

#### SOUTHCENTRAL REGIONAL OFFICE CLEAN WATER PROGRAM

Application Type	Renewal
Facility Type	Industrial
Maior / Minor	Minor

## NPDES PERMIT FACT SHEET ADDENDUM

Application No.	PA0083941
APS ID	278373
Authorization ID	1279790

### **Applicant and Facility Information**

Applicant Name	Comm	unity Refuse Service LLC	Facility Name	Cumberland County Landfill			
Applicant Address	620 Ne	wville Road	Facility Address	620 Newville Road			
	Newbu	rg, PA 17240	_	Newburg, PA 17240			
Applicant Contact	Jarod F	reese	Facility Contact	Jarod Freese			
Applicant Phone	(717) 729-1270		Facility Phone	(717) 729-1270			
Client ID	77240		Site ID	254520			
SIC Code	4953		Municipality	Hopewell Township			
SIC Description	Trans.	& Utilities - Refuse Systems	County	Cumberland			
Date Published in PA E	Bulletin	November 14, 2020	EPA Waived?	Yes			
Comment Period End	Date	December 14, 2020	If No, Reason				
Purpose of Application		NPDES Renewal.					

#### Internal Review and Recommendations

A draft permit was prepared on October 28, 2020 and published in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin* on November 14, 2020 for public comments for 30 days. During the 30-day public comment period, no draft permit comments were received from the public. On December 11, 2020, the permittee provided a letter with draft permit comments (see attached). DEP addressed these draft permit comments via email dated December 15, 2020. A meeting was held on December 22, 2020 to discuss draft permit comments as well as other items pertaining to operation and maintenance. During the meeting, DEP agreed that a further assessment on the discharge volume by the permittee is needed to determine the proper design flow to be used in developing permit requirements and to determine if the WQM permit amendment is needed. Further details are explained below.

Given the fact that the draft permit was last issued in 2020 and major modifications made to the original draft permit that are mentioned in this fact sheet addendum, it is recommended that the draft permit be revised and republished in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin* for another 30 days for public comments.

As the date of this fact sheet addendum, there is no open violation associate with the permittee or facility.

Approve	Return	Deny	Signatures	Date
х			Jinsu Kim Jinsu Kim / Environmental Engineering Specialist	September 15, 2021
х			Maria D. Bebenek for Daniel W. Martin Daniel W. Martin, P.E. / Environmental Engineer Manager	September 16, 2021
х			Maria D. Bebenek Maria D. Bebenek, P.E. / Program Manager	September 16, 2021

### **Internal Review and Recommendations**

### Design Flow

Based on a conference call dated September 2, 2021 as well as the email from the permittee dated August 31, 2021, additional flows of 10,000 to 15,000 GPD is expected from new gas wells. According to the permittee, these flows would also be considered "leachate" generated within the site. The current flow data provided by the permittee on the August 31, 2021 email is shown below.

		2021	Leachate Dis	posal Summa	ry (Cumberland Landfil	1)
Month	Year	Days/ Mont h	Outfall (1)	Total Trucked (2)	Total Leachate Volume	Average Month
		1	Gallons	Gallons	Gallons	gpd
August, 2020	2020	31	3,102,418	0	3,102,418	100,078
September, 2020	2020	30	3,058,050	0	3,058,050	101,935
October, 2020	2020	31	3,210,546	0	3,210,546	103,566
November, 2020	2020	30	1,990,890	177,000	2,167,890	72,263
December, 2020	2020	31	1,298,962	4,194,279	5,493,241	177,201
January, 2021	2021	31	1,021,297	4,153,928	5,175,225	166,943
February, 2021	2021	28	731,053	409,935	1,140,988	40,750
March, 2021	2021	31	781,073	1,827,548	2,608,621	84,149
April, 2021	2021	30	2,022,670	2,049,053	4,071,723	135,724
May, 2021	2021	31	872,714	1,788,000	2,660,714	85,829
June, 2021	2021	30	607,020	1,638,000	2,245,020	74,834
July, 2021	2021	31	260,869	1,926,000	2,186,869	70,544
August, 2021	2021	31	313,527	3,184,000	3,497,527	112,823
1) Outfall Recording	s				Annual Average (gpd)	102,049
2) Liquids hauled to	POTWs					

With these additional flows, DEP and the permittee agreed that the average flow could be about 110,000 GPD to 125,000 GPD. During the September 2, 2021 call, the permittee indicated that these additional flows expected to occur within this year.

For the worst-case scenario, 125,000 GPD is selected to be the design flow to be used in developing NPDES permit requirements. As the draft permit was developed based on 100,000 GPD, DEP has decided to revisit all permit requirements.

1. Flow Monitoring

The requirement to monitor the volume of effluent should be included in the permit per 40 CFR § 122.44(i)(1)(ii) regardless of the design flow value.

### 2. pH

pH effluent limits should be included in the permit per both federal and state effluent standards regardless of the design flow value.

- Dissolved Oxygen, CBOD5, Ammonia-Nitrogen (NH3-N) WQM 7.0 ver. 1.1 has been reutilized using 0.125 MGD. The model output shows all existing limits are still protective of water quality under a new design flow.
- Total Suspended Solids (TSS) TSS effluent limits were developed on a case-by-case basis using BPJ, not based on the design flow. Thus, no changes will be made to the existing limits.
- 5. Fecal Coliform

Fecal Coliform effluent limits were developed based on the state effluent standards. Thus, no changes will be made to the existing limits.

6. Toxics

Except for N-Nitrosodimethylamine, all effluent limits for toxics pollutants are still appropriate; they are based on the federal effluent standards and no WQBELs are still recommended. For N-Nitrosodimethylamine, more stringent

### **Internal Review and Recommendations**

effluent limits are recommended (0.31 ug/L v. 0.377 ug/L for average monthly; 0.48 ug/L v. 0.588 ug/L for daily maximum). These WQBELs are much lower than the current DEP's Target Quantitation Limit of 5 ug/L. In such cases, DEP typically asks, under the standard Part C condition, permittees to analyze the parameter using methods that will achieve the QL and to report the value less than the QL to be in compliance. The permittee reported 1.4 ug/L for this parameter. As the permittee is able to meet the QL, the proposed TRE condition in Part C will be removed from the permit.

7. Mass Load Effluent Limits

All mass load effluent limits were calculated based on a formula: design flow x concentration limits x conversion factor of 8.34. Since the design flow has been modified from 0.1 MGD to 0.125 MGD. All mass load effluent limits have been recalculated to reflect this change.

### 8. Monitoring-Only Requirements

The monitoring-only requirements for those pollutants listed in the permit will remain unchanged in the permit.

9. WQM Permit Amendment

The current WQM permit no. 2173201 specifies an annual average design flow of 0.1 MGD with a hydraulic design capacity of 0.15 MGD. As long as the flow does not exceed the existing hydraulic design capacity, DEP determined that it may not be reasonable to amend the WQM permit to adjust the annual average design flow at this time since it is still unclear as to whether this modified flow (0.125 MGD) can actually be considered an annual average design flow.

### Total Arsenic and Total Barium

Presumably, the existing effluent limits for Total Arsenic and Total Barium were developed based on DEP's technical guidance no. 362-2183-001 (issued on June 1, 1996). This guidance lists BAT TBELs for a number of toxic pollutants as they were previously determined to be parameters of concern for development of <u>initial NPDES permit for new municipal waste landfill</u>. US. EPA's technical guidance no. EPA-821-R-99-019 indicates that barium was detected less than 5 times the method detection limit; thus EPA excluded Barium (along with Chromium) from regulation as EPA determined that Barium is not likely to cause toxic effects. Arsenic is included in the federal ELGs for hazardous waste landfill but was excluded from the federal ELGs for non-hazardous waste landfill such as municipal waste landfill. EPA's guidance indicates that the agency did not find toxic metals such as arsenic at treatable levels in any of EPA's sampling episodes for municipal landfills.

Based on these findings, DEP has decided to revisit existing permit requirements for Total Arsenic and Total Barium. Those BAT limits established in the guidance were primarily designed for new facilities (post 1980) that do not have any historical data to properly characterize effluent water quality. When the guidance was developed, US EPA has not yet developed BAT ELGs for landfill point source discharges. In January 2000, US EPA promulgated the ELGs that include BAT standards for both non-hazardous and hazardous waste landfills. The BAT ELGs do not include effluent limitations for both Arsenic and Barium. It may not be acceptable to apply these old BAT limits listed in DEP's technical guidance to the permit unless there is any reason(s) to believe that certain pollutants on that list are discharged at levels high enough to cause adverse environmental impacts. The permittee provided a number of sampling datasets for both Barium and Arsenic. The maximum concentrations for Barium and Arsenic for untreated leachate were 0.78 mg/L and 0.59 mg/L, respectively. The permittee also collected samples of treated leachate (clarifier effluent) after implementing different types of metal removal treatments. The maximum concentrations reported during these trials were 0.46 mg/L for Barium and 0.1 mg/L for Arsenic. DEP's TMS was utilized using untreated leachate concentrations and showed that WQBELs are required for Arsenic but no reasonable potential has been demonstrated for Barium. When using maximum concentrations for treated leachate, a monitoring-only requirement is recommended for Arsenic and no permit requirement is recommended for Barium.

Based on this, it is recommended that existing effluent limits for Total Barium be removed as the reasonable potential analyses under both untreated leachate and treated leachate do not recommend any permit requirements for Total Barium. This decision is supported by 40 CFR 122.44(i)(B)(1).

For Arsenic, it is not reasonable to impose WQBELs that were developed based on the untreated leachate. It is recommended that existing effluent limits for Total Arsenic be replaced with a routine monitoring requirement. A further reasonable potential analysis will be conducted once the facility starts to collect actual effluent data. Ample datasets will be available for the subsequent permit renewal for a further analysis.

This revised draft permit will include the latest standard conditions in Part A and B of the permit. All other permit requirements will remain the same as those specified in the October 28, 2020 draft permit.

### Attachments

1. Draft Permit Comment Letter (via email dated December 11, 2020)

-	
From:	Freese, Jarod <jfreese@wm.com></jfreese@wm.com>
Sent:	Friday, December 11, 2020 9:27 AM
To:	Kim, Jin Su
Cc:	McIntyre, Michael; Landman, Harold; Benham, Michael; Maurer, Aaron
Subject:	[External] Cumberland County Landfill (PA0083941) DRAFT NPDES Permit Comments
Attachments:	CCLF.DRAFT NPDES Permit Comment Letter.pdf

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA\_SPAM@pa.gov.

Mr. Kim,

Kim, Jin Su

Attached is the Community Refuse Service, LLC comments for the DRAFT NPDES permit for the Cumberland County Landfill, permit # PA0083941. If you should have any questions, please feel free to contact me at your earliest convenience.

1

Regards,

Jarod Freese Environmental ProtectionManager <u>ifreese@wm.com</u>

Waste Management 1000 New Ford Mill Road Morrisville, PA 19067 Tel (215) 428-4391 Cell (215) 783-2216

Recycling is a good thing. Please recycle any printed emails.



WASTE MANAGEMENT OF PA 1000 New Ford Mill Road Morrisville, PA 19067 (215) 428-4340 (215) 428-4345 Fax

December 11, 2020

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection Southcentral Regional Office 909 Elmerton Avenue Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17110-8200

Attention: Mr. Jinsu Kim Environmental Engineering Specialist, Clean Water Program

RE: DRAFT NPDES Permit - Industrial Waste Cumberland County Landfill Application No. PA0083941 Authorization ID No. 1279790 Hopewell Township, Cumberland County

Dear Mr. Kim:

Community Refuse Service, LLC ("CRS") has reviewed the October 28, 2020 NPDES DRAFT Permit (No. PA0083941) for Cumberland County Landfill and are providing the following comments:

- Part A Mass and concentration limits for N-nitrosodimethylamine are proposed for Outfall 001, compared to monitor and report only for outfalls 002 through 018. If this is a WQBEL requirement for the receiving stream, can this limit be revised to monitor/report only initially to asses if this is present in the site discharge?
- Part A Outfalls #017 and #018 are listed in the NPDES permit with monitoring requirements however they have not been built/established at this time. Currently the basins associated with those outfalls have yet to be constructed. Waste Management will notify the Department upon the completion of basin construction to initiate sampling requirements.
- 3. The mass/concentration limits for N-nitrosodimethylamine in the draft permit are based on a discharge flow of 100,000 gpd while all other parameter limits in the current and draft permit were based on a discharge flow of 150,000 gpd. Should the N-nitrosodimethylamine limits also be based on 150,000 gpd like all other parameters?

