

Northwest Regional Office CLEAN WATER PROGRAM

Application Type Renewal
Facility Type Industrial
Major / Minor
Minor

NPDES PERMIT FACT SHEET INDIVIDUAL INDUSTRIAL WASTE (IW) AND IW STORMWATER

Application No. PA0100510

APS ID 1004485

Authorization ID 1293342

Applicant and Facility Information							
Applicant Name	Greenville Metals, Inc.	_ Facility Name	Greenville Metals				
Applicant Address	99 Crestview Drive Extension	Facility Address	99 Crestview Drive Extension				
	Transfer, PA 16154-2317	_	Transfer, PA 16154-1709				
Applicant Contact	Bryan Bowen	_ Facility Contact	Bryan Bowen				
Applicant Phone	(724) 509-1863	_ Facility Phone	(724) 509-1863				
Client ID	3039	Site ID	446252				
SIC Code	3341	Municipality	Pymatuning Township				
SIC Description	Manufacturing - Secondary Nonferrous Metals	_ County	Mercer				
Date Application Red	ceived October 2, 2019	EPA Waived?	Yes				
Date Application Acc	cepted October 30, 2019	_ If No, Reason					

Summary of Review

This facility is engaged in the manufacturing of metallurgical products using techniques including electric arc furnace, AOD, induction furnaces, and various crushing, grinding and sizing equipment. Products include nickel, cobalt, and iron-based (particularly stainless steel) alloys produced as small ingots, pigs, shot and powder.

The permit renewal application indicates that no chemical additives are added to the water used in the manufacturing processes.

The plant discharges to a segment of the Shenango River, which is known to contain threatened and endangered mussel species. A summary of threatened and endangered mussel species concerns and considerations is included on Page 10 of this Fact Sheet. Additionally, the draft permit will be forwarded to the US Fish & Wildlife Service.

There are currently no open violations listed in EFACTS for this permittee (1/08/2021).

Public Participation

DEP will publish notice of the receipt of the NPDES permit application and a tentative decision to issue the individual NPDES permit in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin* in accordance with 25 Pa. Code § 92a.82. Upon publication in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*, DEP will accept written comments from interested persons for a 30-day period (which may be extended for one additional 15-day period at DEP's discretion), which will be considered in making a final decision on the application. Any person may request or petition for a public hearing with respect to the application. A public hearing may be held if DEP determines that there is significant public interest in holding a hearing. If a hearing is held, notice of the hearing will be published in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin* at least 30 days prior to the hearing and in at least one newspaper of general circulation within the geographical area of the discharge.

Ap	prove	Deny	Signatures	Date
	Х		Adam Pesek Adam J. Pesek, E.I.T. / Environmental Engineering Specialist	January 8, 2021
	Х		Justin C. Dickey Justin C. Dickey, P.E. / Environmental Engineer Manager	January 14, 2021

Discharge, Receiving	g Waters and	d Water Supply Infor	mation					
Outfall No.	02		Design Flow (MGD)	0				
Latitude 4	·1º 21' 52.2"		Longitude	-80° 24' 13.7"				
Wastewater Descr	ription:	Electric Furnace Non	n-Contact Cooling Water Emergency Discharge					
				-				
Outfall No. 001			Design Flow (MGD)	0.029				
Latitude 41°2	21' 25.19"		Longitude	-80° 24' 7.7"				
Wastewater Descrip	otion: <u>Co</u>	ntact Cooling Water f	rom the Hot Metal Shot Process					
Outfall No. 009			Design Flow (MGD)	0.012				
Latitude 41°2	Latitude41° 21' 53.1"		Longitude	-80° 24' 13.6"				
Wastewater Descrip	otion: <u>Co</u>	ntact Cooling Water f	rom the Induction II Shot Tank					
			_					
Quad Name <u>Sh</u>	narpsville 		Quad Code	0802				
Receiving Waters		ned tributaries to the ngo River	Stream Code	35482				
Receiving waters	<u> </u>	rigo raivei	Stream Gode	55.52 (002); 55.53 (001 &				
NHD Com ID	13003	4452	RMI	009				
Drainage Area	331		Yield (cfs/mi²)	0.161				
	50.0		0 5 :	USGS #03102850 (1967-				
Q ₇₋₁₀ Flow (cfs)	<u>53.3</u>		Q ₇₋₁₀ Basis	2008)				
Elevation (ft)	925		Slope (ft/ft)	0.0009				
Watershed No.	<u>20-A</u>		Chapter 93 Class.	WWF				
Existing Use			Existing Use Qualifier					
Exceptions to Use			Exceptions to Criteria					
Assessment Statu	-	Attaining Use(s)						
Cause(s) of Impair								
Source(s) of Impai	rment _							
TMDL Status	-		Name					
	_							
Background/Ambie	ent Data		Data Source					
pH (SU)		7.41	WQN 913 (geo mean-'00-'15)(J	une-Sept.)				
Temperature (°C)			Default (WWF)					
Hardness (mg/l)		139.4	WQN 913 (90 th %-'00-'15)(June					
Other: NH ₃ -N (mg/	(I)	0.04	WQN 913 (Median-'00-'15)(Jun	e-Sept.)				
Manage Division	D. J. P. 144	atan Ormalı İstali	A succession D.A. Ohanna and A. Vallanda NATT	_				
Nearest Downstre			Aqua PA Shenango Valley WTF					
PWS Waters	Shenang	o Kiver	Flow at Intake (cfs)	143.8				
PWS RMI	28.88		Distance from Outfall (mi)	26.65				

Changes Since Last Permit Issuance: Stream gage data is updated.

Other Comments: Please note that stream data above is for when the unnamed tributaries enter the Shenango River, where perennial conditions exist.

Stormwater Outfalls 003, 004, 006, 007, and 008 also discharge to unnamed tributaries to the Shenango River in the same area as the process and non-process outfalls listed above.

	Treatment Facility Summary										
Treatment Facility Na	me: Greenville Metals Inc.										
WQM Permit No.	Issuance Date										
N/A											
Waste Type	Degree of Treatment	Process Type	Disinfection	Avg Annual Flow (MGD)							
Industrial			No Disinfection	,							
Hydraulic Capacity	Organic Capacity			Biosolids							
(MGD)	(lbs/day)	Load Status	Biosolids Treatment	Use/Disposal							
•	·	Not Overloaded		•							

Changes Since Last Permit Issuance:

Other Comments: No treatment of process or non-process wastewater is currently used at the facility.

Compliance History

DMR Data for Outfall 001 (from November 1, 2019 to October 31, 2020)

Parameter	OCT-20	SEP-20	AUG-20	JUL-20	JUN-20	MAY-20	APR-20	MAR-20	FEB-20	JAN-20	DEC-19	NOV-19
Flow (MGD) Average Monthly	0.074	0.039	0.098	0.021	0.037	0.098	0.023		0.107	0.046	0.056	0.017
pH (S.U.) Minimum	6.85	7.07	6.81	6.97	7.12	7.27	7.19		7.25	7.33	7.15	6.63
pH (S.U.) Instantaneous Maximum	7.35	7.36	7.69	7.60	7.70	8.02	8.12		8.10	7.95	8.27	6.63
TSS (mg/L) Average Monthly	5.3	7.0	7.0	17.5	5.0	< 5	5.3		10.2	8.3	5.8	7.5
TSS (mg/L) Instantaneous Maximum	6.0	9.0	9.0	24	5.0	< 5	5.5		20.5	15.0	6.0	7.5
Total Iron (mg/L) Average Monthly	0.639	0.334	0.650	0.939	0.069	0.591	0.584		1.46	0.702	0.731	1.32
Total Iron (mg/L) Instantaneous Maximum	0.904	0.391	0.803	1.06	0.074	0.840	0.931		2.88	1.3	0.938	1.32

DMR Data for Outfall 002 (from November 1, 2019 to October 31, 2020)

Parameter	OCT-20	SEP-20	AUG-20	JUL-20	JUN-20	MAY-20	APR-20	MAR-20	FEB-20	JAN-20	DEC-19	NOV-19
Flow (MGD)				0.00337								
Average Monthly				5			0.115		0.0035			
pH (S.U.)												
Minimum				7.26			7.63		7.00			
pH (S.U.)												
Maximum				7.26			7.63		7.03			

DMR Data for Outfall 009 (from November 1, 2019 to October 31, 2020)

Parameter	OCT-20	SEP-20	AUG-20	JUL-20	JUN-20	MAY-20	APR-20	MAR-20	FEB-20	JAN-20	DEC-19	NOV-19
Flow (MGD) Average Monthly	0.001	0.003	0.002						0.003			
pH (S.U.) Minimum	6.94	8.32	7.42						7.17			

NPDES Permit Fact Sheet Greenville Metals

NPDES Permit No. PA0100510

pH (S.U.) Maximum	6.94	8.32	7.42			7.59		
TSS (mg/L) Average Monthly	5	< 5	5.0			5.0		
TSS (mg/L) Instantaneous Maximum	5	< 5	5.0			5.0		
Total Iron (mg/L) Average Monthly	1.05	0.431	0.379			0.70		
Total Iron (mg/L) Instantaneous Maximum	1.05	0.431	0.379			1.2		

Compliance History

Summary of Inspections: An inspection was lasted conducted at the facility on December 21, 2020. No major violations were noted in the inspection report. The permittee was reminded of the requirement to use the supplemental reports associated with the previous permit. The report also noted the last discharge from Outfall 002 occurred in July 2020, with two occurring in April and February of 2020 as well. This Outfall had not previously discharged for a significant number of years.

Other Comments: N/A

Development of Effluent Limitations

 Outfall No.
 002
 Design Flow (MGD)
 0

 Latitude
 41° 21' 52.2"
 Longitude
 -80° 24' 13.7"

Wastewater Description: _ Electric Furnace Non-Contact Cooling Water Emergency Discharge

Technology-Based Limitations

The following technology-based limitations apply, subject to water quality analysis and BPJ where applicable:

Parameter	Limit (mg/l)	SBC	Federal Regulation	State Regulation
рН	6.0 – 9.0 S.U.	Min – Max	133.102(c)	95.2(1)

Comments: There are no federal ELGs associated with this waste stream.

Water Quality-Based Limitations

The following limitations were determined through water quality modeling (output files attached):

Parameter	Limit (mg/l)	SBC	Model
N/A			

Comments: Due to the infrequent nature of this discharge and the type of discharge, no water quality modeling was done.

Best Professional Judgment (BPJ) Limitations

Comments: Flow will be required to be monitored as authorized under Chapter 92a.61.

Anti-Backsliding

Development of Effluent Limitations								
Outfall No.	001	Design Flow (MGD) _0.029						
Latitude	41° 21' 25.19"	Longitude -80° 24' 7	7.70"					
Wastewater [Description: Contact Cooling Water from th	e Hot Metal Shot Process						

Technology-Based Limitations

The following technology-based limitations apply, subject to water quality analysis and BPJ where applicable:

Parameter	Limit (mg/l)	SBC	Federal Regulation	State Regulation
рН	6.0 – 9.0 S.U.	Min – Max	133.102(c)	95.2(1)
Total Residual Chlorine	0.5	Average Monthly	-	92a.48(b)(2)

Comments: Due to the elevated concentration of total residual chlorine in the effluent (greater than 50% of the technology-based concentration limit), a technology-based limit of 0.5 mg/l as an average monthly will be applied.

Water Quality-Based Limitations

The following limitations were determined through water quality modeling (output files attached):

Parameter	Limit (mg/l)	SBC	Model				
Total Residual Chlorine	1.2	IMAX	TRC Evaluation Spreadsheet				

Comments: The Toxic Management Spreadsheet recommended monitoring for total copper, total silver and total thallium. Quarterly monitoring for these parameters will be placed in the renewed permit to further evaluate the need for limits in the future.

