

Northwest Regional Office CLEAN WATER PROGRAM

| Application Type | Renewal |
|------------------|------------|
| Facility Type | Industrial |
| Major / Minor | Minor |

NPDES PERMIT FACT SHEET INDIVIDUAL INDUSTRIAL WASTE (IW) AND IW STORMWATER

| Application No. | PA0097497 |
|------------------|-----------|
| APS ID | 275248 |
| Authorization ID | 1212128 |

| | Applicant and Facility Information | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|---|--|--|
| Applicant Name | | nshannock Township ipal Authority | Facility Name | Sagamore Water Treatment Plant | | |
| Applicant Address | P.O. B | ox 127 | Facility Address | T718 | | |
| | NuMin | e, PA 16244-0127 | _ | Sagamore, PA 16244 | | |
| Applicant Contact | Peter (| Catanese | Facility Contact | Justin Lamison | | |
| Applicant Phone | 724-78 | 3-7609 | Facility Phone | 724-783-7609 | | |
| Client ID | 28690 | | Site ID | 249468 | | |
| SIC Code | 4952 | | Municipality | Cowanshannock Township | | |
| SIC Description | Trans. | & Utilities - Sewerage Systems | County | Armstrong | | |
| Date Application Rece | eived | December 1, 2017 | EPA Waived? | Yes | | |
| Date Application Accepted October 9, 2019 | | If No, Reason | | | | |
| Purpose of Application | 1 | Renewal of NPDES permit for the | discharge of process wa | astewater from the water treatment plant. | | |

Summary of Review

The Department received an NPDES permit renewal application from Cowanshannock Township Municipal Authority on December 1, 2017 for coverage of the discharge from its Sagamore Water Treatment Plant (WTP) in Cowanshannock Township of Armstrong County. The facility is a municipal water treatment plant with an SIC Code 4941 (Water Supply). The current NPDES permit was renewed on June 1, 2013 and expired on May 31, 2018. Water Quality Management (WQM) permit 0373203 was approved in 1973 and transferred to the current owner in 1995.

Sagamore WTP operates as a municipal water treatment plant that treats groundwater to produce potable water for community use. Sagamore WTP's treatment system consists of chemical feed equipment, two greensand pressure filters, and metering equipment. Raw groundwater from wells is treated for iron and manganese removal then disinfected and filtered prior to distribution.

Chemical treatment includes sodium hypochlorite for pre-chlorination and iron/ manganese oxidation, potassium permanganate for oxidation, caustic soda for pH adjustment, and alum for coagulation. The water is then conveyed to two covered concrete reservoirs. Backwash waste from pressure filters flow to a lagoon for sedimentation of total solids. The overflow from the lagoon discharges to Outfall 001.

The facility has one outfall, Outfall 001, which discharges to an Unnamed Tributary to North Branch of Plum Creek, designated in 25 PA Code Chapter 93 as a Cold Water Fishery (CWF). Outfall 001 discharges filter backwash water at a design flow of 0.0036 MGD and maximum flow of 0.005 MGD from the lagoon.

The facility's laboratory did not meet the Department's Target quantification limits (QLs) in its sample analyses. The maximum reported values for Total Antimony, Total Cadmium, Hexavalent Chromium, Total Copper, Total Lead, and Total Silver were each reported as "non-detect" using a QL that failed to achieve the Department's minimum Target QL. Sagamore WTP will be collecting additional samples for the parameters Total Antimony, Total Cadmium, Hexavalent Chromium, Total

| Approve | Deny | Signatures | Date |
|---------|------|--|-------------------|
| Х | | /s/ Lauren Nolfi, E.I.T. / Environmental Engineering Specialist | November 18, 2019 |
| Х | | /s/ Michael E. Fifth, P.E. / Environmental Engineer Manager | November 18, 2019 |

Summary of Review

Copper, Total Lead, and Total Silver and having them analyzed using the Target QLs. If the additional samples indicate that these parameters are not pollutants of concern, those effluent limits may be removed from the final permit.

Public Participation

DEP will publish notice of the receipt of the NPDES permit application and a tentative decision to issue the individual NPDES permit in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin* in accordance with 25 Pa. Code § 92a.82. Upon publication in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*, DEP will accept written comments from interested persons for a 30-day period (which may be extended for one additional 15-day period at DEP's discretion), which will be considered in making a final decision on the application. Any person may request or petition for a public hearing with respect to the application. A public hearing may be held if DEP determines that there is significant public interest in holding a hearing. If a hearing is held, notice of the hearing will be published in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin* at least 30 days prior to the hearing and in at least one newspaper of general circulation within the geographical area of the discharge.

| Outfall No. 00 | 1 | Design Flow (MGD) | 0.0035 |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|
| Latitude 40 | ^o 46' 53" | _ Longitude | -79º 14' 01" |
| Quad Name | 1212 | _ Quad Code | Plumville |
| Wastewater Des | cription: Filter backwash water o | verflow from lagoon. | |
| | Unnamed Tributary to North | | |
| Receiving Water | • | Stream Code | 46550 |
| NHD Com ID | 123851663 | RMI | 0.38 |
| Drainage Area | 0.0802 mi2 | Yield (cfs/mi²) | 0.02731 |
| Q ₇₋₁₀ Flow (cfs) | 0.00219 | Q ₇₋₁₀ Basis | USGS StreamStats |
| Elevation (ft) | 1171 | Slope (ft/ft) | 0.0369 |
| Watershed No. | 17-E | Chapter 93 Class. | CWF |
| Existing Use | | Existing Use Qualifier | |
| Exceptions to Us | e None | Exceptions to Criteria | None |
| Assessment Stat | us <u>Impaired</u> | | |
| Cause(s) of Impa | nirment Organic Enrichment | | |
| Source(s) of Imp | airment On-site treatment syste | ms (septic systems and similar de | centralized systems) |
| TMDL Status | Final – 8/15/2015 | Name Crooked Cre | eek Watershed |
| | | | |
| Nearest Downstr | eam Public Water Supply Intake | Penelec Keystone Station | |
| PWS Waters | Plum Creek | Flow at Intake (cfs) | 2.476 |
| PWS RMI | 0.05 | Distance from Outfall (mi) | 12.30 |

Other Comments:

No changes have been made to Outfall 001 since last permit issuance.

The USGS Stream Stats Data for the drainage area is displayed in Attachment A.

Compliance History

DMR Data for Outfall 001 (from September 1, 2018 to August 31, 2019)

| Parameter | AUG-19 | JUL-19 | JUN-19 | MAY-19 | APR-19 | MAR-19 | FEB-19 | JAN-19 | DEC-18 | NOV-18 | OCT-18 | SEP-18 |
|-----------------------|----------|----------|--------|--------|--------|----------|--------|--------|----------|--------|--------|--------|
| Flow (MGD) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Average Monthly | 0.216 | 0.216 | 0.216 | 0.216 | 0.216 | 0.216 | 0.216 | 0.216 | 0.216 | 0.216 | 0.216 | 0.216 |
| Flow (MGD) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Daily Maximum | 0.288 | 0.288 | 0.288 | 0.288 | 0.288 | 0.288 | 0.288 | 0.288 | 0.288 | 0.288 | 0.288 | 0.288 |
| pH (S.U.) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 7.0 | 7.5 | 7.00 | 7.60 | 7.0 | 7.50 | 7.20 | 6.90 |
| pH (S.U.) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 7.6 | 7.50 | 8.00 | 7.7 | 7.60 | 7.90 | 7.50 |
| TRC (mg/L) | | 0.04 | 0.40 | | 0.50 | | 0 = 0 | 0.40 | | | | 0.04 |
| Average Monthly | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.58 | 0.06 | 0.56 | 0.12 | 0.085 | 0.06 | 0.015 | 0.01 |
| TRC (mg/L) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Instantaneous | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 2.20 | 0.11 | 2.20 | 0.35 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Maximum TSS (mg/L) | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 2.20 | 0.11 | 2.20 | 0.35 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Average Monthly | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 12 | 3 | 11 | 10 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| TSS (mg/L) | 3 | <u> </u> | 3 | 3 | 12 | <u> </u> | 11 | 10 | <u> </u> | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| Instantaneous | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 21 | 3 | 15 | 17 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| Total Aluminum | <u> </u> | | Ŭ | Ŭ | 21 | | 10 | | | Ŭ | Ŭ | ' |
| (mg/L) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Average Monthly | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 |
| Total Aluminum | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (mg/L) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Instantaneous | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 |
| Total Iron (mg/L) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Average Monthly | 0.69 | 0.59 | 0.92 | 0.55 | 0.97 | 0.31 | 3.89 | 0.79 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.26 | 0.26 |
| Total Iron (mg/L) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Instantaneous | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum | 1.14 | 1.13 | 1.65 | 0.79 | 1.34 | 0.53 | 6.35 | 1.47 | 0.53 | 0.73 | 0.35 | 0.33 |
| Total Manganese | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (mg/L) | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Average Monthly | 1.42 | 0.20 | 0.29 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.35 | 0.90 | 0.40 | 0.31 | 0.25 | 0.15 | 0.07 |
| Total Manganese | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (mg/L) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Instantaneous | 2.60 | 0.24 | 0.54 | 0.24 | 0.21 | 0.50 | 4.00 | 0.46 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.46 | 0.00 |
| Maximum | 2.68 | 0.31 | 0.54 | 0.31 | 0.21 | 0.59 | 1.20 | 0.46 | 0.35 | 0.36 | 0.16 | 0.08 |