- 4. Part C Other Requirements, Section II & III N-nitrosodimethylamine has been added as a parameter to sample in the issued draft permit to all identified outfalls. It is not part of the current permit and is less likely to be found in landfill leachate based on literature and data available. CRS would like some background on this new permit requirement and why it has been added.
- 5. Part C Other Requirements, Section II & III Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations for Toxic Pollutants associated with Outfall 001. Appears that a Toxic Reduction Evaluation (TRE) is required for Outfall 001 with a TRE Work Plan to be submitted six (6) months following the Permit effective date, completion of the TRE and site-specific data collection 18-months following the Permit effective date, and submission of the finalized WQBEL compliance report 24 months following the Permit effective date. Waste Management would like some background on this new permit requirement and why it has been added.
- 6. Part C The actions listed in the DRAFT Permit leaves the site with 12 months to implement contaminant reduction technologies at the leachate treatment plant to achieve compliance. The schedule appears to provide limited time for monitoring and assessing plant performance prior to developing a TRE work plan in the first 6 months. The overall schedule of actions appears tight from an evaluation and implementation standpoint. CRS would like to discuss schedule flexibility regarding the completion, evaluation, and implementation if required.

Should you have any questions regarding these reports, please feel free to contact the undersigned at 215-428-4391.

Sincerely,

Jawd Freesp

Jarod Freese Environmental Protection Manager Waste Management - Greater Mid-Atlantic Area

2. DEP Response Email dated December 15, 2020

From:	Kim, Jin Su
Sent:	Tuesday, December 15, 2020 11:16 AM
To:	Freese, Jarod
Cc:	McIntyre, Michael; Landman, Harold; Benham, Michael; Maurer, Aaron; Martin, Daniel
Subject:	RE: [External] RE: Cumberland County Landfill (PA0083941) DRAFT NPDES Permit
	Com m ents

### Kim, Jin Su

Hello Jarod,

This is in response to your comments dated December 11, 2020 on the draft NPDES permit issued on October 28, 2020.

1. Response to Comment nos. 1, 4, 5, 6 regarding a new effluent limit for n-nitrosodimethylamine: The draft permit contains effluent limits for n-nitrosodimethylamine. The se effluent limits are WQBELs based on the concentrations reported in the application and the water quality analysis conducted during the draft permit review. As this pollutant is presented in the effluent at a level higher than the WQBEL, the Department determined that this pollutant using a TRE analysis be investigated and possibly eliminated. The required TRE gives a 3-year interim monitoring period before the new numerical limit becomes effective. The basis of this requirement is specified in the draft permit fact sheet attached to the October 28, 2020 email. The TRE Part condition including the listed schedule is a standard TRE condition developed by the Bureau of Clean Water. The monitoring and assessing plant performance can be part of the TRE work. If the facility requires more time to complete a TRE work and site-specific studies, the facility may notify the Department prior to the submission deadline; the Department may modify the schedule if needed based on the justification provided by the facility.

2. Response to Comment no. 2 regarding Outfalls 017 and 018 Sampling Requirements:

No change will be made to the permit. The facility has an option to check "no discharge" box on the DMR when there is no discharge from the outfall. The Department understands that these outfalls along with stormwater basins will be installed in the near future; and therefore stormwater discharge is expected from these new outfalls. Once the basins with outfalls are constructed and discharge occurs, the facility should initiate the sampling. Until then, the facility will need to indicate that there is no discharge by checking "no discharge" box on the DMR and provide a brief comment with the monthly DMR.

3. Response to Comment no. 3 regarding mass limits:

In general, the Department uses an annual average flow to develop effluent limits. The application as well as the recent WQM permit shows that the annual average flow for this facility is 0.10 MGD with the maximum monthly flow (or design hydraulic capacity) of 0.15 MGD. The Department has therefore decided to change all existing mass effluent limits that were calculated using 0.15 MGD so that all mass effluent limits are based on 0.10 MGD. This does not consider a major change to the draft permit; therefore, the Department tends to finalize the permit without re-drafting the permit.

Please feel free to call me at 717-705-4825 if you have any questions or need further clarification. Thanks, Jinsu

Jinsu Kim | Permits Section Department of Environmental Protection | Clean Water Program South central Regional Office 909 Elmerton Avenue | Harrisburg, Pa 17110-8200 Phone: 717.705.4825 | Fax: 717.705.4760 3. Emails with datasets from the permittee

From:	Menon, Rohan < m enon2 @wm.com >
Sent:	Tuesday, August 31, 2021 5:15 PM
To:	Kim, Jin Su
Cc:	Maurer, Aaron
Subject:	[External] Cumberland Landfill Wastewater Flows
Subject:	[External] Cumberland Landfill Wastewater Flows

### Kim, Jin Su

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA\_SPAM@pa.gov.

Jinsu,

The table below summarizes the total wastewater flows generated at the Cumberland landfill site since August of last year (12 months). The table includes total flows discharged via the NPDES outfall 001 (from on-site treatment plant) and the volume of leachate trucked off-site to local POTWs. Currently, the leachate treatment plant (LTP) is primarily treating and discharging groundwater flow. We have had some challenges over the last few months with treating barium. Some changes to the clarifier chemistry last week has helped achieve barium levels be low the NPDES permit. We needed to add significant quantities of potassium permanganate (as high as 200 mg/L) along with aluminum (coagulant) and polymer (flocculant) to break to che late d/organically bound barium to finally precipitate it out in the clarifier.

As discussed previously, our overall goal is to maximize treatment of wastewater through the on-site LTP and eliminate leachate hauling. The table below shows the variation of total wastewater flows over the last 12 months. There are some months where the average monthly flows are much higher than 150,000 gpd. Overall, the annual average is approx.. 102,000 gpd. Note that the site also plans to add some new gas wells to dewater (not implemented yet) some of the cells at the site and are anticipating an increase in new and additional wastewater flows of approx.. 10,000 – 15,000 gpd, which could potentially increase the average to the 110,000 to 115,000 gpd range.

If you have sometime this week for a quick 30 min call, let us know. I can send you an evite to discuss this data and possible flow considerations for the mass load. We would be more comfortable to stay with the 150,000 gpd as the basis if its doable so that it will help us provide some buffer for months when our average flows are much higher. We are also very concerned about barium, considering the significant chemistry needed to achieve the current limit poses challenges and risks with consistency. We have not had much success with media-based systems (including media specifically for barium and GAC). Numerous trials with various chemistry combinations were not very successful until we had to increase the pre-oxidant dose significantly. Hoping we can also talk about possible options for barium in the new draft NPDES permit.

Sorry for the delay in connecting with you on this matter. We were trying to asses the flow impacts at the site since we couldn't rely on some of the previous data records (many of which were unavailable) being tracked by the previous owners of the landfill and challenges with barium treatment.

Let us know your flexibility for a call. Looking forward to our discussion.

1

	-	2021	Leachate Dis	posal Summa	ry (Cumberland Landfil	)
Month	Year	Days/ Mont h	Outfall (1)	Total Trucked (2)	Total Leachate Volume	Average Month
			Gallons	Gallons	Gallons	gpd
August, 2020	2020	31	3,102,418	0	3,102,418	100,078
September, 2020	2020	30	3,058,050	0	3,058,050	101,935
October, 2020	2020	31	3,210,546	0	3,210,546	103,566
November, 2020	2020	30	1,990,890	177,000	2,167,890	72,263
December, 2020	2020	31	1,298,962	4,194,279	5,493,241	177,201
January, 2021	2021	31	1,021,297	4,153,928	5,175,225	166,943
February, 2021	2021	28	731,053	409,935	1,140,988	40,750
March, 2021	2021	31	781,073	1,827,548	2,608,621	84,149
April, 2021	2021	30	2,022,670	2,049,053	4,071,723	135,724
May, 2021	2021	31	872,714	1,788,000	2,660,714	85,829
June, 2021	2021	30	607,020	1,638,000	2,245,020	74,834
July, 2021	2021	31	260,869	1,926,000	2,186,869	70,544
August, 2021	2021	31	313,527	3,184,000	3,497,527	112,823
1) Outfall Recording	s				Annual Average (gpd)	102,049
2) Liquids hauled to	POTWs					

# thanks

Rohan Menon

Director of Environmental Protection rmenon2@wm.com

### Kim, Jin Su

Menon, Rohan < menon2@wm.com >
Monday, September 13, 2021 2:31 PM
Kim, Jin Su
Maurer, Aaron
[External] Cumberland Data
Cumberlad_Data_DEP_091321.xlsx

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA\_SPAM@pa.gov.

Jinsu,

Attached is the data on both barium and arsenic for your review. Aaron and I would be happy to walk you through the data on a call this week if you are available. Let us know your availability.

If you need any more information, let us know. As you are aware, the on-site LTP consists of the following processes and they are listed in order of the process flow through the plant. The bulk of our treatment assessments were on the clarifier (trying different chemistry combinations) and any polishing units after the clarifier (media based vessels and/or GAC).

- 1. 2 Storage tanks:
  - a. Raw leachate storage to feed the on-site plant
  - Treated effluent from the on-site plant (when we operated in recirculation mode and couldn't discharge leachate)
- 2. MBBR
- 3. Biotower 1
- Biotower 2
- 5. Inclined plate clarifier (clarifier)
- 6. New Filter System (being considered for the future plant ops in place of the existing sand filter)
- 7. On one pilot scenario we added media vessels for barium removal after the filter.

The table provided includes data for both barium and arsenic:

- 1. Raw
- 2. When both leachate and GW were being discharged via Outfall 001 (after treatment through on-site plant)
- Some data on combined conc. of treated leachate and GW that were being recirculated back to the storage tanks during various treatment scenarios.
- Various bench and full-scale pilot studies conducted to achieve barium removal (coagulants, oxidants. Media, GAC etc. etc.)

Hope this information is helpful to make a determination on the barium issue.