Best Professional Judgment (BPJ) Limitations

Comments: TSS effluent limits of 30 mg/l as an average monthly / 60 mg/l as an IMAX and total iron limits of 3.5 mg/l as an average monthly / 7.0 mg/l as an IMAX are existing BPJ-based effluent limits that will be retained in this permit renewal. The TSS BPJ limits are derived from the Metal Finishing ELGs (40 CFR 433) and secondary treatment standards for sewage. The total iron BPJ effluent limits are derived from "Industrial Water Quality, Fourth Edition. Eckenfelder, Ford, and Englande, 2009. Chapter 4.

Anti-Backsliding

	Development of Effluent Limitations										
Outfall No.	003	Design Flow (MGD)	0								
Latitude	41° 21' 40.10"	Longitude	-80° 24' 12.50"								
Outfall No.	004	Design Flow (MGD)	0								
Latitude	41° 21' 43.40"	Longitude	-80° 24' 9.30"								
Outfall No.	006	Design Flow (MGD)	0								
Latitude	41° 21' 53.10"	Longitude	-80° 24' 15.80"								
Outfall No.	007	Design Flow (MGD)	0								
Latitude	41° 21' 51.80"	Longitude	-80° 24' 11.50"								
Outfall No.	008	Design Flow (MGD)	0								
Latitude	41° 21' 53.20"	Longitude	-80° 24' 9.40"								

Wastewater Description: Stormwater not associated with industrial activity

Discussion

Greenville Metals is operating an in-situ, bio-enhancement remediation system to deal with on-site PCE contamination near the Powder I building. The required, quarterly groundwater monitoring should provide enough data to characterize the amount of PCE present, where any additional stormwater sampling would be unnecessary.

The Reynolds Development site has an extensive stormwater collection system that serves as a pathway for carrying TCE contaminated groundwater from areas off the Greenville Metals property through their site. This is the reason why TCE was found in previous application's stormwater sampling for Outfalls 007 & 008. This fact has been verified by staff in our Environmental Cleanup program. Since the company is not contributing to the TCE contamination, stormwater monitoring of this parameter is not being recommended.

The permittee indicated a condition of "No Exposure" for all five of their current stormwater outfalls. A condition of "No Exposure is met when the permittee indicates/ demonstrates that the stormwater is not exposed to any industrial activities at the facility that drains to a particular outfall or outfalls. as detailed in the application and through stormwater sampling also done as part of the application. A compliance specialist concurred that the facility qualifies for a condition of "No Exposure" as a result of a site inspection on 12/21/2020.

As a result of the information above, no sampling will be required for these stormwater outfalls as part of this permit renewal. However, annual stormwater inspections will be required as part of a Part C condition in the permit.

Technology-Based Limitations

Comments: N/A

Water Quality-Based Limitations

Comments: N/A

Best Professional Judgment (BPJ) Limitations

Comments: N/A

Anti-Backsliding

Development of Effluent Limitations										
Outfall No.	009	Design Flow (MGD)	0.012*							
Latitude	41° 21' 53.10		-80° 24' 13.60"							
Wastewater	Description:	Contact Cooling Water from the Induction II Shot Tank								

Technology-Based Limitations

The following technology-based limitations apply, subject to water quality analysis and BPJ where applicable:

Parameter Limit (mg/l)		SBC	Federal Regulation	State Regulation		
рН	6.0 – 9.0 S.U.	Min – Max	133.102(c)	95.2(1)		

Comments: A total residual chlorine technology-based limit was not deemed necessary due to the effluent concentration in the application being much lower than 50% of the technology-based limit concentration).

Water Quality-Based Limitations

The following limitations were determined through water quality modeling (output files attached):

Parameter	Limit (mg/l)	SBC	Model
N/A			

Comments: The flow rate used for the Toxic Management Spreadsheet (TMS) was 0.096 MGD, which corresponds to the flowrate when the batch discharge is occurring (12,000 gallons over three (3) hours.

The Toxic Management Spreadsheet recommended monitoring for total thallium. Quarterly monitoring for total thallium will be placed in the renewed permit to further evaluate the need for limits in the future.

Best Professional Judgment (BPJ) Limitations

Comments: TSS effluent limits of 30 mg/l as an average monthly / 60 mg/l as an IMAX and total iron limits of 3.5 mg/l as an average monthly / 7.0 mg/l as an IMAX are existing BPJ-based effluent limits that will be retained in this permit renewal. The TSS BPJ limits are derived from the Metal Finishing ELGs (40 CFR 433) and secondary treatment standards for sewage. The total iron BPJ effluent limits are derived from "Industrial Water Quality, Fourth Edition. Eckenfelder, Ford, and Englande, 2009. Chapter 4.

Anti-Backsliding

Threatened and Endangered Mussel Species Concerns and Considerations

The main segment of the Shenango River from Porter Road near Greenville, Pennsylvania, downstream to the point of inundation by Shenango River Lake near Big Bend, Mercer County, Pennsylvania was designated by the United States Fish and Wildlife Services (USFWS) as "Critical Habitat" for the rabbitsfoot mussel, a federally listed threatened species, and is known to also contain other threatened and endangered mussel species. Due to the discharge being in close proximity to the Shenango River (discharges are to intermittent tributaries of the Shenango River), potential impacts to endangered mussel species were evaluated.

The USFWS has indicated in comment letters on other NPDES permits that in order to protect threatened and endangered mussel species, wastewater discharges containing ammonia-nitrogen (NH₃-N), chloride (Cl⁻) and nickel, where mussels or their habitat exist, can be no more than 1.9 mg/l, 78 mg/l and 7.3 ug/l, respectively. The calculated site-specific criteria based on WQN Station 913 stream background pH data and default temperature for a WWF (pH of 7.41 and temperature of 25) results in NH3-N criteria of 1.058 mg/l.

A summary of the sampling data for ammonia-nitrogen (NH3-N), chloride (Cl-) and nickel based on three samples at Outfall 001 and one sample at Outfall 009 for the 2019 renewal application is as follows:

PARAMETER	UNITS	Maximum	Average	
Outfall 001				
NH ₃ -N	mg/l	0.52	0.46	
Chloride	mg/l	20.8	20.3	
Nickel	μg/l	561	326	
Outfall 009				
NH ₃ -N	mg/l	<0.04		
Chloride	mg/l	23.9		
Nickel	μg/l	172		

At Outfall 002, only ammonia nitrogen was sampled (once) for the application and it had a concentration of <0.04 mg/l.

Outfall 001 consists of contact cooling water from a shot tank used for cooling nickel-based stainless-steel shots and discharges continuously for less than one day a week during normal operation from an overflow on the tank. Outfall 002 discharges non-contact cooling water from the cooling furnace and only discharges in cases of emergency (less than 6 times in the last thirteen years. Outfall 009 consists of contact cooling water from a shot tank used to cool nickel and cobalt based stainless- steel shot that discharges approximately three hours during a batch discharge with less than 12 discharges occurring during a calendar year during normal operation.

Based on this sampling data, type and frequency of wastewater being discharged, and use potable water from a public water supply, the Department does not believe there has been or will be any measurable impacts at Outfalls 001, 002 and 009 due to ammonia-nitrogen and chlorides. The Department also does not believe there has been or will be any measurable impacts at Outfall 002 due to total nickel due to the type and frequency of the discharge and the use of potable water from a public water supply.

Since application sampling for total nickel at Outfalls 001 and 009 were well above concentrations indicated by USFWS to be harmful to threatened and endangered mussels, the Endangered Mussel Species Impact Area Calculations Spreadsheet (attached) was used to evaluate the area of impact due to total nickel. Since no site-specific mussel surveys were conducted for this facility or in the near proximity, only Method 2 and 3 were used in the spreadsheet. The results indicate a maximum impact area for nickel of 2.00 square meters for Outfall 001 using the maximum effluent concentration reported in the application and a maximum impact area for nickel of 1.06 square meters for Outfall 009.

Based on the information provided above, the discharge is not believed to be having any measurable adverse effects on threatened or endangered mussel species in the Shenango River. However, the Department will establish quarterly effluent monitoring for nickel at Outfall 001 and 009 in the renewed permit to develop a dataset as a means of further evaluating potential impacts in the upcoming permit term. In addition, a Part C condition was added to the permit which will require the permittee to conduct a Pollutant Reduction Evaluation for total nickel with the purpose of identifying sources of nickel in the waste stream and implementing measures to eliminate or reduce nickel quantities in the effluent.

Proposed Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements

The limitations and monitoring requirements specified below are proposed for the draft permit, and reflect the most stringent limitations amongst technology, water quality and BPJ. Instantaneous Maximum (IMAX) limits are determined using multipliers of 2 (conventional pollutants) or 2.5 (toxic pollutants). Sample frequencies and types are derived from the "NPDES Permit Writer's Manual" (362-0400-001), SOPs and/or BPJ.

Outfall 001, Effective Period: Permit Effective Date through Permit Expiration Date.

			Effluent L	imitations.			Monitoring Requirements		
Parameter	Mass Units	(lbs/day) ⁽¹⁾		Concentrat	tions (mg/L)		Minimum ⁽²⁾	Required	
Parameter	Average Monthly	Average Weekly	Minimum	Average Monthly	Maximum	Instant. Maximum	Measurement Frequency	Sample Type	
Flow (MGD)	Report	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	Daily when Discharging	Estimate	
pH (S.U.)	XXX	XXX	6.0	XXX	9.0	XXX	Daily when Discharging	Grab	
Total Residual Chlorine	XXX	XXX	XXX	0.5	XXX	1.2	1/week	Grab	
TSS	XXX	XXX	XXX	30	XXX	75	2/month	Grab	
Total Iron	XXX	XXX	XXX	3.5	XXX	8.8	2/month	Grab	
Total Copper	Report Avg Qrtly	XXX	XXX	Report Avg Qrtly	XXX	XXX	1/quarter	Grab	
Total Silver	Report Avg Qrtly	XXX	XXX	Report Avg Qrtly	XXX	XXX	1/quarter	Grab	
Total Thallium	Report Avg Qrtly	XXX	XXX	Report Avg Qrtly	XXX	XXX	1/quarter	Grab	
Total Nickel	Report Avg Qrtly	XXX	XXX	Report Avg Qrtly	XXX	XXX	1/quarter	Grab	

Compliance Sampling Location: Outfall 001 (prior to mixing with any other waters)

Proposed Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements

The limitations and monitoring requirements specified below are proposed for the draft permit, and reflect the most stringent limitations amongst technology, water quality and BPJ. Instantaneous Maximum (IMAX) limits are determined using multipliers of 2 (conventional pollutants) or 2.5 (toxic pollutants). Sample frequencies and types are derived from the "NPDES Permit Writer's Manual" (362-0400-001), SOPs and/or BPJ.

Outfall 002. Effective Period: Permit Effective Date through Permit Expiration Date.

			Effluent L	imitations			Monitoring Requirements		
Parameter	Mass Units	(lbs/day) (1)		Concentrat	Minimum ⁽²⁾	Required			
Parameter	Average Monthly	Average Weekly	Minimum	Average Monthly	Maximum	Instant. Maximum	Measurement Frequency	Sample Type	
							Daily when		
Flow (MGD)	Report	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	Discharging	Estimate	
							Daily when		
pH (S.U.)	XXX	XXX	6.0	XXX	9.0	XXX	Discharging	Grab	

Compliance Sampling Location: Outfall 002 (prior to mixing with any other waters).

