | 1/discharge | Grab | | |
| Oil and Grease (mg/L) | XXX | 15 | 30 | 1/discharge | Grab | | |
| Dissolved Iron (mg/L) | XXX | XXX | 7.0 | 1/discharge | Grab | | |
| Benzene (mg/L) (5) | XXX | XXX | 0.0025 | 1/discharge | Grab | | |
| BTEX (mg/L) (5), (6) | XXX | XXX | 0.25 | 1/discharge | Grab | | |

Footnotes

(1) This is the minimum number of sampling events required. Permittees are encouraged, and it may be advantageous in demonstrating compliance, to perform more than the minimum number of sampling events.

(2) The permittee shall collect samples at the point of discharge (outfall) prior to the discharge entering the receiving waters. For measurement frequencies of 1/discharge, the permittee shall collect samples within the first 30 minutes of commencing a discharge. For measurement frequencies of 2/discharge, the permittee shall collect one sample at the start of a discharge and one sample at the end of a discharge.

(3) The permittee shall report the average monthly flow, in gallons per minute (GPM), for all discharges occurring during the month. The permittee shall measure the flow and the duration of the discharge (in hours) for each discharge and shall report this information to DEP in the Annual Report as specified in Part A III of this permit. The permittee shall report the total volume discharged each month, in gallons.

(4) The permittee shall comply with effluent limitations and monitoring requirements for Total Residual Chlorine (TRC) when a public water supply or other source of chlorinated water is used in hydrostatic testing.

(5) The permittee shall comply with effluent limitations and monitoring requirements for Benzene and BTEX for existing natural gas transmission lines (NGTLs), existing petroleum storage tanks (PSTs) and existing petroleum transmission lines (PTLs).

(6) The permittee shall calculate Total BTEX as the sum of concentrations for Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, and Total Xylenes determined through analysis of the same sample.

| Tools and References Used to Develop Permit |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| WOM for Windows Model (see Attachment |
| Toxics Management Spreadsheet (see Attachment) |
| TRC Model Spreadsheet (see Attachment D and E) |
| Temperature Model Spreadsheet (see Attachment C) |
| Water Quality Toxics Management Strategy 361-0100-003 4/06 |
| Technical Guidance for the Development and Specification of Effluent Limitations, 362-0400-001, 10/97 |
| Policy for Permitting Surface Water Diversions, 362-2000-003, 3/98 |
| Policy for Conducting Technical Reviews of Minor NPDES Renewal Applications 362-2000-008 11/96 |
| Technology-Based Control Requirements for Water Treatment Plant Wastes 362-2183-003 10/07 |
| Technical Guidance for Development of NPDES Permit Requirements Steam Electric Industry, 362-2183-004, 12/97. |
| Pennsylvania CSO Policy, 385-2000-011, 9/08. |
| Water Quality Antidegradation Implementation Guidance, 391-0300-002, 11/03. |
| Implementation Guidance Evaluation & Process Thermal Discharge (316(a)) Federal Water Pollution Act, 391-2000-002, 4/97. |
| Determining Water Quality-Based Effluent Limits, 391-2000-003, 12/97. |
| Implementation Guidance Design Conditions, 391-2000-006, 9/97. |
| Technical Reference Guide (TRG) WQM 7.0 for Windows, Wasteload Allocation Program for Dissolved Oxygen and Ammonia Nitrogen, Version 1.0, 391-2000-007, 6/2004. |
| Interim Method for the Sampling and Analysis of Osmotic Pressure on Streams, Brines, and Industrial Discharges, 391-2000-008, 10/1997. |
| Implementation Guidance for Section 95.6 Management of Point Source Phosphorus Discharges to Lakes, Ponds, and Impoundments, 391-2000-010, 3/99. |
| Technical Reference Guide (TRG) PENTOXSD for Windows, PA Single Discharge Wasteload Allocation Program for Toxics, Version 2.0, 391-2000-011, 5/2004. |
| Implementation Guidance for Section 93.7 Ammonia Criteria, 391-2000-013, 11/97. |
| Policy and Procedure for Evaluating Wastewater Discharges to Intermittent and Ephemeral Streams, Drainage Channels and Swales, and Storm Sewers, 391-2000-014, 4/2008. |
| Implementation Guidance Total Residual Chlorine (TRC) Regulation, 391-2000-015, 11/1994. |
| Implementation Guidance for Temperature Criteria, 391-2000-017, 4/09. |
| Implementation Guidance for Section 95.9 Phosphorus Discharges to Free Flowing Streams, 391-2000-018, 10/97. |
| Implementation Guidance for Application of Section 93.5(e) for Potable Water Supply Protection Total Dissolved Solids, Nitrite-Nitrate, Non-Priority Pollutant Phenolics and Fluorides, 391-2000-019, 10/97. |
| Field Data Collection and Evaluation Protocol for Determining Stream and Point Source Discharge Design Hardness, 391-2000-021, 3/99. |
| Implementation Guidance for the Determination and Use of Background/Ambient Water Quality in the Determination of Wasteload Allocations and NPDES Effluent Limitations for Toxic Substances, 391-2000-022, 3/1999. |
| Design Stream Flows, 391-2000-023, 9/98. |
| Field Data Collection and Evaluation Protocol for Deriving Daily and Hourly Discharge Coefficients of Variation (CV) and Other Discharge Characteristics, 391-2000-024, 10/98. |
| Evaluations of Phosphorus Discharges to Lakes, Ponds and Impoundments, 391-3200-013, 6/97. |
| Pennsylvania's Chesapeake Bay Tributary Strategy Implementation Plan for NPDES Permitting, 4/07. |
| SOP: |
| Other: |