Thanks Rohan

Rohan Menon Director of Environmental Protection

1

							Barium and	Arsenic Data			
Date	Parameter	Raw Leachate	Clarifier Influent	Clarifier Effluent	After Clarifier - Barium Media Vessel (influent)	After Clarifier - Barium Media Vessel (Effluent)	NPDES Outfall 001 with GW	Treated Leachate and GW Sent Back to Storage Tank	Treated Leachate Recirculated to Storage Tank	Clarifier Treatment Implemented to Remove Metals (Barium and Arsenic)	Notes
		mg/L	mg/L	mg/L			mg/L	mg./L	mg/L	mg/L	
A/15/2021	Total Barium						0.319			With Aluminum and Daluman	
4/15/2021	Total Arsenic						0.04		No	with Aluminum and Polymer	All other parameters were in compliance
4/21/2021	Total Barium						0.42			With Aluminum and Polymer	All other parameters were in compliance (STOPPED LEACHATE DISCHARGE SINCE Barium
4/28/2021	Total Arsenic Total Barium						0.04 0.044 (GW only)		Yes	With Aluminum and Polymer	Was in exceedance) Went into Pilot mode to evaluate barium
	Total Arsenic	STOPPED ALL	LEACHATE DISCHAR	GE FROM THIS POI	NT ONWARDS AND	IMPLEMENTED PIL	DT/BENCH INVESTOR	ATIONS TO DETERMI	NE TREATMENT APPROACH FOR	BARIUM	treatment, No discharge of leachate via Outrali
4/29/2021	Total Barium Total Arsenic		0.51	0.44					Yes	With Aluminum and Polymer (varying dosages)	Full-scale Pilot Trial
4/30/2021	Total Barium		0.46	0.43					Yes	With Aluminum and Polymer (varying	Full-scale Pilot Trial
5/7/2021	Total Arsenic Total Barium		0.13	0.03					Vee	dosages) With Aluminum and Polymer (varying	Full cools Biles Trial
5/7/2021	Total Arsenic		0.11	<0.02					Tes	dosages)	Full-scale Pilot Irial
5/12/2021	Total Barium Total Arsenic	0.36 (Form 50) 0.1 (Form 50)							Yes		Full-scale Pilot Trial
5/28/2021	Total Barium		0.43	0.34					Yes	Peroxide Treatment in Clarifier	Full-scale Pilot Trial
6/7/2021	Total Barium		0.07	0.36					Yes	Peroxide + Alumin (with Sulfate) in Clarifier	Full-scale Pilot Trial
	Total Arsenic Total Barium		0.07	<0.02	0.29	0.29				With Barium media vessels on the clarifier	
//1/2021	Total Arsenic		0.59	0.1	0.1	0.1			Yes	effluent	Full-scale Pilot Irial
7/6/2021	Total Barium Total Arsenic		0.35	0.27					Yes	Peroxide & Lime at pH 12	Bench Tests
7/6/2021	Total Barium			0.29					Yes	Peroxide & Lime at pH 10.5	Bench Tests
7/5/2021	Total Arsenic Total Barium			0.02					Ver	Line at all 9 5	Bench Tests
110/2021	Total Arsenic			0.03					165	Line at pr 5.5	Denti Tests
7/6/2021	Total Arsenic			0.03					Yes	Lime at pH 10	Bench Tests
7/6/2021	Total Barium Total Arsenic			0.26					Yes	Lime at pH 10.5	Bench Tests
7/14/2021	Total Barium			0.05	0.24	0.24			Ver	With Barium media vessels on the clarifier	Full coole Dilet Trial
//14/2021	Total Arsenic				0.03	0.02			Tes	effluent	Full-scale Pilot Inal
8/3/2021	Total Barium Total Arsenic			0.46	0.46				Yes	GAC 5000 mg/L dose	Bench Tests
8/3/2021	Total Barium			0.46	0.46				Yes		Bench Tests
9/4/2021	Total Arsenic Total Barium		0.78	<0.02 0.43	<0.03				Vee	GAC 10,000 mg/L dose	
0/4/2021	Total Arsenic		0.57	<0.02					Tes	Peroxide Trials in Clarifier	
8/9/2021	Total Barium Total Arsenic	0.45 (Form 50)	0.35	0.33					Yes	Perovide Trials in Clarifier	Full-scale Trial: dosages ranged form 25 to 100
8/10/2021	Total Barium	0.21 (rorm 50)	0.33	0.3					Yes		impact on barium. Clarifier was very unstabe with
0/10/2002	Total Arsenic Total Barium		0.2	<0.02						Peroxide Trials in Clarifier	lot of floatable TSS in the clarifier effluent.
8/11/2021	Total Arsenic		0.2	0.2					Yes	Peroxide Trials in Clarifier	
8/18/2021	Total Barium Total Arsenic		0.37					0.31	Yes	Pottassium Permanganate Trials in Clarifier	
8/19/2021	Total Barium		0.35					0.28	Yes		
8/20/2024	Total Arsenic Total Barium		0.09					<0.02 0.26	Ver	Pottassium Permanganate Trials in Clarifier	Full-Scale Trial: Finally very high dosages of
8/20/2021	Total Arsenic		0.1					<0.02	TES	Pottassium Permanganate Trials in Clarifier	pottassium permanganate resulted in some
8/26/2021	Total Barium Total Arsenic		0.31	0.18				0.16	Yes	Pottassium Permanganate Trials in Clarifier	parium removal as shown in the last 3 data points.
8/27/2021	Total Barium		0.32	0.18				0.14	Yes		
<u> </u>	Total Arsenic		0.24	0.14				0.14		Pottassium Permanganate Trials in Clarifier	
8/28/2021	Total Arsenic		0.34	0.14				0.14	Yes	Pottassium Permanganate Trials in Clarifier	

	SWF Basi	o Strea n Cod	im le	Str	eam Name		RMI	Ele	vation (ft)	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Slope (ft/ft)	PW Withd (mg	/S Irawal gd)	Apply FC
	07B	101	194 CONO	DOGUIN	ET CREEK		65.40	00	510.00	191.00	0.0000	D	0.00	✓
					St	ream Dat	ta							
Design	LFY	Trib Flow	Stream Flow	Rch Trav Time	Rch Velocity	WD Ratio	Rch Width	Rch Depth	Tem	<u>Tributary</u> p pH	Te	<u>Strean</u> mp	n pH	
Cond.	(cfsm)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(days)	(fps)		(ft)	(ft)	(°C	)	(°	C)		
Q7-10 Q1-10 Q30-10	0.100	0.00 0.00 0.00	16.10 0.00 0.00	0.000 0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000	0.0	0.00	0.0	0 2	3.40 8.	40	0.00	0.00	
					Di	ischarge	Data						]	
			Name	Per	mit Numbe	Existing Disc r Flow (mgd)	Permitt Disc Flow (mgd)	ed Desi Dis Flo (mg	gn c Res w Fa d)	Dis erve Ter ctor (°C	sc [ np C)	Disc pH		
		Comr	m Refuse	PA	0083941	0.125	0 0.125	i0 0.1	250	0.000	25.00	7.00		
					Pa	arameter	Data							
			1	Paramete	r Name	D	isc 1 onc C	Trib Conc	Stream Conc	Fate Coef				
						(m	ng/L) (n	ng/L)	(mg/L)	(1/days)				
			CBOD5				35.00	2.00	0.00	1.50				
			Dissolved	Oxygen			5.00	8.24	0.00	0.00				
			NH3-N				6.90	0.00	0.00	0.70				

### Input Data WQM 7.0

Tuesday, September 14, 2021

Version 1.1

	SWP Basir	Strea n Cod	m le	Stre	am Name		RMI	Elevat (ft)	ion Dra A (so	inage Area q mi)	Slope (ft/ft)	PWS Withdrav (mgd)	wal )	Apply FC
	07B	101	94 CONC	DOGUIN	ET CREEK		63.90	0 50	6.00	206.00	0.00000		0.00	¥
					St	ream Dat	a							
Design Cond	LFY	Trib Flow	Stream Flow	Rch Trav Time	Rch Velocity	WD Ratio	Rch Width	Rch Depth	<u>Trib</u> Temp	u <u>tary</u> pH	Tem	<u>Stream</u> p p	pН	
Cond.	(cfsm)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(days)	(fps)		(ft)	(ft)	(°C)		(°C)			
27-10	0.100	0.00	16.10	0.000	0.000	0.0	0.00	0.00	20.00	8.40	0 20	00.0	0.00	
21-10		0.00	0.00	0.000	0.000									
30-10		0.00	0.00	0.000	0.000									
					Di	ischarge l	Data							
			Name	Per	mit Number	Existing Disc	Permitte Disc	ed Design Disc	Reserve	Disc Temp	: Dis p pł	sc H		
			Name	rei	mit Number	(mgd)	(mgd)	(mgd)	Factor	(°C)				
						0.000	0.000	0.000	0.00	0 0	.00	7.00		
					Pa	arameter l	Data							
						Di	isc T onc C	Trib Stra Conc Co	earn Fa ond C	ate oef				

25.00

3.00

25.00

(mg/L) (mg/L) (mg/L) (1/days)

0.00

0.00

0.00

1.50

0.00

0.70

2.00

8.24

0.00

Parameter Name

CBOD5

NH3-N

Dissolved Oxygen

### Input Data WQM 7.0

	<u>sw</u>	P <u>Basin</u> 07B	<u>Strea</u> 1	<u>m Code</u> 0194								
RMI	Stream Flow	PWS With	Net Stream Flow	Disc Analysis Flow	Reach Slope	Depth	Width	W/D Ratio	Velocity	Reach Trav Time	Analysis Temp	Analysis pH
	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(ft/ft)	(ft)	(ft)		(fps)	(days)	(°C)	
Q7-1	0 Flow											
65.400	16.10	0.00	16.10	.1934	0.00051	.886	70.41	79.43	0.26	0.351	23.42	8.29
Q1-1	0 Flow											
65.400	10.30	0.00	10.30	.1934	0.00051	NA	NA	NA	0.20	0.449	23.43	8.24
Q30-	10 Flow											
65.400	21.90	0.00	21.90	.1934	0.00051	NA	NA	NA	0.31	0.296	23.41	8.32

## WQM 7.0 Hydrodynamic Outputs

Tuesday, September 14, 2021

Version 1.1

SWP Basin	Stream Code			Stream Nan	ne	
07B	10194		CON	ODOGUINET	CREEK	
<u>BMI</u> 65.400 <u>Reach Width (ft)</u> 70.414 <u>Reach CBOD5 (mg/L)</u> 2.39 <u>Reach DO (mg/L)</u> 8.205	<u>Total Discharge</u> 0.12 <u>Reach De</u> 0.88 <u>Reach Kc (</u> 0.20 <u>Reach Kr (</u> 0.97	<u>Flow (mgd</u> 5 .pth (ft) 6 ( <u>1/days)</u> 3 <u>1/days)</u> 6	) Anal <u>R</u>	ysis Tempera 23.419 <u>Reach WDR</u> 79.430 <u>each NH3-N (</u> 0.08 <u>Kr Equatio</u> Tsivoglou	atio (mg/L) n	Analysis pH 8.291 <u>Reach Velocity (fps)</u> 0.261 <u>Reach Kn (1/days)</u> 0.911 <u>Reach DO Goal (mg/L)</u> 5
Reach Travel Time (days 0.351	L) TravTime (days) 0.035 0.070 0.105 0.140 0.176	Subreact CBOD5 (mg/L) 2.37 2.35 2.33 2.31 2.29	Results NH3-N (mg/L) 0.08 0.08 0.07 0.07 0.07	D.O. (mg/L) 7.75 7.75 7.75 7.75 7.75 7.75		
	0.211 0.246 0.281 0.316 0.351	0.07 0.07 0.06 0.06 0.06	7.75 7.75 7.75 7.75 7.75			

## WQM 7.0 D.O.Simulation

Tuesday, September 14, 2021

Version 1.1

# WQM 7.0 Modeling Specifications

Parameters	Both	Use Inputted Q1-10 and Q30-10 Flows	~
WLA Method	EMPR	Use Inputted W/D Ratio	
Q1-10/Q7-10 Ratio	0.64	Use Inputted Reach Travel Times	
Q30-10/Q7-10 Ratio	1.36	Temperature Adjust Kr	~
D.O. Saturation	90.00%	Use Balanced Technology	~
D.O. Goal	5		

Tuesday, September 14, 2021

Version 1.1

	SWP Basin	Strea	am Code		St	ream Name		
	07B	1	0194		CONOD	OGUINET CR	EEK	
NH3-N	Acute Alloc	ation	IS					
RMI	Discharge	Name	Baseline Criterion (mg/L)	Baseline WLA (mg/L)	Multiple Criterion (mg/L)	Multiple WLA (mg/L)	Critical Reach	Percent Reduction
65.40	00 Comm Refus	e	1.85	13.8	1.85	13.8	0	0
65.4( NH3-N	00 Comm Refus	e ocati	1.85 ons	13.8	1.85	13.8	0	0
65.40 NH3-N RMI	00 Comm Refus Chronic Alle Discharge Na	e Ocati ame	1.85 ONS Baseline Criterion (mg/L)	13.8 Baseline WLA (mg/L)	1.85 Multiple Criterion (mg/L)	13.8 Multiple WLA (mg/L)	0 Critical Reach	0 Percent Reduction

### WQM 7.0 Wasteload Allocations

		CBC	005	NH	3-N	Dissolver	d Oxygen	Critical	Percent
RMI	Discharge Name	Baseline (mg/L)	Multiple (mg/L)	Baseline (mg/L)	Multiple (mg/L)	Baseline (mg/L)	Multiple (mg/L)	Reach	Reduction
65.40 (	Comm Refuse	35	35	6.9	6.9	5	5	0	0

Tuesday, September 14, 2021

Version 1.1

	<u>SWP Basin</u> 07B	Stream Code 10194	Stream Name CONODOGUINET CREEK								
RMI	Name	Permit Number	Disc Flow (mgd)	Parameter	Effl. Limit 30-day Ave. (mg/L)	Effl. Limit Maximum (mg/L)	Effl. Limit Minimum (mg/L)				
65.400	Comm Refus	e PA0083941	0.125	CBOD5	35						
				NH3-N	6.9	13.8					
				Dissolved Oxygen			5				

