

Southwest Regional Office CLEAN WATER PROGRAM

 Application Type
 Renewal

 Facility Type
 Industrial

 Major / Minor
 Minor

#### NPDES PERMIT FACT SHEET INDIVIDUAL INDUSTRIAL WASTE (IW) AND IW STORMWATER

 Application No.
 PA0090328

 APS ID
 1080342

 Authorization ID
 1425963

#### **Applicant and Facility Information**

Applicant Name	Green Vall	ey Packing Inc.	Facility Name	Green Valley Packing
Applicant Address	2992 Green Valley Road		Facility Address	2992 Green Valley Road
	Claysville,	PA 15323-1360		Claysville, PA 15323-1360
Applicant Contact	George We	eiss	Facility Contact	Same as Applicant
Applicant Phone	724-948-33	321	Facility Phone	Same as Applicant
Client ID	63380		Site ID	253509
SIC Code	2011		Municipality	Buffalo Township
SIC Description	Manufactu	ring - Meat Packing Plants	County	Washington
Date Application Recei	ved <u>Fe</u>	ebruary 1, 2023	EPA Waived?	Yes
Date Application Accep	oted Fe	ebruary 7, 2023	If No, Reason	
Purpose of Application		PDES Permit Coverage Renewal		

#### Summary of Review

The Department received a renewal NPDES permit application on February 1, 2023 from Green Valley Packing Inc for coverage of their site in Buffalo Township. The site is a meat packaging and processing facility with SIC codes of 2011 (Meat Packing Plant/Meat Processed from Carcass) and 2013 (Sausage and Other Prepared Meat Products/Renderings and Meat Byproduct Processing).

The site is subject to Federal Effluent Limitation Guidelines (ELGs), 40 CFR 432 (Meat and Poultry Products) based on the SIC codes. The site is subject to 40 CFR 432 subparts G (Sausage and Luncheon Meats Processors), H (Ham Processors), and L (Poultry Further Processors).

Wastewater is generated from general housekeeping activities including process area floor washing, equipment washing, meat rinsing / washing, cooked product chilling with cold water, waste trimming wash down and grease or brine drippings from cooked or processed meat products. Sanitary sewage generated from building employees is also treated within the system. Treatment at Green Valley Packing, Inc. is achieved through a series of effectively managed stages.

The waste generated at the facility is sanitary and process waste from meatpacking processes. The site has a treatment facility on site that process the wastewater generated at the facility. The raw wastewater passes through a rotary screen, followed by flow equalization, and then primary clarification. The primary effluent passes through three geo-bio reactors prior to secondary clarification, moving bed sand filtration and disinfection. Solids are discharged from the primary and secondary clarifiers to aerated sludge holding and decanted back to the equalization tanks. The site use chlorine for disinfection. A further breakdown of the treatment system is described below.

1). Initially, wastewater is routed through a <u>Rotary Screen</u> which removes any larger pieces of bone and gristle, which cannot be broken down by the typical treatment system.

Approve	Deny	Signatures	Date
х		Adam Olesnanik, P.E. / Environmental Engineer	May 8, 2023
Х		Mideul F. Julie Michael E. Fifth, P.E. / Environmental Engineer Manager	June 9, 2023

#### **Summary of Review**

2). Next, grease is removed by <u>Induced Air Flotation</u>. This unit adds air to promote fat and grease flotation which can then be removed by mechanical skimming for disposal at a rendering facility.

3). Wastewater moves to the <u>Equalization Tank</u>. The equalization tank serves to moderate the flow, prevent wastewater surges within the system and to ensure that the biological organisms within the system are not deprived of nutrients.

4). Following equalization, the wastewater is pumped to the <u>Primary Clarifier</u> to allow for the settling of solids. Settled sludge is removed from the system and pumped into the aerated sludge holding tank. Some of the aerated sludge is returned to the equalization tank; the rest is removed. The solids are processed in a solids press and hauled to Arden Landfill.

5). Water exiting the primary clarifier enters the three (3) <u>Geo-Bio Reactors</u>. The geo-bio reactors are rotating cylinders filled with plastic, tumbling media. The plastic media serve as a support structure for the growth of bacterial colonies which serve to consume and break down nutrients within the wastewater.

7). Polymer is added to promote <u>Flocculation</u> of the remaining impurities and the wastewater enters the <u>Secondary Clarifier</u>. The secondary clarifier serves to polish the effluent by allowing for settling of the flocculent and colloidal solids. Any sludge that can be gathered in this process is pumped into the aerated sludge holding tank. Some of the aerated sludge is returned to the equalization tank; the rest is removed. The solids are processed in a solids press and hauled to Arden Landfill.

8). The remaining effluent is treated by <u>Moving Bed Sand Filter</u>. The sand filter removes any remaining particulate matter that has made it through all of the previous processes. Sand filter backwash is fed back into the equalization tank.

9). The final step in the process is <u>Disinfection</u>. The filtered effluent is routed through a chlorine contact tank and discharged through a v-notch weir into an Unnamed tributary to Buffalo Creek. The discharge is equipped with a transducer for the purpose of recording the flow rate.

The site has one outfall that discharges to an unnamed tributary to Buffalo Creek, designated in 25 PA Code Chapter 93 as a High-Quality Warm Water Fishery. The discharges from Green Valley Packaging existed prior to the receiving stream classification as high-quality water, therefore anti-degradation does not need to be considered.

#### Public Participation

DEP will publish notice of the receipt of the NPDES permit application and a tentative decision to issue the individual NPDES permit in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin* in accordance with 25 Pa. Code § 92a.82. Upon publication in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*, DEP will accept written comments from interested persons for a 30-day period (which may be extended for one additional 15-day period at DEP's discretion), which will be considered in making a final decision on the application. Any person may request or petition for a public hearing with respect to the application. A public hearing may be held if DEP determines that there is significant public interest in holding a hearing. If a hearing is held, notice of the hearing will be published in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin* at least 30 days prior to the hearing and in at least one newspaper of general circulation within the geographical area of the discharge.

#### NPDES Permit Fact Sheet Green Valley Packing

Discharge, Receiving Waters and Water Supply Information			
Outfall No. 001		Design Flow (MGD)	0.01
Latitude 40° 8' 50"		Longitude	-80º 21' 23"
Quad Name Washingt	on West	Quad Code	1703
Treated process wastewater generated during meat rinsing and washing, grease and brine drippings, equipment and process area wash water, cooked product cooling water, waste trimming wash water, and sewage.			
Receiving Waters UNT	of Buffalo Creek	Stream Code	32949
NHD Com ID 7386	5770	RMI	0.03
Drainage Area 5.98		 Yield (cfs/mi²)	0.0127
Q <sub>7-10</sub> Flow (cfs) 0.075	57	Q7-10 Basis	USGS Streamstats
Elevation (ft) 999		Slope (ft/ft)	0.0001
Watershed No. 20-E		Chapter 93 Class.	HQ-WWF
Existing Use		Existing Use Qualifier	
Exceptions to Use		Exceptions to Criteria	
Assessment Status	Attaining Use(s)		
Cause(s) of Impairment			
Source(s) of Impairment			
TMDL Status		Name	
Nearest Downstream Pub PWS Waters Ohio Ri	ic Water Supply Intake	Ohio River in West Virginia (P Flow at Intake (cfs)	A-OH Border)
PWS RMI		Distance from Outfall (mi)	>30
-			

#### **Development of Effluent Limitations**

Outfall No.	001		Design Flow (MGD)	0.01
Latitude	40º 8' 50.00"		Longitude	-80º 21' 23.00"
Wastewater I	Description:	IW Process Effluent with ELG		

#### **Technology-Based Limitations**

#### Federal Effluent Limitation Guidelines (ELGs)

The site is subject to Federal Effluent Limitation Guidelines (ELGs) under 40 CFR 432 (Meat and Poultry Products) subparts G (Sausage and Luncheon Meats Processors), H (Ham Processors), and L (Poultry Further Processors) and must achieve the limits below. Some limits in the ELG are determined through production data of each subpart. The limits were derived using the building block approach, taking in consideration each subpart that applies to the facility.