Attachments

Attachment A: StreamStats Report Attachment B: Toxics Management Spreadsheet Partial Mix Factors Attachment C: Site Thermal Discharge Analysis Attachment D: TRC Evaluation at IMP 101 Attachment E: TRC Evaluation at IMP 201 Attachment F: Site Plan

Attachment G: Site Flow Diagram

Attachment A:

StreamStats Report

StreamStats Report

 Region ID:
 PA

 Workspace ID:
 PA20230508171806924000

 Clicked Point (Latitude, Longitude):
 40.49983, -80.07716

 Time:
 2023-05-08 13:18:42 -0400



Collapse All

> Basin Characteristics

| Parameter Code | Parameter Description | Value | Unit |
|----------------|--------------------------------------------|---------|--------------|
| DRNAREA | Area that drains to a point on a stream | 19400 | square miles |
| ELEV | Mean Basin Elevation | 1675 | feet |
| FOREST | Percentage of area covered by forest | 72.4836 | percent |
| PRECIP | Mean Annual Precipitation | 45 | inches |
| URBAN | Percentage of basin with urban development | 4.1478 | percent |

> Low-Flow Statistics

Low-Flow Statistics Parameters [57.7 Percent (11200 square miles) Low Flow Region 3]

| Parameter Code | Parameter Name | Value | Units | Min Limit | Max Limit |
|----------------|---------------------------|-------|--------------|-----------|-----------|
| DRNAREA | Drainage Area | 19400 | square miles | 2.33 | 1720 |
| ELEV | Mean Basin Elevation | 1675 | feet | 898 | 2700 |
| PRECIP | Mean Annual Precipitation | 45 | inches | 38.7 | 47.9 |

Low-Flow Statistics Parameters [42.0 Percent (8160 square miles) Low Flow Region 4]

| Parameter Code | Parameter Name | Value | Units | Min Limit | Max Limit |
|----------------|----------------------|-------|--------------|-----------|-----------|
| DRNAREA | Drainage Area | 19400 | square miles | 2.26 | 1400 |
| ELEV | Mean Basin Elevation | 1675 | feet | 1050 | 2580 |

Low-Flow Statistics Disclaimers [57.7 Percent (11200 square miles) Low Flow Region 3]

One or more of the parameters is outside the suggested range. Estimates were extrapolated with unknown errors.

Low-Flow Statistics Flow Report [57.7 Percent (11200 square miles) Low Flow Region 3]

| Statistic | Value | Unit |
|-------------------------|-------|--------|
| 7 Day 2 Year Low Flow | 2810 | ft^3/s |
| 30 Day 2 Year Low Flow | 3530 | ft^3/s |
| 7 Day 10 Year Low Flow | 1990 | ft^3/s |
| 30 Day 10 Year Low Flow | 2310 | ft^3/s |
| 90 Day 10 Year Low Flow | 3080 | ft^3/s |

Low-Flow Statistics Disclaimers [42.0 Percent (8160 square miles) Low Flow Region 4]

One or more of the parameters is outside the suggested range. Estimates were extrapolated with unknown errors.