Proposed Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements

The limitations and monitoring requirements specified below are proposed for the draft permit, and reflect the most stringent limitations amongst technology, water quality and BPJ. Instantaneous Maximum (IMAX) limits are determined using multipliers of 2 (conventional pollutants) or 2.5 (toxic pollutants). Sample frequencies and types are derived from the "NPDES Permit Writer's Manual" (362-0400-001), SOPs and/or BPJ.

Outfall 009. Effective Period: Permit Effective Date through Permit Expiration Date.

			Effluent L	imitations			Monitoring Requirements		
Daramatar	Mass Units	(lbs/day) (1)		Concentrat	Minimum ⁽²⁾	Required			
Parameter	Average Monthly	Average Weekly	Minimum	Average Monthly	Maximum	Instant. Maximum	Measurement Frequency	Sample Type	
Flow (MGD)	Report	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	2/month	Estimate	
pH (S.U.)	XXX	XXX	6.0	XXX	9.0	XXX	2/month	Grab	
TSS	XXX	XXX	XXX	30	XXX	75	2/month	Grab	
Total Iron	XXX	XXX	XXX	3.5	XXX	8.8	2/month	Grab	
Total Thallium	Report Avg Qrtly	XXX	XXX	Report Avg Qrtly	XXX	XXX	1/quarter	Grab	
Total Nickel	Report Avg Qrtly	XXX	XXX	Report Avg Qrtly	XXX	XXX	1/quarter	Grab	

Compliance Sampling Location: Outfall 009 (prior to mixing with any other waters)



Discharge Information

Instructions Discharge Stream

Facility: Greenville Metals NPDES Permit No.: PA0100510 Outfall No.: 001

Evaluation Type Major Sewage / Industrial Waste Wastewater Description: Contact Cooling Water

Discharge Characteristics											
Design Flow	Hardness (mg/l)*	pH (SU)*	F	Partial Mix Fa	Complete Mix Times (min)						
(MGD)*			AFC	CFC	THH	CRL	Q ₇₋₁₀	Q _h			
0.144	137	7.3									

					0 if let	t blank	0.5 if le	eft blank	() if left blan	ık	1 if left blank	
	Discharge Pollutant	Units	Ма	x Discharge Conc	Trib Conc	Stream Conc	Daily CV	Hourly CV	Strea m CV	Fate Coeff	FOS	Criteri a Mod	Chem Transl
	Total Dissolved Solids (PWS)	mg/L		189									
1	Chloride (PWS)	mg/L		20.8									
Group	Bromide	mg/L	٧	0.05									
ق	Sulfate (PWS)	mg/L		52.3									
	Fluoride (PWS)	mg/L		0.19									
	Total Aluminum	μg/L		500									
	Total Antimony	μg/L	<	20.3									
	Total Arsenic	μg/L	<	13.7									
	Total Barium	μg/L		17.5									
	Total Beryllium	μg/L	<	0.73									
	Total Boron	μg/L		322									
	Total Cadmium	μg/L	<	0.73									
	Total Chromium (III)	μg/L		83									
	Hexavalent Chromium	μg/L		9.5									
	Total Cobalt	μg/L		115									
	Total Copper	μg/L		76									
7	Free Cyanide	μg/L		6									
Group 3	Total Cyanide	μg/L		6									
150	Dissolved Iron	μg/L		3320									
•	Total Iron	μg/L		64									
	Total Lead	μg/L		16									
	Total Manganese	μg/L		493									
	Total Mercury	μg/L		0.0023									
	Total Nickel	μg/L		561									
	Total Phenols (Phenolics) (PWS)	μg/L		9									
	Total Selenium	μg/L	<	14.3									12121212121
	Total Silver	μg/L		29									
	Total Thallium	μg/L	<	13.4									
	Total Zinc	μg/L		48									
	Total Molybdenum	μg/L											
	Acrolein	μg/L	<										
	Acrylamide	μg/L	<										
	Acrylonitrile	μg/L	<										
	Benzene	μg/L	<										
	Bromoform	μg/L	<										

ı	Camban Tatua ablanida	/1		1212121	FT 1				\$1\$1\$1\$1\$
	Carbon Tetrachloride	μg/L	<						
	Chlorobenzene	μg/L							
	Chlorodibromomethane	μg/L	<						
	Chloroethane	μg/L	<						
	2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether	μg/L	<						
	Chloroform	μg/L	<						
	Dichlorobromomethane	μg/L	<						
	1,1-Dichloroethane	μg/L	<						
က	1,2-Dichloroethane	μg/L	<						
<u>a</u>	1,1-Dichloroethylene	μg/L	<						
Group	1,2-Dichloropropane	μg/L	<						
Ō	1,3-Dichloropropylene	μg/L	<						
	1,4-Dioxane	μg/L	<		<u> </u>				
	Ethylbenzene	μg/L	<		// //				
	Methyl Bromide	μg/L	<	(1111)					
	Methyl Chloride	µg/L	<						
	Methylene Chloride	μg/L							
	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane		<						
		μg/L	<						
	Tetrachloroethylene	μg/L	<						
	Toluene	μg/L	<						
	1,2-trans-Dichloroethylene	μg/L	<						
	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	μg/L	<						
	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	μg/L	<						
	Trichloroethylene	μg/L	<						
	Vinyl Chloride	μg/L	<						
	2-Chlorophenol	μg/L	<						
	2,4-Dichlorophenol	μg/L	<						
	2,4-Dimethylphenol	μg/L	<						
	4,6-Dinitro-o-Cresol	μg/L	<						
4	2,4-Dinitrophenol	μg/L	<						
Group,	2-Nitrophenol	μg/L	<						
18	4-Nitrophenol	μg/L	<		7				
	p-Chloro-m-Cresol	μg/L	<						
	Pentachlorophenol	μg/L	<						
	Phenol	μg/L	<						
	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	μg/L	<						
	Acenaphthene	μg/L	<		77				
	Acenaphthylene	μg/L	<		<u> </u>				
	Anthracene		<		<u> </u>				
	Benzidine	μg/L							
		μg/L	<		77				
	Benzo(a)Anthracene	μg/L	<						
	Benzo(a)Pyrene	μg/L	<						
	3,4-Benzofluoranthene	μg/L	<						
	Benzo(ghi)Perylene	μg/L	<	11111					
	Benzo(k)Fluoranthene	μg/L	<						
	Bis(2-Chloroethoxy)Methane	μg/L	<						
	Bis(2-Chloroethyl)Ether	μg/L	<						
	Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)Ether	μg/L	<						
	Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)Phthalate	μg/L	<						
	4-Bromophenyl Phenyl Ether	μg/L	<						
	Butyl Benzyl Phthalate	μg/L	<						
	2-Chloronaphthalene	μg/L	<						
	4-Chlorophenyl Phenyl Ether	μg/L	<						
	Chrysene	μg/L	<						
	Dibenzo(a,h)Anthrancene	μg/L	<						
	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	μg/L	<						
	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	μg/L	<						
2	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	μg/L	<						
d 3	3,3-Dichlorobenzidine	μg/L	<						
Group	Diethyl Phthalate	μg/L	<						
<u> </u>	Dimethyl Phthalate	μg/L	<						
	Di-n-Butyl Phthalate	μg/L	<	11/1/2					
	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	μg/L	<						
1	∠,¬-บแแบบเบเนธเเธ	µg/L	`						anana

I	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	μg/L	<					
	Di-n-Octyl Phthalate	µg/L	<					
	1,2-Diphenylhydrazine		<					
	Fluoranthene	μg/L						
		μg/L	<					
	Fluorene	μg/L	<					
	Hexachlorobenzene	μg/L	<					
	Hexachlorobutadiene	μg/L	<					
	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	μg/L	<					
	Hexachloroethane	μg/L	<					
	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)Pyrene	μg/L	<					
	Isophorone	μg/L	<					
	Naphthalene	μg/L	<					
	Nitrobenzene	μg/L	<					
	n-Nitrosodimethylamine	μg/L	<					
	n-Nitrosodi-n-Propylamine	μg/L	<					
	n-Nitrosodiphenylamine	μg/L	<					
	Phenanthrene	μg/L	<		e e			
	Pyrene	μg/L	<					
	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene							
		μg/L	<					
	Aldrin	μg/L	<					
	alpha-BHC	μg/L	<					
	beta-BHC	μg/L	<					
	gamma-BHC	μg/L	<					
	delta BHC	μg/L	<					
	Chlordane	μg/L	<					
	4,4-DDT	μg/L	<					
	4,4-DDE	μg/L	<					
	4,4-DDD	μg/L	<					
	Dieldrin	μg/L	<					
	alpha-Endosulfan	µg/L	<					
	beta-Endosulfan	µg/L	<					
9	Endosulfan Sulfate	μg/L	<					
Group	Endrin		<					
2		μg/L	<					
	Endrin Aldehyde	μg/L						
	Heptachlor	μg/L	<					
	Heptachlor Epoxide	μg/L	<					
	PCB-1016	μg/L	<					
	PCB-1221	μg/L	<					
	PCB-1232	μg/L	<					
	PCB-1242	μg/L	<					
	PCB-1248	μg/L	<					
	PCB-1254	μg/L	<					
	PCB-1260	μg/L	<					
	PCBs, Total	μg/L	<					
	Toxaphene	µg/L	<					
	2,3,7,8-TCDD	ng/L	<					
	Gross Alpha	pCi/L	Ì					
	Total Beta	pCi/L	<					
b 7	Radium 226/228		<	(111111)				
Group		pCi/L						
S.	Total Strontium	μg/L	<					
	Total Uranium	μg/L	<					
	Osmotic Pressure	mOs/kg						
				* 1 × 1 × 1 × 1 × 1 × 1 × 1	4			



Stream / Surface Water Information

Greenville Metals, NPDES Permit No. PA0100510, Outfall 001

Instructions Disch	Stream.							
Receiving Surface W	/ater Name: She	<mark>enango Rive</mark>	r			No. Reaches to Mod	el: <u>1</u>	Statewide CriteriaGreat Lakes Criteria
Location	Stream Code*	RMI*	Elevation (ft)*	DA (mi²)*	Slope (ft/ft)	PWS Withdrawal (MGD)	Apply Fish Criteria*	ORSANCO Criteria
Point of Discharge	036482	55.53	925	331			Yes	
End of Reach 1	035482	28.88	842	701		0.1	Yes	

Q 7-10

Location	RMI	LFY	Flow	(cfs)	W/D	Width	Depth	Velocit	Travei Time	Tributa	ary	Strea	m	Analys	sis
Location	IXIVII	(cfs/mi ²)*	Stream	Tributary	Ratio	(ft)	(ft)	y (fps)	(days)	Hardness	рН	Hardness*	рН*	Hardness	рН
Point of Discharge	55.53	0.161				100						139.4	7.4		
End of Reach 1	28.88	0.161	143.8									139.4	7.4		

\mathbf{Q}_h															
Location	RMI	LFY	Flow	v (cfs)	W/D	Width	Depth	Velocit	Time	Tributa	ary	Stream	n	Analys	sis
Location	KIVII	(cfs/mi ²)	Stream	Tributary	Ratio	(ft)	(ft)	y (fps)	(days)	Hardness	рН	Hardness	рН	Hardness	рН
Point of Discharge	55.53														
End of Reach 1	28.88														