Subpart G (Sausage and Luncheon Meats Processors) Effluent Limitations are displayed below in Table 1.

Parameter	Maximum Daily	Maximum Monthly Average		
BOD₅	0.56 <sup>(1)</sup>	0.28 <sup>(1)</sup>		
Fecal Coliform	400 CFU / 100 mL			
Oil & Grease	0.20 <sup>(1)</sup>	0.10 <sup>(1)</sup>		
Total Suspended Solids	0.68 <sup>(1)</sup>	0.34 <sup>(1)</sup>		
Ammonia (as N)	8.0 mg/L	4.0 mg/L		

#### Table 1: 40 CFR 432 Subpart G Effluent Limitations

<sup>1</sup>Pounds per 1000 lbs (or g/kg) of finished product.

After applying the production data from the permit application, with an average annual production of 3,134 lb/day from the past five years, the effluent limits from Subpart G are below in Table 2.

#### Table 2: 40 CFR 432 Subpart G Effluent Limitations with Production

Parameter	Maximum Daily	Maximum Monthly Average
BOD₅	1.76 lbs/day	0.878 lbs/day
Fecal Coliform	400 CFU / 100 mL	
Oil & Grease	0.627 lbs/day	0.313 lbs/day
Total Suspended Solids	2.13 lbs/day	1.07 lbs/day
Ammonia (as N)	8.0 mg/L	4.0 mg/L

Subpart H (Ham Processors) Effluent Limitations are displayed below in Table 3.

#### Table 3: 40 CFR 432 Subpart H Effluent Limitations

Parameter	Maximum Daily	Maximum Monthly Average
BOD <sub>5</sub>	0.62 <sup>(1)</sup>	0.31 <sup>(1)</sup>
Fecal Coliform	400 CFU / 100 mL	
Oil & Grease	0.22 <sup>(1)</sup>	0.11 <sup>(1)</sup>
Total Suspended Solids	0.74 <sup>(1)</sup>	0.37 <sup>(1)</sup>
Ammonia (as N)	8.0 mg/L	4.0 mg/L

<sup>1</sup>Pounds per 1000 lbs (or g/kg) of finished product.

After applying the production data from the permit application, with an average annual production of 1,875 lb/day from the past five years, the effluent limits from Subpart H are below in Table 4.

Parameter	Maximum Daily	Maximum Monthly Average
BOD <sub>5</sub>	1.16 lbs/day	0.581 lbs/day
Fecal Coliform	400 CFU / 100 mL	
Oil & Grease	0.413 lbs/day	0.206 lbs/day
Total Suspended Solids	1.39 lbs/day	0.964 lbs/day
Ammonia (as N)	8.0 mg/L	4.0 mg/L

Table 4: 40 CFR 432 Subpart H Effluent Limitations with Productic
---

Subpart L (Poultry Further Processing) Effluent Limitations are displayed below in Table 5.

Table 5: 40 CF	R 432 Subpart L	Effluent Limitations
----------------	-----------------	----------------------

	-	
Parameter	Maximum Daily	Maximum Monthly Average
BOD <sub>5</sub>	26 mg/L	16 mg/L
Fecal Coliform	400 CFU / 100 mL	
Oil & Grease	14 mg/L	8.0 mg/L
Total Suspended Solids	30 mg/L	20 mg/L
Ammonia (as N)	8.0 mg/L	4.0 mg/L

The concentrations of BOD<sub>5</sub>. Oil & Grease, and Total Suspended Solids will need to be converted to loadings, so all of the subpart loadings can be added together to get the total loading for the facility. The concentration for Ammonia and Fecal Coliform are the same in all of the subcategory, therefore these parameters will not need to be evaluated per production data to determine the limitations. Loading rates for Subpart L are below in Table 6. The loading rates were determined by taking the flow (0.000418MGD) multiplying it by the concentration (mg/L) and by a conversion factor (8.34 lbs/gal). The flow of the specific waste stream was determined by using the industry average wastewater generation value of 315.7gal/1000 lbs of finish product and the site specific average annual poultry production value of 1,323 lbs/ day.

#### Table 6: 40 CFR 432 Subpart L Effluent Limitations/ site specific loading

Parameter	Maximum Daily	Maximum Monthly Average
BOD₅	0.091 lbs/day	0.056 lbs/day
Oil & Grease	0.049 lbs/day	0.028 lbs/day
Total Suspended Solids	0.105 lbs/day	0.070 lbs/day

The summation of effluent limitations from the ELG subparts are displayed below in Table 7.

#### Table 7: 40 CFR 432 Final Effluent Limitations

	Mass Based (Ib	s/day)
Parameter	Maximum Daily	Maximum Monthly Average
BOD <sub>5</sub>	3.0	1.5
Fecal Coliform	400 CFU / 100 mL *	-
Oil & Grease	1.1	0.55
Total Suspended Solids	3.6	2.10
Ammonia (as N)	8.0 (mg/L)	4.0 (mg/L)

\*Maximum of 400 most probable number (MPN) or colony forming units (CFU) per 100 mL at any time.

Regulatory Effluent Standards and Monitoring Requirements

Flow monitoring is required pursuant to 25 Pa. Code § 92a.61(d)(1)

As oil-bearing wastewaters, discharges from Outfall 001 are subject to effluent standards for oil and grease from 25 Pa. Code § 95.2(2)

Waste may not contain more than 7 milligrams per liter of dissolved iron per 25 Pa. Code § 95.2(4).

Effluent standards for pH are also imposed on industrial wastes by 25 Pa. Code § 95.2(1) as indicated in Table 8.

#### NPDES Permit Fact Sheet Green Valley Packing

Pennsylvania regulations at 25 Pa. Code § 92a.48(b) require the imposition of technology-based TRC limits for facilities that use chlorination and that are not already subject to TRC limits based on applicable federal ELGs or a facility-specific BPJ evaluation

Table 8: Re	gulatory Effluent	t Standards and Monitoring	g Requirements	s for Outfall 001
-------------	-------------------	----------------------------	----------------	-------------------

Parameter	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum	Units
Flow	Monitor	and Report	MGD
Iron, Dissolved	-	7.0	mg/L
Oil & Grease	15	30	mg/L
Total Residual Chlorine (TRC)	0.5	1.0	mg/L
рН	Not less than 6.0	) nor greater than 9.0	S.U.

#### Sewage Minimum Technology and BPJ Standards

The following are minimum technology based and BPJ standards for sewage discharges.

Table 9. Stallualu	Sewaye Tech Lilli	15			
Parameter	Minimum	Average Monthly	Average Weekly	ΙΜΑΧ	Basis
Flow (MGD)	XXX	Report	Report Max Daily	XXX	92a.27, 92a.61
BOD5 (mg/L)	XXX	30	45*	60	92a.47
TSS (mg/L)	XXX	30	45*	60	92a.47
TRC (mg/L)	XXX	0.5	XXX	1.6	92a.47 & 48
NH3-N (mg/L)	XXX	25	XXX	50	BPJ
D.O. (mg/L)	4.0	XXX	XXX	XXX	BPJ
pH (SU)	6.0	XXX	XXX	9.0	92a.47, 95.2
Total N (mg/L)	XXX	Report	XXX	XXX	92a.61
Total P (mg/L)	XXX	Report	XXX	XXX	92a.61
Fecal Coliform	XXX	200 Geo Mean	XXX	1,000	92a.47
(no./100 ml)					
Fecal Coliform	XXX	2,000 Geo Mean	XXX	10,000	92a.47
Oct-April					
(no./100 ml)					
E. Coli	XXX	XXX	XXX	Report	92a.61
(No./100mL)					

#### Table 9. Standard Sewage Tech Limits

\*Weekly average limits for CBOD5 and TSS will not be imposed where the sampling frequency is less than 1/week.