Low-Flow Statistics Flow Report [42.0 Percent (8160 square miles) Low Flow Region 4]

| Statistic | Value | Unit |
|-------------------------|-------|--------|
| 7 Day 2 Year Low Flow | 2850 | ft^3/s |
| 30 Day 2 Year Low Flow | 3530 | ft^3/s |
| 7 Day 10 Year Low Flow | 1920 | ft^3/s |
| 30 Day 10 Year Low Flow | 2020 | ft^3/s |
| 90 Day 10 Year Low Flow | 2760 | ft^3/s |

Low-Flow Statistics Flow Report [Area-Averaged]

| Statistic | Value | Unit |
|-------------------------|-------|--------|
| 7 Day 2 Year Low Flow | 2820 | ft^3/s |
| 30 Day 2 Year Low Flow | 3520 | ft^3/s |
| 7 Day 10 Year Low Flow | 1950 | ft^3/s |
| 30 Day 10 Year Low Flow | 2180 | ft^3/s |
| 90 Day 10 Year Low Flow | 2940 | ft^3/s |

Low-Flow Statistics Citations

Stuckey, M.H.,2006, Low-flow, base-flow, and mean-flow regression equations for Pennsylvania streams: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2006-5130, 84 p. (http://pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2006/5130/)

USGS Data Disclaimer: Unless otherwise stated, all data, metadata and related materials are considered to satisfy the quality standards relative to the purpose for which the data were collected. Although these data and associated metadata have been reviewed for accuracy and completeness and approved for release by the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), no warranty expressed or implied is made regarding the display or utility of the data for other purposes, nor on all computer systems, nor shall the act of distribution constitute any such warranty.

USGS Software Disclaimer: This software has been approved for release by the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS). Although the software has been subjected to rigorous review, the USGS reserves the right to update the software as needed pursuant to further analysis and review. No warranty, expressed or implied, is made by the USGS or the U.S. Government as to the functionality of the software and related material nor shall the fact of release constitute any such warranty. Furthermore, the software is released on condition that neither the USGS nor the U.S. Government shall be held liable for any damages resulting from its authorized or unauthorized use.

USGS Product Names Disclaimer: Any use of trade, firm, or product names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

Application Version: 4.14.0 StreamStats Services Version: 1.2.22 NSS Services Version: 2.2.1 Attachment B:

Toxics Management Spreadsheet Partial Mix Factors



Discharge Information

| Instructions | Discharg | e Stre | am | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|--------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------|-------------|---------------|--------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------|----------------|
| Facility: | INEOS Col | mposites | | | | | NPD | ES Peri | mit No.: | PA000 | 3832 | | Outfall | No.: 001 | |
| Evaluation Ty | /pe: Ma | ajor Sewag | ge / Indust | rial Was | te | | Was | tewater | Descript | tion: Ste | eam Con | desnsat | e and St | tormwate | er |
| | | | | | Disch | arge | Char | acterist | tics | | | | | | |
| Design Flo | w | | | (0 11)* | | F | Partia | l Mix Fa | actors (F | PMFs) | | Com | plete Mi | x Times | (min) |
| (MGD)* | Hardi | ness (mg/ | і)^ рн | (SU)^ | AF | C | 0 | FC | THH | 1 | CRL | Q | 7-10 | G | l _h |
| 0.656 | | 100 | | 7 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | (| 0 if left l | blank | 0.5 if le | ft blank | 0 |) if left blan | k | 1 if left | t blank |
| Di | scharge Po | ollutant | Units | Max Di Co | scharge onc | e Ti Co | rib onc | Stream Conc | Daily CV | Hourly CV | strea m CV | Fate Coeff | FOS | Criteri a Mod | Chem Transl |
| Total Diss | olved Solids | s (PWS) | mg/L | | 1030 | | | | | | | | | | |
| stream / S | urface \ | Nater I | nforma | tion | | | | | | INEOS Co | mposites , I | NPDES Peri | mit No. PA | A0003832, (| Outfall 00 |
| eceiving Surface V | Vater Name: | Ohio River | | | _ | | No. Re | aches to I | Model: | 1 | ⊖ St ⊖ Gi | atewide Cri reat Lakes | iteria Criteria | | |
| Location | Stream Cod | e* RMI* | Elevation (ft)* | DA (mi ² |)* Slope | e (ft/ft) | PWS | Withdraw (MGD) | al Apply | Fish eria* | O | RSANCO C | riteria | | |
| Point of Discharge | 032317 | 975.11 | 710 | 19400 | | | | | Ye | es | | | | | |
| End of Reach 1 | 032317 | 971.89 | 709 | 19401 | | | | 6 | Ye | es | | | | | |
| 7-10 | | | | | | | | | TIAVEL | | | | | | |
| Location | RMI | LFY (cfs/mi ²)* | Flow (c Stream | fs) Fributary | W/D V Ratio | Vidth (ft) | Depth (ft) | Velocit y (fps) | Time | Hardn | ributary ess pH | St | ream s* pH* | Ana | alysis s pH |
| Point of Discharge | 975.11 | 0.1 | 2365 | | | 580 | 15 | | (dave) | | | 100 | 7 | | |
| End of Reach 1 | 971.89 | 0.1 | 2365 | | | 600 | 15 | | | | | | | | |
| 2 n | | | | | | | | | 1308 | | | | | | |
| Location | RMI | LFY (cfs/mi ²) | Flow (c | fs) Fributary | W/D V Ratio | Width (ft) | Depth (ft) | Velocit v (fps) | Time | Hardo | ributary ess pH | St | ream ss pH | Ana | alysis s pH |
| Point of Discharge | 975.11 | | Gacam | lindery | - tutto | (ity) | (14) | y (103) | (dave) | Tarun | | | | Therearies | |
| End of Reach 1 | 971.89 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

NPDES Permit Fact Sheet Neville Island Plant



Toxics Management Spreadsheet Version 1.4, May 2023

Model Results

INEOS Composites , NPDES Permit No. PA0003832, Outfall 001

| Instructions Resu | ults | RETURN TO INPUTS | SAVE AS PDF | PRINT | All | ⊖ Inputs | ⊖ Results | ⊖ Limits |
|-------------------|------|------------------|-------------|-------|-----|----------|-----------|----------|
| | | | | | | | | |

✓ Hydrodynamics

| Q 7-10 | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|------------|------------|-----------|-------------------|----------------|----------------------------|
| RMI | Stream Flow (cfs) | PWS Withdrawal (cfs) | Net Stream Flow (cfs) | Discharge Analysis Flow (cfs) | Slope (ft/ft) | Depth (ft) | Width (ft) | W/D Ratio | Velocity (fps) | Time (days) | Complete Mix Time (min) |
| 975.11 | 2,365 | | 2,365 | 1.015 | 0.00006 | 15. | 580. | 38.667 | 0.272 | 0.724 | 1033.996 |
| 971.89 | 2,365 | 9.282 | 2355.718 | | | | | | | | |

Q,

| RMI | Stream Flow (cfs) | PWS Withdrawal (cfs) | Net Stream Flow (cfs) | Discharge Analysis Flow (cfs) | Slope (ft/ft) | Depth (ft) | Width (ft) | W/D Ratio | Velocity (fps) | Time (days) | Complete Mix Time (min) |
|--------|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|------------|------------|-----------|-------------------|----------------|----------------------------|
| 975.11 | 6602.63 | | 6602.63 | 1.015 | 0.00006 | 23.563 | 580. | 24.615 | 0.483 | 0.407 | 525.475 |
| 971.89 | 6602.634 | 9.282 | 6593.35 | | | | | | | | |