Model Results

Greenville Metals, NPDES Permit No. PA0100510, Outfall 001

Instructions	s Results		RETURN	TO INPUT	rs (SAVE AS PE	DF	PRINT	● A	.ll	Results	O Limits	
☑ Hydrod	lynamics												
Q ₇₋₁₀												L raval	
RMI	Stream Flow (cfs)	PWS Withdra (cfs)		Net Stream Flow (cfs)		arge Analysis low (cfs)	Slope (ft/ft	Depth (f	:) Width	(ft) W/D Ratio	Velocity (fps)	Time (days)	Complete Mix Time (min)
55.53	53.29			53.29		0.223	0.00059	1.174	100.	117.201	0.456	(days) 3.572	440.21
28.88	143.80	0.155		143.6453									
Q _h													
RMI	Stream Flow (cfs)	PWS Withdra (cfs)		Net Stream Flow (cfs)		arge Analysis low (cfs)	Slope (ft/ft	Depth (f	(t) Width	(ft) W/D Ratio	Velocity (fps)	Traver Time (days)	Complete Mix Time (min)
55.53	239.93			239.93		0.223	0.00059	2.272	100.	44.015	1.057	1.541	164.491
28.88	571.308	0.155		571.15									
✓ Wasteld	oad Allocatio	CCT (r	<u>-</u>	15	PMF:	0.185	Analys	is Hardness	s (mg/l):	139.35	Analysis pH:	7.40	
	Pollutants		Conc (µg/L)	Stream CV	Trib Conc (µg/L)	Fate Coef	(µg/L)	(μg/L)	VLA (µg/L)		С	omments	
	ssoived Soilds	\ /	U	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A				
1	Chloride (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A				

Pollutants	Conc (µg/L)	CV	(μg/L)	Coef	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	WLA (µg/L)	Comments
Total Dissolved Solids (PWS)	0	U		U	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Chloride (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Sulfate (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Fluoride (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Aluminum	0	0		0	750	750	33,869	
Total Antimony	0	0		0	1,100	1,100	49,675	
Total Arsenic	0	0		0	340	340	15,354	Chem Translator of 1 applied
Total Barium	0	0		0	21,000	21,000	948,334	
Total Boron	0	0		0	8,100	8,100	365,786	
Total Cadmium	0	0		0	2.780	2.99	135	Chem Translator of 0.93 applied
Total Chromium (III)	0	0		0	747.670	2,366	106,848	Chem Translator of 0.316 applied
Hexavalent Chromium	0	0		0	16	16.3	736	Chem Translator of 0.982 applied
Total Cobalt	0	0		0	95	95.0	4,290	

Total Copper	0	0	0	18.371	19.1	864	Chem Translator of 0.96 applied
Free Cyanide	0	0	0	22	22.0	993	
Dissolved Iron	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Iron	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Lead	0	0	0	92.502	125	5,625	Chem Translator of 0.743 applied
Total Manganese	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Mercury	0	0	0	1.400	1.65	74.4	Chem Translator of 0.85 applied
Total Nickel	0	0	0	619.970	621	28,053	Chem Translator of 0.998 applied
Total Phenols (Phenolics) (PWS)	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Selenium	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	Chem Translator of 0.922 applied
Total Silver	0	0	0	5.692	6.7	302	Chem Translator of 0.85 applied
Total Thallium	0	0	0	65	65.0	2,935	
Total Zinc	0	0	0	155.220	159	7,167	Chem Translator of 0.978 applied

☑ CFC	CCT (min): ######	PMF: 1	Analysis Hardness (mg/l):	139.39	Analysis pH:	7.40
-------	-------------------	--------	---------------------------	--------	--------------	------

Pollutants	Stream Conc (µg/L)	Stream CV	Trib Conc (μg/L)	Fate Coef	WQC (µg/L)	WQ Obj (µg/L)	WLA (µg/L)	Comments
Total Dissolved Solids (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Chloride (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Sulfate (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Fluoride (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Aluminum	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Antimony	0	0		0	220	220	52,849	
Total Arsenic	0	0		0	150	150	36,033	Chem Translator of 1 applied
Total Barium	0	0		0	4,100	4,100	984,910	
Total Boron	0	0		0	1,600	1,600	384,355	
Total Cadmium	0	0		0	0.310	0.35	83.1	Chem Translator of 0.895 applied
Total Chromium (III)	0	0		0	97.281	113	27,173	Chem Translator of 0.86 applied
Hexavalent Chromium	0	0		0	10	10.4	2,497	Chem Translator of 0.962 applied
Total Cobalt	0	0		0	19	19.0	4,564	
Total Copper	0	0		0	11.895	12.4	2,976	Chem Translator of 0.96 applied
Free Cyanide	0	0		0	5.2	5.2	1,249	
Dissolved Iron	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Iron	0	0		0	1,500	1,500	360,333	WQC = 30 day average; PMF = 1
Total Lead	0	0		0	3.606	4.86	1,166	Chem Translator of 0.743 applied
Total Manganese	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Mercury	0	0		0	0.770	0.91	218	Chem Translator of 0.85 applied
Total Nickel	0	0		0	68.878	69.1	16,596	Chem Translator of 0.997 applied
Total Phenols (Phenolics) (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Selenium	0	0		0	4.600	4.99	1,199	Chem Translator of 0.922 applied
Total Silver	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	Chem Translator of 1 applied
Total Thallium	0	0		0	13	13.0	3,123	
Total Zinc	0	0		0	156.531	159	38,136	Chem Translator of 0.986 applied

✓ THH CCT (min): #####	# THH PMF: 1	Analysis Hardness (mg/l):	N/A Analysis pH:	N/A	PWS PMF:	1
-------------------------------	--------------	---------------------------	------------------	-----	----------	---

Pollutants	Stream	Stream	Trib Conc	Fate	WQC	WQ Obj	WLA (µg/L)	Comments
	(µg/L)	CV	(µg/L)	Coef	(µg/L)	(µg/L)		
Total Dissolved Solids (PWS)	0	0		0	500,000	500,000		WQC applied at RMI 28.88 with a design stream flow of 143.8 c
Chloride (PWS)	0	0		0	250,000	250,000	#########	Ü
Sulfate (PWS)	0	0		0	250,000	250,000	#########	WQC applied at RMI 28.88 with a design stream flow of 143.8 c
Fluoride (PWS)	0	0		0	2,000	2,000	1,293,029	WQC applied at RMI 28.88 with a design stream flow of 143.8 c
Total Aluminum	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Antimony	0	0		0	5.6	5.6	1,345	
Total Arsenic	0	0		0	10	10.0	2,402	
Total Barium	0	0		0	2,400	2,400	576,533	
Total Boron	0	0		0	3,100	3,100	744,688	
Total Cadmium	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Chromium (III)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hexavalent Chromium	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Cobalt	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Copper	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Free Cyanide	0	0		0	140	140	33,631	
Dissolved Iron	0	0		0	300	300	72,067	
Total Iron	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Lead	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Manganese	0	0		0	1,000	1,000	240,222	
Total Mercury	0	0		0	0.050	0.05	12.0	
Total Nickel	0	0		0	610	610	146,535	
Total Phenols (Phenolics) (PWS)	0	0		0	5	5.0	3,233	WQC applied at RMI 28.88 with a design stream flow of 143.8 c
Total Selenium	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	-
Total Silver	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Thallium	0	0		0	0.24	0.24	57.7	
Total Zinc	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Pollutants	Stream Conc (µg/L)	Stream CV	Trib Conc (µg/L)	Fate Coef	WQC (µg/L)	WQ Obj (µg/L)	WLA (μg/L)	Comments
Total Dissolved Solids (PWS)	" 0	U		U	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Chloride (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Sulfate (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Fluoride (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Aluminum	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Antimony	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Arsenic	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Barium	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Boron	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Cadmium	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Chromium (III)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Hexavalent Chromium	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Cobalt	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Copper	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Free Cyanide	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Dissolved Iron	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Iron	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Lead	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Manganese	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Mercury	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Nickel	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Phenols (Phenolics) (PWS)	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Selenium	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Silver	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Thallium	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Zinc	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

☑ Recommended WQBELs & Monitoring Requirements

No. Samples/Month:

	Mass	Limits		Concentra	tion Limits				
Pollutants	AML (lbs/day)	MDL (lbs/day)	AML	MDL	IMAX	Units	Governing WQBEL	WQBEL Basis	Comments
Total Copper	Report	Report	Report	Report	Report	μg/L	554	AFC	Discharge Conc > 10% WQBEL (no RP)
Total Silver	Report	Report	Report	Report	Report	μg/L	194	AFC	Discharge Conc > 10% WQBEL (no RP)
Total Thallium	Report	Report	Report	Report	Report	μg/L	57.7	THH	Discharge Conc > 10% WQBEL (no RP)

☑ Other Pollutants without Limits or Monitoring

The following pollutants do not require effluent limits or monitoring based on water quality because reasonable potential to exceed water quality criteria was not determined and the discharge concentration was less than thresholds for monitoring, or the pollutant was not detected and a sufficiently sensitive analytical method was used (e.g., <= Target QL).

Pollutants	Governing WQBEL	Units	Comments
Total Dissolved Solids (PWS)	323,257	mg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Chloride (PWS)	161,629	mg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Bromide	N/A	N/A	No WQS
Sulfate (PWS)	161,629	mg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Fluoride (PWS)	1,293	mg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Aluminum	21,709	μg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Antimony	1,345	μg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Arsenic	2,402	μg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Barium	576,533	μg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Beryllium	N/A	N/A	No WQS
Total Boron	234,454	μg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL

Total Cadmium	83.1	μg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Chromium (III)	27,173	μg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Hexavalent Chromium	472	μg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Cobalt	2,750	μg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Free Cyanide	637	μg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 25% WQBEL
Total Cyanide	N/A	N/A	No WQS
Dissolved Iron	72,067	μg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Iron	360,333	μg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Lead	1,166	μg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Manganese	240,222	μg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Mercury	12.0	μg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Nickel	16,596	μg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Phenols (Phenolics) (PWS)	3,233	μg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Selenium	1,199	μg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Zinc	4,594	μg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Molybdenum	N/A	N/A	No WQS



Discharge Information

Instructions Discharge Stream

Facility: Greenville Metals NPDES Permit No.: PA0100510 Outfall No.: 009

Evaluation Type Major Sewage / Industrial Waste Wastewater Description: Contact Cooling Water

		Discharge Characteristics														
Design Flow	Hardness (mg/l)* DH (SU)*															
(MGD)*	Hardness (mg/l)	рп (50)	AFC	CFC	THH	CRL	Q ₇₋₁₀	\mathbf{Q}_{h}								
0.096	188	8														

					0 if lef	t blank	0.5 if le	eft blank	() if left blan	k	1 if lef	ft blank
	Discharge Pollutant	Units	Ма	x Discharge Conc	Trib Conc	Stream Conc	Daily CV	Hourly CV	Strea m CV	Fate Coeff	FOS	Criteri a Mod	Chem Transl
	Total Dissolved Solids (PWS)	mg/L		220									
0 1	Chloride (PWS)	mg/L		23.9									
Group	Bromide	mg/L	٧	0.05									
ē	Sulfate (PWS)	mg/L		71.5									
	Fluoride (PWS)	mg/L		0.22									
	Total Aluminum	μg/L		19									
	Total Antimony	μg/L	<	30									
	Total Arsenic	μg/L	<	20									
	Total Barium	μg/L		6									
	Total Beryllium	μg/L	<	1									
	Total Boron	μg/L		1300									
	Total Cadmium	μg/L	<	1									
	Total Chromium (III)	μg/L		9									
	Hexavalent Chromium	μg/L		7.1									
	Total Cobalt	μg/L	<	4									
	Total Copper	μg/L	<	6									
7	Free Cyanide	μg/L											
l d	Total Cyanide	μg/L	<	5									
Group 3	Dissolved Iron	μg/L		16									
•	Total Iron	μg/L		283									
	Total Lead	μg/L	<	16									
	Total Manganese	μg/L		14									
	Total Mercury	μg/L	<	0.002									
	Total Nickel	μg/L		172									
	Total Phenols (Phenolics) (PWS)	μg/L	<	5	aaaaaa								
	Total Selenium	μg/L	<	20									
	Total Silver	μg/L	<	3									
	Total Thallium	μg/L	<	20									
	Total Zinc	μg/L		8									
	Total Molybdenum	μg/L											
	Acrolein	μg/L	<										
	Acrylamide	μg/L	<										
	Acrylonitrile	μg/L	<										
	Benzene	μg/L	<										
	Bromoform	μg/L	<										