#### Water Quality-Based Limitations

#### Toxics Management Spread Sheet

The Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) has developed the DEP Toxics Management Spreadsheet ("TMS") to facilitate calculations necessary for completing a reasonable potential (RP) analysis and determining water quality-based effluent limitations for discharges of toxic pollutants. The Toxics Management Spreadsheet is a macro-enabled Excel binary file that combines the functions of the PENTOXSD model and the Toxics Screening Analysis spreadsheet to evaluate the reasonable potential for discharges to cause excursions above water quality standards and to determine WQBELs. The Toxics Management Spread Sheet is a single discharge, mass-balance water guality calculation spread sheet that includes consideration for mixing, first-order decay and other factors to determine recommended WQBELs for toxic substances and several non-toxic substances. Required input data including stream code, river mile index. elevation, drainage area, discharge name, NPDES permit number, discharge flow rate and the discharge concentrations for parameters in the permit application or in DMRs, which are entered into the spread sheet to establish site-specific discharge conditions. Other data such as low flow yield, reach dimensions and partial mix factors may also be entered to further characterize the conditions of the discharge and receiving water. Discharge concentrations for the parameters are chosen to represent the "worst case" quality of the discharge (i.e., maximum reported discharge concentrations). The spread sheet then evaluates each parameter by computing a Waste Load Allocation for each applicable criterion, determining a recommended maximum WQBEL and comparing that recommended WQBEL with the input discharge concentration to determine which is more stringent. Based on this evaluation, the Toxics Management Spread sheet recommends average monthly and maximum daily WQBELs.

#### Reasonable Potential Analysis and WQBEL Development for Outfall 001

Discharges from Outfall 001 are evaluated based on concentrations reported on the application and on DMRs; data from those sources are entered into the Toxics Management Spread Sheet. The maximum reported value of the parameters from the application form or from previous DMRs is used as the input concentration in the Toxics Management Spread Sheet. All toxic pollutants whose maximum concentrations, as reported in the permit application or on DMRs, are greater than the most stringent applicable water quality criterion are considered to be pollutants of concern. [This includes pollutants reported as "Not Detectable" or as "<MDL" where the method detection limit for the analytical method used by the applicant is greater than the most stringent water quality criterion]. The Toxics Management Spread Sheet is run with the discharge and receiving stream characteristics shown in Table 10; the characteristics used for the model is from the mouth of the tributary that Outfall 001 discharges to due to the outfall being 0.03 miles from the mouth of the stream and the mixing would occur in the mouth of the stream. For IW discharges, the design flow used in modeling is the average flow during production or operation taken from the permit application. Pollutants for which water quality standards have not been promulgated (e.g., TSS, oil and grease) are excluded from the analysis. All the parameters are evaluated using the model to determine the water quality-based effluent limits applicable to the discharge and the receiving stream. The spreadsheet then compares the reported discharge concentrations to the calculated water quality-based effluent limitations to determine if a reasonable potential exists to exceed the calculated WQBELs. Effluent limitations are established in the draft permit where a pollutant's maximum reported discharge concentration equals or exceeds 50% of the WQBEL. For non-conservative pollutants, monitoring requirements are established where the maximum reported concentration is between 25% - 50% of the WQBEL. For conservative pollutants, monitoring requirements are established where the maximum reported concentration is between 10% - 50% of the WQBEL. The information described above including the maximum reported discharge concentrations, the most stringent water guality criteria, the pollutant-ofconcern (reasonable potential) determinations, the calculated WQBELs, and the WQBEL/monitoring recommendations are displayed in the Toxics Management Spread Sheet in Attachment C of this Fact Sheet. The Toxics Management Spread Sheet did not recommend any WQBELs for Outfall 001.

Parameter	Value
River Mile Index	0.97
Discharge Flow (MGD)	0.004
Basin/Stream Character	ristics
Parameter	Value
Area in Square Miles	11.7
Q <sub>7-10</sub> (cfs)	0.172
Low-flow yield (cfs/mi <sup>2</sup> )	0.015
Elevation (ft)	997
Slope	0.0001

#### Table 10: TMS Inputs

#### WQM 7.0 Water Quality Modeling Program

WQM 7.0 is a water quality modeling program for Windows that determines wasteload allocations and effluent limitations for carbonaceous biochemical oxygen demand (CBOD5), ammonia nitrogen (NH3-N), and dissolved oxygen (DO) for single and multiple point-source discharge scenarios. To accomplish this, the model simulates two basic processes. In the NH3-N module, the model simulates the mixing and degradation of NH3-N in the stream and compares calculated instream NH3-N concentrations to NH3-N water quality criteria. In the DO module the model simulates the mixing and consumption of DO in the stream due to the degradation of CBOD5 and NH3-N and compares calculated instream DO concentrations to DO water quality criteria. WQM 7.0 then determines the highest pollutant loadings that the stream can assimilate while still meeting water quality criteria under design conditions.

The IW Effluent Limit SOP recommends that permit writers run DEP's WQM 7.0 Model "if the maximum BOD5/CBOD5 concentration exceeds 30/25 mg/L in the permit application or DMRs or if the application manager believes that effluent NH3-N concentrations may need to be evaluated." BOD5 concentrations at Outfall 001 are not significant with a maximum concentration of only 9.28 mg/L reported on the application. However, WQM 7.0 will be run for Outfall 001 because ammonia-nitrogen is a pollutant of concern at Meat Packing facilities.

The WQM-7 model is run with the discharge and receiving stream characteristics shown in Table 10 above, (the characteristics used for the WQM-7 model is from the mouth of the tributary that Outfall 001 discharges to due to the outfall being 0.03 miles from the mouth of the stream and the mixing would occur in the mouth of the stream).

The modeling results (see Attachment C) indicate that no WQBELs are required for ammonia-nitrogen at Outfall 001.

#### **Total Residual Chlorine**

To determine if WQBELs are required for discharges containing total residual chlorine (TRC), a discharge evaluation is performed using a DEP program called TRC\_CALC created with Microsoft Excel for Windows. TRC\_CALC calculates TRC Waste Load Allocations (WLAs) through the application of a mass balance model which considers TRC losses due to stream and discharge chlorine demands and first-order chlorine decay. Input values for the program include flow rates and chlorine demands for the receiving stream and the discharge, the number of samples taken per month, coefficients of TRC variability, partial mix factors, and an optional factor of safety. The mass balance model calculates WLAs for acute and chronic criteria that are then converted to long term averages using calculated multipliers. The multipliers are functions of the number of samples taken per month and the TRC variability coefficients (normally kept at default values unless site specific information is available). The most stringent limitation between the acute and chronic long-term averages is converted to an average monthly limit for comparison to the BAT average monthly limit of 0.5 mg/l from 25 Pa. Code § 92a.48(b)(2). The more stringent of these average monthly TRC limitations is imposed in the permit. The results of the modeling, included in Attachment D, indicate that no WQBELs limits are required for TRC.

#### Anti-Degradation

Antidegradation regulations under Chapter 93.4c(a)(I)(i) require dischargers to protect the existing use of receiving waters. Chapter 93.4c(b) requires dischargers to consider non-discharge alternatives, public participation and social/economic justification when proposing new, additional or increased discharges to high quality or exceptional value streams. The discharges from Green Valley Packaging existed prior to the receiving stream classification as high-quality water and Green Valley Packaging is not proposing any new, additional or increases to its discharge to the receiving stream; therefore, the requirements under 25 PA Code Chapter 93.4c(b) do not need to be considered.