Wasteload Allocations

| AFC CC | F (min): 1 | 5 | PMF: | 0.120 | Anal | lysis Hardne: | ss (mg/l): | 100 Analysis pH: 7.00 | |
|------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|--------------|---------------|------------------|------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Pollutants | Conc | Stream CV | Trib Conc (µg/L) | Fate Coef | WQC (µg/L) | WQ Obj (µg/L) | WLA (µg/L) |) Comments | |
| Total Dissolved Solids (PWS) | 0 | 0 | | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| CFC CCT | Г (min): 7: | 20 | PMF: | 0.834 | Ana | lysis Hardne | ss (mg/l): | 100 Analysis pH: 7.00 | |
| Pollutants | Conc | Stream CV | Trib Conc (µg/L) | Fate Coef | WQC (µg/L) | WQ Obj (µg/L) | WLA (µg/L) |) Comments | |
| Total Dissolved Solids (PWS) | 0 | 0 | | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| <i>⊡ тнн</i> сст | Image: THH CCT (min): 720 THH PMF: 0.834 Analysis Hardness (mg/l): N/A Analysis pH: N/A PWS PMF: 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Pollutants | Conc (ug/L) | Stream CV | Trib Conc (µg/L) | Fate Coef | WQC (µg/L) | WQ Obj (µg/L) | WLA (µg/L) |) Comments | |
| Total Dissolved Solids (PWS) | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 500,000 | 500,000 | ########## | # WQC applied at RMI 971.89 with a design stream flow of 2365 cfs | |

| CRL C | CCT (min): ### | ### | PMF: | 1 | Ana | alysis Hardne | ess (mg/l): | N/A Analysis pH: N/A |
|------------------------------|----------------|--------------|---------------------|--------------|---------------|------------------|-------------|----------------------|
| Pollutants | Conc | Stream CV | Trib Conc (µg/L) | Fate Coef | WQC (µg/L) | WQ Obj (µg/L) | WLA (µg/L) | Comments |
| Total Dissolved Solids (PWS) | 0 | 0 | | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | |

Recommended WQBELs & Monitoring Requirements

No. Samples/Month: 4

| | Mass | Limits | Concentration Limits | | | | | | |
|------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----|------|-------|--------------------|----------------|----------|
| Pollutants | AML (lbs/day) | MDL (lbs/day) | AML | MDL | IMAX | Units | Governing WQBEL | WQBEL Basis | Comments |
| | | | | | | | | | |

☑ Other Pollutants without Limits or Monitoring

The following pollutants do not require effluent limits or monitoring based on water quality because reasonable potential to exceed water quality criteria was not determined and the discharge concentration was less than thresholds for monitoring, or the pollutant was not detected and a sufficiently sensitive analytical method was used (e.g., <= Target QL).

| Pollutants | Governing WQBEL | Units | Comments |
|------------------------------|--------------------|-------|----------------------------|
| Total Dissolved Solids (PWS) | 1,165,717 | mg/L | Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Attachment C:

Site Thermal Discharge Analysis

NPDES Permit Fact Sheet Neville Island Plant

Facility: INEOS Composites

Permit Number: PA0003832 Stream Name: Ohio River Analyst/Engineer: Adam Olesnanik Stream Q7-10 (cfs): 283.8

| | | Facilit | y Flows ¹ | | Stream Flows | | |
|-----------|----------|----------|----------------------|-----------|--------------|-------------------------|--|
| | Stream | External | Consumptive | Discharge | Adj. Q7-10 | Downstream ² | |
| | (Intake) | (Intake) | (Loss) | | Stream Flow | Stream Flow | |
| | (MGD) | (MGD) | (MGD) | (MGD) | (cfs) | (cfs) | |
| Jan 1-31 | 0 | 0.656 | 0 | 0.656 | 908.2 | 909.2 | |
| Feb 1-29 | 0 | 0.656 | 0 | 0.656 | 993.3 | 994.3 | |
| Mar 1-31 | 0 | 0.656 | 0 | 0.656 | 1986.6 | 1987.6 | |
| Apr 1-15 | 0 | 0.656 | 0 | 0.656 | 2639.3 | 2640.4 | |
| Apr 16-30 | 0 | 0.656 | 0 | 0.656 | 2639.3 | 2640.4 | |
| May 1-15 | 0 | 0.656 | 0 | 0.656 | 1447.4 | 1448.4 | |
| May 16-31 | 0 | 0.656 | 0 | 0.656 | 1447.4 | 1448.4 | |
| Jun 1-15 | 0 | 0.656 | 0 | 0.656 | 851.4 | 852.4 | |
| Jun 16-30 | 0 | 0.656 | 0 | 0.656 | 851.4 | 852.4 | |
| Jul 1-31 | 0 | 0.656 | 0 | 0.656 | 482.5 | 483.5 | |
| Aug 1-15 | 0 | 0.656 | 0 | 0.656 | 397.3 | 398.3 | |
| Aug 16-31 | 0 | 0.656 | 0 | 0.656 | 397.3 | 398.3 | |
| Sep 1-15 | 0 | 0.656 | 0 | 0.656 | 312.2 | 313.2 | |
| Sep 16-30 | 0 | 0.656 | 0 | 0.656 | 312.2 | 313.2 | |
| Oct 1-15 | 0 | 0.656 | 0 | 0.656 | 340.6 | 341.6 | |
| Oct 16-31 | 0 | 0.656 | 0 | 0.656 | 340.6 | 341.6 | |
| Nov 1-15 | 0 | 0.656 | 0 | 0.656 | 454.1 | 455.1 | |
| Nov 16-30 | 0 | 0.656 | 0 | 0.656 | 454.1 | 455.1 | |
| Dec 1-31 | 0 | 0.656 | 0 | 0.656 | 681.1 | 682.1 | |