Compliance History

Effluent Violations for Outfall 001, from: October 1, 2018 To: August 31, 2019

| Parameter | Date | SBC | DMR Value | Units | Limit Value | Units |
|-----------------|----------|--------|-----------|-------|-------------|-------|
| TRC | 04/30/19 | Avg Mo | 0.58 | mg/L | 0.5 | mg/L |
| TRC | 02/28/19 | Avg Mo | 0.56 | mg/L | 0.5 | mg/L |
| TRC | 04/30/19 | IMAX | 2.20 | mg/L | 1.0 | mg/L |
| TRC | 02/28/19 | IMAX | 2.20 | mg/L | 1.0 | mg/L |
| Total Iron | 02/28/19 | Avg Mo | 3.89 | mg/L | 2.0 | mg/L |
| Total Iron | 02/28/19 | IMAX | 6.35 | mg/L | 4.0 | mg/L |
| Total Manganese | 08/31/19 | Avg Mo | 1.42 | mg/L | 1.0 | mg/L |
| Total Manganese | 08/31/19 | IMAX | 2.68 | mg/L | 2.0 | mg/L |

Summary of Inspections: The last inspection conducted by the Department was on May 6, 2015 by Shana Wivell of the NWRO as a compliance evaluation. No violations were noted.

Other Comments:

Monitoring data from the past three years shows 12 effluent violations for the parameters pH, iron, manganese, and total residual chlorine (TRC). Sagamore WTP reported a maximum pH of 9.64 during December 2017, a maximum iron concentration of 23.2 mg/L and a maximum manganese concentration of 2.72 mg/L during January 2018, and a maximum TRC concentration of 2.20 mg/L during August 2019.

The client has no open violations.

| Development of Effluent Limitations | | | | | |
|---|-------------|--|-------------------|--------------|--|
| Outfall No. | 001 | | Design Flow (MGD) | 0.0035 | |
| Latitude | 40° 46' 53" | | Longitude | -79° 14' 01" | |
| Wastewater Description: Filter backwash water overflow from lagoon. | | | | | |

Technology-Based Limitations (TBELs)

Sagamore WTP is not subject to Federal Effluent Limitation Guidelines (ELGs) as the SIC code is not listed under 40 CFR parts 405 through 471.

Regulatory Effluent Standards and Monitoring Requirements

Flow monitoring is required pursuant to 25 Pa. Code § 92a.61(d)(1) as indicated in Table 1.

Effluent standards for pH pursuant to 25 Pa. Code §§ 95.2(1), as indicated in Table 1, are also imposed on all industrial wastes.

Pennsylvania regulations at 25 Pa. Code § 92a.48(b) require the imposition of technology-based TRC limits for facilities that use chlorination and that are not already subject to TRC limits based on applicable federal ELGs or a facility-specific BPJ evaluation as indicated in Table 6.

| Table 1: Regulatory Effluent Standards | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Parameter Monthly Average Daily Maximum IMAX | | | | | | |
| Flow (MGD) | Monitor | | | | | |
| pH (S.U.) | Not less than 6.0 nor greater than 9.0 at all times | | | | | |
| TRC | 0.5 mg/l 1.0 mg/l 1.6 mg/l | | | | | |

Best Practicable Control Technology Currently Achievable (BPT)

BPT for wastewater from treatment of water treatment plant (WTP) sludges and filter backwash is found in DEPs Technology-Based Control Requirements for Water Treatment Plant Wastes Document which recommends effluent limitations be imposed under Best Professional Judgement in accordance with 40 CFR § 125.3, and detailed in Table 2.

| Table 2: BPT Limits for WTP sludge and filter backwash wastewater | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Parameter Monthly Average (mg/L) Daily Maximum (mg/L) | | | | | | | |
| Total Suspended solids | 30.0 | 60.0 | | | | | |
| Total Iron | 2.0 | 4.0 | | | | | |
| Total Aluminum | 4.0 8.0 | | | | | | |
| Total Manganese | 1.0 2.0 | | | | | | |
| Flow (MGD) | Monitor a | Monitor and Report | | | | | |
| pH (S.U.) | Not less than 6.0 nor greater than 9.0 at all times | | | | | | |
| Total Residual Chlorine | 0.5 | 1.0 | | | | | |

Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations (WQBELs)

Toxics Screening Analysis - Procedures for Evaluating Reasonable Potential and Developing WQBELs

DEP's procedures for evaluating reasonable potential are as follows:

1. For IW discharges, the design flow to use in modeling is the average flow during production or operation, and may be taken from the permit application.

NPDES Permit Fact Sheet Cowanshannock Sagamore WTP

- 2. Perform a Toxics Screening Analysis to identify toxic pollutants of concern. All toxic pollutants whose maximum concentrations, as reported in the permit application or on past DMRs, that are greater than the most stringent applicable water quality criterion are evaluated as pollutants of concern. [This includes pollutants reported as "Not Detectable" or as "<MDL" where the method detection limit for the analytical method used by the applicant is greater than the most stringent water quality criterion]. List all toxic pollutants of concern in a Toxics Screening Analysis section of the Fact Sheet (see Attachment C).</p>
- For any outfall with an applicable design flow, perform PENTOXSD modeling for all pollutants of concern. Use the
 maximum reported value from the application form or from DMRs as the input concentration for the PENTOXSD
 model run.
- 4. Compare the actual WQBEL from PENTOXSD with the maximum concentration reported on DMRs or the permit application. Use WQN data or another source to establish the existing or background concentration for naturally occurring pollutants, but generally assume zero background concentration for non-naturally occurring pollutants.
 - Establish limits in the draft permit where the maximum reported concentration equals or exceeds 50% of the WQBEL. Use the average monthly and maximum daily limits for the permit as recommended by PENTOXSD. Establish an IMAX limit at 2.5 times the average monthly limit.
 - For non-conservative pollutants, establish monitoring requirements where the maximum reported concentration is between 25% - 50% of the WQBEL.
 - For conservative pollutants, establish monitoring requirements where the maximum reported concentration is between 10% 50% of the WQBEL.

The information described above including the maximum reported discharge concentrations, the most stringent water quality criteria, the pollutant-of-concern (reasonable potential) determinations, the calculated WQBELs, and the WQBEL/monitoring recommendations are collected on a spreadsheet titled "Toxics Screening Analysis." (Attachment C).

PENTOXSD Water Quality Modeling Program

PENTOXSD Version 2.0 for Windows is a single discharge, mass-balance water quality modeling program that includes consideration for mixing, first-order decay and other factors to determine recommended WQBELs for toxic substances and several non-toxic substances. Required input data including stream code, river mile index, elevation, drainage area, discharge name, NPDES permit number and discharge flow rate are entered into PENTOXSD to establish site-specific discharge conditions. Other data such as low flow yield, reach dimensions and partial mix factors may also be entered to further characterize the conditions of the discharge and receiving water. Pollutants are then selected for analysis based on those present or likely to be present in a discharge at levels that may cause, have the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to excursions above state water quality standards (i.e., a reasonable potential analysis). Discharge concentrations for the selected pollutants are chosen to represent the "worst case" quality of the discharge (i.e., maximum reported discharge concentrations). PENTOXSD then evaluates each pollutant by computing a Waste Load Allocation for each applicable criterion, determining a recommended maximum WQBEL and comparing that recommended WQBEL with the input discharge concentration to determine which is more stringent. Based on this evaluation, PENTOXSD recommends average monthly and maximum daily WQBELs.

Reasonable Potential Analysis and WQBEL Development for Outfall 001

Discharges from Outfall 001 are evaluated based on concentrations reported on the application and on DMRs; data from those sources are used for toxics screening as described above. The PENTOXSD model is run with the discharge and receiving stream characteristics shown in Table 4. Since the nearest downstream public water supply intake is 12.30 miles downstream of Outfall 001, the intake flow was not included in the PENTOXSD model run.

The pollutants selected for analysis include those identified as candidates for modeling by the Toxics Screening Analysis spreadsheet (in accordance with Step 2 of the Toxics Screening Analysis procedure discussed above). Pollutants for which water quality standards have not been promulgated (e.g., TSS, oil and grease) are excluded from the analysis.

Based on the recommendations of the Toxics Screening Analysis, shown in Attachment C, Antimony, Barium, Cadmium, Hexavalent Chromium, Copper, Iron, Dissolved Iron, Lead, Manganese, Nickel, Phenols and Silver were candidates for PENTOXSD modeling. The maximum reported values for Antimony, Cadmium, Hexavalent Chromium, Copper, Lead, Phenols and Silver were each reported as "non-detect" using a quantitation limit (QL) that exceeds the Department's Target QL.

| Table 4: PENTOXSD Inputs | | | | | |
|------------------------------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| Parameter | Value | | | | |
| River Mile Index | 0.38 | | | | |
| Discharge Flow (MGD) | 0.0035 | | | | |
| Basin/Stream Characteristics | | | | | |
| Parameter | Value | | | | |
| Area in Square Miles | 0.0802 | | | | |
| Q ₇₋₁₀ (cfs) | 0.00219 | | | | |
| Low-flow yield (cfs/mi²) | 0.02731 | | | | |
| Elevation (ft) | 1171 | | | | |
| Slope | 0.0369 | | | | |

The WQBELs calculated using PENTOXSD are compared to the maximum reported effluent concentrations as described in the Toxics Screening Analysis section above to evaluate the need to impose WQBELs or monitoring requirements in the permit. Output from the PENTOXSD model runs is included in Attachment D.