Carbon Tetrachicide Upt) <	ı	0 1 7 11 11			11111	#####				111111111
Chicocothy Virryl Ether 19/1.				<						
Chicorethy Viryli Ether yard.										
Sectionsethyl Vinyl Ether ygl.				<						
Dichloroteromename UpU			μg/L	<						
Districtor the content of the cont		2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether	μg/L	<						
1.2-Dichiorosthane 1.2-Dichiorosthane 1.2-Dichiorosthane 1.2-Dichiorosthylene 1.2-Dichiorosth		Chloroform	μg/L	<						
T. Dichisocethane B. 1.2 Dichisocethylene Upt. c Enylencene Upt. c Helylencene Upt. c Enylencene Upt. c Methyl Bronide Upt. c I.1.2 Tenteriorochane III.2 Tenteri		Dichlorobromomethane	μg/L	<						
1.1-Dichloreshylene		1,1-Dichloroethane		<						
B 1.2 Dichloropropries 191 4 1.2	1									
1,4-Discase	р	•								
1,4-Discase	no									
1,4-Discase	ō									
Ettybenzene										
Methyl Bromide µg/L										
Methylochorde										
Methylene Chloride				<						
1.1.2.2-Tetrachioroethyane				<						
Totachioroethylane			μg/L	<						
Toluane		1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane		<						
1.2-trans-Dichloredhylene		Tetrachloroethylene	μg/L	<						
1,2-trans-Dichloroethylene		Toluene		<						
1.1.1-Trichforcethane		1,2-trans-Dichloroethylene		<						
1.1.2-Trichloroethane				<						
Trickloroethylene				<						
Viny Chloride										
Chlorophenol yg/L										
2.4-Dinetryphenol µg/L										
2.4-Dimethylphenol						7777				
4 Action					100					
2.4-Dintrophenol µg/L										
Part										
P-Chloro-m-Cresol μg/L										
P-Chloro-m-Cresol μg/L	2	-								
Pentachlorophenol μg/L <	_	•								
Phenol			µg/L							
2.4,6-Trichlorophenol										
Acenaphthylene										
Acenaphthylene		•								
Anthracene μg/L Benzidine μg/L Benzo(a)Anthracene μg/L Benzo(a)Pyrene μg/L 3,4-Benzofluoranthene μg/L Benzo(ghi)Perylene μg/L Benzo(k)Fluoranthene μg/L Benzo(k)Fluoranthene μg/L Bis(2-Chloroethoxy)Methane μg/L Bis(2-Chloroethoxy)Bether μg/L Bis(2-Chloroethy)Ether μg/L Bis(2-Chlorosporopyl)Ether μg/L Bis(2-Chlorosporopyl)Ether μg/L Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)Phthalate μg/L 4-Bromophenyl Phenyl Ether μg/L Butyl Benzyl Phthalate μg/L Butyl Benzyl Phthalate μg/L Chrysene μg/L Dibenzo(a,h)Anthrancene μg/L 1,2-Dichlorobenzene μg/L 1,3-Dichlorobenzene μg/L <t< td=""><th></th><td>-</td><td></td><td><</td><td>(11)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>		-		<	(11)					
Benzidine				<						
Benzo(a)Anthracene			μg/L	<						
Benzo(a)Pyrene		Benzidine	μg/L	<						
3,4-Benzofluoranthene		Benzo(a)Anthracene	μg/L	<						
Benzo(ghi)Perylene		Benzo(a)Pyrene	μg/L	<						
Benzo(ghi)Perylene		3,4-Benzofluoranthene	μg/L	<						
Benzo(k)Fluoranthene		Benzo(ghi)Perylene		<						
Bis(2-Chloroethoxy)Methane μg/L <				<						
Bis(2-Chloroethyl)Ether		• •								
Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)Ether				<						
Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)Phthalate										
4-Bromophenyl Phenyl Ether μg/L Butyl Benzyl Phthalate μg/L 2-Chloronaphthalene μg/L 4-Chlorophenyl Phenyl Ether μg/L Chrysene μg/L Dibenzo(a,h)Anthrancene μg/L 1,2-Dichlorobenzene μg/L 1,3-Dichlorobenzene μg/L 1,4-Dichlorobenzene μg/L 3,3-Dichlorobenzidine μg/L Diethyl Phthalate μg/L Dimethyl Phthalate μg/L Din-Butyl Phthalate μg/L										
Butyl Benzyl Phthalate					1111					
2-Chloronaphthalene μg/L <										
4-Chlorophenyl Phenyl Ether μg/L <		-			1111					
Chrysene μg/L Dibenzo(a,h)Anthrancene μg/L 1,2-Dichlorobenzene μg/L 1,3-Dichlorobenzene μg/L 1,4-Dichlorobenzene μg/L 3,3-Dichlorobenzidine μg/L Diethyl Phthalate μg/L Dimethyl Phthalate μg/L Din-Butyl Phthalate μg/L		-			(1/1)					
Dibenzo(a,h)Anthrancene μg/L 1,2-Dichlorobenzene μg/L 1,3-Dichlorobenzene μg/L 1,4-Dichlorobenzene μg/L 3,3-Dichlorobenzidine μg/L Diethyl Phthalate μg/L Dimethyl Phthalate μg/L Din-Butyl Phthalate μg/L										
1,2-Dichlorobenzene μg/L < 1,3-Dichlorobenzene μg/L < 1,4-Dichlorobenzene μg/L < 1,4-Dichlorobenzidine μg/L < 1,3-Dichlorobenzidine μg/L < 1,4-Dichlorobenzidine										
1,3-Dichlorobenzene μg/L < 1,4-Dichlorobenzene μg/L < 1,4-Dichlorobenzidine μg/L < 1,3-Dichlorobenzidine μg/L < 1,3-Dichlorobenzidine μg/L < 1,4-Dichlorobenzidine μg/L < 1,5-Diethyl Phthalate μg/L < 1,5-Diethyl Phthala					1111					
1,4-Dichlorobenzene μg/L <										
3,3-Dichlorobenzidine										
Di-n-Butyl Phthalate	ι,									
Di-n-Butyl Phthalate	l p									
Di-n-Butyl Phthalate	3ro	-								
		-		<						
2,4-Dinitrotoluene µg/L < /		-								
		2,4-Dinitrotoluene	μg/L	<						

1	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	μg/L	<					
	Di-n-Octyl Phthalate	µg/L	<		1			
	1,2-Diphenylhydrazine		<					
	Fluoranthene	μg/L						
		μg/L	<					
	Fluorene	μg/L	<					
	Hexachlorobenzene	μg/L	<					
	Hexachlorobutadiene	μg/L	<					
	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	μg/L	<					
	Hexachloroethane	μg/L	<					
	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)Pyrene	μg/L	<					
	Isophorone	μg/L	<					
	Naphthalene	μg/L	<					
	Nitrobenzene	μg/L	<					
	n-Nitrosodimethylamine	μg/L	<					
	n-Nitrosodi-n-Propylamine	μg/L	<					
	n-Nitrosodiphenylamine	μg/L	<		1			
	Phenanthrene							
		μg/L	<					
	Pyrene	μg/L	<					
	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	μg/L	<					
	Aldrin	μg/L	<					
	alpha-BHC	μg/L	<					
	beta-BHC	μg/L	<					
	gamma-BHC	μg/L	<					
	delta BHC	μg/L	٧					
	Chlordane	μg/L	<					
	4,4-DDT	μg/L	<					
	4,4-DDE	μg/L	<					
	4,4-DDD	μg/L	<					
	Dieldrin	μg/L	<					
	alpha-Endosulfan	µg/L	<					
	beta-Endosulfan	μg/L	<					
9	Endosulfan Sulfate	μg/L	<					
ᅀ								
Group	Endrin	μg/L	<					
	Endrin Aldehyde	μg/L						
	Heptachlor	μg/L	<					
	Heptachlor Epoxide	μg/L	<					
	PCB-1016	μg/L	<					
	PCB-1221	μg/L	<					
	PCB-1232	μg/L	<					
	PCB-1242	μg/L	<					
	PCB-1248	μg/L	<					
	PCB-1254	μg/L	<					
	PCB-1260	μg/L	<					
	PCBs, Total	μg/L	<					
	Toxaphene	µg/L	<					
	2,3,7,8-TCDD	ng/L	<					
	Gross Alpha	pCi/L						
2 7	Total Beta	pCi/L	<					
Group	Radium 226/228	pCi/L	<					
3rc	Total Strontium	μg/L	<	11/1/1/				
	Total Uranium	μg/L	<					
	Osmotic Pressure	mOs/kg						



Stream / Surface Water Information

Greenville Metals, NPDES Permit No. PA0100510, Outfall 009

Instructions Disch	arge Stream							
Receiving Surface W	/ater Name:					No. Reaches to Mod	el: <u>1</u>	Statewide CriteriaGreat Lakes Criteria
Location	Stream Code*	RMI*	Elevation (ft)*	DA (mi²)*	Slope (ft/ft)	PWS Withdrawal (MGD)	Apply Fish Criteria*	ORSANCO Criteria
Point of Discharge	036482	55.53	925	331			Yes	
End of Reach 1	035482	28.88	842	701		0.1	Yes	

Q ₇₋₁₀

Location	RMI	LFY	Flow	(cfs)	W/D	Width	Depth	Velocit	Travel Time	Tributa	ary	Strea	m	Analys	sis
Location	KIVII	(cfs/mi ²)*	Stream	Tributary	Ratio	(ft)	(ft)	y (fps)	(days)	Hardness	рН	Hardness*	pH*	Hardness	рН
Point of Discharge	55.53	0.161									Hillian .	139.4	7.4		
End of Reach 1	28.88	0.161	143.8									139.4	7.4		

Q_h															
Location	RMI	LFY	Flow	/ (cfs)	W/D	Width	Depth	Velocit	Time	Tributa	ary	Stream	m	Analys	sis
Location	KIVII	(cfs/mi ²)	Stream	Tributary	Ratio	(ft)	(ft)	y (fps)	(days)	Hardness	рН	Hardness	рН	Hardness	рН
Point of Discharge	55.53														
End of Reach 1	28.88														