### WQM 7.0 Effluent Limits

Tuesday, September 14, 2021

Version 1.1

5. Toxics Management Spreadsheet (Untreated Leachate for Barium/Arsenic)



Toxics Management Spreadsheet Version 1.3, March 2021

## **Discharge Information**

Ins	tructions D	ischarge Stream												
Fac	ality: Cun	nberland County La	ndfill			NPI	DES Pen	mit No.:	PA0083	941		Outfall	No.: 001	
Eva	aluation Type:	Major Sewage /	Industri	ial V	laste	Wa	stewater	Descript	ion: IW I	Discharg	ge			
$\square$					Discha	rne Cha	ractorist	line						
	ocion Flow				Disona	Parti	al Mix E:	actors (P	MEc)		Com	nlete Mi	Times	(min)
1	(MGD)*	Hardness (mg/l)*	pH (	SU)	AFC	- Tarta	CEC	п стын		CRI	0		6	).
-	0.125	405	-	7		,	CI C			UNL	~	r-10		•h
		125 405 7												
	· · · ·					0 lf lef	tblank	0.5 lf le	f blank	0	) if left blan	k	1111	blank
	Disch	arge Pollutant	Units	Ма	x Discharge Conc	Trib Conc	Stream Conc	Daily CV	Hourly CV	Strea m CV	Fate Coeff	FOS	Criteri a Mod	Chem Transl
—	Total Dissolve	d Solide (DMS)	1600											
-	Chloride (PW)	S)	434		<u> </u>									
8	Bromide	al Dissolved Solids (PWS) mg/L 1600 oride (PWS) mg/L 434 mide mg/L 3.5												
8	Sulfate (PWS	Dissolved Solids (PWS)         mg/L         1600           ride (PWS)         mg/L         434           nide         mg/L         3.5           te (PWS)         mg/L         205					<u> </u>							
ľ	Fluoride (PW	, S)	mg/L		5									
	Total Aluminu	m	µq/L		1437.409			1.269						
1	Total Antimon	y .	µq/L		2									
1	Total Arsenic	•	µg/L		590									
1	Total Barlum		µg/L		780									
1	Total Beryllur	n	µg/L	<	0.5									
1	Total Boron		µg/L		2070									
1	Total Cadmiu	m	µg/L	۷	0.1									
1	Total Chromiu	im (III)	µg/L		24.1									
1	Hexavalent Cl	hromlum	µg/L	<	2									
1	Total Cobalt		µg/L		9.1									
	Total Copper		µg/L		41.9		L							
-	Free Cyanide		µg/L											
ē	Total Cyanide		µg/L		84									
0	Total Iron	1	µg/L		2427 2774		<u> </u>	0.7621						
1	Total Load		pg/L		2427.5771		<u> </u>	0.7021						
1	Total Mangan	ese	ug/L		945		<u> </u>							
1	Total Mercury		ug/L	<	0.1									
1	Total Nickel		µg/L		68.1									
1	Total Phenois	(Phenolics) (PWS)	µg/L		5.1									
1	Total Seleniur	n	µg/L		0.5									
1	Total Silver		µg/L		0.1									
	Total Thaillum	1	µg/L	<	0.1									
	Total Zinc		µg/L		32.2									
	Total Zinc µg/L 32.2 Total Molybdenum µg/L 8				8									
	Acrolein		µg/L	<	1									
	Acrylamide		µg/L	<	5									
	Acrylonitrile		µg/L	<	0.5									
	Benzene		µg/L	<	0.2									
1	Bromoform		µg/L	<	0.2									

**Discharge Information** 

9/14/2021

1	Carbon Tetrachloride	µa/L	<	0.2					
1	Chlorobenzene	ug/L		0.2					
1	Chlorodibromomethane	ug/L		14.48					
1	Chloroethane	ug/l	<	0.2		1.8554			
1	2-Chlomethyl Vinyl Ether	pgre ug/l	-	0.5		1.0004			
	Chloroform	pg/L	-	0.5					
	Childroidim	pg/L		0.5					
	Dichlorobromomethane	µg/L		0.4					
	1,1-Dichloroethane	µg/L	<	0.2					
~	1,2-Dichloroethane	µg/L	<	0.2					
15	1,1-Dichloroethylene	µg/L	۷	0.2					
12	1,2-Dichloropropane	µg/L	<	0.2					
0	1,3-Dichloropropylene	µg/L	۰	0.2					
	1,4-Dioxane	µg/L		5.5					
	Ethylbenzene	µg/L	۷	0.2					
	Methyl Bromide	µg/L		16.9					
	Methyl Chloride	µg/L		53					
	Methylene Chloride	ua/L	<	0.4					
	1.1.2.2-Tetrachloroethane	U0/I	<	0.2					
	Tetrachloroethviene	ug/l	~	0.4					
1	Toluene	ug/L	-	0.2					
	1.0 image Disblargethyland	Pg/L	-	0.2					
	1,2-trans-Dictionethylene	pg/L	<	0.2					
	1,1,1-Inchioroethane	µg/L	<	0.2					
	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	µg/L	<	0.5					
	Trichloroethylene	µg/L	۷	0.2					
	Vinyi Chioride	µg/L	۷.	0.2					
	2-Chlorophenol	µg/L	<	5.1					
	2,4-Dichlorophenol	µg/L	٨	5					
	2,4-Dimethylphenol	µg/L	۰	5					
	4.6-Dinitro-o-Cresol	µg/L	<						
4	2.4-Dinitrophenol	ug/L	<	5					
15	2-Nitrophenol	ug/L	<	5					
12	4-Nitrophenol	un/l	~	5					
10	n-Chioro-m-Cresol	10/1	-	5					
	Destablemenand	pg/L	-	5					
	Pentadilolophenol	Pg/L	~	5					
	Phenoi	µg/L	<	5					
⊢	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	µg/L	<	5					
	Acenaphthene	µg/L	<	0.1					
	Acenaphthylene	µg/L	<	0.1					
	Anthracene	µg/L		0.2					
	Benzidine	µg/L	۷	0.2					
	Benzo(a)Anthracene	µg/L	۷.	0.1					
	Benzo(a)Pyrene	µq/L	<	0.1					
	3.4-Benzofluoranthene	ug/L	<	0.2					
	Benzo(ghi)Perviene	ug/l	<	0.1					
	Benzo(k)Fluoranthene	uo/L	<	0.1					
1	Bis/2-Chloroethoxy/Methane	U0/I		0.1					
1	Bis/2_Chlomathyl)Ethor	ug/L	-	0.1					
1	Bis(2 Chloroleonyr)Euler	Pg/L	-	0.1					
1	Bis(2 Struthowd/Dbit state	pg/L		0.2					
	Bis(2-Ethylnexyl)Phthalate	µg/L	<	1					
	4-Bromophenyl Phenyl Ether	µg/L	<	0.1					
	Butyl Benzyl Phthalate	µg/L	<	1					
	2-Chloronaphthalene	µg/L	۷.	0.5					
	4-Chlorophenyl Phenyl Ether	µg/L	۰	0.1					
1	Chrysene	µg/L	<	0.1					
1	Dibenzo(a,h)Anthrancene	µg/L	<	0.1					
1	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	µg/L	<	0.1					
1	1.3-Dichlorobenzene	UQ/L	<	0.1					
-	1.4-Dichlorobenzene	U0/1		0.2					
<b>b</b>	3.3-Dichlorobenzidine	uo/I		1					
8	Diethyl Dhthalate	ug/L	-	1					
5	Dimethyl Philaiate	Hg/L	•	4					
1	Dimethyl Phthalate	pg/L	<	-					
1	Di-n-Butyi Prithalate	pg/L	<	0.2					
1	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	µg/L	<	0.2					

**Discharge Information** 

9/14/2021

1									
	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	µg/L	<	1					
	DI-n-Octyl Phthalate	µg/L	<	0.2					
	1,2-Diphenyihydrazine	µg/L	۷	0.1					
	Fluoranthene	ug/L	<	0.1					
	Eluorene	100/1	-	0.1			 		
	i luorene	Pyre	-	0.1					
	Hexachiorobenzene	µg/L	<	0.1					
	Hexachlorobutadiene	µg/L	<	0.1					
	Hexachlorocyclopentadlene	µg/L	<	0.2					
	Hexachloroethane	ua/L	<	0.1					
	Indeno/1.2.3-cd)Pyrene	ug/l	<	0.1					
	Irochorno	Pgr-	-	0.0					
	isophorone	µg/L	<	0.2					
	Naphthalene	µg/L	<	0.1					
	Nitrobenzene	µg/L	<	0.1					
	n-Nitrosodimethylamine	µg/L		1.4					
	n-Nitrosodi-n-Propylamine	U0/I	~	0.1					
	n Nitros odinhonujamina	ug/l		0.1					
	Dhaqaathaaa	Pg/L	~	0.1			 		
	Phenanthrene	µg/L	<	0.1					
	Pyrene	µg/L	۷	0.1					
	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	µg/L	<	0.1					
	Aldrin	µg/L	<	0.02					
	alpha-BHC	U0/1		0.02					
	hata BHC	Line II	-	0.01					
	ueta-BHG	pg/L	<	0.01					
	gamma-BHC	µg/L	<	0.01					
	delta BHC	µg/L	<	0.02					
	Chlordane	µq/L	۷	0.51					
	4 4-DDT	ug/l	<	0.01					
	44.005	ug/l		0.01					
	4,4-000	Pg/L	~	0.01					
	4,4-DDD	µg/L	<	0.02					
	Dieldrin	µg/L	۷	0.01					
	alpha-Endosulfan	µg/L	۷	0.01					
	beta-Endosulfan	ua/L	<	0.01					
œ	Endosultan Sultate	100/1	-	0.01					
<b></b>	Endobunan Gunate	Pg/L	-	0.01					
ē	Endrin	µg/L	<	0.01					
O	Endrin Aldehyde	µg/L	<	0.02					
	Heptachlor	µg/L	<	0.01					
	Heptachlor Epoxide	µg/L	<	0.01					
	PCB-1016	U0/I		0.22					
	DCB-1221	undi .	-	0.22					
	PGD-1221	Pyrc	-	0.22					
	PCB-1232	µg/L	<	0.22					
	PCB-1242	µg/L	<	0.22					
	PCB-1248	µg/L	۷	0.22					
	PCB-1254	ug/L	<	0.22					
	PCB-1260	10/1	-	0.22					
	DODe Taial	pg/L	-	0.22					
	PUBS, 10(a)	µg/L	<						
	roxaphene	µg/L	<	0.5					
	2,3,7,8-TCDD	ng/L	<						
	Gross Alpha	pCI/L							
	Total Beta	pCI/L	<						
ā	Radium 226/228	DCI/I	-						
8	Taial Gradium	pore -	-						
E.	i otal strontium	pg/L	<			 		 	
<b>1</b>	Total Uranium	µg/L	<						
	Osmotic Pressure	mOs/kg							

**Discharge Information** 

9/14/2021

Toxics Manager

Aanagement Spreadsheet Version 1.3, March 2021



### Stream / Surface Water Information

Cumberland County Landfill, NPDES Permit No. PA0083941, Outfall 001

Instructions Discharge Stream

Receiving Surface Water Name: Conodoguinet Creek

Location	Stream Code*	RMI*	Elevation (ft)*	DA (mi²)*	Slope (ft/ft)	PWS Withdrawal (MGD)	Apply Fish Criteria
Point of Discharge	010194	65.4	510	191			Yes
End of Reach 1	010194	63.9	506	206			Yes

Statewide Criteria
Great Lakes Criteria

ORSANCO Criteria

Q 7-10

Location	PMI	LFY	Flow	(cfs)	W/D	Width	Depth	Velocit	Time	Tributa	iry	Stream	m	Analys	sis
Location	TX000	(cfs/mi <sup>2</sup> )*	Stream	Tributary	Ratio	(ft)	(ft)	y (fps)	(days)	Hardness	pН	Hardness*	pH*	Hardness	pН
Point of Discharge	65.4	0.1	16.1									178	8.4		
End of Reach 1	63.9	0.1	16.8									100	7		

No. Reaches to Model: 1

Qh

Leasting	DMI LFY		LFY Flow (cfs)		W/D Width		Depth Velo	Velocit Time	Tributary		Stream	am Analysi		sis	
Location	PSIMI	(cfs/mi <sup>2</sup> )	Stream	Tributary	Ratio	(ft)	(ft)	y (fps)	(days)	Hardness	pН	Hardness	pН	Hardness	pН
Point of Discharge	65.4														
End of Reach 1	63.9														