Based on PENTOXSD modeling and the Toxics Screening Analysis, WQBELs were to be imposed for the parameter of Antimony, Cadmium, Hexavalent Chromium, Copper, Iron, Dissolved Iron, Lead, Manganese and Silver. Monitoring is to be imposed for the parameters of Barium and Nickel. The recommended effluent limits from PENTOXSD are shown below in Table 5. Sagamore WTP will be collecting additional samples for the parameters of Antimony, Cadmium, Hexavalent Chromium, Copper, Lead and Silver using the Target QLs. If the additional samples indicate that these parameters are not pollutants of concern, those effluent limits may be removed from the final permit.

Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL)

Wastewater discharges from Sagamore WTP are located in the Crooked Creek Watershed, for which the Department has developed a suspended solids TMDL. A TMDL establishes the amount of a pollutant that a water body can assimilate without exceeding the water quality criteria for that pollutant. TMDLs provide the scientific basis for a state to establish water quality-based controls to reduce pollution from both point and non-point sources in order to restore and maintain the quality of the state's water resources (USEPA 1991a). The TMDL was finalized on August 15, 2015 and addresses the impairments caused by suspended solids by establishing waste load allocations (WLAs).

The WLA portion of the TMDL equation is the total loading of a pollutant that is assigned to point sources. Reviewing the Department's permitting files identified 13 mining related NPDES permits and 33 non-mining NPDES permits (stormwater, public and private Sewage Treatment Plants (STP), and industrial discharges) point sources of suspended solids located in the Crooked Creek Watershed.

The Crooked Creek Watershed TMDL identifies Sagamore WTP as a non-mining permit within the Crooked Creek Watershed. The Crooked Creek Watershed TMDL's non-mining WLAs are included in Attachment B. Sagamore WTP is given a suspended solids WLA of 913 lbs/year, as shown below in Table 3. The concentration-based WLA was back-calculated using the equation below:

Flow (MGD) × Concentration
$$\left(\frac{mg}{L}\right)$$
 × 8.34 $\left(\frac{lbs/day}{MGD \times {}^{mg}/_{L}}\right)$ = WLA $\left(\frac{lbs}{day}\right)$

$$[\because 10^6\,{}^{gal}/_{day}\times 10^{-3}\,{}^{gm}/_{L}\times 3.79\,{}^{L}/_{gal}\times 0.0022\,{}^{lbs}/_{gm} = 8.34\,lbs/day]$$

The concentration-based suspended solids WLA for Sagamore WTP is listed below in Table 3. Since the TSS TBEL is more stringent than the calculated concentration-based WLA, the WLA will not be applied.

| Table 3: TMDL WLA | | | | | | |
|------------------------|---|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Donomotor | Mass Units (lbs/yr) Concentrations (mg/L) | | | | | |
| Parameter | Total Annual | Monthly Average | | | | |
| Total Suspended Solids | 913 | 85.9 | | | | |

| Table 5: Outfall 001 Water Quality Based Effluent Limits | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------|-----------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Davamatav | Mass Units (lbs/yr) | Concentrations (µg/L) | | | | | | | |
| Parameter | Total Annual | Monthly Average | Daily Maximum 12.3 Report 0.797 22.8 28.7 3287 657 11.6 2191 | | | | | | |
| Antimony, total | XXX | 7.87 | 12.3 | | | | | | |
| Barium, total | XXX | Report | Report | | | | | | |
| Cadmium, total | XXX | 0.511 | 0.797 | | | | | | |
| Hexavalent Chromium | XXX | 14.6 | 22.8 | | | | | | |
| Copper, total | XXX | 18.4 | 28.7 | | | | | | |
| Iron, total | XXX | 2107 | 3287 | | | | | | |
| Dissolved Iron | XXX | 421 | 657 | | | | | | |
| Lead, total | XXX | 7.43 | 11.6 | | | | | | |
| Manganese, total | XXX | 1404 | 2191 | | | | | | |
| Nickel, total | XXX | Report | Report | | | | | | |
| Silver, total | XXX | 6.77 | 10.6 | | | | | | |
| Total Suspended Solids | 913 | 85.9 mg/L | XXX | | | | | | |

Total Residual Chlorine (TRC)

To determine if WQBELs are required for discharges containing total residual chlorine, a discharge evaluation is performed using a DEP program called TRC_CALC created with Microsoft Excel for Windows. TRC_CALC calculates TRC Waste Load Allocations (WLAs) through the application of a mass balance model which considers TRC losses due to stream and discharge chlorine demands and first-order chlorine decay. Input values for the program include flow rates and chlorine demands for the receiving stream and the discharge, the number of samples taken per month, coefficients of TRC variability, partial mix factors, and an optional factor of safety. The mass balance model calculates WLAs for acute and chronic criteria that are then converted to long term averages using calculated multipliers. The multipliers are functions of the number of samples taken per month and the TRC variability coefficients (normally kept at default values unless site specific information is available). The most stringent limitation between the acute and chronic long-term averages is converted to an average monthly limit for comparison to the BAT average monthly limit of 0.5 mg/l from 25 Pa. Code § 92a.48(b)(2). The more stringent of these average monthly TRC limitations is imposed in the permit. The results of the modeling, included in Attachment E, indicate that WQBELs will be imposed for TRC. The recommended effluent limits for TRC are shown below in Table 6.

| Table 6: TRC WQBELs | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|------------------------|---------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| Parameter | Monthly Average (mg/L) | Daily Maximum | IMAX (mg/L) | | | | |
| Total Residual Chlorine | 0.095 | | 0.223 | | | | |

Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)

Per Policy and Procedure for NPDES Permitting of Discharges of Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) – 25 Pa. Code §95.10 (DEP-ID: 385-2100-002), a monitoring requirement for TDS for any discharge that exceeds 2,000 mg/L TDS should be applied at minimum. The maximum reported TDS concentration at Outfall 001 is 487 mg/L. Since the TDS discharge concentration is below 2,000 mg/L, no monitoring/limit requirements will be applied for TDS or its constituent parameters.

Anti-Backsliding

The effluent limitations and monitoring requirements in Table 7 below are from the current permit, issued on June 1, 2013. The draft permit does not propose any effluent limits that are less stringent than those imposed in the previous permit.

| Table 7: Current Permit Effluent Limitations – Outfall 001 | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|---------------------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Parameter | Average Monthly | Maximum Daily | Units | | | | | | | |
| Flow | Monitor 8 | MGD | | | | | | | | |
| Total Residual Chlorine | 0.5 | 1.0 | mg/L | | | | | | | |
| Total Suspended Solids | 30 | 60 | mg/L | | | | | | | |
| Aluminum, total | 4.0 | 8.0 | mg/L | | | | | | | |
| Iron, total | 2.0 | 4.0 | mg/L | | | | | | | |
| Manganese, total | 1.0 | 2.0 | mg/L | | | | | | | |
| рН | Not less than 6.0 no | or greater than 9.0 | S.U. | | | | | | | |

Compliance Schedule

Whenever the Department proposes the imposition of water quality based effluent limitations on existing sources, the NPDES permit may include a schedule of compliance to achieve the WQBELs. Any compliance schedule contained in an NPDES permit must be an enforceable sequence of actions or operations leading to compliance with the water quality-based effluent limitations (WQBELs). It is the best professional judgement of the permit writer that Sagamore WTP should be allowed a compliance schedule (i.e., as expeditiously as possible), instead of immediate compliance after the permit is issued. The Department has decided to allow a one-year compliance schedule for Total Residual Chlorine and a three-year compliance schedule for the parameters of Dissolved Iron and Total Iron, which is included in Part C of the permit.

One-Year Effluent Limitation Compliance Schedule - TRC Only

- A. The permittee shall achieve compliance with the final Total Residual Chlorine effluent limitations for Outfall 001, as presented in Page 4 of the permit, in accordance with the following schedule.
 - 1. Within 30-days following the Permit Effective Date ("PED"), the permittee shall notify the Department how it intends to comply with the final effluent limitations. If operational changes will be employed, the permittee shall implement the changes as soon as possible in order to meet the final effluent limitations. If wastewater treatment is proposed, the permittee shall proceed to Step 2; otherwise, proceed to Step 3.
 - If a wastewater treatment system will be installed, the permittee shall submit a Water Quality Management (WQM) permit application within 90-days following the PED. Also, within 180 days following the PED (pending Department approval of the WQM permit application), the permittee shall commence construction of the approved treatment system.
 - 3. Within one year following the PED, the permittee shall achieve compliance with the final effluent limitations for Outfall 001.
- II. No later than 14 calendar days following a date identified in the above compliance schedule, the permittee shall submit to DEP a written notice of compliance or non-compliance with the specific schedule requirement. Each notice of non-compliance shall include the following information:
 - A. A short description of the non-compliance.
 - B. A description of any actions taken or proposed by the permittee to comply with the elapsed schedule requirement.
 - C. A description of any factors which tend to explain or mitigate the non-compliance.
 - D. An estimate of the date that compliance with the elapsed schedule requirement will be achieved and an assessment of the probability that the next scheduled requirement will be met on time."