#### Anti-Backsliding

Previous limits can be used pursuant to EPA's anti-backsliding regulation, 40 CFR 122.44(l) and are displayed below in Table 11. The loading limits for BOD<sub>5-Day</sub> and TSS were calculate in previous permits by converting the concentration limits to loading limits using a discharge flow of 0.009 MGD and a conversion factor of 8.34. Based on DMR data, the discharge flow from Outfall 001 still has the potential to discharge at a rate of 0.009 MGD; therefore, these loading limits will remain in the permit renewal. The limitations for Fecal Coliform will be revised to be a daily maximum of 400 at all times per the ELG.

Deremeter	Load ( <sup>lbs</sup> / <sub>day</sub> )		Conc	entration ( <sup>mg</sup>	Monitoring	Samula	
Parameter	Monthly Avg.	Daily Max.	Monthly Avg.	Daily Max.	Inst. Max.	Frequency	Type
Flow (MGD)	Monitor	and Report	-	-	-	2/Month	Measure
BOD <sub>5-Day</sub>	0.75	1.5	10	20	-	2/Month	Grab
TSS	0.75	1.5	10	20	-	2/Month	Grab
Fecal Coliform							
5/1 – 9/30	-	-	200	400	-	2/Month	Grab
10/1 – 4/30	-	-	400	1000	-		
Oil & Grease	0.88	1.76	10	20	-	2/Month	Grab
Iron, Dissolved				7.0		2/Month	Grab
TRC	-	-	0.5	1.6	3.3	2/Month	Grab

#### Table 11: Current Permit Effluent Limitations at Outfall 001

Ammonia (as N)						2/Month	
5/1 – 10/31	-	-	1.5	3.0	-		Grab
11/1 – 4/30	-	-	4.0	8.0	-		
pH (S.U.)		Not less than 6	.0 nor greater th	an 9.0		2/Month	Grab

#### Final effluent limitations

The final effluent limitations for Outfall 001 are displayed in Table 12 below, they are the most stringent values from the above effluent limitation development.

Denemator	Load (	<sup>lbs</sup> / <sub>day</sub> )	0	Concentrati	on ( <sup>mg</sup> / <sub>L</sub> )		Monitoring	
Parameter	Monthly Avg.	Daily Max.	Inst. Minimum	Monthly Avg.	Daily Max.	Inst. Max.	Frequency	Sample Type
Flow (MGD)	Monitor ar	nd Report	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	2/Month	Measure
BOD <sub>5-Day</sub>	0.75	1.5	XXX	10	20	XXX	2/Month	Grab
TSS	0.75	1.5	XXX	10	20	XXX	2/Month	Grab
Fecal Coliform (No./100mL) 5/1 – 9/30 10/1 – 4/30	xxx xxx	XXX XXX	xxx xxx	200 400	400 400	xxx xxx	2/Month	Grab
Oil & Grease	0.55	1.1	XXX	10	20	XXX	2/Month	Grab
Iron, Dissolved	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	7.0	XXX	2/Month	Grab
TRC	XXX	XXX	XXX	0.5	1.0	1.6	2/Month	Grab
Ammonia (as N) 5/1 – 10/31 11/1 – 4/30	XXX XXX	XXX XXX	xxx xxx	1.5 4.0	3.0 8.0	xxx xxx	2/Month	Grab
D.O.	XXX	XXX	4.0	XXX	XXX	XXX	2/Month	Grab
Total N	XXX	XXX	XXX	Report	XXX	XXX	2/Month	Grab
Total P	XXX	XXX	XXX	Report	XXX	XXX	2/Month	Grab
E. Coli (No./100mL)	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	Report	1/Year	Grab
рН	XXX	XXX	6.0	XXX	XXX	9.0	2/Month	Grab

#### Table 12: Proposed Permit Effluent Limitations at Outfall 001

Tools and References Used to Develop Permit
WOM for Windows Model (see Attachment <b>C</b> )
Toxics Management Spreadsbeet (see Attachment B)
TBC Model Spreadshoet (see Attachment <b>B</b> )
Temperature Medel Spreadsheet (see Attachment D)
Weter Quelity Toyles Management Strategy, 201 0100 002, 4/00
Technical Cuidence for the Development and Specification of Effluent Limitations, 202,0400,004, 40/07
Pelieu fer Dermitting Curfage Mater Diversione, 200,0000,002, 2/00
Policy for Permitting Surface Water Diversions, 362-2000-003, 3/98.
Policy for Conducting Technical Reviews of Minor NPDES Renewal Applications, 362-2000-008, 11/96.
Lechnology-Based Control Requirements for Water Treatment Plant Wastes, 362-2183-003, 10/97.
12/97.
Pennsylvania CSO Policy, 385-2000-011, 9/08.
Water Quality Antidegradation Implementation Guidance, 391-0300-002, 11/03.
Implementation Guidance Evaluation & Process Thermal Discharge (316(a)) Federal Water Pollution Act, 391-2000-002, 4/97.
Determining Water Quality-Based Effluent Limits, 391-2000-003, 12/97.
Implementation Guidance Design Conditions, 391-2000-006, 9/97.
Technical Reference Guide (TRG) WQM 7.0 for Windows, Wasteload Allocation Program for Dissolved Oxygen and Ammonia Nitrogen, Version 1.0, 391-2000-007, 6/2004.
Interim Method for the Sampling and Analysis of Osmotic Pressure on Streams, Brines, and Industrial Discharges, 391-2000-008, 10/1997.
Implementation Guidance for Section 95.6 Management of Point Source Phosphorus Discharges to Lakes, Ponds, and Impoundments, 391-2000-010, 3/99.
Technical Reference Guide (TRG) PENTOXSD for Windows, PA Single Discharge Wasteload Allocation Program for Toxics, Version 2.0, 391-2000-011, 5/2004.
Implementation Guidance for Section 93.7 Ammonia Criteria, 391-2000-013, 11/97.
Policy and Procedure for Evaluating Wastewater Discharges to Intermittent and Ephemeral Streams, Drainage Channels and Swales, and Storm Sewers, 391-2000-014, 4/2008.
Implementation Guidance Total Residual Chlorine (TRC) Regulation, 391-2000-015, 11/1994.
Implementation Guidance for Temperature Criteria, 391-2000-017, 4/09.
Implementation Guidance for Section 95.9 Phosphorus Discharges to Free Flowing Streams, 391-2000-018, 10/97.
Implementation Guidance for Application of Section 93.5(e) for Potable Water Supply Protection Total Dissolved Solids, Nitrite-Nitrate, Non-Priority Pollutant Phenolics and Fluorides, 391-2000-019, 10/97.
Field Data Collection and Evaluation Protocol for Determining Stream and Point Source Discharge Design Hardness, 391-2000-021, 3/99.
Implementation Guidance for the Determination and Use of Background/Ambient Water Quality in the Determination of Wasteload Allocations and NPDES Effluent Limitations for Toxic Substances, 391-2000-022, 3/1999.
Design Stream Flows, 391-2000-023, 9/98.
Field Data Collection and Evaluation Protocol for Deriving Daily and Hourly Discharge Coefficients of Variation (CV) and Other Discharge Characteristics, 391-2000-024, 10/98.
Evaluations of Phosphorus Discharges to Lakes, Ponds and Impoundments, 391-3200-013, 6/97.
Pennsylvania's Chesapeake Bay Tributary Strategy Implementation Plan for NPDES Permitting, 4/07.
SOP:
Other:

#### **Attachments**

Attachment A: USGS Stream Stats Data for Outfall 001

Attachment B: Toxics Management Spreadsheet Results

Attachment C: WQM 7.0 Model Results

Attachment D: TRC Model Results

Attachment A:

USGS Stream Stats Data for Outfall 001

#### StreamStats Report

## Region (D: PA Workspace (D: PA20230328110408684000 Clicked Point (Latitude, Longitude): 40.14744, -80.35673 Time: 2023-03-28 07:04:35 -0400



#### Collapse All

# Parameter Code Parameter Description Value Unit DRNAREA Area that drains to a point on a stream 5.98 square miles ELEV Mean Basin Elevation 1233 feet

#### > Low-Flow Statistics

#### Low-Flow Statistics Parameters [Low Flow Region 4]

Parameter Code	Parameter Name	Value	Units	Min Limit	Max Limit
DRNAREA	Drainage Area	5.98	square miles	2.26	1400
ELEV	Mean Basin Elevation	1233	feet	1050	2580

#### Low-Flow Statistics Flow Report [Low Flow Region 4]

PII: Prediction Interval-Lower, PIu: Prediction Interval-Upper, ASEp: Average Standard Error of Prediction, SE: Standard Error (other -- see report)

Statistic	Value	Unit	SE	ASEp	
7 Day 2 Year Low Flow	0.22	ft^3/s	43	43	
30 Day 2 Year Low Flow	0.392	ft^3/s	38	38	
7 Day 10 Year Low Flow	0.0757	ft^3/s	66	66	
30 Day 10 Year Low Flow	0.142	ft^3/s	54	54	
90 Day 10 Year Low Flow	0.268	ft^3/s	41	41	

Low-Flow Statistics Citations

#### NPDES Permit Fact Sheet Green Valley Packing

#### StreamStats Report

Region ID: PA Workspace ID: PA20230328113113829000 Clicked Point (Latitude, Longitude): 40.14722, -80.35722 Time: 2023-03-28 07:31:34 -0400



Collapse All

#### > Basin Characteristics

Parameter Code	Parameter Description	Value	Unit
DRNAREA	Area that drains to a point on a stream	11.7	square miles
ELEV	Mean Basin Elevation	1221	feet

#### > Low-Flow Statistics

Low-Flow Statistics Parameters [Low Flow Region 4]

Parameter Code	Parameter Name	Value	Units	Min Limit	Max Limit
DRNAREA	Drainage Area	11.7	square miles	2.26	1400
ELEV	Mean Basin Elevation	1221	feet	1050	2580

#### Low-Flow Statistics Flow Report [Low Flow Region 4]

PII: Prediction Interval-Lower, PIu: Prediction Interval-Upper, ASEp: Average Standard Error of Prediction, SE: Standard Error (other -- see report)

Statistic	Value	Unit	SE	ASEp	
7 Day 2 Year Low Flow	0.47	ft*3/s	43	43	
30 Day 2 Year Low Flow	0.808	ft*3/s	38	38	
7 Day 10 Year Low Flow	0.172	ft*3/s	66	66	
30 Day 10 Year Low Flow	0.307	ft^3/s	54	54	
90 Day 10 Year Low Flow	0.56	ft^3/s	41	41	

Low-Flow Statistics Citations

Attachment B:

**Toxics Management Spreadsheet Results** 



## **Discharge Information**

Instructions	Disch	arge Stream			
Facility: G	Green	Valley Packing	NPDES Permit No.:	PA0090328	Outfall No.: 001
Evaluation Typ	pe:	Major Sewage / Industrial Waste	Wastewater Descrip	tion: Meat Processin	g Wastewater and Sewage

			Discharge	Characterist	tics			
Design Flow			P	artial Mix Fa	actors (PMF	s)	Complete Mix	x Times (min)
(MGD)*	Hardness (mg/l)*	рн (эо)-	AFC	CFC	THH	CRL	Q <sub>7-10</sub>	Qh
0.004	78.4	7.5						

						0 If le	ft blank	0.5 If le	eft blank	0	) if left blan	k	1 If left blank	
	Discharge Pollutant	Units	Ma	x Discharge Conc	T C	rib onc	Stream Conc	Daily CV	Hourly CV	Strea m CV	Fate Coeff	FOS	Criteri a Mod	Chem Transl
	Total Dissolved Solids (PWS)	mg/L		4820										
5	Chloride (PWS)	mg/L		2493	FF									
Ino	Bromide	mg/L		5.42										
5	Sulfate (PWS)	mg/L		100.9		+	-							
	Fluoride (PWS)	mg/L		0.61			-							

Toxics Management Spreadsheet Version 1.3, March 2021

### pennsylvania DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

## Stream / Surface Water Information

Green Valley Packing, NPDES Permit No. PA0090328, Outfall 001

nstructions Discharge Stream

Receiving Surface Water Name: UNT to Buffalo Creek

No. Reaches to Model: 1

- Statewide Criteria
- Elevation PWS Withdrawal Apply Fish Stream Code\* RMI\* DA (mi<sup>2</sup>)\* Location Slope (ft/ft) (MGD) (ft)\* Criteria\* Point of Discharge 032947 0.97 997 11.7 Yes End of Reach 1 032947 987 12.5 Yes 0.1

O Great Lakes Criteria

ORSANCO Criteria

#### Q 7-10

Location	PMI	LFY	Flow	(cfs)	W/D	Width	Depth	Velocit	Time	Tributa	iry	Stream	n	Analys	is
Location	TSIMI	(cfs/mi <sup>2</sup> )*	Stream	Tributary	Ratio	(ft)	(ft)	y (fps)	(days)	Hardness	pН	Hardness*	pH*	Hardness	pН
Point of Discharge	0.97	0.1	0.172									100	7		
End of Reach 1	0.1	0.1	0.186												

#### Qh

Location	RMI	LFY	Flow	(cfs)	W/D	Width	Depth	Velocit	Time	Tributa	ary	Stream	n	Analys	sis
Location	T SIMI	(cfs/mi <sup>2</sup> )	Stream	Tributary	Ratio	(ft)	(ft)	y (fps)	(days)	Hardness	pН	Hardness	pН	Hardness	pН
Point of Discharge	0.97														
End of Reach 1	0.1														



Toxics Management Spreadsheet Version 1.3, March 2021

Model Results						Green Valle	y Packing, NPDE	S Permit No. P	A0090328, Outfall 001
Instructions Results	RETURN TO		SAVE AS	PDF	PRINT	• • •	ll 🔿 Inputs	) Results	) Limits
<ul> <li>Hydrodynamics</li> <li>Wasteload Allocations</li> </ul>									
AFC CCT	(min): 9.836	8 PMF:	1	Ana	lysis Hardne	ss (mg/l):	99.25	Analysis pH:	7.01
Pollutants	Conc St	tream Trib Conc CV (µg/L)	Fate Coef	WQC (µg/L)	WQ Obj (µg/L)	WLA (µg/L)		Co	mments
Total Dissolved Solids (PWS)	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Chloride (PWS)	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Sulfate (PWS)	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Fluoride (PWS)	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A			
✓ CFC CCT	(min): 9.836	8 PMF:	1	Ana	alysis Hardne	ss (mg/l):	99.25	Analysis pH:	7.01
Pollutants	Conc Si	tream Trib Conc CV (µg/L)	Fate Coef	WQC (µg/L)	WQ Obj (µg/L)	WLA (µg/L)		Co	mments
Total Dissolved Solids (PWS)	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Chloride (PWS)	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Sulfate (PWS)	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Fluoride (PWS)	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A			
<i>⊡ тнн</i> сст	(min): 9.836	8 PMF:	1	Ana	alysis Hardne	ss (mg/l):	N/A	Analysis pH:	N/A
Pollutants	Conc Steam	tream Trib Conc CV (µg/L)	Fate Coef	WQC (µg/L)	WQ Obj (µg/L)	WLA (µg/L)		Co	mments
Total Dissolved Solids (PWS)	0	0	0	500,000	500,000	N/A			
Chloride (PWS)	0	0	0	250,000	250,000	N/A			
Sulfate (PWS)	0	0	0	250,000	250,000	N/A			
Fluoride (PWS)	0	0	0	2,000	2,000	N/A			
CRL CCT	(min): 2.459	PMF:	1	Ana	alysis Hardne	ss (mg/l):	N/A	Analysis pH:	N/A