Stream / Surface Water Information

9/14/2021

Pennsylvania Toxics Management Spreadsheet Version 1.3, March 2021 Version 1.3, March 2021												
Model Results						Cumb	erland Count	y Landfill, NPDES Pe	ermit No. I	PA0083941, Outfall 001		
Instructions Results	RETURN	TO INPU	тѕ	SAVE AS	PDF	PRINT	r ) 0 A	ll 🖲 Inputs 🔾	Results	⊖ Limits		
Hydrodynamics         ✓ Wasteload Allocations         ✓ AFC       CCT (min): 15         PMF:       0.206         Analysis Hardness (mg/l):       190.51         Analysis pH:       8.03												
Pollutants	Conc (ug/L)	Stream CV	Trib Conc (µg/L)	Fate Coef	WQC (µg/L)	WQ Obj (µg/L)	WLA (µg/L)		C	omments		
Total Dissolved Solids (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A					
Chloride (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A					
Sulfate (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A					
Fluoride (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A					
Total Aluminum	0	0		0	750	750	13,608					
Total Antimony	0	0		0	1,100	1,100	19,959					
Total Arsenic	0	0		0	340	340	6,169	C	Chem Tran	slator of 1 applied		
Total Barium	0	0		0	21,000	21,000	381,032					
Total Boron	0	0		0	8,100	8,100	146,970					
Total Cadmium	0	0		0	3.767	4.11	74.5	Che	em Transk	ator of 0.917 applied		
Total Chromium (III)	0	0		0	965.937	3,057	55,463	Che	em Transk	ator of 0.316 applied		
Hexavalent Chromium	0	0		0	16	16.3	296	Che	em Transk	ator of 0.982 applied		
Total Cobalt	0	0		0	95	95.0	1,724					
Total Copper	0	0		0	24.667	25.7	466	Ch	em Trans	lator of 0.96 applied		
Dissolved Iron	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A					
Total Iron	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A					
Total Lead	0	0		0	129.286	185	3,365	Che	em Transk	ator of 0.697 applied		
Total Manganese	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A					
Total Mercury	0	0		0	1.400	1.65	29.9	Ch	em Trans	lator of 0.85 applied		
Total Nickel	0	0		0	807.749	809	14,685	Che	em Transk	ator of 0.998 applied		
Total Phenols (Phenolics) (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A					
Total Selenium	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	Che	em Transla	ator of 0.922 applied		
Total Silver	0	0		0	9.747	11.5	208	Ch	em Trans	lator of 0.85 applied		
Total Thallium	0	0		0	65	65.0	1,179		_			
Total Zinc	0	0		0	202.316	207	3,753	Che	em Transk	ator of 0.978 applied		
Acrolein	0	0		0	3	3.0	54.4					

Model Results

9/14/2021

Acrylamide	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Acrylonitrile	0	0	0	650	650	11,794	
Benzene	0	0	0	640	640	11,612	
Bromoform	0	0	0	1,800	1,800	32,660	
Carbon Tetrachloride	0	0	0	2,800	2,800	50,804	
Chlorobenzene	0	0	0	1,200	1,200	21,773	
Chlorodibromomethane	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether	0	0	0	18,000	18,000	326,599	
Chloroform	0	0	0	1,900	1,900	34,474	
Dichlorobromomethane	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
1.2-Dichloroethane	0	0	 0	15.000	15.000	272,166	
1,1-Dichloroethylene	0	0	0	7,500	7,500	136,083	
1,2-Dichloropropane	0	0	0	11.000	11,000	199,588	
1.3-Dichloropropylene	0	0	 0	310	310	5.625	
Ethylbenzene	0	0	0	2,900	2,900	52.619	
Methyl Bromide	ō	ō	 Ō	550	550	9,979	
Methyl Chloride	0	0	 0	28.000	28.000	508.043	
Methylene Chloride	0	0	 0	12 000	12 000	217 733	
1122-Tetrachloroethane	0	õ	 0	1,000	1,000	18 144	
Tetrachloroethylene	ŏ	ŏ	 ŏ	700	700	12,701	
Toluene	0	0	 0	1 700	1 700	30.845	
1.2-trans-Dichloroethylene	0	ō	 0	6,800	6,800	123 382	
1.1.1.Trichlomethane	0	ŏ	 0	3,000	3,000	54 433	
1.1.2-Trichloroethane	ŏ	ŏ	 ŏ	3,000	3,400	61 691	
Trichloroethylene	0	ő	ő	2 300	2,300	41 732	
Vinul Chloride	0	ő	 0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2-Chlorophenol	0	ň	 ő	560	560	10.161	
24-Dichlorophenol	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	1 700	1 700	30.845	
2.4-Dimethylphenol	0	ŏ	 ő	880	880	11 975	
2.4-Dinitrophenol	0	ŏ	 ő	860	880	11 075	
2,4-Dinidopriendi 2-Nitrophenol	ő	- ŭ	 0	8,000	8,000	145 155	
4-Nitrophenol	0	ŏ	 ő	2 300	2 300	41 732	
n-Chloro-m-Crosol	0	ŏ	 0	160	160	2 003	
Pontoriorinoresor	0	0	 0	24,820	24.8	2,803	
Penachiorophenol			 0	24.028 N/A	24.0 N/A	N/A	
2.4.8.Trichloronhonol	0	ŏ	 0	460	460	9.346	
Acceptethere	0	- <del>-</del>	 0	400	92.0	1,508	
Adenaphiniene	0	0	0	0.3	03.0	1,000	
Renzidine		-	 0	200	200	5.442	
Benzo(a)Anthraeana	0		 0	0.5	0.5	0.07	
Denzo(a)Primadene	0		 0	0.0	0.0	8.07	
Benzo(a)Pyrene	0		 0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
3,4-Benzonuorantneñe Ronze(k)Elvoranthere	0	0	0	N/A	N/A N/A	N/A	
Benzo(k)Fluoranmene Bis(2) Chloroothul/Ethan	0	0	0	20,000	20,000	E44 222	
Bis(2-Chloroethyl)Ether	0	0	 0	30,000	30,000	099,332 N/A	
Bis(2-Chioroisopropyi)Ether		<u> </u>	 0	N/A	IN/A	01.850	
A December of Deced Street	0	0	0	4,000	4,000	4 000	
4-bromophenyi Phenyi Ether	U	0	 U C	2/0	2/0	4,899	
Butyl Benzyl Phthalate	U	U	U	140	140	2,540	

Model Results

9/14/2021

2-Chloronaphthalene	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Chrysene	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Dibenzo(a,h)Anthrancene	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0	0		0	820	820	14,878	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0	0		0	350	350	6,351	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0	0		0	730	730	13,245	
3,3-Dichlorobenzidine	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Diethyl Phthalate	0	0		0	4,000	4,000	72,578	
Dimethyl Phthalate	0	0		0	2,500	2,500	45,361	
Di-n-Butyl Phthalate	0	0		0	110	110	1,996	
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	0	0		0	1,600	1,600	29,031	
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	0	0		0	990	990	17,963	
1,2-Diphenylhydrazine	0	0		0	15	15.0	272	
Fluoranthene	0	0		0	200	200	3,629	
Fluorene	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hexachlorobenzene	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hexachlorobutadiene	0	0		0	10	10.0	181	
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	0	0		0	5	5.0	90.7	
Hexachloroethane	0	0		0	60	60.0	1,089	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)Pyrene	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Isophorone	0	0		0	10.000	10.000	181,444	
Naphthalene	0	0		0	140	140	2,540	
Nitrobenzene	0	0		0	4.000	4.000	72.578	
n-Nitrosodimethylamine	0	0		0	17,000	17,000	308,455	
n-Nitrosodi-n-Propylamine	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
n-Nitrosodiphenvlamine	0	0		0	300	300	5,443	
Phenanthrene	0	0		0	5	5.0	90.7	
Pyrene	0	Ō		Ō	N/A	N/A	N/A	
1.2.4-Trichlorobenzene	0	0		0	130	130	2.359	
Aldrin	0	0		0	3	3.0	54.4	
alpha-BHC	0	Ō		Ō	N/A	N/A	N/A	
beta-BHC	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
gamma-BHC	0	0		0	0.95	0.95	17.2	
Chlordane	0	0		0	24	24	43.5	
4.4-DDT	0	ō		ō	1.1	1.1	20.0	
4.4-DDE	0	0		0	1.1	1.1	20.0	
4.4-DDD	0	0		0	1.1	1.1	20.0	
Dieldrin	0	0		0	0.24	0.24	4.35	
alpha-Endosulfan	0	Ō		Ō	0.22	0.22	3.99	
beta-Endosulfan	0	0		0	0.22	0.22	3.99	
Endosulfan Sulfate	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Endrin	0	0		0	0.086	0.086	1.56	
Endrin Aldehvde	ō	ō		Ō	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Heptachlor	0	0		0	0.52	0.52	9.44	
Hentachlor Epoxide	0	0		0	0.5	0.5	9.07	
Toxaphene	ŏ	ŏ		ŏ	0.73	0.73	13.2	
CFC CC	T (min): ###		PMF:	1	Ana	lysis Hardne	ess (mg/l):	180.69 Analysis pH: 8.29

Model Results

9/14/2021

	Jucan							
Pollutants	Conc	Stream CV	Trib Conc (µg/L)	Fate Coef	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	WLA (µg/L)	Comments
Total Dissolved Solids (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Chloride (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Sulfate (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Fluoride (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Aluminum	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Antimony	0	0		0	220	220	18,537	
Total Arsenic	0	0		0	150	150	12,639	Chem Translator of 1 applied
Total Barium	0	0		0	4,100	4,100	345,457	
Total Boron	0	0		0	1,600	1,600	134,813	
Total Cadmium	0	0		0	0.371	0.42	35.3	Chem Translator of 0.884 applied
Total Chromium (III)	0	0		0	120.321	140	11,788	Chem Translator of 0.86 applied
Hexavalent Chromium	0	0		0	10	10.4	876	Chem Translator of 0.962 applied
Total Cobalt	0	0		0	19	19.0	1,601	
Total Copper	0	0		0	14.848	15.5	1,303	Chem Translator of 0.96 applied
Dissolved Iron	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Iron	0	0		0	1,500	1,500	126,387	WQC = 30 day average; PMF = 1
Total Lead	0	0		0	4.762	6.76	569	Chem Translator of 0.705 applied
Total Manganese	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Mercury	0	0		0	0.770	0.91	76.3	Chem Translator of 0.85 applied
Total Nickel	0	0		0	85.789	86.0	7,250	Chem Translator of 0.997 applied
Total Phenols (Phenolics) (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Selenium	0	0		0	4.600	4.99	420	Chem Translator of 0.922 applied
Total Silver	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	Chem Translator of 1 applied
Total Thallium	0	0		0	13	13.0	1,095	
Total Zinc	0	0		0	195.030	198	16,666	Chem Translator of 0.986 applied
Acrolein	0	0		0	3	3.0	253	
Acrylamide	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Acrylonitrile	0	0		0	130	130	10,954	
Benzene	0	0		0	130	130	10,954	
Bromoform	0	0		0	370	370	31,175	
Carbon Tetrachloride	0	0		0	560	560	47,184	
Chlorobenzene	0	0		0	240	240	20,222	
Chlorodibromomethane	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether	0	0		0	3,500	3,500	294,903	
Chloroform	0	0		0	390	390	32,861	
Dichlorobromomethane	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
1,2-Dichloroethane	0	0		0	3,100	3,100	261,200	
1,1-Dichloroethylene	0	0		0	1,500	1,500	126,387	
1,2-Dichloropropane	0	0		0	2,200	2,200	185,367	
1,3-Dichloropropylene	0	0		0	61	61.0	5,140	
Ethylbenzene	0	0		0	580	580	48,870	
Methyl Bromide	0	0		0	110	110	9,268	