<u>Three-Year Effluent Limitation Compliance Schedule – Dissolved Iron and Total Iron</u>

In accordance with 40 CFR 122.47(a)(3) and PA Code Chapter 92a.51, compliance schedules that are longer than one year in duration must set forth interim requirements and dates for their achievement. In order to grant a compliance schedule in an NPDES Permit, the permitting authority has to make a reasonable finding, adequately

NPDES Permit Fact Sheet Cowanshannock Sagamore WTP

supported by the administrative record and described in the Fact Sheet, that a compliance schedule is "appropriate" and that compliance with the final WQBEL is required "as soon as possible".

Sagamore WTP may be unable to meet the proposed effluent limitations at Outfall 001 for the parameters of Dissolved Iron and Total Iron based on the current lack of installed treatment technologies and the known discharge concentrations of these pollutants. As such, the Department will allow Sagamore WTP to conduct a Toxics Reduction Evaluation (TRE), and if necessary, design and install supplemental treatment solutions. Monitoring for pollutants will be imposed for the first three years of coverage. After three years following the permit effective date, the final permit limits will take effect. The complete requirements imposed under the compliance schedule and the TRE are included in Part C of the NPDES permit.

Permit Language:

I. Schedule of Compliance – Dissolved Iron and Total Iron

A. The permittee shall achieve compliance with final effluent limitations or terminate this discharge in accordance with the following schedule:

| 1. | Provide preliminary source assessment and compliance options | 3 months following permit effective date (PED) |
|----|--|--|
| 2. | Final plan completion and WQM application submission | 1 year following PED |
| 3. | Start construction | 1.5 years following PED |
| 4. | Construction progress report(s) | 2 years following PED |
| 5. | End construction | 2.5 following PED |
| 6. | Compliance with effluent limitations | 3 years following PED |

- B. No later than 14 calendar days following a date identified in the above schedule of compliance, the permittee shall submit to DEP a written notice of compliance or non-compliance with the specific schedule requirement. Each notice of non-compliance shall include the following information:
 - 1. A short description of the non-compliance.
 - 2. A description of any actions taken or proposed by the permittee to comply with the elapsed schedule requirement.
 - 3. A description of any factors which tend to explain or mitigate the non-compliance.
 - 4. An estimate of the date that compliance with the elapsed schedule requirement will be achieved and an assessment of the probability that the next scheduled requirement will be met on time.

Proposed Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements for Outfall 001

Effluent limits applicable at Outfall 001 are the more stringent of TBELs, WQBELs, regulatory effluent standards, and monitoring requirements, as summarized in Table 8. The applicable limits and monitoring requirements provided below are based on those in Tables 1-7 of this Fact Sheet.

| | | Table 8: Pr | onosed Efflu | ent Limits f | or Outfall 001 |] | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Dorometoro | Mass | (lb/day) | opooca Ema | Conce | Monitoring Requirements | | | |
| Parameters | Average Monthly | Daily Maximum | Daily Minimum | Average Monthly | Daily Maximum | Instant. Maximum | Frequency | Sample Type |
| Flow (MGD) | Report | Report | XXX | XXX | XXX | XXX | 2/Month | Measure |
| Total Residual Chlorine (mg/L) Interim ⁽¹⁾ Final ⁽²⁾ | XXX XXX | XXX XXX | XXX XXX | 0.5 0.095 | 1.0 XXX | XXX 0.223 | 2/Month | Grab |
| Total Suspended Solids (mg/L) | XXX | XXX | XXX | 30.0 | 60.0 | XXX | 2/Month | Grab |
| Total Suspended Solids (mg/L) | Report Total Annual (lbs/year) | | XXX | XXX | xxx | XXX | 1/Year | Grab |
| Aluminum, total (mg/L) | XXX | XXX | XXX | 4.0 | 8.0 | XXX | 2/Month | Grab |
| Antimony, total* (µg/L) | XXX | XXX | XXX | 7.87 | 12.3 | XXX | 2/Month | Grab |
| Barium, total (µg/L) | XXX | XXX | XXX | Report | Report | XXX | 2/Month | Grab |
| Cadmium, total* (µg/L) | XXX | XXX | XXX | 0.511 | 0.797 | XXX | 2/Month | Grab |
| Hexavalent Chromium* (μg/L) | XXX | XXX | XXX | 14.6 | 22.8 | XXX | 2/Month | Grab |
| Copper, total* (µg/L) | XXX | XXX | XXX | 18.4 | 28.7 | XXX | 2/Month | Grab |
| Iron, total (mg/L) Interim ⁽³⁾ Final ⁽⁴⁾ | XXX XXX | XXX XXX | XXX XXX | 2.0 2.0 | 4.0 3.29 | XXX XXX | 2/Month | Grab |
| Dissolved Iron (μg/L) Interim ⁽³⁾ Final ⁽⁴⁾ | XXX XXX | XXX XXX | XXX XXX | Report 421.4 | Report 657.4 | XXX XXX | 2/Month | Grab |
| Lead, total* (µg/L) | XXX | XXX | XXX | 7.43 | 11.6 | XXX | 2/Month | Grab |
| Manganese, total (mg/L) | XXX | XXX | XXX | 1.0 | 2.0 | XXX | 2/Month | Grab |
| Nickel, total (µg/L) | XXX | XXX | XXX | Report | Report | XXX | 2/Month | Grab |
| Silver, total* (µg/L) | XXX | XXX | XXX | 6.77 | 10.6 | XXX | 2/Month | Grab |
| pH (S.U.) | XXX | XXX | 6.0 | XXX | 9.0 | XXX | 2/Month | Grab |

^{*}New samples are being collected using Target QLs. Parameters will potentially be removed from the final permit.

(1) PED through 1 year following PED

(2) 1 year following PED through permit expiration date

(3) PED through 3 years following PED

(4) 3 years following PED through permit expiration date

| | Tools and References Used to Develop Permit |
|-------------|--|
| | WOM for Windows Model (occ Attackment |
| \square | WQM for Windows Model (see Attachment D) PENTOXSD for Windows Model (see Attachment D) |
| | TRC Model Spreadsheet (see Attachment E) |
| | |
| | Temperature Model Spreadsheet (see Attachment) |
| | Toxics Screening Analysis Spreadsheet (see Attachment C) |
| | Water Quality Toxics Management Strategy, 361-0100-003, 4/06. |
| | Technical Guidance for the Development and Specification of Effluent Limitations, 362-0400-001, 10/97. |
| | Policy for Permitting Surface Water Diversions, 362-2000-003, 3/98. |
| | Policy for Conducting Technical Reviews of Minor NPDES Renewal Applications, 362-2000-008, 11/96. |
| | Technology-Based Control Requirements for Water Treatment Plant Wastes, 362-2183-003, 10/97. Technical Guidance for Development of NPDES Permit Requirements Steam Electric Industry, 362-2183-004, 12/97. |
| | Pennsylvania CSO Policy, 385-2000-011, 9/08. |
| | Water Quality Antidegradation Implementation Guidance, 391-0300-002, 11/03. |
| | Implementation Guidance Evaluation & Process Thermal Discharge (316(a)) Federal Water Pollution Act, 391-2000-002, 4/97. |
| | Determining Water Quality-Based Effluent Limits, 391-2000-003, 12/97. |
| | Implementation Guidance Design Conditions, 391-2000-006, 9/97. |
| | Technical Reference Guide (TRG) WQM 7.0 for Windows, Wasteload Allocation Program for Dissolved Oxygen and Ammonia Nitrogen, Version 1.0, 391-2000-007, 6/2004. |
| | Interim Method for the Sampling and Analysis of Osmotic Pressure on Streams, Brines, and Industrial Discharges, 391-2000-008, 10/1997. |
| | Implementation Guidance for Section 95.6 Management of Point Source Phosphorus Discharges to Lakes, Ponds, and Impoundments, 391-2000-010, 3/99. |
| | Technical Reference Guide (TRG) PENTOXSD for Windows, PA Single Discharge Wasteload Allocation Program for Toxics, Version 2.0, 391-2000-011, 5/2004. |
| | Implementation Guidance for Section 93.7 Ammonia Criteria, 391-2000-013, 11/97. |
| | Policy and Procedure for Evaluating Wastewater Discharges to Intermittent and Ephemeral Streams, Drainage Channels and Swales, and Storm Sewers, 391-2000-014, 4/2008. |
| \boxtimes | Implementation Guidance Total Residual Chlorine (TRC) Regulation, 391-2000-015, 11/1994. |
| | Implementation Guidance for Temperature Criteria, 391-2000-017, 4/09. |
| | Implementation Guidance for Section 95.9 Phosphorus Discharges to Free Flowing Streams, 391-2000-018, 10/97. |
| | Implementation Guidance for Application of Section 93.5(e) for Potable Water Supply Protection Total Dissolved Solids, Nitrite-Nitrate, Non-Priority Pollutant Phenolics and Fluorides, 391-2000-019, 10/97. |
| | Field Data Collection and Evaluation Protocol for Determining Stream and Point Source Discharge Design Hardness, 391-2000-021, 3/99. |
| | Implementation Guidance for the Determination and Use of Background/Ambient Water Quality in the Determination of Wasteload Allocations and NPDES Effluent Limitations for Toxic Substances, 391-2000-022, 3/1999. |
| | Design Stream Flows, 391-2000-023, 9/98. |
| | Field Data Collection and Evaluation Protocol for Deriving Daily and Hourly Discharge Coefficients of Variation (CV) and Other Discharge Characteristics, 391-2000-024, 10/98. |
| | Evaluations of Phosphorus Discharges to Lakes, Ponds and Impoundments, 391-3200-013, 6/97. |
| | Pennsylvania's Chesapeake Bay Tributary Strategy Implementation Plan for NPDES Permitting, 4/07. |
| | SOP: |
| | Other: |