¹ Facility flows are not required (and will not affect the permit limits) if all intake flow is from the receiving stream (Case 1),

consumptive losses are small, and permit limits will be expressed as Million BTUs/day.

 $^{\rm 2}$ Dow nstream Stream Flow includes the discharge flow .

| Facility: | INEOS Composite | es | | | | |
|--------------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Permit Number: | PA0003832 | | | | | |
| Stream: | Ohio River | | | | | |
| 0 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | WWF Criteria | CWF Criteria | TSF Criteria | 316 Criteria | Q7-10 Multipliers | Q7-10 Multipliers |
| | (°F) | (°F) | (°F) | (°F) | (Used in Analysis) | (Default - Info Only) |
| Jan 1-31 | 40 | 38 | 40 | 0 | 3.2 | 3.2 |
| Feb 1-29 | 40 | 38 | 40 | 0 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Mar 1-31 | 46 | 42 | 46 | 0 | 7 | 7 |
| Apr 1-15 | 52 | 48 | 52 | 0 | 9.3 | 9.3 |
| Apr 16-30 | 58 | 52 | 58 | 0 | 9.3 | 9.3 |
| May 1-15 | 64 | 54 | 64 | 0 | 5.1 | 5.1 |
| May 16-30 | 72 | 58 | 68 | 0 | 5.1 | 5.1 |
| Jun 1-15 | 80 | 60 | 70 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Jun 16-30 | 84 | 64 | 72 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Jul 1-31 | 87 | 66 | 74 | 0 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| Aug 1-15 | 87 | 66 | 80 | 0 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| Aug 16-31 | 87 | 66 | 87 | 0 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| Sep 1-15 | 84 | 64 | 84 | 0 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Sep 16-30 | 78 | 60 | 78 | 0 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Oct 1-15 | 72 | 54 | 72 | 0 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| Oct 16-31 | 66 | 50 | 66 | 0 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| Nov 1-15 | 58 | 46 | 58 | 0 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| Nov 16-30 | 50 | 42 | 50 | 0 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| Dec 1-31 | 42 | 40 | 42 | 0 | 2.4 | 2.4 |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| NOTES: | | | | | | |
| WWF= Warm wate | er fishes | | | | | |
| CWF= Cold water f | ishes | | | | | |
| ISF= Trout stockin | g | | | | | |