Model Results

9/14/2021

Methyl Chloride	0	0	0	5,500	5,500	463,419	
Methylene Chloride	0	0	0	2,400	2,400	202,219	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0	0	0	210	210	17,694	
Tetrachloroethylene	0	0	0	140	140	11,796	
Toluene	0	0	0	330	330	27,805	
1,2-trans-Dichloroethylene	0	0	0	1,400	1,400	117,961	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0	0	0	610	610	51,397	
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0	0	0	680	680	57,295	
Trichloroethylene	0	0	0	450	450	37,916	
Vinyl Chloride	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2-Chlorophenol	0	0	0	110	110	9,268	
2,4-Dichlorophenol	0	0	0	340	340	28,648	
2,4-Dimethylphenol	0	0	0	130	130	10,954	
2,4-Dinitrophenol	0	0	0	130	130	10,954	
2-Nitrophenol	0	0	0	1,600	1,600	134,813	
4-Nitrophenol	0	0	0	470	470	39,601	
p-Chloro-m-Cresol	0	0	0	500	500	42,129	
Pentachlorophenol	0	0	0	18.896	18.9	1,592	
Phenol	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	0	0	0	91	91.0	7,667	
Acenaphthene	0	0	0	17	17.0	1,432	
Anthracene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Benzidine	0	0	0	59	59.0	4,971	
Benzo(a)Anthracene	0	0	0	0.1	0.1	8.43	
Benzo(a)Pyrene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
3,4-Benzofluoranthene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Benzo(k)Fluoranthene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Bis(2-Chloroethyl)Ether	0	0	0	6,000	6,000	505,548	
Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)Ether	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)Phthalate	0	0	0	910	910	76,675	
4-Bromophenyl Phenyl Ether	0	0	0	54	54.0	4,550	
Butyl Benzyl Phthalate	0	0	0	35	35.0	2,949	
2-Chloronaphthalene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Chrysene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Dibenzo(a,h)Anthrancene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0	0	0	160	160	13,481	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0	0	0	69	69.0	5,814	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0	0	0	150	150	12,639	
3,3-Dichlorobenzidine	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Diethyl Phthalate	0	0	0	800	800	67,406	
Dimethyl Phthalate	0	0	0	500	500	42,129	
Di-n-Butyl Phthalate	0	0	0	21	21.0	1,769	
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	0	0	0	320	320	26,963	
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	0	0	0	200	200	16,852	
1,2-Diphenylhydrazine	0	0	0	3	3.0	253	

Model Results

9/14/2021

Fluoranthene	0	0		0	40	40.0	3,370	
Fluorene	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hexachlorobenzene	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hexachlorobutadiene	0	0		0	2	2.0	169	
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	0	0		0	1	1.0	84.3	
Hexachloroethane	0	0		0	12	12.0	1,011	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)Pyrene	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Isophorone	0	0		0	2,100	2,100	176,942	
Naphthalene	0	0		0	43	43.0	3,623	
Nitrobenzene	0	0		0	810	810	68,249	
n-Nitrosodimethylamine	0	0		0	3,400	3,400	286,477	
n-Nitrosodi-n-Propylamine	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
n-Nitrosodiphenylamine	0	0		0	59	59.0	4,971	
Phenanthrene	0	0		0	1	1.0	84.3	
Pyrene	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0	0		0	26	26.0	2,191	
Aldrin	0	0		0	0.1	0.1	8.43	
alpha-BHC	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
beta-BHC	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
gamma-BHC	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Chlordane	0	0		0	0.0043	0.004	0.36	
4,4-DDT	0	0		0	0.001	0.001	0.084	
4,4-DDE	0	0		0	0.001	0.001	0.084	
4,4-DDD	0	0		0	0.001	0.001	0.084	
Dieldrin	0	0		0	0.056	0.056	4.72	
alpha-Endosulfan	0	0		0	0.056	0.056	4.72	
beta-Endosulfan	0	0		0	0.056	0.056	4.72	
Endosulfan Sulfate	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Endrin	0	0		0	0.036	0.036	3.03	
Endrin Aldehyde	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Heptachlor	0	0		0	0.0038	0.004	0.32	
Heptachlor Epoxide	0	0		0	0.0038	0.004	0.32	
Toxaphene	0	0		0	0.0002	0.0002	0.017	
⊡ <b>тнн с</b> с	T (min): ###	****	PMF:	1	Ana	alysis Hardne	ess (mg/l):	N/A Analysis pH: N/A
Pollutants	Conc	Stream	Trib Conc	Fate	WQC	WQ Obj	WLA (ug/L)	Comments
	(uo/L)	CV	(µg/L)	Coef	(µg/L)	(µg/L)		
Total Dissolved Solids (PWS)	0	0		0	500,000	500,000	N/A	
Chloride (PWS)	0	0		0	250,000	250,000	N/A	
Sulfate (PWS)	0	0		0	250,000	250,000	N/A	
Fluoride (PWS)	0	0		0	2,000	2,000	N/A	
Total Aluminum	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Antimony	0	0		0	5.6	5.6	472	
Total Arsenic	0	0		0	10	10.0	843	

Model Results

9/14/2021

-							
Total Barium	0	0	0	2,400	2,400	202,219	
Total Boron	0	0	0	3,100	3,100	261,200	
Total Cadmium	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Chromium (III)	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hexavalent Chromium	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Cobalt	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Copper	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Dissolved Iron	0	0	0	300	300	25,277	
Total Iron	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Lead	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Manganese	0	0	0	1,000	1,000	84,258	
Total Mercury	0	0	0	0.050	0.05	4.21	
Total Nickel	0	0	0	610	610	51,397	
Total Phenols (Phenolics) (PWS)	0	0	0	5	5.0	N/A	
Total Selenium	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Silver	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Thallium	0	0	0	0.24	0.24	20.2	
Total Zinc	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Acrolein	0	0	0	3	3.0	253	
Acrylamide	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Acrylonitrile	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Benzene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Bromoform	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Carbon Tetrachloride	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Chlorobenzene	0	0	0	100	100.0	8,426	
Chlorodibromomethane	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Chloroform	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Dichlorobromomethane	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
1,2-Dichloroethane	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
1,1-Dichloroethylene	0	0	0	33	33.0	2,781	
1,2-Dichloropropane	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
1,3-Dichloropropylene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Ethylbenzene	0	0	0	68	68.0	5,730	
Methyl Bromide	0	0	0	100	100.0	8,426	
Methyl Chloride	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Methylene Chloride	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Tetrachloroethylene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Toluene	0	0	0	57	57.0	4,803	
1,2-trans-Dichloroethylene	0	0	0	100	100.0	8,426	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0	0	0	10,000	10,000	842,579	
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Trichloroethylene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Vinyl Chloride	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	•			-		•	-

Model Results

9/14/2021

2,4-Dichlorophenol 0 0 0 10 10.0 843	
2.4 Dimetridateant 0 0 0 100 100 0 0.400	
2,4-Dimetryiphenoi U U U U 100 100,0 8,420	
2,4-Dinitrophenol 0 0 0 10 10.0 843	
2-Nitrophenol 0 0 0 N/A N/A N/A	
4-Nitrophenol 0 0 0 N/A N/A N/A	
p-Chloro-m-Cresol 0 0 0 N/A N/A N/A	
Pentachlorophenol 0 0 0 N/A N/A N/A	
Phenol 0 0 4,000 4,000 337,032	
2,4,8-Trichlorophenol 0 0 0 N/A N/A N/A	
Acenaphthene 0 0 0 70 70.0 5,898	
Anthracene 0 0 0 0 300 300 25,277	
Benzidine 0 0 0 N/A N/A N/A	
Benzo(a)Anthracene 0 0 0 N/A N/A N/A	
Benzo(a)Pyrene 0 0 0 N/A N/A N/A	
3,4-Benzofluoranthene 0 0 0 N/A N/A N/A	
Benzo(k)Fluoranthene 0 0 0 N/A N/A N/A	
Bis(2-Chloroethyl)Ether 0 0 0 N/A N/A N/A	
Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)Ether 0 0 0 200 200 16,852	
Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)Phthalate 0 0 0 N/A N/A N/A	
4-Bromophenyl Phenyl Ether 0 0 0 N/A N/A N/A	
Butyl Benzyl Phthalate 0 0 0 0 0.1 0.1 8.43	
2-Chloronaphthalene 0 0 0 800 800 67,406	
Chrysene 0 0 0 N/A N/A N/A	
Dibenzo(a,h)Anthrancene 0 0 0 N/A N/A N/A N/A	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene 0 0 0 1,000 1,000 84,258	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene 0 0 0 7 7.0 590	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene 0 0 0 0 300 300 25,277	
3,3-Dichlorobenzidine 0 0 0 N/A N/A N/A	
Diethyl Phthalate 0 0 0 600 600 50,555	
Dimethyl Phthalate 0 0 0 2,000 2,000 168,516	
Di-n-Butyl Phthalate 0 0 0 0 20 20.0 1,685	
2,4-Dinitrotoluene 0 0 0 N/A N/A N/A	
2,6-Dinitrotoluene 0 0 0 N/A N/A N/A	
1,2-Diphenylhydrazine 0 0 0 N/A N/A N/A	
Fluoranthene 0 0 0 20 20.0 1,685	
Fluorene 0 0 0 50 50.0 4,213	
Hexachlorobenzene 0 0 0 N/A N/A N/A	
Hexachlorobutadiene 0 0 0 N/A N/A N/A	
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene 0 0 0 4 4.0 337	
Hexachloroethane 0 0 0 N/A N/A N/A	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)Pyrene 0 0 0 N/A N/A N/A	
Isophorone 0 0 0 34 34.0 2,865	
Naphthalene 0 0 0 N/A N/A N/A	
Nitrobenzene 0 0 0 10 10.0 843	