Attachments

Attachment A: StreamStats Report

Attachment B: Crooked Creek Watershed Suspended Solids TMDL

Attachment C: Toxics Screening Analysis Results for Outfall 001

Attachment D: PENTOXSD Modeling Results for Outfall 001

Attachment E: TRC Modeling Results for Outfall 001

ATTACHMENT A: StreamStats Report

StreamStats Report

Region ID:
Workspace ID:
Clicked Point (Latitude, Longitude):
Time:

PA20191025134932392000
40.78237, -79.23185
2019-10-25 09:49:49 -0400

| Basin Characteristics | | | |
|-----------------------|---|--------|--------------|
| Parameter Code | Parameter Description | Value | Unit |
| DRNAREA | Area that drains to a point on a stream | 0.0802 | square miles |
| ELEV | Mean Basin Elevation | 1267 | feet |
| PRECIP | Mean Annual Precipitation | 43 | inches |

| Parameter Code | Parameter Name | Value | Units | Min Limit | Max Limit |
|----------------|---------------------------|--------|--------------|-----------|-----------|
| DRNAREA | Drainage Area | 0.0802 | square miles | 2.33 | 1720 |
| ELEV | Mean Basin Elevation | 1267 | feet | 898 | 2700 |
| PRECIP | Mean Annual Precipitation | 43 | Inches | 38.7 | 47.9 |

One or more of the parameters is outside the suggested range. Estimates were extrapolated with unknown errors

Low-Flow Statistics Flow Report (un now region it)

| Statistic | Value | Unit |
|-------------------------|---------|--------|
| 7 Day 2 Year Low Flow | 0.00654 | ft*3/s |
| 30 Day 2 Year Low Flow | 0.0103 | ft*3/s |
| 7 Day 10 Year Low Flow | 0.00219 | ft*3/s |
| 30 Day 10 Year Low Flow | 0.0035 | ft*3/s |
| 90 Day 10 Year Low Flow | 0.00545 | ft*3/a |

Low-Flow Statistics Citations

Stuckey, M.H., 2006, Low-flow, base-flow, and mean-flow regression equations for Pennsylvania streams: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2006-5130, 84 p. (http://pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2006/5130/)

ATTACHMENT B: Crooked Creek Watershed Suspended Solids TMDL

Crooked Creek Watershed Suspended Solids TMDL Non-Mining NPDES Permit Waste Load Allocations for Suspended Solids

Non-Mining Waste Load Allocations:

| Site | Permit # | Municipality | County | Outfall | Туре | WLA (lbs/year) |
|--|---------------|---|---|---------|---|----------------|
| | | | | 020 | Stormwater- Industrial | 31,41 |
| | | | | 003 | Industrial Waste | 1,461,16 |
| | | | | 005 | Stormwater- Industrial | 327,24 |
| | | | | 007 | Stormwater- Industrial and Industrial Waste | 39,72 |
| | | | | 011 | Industrial Waste | 1,196,33 |
| | | | | 012 | Industrial Waste | 1,196,33 |
| EYSTONE CLEANING PLT JORTHVIEW ESTATES MHP STP LDERTON STP YLVAN ACRES MHP STP HARP PAVING STP AGAMORE WTP LAYNE TWP ELEM SCH RYSTAL WATERS PERSONAL CARE FAC STP LUMVILLE STP HELOCTA STP REEKSIDE WASHINGTON ELEM SCH STP MAPLE VALLEY PCH STP JURLING MINE 3 PORTAL/BATHHOUSE STP REEKSIDE STP MARION CENTER STP MARION CENTER STP MARION CENTER STP LAWSON SR STP EMOME SR STP EMOME SR STP MMEKUS SR STP MEMORE ST STP MERIST OUR SAVIOR ORTHODOX CHURCH STP PAUL PRICE SR STP LEYSTONE GENERATING STA WEST SALISBURY FOUNDRY & MACH CO INC MARION CENTER SUPPLY INC SHELOCTA PLT MARION CENTER STP MERIST OUR SAVIOR ORTHODOX CHURCH STP PAUL PRICE SR STP LEYSTONE GENERATING STA WEST SALISBURY FOUNDRY & MACH CO INC MARION CENTER SUPPLY INC SHELOCTA PLT | | | | 013 | Industrial Waste- Underdrains | 59,81 |
| | | | | 015 | Stormwater- Industrial | 26,78 |
| | | | | 016 | Stormwater- Industrial | 21,43 |
| | | | | 018 | Stormwater- Industrial | 9,98 |
| PENETONE CENERATING STATION | PA0026981 | Plumcreek | 100000000000000000000000000000000000000 | 019 | Stormwater- Industrial | 18,02 |
| KETSTONE GENERATING STATION | PA0026981 | Plumcreek | Armstrong | 010 | Stormwater- Industrial | 1,196,33 |
| | | | | 014 | Industrial Waste- Underdrains | 59,81 |
| | | | | 017 | Stormwater- Industrial | 502,27 |
| | | | | 800 | Stormwater- Industrial | 180,820 |
| | | | | 009 | Stormwater- Industrial | 146,42 |
| | | | | 006 | Stormwater- Industrial | 12,785 |
| FRS CREEKSIDE KEYSTONE CLEANING PLT NORTHVIEW ESTATES MHP STP ELDERTON STP SYLVAN ACRES MHP STP SHARP PAVING STP SHARP PAVING STP SAGAMORE WTP RAYNE TWP ELEM SCH ERYSTAL WATERS PERSONAL CARE FAC STP | | | | 002 | Industrial Waste- Backwash | 7,610 |
| | | | | 107 | Industrial Waste | 3,409 |
| | | | | 021 | Stormwater-Industrial | 13,540 |
| | | | | 022 | Stormwater-Industrial | 270,925 |
| RS CREEKSIDE EYSTONE CLEANING PLT IORTHYJEW ESTATES MHP STP LDERTON STP YUVAN ACRES MHP STP HARP PAVING STP AGAMORE WTP AYNE TWP ELEM SCH RYSTAL WATERS PERSONAL CARE FAC STP LUMVILLE STP HELOCTA STP REEKSIDE WASHINGTON ELEM SCH STP APPLE VALLEY PCH STP RILING MINE 3 PORTAL/BATHHOUSE STP REEKSIDE STP REEKSIDE STP REEKSIDE STP | | | | 004 | Stormwater- Industrial | 27,39 |
| | | × | | 003 | Industrial Waste | 6.48 |
| ERS CREEKSIDE | PA0095443 | Washington | Indiana | 001 | Stormwater-Industrial | 21,91 |
| THO CHEROIDE | 1,40033443 | ************************************** | Wildering . | 002 | Stormwater-Industrial | 21,91 |
| VEYSTONE OF EARLING BLT | PA0002275 | Plumcreek | Armstrong | 001 | Sewage Non-Publicly Owned (Non-Muni) | 27,516 |
| | PA0033871 | White | Indiana | 001 | Sewage Non-Publicly Owned (Non-Muni) | 10,50 |
| | PA0093033 | Elderton | 100000000000000000000000000000000000000 | 001 | Sewage Publicly Owned (Muni) | 8,82 |
| STATE OF THE STATE | PA0096989 | | Armstrong | 001 | | 1,790 |
| | | Armstrong | Indiana | | Sewage Non-Publicly Owned (Non-Muni) | |
| | PA0097489 | Armstrong | Indiana | 001 | Sewage Non-Publicly Owned (Non-Muni) | |
| | PA0097497 | Cowanshannock | Armstrong | 001 | Industrial Waste | 913 |
| | PA0204498 | Rayne | Indiana | 001 | Sewage Non-Publicly Owned (Non-Muni) | 1,279 |
| | PA0205559 | Rayne | Indiana | 001 | Sewage Non-Publicly Owned (Non-Muni) | 530 |
| | PA0217123 | South Mahoning | Indiana | 001 | Sewage Publicly Owned (Muni) | 8,219 |
| | PA0217140 | Armstrong | Indiana | 001 | Sewage Publicly Owned (Muni) | 10,19 |
| | PA0217247 | Washington | Indiana | 001 | Sewage Non-Publicly Owned (Non-Muni) | 365 |
| | PA0217565 | Armstrong | Indiana | 001 | Sewage Non-Publicly Owned (Non-Muni) | 73: |
| | PA0217921 | Armstrong | Indiana | 001 | Sewage Non-Publicly Owned (Non-Muni) | 45 |
| | PA0218162 | Washington | Indiana | 001 | Sewage Publicly Owned (Muni) | 82,19 |
| MARION CENTER STP | PA0218642 | East Mahoning | Indiana | 001 | Sewage Publicly Owned (Muni) | 9,680 |
| MARION CTR SUPPLY SHELOCTA PLT | PA0218669 | Armstrong | Indiana | 001 | Industrial Waste | 547,938 |
| | | | | 002 | Industrial Waste | 15,22 |
| MARION CENTER PLANT | PA0219070 | Marion Center | Indiana | 001 | Industrial Waste | 15,22 |
| Jesse Patterson SR SFTF | PA0254631 | Plumcreek | Armstrong | 001 | Sewage Non-Publicly Owned (Non-Muni) | 24 |
| CLAWSON SR STP | PAG046164 | White | Indiana | 001 | Sewage Non-Publicly Owned (Non-Muni) | 9: |
| SEMONE SR STP | PAG046258 | Armstrong | Indiana | 001 | Sewage Non-Publicly Owned (Non-Muni) | 30 |
| IMMEKUS SR STP | PAG046264 | Rayne | Indiana | 001 | Sewage Non-Publicly Owned (Non-Muni) | 24 |
| COFFMAN SR STP | PAG046281 | Rayne | Indiana | 001 | Sewage Non-Publicly Owned (Non-Muni) | 24 |
| CHRIST OUR SAVIOR ORTHODOX CHURCH STP | PAG046289 | Rayne | Indiana | 001 | Sewage Non-Publicly Owned (Non-Muni) | 6: |
| PAUL PRICE SR STP | PAG046337 | Armstrong | Indiana | 001 | Sewage Non-Publicly Owned (Non-Muni) | 3 |
| KEYSTONE GENERATING STA | PAG106112 | Plumcreek | Armstrong | 603 | Hydrostatic Testing Discharges (Occasional) | 182,640 |
| WEST SALISBURY FOUNDRY & MACH CO INC | PAR206162 | Elk Lick | Somerset | 001 | Stormwater-Industrial | 304,410 |
| MARION CENTER SUPPLY INC SHELOCTA PLT | PAR216159 | Armstrong | Indiana | 001 | Stormwater-Industrial | 304,410 |
| MARION CENTER SUPPLY INC SHELOCTA PLT | PAR216159 | Armstrong | Indiana | 001 | Stormwater-Industrial | 304,410 |
| | 100-15-200000 | | 9. WWW. | 001 | Stormwater-Industrial | 304,410 |
| | | | | 002 | Stormwater-Industrial | 304,410 |
| RANKIN AUTO WRECKING INC | PAR606133 | Rural Valley | Armstrong | 003 | Stormwater-Industrial | 304,410 |
| The state of the s | | 800000000000000000000000000000000000000 | | 003 | Stormwater-Industrial | 304,410 |
| | | | | 005 | Stormwater-Industrial | 304,410 |
| | 1 | 8 | 0 | 001 | Stormwater-Industrial | 304,410 |
| KAY ARENA | PAS316101 | Rayne | Indiana | 003 | Stormwater-Industrial | 304,410 |
| out come | - Caratosus | nayor. | In regulation | 003 | Stormwater-Industrial | 304,410 |
| EINFALT RECYCLING & SALVAGE INC. | PAS602203 | Stockertown | Northampton | - COA | Stormwater-Industrial | 304,410 |
| EINPALT RECTCLING & SALVAGE INC. | PASOUZZUS | Stockertown. | northampton | OUT | Stormwater industrial | 304,410 |