Model Results

Greenville Metals, NPDES Permit No. PA0100510, Outfall 009

Instructions	Results		RETURN	N TO INPU	TS	S	AVE AS P	DF		PRINT		All	Inputs	Results	○ Limits	
	ynamics															
Q ₇₋₁₀																
RMI	Stream Flow (cfs)	PWS Without (cfs)		Net Strear Flow (cfs		_	ge Analysi w (cfs)	Slope (f	t/ft)	Depth (f	t) V	Vidth (ft)	W/D Ratio	Velocity (fps)	Time (days)	Complete Mix Time (min)
55.53	53.29			53.29		0.	.149	0.0003	59	1.001	1	17.218	117.149	0.456	(days) 3.574	770.448
28.88	143.80	0.15	5	143.6453	3											
Q _h								•							1707/01	
RMI	Stream Flow (cfs)	PWS With (cfs)		Net Stream Flow (cfs		Flov	ge Analysi w (cfs)	Slope (I		Depth (f		Vidth (ft)	W/D Ratio	Velocity (fps)	Time (days)	Complete Mix Time (min)
55.53	239.93			239.93		0.	.149	0.0003	59	1.938	1	17.218	60.484	1.057	1.541	287.064
28.88	571.308	0.15	5	571.15												
✓ Wasteld	oad Allocatio		Γ (min):	15	PMI	F: [0.140	Ana	lysis	Hardnes	s (mg/l	l): 14	0.35	Analysis pH:	7.41	
	Pollutants		Conc (µg/L)	Stream CV	(µg/	/L)	Fate Coef	WQC (µg/L)	(1	ug/L)	۷LA (پ			Co	omments	
	SSOIVED SOIIDS		0	_			0	N/A		N/A	N/A					
	Chloride (PWS)	0	0			0	N/A		N/A	N/A	4				

Pollutants	Conc (µg/L)	Stream CV	Trib Conc (µg/L)	Fate Coef	WQC (µg/L)	WQ Obj (µg/L)	WLA (µg/L)	Comments
Total Dissolved Solids (PVVS)	0	U		U	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Chloride (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Sulfate (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Fluoride (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Aluminum	0	0		0	750	750	38,302	
Total Antimony	0	0		0	1,100	1,100	56,176	
Total Arsenic	0	0		0	340	340	17,363	Chem Translator of 1 applied
Total Barium	0	0		0	21,000	21,000	1,072,443	
Total Boron	0	0		0	8,100	8,100	413,656	
Total Cadmium	0	0		0	2.800	3.01	154	Chem Translator of 0.93 applied
Total Chromium (III)	0	0		0	752.083	2,380	121,544	Chem Translator of 0.316 applied
Hexavalent Chromium	0	0		0	16	16.3	832	Chem Translator of 0.982 applied
Total Cobalt	0	0		0	95	95.0	4,852	

Total Copper	0	0	0	18.496	19.3	984	Chem Translator of 0.96 applied
Dissolved Iron	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Iron	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Lead	0	0	0	93.221	126	6,419	Chem Translator of 0.742 applied
Total Manganese	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Mercury	0	0	0	1.400	1.65	84.1	Chem Translator of 0.85 applied
Total Nickel	0	0	0	623.750	625	31,918	Chem Translator of 0.998 applied
Total Phenols (Phenolics) (PWS)	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Selenium	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	Chem Translator of 0.922 applied
Total Silver	0	0	0	5.763	6.78	346	Chem Translator of 0.85 applied
Total Thallium	0	0	0	65	65.0	3,319	
Total Zinc	0	0	0	156.168	160	8,155	Chem Translator of 0.978 applied

✓ CFC	CCT (min): 720	PMF: 0.967	Analysis Hardness (mg/l):	139.54	Analysis pH:	7.40	
-------	----------------	------------	---------------------------	--------	--------------	------	--

Pollutants	Stream Conc (µg/L)	Stream CV	Trib Conc (μg/L)	Fate Coef	WQC (µg/L)	WQ Obj (µg/L)	WLA (μg/L)	Comments
Total Dissolved Solids (PWS)	0	0		Ü	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Chloride (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Sulfate (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Fluoride (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Aluminum	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Antimony	0	0		0	220	220	76,535	
Total Arsenic	0	0		0	150	150	52,183	Chem Translator of 1 applied
Total Barium	0	0		0	4,100	4,100	1,426,333	
Total Boron	0	0		0	1,600	1,600	556,618	
Total Cadmium	0	0		0	0.310	0.35	121	Chem Translator of 0.895 applied
Total Chromium (III)	0	0		0	97.367	113	39,387	Chem Translator of 0.86 applied
Hexavalent Chromium	0	0		0	10	10.4	3,616	Chem Translator of 0.962 applied
Total Cobalt	0	0		0	19	19.0	6,610	
Total Copper	0	0		0	11.905	12.4	4,314	Chem Translator of 0.96 applied
Dissolved Iron	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Iron	0	0		0	1,500	1,500	539,749	WQC = 30 day average; PMF = 1
Total Lead	0	0		0	3.610	4.86	1,692	Chem Translator of 0.742 applied
Total Manganese	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Mercury	0	0		0	0.770	0.91	315	Chem Translator of 0.85 applied
Total Nickel	0	0		0	68.940	69.1	24,055	Chem Translator of 0.997 applied
Total Phenols (Phenolics) (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Selenium	0	0		0	4.600	4.99	1,736	Chem Translator of 0.922 applied
Total Silver	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	Chem Translator of 1 applied
Total Thallium	0	0		0	13	13.0	4,523	
Total Zinc	0	0		0	156.674	159	55,278	Chem Translator of 0.986 applied

	Stream	Stream	Trib Conc	Fate	WQC	WQ Obj		
า บแนเสมเอ	COLIC	-	-			-	vv∟∧ (μg/∟)	Oonimento

Analysis Hardness (mg/l):

N/A

Analysis pH:

PWS PMF:

N/A

☑ THH

CCT (min):

720

THH PMF:

0.967

	(µg/L)	CV	(µg/L)	Coef	(µg/L)	(µg/L)		
Total Dissolved Solids (PWS)	0	0		0	500,000	500,000	########	WQC applied at RMI 28.88 with a design stream flow of 143.8 cf
Chloride (PWS)	0	0		0	250,000	250,000	########	WQC applied at RMI 28.88 with a design stream flow of 143.8 cf
Sulfate (PWS)	0	0		0	250,000	250,000	########	WQC applied at RMI 28.88 with a design stream flow of 143.8 cf
Fluoride (PWS)	0	0		0	2,000	2,000	1,938,544	WQC applied at RMI 28.88 with a design stream flow of 143.8 cf
Total Aluminum	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Antimony	0	0		0	5.6	5.6	1,948	
Total Arsenic	0	0		0	10	10.0	3,479	
Total Barium	0	0		0	2,400	2,400	834,927	
Total Boron	0	0		0	3,100	3,100	1,078,447	
Total Cadmium	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Chromium (III)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hexavalent Chromium	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Cobalt	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Copper	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Dissolved Iron	0	0		0	300	300	104,366	
Total Iron	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Lead	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Manganese	0	0		0	1,000	1,000	347,886	
Total Mercury	0	0		0	0.050	0.05	17.4	
Total Nickel	0	0		0	610	610	212,211	
Total Phenols (Phenolics) (PWS)	0	0		0	5	5.0	4,846	WQC applied at RMI 28.88 with a design stream flow of 143.8 c
Total Selenium	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Silver	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Thallium	0	0		0	0.24	0.24	83.5	
Total Zinc	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Pollutants	Stream Conc (µg/L)	Stream CV	Trib Conc (µg/L)	Fate Coef	WQC (µg/L)	WQ Obj (µg/L)	WLA (μg/L)	Comments
Total Dissolved Solids (PWS)	" 0	U		U	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Chloride (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Sulfate (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Fluoride (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Aluminum	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Antimony	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Arsenic	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Barium	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Boron	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Cadmium	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Chromium (III)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hexavalent Chromium	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Cobalt	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Copper	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Dissolved Iron	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Iron	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Lead	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Manganese	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Mercury	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Nickel	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Phenols (Phenolics) (PWS)	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Selenium	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Silver	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Thallium	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Zinc	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

☑ Recommended WQBELs & Monitoring Requirements

No. Samples/Month:

4

<u>. </u>	Mass	Limits	Concentration Limits						
Pollutants	AML (lbs/day)	MDL (lbs/day)	AML	MDL	IMAX	Units	Governing WQBEL	WQBEL Basis	Comments
Total Thallium	Report	Report	Report	Report	Report	μg/L	83.5	THH	Discharge Conc > 10% WQBEL (no RP)

☑ Other Pollutants without Limits or Monitoring

The following pollutants do not require effluent limits or monitoring based on water quality because reasonable potential to exceed water quality criteria was not determined and the discharge concentration was less than thresholds for monitoring, or the pollutant was not detected and a sufficiently sensitive analytical method was used (e.g., <= Target QL).

Pollutants	Governing WQBEL	Units	Comments
Total Dissolved Solids (PWS)	484,636	mg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Chloride (PWS)	242,318	mg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Bromide	N/A	N/A	No WQS
Sulfate (PWS)	242,318	mg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Fluoride (PWS)	1,939	mg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Aluminum	24,550	μg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Antimony	1,948	μg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Arsenic	3,479	μg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Barium	687,392	μg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Beryllium	N/A	N/A	No WQS
Total Boron	265,137	μg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Cadmium	98.6	μg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Chromium (III)	39,387	μg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Hexavalent Chromium	533	μg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Cobalt	3,110	μg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Copper	631	μg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Cyanide	N/A	N/A	No WQS

Dissolved Iron	104,366	μg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Iron	539,749	μg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Lead	1,692	μg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Manganese	347,886	μg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Mercury	17.4	μg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Total Nickel	20,458	μg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Phenols (Phenolics) (PWS)	4,846	μg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Total Selenium	1,736	μg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Silver	222	μg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Zinc	5,227	μg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Molybdenum	N/A	N/A	No WQS

TRC EVALUA	ATION									
Input appropria	te values in	A3:A9 and D3:D9								
53.29	= Q stream (cfs) 0.5 = CV Daily									
0.029	= Q discharg	e (MGD)	0.5	= CV Hourly						
4	= no. sample	s	0.185	= AFC_Partial N	lix Factor					
0.3	= Chlorine D	emand of Stream	1	= CFC_Partial N	lix Factor					
0	= Chlorine D	emand of Discharge	15	= AFC_Criteria	Compliance Time (min)					
0.5	= BAT/BPJ V	alue	720	= CFC_Criteria	Compliance Time (min)					
0	= % Factor o	f Safety (FOS)		=Decay Coeffic	ient (K)					
Source	Reference	AFC Calculations		Reference	CFC Calculations					
TRC	1.3.2.iii	WLA afc =	70.119	1.3.2.iii	WLA cfc = 369.429					
PENTOXSD TRG	5.1a	LTAMULT afc =	0.373	5.1c	LTAMULT cfc = 0.581					
PENTOXSD TRG	5.1b	LTA_afc=	26.128	5.1d	LTA_cfc = 214.769					
Source		Effluer	nt Limit Calcul	lations						
PENTOXSD TRG	5.1f		AML MULT =							
PENTOXSD TRG	5.1g		LIMIT (mg/l) =		BAT/BPJ					
		INOT MIAA	LIMIT (mg/l) =	1.170						
WLA afc	•	FC_tc)) + [(AFC_Yc*Qs*.019 C_Yc*Qs*Xs/Qd)]*(1-FOS/10	•	s_tc))						
LTAMULT afc	EXP((0.5*LN	 (cvh^2+1))-2.326*LN(cvh^2+	·1)^0.5)							
LTA_afc	wla_afc*LTA	MULT_afc								
WLA_cfc	(.011/e(-k*CFC_tc) + [(CFC_Yc*Qs*.011/Qd*e(-k*CFC_tc)) + Xd + (CFC_Yc*Qs*Xs/Qd)]*(1-FOS/100)									
LTAMULT_cfc LTA_cfc	EXP((0.5*LN(cvd^2/no_samples+1))-2.326*LN(cvd^2/no_samples+1)^0.5)									
AML MULT AVG MON LIMIT	wla_cfc*LTAMULT_cfc EXP(2.326*LN((cvd^2/no_samples+1)^0.5)-0.5*LN(cvd^2/no_samples+1)) MIN(BAT_BPJ,MIN(LTA_afc,LTA_cfc)*AML_MULT)									
INST MAX LIMIT	-	n_limit/AML_MULT)/LTAMUL	•							