Model Results

9/14/2021

	-	-						
n-Nitrosodimethylamine	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
n-Nitrosodi-n-Propylamine	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
n-Nitrosodiphenylamine	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Phenanthrene	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Pyrene	0	0		0	20	20.0	1,685	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0	0		0	0.07	0.07	5.9	
Aldrin	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
alpha-BHC	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
beta-BHC	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
gamma-BHC	0	0		0	4.2	4.2	354	
Chlordane	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
4,4-DDT	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
4,4-DDE	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
4,4-DDD	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Dieldrin	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
alpha-Endosulfan	0	0		0	20	20.0	1,685	
beta-Endosulfan	0	0		0	20	20.0	1,685	
Endosulfan Sulfate	0	0		0	20	20.0	1,685	
Endrin	0	0		0	0.03	0.03	2.53	
Endrin Aldehyde	0	0		0	1	1.0	84.3	
Heptachlor	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hentachlor Enovide	0	0		0	NI/A	NI/A	A1/A	
rieptachior Epoxide	• •			U U I	DM/A	IN/A	N/A	
Toxaphene	Ő	Ō		0	N/A	N/A	N/A N/A	
Toxaphene	0 T (min): ###	0	PMF:	0	N/A N/A Ana	N/A N/A	N/A N/A ess (mg/l):	N/A Analysis pH: N/A
CRL CC	0 T (min): ###	0 ###	PMF: Trib Conc	0 1 Fate	N/A N/A Ana WQC	N/A N/A alysis Hardne	N/A N/A ess (mg/l):	N/A Analysis pH: N/A
CRL CC Pollutants	0 T (min): ### Sureann Conc	0 ### Stream CV	PMF: Trib Conc (µa/L)	0 1 Fate Coef	N/A N/A Ana WQC (ua/L)	N/A N/A Ilysis Hardne WQ Obj (µq/L)	N/A N/A ess (mg/l): WLA (µg/L)	N/A Analysis pH: N/A Comments
CRL CC Pollutants Total Dissolved Solids (PWS)	0 T (min): ### Sueam Conc (uo/L) 0	0 ### Stream CV 0	PMF: Trib Conc (µg/L)	0 0 1 Fate Coef 0	N/A N/A Ana WQC (µg/L) N/A	N/A N/A alysis Hardne WQ Obj (µg/L) N/A	N/A N/A ess (mg/l): WLA (µg/L) N/A	N/A Analysis pH: N/A Comments
CRL CC     Pollutants     Total Dissolved Solids (PWS)     Chloride (PWS)	0 T (min): ### Suream Conc (und ) 0 0	0 ### Stream CV 0 0	PMF: Trib Conc (µg/L)	0 0 Fate Coef 0	N/A N/A Ana WQC (μg/L) N/A N/A	NVA NVA alysis Hardne WQ Obj (µg/L) NVA NVA	N/A N/A ess (mg/l): WLA (µg/L) N/A N/A	N/A Analysis pH: N/A Comments
CRL CC     Pollutants     Total Dissolved Solids (PWS)     Chloride (PWS)     Sulfate (PWS)	0 T (min): ### Surearn Conc (und) 0 0 0	0 #### Stream CV 0 0 0	PMF: Trib Conc (µg/L)	0 0 Fate Coef 0 0	N/A N/A An: WQC (µg/L) N/A N/A N/A	N/A N/A N/Q Obj (µg/L) N/A N/A N/A	N/A N/A ess (mg/l): WLA (µg/L) N/A N/A N/A	N/A Analysis pH: N/A Comments
Pollutants       CRL     CC       Pollutants       Total Dissolved Solids (PWS)       Chloride (PWS)       Sulfate (PWS)       Fluoride (PWS)	0 T (min): ### Conc (und ) 0 0 0 0	0 Stream CV 0 0 0	PMF: Trib Conc (µg/L)	0 0 Fate Coef 0 0 0 0	N/A N/A An: (μg/L) N/A N/A N/A N/A	IVA N/A Ilysis Hardne (µg/L) N/A N/A N/A N/A	N/A N/A ess (mg/l): WLA (µg/L) N/A N/A N/A N/A	N/A Analysis pH: N/A Comments
Pollutants       CRL     CC       Pollutants       Total Dissolved Solids (PWS)       Chloride (PWS)       Sulfate (PWS)       Fluoride (PWS)       Total Aluminum	0 T (min): ### Conc (und ) 0 0 0 0 0	0 Stream CV 0 0 0 0 0 0	PMF: Trib Conc (µg/L)	0 0 1 Fate Coef 0 0 0 0 0	N/A N/A An: (µg/L) N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	WQ Obj (µg/L) N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	N/A N/A ess (mg/l): N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	N/A Analysis pH: N/A Comments
Pollutants       CRL     CC       Pollutants       Total Dissolved Solids (PWS)       Chloride (PWS)       Sulfate (PWS)       Fluoride (PWS)       Total Aluminum       Total Aluminum       Total Antimony	0 T (min): ### Suream Conc (und ) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 Stream CV 0 0 0 0 0	PMF: Trib Conc (µg/L)	0 0 1 Fate Coef 0 0 0 0 0 0	WA N/A Ana WQC (µg/L) N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	N/A N/A alysis Hardne WQ Obj (µg/L) N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	N/A N/A ess (mg/l): N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	N/A Analysis pH: N/A Comments
Toxaphene       Image: CRL     CC       Pollutants     Chloride (PWS)       Chloride (PWS)     Sulfate (PWS)       Fluoride (PWS)     Total Aluminum       Total Aluminum     Total Antimony       Total Arsenic     Total Arsenic	0 T (min): ### Suream Conc (und) ) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 Stream CV 0 0 0 0 0 0	PMF: Trib Conc (µg/L)	0 0 1 Fate Coef 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	М/А N/A Ana WQC (µg/L) N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	N/A N/A alysis Hardne WQ Obj (µg/L) N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	N/A N/A ess (mg/l): N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	N/A Analysis pH: N/A Comments
Toxaphene       Image: CRL     CC       Pollutants     Chloride (PWS)       Chloride (PWS)     Sulfate (PWS)       Fluoride (PWS)     Total Aluminum       Total Antimony     Total Ansenic       Total Barium     Total Barium	0 T (min): ### Suream Conc (und) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 ***** Stream CV 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	PMF: Trib Conc (µg/L)	0 0 1 Fate Coef 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	М/А N/A Ana WQC (µg/L) N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	N/A N/A alysis Hardne (µg/L) N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	N/A N/A ess (mg/l): N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	N/A Analysis pH: N/A Comments
Toxaphene       Image: CRL     CC       Pollutants     Chloride (PWS)       Chloride (PWS)     Sulfate (PWS)       Fluoride (PWS)     Total Aluminum       Total Antimony     Total Arsenic       Total Barium     Total Boron	Conc (unil): ### Conc (unil) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 ***** Stream CV 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	PMF: Trib Conc (µg/L)	0 0 1 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	М/А N/A An: WQC (µg/L) N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	WA N/A alysis Hardne WQ Obj (µg/L) N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	N/A N/A ess (mg/l): N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	N/A Analysis pH: N/A Comments
Toxaphene       CRL     CC       Pollutants     Colloride (PWS)       Chloride (PWS)     Sulfate (PWS)       Fluoride (PWS)     Fluoride (PWS)       Total Aluminum     Total Antimony       Total Baron     Total Boron       Total Boron     Total Cadmium	Conc Conc Conc Conc Conc Conc Conc Conc	0 Stream CV 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	PMF: Trib Conc (µg/L)	0 0 1 Fate Coef 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	N/A N/A An: (µg/L) N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	N/A N/A ess (mg/l): N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	N/A Analysis pH: N/A Comments
Toxaphene       CRL     CC       Pollutants     Colloride (PWS)       Chloride (PWS)     Sulfate (PWS)       Fluoride (PWS)     Total Aluminum       Total Aluminum     Total Arsenic       Total Barium     Total Barium       Total Cadmium     Total Cadmium	0 T (min): ### Conc (unil ) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 Stream CV 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	PMF: Trib Conc (µg/L)	0 0 1 Fate Coef 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	N/A N/A An: WQC (µg/L) N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	N/A N/A ess (mg/l): N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	N/A Analysis pH: N/A Comments
Toxaphene       CRL     CC       Pollutants     Colloride (PWS)       Chloride (PWS)     Sulfate (PWS)       Fluoride (PWS)     Total Aluminum       Total Aluminum     Total Antimony       Total Barium     Total Barium       Total Barium     Total Barium       Total Cadmium     Total Cadmium       Total Chromium (III)     Hexavalent Chromium	0 T (min): ### Conc (unl) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	5 Stream CV 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	PMF: Trib Conc (µg/L)	0 0 Fate Coef 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	N/A N/A An: WQC (µg/L) N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	N/A N/A Press (mg/l): N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	N/A Analysis pH: N/A Comments
Toxaphene         Toxaphene         Oraphic CRL       CC         Pollutants         Total Dissolved Solids (PWS)         Chloride (PWS)         Sulfate (PWS)         Fluoride (PWS)         Total Aluminum         Total Antimony         Total Antimony         Total Barium         Total Barium         Total Cadmium         Total Chromium         Total Chomium         Total Cobalt	0 T (min): ### Conc (unl ) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	5 5 5 5 5 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	PMF: Trib Conc (µg/L)	0 0 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	N/A N/A Ana WQC (µg/L) N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	N/A N/A Pss (mg/l): N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	N/A     Analysis pH:     N/A       Comments
Toxaphene         Toxaphene         CRL       CC         Pollutants         Total Dissolved Solids (PWS)         Chloride (PWS)         Sulfate (PWS)         Fluoride (PWS)         Total Aluminum         Total Antimony         Total Antimony         Total Barium         Total Boron         Total Cadmium         Total Chromium         Total Cobalt         Total Cobalt	0 T (min): ### Conc (mail ) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	5 5 5 5 5 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	PMF: Trib Conc (µg/L)	0 0 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	N/A N/A An: WQC (µg/L) N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	N/A N/A Pss (mg/l): N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	N/A     Analysis pH:     N/A       Comments

Model Results

Total Iron

Total Lead

9/14/2021

N/A

N/A

N/A

N/A

N/A

N/A

0

0

0

0

0

0

Total Manganese	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Mercury	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Nickel	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Phenols (Phenolics) (PWS)	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Selenium	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Silver	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Thallium	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Zinc	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Acrolein	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Acrylamide	0	0	0	0.07	0.07	30.6	
Acrylonitrile	0	0	0	0.06	0.06	26.2	
Benzene	0	0	0	0.58	0.58	253	
Bromoform	0	0	0	7	7.0	3,058	
Carbon Tetrachloride	0	0	0	0.4	0.4	175	
Chlorobenzene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Chlorodibromomethane	0	0	0	0.8	0.8	349	
2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Chloroform	0	0	0	5.7	5.7	2,490	
Dichlorobromomethane	0	0	0	0.95	0.95	415	
1,2-Dichloroethane	0	0	0	9.9	9.9	4,325	
1,1-Dichloroethylene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
1,2-Dichloropropane	0	0	0	0.9	0.9	393	
1,3-Dichloropropylene	0	0	0	0.27	0.27	118	
Ethylbenzene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Methyl Bromide	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Methyl Chloride	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Methylene Chloride	0	0	0	20	20.0	8,737	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0	0	0	0.2	0.2	87.4	
Tetrachloroethylene	0	0	0	10	10.0	4,369	
Toluene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
1,2-trans-Dichloroethylene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0	0	0	0.55	0.55	240	
Trichloroethylene	0	0	0	0.6	0.6	262	
Vinyl Chloride	0	0	0	0.02	0.02	8.74	
2-Chlorophenol	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2,4-Dichlorophenol	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2,4-Dimethylphenol	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2,4-Dinitrophenol	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2-Nitrophenol	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
4-Nitrophenol	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
p-Chloro-m-Cresol	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Pentachlorophenol	0	0	0	0.030	0.03	13.1	
Phenol	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	0	0	0	1.5	1.5	655	

Model Results

9/14/2021

Acenaphthene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Anthracene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Benzidine	0	0	0	0.0001	0.0001	0.044	
Benzo(a)Anthracene	0	0	0	0.001	0.001	0.44	
Benzo(a)Pyrene	0	0	0	0.0001	0.0001	0.044	
3,4-Benzofluoranthene	0	0	0	0.001	0.001	0.44	
Benzo(k)Fluoranthene	0	0	0	0.01	0.01	4.37	
Bis(2-Chloroethyl)Ether	0	0	0	0.03	0.03	13.1	
Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)Ether	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)Phthalate	0	0	0	0.32	0.32	140	
4-Bromophenyl Phenyl Ether	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Butyl Benzyl Phthalate	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2-Chloronaphthalene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Chrysene	0	0	0	0.12	0.12	52.4	
Dibenzo(a,h)Anthrancene	0	0	0	0.0001	0.0001	0.044	
1.2-Dichlorobenzene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
1.3-Dichlorobenzene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
1.4-Dichlorobenzene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
3.3-Dichlorobenzidine	0	0	0	0.05	0.05	21.8	
Diethyl Phthalate	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Dimethyl Phthalate	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Di-n-Butyl Phthalate	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2.4-Dinitrotoluene	0	0	0	0.05	0.05	21.8	
2.6-Dinitrotoluene	0	0	0	0.05	0.05	21.8	
1.2-Diphenvlhvdrazine	0	0	0	0.03	0.03	13.1	
Fluoranthene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Fluorene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hexachlorobenzene	0	0	0	0.00008	0.00008	0.035	
Hexachlorobutadiene	0	0	0	0.01	0.01	4.37	
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hexachloroethane	0	0	0	0.1	0.1	43.7	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)Pyrene	0	0	0	0.001	0.001	0.44	
Isophorone	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Naphthalene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Nitrobenzene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
n-Nitrosodimethylamine	0	0	0	0.0007	0.0007	0.31	
n-Nitrosodi-n-Propylamine	0	0	0	0.005	0.005	2.18	
n-Nitrosodiphenylamine	0	0	0	3.3	3.3	1,442	
Phenanthrene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Pyrene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Aldrin	0	0	0	0.0000008	8.00E-07	0.0003	
alpha-BHC	0	0	0	0.0004	0.0004	0.17	
beta-BHC	0	0	0	0.008	0.008	3.49	
gamma-BHC	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
0	-	-	-				1

Model Results

9/14/2021

Chlordane	0	0	0	0.0003	0.0003	0.13	
4,4-DDT	0	0	0	0.00003	0.00003	0.013	
4,4-DDE	0	0	0	0.00002	0.00002	0.009	
4,4-DDD	0	0	0	0.0001	0.0001	0.044	
Dieldrin	0	0	0	0.000001	0.000001	0.0004	
alpha-Endosulfan	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
beta-Endosulfan	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Endosulfan Sulfate	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Endrin	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Endrin Aldehyde	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Heptachlor	0	0	0	0.000006	0.000006	0.003	
Heptachlor Epoxide	0	0	0	0.00003	0.00003	0.013	
Toxaphene	0	0	0	0.0007	0.0007	0.31	

Recommended WQBELs & Monitoring Requirements

No. Samples/Month: 4

	Mass	Limits	Concentration Limits						
Pollutants	AML (lbs/day)	MDL (lbs/day)	AML	MDL	IMAX	Units	Governing WQBEL	WQBEL Basis	Comments
Total Arsenic	0.88	1.37	843	1,315	2,106	µg/L	843	THH	Discharge Conc ≥ 50% WQBEL (RP)
Total Copper	Report	Report	Report	Report	Report	µg/L	299	AFC	Discharge Conc > 10% WQBEL (no RP)
n-Nitrosodimethylamine	0.0003	0.0005	0.31	0.48	0.76	µg/L	0.31	CRL	Discharge Conc ≥ 50% WQBEL (RP)

#### Other Pollutants without Limits or Monitoring

The following pollutants do not require effluent limits or monitoring based on water quality because reasonable potential to exceed water quality criteria was not determined and the discharge concentration was less than thresholds for monitoring, or the pollutant was not detected and a sufficiently sensitive analytical method was used (e.g., <= Target QL).