ATTACHMENT C:

Toxics Screening Analysis Results for Outfall 001

TOXICS SCREENING ANALYSIS WATER QUALITY POLLUTANTS OF CONCERN VERSION 2.7

CLEAR FORM

Facility: Sagamore WTP NPDES Permit No.: PA0097497 Outfall: 001
Analysis Hardness (mg/L): 169
Stream Flow, Q₇₋₁₀ (cfs): 0.0022

NPDES Permit No.: PA0097497 Outfall: 001
Analysis pH (SU): 7.87

| Group 1 | Parameter | (6-25-0-25-0-2 | num Concentration in cation or DMRs (µg/L) | Most Stringent Criterion (µg/L) | Candidate for PENTOXSD Modeling? | Most Stringent WQBEL (μg/L) | Screening Recommendation | |
|---------|---------------------------|----------------|---|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| | Total Dissolved Solids | | 487 | 500000 | No | | | |
| - | Chloride | 4 9 | 265 | 250000 | No | | | |
| 3 | Bromide | | 0.56 | N/A | No | | | |
| 5 | Sulfate | | 32.1 | 250000 | No | | | |
| | Fluoride | | 0.3 | 2000 | No | | | |
| | Total Aluminum | < | 100 | 750 | No | | | |
| | Total Antimony | < | 5 | 5.6 | Yes | 7.865 | Establish Limits | |
| | Total Arsenic | < | 5 | 10 | No | | | |
| | Total Barium | | 1400 | 2400 | Yes | 3370.843 | Monitor | |
| | Total Beryllium | < | 2 | N/A | No | | 2/11/12/14/202 | |
| | Total Boron | < | 100 | 1600 | No (Value < QL) | | | |
| | Total Cadmium | < | 2 | 0.271 | Yes | 0.511 | Establish Limits | |
| | Total Chromium | < | 20 | N/A | No | | | |
| | Hexavalent Chromium | < | 10 | 10.4 | Yes | 14.6 | Establish Limits | |
| | Total Cobalt | < | 5 | 19 | No | | | |
| 4 | Total Copper | < | 30 | 9.3 | Yes | 18.365 | Establish Limits | |
| dions | Total Cyanide | < | 10 | N/A | No | | | |
| 2 | Total Iron | | 4500 | 1500 | Yes | 2106.777 | Establish Limits | |
| | Dissolved Iron | | 6970 | 300 | Yes | 421.355 | Establish Limits | |
| | Total Lead | < | 5 | 3.2 | Yes | 7.432 | Establish Limits | |
| | Total Manganese | | 1640 | 1000 | Yes | 1404.518 | Establish Limits | |
| | Total Mercury | < | 0.001 | 0.05 | No (Value < QL) | | | |
| | Total Molybdenum | * * | 7 | N/A | No | | | |
| | Total Nickel | | 40 | 52.2 | Yes | 102.735 | Monitor | |
| | Total Phenols (Phenolics) | < | 10 | 5 | Yes | 14606.99 | No Limits/Monitoring | |
| | Total Selenium | < | 5 | 5.0 | No (Value < QL) | | | |
| | Total Silver | < | 5 | 3.8 | Yes | 6.774 | Establish Limits | |
| | Total Thallium | < | 2 | 0.24 | No (Value < QL) | | | |
| | Total Zinc | < | 20 | 119.8 | No | | | |

ATTACHMENT D:

PENTOXSD Modeling Results for Outfall 001

PENTOXSD

| | | | | | | Mod | leling In | out Data | 3 | 2000 | | 9,00 | 255000000000000000000000000000000000000 | Village State |
|----------------|-------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------------|------------|----------------|--------------|---|------------------|
| Strean Code | 4.404 | Elevati (ft) | | inage krea q mi) | Slope | PWS \ | | | | oply FC | | | | |
| 4655 | 0.38 | 117 | 1.00 | 0.08 | 0.00000 | | 0.00 | | | / | | | | |
| | | | | | ***** | 00000000 | Stream Da | ıta | | | | | | |
| | LFY | Trib Flow | Stream Flow | WD Ratio | Rch Width | Rch Depth | Rch Velocity | Rch Trav | <u>Tributar</u> Hard | Σ pH | Strear Hard | n pH | Analys Hard | i <u>s</u> pH |
| | (cfsm) | (cfs) | (cfs) | | (ft) | (ft) | (fps) | Time (days) | (mg/L) | | (mg/L) | | (mg/L) | |
| Q7-10 | 0.02731 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - 233 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 0.02101 | 0 | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Qh | | | | | | | - 81 | (350) | | - 8 | | - 20 | <u> </u> | 77 |
| | | | | | | | ischarge D | | | 161 | 551 | -35 | 100 | |
| | Name | Pern | ber D | sting P isc low | Permitted Disc Flow | Design Disc Flow | Reserve Factor | AFC PMF | CFC PMF | PMF | CRL PMF | Disc Hard | Disc pH | |
| | | | (m | ngd) | (mgd) | (mgd) | | | | | | (mg/L) | | |
| Saga | amore WTP | PA009 | 7497 0.0 | 0035 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 169 | 7.87 | |
| | | | | | | P: | arameter D | ata | | | 22 | | | |
| 8 | Parameter N | Name | | Disc | Trib | Disc | | Stear | | Fate | | Crit | Max | |
| | | | | Conc | Conc | Daily CV | | Con | c CV | Coe | f | Mod | Disc Conc | |
| | | | | (µg/L) | (µg/L | | GV | (µg/l | L) | | | | (µg/L) | |
| ANTIMO | NY | | | 50 | 0 | 0. | 5 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | |
| BARIUM | 1 | | | 14000 | 0 0 | 0, | 5 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | |
| CADMIL | M | | | 2 | 0 | 0. | 5 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | |
| CHROM | IUM, VI | | | 100 | 0 | 0. | 5 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | |
| COPPE | R | | | 30 | 0 | 0. | 5 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | |
| DISSOL | VED IRON | | | 6970 | 0 | 0. | 5 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | |
| LEAD | | | | 50 | 0 | 0. | 5 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | |
| MANGA | NESE | | | 1640 | 0 | 0. | 5 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | |
| NICKEL | | | | 400 | 0 | 0. | 5 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | |
| PHENO | L | | | 10000 | 0 0 | 0. | 5 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | |
| SILVER | - 4 | | | 50 | 0 | 0. | 5 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | |
| TOTAL | IRON | | | 4500 | 0 | 0. | 5 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | |

| Stream Code | RMI | Elevation (ft) | on Drain Are (sq i | a | Slope | PWS (mg | | With the second | | ply FC | | | | |
|----------------|-------------|----------------|--------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|----------------|
| 4655 | 0.00 | 1097 | .00 | 0.13 | 0.00000 | E_OVER | 0.00 | 201210 | - | ~ | | | | |
| | | | | | | | Stream D | ata | | | | | | |
| | LFY | Trib Flow | Stream Flow | WD Ratio | Rch Width | Rch Depth | Rch Velocity | Rch Trav Time | <u>Tributar</u> Hard | у рн | <u>Strear</u> Hard | n pH | <u>Analysi</u> Hard | <u>s</u> pH |
| | (cfsm) | (cfs) | (cfs) | 195 | (ft) | (ft) | (fps) | (days) | (mg/L) | | (mg/L) | | (mg/L) | |
| Q7-10 | 0.02769 | 0 | ٥ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Qh | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | o | 0 | 100 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | | | D | ischarge (| Data | | | | | | |
| | Name | Perm Numb | | | ermitted Disc Flow | Design Disc Flow | Reserve Factor | | CFC PMF | THH PMF | CRL PMF | Disc Hard | Disc pH | |
| | | | (mg | 1) | (mgd) | (mgd) | | | | | | (mg/L) | | |
| 85- | | | 0 | in the | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 7 | |
| | | | | | | P | arameter D | ata | | | | | | |
| | Parameter h | Name | 88 | Disc Conc | Trib Cond | C | Hourl | y Con | c CV | Fate Coe | | Crit Mod | Max Disc Conc (µg/L) | |
| ANTIMO | NY | we were | | ıg/L) O | (µg/L 0 | 0. | 5 0.5 | (µg/l | -) | 0 | 0 | 1 | (pg/r) | 37.700 |
| BARIUM | | | | 0 | 0 | 0. | | | o | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | |
| CADMIU | | | | 0 | 0 | 0. | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | |
| CHROM | IUM, VI | | | 0 | 0 | 0. | 5 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | |
| COPPER | ₹ | | | 0 | 0 | 0. | 5 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | |
| DISSOL | VED IRON | | | 0 | 0 | 0. | 5 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | |
| LEAD | | | | 0 | 0 | 0. | 5 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | |
| MANGA | NESE | | | 0 | 0 | 0. | 5 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | |
| NICKEL | | | | 0 | 0 | 0. | 5 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | |
| PHENOL | Š | | | 0 | 0 | 0. | 5 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | |
| SILVER | | | | 0 | 0 | 0. | 5 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | |
| TOTAL I | RON | | | 0 | 0 | 0. | 5 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | |

Hydrodynamics

| S | WP Basin | 0 | Stream | m Code: | | | Strea | m Name | i | | |
|-------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|
| | 17E | | 46 | 5550 | | Trib 46 | 550 to No | orth Bran | ch Plum (| Cr | |
| RMI | Stream Flow (cfs) | PWS With (cfs) | Net Stream Flow (cfs) | Disc Analysis Flow (cfs) | Reach Slope | Depth (ft) | Width (ft) | WD Ratio | Velocity (fps) | Reach Trav Time (days) | CMT (min) |
| | | | | | Q7- | -10 Hyd | drodyna | amics | | | |
| 0.380 | 0.0022 | 0 | 0.0022 | 0.00541 | 0.0369 | 0.2434 | 1.1111 | 4.5639 | 0.0281 | 0.826 | .006 |
| 0.000 | 0.0036 | 0 | 0.0036 | NA. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| | | | | | Q | h Hydr | odynar | nics | | | |
| 0.380 | 0.0352 | 0 | 0.0352 | 0.00541 | 0.0369 | 0.5088 | 1.1111 | 2.1836 | 0.0718 | 0.3232 | .018 |
| 0.000 | 0.0539 | 0 | 0.0539 | NA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

Wasteload Allocations

| RMI | Name | Permit Num | ber | | | | | | |
|--------|-------------------------------------|------------|--------------------------|--------------|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------|
| 0.38 | Sagamore WTP | PA009749 | 97 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | AFC | | | | |
| Q7 | -10: CCT (min | 0.006 | PMF | 1 | Analysis | pH 7.415 | Analysis | Hardness 14 | 9.127 |
| | Parameter | | Stream Conc (µg/L) | Stream CV | Trib Conc (µg/L) | Fate Coef | WQC (µg/L) | WQ Obj (μg/L) | WLA (µg/L) |
| | ANTIMONY | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1100 | 1100 | 1544.97 |
| | CADMIUM | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.969 | 3.202 | 4.498 |
| | | Di | ssolved | WQC. | Chemical tra | nslator of 0. | 927 applied | | |
| | CHROMIUM, VI | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 16.293 | 22.884 |
| | W | Di | ssolved | WQC. | Chemical tra | nslator of 0. | 982 applied | | 30 |
| | COPPER | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19.584 | 20.4 | 28.652 |
| | 5577.307 | Di | ssolved | WOC. 0 | Chemical tra | nslator of 0. | 96 applied. | | |
| | LEAD | 473 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99.503 | 135.79 | 190.72 |
| | (2000) | Di | ssolved | WOC (| Chemical tra | | 733 applied | | |
| | NICKEL | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 656.589 | 657.905 | 924.039 |
| | 7707.22 | Di | - | | 13762 | 5500 | 998 applied | | |
| | SILVER | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.396 | 7.525 | 10.569 |
| | O.E.T.E.I. | Oi | 2.5 | 377 | 13320 | inslator of 0. | | | |
| | PHENOL | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | NA |
| | TOTAL IRON | | 0 | 0 | α. | 0 | NA | NA | NA |
| | DISSOLVED IRON | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | NA |
| | MANGANESE | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | NA |
| | BARIUM | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21000 | 21000 | 29494.88 |
| | | | | | CFC | | | | |
| Q7-10: | CCT (min) | 0.006 | PMF | 1 | Analysis | pH 7.415 | Analysis | Hardness 1 | 49.127 |
| | Parameter | | tream Conc. | Stream | n Trib Conc. | Fate Coef | wac | WQ Obj | WLA |
| | | | μg/L) | | (µg/L) | | (µg/L) | (µg/L) | (µg/L) |
| | ANTIMONY | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 220 | 220 | 308.994 |
| | CADMIUM | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.325 | 0.364 | 0.511 |
| | | Di | ssolved | WQC. | Chemical tra | inslator of 0. | 892 applied | | |
| | CHROMIUM, VI | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10.395 | 14.6 |
| | v 075.8 446 KU (P-5017/65 B) (DA 17 | Di | ssolved | WQC. | Chemical tra | inslator of 0. | 962 applied | areas areas | |
| | COPPER | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12.601 | 13,126 | 18.436 |
| | 10.1316.061777 | Di | ssolved | WQC. | Chemical tra | inslator of 0. | 96 applied. | | |
| | LEAD | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.877 733 applied | 5.292 | 7.432 |
| | 8 | Di | aavived | 1100. | OTHER HEALTS | manage of 0 | 100 applied | * | |

Wasteload Allocations

| RMI | Name | Permit Number | | | | | | |
|--------|----------------|----------------|--------|----------------|---------------|---------------|------------|----------|
| 0.38 | Sagamore WTP | PA0097497 | | | | | | |
| | NICKEL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 72.927 | 73.146 | 102.735 |
| | | Dissolved | wac. c | Chemical tra | inslator of (| 0.997 applied | Ĺ | |
| | SILVER | 0. | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | NA |
| | PHENOL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | NA |
| | TOTAL IRON | 0 | 0 | 0 rage, PMF | 0 | 1500 | 1500 | 2106.777 |
| | DISCOUVED IDOM | | 10000 | G-2 5.5 | | NIA | NIA | NO |
| | DISSOLVED IRON | . 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | NA |
| | MANGANESE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | NA |
| | BARIUM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4100 | 4100 | 5758.523 |
| | | 912 | | тнн | | | | |
| Q7-10: | CCT (min) | 0.006 PMF | - 1 | Analysis | spH NA | Analysi | s Hardness | NA |
| | Parameter | Stream Conc | Stream | Trib Conc | Fate Coef | wac | WQ Obj | WLA |
| | | (µg/L) | | (µg/L) | | (µg/L) | (µg/L) | (µg/L) |
| | ANTIMONY | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 7.865 |
| | CADMIUM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | NA |
| | CHROMIUM, VI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | NA |
| | COPPER | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | NA |
| | LEAD | 0 | o | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | NA |
| | NICKEL | ٥ | 0 | o | 0 | 610 | 610 | 856.756 |
| | SILVER | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | NA |
| | PHENOL | 0 | o | 0 | 0 | 10400 | 10400 | 14606.99 |
| | TOTAL IRON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | NA |
| | DISSOLVED IRON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 300 | 300 | 421.355 |
| | MANGANESE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1000 | 1000 | 1404.518 |
| | BARIUM | ٥ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2400 | 2400 | 3370.843 |