Facility:		Greenville Metals							
Permit N	lumber:	PA0100510	Effective: Pending Renewa Expiration:						
Outfall N	lo:	001							
Location	:	99 Crestview Drive Ext, Greenville, PA 16125							
Discharg		Shenango River							
Site Spec	cific Mussel Survey Completed:	NO							
	e and Stream Characteristics		Comments						
Qs	Stream Flow	34 MGD / 53.	.29 cfs						
\mathbf{Q}_{D}	Discharge Flow	0.029 MGD / 0.	.04 cfs						
$N_{S(Ni)}$	Instream nickel concentration	0 mg/L	WQN 913 ('00-'15) All results were less than the lower RL (50 ug/l)						
$N_{E(Ni)}$	Discharge nickel (existing)	561 mg/L	Max Value reported in 2019 Renewal Application						
$N_{P(Ni)}$	Discharge nickel (proposed)	561 mg/L							
C _{S(NH3-N)}	Instream NH ³ -N	mg/L							
C _{E(NH3-N)}	Discharge NH³-N (existing)	mg/L							
C _{P(NH3-N)}	Discharge NH ³ -N (proposed)	mg/L							
pHs	Instream pH	7.41 S.U.	WQN 913 (geo mean-'00-'15)(June-Sept.)						
Ts	Instream Temp.	25 °C	Default (WWF)						
C _{C(NH3-N)}	Ammonia criteria	1.058 mg/L	From ammonia criteria comparison spreadsheet -using instream pH and Temp						
$N_{C(Ni)}$	Nickel criteria	7.3 ug/L	USFWS criteria						
Ws	Stream width	30.5 meter	rs Google Earth Measurement						

pH_S	7.41	S.U.	(Default value is	s 7.0)	
T _S	25	°C	(Default value is	s 20 °)	
Acute	Criteria				
	METHOD and UNITS		CRITERIA		Comments
	Current CMC (mg TAN/L) =		4.605		
	EPA 2013 CMC (mg TAN/L)) =	6.951	Oncorhynchus present	* formula on pg. 41 (plateaus at 15.7
			6.951	Oncorhynchus absent	* formula on pg. 42 (plateaus at 10.2
Chron	ic Criteria				
	METHOD and UNITS		ETHOD and UNITS CRITERIA COMMENTS		COMMENTS
C _{C(NH3-}	N) Current CMC (mg TAN/L) =	=	1.058		
	EPA 2013 CMC (mg TAN/L)) =	1.087		* formula on pg. 46 (plateaus at 7 C)

Endangered Mussel Species Impact Area Calculations:

Existing Area of Impact

☑ N/A - No Site Specific Mussel Survey Completed for this Discharger

Approximate Area of Impact Determined from Survey =	N/A	m ²
Existing Mussel Density within Area of Impact =		
Rabbitsfoot (Quadrula cylindrical)		per m²
Northern Riffleshell (Epioblasma torulosa rangiana)		per m²
Rayed Bean (Villosa fabalis)		per m²
Clubshell (Pleurobema clava)		per m²
Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus)		per m²
Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)		per m²
ΤΟΤΔΙ	0	per m ²

(Enter N/A if no site specific survey has been completed)

<u>Method 1 - Utilizing</u> Site Specific Mussel SurveyInformation

✓ N/A - No Site Specific Mussel Survey Completed for this Discharger

This method utilizes a simple comparison of the size of the existing area of impact as determined from a site specific mussel survey and the chlorides in the existing discharge compared to the chlorides in the proposed discharge after the facility upgrades treatment technologies. This method is only applicable to where the stream impairment is caused by TDS and/or chlorides as the plume has been delineated through conductivity measurements.

A.	Area of Impact Determined from Survey:	N/A m ²
В.	Chlorides in Existing Discharge:	561 mg/L
C.	Chlorides in Proposed Discharge after Treatment Facility Upgrades:	561 mg/L
D.	Approximate Area of Impact after Treatment Facility Upgrades:	N/A m ²

A/B = D/C Therefore, D = (A*C)/B

Facility:	Greenville Metals			
Permit Number:	PA0100510	Effective: Pending Renewa	Expiration:	
Outfall No:	001			
Location:	99 Crestview Drive Ext, Gre	eenville, PA 16125		
Discharge to:	Shenango River			
Site Specific Mussel Survey Completed:	NO			

Endangered Mussel Species Impact Area Calculations: (continued...)

<u>Method 2 - Mass Balance Relationship of Loading</u> and Assimilative Capacity of Stream

	$L_{S(Ni)}$ = Available Nickel Loading in Stream = $N_{C(Ni)}$ - $N_{S(Ni)}$ X Q_{S} (MGD) X 8.34 =	2,070 lbs/Day
	$L_{D-MAX(Cl^-)}$ = Current Maximium Discharge Chloride Loading = $C_{E(CL^-)}$ X $Q_D(MGD)$ X 8.34 =	136 lbs/Day
(S	$%_{E(ni)}$ = Percent of Stream Capacity for Current Loading = $L_{D-MAX(Ni)}$ / $L_{S(Ni)}$ =	7% of Stream Capacity
Nickel ($L_{D(Ni)}$ = Proposed Discharge Ni Loading after Treatment Facility Upgrades = $N_{P(Ni)}$ X $Q_D(MGD)$ X 8.34 =	135.68346 lbs/Day
Nic	$%_{P(Ni)}$ = Percent of Stream Capacity for Proposed Loading = $L_{D(Ni)}$ / $L_{S(Ni)}$ =	6.55% of Stream Capacity
	Proposed Area of Impact due to Nickel * = $(\%_{P(Ni)} \times W_s)^{2} \times 0.5 =$	1.9984 m²
	* assuming equal flow across transect and 90° spread at discharge	
	$L_{S(NH3-N)}$ = Available NH3-N Loading in Stream = $C_{C(NH3-N)}$ - $C_{S(NH3-N)}$ X Q _S (MGD) X 8.34 =	300 lbs/Day
-Nitrogen 3-N)	$L_{D-MAX(NH3-N)} = Current Maximium Discharge NH3-N Loading = C_{E(NH3-N)} X Q_D(MGD) X 8.34 =$	0 lbs/Day
Nitro -N)	$\%_{E(NH3-N)}$ = Percent of Stream Capacity for Current Loading = $L_{D-MAX(NH3-N)}$ / $L_{S(NH3-N)}$ =	0% of Stream Capacity
onia-N	$L_{D(NH3-N)}$ = Proposed Discharge NH3-N Loading after Treatment Facility Upgrades = $C_{P(NH3-N)}$ X $Q_D(MGD)$ X 8.34 =	0 lbs/Day
Ammonia (NH3	$%_{P(NH3-N)}$ = Percent of Stream Capacity for Proposed Loading = $L_{D(NH3-N)}$ / $L_{S(NH3-N)}$ =	0.00% of Stream Capacity
Amr	Proposed Area of Impact due to NH3-N * = $(\%_{P(NH3-N)} \times W_s)^{2} \times 0.5 =$	0.0000 m ²
Ì	* assuming equal flow across transect and 90° spread at discharge	

<u>Method 3 - Mass Balance Relationship of Stream Flow, Proposed Effluent Quality, and Mussel Protection Criteria</u>

	$Q_{A(Ni)}N_{S(Ni)} + Q_{DNP(Ni)} = Q_{TNC(Ni)}$	
	Q _{A(Ni)} = Assimilative Stream Flow Required to Achieve Criteria (cfs)	
	$Q_T = Q_S + Q_D \text{ (cfs)}$	
	$Q_{A(Ni)NS(Ni)} + Q_{DNP(Ni)} = (Q_D + Q_S)N_{C(Ni)}$	
Nickel (Ni)	SOLVING FOR $Q_{A(Ni)} = [(Q_D N_{P(Ni)} / N_{C(Ni)}) - Q_D)] / (1 - N_{S(Ni)} / N_{C(Ni)}) =$	3.03 cfs
ke	% _{P(Ni)} = Percent of Stream Width Required to Assimilate Nickel to Criteria	
N Si	Concentration = $Q_{A(Ni)} / Q_S$ (cfs) =	5.69%
	W _{I(Ni)} = Proposed Width of Stream required to Assimilate Nickel to Criteria	
	Concentration = W _S X % _{P(Ni)}	1.73 meters
	Proposed Area of Impact due to Nickel * = $(W_{I(Ni)})^2 X 0.5 =$	1.50 m ²
	* assuming equal flow across transect and 90° spread at discharge	
	$Q_{A(NH3-N)}C_{S(NH3-N)} + Q_DC_{P(NH3-N)} = Q_TC_{C(NH3-N)}$	
2	$Q_{A(NH3-N)}$ = Assimilative Stream Flow Required to Achieve Criteria (cfs)	
-H3-L	$Q_T = Q_S + Q_D \text{ (cfs)}$	
Ž	$Q_{A(NH3-N)}C_{S(NH3-N)} + Q_DC_{P(NH3-N)} = (Q_D + Q_S)C_{C(NH3-N))}$	
Ammonia-Nitrogen (NH3-N)	SOLVING FOR $\mathbf{Q}_{A(NH3-N)} = [(\mathbf{Q}_D \mathbf{C}_{P(NH3-N)} / \mathbf{C}_{C(NH3-N)}) - \mathbf{Q}_D)] / (1 - \mathbf{C}_{S(NH3-N)} / \mathbf{C}_{C(NH3-N)}) =$	-0.04 cfs
itro	% _{P(NH3-N)} = Percent of Stream Width Required to Assimilate NH3-N to Criteria	
a-N	Concentration = $Q_{A(NH3-N)} / Q_S$ (cfs) =	-0.08%
inoi	W _{I(NH3-N)} = Proposed Width of Stream required to Assimilate NH3-N to Criteria	
E E	Concentration = W _S X % _{P(NH3-N)}	-0.02 meters
₹	Proposed Area of Impact due to NH3-N * = $(W_{I(NH3-N)})^{2}X$ 0.5 =	0.00 m ²
	* assuming equal flow across transect and 90° spread at discharge	

Facility:	Greenville Metals			
Permit Number:	PA0100510	Effective: Pending Renewa	Expiration:	
Outfall No:	001			
Location:	99 Crestview Drive Ext, Gr	eenville, PA 16125		
Discharge to:	Shenango River			
Site Specific Mussel Survey Completed:	NO			