Pollutants	Governing WQBEL	Units	Comments
Total Dissolved Solids (PWS)	N/A	N/A	PWS Not Applicable
Chloride (PWS)	N/A	N/A	PWS Not Applicable
Bromide	N/A	N/A	No WQS
Sulfate (PWS)	N/A	N/A	PWS Not Applicable
Fluoride (PWS)	N/A	N/A	PWS Not Applicable
Total Aluminum	16,564	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Antimony	472	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Barium	202,219	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Beryllium	N/A	N/A	No WQS
Total Boron	94,202	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Cadmium	35.3	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Total Chromium (III)	11,788	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Hexavalent Chromium	189	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Cobalt	1,105	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL

Model Results

9/14/2021

Total Cyanide	N/A	N/A	No WQS
Dissolved Iron	25,277	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Iron	126,387	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Lead	569	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Manganese	84,258	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Mercury	4.21	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Total Nickel	7,250	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Phenols (Phenolics) (PWS)		µg/L	PWS Not Applicable
Total Selenium	420	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Silver	133	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Thallium	20.2	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Total Zinc	2,406	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Molybdenum	N/A	N/A	No WQS
Acrolein	34.9	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Acrylamide	30.6	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 25% WQBEL
Acrylonitrile	26.2	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Benzene	253	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Bromoform	3,058	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Carbon Tetrachloride	175	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Chlorobenzene	8,426	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 25% WQBEL
Chlorodibromomethane	349	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 25% WQBEL
Chloroethane	N/A	N/A	No WQS
2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether	209,337	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Chloroform	2,490	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 25% WQBEL
Dichlorobromomethane	415	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 25% WQBEL
1,1-Dichloroethane	N/A	N/A	No WQS
1,2-Dichloroethane	4,325	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
1,1-Dichloroethylene	2,781	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
1,2-Dichloropropane	393	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
1,3-Dichloropropylene	118	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
1,4-Dioxane	N/A	N/A	No WQS
Ethylbenzene	5,730	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Methyl Bromide	6,396	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 25% WQBEL
Methyl Chloride	325,635	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 25% WQBEL
Methylene Chloride	8,737	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	87.4	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Tetrachloroethylene	4,369	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Toluene	4,803	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
1,2-trans-Dichloroethylene	8,426	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	34,889	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	240	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Trichloroethylene	262	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Vinyl Chloride	8.74	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
2-Chlorophenol	2,528	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
2,4-Dichlorophenol	843	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL

Model Results

9/14/2021

### NPDES Permit No. PA0083941

2,4-Dimethylphenol	7,676	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
2,4-Dinitrophenol	843	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
2-Nitrophenol	93,039	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
4-Nitrophenol	26,749	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
p-Chloro-m-Cresol	1,861	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Pentachlorophenol	13.1	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Phenol	337,032	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	655	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Acenaphthene	965	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Acenaphthylene	N/A	N/A	No WQS
Anthracene	25,277	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 25% WQBEL
Benzidine	0.044	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Benzo(a)Anthracene	0.44	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Benzo(a)Pyrene	0.044	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
3,4-Benzofluoranthene	0.44	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Benzo(ghi)Perylene	N/A	N/A	No WQS
Benzo(k)Fluoranthene	4.37	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Bis(2-Chloroethoxy)Methane	N/A	N/A	No WQS
Bis(2-Chloroethyl)Ether	13.1	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)Ether	16,852	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 25% WQBEL
Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)Phthalate	140	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
4-Bromophenyl Phenyl Ether	3,140	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Butyl Benzyl Phthalate	8.43	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
2-Chloronaphthalene	67,406	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
4-Chlorophenyl Phenyl Ether	N/A	N/A	No WQS
Chrysene	52.4	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Dibenzo(a,h)Anthrancene	0.044	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	9,536	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	590	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	8,490	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
3,3-Dichlorobenzidine	21.8	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Diethyl Phthalate	46,519	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Dimethyl Phthalate	29,075	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Di-n-Butyl Phthalate	1,279	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	21.8	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	21.8	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Di-n-Octyl Phthalate	N/A	N/A	No WQS
1,2-Diphenylhydrazine	13.1	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Fluoranthene	1,685	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Fluorene	4,213	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Hexachlorobenzene	0.035	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Hexachlorobutadiene	4.37	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	58.1	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Hexachloroethane	43.7	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)Pyrene	0.44	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL

Model Results

9/14/2021

NPDES Permit No. PA0083941

Isophorone	2,865	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Naphthalene	1,628	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Nitrobenzene	843	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
n-Nitrosodi-n-Propylamine	2.18	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
n-Nitrosodiphenylamine	1,442	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Phenanthrene	58.1	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Pyrene	1,685	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	5.9	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Aldrin	0.0003	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
alpha-BHC	0.17	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
beta-BHC	3.49	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
gamma-BHC	11.0	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
delta BHC	N/A	N/A	No WQS
Chlordane	0.13	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
4,4-DDT	0.013	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
4,4-DDE	0.009	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
4,4-DDD	0.044	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Dieldrin	0.0004	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
alpha-Endosulfan	2.56	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
beta-Endosulfan	2.56	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Endosulfan Sulfate	1,685	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Endrin	1.0	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Endrin Aldehyde	84.3	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Heptachlor	0.003	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Heptachlor Epoxide	0.013	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
PCB-1016	N/A	N/A	No WQS
PCB-1221	N/A	N/A	No WQS
PCB-1232	N/A	N/A	No WQS
PCB-1242	N/A	N/A	No WQS
PCB-1248	N/A	N/A	No WQS
PCB-1254	N/A	N/A	No WQS
PCB-1260	N/A	N/A	No WQS
Toxaphene	0.017	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL

Model Results

9/14/2021

6. Toxics Management Spreadsheet (Treated Leachate for Barium/Arsenic); same as 5 except for maximum discharge concentrations and recommended WQBELs and Monitoring Requirements for Arsenic.





# **Discharge Information**

Instructions Disc	harge Stream		
Facility: Cumb	erland County Landfill	NPDES Permit No.: PA0083941	Outfall No.: 001
Evaluation Type:	Major Sewage / Industrial Waste	Wastewater Description: IW Discharge	
	Dischar	ne Characteristics	

Discharge Characteristics											
Design Flow (MGD)*	Hardness (mg/l)t	-11 (010)	P	artial Mix Fa	Complete Mix Times (min)						
	naroness (mg/i)*	pn (30)-	AFC	CFC	THH	CRL	Q <sub>7-10</sub>	Qh			
0.125	405	7									

							t blank	0.5 If left blank		0	0 If left blank		1 if left blank			
	Discharge Pollutant	Units	Ма	Max Discharge Conc		ax Discharge Conc		rib onc	Stream Conc	Daily CV	Hourly CV	Strea m CV	Fate Coeff	FOS	Criteri a Mod	Chem Transl
	Total Dissolved Solids (PWS)	mg/L		1600												
5	Chloride (PWS)	mg/L		434												
1 a	Bromide	mg/L		3.5	1											
5	Sulfate (PWS)	mg/L		205	1											
	Fluoride (PWS)	mg/L		5	1											
	Total Aluminum	µg/L		1437.409	1			1.269								
	Total Antimony	µg/L		2	-											
	Total Arsenic	µg/L		100	-											
	Total Barium	µg/L		460	-		-									
	Total Beryllium	µg/L	<	0.5	-											
	Total Boron	µg/L		2070												
	Total Cadmium	µg/L	<	0.1												
	Total Chromium (III)	µg/L		24.1												
	Hexavalent Chromium	µg/L	<	2												
1	Total Cobalt	µg/L		9.1												
	Total Copper	µg/L		41.9												
2	Free Cyanide	µg/L														
lă	Total Cyanide	µg/L		84	1											
5	Dissolved Iron	µg/L		562												
-	Total Iron	µg/L		2427.3771				0.7621								
	Total Lead	µg/L		0.5	t	Ħ										
	Total Manganese	µg/L		945	1	H										
	Total Mercury	µg/L	<	0.1	1	H										
	Total Nickel	µg/L		68.1	-		-									
	Total Phenols (Phenolics) (PWS)	µg/L		5.1	-		-									
	Total Selenium	µg/L		0.5	-		-									
	Total Silver	µg/L		0.1												
	Total Thallium	µg/L	<	0.1												
	Total Zinc	µg/L		32.2												
	Total Molybdenum	µg/L		8												
$\vdash$	Acrolein	µg/L	<	1												
	Acrylamide	µg/L	<	5												
	Acrylonitrile	µg/L	<	0.5												
	Benzene	µg/L	<	0.2	1											
	Bromoform	µg/L	<	0.2												

Chlordane	0	0			0	0.0003	0.0003	0.13	
4,4-DDT	0	0			0	0.00003	0.00003	0.013	
4,4-DDE	0	0			0	0.00002	0.00002	0.009	
4,4-DDD	0	0			0	0.0001	0.0001	0.044	
Dieldrin	0	0			0	0.000001	0.000001	0.0004	
alpha-Endosulfan	0	0			0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
beta-Endosulfan	0	0			0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Endosulfan Sulfate	0	0			0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Endrin	0	0		1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Endrin Aldehyde	0	0	-i	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Heptachlor	0	0		i	0	0.000006	0.000006	0.003	
Heptachlor Epoxide	0	0		1	0	0.00003	0.00003	0.013	
Toxaphene	0	0			0	0.0007	0.0007	0.31	

### Recommended WQBELs & Monitoring Requirements

No. Samples/Month: 4

	Mass	Limits		Concentra	tion Limits				
Pollutants	AML	MDL	AMI MDI		ΙΜΔΧ	Linits	Governing	WQBEL	Comments
1 oligitarits	(lbs/day)	(lbs/day)		MDE	INCOM	onits	WQBEL	Basis	Connents
Total Arsenic	Report	Report	Report	Report	Report	µg/L	843	тнн	Discharge Conc > 10% WQBEL (no RP)
Total Copper	Report	Report	Report	Report	Report	µg/L	299	AFC	Discharge Conc > 10% WQBEL (no RP)
n-Nitrosodimethylamine	0.0003	0.0005	0.31	0.48	0.76	µg/L	0.31	CRL	Discharge Conc ≥ 50% WQBEL (RP)

#### Other Pollutants without Limits or Monitoring

The following pollutants do not require effluent limits or monitoring based on water quality because reasonable potential to exceed water quality criteria was not determined and the discharge concentration was less than thresholds for monitoring, or the pollutant was not detected and a sufficiently sensitive analytical method was used (e.g., <= Target QL).

Pollutants	Governing WQBEL	Units	Comments
Total Dissolved Solids (PWS)	N/A	N/A	PWS Not Applicable
Chloride (PWS)	N/A	N/A	PWS Not Applicable
Bromide	N/A	N/A	No WQS
Sulfate (PWS)	N/A	N/A	PWS Not Applicable
Fluoride (PWS)	N/A	N/A	PWS Not Applicable
Total Aluminum	16,564	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Antimony	472	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Barium	202,219	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Beryllium	N/A	N/A	No WQS
Total Boron	94,202	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Cadmium	35.3	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Total Chromium (III)	11,788	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Hexavalent Chromium	189	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Cobalt	1,105	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL

Model Results

9/14/2021