Wasteload Allocations

| RMI | Name | Permit N | lumber | | | | | | |
|------|----------------|----------|-------------------------|--------------|------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------------|---------------|
| 0.38 | Sagamore WTP | PA009 | 7497 | | | | | | |
| | | | | C | RL | | | | |
| Qh: | CCT (min) | 0.018 | PMF | 1 | | | | | |
| | Parameter | - 8 | tream Conc [µg/L) | Stream CV | Trib Conc (µg/L) | Fate Coef | WQC (µg/L) | WQ Obj (µg/L) | WLA (µg/L) |
| | ANTIMONY | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | NA |
| | CADMIUM | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | NA |
| | CHROMIUM, VI | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | NA |
| | COPPER | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | NA |
| | LEAD | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | NA |
| 10 | NICKEL | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | NA |
| 60 | SILVER | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | NA |
| | PHENOL | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | NA |
| | TOTAL IRON | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | NA |
| | DISSOLVED IRON | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | NA |
| | MANGANESE | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | NA |
| | BARIUM | | 0 | 0 | o | 0 | NA | NA | NA |

PHENOL

SILVER

TOTAL IRON

THH

AFC

CFC

14606.99

2106.777

6.774

PENTOXSD Analysis Results

Recommended Effluent Limitations

| SWP Basin 17E | Stream Code: 46550 | | Trib 4655 | Stream 50 to North | <u>Name:</u> n Branch Plu | m Cr | |
|------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| RMI | Name | 10000000 | rmit mber | Disc Flow (mgd) | § | | |
| 0.38 | Sagamore WTP | PAGG | 97497 | 0.0035 | - | | |
| 1000 | | Effluent Limit | | | Max. Daily | Most S | tringent |
| Parameter | | (µg/L) Gover | | | Limit (µg/L) | WQBEL (µg/L) | WQBEL Criterion |
| ANTIMONY | | 7.865 | THH | | 12.271 | 7.865 | THH |
| BARIUM | | 3370.843 | THH | | 5259.057 | 3370.843 | THH |
| CADMIUM | | 0.511 | CFC | | 0.797 | 0.511 | CFC |
| CHROMIUM, V | 4 | 14.6 | CFC | | 22.778 | 14.6 | CFC |
| COPPER | | 18.365 | AFC | | 28.652 | 18.365 | AFC |
| DISSOLVED IF | RON | 421.355 | THH | | 657.382 | 421.355 | THH |
| LEAD | | 7.432 | CFC | | 11.595 | 7.432 | CFC |
| MANGANESE | | 1404.518 | THH | | 2191.274 | 1404.518 | THH |
| NICKEL | | 102.735 | CFC | | 160.283 | 102.735 | CFC |
| | | | | | | | |

THH

AFC

CFC

14606.99

2106.777

6.774

22789.25

3286.911

10.569

ATTACHMENT E:

TRC Modeling Results for Outfall 001

TRC EVALUATION

| 400100 | 2 = Q stream (cfs | 5) | 0.5 = CV Daily | |
|---|--|---|---|-----------------------|
| 0.003 | 5 = Q discharge (| MGD) | 0.5 = CV Hourly | |
| | 4 = no. samples | | 1 = AFC_Partial I | Mix Factor |
| 0 | 3 = Chlorine Dem | and of Stream | 1 = CFC_Partial I | Mix Factor |
| | 0 = Chlorine Dem | and of Discharge | 0 = AFC_Criteria | Compliance Time (min) |
| 0 | 5 = BAT/BPJ Valu | e | 0 = CFC_Criteria | Compliance Time (min) |
| | 0 = % Factor of S | afety (FOS) | 0 =Decay Coeffic | cient (K) |
| Source | Reference | AFC Calculations | Reference | CFC Calculations |
| TRC | 1.3.2.iii | WLA afc = 0.149 | 1.3.2.iii | WLA cfc = 0.137 |
| PENTOXSD TRG | 5.1a | LTAMULT afc = 0.373 | 5.1c | LTAMULT cfc = 0.581 |
| PENTOXSD TRG | 5.1b | LTA_afc= 0.055 | 5.1d | LTA_cfc = 0.080 |
| Source | Tig. | Effluent Limit | Calculations | |
| PENTOXSD TRG | 5.1f | AML M | ULT = 1.720 | |
| PENTOXSD TRG | 5.1g | AVG MON LIMIT (r | ng/l) = 0.095 | AFC |
| | | | | |
| | 334,000 | INST MAX LIMIT (r | ng/l) = 0.223 | |
| WLA afc | + Xd + (AFC_Y | (tc)) + [(AFC_Yc*Qs*.019/Qd*e(-k*/ 'c*Qs*Xs/Qd)]*(1-FOS/100) | | |
| LTAMULT afc | + Xd + (AFC_Y EXP((0.5*LN(cv | tc)) + [(AFC_Yc*Qs*.019/Qd*e(-k*. 'c*Qs*Xs/Qd)]*(1-FOS/100) h^2+1))-2.326*LN(cvh^2+1)^0.5) | | |
| | + Xd + (AFC_Y | tc)) + [(AFC_Yc*Qs*.019/Qd*e(-k*. 'c*Qs*Xs/Qd)]*(1-FOS/100) h^2+1))-2.326*LN(cvh^2+1)^0.5) | | |
| LTAMULT afc LTA_afc | + Xd + (AFC_Y EXP((0.5*LN(cv) wla_afc*LTAMU (.011/e(-k*CFC_ | tc)) + [(AFC_Yc*Qs*.019/Qd*e(-k*. 'c*Qs*Xs/Qd)]*(1-FOS/100) h^2+1))-2.326*LN(cvh^2+1)^0.5) | AFC_tc)) | |
| LTAMULT afc LTA_afc WLA_cfc | + Xd + (AFC_Y EXP((0.5*LN(cv) wla_afc*LTAMU (.011/e(-k*CFC_ + Xd + (CFC_Y | tc)) + [(AFC_Yc*Qs*.019/Qd*e(-k*./c*Qs*Xs/Qd)]*(1-FOS/100) h^2+1))-2.326*LN(cvh^2+1)^0.5) LT_afc tc) + [(CFC_Yc*Qs*.011/Qd*e(-k*0 | AFC_tc)) FC_tc)) | .5) |
| LTAMULT afc LTA_afc WLA_cfc LTAMULT_cfc | + Xd + (AFC_Y EXP((0.5*LN(cv) wla_afc*LTAMU (.011/e(-k*CFC_ + Xd + (CFC_Y | tc)) + [(AFC_Yc*Qs*.019/Qd*e(-k*/ 'c*Qs*Xs/Qd)]*(1-FOS/100) h^2+1))-2.326*LN(cvh^2+1)^0.5) LT_afc tc) + [(CFC_Yc*Qs*.011/Qd*e(-k*0) 'c*Qs*Xs/Qd)]*(1-FOS/100) d^2/no_samples+1))-2.326*LN(cvo | AFC_tc)) FC_tc)) | .5) |
| LTAMULT afc LTA_afc WLA_cfc LTAMULT_cfc LTA_cfc | + Xd + (AFC_Y EXP((0.5*LN(cv) Wla_afc*LTAMU (.011/e(-k*CFC_ + Xd + (CFC_Y EXP((0.5*LN(cv) Wla_cfc*LTAMU | tc)) + [(AFC_Yc*Qs*.019/Qd*e(-k*/ 'c*Qs*Xs/Qd)]*(1-FOS/100) h^2+1))-2.326*LN(cvh^2+1)^0.5) LT_afc tc) + [(CFC_Yc*Qs*.011/Qd*e(-k*0) 'c*Qs*Xs/Qd)]*(1-FOS/100) d^2/no_samples+1))-2.326*LN(cvo | AFC_tc)) FC_tc)) ^2/no_samples+1)^0. | |
| LTAMULT afc | + Xd + (AFC_Y EXP((0.5*LN(cv) wla_afc*LTAMU (.011/e(-k*CFC_ + Xd + (CFC_Y EXP((0.5*LN(cv) wla_cfc*LTAMU EXP(2.326*LN(| tc)) + [(AFC_Yc*Qs*.019/Qd*e(-k*./c*Qs*Xs/Qd)]*(1-FOS/100) h^2+1))-2.326*LN(cvh^2+1)^0.5) LT_afc tc) + [(CFC_Yc*Qs*.011/Qd*e(-k*0/c*Qs*Xs/Qd)]*(1-FOS/100) d^2/no_samples+1))-2.326*LN(cvolLT_cfc | AFC_tc)) FC_tc)) ^2/no_samples+1)^0. | |