#### NPDES Permit No. PA0090328

#### NPDES Permit Fact Sheet Green Valley Packing

Pollutants	Conc (uo/L)	Stream CV	Trib Conc (µg/L)	Fate Coef	WQC (µg/L)	WQ Obj (µg/L)	WLA (µg/L)	Comments
Total Dissolved Solids (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Chloride (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Sulfate (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Fluoride (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

#### Recommended WQBELs & Monitoring Requirements

No. Samples/Month: 4

	Mass	Limits		Concentra	tion Limits				
Pollutants	AML (lbs/day)	MDL (lbs/day)	AML	MDL	IMAX	Units	Governing WQBEL	WQBEL Basis	Comments

#### Other Pollutants without Limits or Monitoring

The following pollutants do not require effluent limits or monitoring based on water quality because reasonable potential to exceed water quality criteria was not determined and the discharge concentration was less than thresholds for monitoring, or the pollutant was not detected and a sufficiently sensitive analytical method was used (e.g., <= Target QL).

Pollutants	Governing WQBEL	Units	Comments
Total Dissolved Solids (PWS)	N/A	N/A	PWS Not Applicable
Chloride (PWS)	N/A	N/A	PWS Not Applicable
Bromide	N/A	N/A	No WQS
Sulfate (PWS)	N/A	N/A	PWS Not Applicable
Fluoride (PWS)	N/A	N/A	PWS Not Applicable

Attachment C:

WQM 7.0 Model Results

(Summer)

#### Input Data WQM 7.0

	SWF Basir	9 Strea	am le	Stre	am Name		RMI	Elevati (ft)	ion Drai Ar (sq	nage rea I mi)	Slope (ft/ft)	PWS Withdrawal (mgd)	Apply FC
	20E	329	947 Trib 33	2947 to Bu	uffalo Creek		0.97	0 99	7.00	11.70	0.00000	0.00	V
					St	ream Dat	a						
Design Cond.	LFY (of cm)	Trib Flow	Stream Flow	Rch Trav Time (dowr)	Rch Velocity	WD Ratio	Rch Width	Rch Depth	<u>Tribu</u> Temp	<u>itary</u> pH	Temp	Stream pH	
	(cisiii)	(cis)	(cis)	(uays)	((ps)		(14)	(11)	(0)		(0)		
Q7-10 Q1-10 Q30-10	0.015	0.17 0.00 0.00	0.17 0.00 0.00	0.000 0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000	0.0	0.00	0.0p	25.00	7.00	0 0	.00 0.0	D
					Di	scharge [	Data						
			Name	Per	mit Number	Existing Disc Flow	Permitte Disc Flow	d Design Disc Flow	Reserve Factor	Disc Temp	Dis Dis	c I	
						(mgd)	(mgd)	(mgd)		(°C)			
		Gree	n Valley	PAG	090328	0.0040	0.000	0.000	0.000	20	.00	7.00	
					Pa	rameter [	Data						
						Dis	sc Tr onc Co	rib Stre onc Co	eam Fa	ite pef			

Name	Permit Number	Existing Disc Flow (mgd)	Permitte Disc Flow (mgd)	d Des Dis Flo (mg	ign sc Res ow Fa gd)	serve actor	Disc Temp (°C)	pH
Green Valley	PA0090328	0.0040	0.000	0.0	0000	0.000	20.00	7.00
	Pa	rameter D	ata					
		Dis Co	ic T inc Ci	rib onc	Stream Conc	Fate Coef		
	Parameter Name	(mg	g/L) (m	g/L)	(mg/L)	(1/days	)	
CBOD5		2	5.00	2.00	0.00	) 1.5	0	
Dissolved	l Oxygen		4.00	8.24	0.00	0.0	0	
NH3-N		2	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.7	0	

	SWF Basi	o Strea n Coo	am le	Stre	eam Name		RMI	Eleva (ft	ition )	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Slope (ft/ft)	PWS Withdrawal (mgd)	Apply FC
	20E	32	947 Trib 33	2947 to B	uffalo Creek		0.1	00 9	87.00	12.70	0.00000	0.00	
					St	ream Dat	a						
Design Cond.	LFY	Trib Flow	Stream Flow	Rch Trav Time	Rch Velocity	WD Ratio	Rch Width	Rch Depth	Tem	T <u>ributary</u> p pH	Tem	<u>Stream</u> p pH	
	(cfsm)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(days)	(fps)		(ft)	(ft)	(°C)		(°C)	1	
Q7-10 Q1-10 Q30-10	0.015	0.18 0.00 0.00	0.18 0.00 0.00	0.000 0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000	0.0	0.00	0.00	25	.00 7.0	0 0	).00 0.0	0
					Di	ischarge l	Data						
			Name	Per	mit Number	Existing Disc r Flow (mgd)	Permitt Disc Flow (mgd	ed Design Disc Flow ) (mgd)	Rese Fac	Dise enve Tem tor (°C)	c Dis p pl )	ic H	
						0.000	0.00	00.000	0 0	.000 2	5.00	7.00	
					Pa	arameter l	Data						
				Paramete	r Name	Di	sc . onc (	Trib St Conc C	ream Conc	Fate Coef			
				raramete	maine	(m	g/L) (r	mg/L) (r	ng/L)	(1/days)			
			CBOD5			:	25.00	2.00	0.00	1.50			
			Dissolved	Oxygen			3.00	8.24	0.00	0.00			

#### Input Data WQM 7.0

## WQM 7.0 Hydrodynamic Outputs

25.00

0.00

0.00

0.70

NH3-N

	SW	P Basin	Strea	m Code			1	Stream	Name			
		20E	3	2947			Trib 32	947 to B	uffalo Cr	eek		
RMI	Stream Flow	PWS With	Net Stream Flow	Disc Analysis Flow	Reach Slope	Depth	Width	W/D Ratio	Velocity	Reach Trav Time	Analysis Temp	Analysis pH
	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(ft/ft)	(ft)	(ft)		(fps)	(days)	(°C)	
Q7-1	0 Flow											
0.970	0.17	0.00	0.17	.0062	0.00218	.417	9.84	23.59	0.04	1.224	24.83	7.00
Q1-1	0 Flow											
0.970	0.11	0.00	0.11	.0062	0.00218	NA	NA	NA	0.03	1.554	24.73	7.00
Q30-	10 Flow											
0.970	0.23	0.00	0.23	.0062	0.00218	NA	NA	NA	0.05	1.036	24.87	7.00

## WQM 7.0 Modeling Specifications

Parameters	Both	Use Inputted Q1-10 and Q30-10 Flows	~
WLA Method	EMPR	Use Inputted W/D Ratio	
Q1-10/Q7-10 Ratio	0.64	Use Inputted Reach Travel Times	
Q30-10/Q7-10 Ratio	1.36	Temperature Adjust Kr	✓
D.O. Saturation	90.00%	Use Balanced Technology	~
D.O. Goal	6		