<u>Summary</u>

					M	ussels Po	(ap	Taken as proxima ssel Spe	te)	f Dischar	ge	
					Rabbitsfoot (Quadrula cylindrical)	Northern Riffleshell (Epioblasma torulosa rangiana)	Rayed Bean (Villosa fabalis)	Clubshell (Pleurobema clava)	Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus)	Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	Total Density	Comments
		Approximate Mussel	Density	(per m²)							0	
Exist	ting /	Area of Impact	N/A	m ²	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	τζ	Method 1 Area of Impact										
ع ا	ac II	as result of nickel	N/A	m^2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
<u> </u>	i I	as result of NH3-N	N/A	m ²	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
act	ner Jes	Method 2 Area of Impact										
l m	satr grac	as result of nickel	2.00	m^2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
of Impact with	l Ireatmei Upgrades	as result of NH3-N	N/A	m^2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Area	sed	Method 3 Area of Impact						_				
Ā	Proposed Treatment Facility Upgrades	as result of nickel	1.50	m ²	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
3	7	as result of NH3-N	0.00	m ²	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Facility:		Greenville Metal	S					
Permit N	lumber:	PA0100510		Effective: Pending Renewa Expiration:				
Outfall N	lo:	009						
Location	:	99 Crestview Dri	ve Ext, Greenvil	le, PA 16125				
Discharge to: Shenango F								
Site Spe	cific Mussel Survey Completed:	NO						
Discharg	e and Stream Characteristics	_		Comments				
Q_S	Stream Flow	34 MGD /	53.29 cfs					
Q_D	Discharge Flow	0.069 MGD /	0.11 cfs	converted flowrate of 0.012 MGD discharged over approximately 3 hours.	;.			
$N_{S(Ni)}$	Instream nickel concentration	0 mg/L		WQN 913 ('00-'15) All results were less than the lower RL (50 ug/l)				
$N_{E(Ni)}$	Discharge nickel (existing)	172	ug/L	Max Value reported in 2019 Renewal Application				
$N_{P(Ni)}$	Discharge nickel (proposed)	172	ug/L					
C _{S(NH3-N)}	Instream NH³-N		mg/L					
C _{E(NH3-N)}	Discharge NH ³ -N (existing)		mg/L					
C _{P(NH3-N)}	Discharge NH ³ -N (proposed)		mg/L					
pHs	Instream pH	7.41	S.U.	WQN 913 (geo mean-'00-'15)(June-Sept.)				
Ts	Instream Temp.	25	°C	Default (WWF)				
C _{C(NH3-N)}	Ammonia criteria	1.058	mg/L	From ammonia criteria comparison spreadsheet -using instream pH and Temp				
N _{C(Ni)}	Nickel criteria	7.3	ug/L	USFWS criteria				
Ws	Stream width	30.5	meters	Google Earth Measurement				

	7.44		/D ();	7.0)				
pHs	7.41 5	s.U.	(Default value is	5 7.0)				
T_S	25 °	,C	(Default value is 20°)					
Acute	cute Criteria							
	METHOD and UNITS		CRITERIA	Comments				
	Current CMC (mg TAN/L) =		4.605					
	EPA 2013 CMC (mg TAN/L) =		6.951	Oncorhynchus present	* formula on pg. 41 (plateaus at 15.7			
			6.951	Oncorhynchus absent	* formula on pg. 42 (plateaus at 10.2			
Chroni	c Criteria							
	METHOD and UNITS		CRITERIA	COMMENTS				
C _{C(NH3-N}	Current CMC (mg TAN/L) =	•	1.058					
	EPA 2013 CMC (mg TAN/L)	=	1.087		* formula on pg. 46 (plateaus at 7 C)			

Endangered Mussel Species Impact Area Calculations:

<u>Existing</u> Area of Impact

☑ N/A - No Site Specific Mussel Survey Completed for this Discharger

Approximate Area of Impact Determined from Survey =	N/A	, m²
Existing Mussel Density within Area of Impact =		
Rabbitsfoot (Quadrula cylindrical)		per m²
Northern Riffleshell (Epioblasma torulosa rangiana)		per m²
Rayed Bean (Villosa fabalis)		per m²
Clubshell (Pleurobema clava)		per m²
Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus)		per m²
Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)		per m²
TOTAL	0	per m ²

(Enter N/A if no site specific survey has been completed)

<u>Method 1 - Utilizing</u> Site Specific Mussel SurveyInformation

 $\ensuremath{\overline{\bigvee}}$ N/A - No Site Specific Mussel Survey Completed for this Discharger

This method utilizes a simple comparison of the size of the existing area of impact as determined from a site specific mussel survey and the chlorides in the existing discharge compared to the chlorides in the proposed discharge after the facility upgrades treatment technologies. This method is only applicable to where the stream impairment is caused by TDS and/or chlorides as the plume has been delineated through conductivity measurements.

A.	Area of Impact Determined from Survey:	N/A	m²
В.	Chlorides in Existing Discharge:	:	172 mg/L
C.	Chlorides in Proposed Discharge after Treatment Facility Upgrades:	:	172 mg/L
D.	Approximate Area of Impact after Treatment Facility Upgrades:	N	N/A m ²

A/B = D/C Therefore, D = (A*C)/B

Facility:	Greenville Metals						
Permit Number:	PA0100510	Effective: Pending Renewa	Expiration:				
Outfall No:	009						
Location:	99 Crestview Drive Ext, Greenville, PA 16125						
Discharge to:	Shenango River						
Site Specific Mussel Survey Completed:	NO						

Endangered Mussel Species Impact Area Calculations: (continued...)

<u>Method 2 - Mass Balance Relationship of Loading</u> and Assimilative Capacity of Stream

	$L_{S(Ni)}$ = Available Nickel Loading in Stream = $N_{C(Ni)}$ - $N_{S(Ni)}$ X Q_{S} (MGD) X 8.34 =	2,070 lbs/Day
	$L_{D-MAX(Cl^-)}$ = Current Maximium Discharge Chloride Loading = $C_{E(CL^-)}$ X $Q_D(MGD)$ X 8.34 =	99 lbs/Day
(Z	$%_{E(ni)}$ = Percent of Stream Capacity for Current Loading = $L_{D-MAX(Ni)}$ / $L_{S(Ni)}$ =	5% of Stream Capacity
Nickel	$L_{D(Ni)}$ = Proposed Discharge Ni Loading after Treatment Facility Upgrades = $N_{P(Ni)}$ X $Q_D(MGD)$ X 8.34 =	98.97912 lbs/Day
Nic	$%_{P(Ni)}$ = Percent of Stream Capacity for Proposed Loading = $L_{D(Ni)}$ / $L_{S(Ni)}$ =	4.78% of Stream Capacity
	Proposed Area of Impact due to Nickel * = $(\%_{P(Ni)} \times W_s)^2 \times 0.5 =$	1.0634 m²
	* assuming equal flow across transect and 90° spread at discharge	
	$L_{S(NH3-N)}$ = Available NH3-N Loading in Stream = $C_{C(NH3-N)}$ - $C_{S(NH3-N)}$ X $Q_{S}(MGD)$ X 8.34 =	300 lbs/Day
-Nitrogen 3-N)	$L_{D-MAX(NH3-N)} = Current Maximium Discharge NH3-N Loading = C_{E(NH3-N)} \times Q_D(MGD) \times 8.34 = 0$	0 lbs/Day
Nitro -N)	$\%_{E(NH3-N)}$ = Percent of Stream Capacity for Current Loading = $L_{D-MAX(NH3-N)}$ / $L_{S(NH3-N)}$ =	0% of Stream Capacity
onia-N	$L_{D(NH3-N)}$ = Proposed Discharge NH3-N Loading after Treatment Facility Upgrades = $C_{P(NH3-N)}$ X $Q_D(MGD)$ X 8.34 =	0 lbs/Day
Ammonia (NH3	$%_{P(NH3-N)}$ = Percent of Stream Capacity for Proposed Loading = $L_{D(NH3-N)}$ / $L_{S(NH3-N)}$ =	0.00% of Stream Capacity
Amr	Proposed Area of Impact due to NH3-N * = $(\%_{P(NH3-N)} \times W_s)^2 \times 0.5 =$	0.0000 m ²
	* assuming equal flow across transect and 90° spread at discharge	

<u>Method 3 - Mass Balance Relationship of Stream Flow, Proposed Effluen</u>t Quality, and Mussel Protection Criteria

	$Q_{A(Ni)}N_{S(Ni)} + Q_{DNP(Ni)} = Q_{TNC(Ni)}$	
	$Q_{A(Ni)}$ = Assimilative Stream Flow Required to Achieve Criteria (cfs)	
	$Q_T = Q_S + Q_D \text{ (cfs)}$	
	$Q_{A(Ni)NS(Ni)} + Q_{DNP(Ni)} = (Q_D + Q_S)N_{C(Ni)}$	
Nickel (Ni)	SOLVING FOR $Q_{A(Ni)} = [(Q_DN_{P(Ni)} / N_{C(Ni)}) - Q_D)] / (1 - N_{S(Ni)}/N_{C(Ni)}) =$	2.48 cfs
- Ke	% _{P(Ni)} = Percent of Stream Width Required to Assimilate Nickel to Criteria	
ž	Concentration = $Q_{A(Ni)} / Q_S$ (cfs) =	4.65%
	$W_{I(Ni)}$ = Proposed Width of Stream required to Assimilate Nickel to Criteria	
	Concentration = $W_S X \%_{P(Ni)}$	1.42 meters
	Proposed Area of Impact due to Nickel * = $(W_{I(Ni)})^{2} X 0.5 =$	1.01 m ²
	* assuming equal flow across transect and 90° spread at discharge	
	$Q_{A(NH3-N)}C_{S(NH3-N)} + Q_DC_{P(NH3-N)} = Q_TC_{C(NH3-N)}$	
Î Z	$Q_{A(NH3-N)}$ = Assimilative Stream Flow Required to Achieve Criteria (cfs)	
H3-l	$Q_T = Q_S + Q_D \text{ (cfs)}$	
Z	$Q_{A(NH3-N)}C_{S(NH3-N)} + Q_DC_{P(NH3-N)} = (Q_D + Q_S)C_{C(NH3-N))}$	
gen	SOLVING FOR $\mathbf{Q}_{A(NH3-N)} = \left[\left(\mathbf{Q}_D \mathbf{C}_{P(NH3-N)} / \mathbf{C}_{C(NH3-N)} \right) - \mathbf{Q}_D \right] / \left(1 - \mathbf{C}_{S(NH3-N)} / \mathbf{C}_{C(NH3-N)} \right) =$	-0.11 cfs
litro	% _{P(NH3-N)} = Percent of Stream Width Required to Assimilate NH3-N to Criteria	
Ammonia-Nitrogen (NH3-N)	Concentration = $Q_{A(NH3-N)} / Q_S$ (cfs) =	-0.21%
	W _{I(NH3-N)} = Proposed Width of Stream required to Assimilate NH3-N to Criteria	
m mm	Concentration = $W_S X \%_{P(NH3-N)}$	-0.06 meters
⋖	Proposed Area of Impact due to NH3-N * = $(W_{I(NH3-N)})^2 X 0.5 =$	0.00 m ²
	* assuming equal flow across transect and 90° spread at discharge	

Facility:	Greenville Metals					
Permit Number:	PA0100510	Effective: Pending Renewa	Expiration:			
Outfall No:	009					
Location:	99 Crestview Drive Ext, Gr	eenville, PA 16125				
Discharge to:	Shenango River					
Site Specific Mussel Survey Completed:	NO					

<u>Summary</u>

		Mussels Potentially Taken as result of Discharge (approximate) Mussel Species					ge				
				Rabbitsfoot (Quadrula cylindrical)	Northern Riffleshell (Epioblasma torulosa rangiana)	Rayed Bean (Villosa fabalis)	Clubshell (Pleurobema clava)	Sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus)	Snuffbox (Epioblasma triquetra)	Total Density	Comments
	Approximate Musse	l Density	(per m²)							0	
Existing	Area of Impact	N/A	m ²	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
iξ	Method 1 Area of Impact										
h acil	as result of nickel	N/A	m^2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
¥it F. F.	as result of NH3-N	N/A	m^2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
act	Method 2 Area of Impact										
mp	as result of nickel	1.06	m ²	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Area of Impact with	Method 2 Area of Impact as result of nickel as result of NH3-N	N/A	m^2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
ea sed	Method 3 Area of Impact										
Area of Impact with Proposed Treatment Facility	as result of nickel	1.01	m ²	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Prc	as result of NH3-N	0.00	m ²	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	