NPDES Permit No. PA0003832

NPDES Permit Fact Sheet Neville Island Plant

Facility: INEOS Composites

Permit Number: PA0003832

Stream: Ohio River

| | WWF | | | WWF | WWF | |
|-----------|------------------|----------------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------|
| | Ambient Stream | Ambient Stream | Target Maximum | Daily | Daily | |
| | Temperature (°F) | Temperature (°F) | Stream Temp.1 | WLA ² | WLA ³ | at Discharge |
| | (Default) | (Site-specific data) | (°F) | (Million BTUs/day) | (°F) | Flow (MGD) |
| Jan 1-31 | 35 | 0 | 40 | N/A Case 2 | 110.0 | 0.656 |
| Feb 1-29 | 35 | 0 | 40 | N/A Case 2 | 110.0 | 0.656 |
| Mar 1-31 | 40 | 0 | 46 | N/A Case 2 | 110.0 | 0.656 |
| Apr 1-15 | 47 | 0 | 52 | N/A Case 2 | 110.0 | 0.656 |
| Apr 16-30 | 53 | 0 | 58 | N/A Case 2 | 110.0 | 0.656 |
| May 1-15 | 58 | 0 | 64 | N/A Case 2 | 110.0 | 0.656 |
| May 16-30 | 62 | 0 | 72 | N/A Case 2 | 110.0 | 0.656 |
| Jun 1-15 | 67 | 0 | 80 | N/A Case 2 | 110.0 | 0.656 |
| Jun 16-30 | 71 | 0 | 84 | N/A Case 2 | 110.0 | 0.656 |
| Jul 1-31 | 75 | 0 | 87 | N/A Case 2 | 110.0 | 0.656 |
| Aug 1-15 | 74 | 0 | 87 | N/A Case 2 | 110.0 | 0.656 |
| Aug 16-31 | 74 | 0 | 87 | N/A Case 2 | 110.0 | 0.656 |
| Sep 1-15 | 71 | 0 | 84 | N/A Case 2 | 110.0 | 0.656 |
| Sep 16-30 | 65 | 0 | 78 | N/A Case 2 | 110.0 | 0.656 |
| Oct 1-15 | 60 | 0 | 72 | N/A Case 2 | 110.0 | 0.656 |
| Oct 16-31 | 54 | 0 | 66 | N/A Case 2 | 110.0 | 0.656 |
| Nov 1-15 | 48 | 0 | 58 | N/A Case 2 | 110.0 | 0.656 |
| Nov 16-30 | 42 | 0 | 50 | N/A Case 2 | 110.0 | 0.656 |
| Dec 1-31 | 37 | 0 | 42 | N/A Case 2 | 110.0 | 0.656 |

¹ This is the maximum of the WWF WQ criterion or the ambient temperature. The ambient temperature may be

either the design (median) temperature for WWF, or the ambient stream temperature based on site-specific data entered by the user.

A minimum of 1°F above ambient stream temperature is allocated.

² The WLA expressed in Million BTUs/day is valid for Case 1 scenarios, and disabled for Case 2 scenarios.

³ The WLA expressed in ^oF is valid only if the limit is tied to a daily discharge flow limit (may be used for Case 1 or Case 2). WLAs greater than 110°F are displayed as 110°F.

Attachment D:

TRC Evaluation at IMP 101

TRC EVALUATION

| 2365 0.32 4 0.3 0 0 0.5 | = Q stream (= Q discharg = no. sample = Chlorine D = Chlorine D = BAT/BPJ V | cfs) le (MGD) es emand of Stream emand of Discharge alue f Sofoty (EOS) | 0.5 0.5 0.12 0.834 15 720 | = CV Daily = CV Hourly = AFC_Partial I = CFC_Partial I = AFC_Criteria = CFC_Criteria | Mix Factor Mix Factor Compliance Time (min) Compliance Time (min) | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Source | Reference | AFC Calculations | | Reference | CEC Calculations | | | | |
| TRC PENTOXSD TRG PENTOXSD TRG | 1.3.2.iii 5 5.1a 5 5.1b | WLA afc = LTAMULT afc = LTA_afc= | 182.898 0.373 68.152 | 1.3.2.iii 5.1c 5.1d | WLA cfc = 1239.142 LTAMULT cfc = 0.581 LTA_cfc = 720.379 | | | | |
| Source Effluent Limit Calculations | | | | | | | | | |
| PENTOXSD TRG5.1fAML MULT = 1.720PENTOXSD TRG5.1gAVG MON LIMIT (mg/l) = 0.500BAT/BPJINST MAX LIMIT (mg/l) = 1.170INST MAX LIMIT (mg/l) = 1.170BAT/BPJ | | | | | | | | | |
| WLA afc LTAMULT afc LTA_afc | WLA afc (.019/e(-k*AFC_tc)) + [(AFC_Yc*Qs*.019/Qd*e(-k*AFC_tc)) + Xd + (AFC_Yc*Qs*Xs/Qd)]*(1-FOS/100) LTAMULT afc EXP((0.5*LN(cvh^2+1))-2.326*LN(cvh^2+1)^0.5) LTA_afc wla_afc*LTAMULT_afc | | | | | | | | |
| WLA_cfc(.011/e(-k*CFC_tc) + [(CFC_Yc*Qs*.011/Qd*e(-k*CFC_tc)) +Xd + (CFC_Yc*Qs*Xs/Qd)]*(1-FOS/100)LTAMULT_cfcEXP((0.5*LN(cvd^2/no_samples+1))-2.326*LN(cvd^2/no_samples+1)^0.5)LTA_cfcwla_cfc*LTAMULT_cfcAML MULTEXP(2.326*LN((cvd^2/no_samples+1)^0.5)-0.5*LN(cvd^2/no_samples+1))AVG MON LIMITMIN(BAT_BPJ,MIN(LTA_afc,LTA_cfc)*AML_MULT)INST MAX LIMIT1.5*((av_mon_limit/AML_MULT)/LTAMULT_afc) | | | | | | | | | |