## WQM 7.0 Wasteload Allocations

	<u>SWP Basin</u> 20E	<u>Strea</u> 3	am Code 2947		<u>St</u> Trib 3294	<u>ream Name</u> 7 to Buffalo (	Creek	
NH3-N	Acute Alloc	ation	s					
RMI	Discharge	Name	Baseline Criterion (mg/L)	Baseline WLA (mg/L)	Multiple Criterion (mg/L)	Multiple WLA (mg/L)	Critical Reach	Percent Reduction
0.9	70 Green Valley	y	11.32	50	) 11.32	50	0	0
NH3-N	Chronic All	ocati	ons					
RMI	Discharge N	lame	Baseline Criterion (mg/L)	Baseline WLA (mg/L)	Multiple Criterion (mg/L)	Multiple WLA (mg/L)	Critical Reach	Percent Reduction
0.9	70 Green Valley	y	1.38	25	5 1.38	25	0	0

#### **Dissolved Oxygen Allocations**

		CBOD5		NH	<u>3-N</u>	Dissolve	l Oxygen	Critical	Percent
RMI	Discharge Name	Baseline (mg/L)	Multiple (mg/L)	Baseline (mg/L)	Multiple (mg/L)	Baseline (mg/L)	Multiple (mg/L)	Reach	Reduction
0.97 (	Green Valley	25	25	25	25	4	4	0	0

SWP Basin	Stream Code			Stream Nam	e	
20E	32947		Trib 3	2947 to Buffa	lo Creek	
RMI	Total Discharge	Flow (mgd	) Anal	ysis Temperat	ure (°C)	Analysis pH
0.970	0.00	4		24.826		7.000
Reach Width (ft)	Reach De	pth (ft)		Reach WDRa	<u>itio</u>	Reach Velocity (fps)
9.836	0.41	7		23.590		0.043
Reach CBOD5 (mg/L)	Reach Kc	(1/days)	<u>R</u>	each NH3-N (I	mg/L)	Reach Kn (1/days)
2.80	0.21	4		0.87		1.015
Reach DO (mg/L)	Reach Kr (	1/days)		Kr Equation	1	Reach DO Goal (mg/L)
8.093	15.01	10		Owens		6
Reach Travel Time (days	<u>s)</u>	Subreach	Results			
1.224	TravTime	CBOD5	NH3-N	D.O.		
	(days)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)		
	0.122	2.71	0.77	7.56		
	0.245	2.62	0.68	7.56		
	0.367	2.54	0.60	7.56		
	0.490	2.46	0.53	7.56		
	0.612	2.38	0.47	7.56		
	0.734	2.30	0.41	7.56		
	0.857	2.23	0.36	7.56		
	0.979	2.15	0.32	7.56		
	1.101	2.09	0.28	7.56		
	1.224	2.02	0.25	7.56		

## WQM 7.0 D.O.Simulation

## WQM 7.0 Effluent Limits

<u>SWP Basin</u> 20E	Stream Code 32947		<u>Stream Name</u> Trib 32947 to Buffal	e o Creek			
Name	Permit Number	Disc Flow (mgd)	Parameter	Effl. Limit 30-day Ave. (mg/L)	Effl. Limit Maximum (mg/L)	Effl. Limit Minimum (mg/L)	
Green Valley	PA0090328	0.004	CBOD5	25			
			NH3-N	25	50		
			Dissolved Oxygen			4	
	SWP Basin 20E Name Green Valley	SWP BasinStream Code20E32947NamePermit NumberGreen ValleyPA0090328	SWP Basin       Stream Code         20E       32947         Name       Permit Number       Disc Flow (mgd)         Green Valley       PA0090328       0.004	SWP Basin       Stream Code       Stream Name         20E       32947       Trib 32947 to Buffal         Name       Permit Number       Disc Flow (mgd)       Parameter         Green Valley       PA0090328       0.004       CBOD5 NH3-N         Dissolved Oxygen       Dissolved Oxygen	SWP Basin 20EStream Code 32947Stream NameNamePermit NumberDisc Flow (mgd)ParameterEffl. Limit 30-day Ave. (mg/L)Green ValleyPA00903280.004CBOD525 NH3-N25 Dissolved Oxygen	SWP Basin 20EStream Code 32947Stream NameNamePermit NumberDisc Flow (mgd)ParameterEffl. Limit 30-day Ave. (mg/L)Effl. Limit Maximum (mg/L)Green ValleyPA00903280.004CBOD525 NH3-N25NH3-N2550Dissolved OxygenDissolved Oxygen	SWP Basin 20EStream Code 32947Stream Name Trib 32947 to Buffalo CreekNamePermit NumberDisc Flow (mgd)ParameterEffl. Limit 30-day Ave. (mg/L)Effl. Limit Maximum 

(Winter)

CBOD5

NH3-N

Dissolved Oxygen

#### Input Data WQM 7.0

	SWF Basi	9 Strea n Coo	im le	Stre	eam Name		RMI	Elevati	on Drai Ai (sq	nage rea   mi)	Slope (ft/ft)	PWS Withdrawa (mgd)	Apply II FC
	20E	329	947 Trib 33	2947 to Bi	uffalo Creek	(	0.97	70 99	7.00	11.70	0.00000	0.	00 🔽
					St	ream Dat	a						
Design Cond.	LFY	Trib Flow	Stream Flow	Rch Trav Time	Rch Velocity	WD Ratio	Rch Width	Rch Depth	<u>Tribu</u> Temp	<u>ıtary</u> pH	Tem	<u>Stream</u> p pH	I
	(cfsm)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(days)	(fps)		(ft)	(ft)	(°C)		(°C)	)	
Q7-10 Q1-10 Q30-10	0.030	0.17 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00	0.000 0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000	0.0	0.00	0.00	5.00	7.0	0 (	0.00 0.	00
					Di	ischarge l	Data						
			Name	Per	mit Numbe	Existing Disc r Flow (mgd)	Permitte Disc Flow (mgd)	ed Design Disc Flow (mgd)	Reserve Factor	Disc Tem (°C)	: Dis p pi	sc H	
		Gree	n Valley	PA	0090328	0.004	0.000	0.0000	0.000	) 15	5.00	7.00	
					Pé	arameter l	Data						
				Paramete	r Name	Di C	sc T onc C	Trib Stre Conc Co	eam Fa onc Co	ite oef			

25.00

4.00

25.00

(mg/L) (mg/L) (mg/L) (1/days)

0.00

0.00

0.00

1.50

0.00

0.70

2.00

12.51

0.00

	SWF Basi	o Strea n Coo	im le	Stre	am Name		RMI	Elevatio (ft)	on Draii Ar (sq	nage rea mi)	Slope (ft/ft)	PWS Withdrawal (mgd)	Apply FC	
	20E	329	947 Trib 32	2947 to Bi	uffalo Creel	k	0.10	0 987	7.00	12.70	0.00000	0.00		
					St	tream Data	a							
Design	LFY	Trib Flow	Stream Flow	Rch Trav Time	Rch Velocity	WD Ratio	Rch Width	Rch Depth	<u>Tribu</u> Temp	i <u>tary</u> pH	<u>s</u> Temp	<u>stream</u> pH		
Conu.	(cfsm)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(days)	(fps)		(ft)	(ft)	(°C)		(°C)			
Q7-10	0.030	0.18	0.00	0.000	0.000	0.0	0.00	0.00	5.00	7.00	) 0.	00 0.0	D	_
Q1-10		0.00	0.00	0.000	0.000									
Q30-10		0.00	0.00	0.000	0.000									
					D	ischarge [	Data							
			Name	Per	mit Numbe	Existing Disc r Flow (mgd)	Permitte Disc Flow (mgd)	d Design Disc Flow (mgd)	Reserve Factor	Disc Temp (°C)	Disc Disc			
						0.000	0.000	0.0000	0.000	25	.00 7	.00		

#### Input Data WQM 7.0

## WQM 7.0 Hydrodynamic Outputs

Parameter Data

Parameter Name

CBOD5

NH3-N

Dissolved Oxygen

Disc

Conc

(mg/L)

25.00

3.00

25.00

Trib

Conc

(mg/L)

2.00

8.24

0.00

Stream

Conc

Fate

Coef

1.50

0.00

0.70

(mg/L) (1/days)

0.00

0.00

0.00

	SW	P Basin	<u>Strea</u>	m Code		Stream Name						
		20E	3:	2947			Trib 32	947 to B	uffalo Cr	eek		
RMI	Stream Flow	PWS With	Net Stream Flow (cfs)	Disc Analysis Flow (cfs)	Reach Slope	Depth (ft)	Width (ft)	W/D Ratio	√elocity	Reach Trav Time (days)	Analysis Temp	Analysis pH
	(03)	(03)	(03)	(03)	(1011)	(11)	(11)		(103)	(uays)	(0)	
Q7-1	0 Flow											
0.970	0.17	0.00	0.17	.0062	0.00218	.417	9.84	23.59	0.04	1.224	5.35	7.00
Q1-1	0 Flow											
0.970	0.11	0.00	0.11	.0062	0.00218	NA	NA	NA	0.03	1.554	5.53	7.00
Q30-	10 Flow											
0.970	0.23	0.00	0.23	.0062	0.00218	NA	NA	NA	0.05	1.036	5.26	7.00

## WQM 7.0 Modeling Specifications

Parameters	Both	Use Inputted Q1-10 and Q30-10 Flows	✓
WLA Method	EMPR	Use Inputted W/D Ratio	
Q1-10/Q7-10 Ratio	0.64	Use Inputted Reach Travel Times	
Q30-10/Q7-10 Ratio	1.36	Temperature Adjust Kr	✓
D.O. Saturation	90.00%	Use Balanced Technology	✓
D.O. Goal	6		

	SWP Basin Str	eam Code		St	ream Name		
	20E	32947		Trib 3294	7 to Buffalo (	Creek	
H3-N	Acute Allocatio	ns					
RMI	Discharge Nam	Baseline e Criterion (mg/L)	Baseline WLA (mg/L)	Multiple Criterion (mg/L)	Multiple WLA (mg/L)	Critical Reach	Percent Reduction
0.97	0 Green Valley	24.1	50	24.1	50	0	0
H3-N	Chronic Allocat	tions					
RMI	Discharge Name	Baseline Criterion (mg/L)	Baseline WLA (mg/L)	Multiple Criterion (mg/L)	Multiple WLA (mg/L)	Critical Reach	Percent Reduction
0.07	0 Green Vallev	4.36	25	4.36	25	0	0

	Discharge Name	CBOD5		NH3-N		Dissolved Oxygen		Oritical	Dereent
RMI		Baseline (mg/L)	Multiple (mg/L)	Baseline (mg/L)	Multiple (mg/L)	Baseline (mg/L)	Multiple (mg/L)	Reach	Reduction
0.97 Green Valley		25	25	25	25	4	4	0	0

<u>SWP Basin</u> St 20E	<u>ream Code</u> 32947		Trib 3	<u>Stream Name</u> 2947 to Buffalo	o Creek	
RMI	Total Discharge	Flow (mgd	) <u>Ana</u> l	ysis Temperatu	ire (⁰C)	Analysis pH
0.970	0.004	4		5.347		7.000
Reach Width (ft)	Reach Depth (ft)			Reach WDRat	Reach Velocity (fps)	
9.836	0.41	7		23.590		0.043
Reach CBOD5 (mg/L)	Reach Kc (	1/days)	<u>R</u>	each NH3-N (m	ig/L)	Reach Kn (1/days)
2.80	0.33	5		0.87		0.227
Reach DO (mg/L)	Reach Kr (	1/days)		Kr Equation		Reach DO Goal (mg/L)
12.214	9.45	7		Owens		6
<u>Reach Travel Time (days)</u> 1.224	TravTime (days) 0.122 0.245 0.367 0.490 0.612 0.734 0.857 0.979 1.101 1.224	Subreach CBOD5 (mg/L) 2.74 2.68 2.63 2.57 2.52 2.47 2.42 2.37 2.32 2.37 2.32 2.27	0.84 0.82 0.80 0.78 0.76 0.74 0.71 0.70 0.68 0.68	D.O. (mg/L) 11.35 11.35 11.35 11.35 11.35 11.35 11.35 11.35 11.35 11.35 11.35		

## WQM 7.0 D.O.Simulation

## WQM 7.0 Effluent Limits

	SWP Basin	Stream Code		Stream Name	<u>)</u>			
	20E	32947		Trib 32947 to Buffal	o Creek			
RMI	Name	Permit Number	Disc Flow (mgd)	Parameter	Effl. Limit 30-day Ave. (mg/L)	Effl. Limit Maximum (mg/L)	Effl. Limit Minimum (mg/L)	
0.970	Green Valle	y PA0090328	0.004	CBOD5	25			
				NH3-N	25	50		
				Dissolved Oxygen			4	

Attachment D:

**TRC Model Results** 

#### **TRC EVALUATION**

0.172	= Q stream (	cfs)	0.5	= CV Daily				
0.004 = Q discharge (MGD)			0.5	= CV Hourly				
4	= no. sample	s	0.995	= AFC_Partial Mix Factor				
0.3	= Chlorine D	emand of Stream	1	= CFC_Partial Mix Factor				
0	= Chlorine D	emand of Discharge	15	= AFC_Criteria Compliance Time (min)				
0.5	= BAT/BPJ V	alue	720	= CFC_Criteria Compliance Time (min)				
	= % Factor of	of Safety (FOS)		=Decay Coefficient (K)				
Source	Reference	AFC Calculations		Reference	CFC Calculations			
TRC	1.3.2.iii	WLA afc =	8.842	1.3.2.iii	WLA cfc = $8.655$			
PENTOXSD TRO	5.1a	LTAMULT afc =	0.373	5.1c	LTAMULT cfc = $0.581$			
PENTOXSD TRO	5.1b	LTA_afc=	3.295	5.1d	$LTA_cfc = 5.032$			
Source		Effluer	nt Limit Calcu	lations				
PENTOXSD TRO	6 5.1f		AML MULT =	1.720				
PENTOXSD TRG	6 5.1g	AVG MON L	IMIT (mg/I) =	0.500	BAT/BPJ			
		INST MAX L	.IMIT (mg/l) =	1.170				
	(		*					
WLA afc	(.019/e(-K^AI	-C_tC)) + [(AFC_YC^QS	\$^.019/Qd^e(-	K^AFC_tC))				
		YC*\QS*XS/Q0)]*(1-F (a) = 40; (1); 0, 000; (1); (1);	05/100)					
		(CVN/2+1))-2.326°LIN((	cvn/2+1)/0.5)					
LIA_arc	wia_aic <sup>®</sup> LTA	MULI_aic						
	( 011/o(-k*Cl		* 011/0d*o(-l	(*CEC_tc))				
	+ Xd + (CE	C_(C) + [(C) C_1C QS C Yc*Os*Xs/Od)]*(1-F	.011/QU e(-1 OS/100)	( CI C_(C) )				
	ITAMULT efe = FXP((0.5*1 N/cvdA2/no. samples+1))-2.326*1 N/cvdA2/no. samples+1)A0.5)							
[TA reference where fet TAMULT efertises the set of t								
AML MULT	EXP(2.326*L	N((cvd^2/no samples	;+1)^0.5)-0.5*	LN(cvd^2/no sa	amples+1))			
AVG MON LIMIT	MIN(BAT BP	J.MIN(LTA afc.LTA cf	c)*AML MUL	T)	1			
INST MAX LIMIT	1.5*((av mo	n limit/AML MULT)/L	TAMULT afc	)́				
	× ((	_ ····		,				