Attachment E:

TRC Evaluation at IMP 201

TRC EVALUATION

| 2365 0.336 4 0.3 0 0 0.5 | = Q stream (= Q discharg = no. sample = Chlorine D = Chlorine D = BAT/BPJ V = % Factor o | cfs) ge (MGD) es emand of Stream emand of Discharge alue of Safety (FOS) | 0.5 0.5 0.12 0.834 15 720 | = CV Daily = CV Hourly = AFC_Partial Mix Factor = CFC_Partial Mix Factor = AFC_Criteria Compliance Time (min) = CFC_Criteria Compliance Time (min) =Decay Coefficient (K) | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Source | Reference | AFC Calculations | | Reference | CFC Calculations | | | | |
| TRC | 1.3.2.iii | WLA afc = | 174.189 | 1.3.2.iii | WLA cfc = 1180.136 | | | | |
| PENTOXSD TRG | 5.1a | LTAMULT afc = | 0.373 | 5.1c | LTAMULT cfc = 0.581 | | | | |
| PENTOXSD TRG | 5.1b | LTA_afc= | 64.907 | 5.1d | LTA_cfc = <u>686.076</u> | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Source Effluent Limit Calculations | | | | | | | | | |
| PENTOXSD TRG | PENTOXSD TRG 5.1f AML MULT = 1.720 | | | | | | | | |
| PENTOXSD TRG | PENTOXSD TRG 5.1g AVG MON LIMIT (mg/l) = 0.500 BAT/BPJ | | | | | | | | |
| | | INST MAX L | .IMIT (mg/l) = | 1.170 | | | | | |
| WLA afc LTAMULT afc LTA_afc | WLA afc (.019/e(-k*AFC_tc)) + [(AFC_Yc*Qs*.019/Qd*e(-k*AFC_tc)) + Xd + (AFC_Yc*Qs*Xs/Qd)]*(1-FOS/100) LTAMULT afc EXP((0.5*LN(cvh^2+1))-2.326*LN(cvh^2+1)^0.5) LTA_afc wla_afc*LTAMULT_afc | | | | | | | | |
| WLA_cfc (.011/e(-k*CFC_tc) + [(CFC_Yc*Qs*.011/Qd*e(-k*CFC_tc)) + Xd + (CFC_Yc*Qs*Xs/Qd)]*(1-FOS/100) LTAMULT_cfc EXP((0.5*LN(cvd^2/no_samples+1))-2.326*LN(cvd^2/no_samples+1)^{0.5}) LTA_cfc wla_cfc*LTAMULT_cfc AML MULT EXP(2.326*LN((cvd^2/no_samples+1)^{0.5})-0.5*LN(cvd^2/no_samples+1)) AVG MON LIMIT MIN(BAT_BPJ,MIN(LTA_afc,LTA_cfc)*AML_MULT) INST MAX LIMIT 1.5*((av_mon_limit/AML_MULT)/LTAMULT_afc) | | | | | | | | | |

Attachment F:

Site Plan

NPDES Permit Fact Sheet Neville Island Plant



Attachment G:

Site Flow Diagram

NPDES Permit Fact Sheet Neville Island Plant

