| Application Type | Renewal |  |  | Application No. | PA0110582 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Facility Type | Municipal | NDIVID | EWAGE | APS ID | 1010430 |
| Major / Minor | Major |  |  | Authorization ID | 1303765 |
|  |  | Applicant and | ity Information |  |  |
| Applicant Name | Eastern S | Snyder County Regional Authority | Facility Name | ESCRA WWTF |  |
| Applicant Address | P.O. Box |  | Facility Address | 870 South Front Street |  |
|  | Selinsgrov | ve, PA 17870-0330 |  | Selinsgrove, PA 17870 |  |
| Applicant Contact | Greg Pysh |  | Facility Contact | Greg Pysher |  |
| Applicant Phone | 570-374-1 | 173 | Facility Phone | 570-374-1173 |  |
| Client ID | 44901 |  | Site ID | 257553 |  |
| Ch 94 Load Status | Not Overl | oaded | Municipality | Penn Township |  |
| Connection Status | No Limitat | tions | County | Snyder |  |
| Date Application Received |  | January 29, 2020 | EPA Waived? | No |  |
| Date Application Accepted |  | February 10, 2020 | If No, Reason | Major Facility, Significant | charge |
| Purpose of Application |  | Renewal of major NPDES permit |  |  |  |

## Summary of Review

## INTRODUCTION

The Department has drafted this NPDES permit renewal for the Eastern Snyder County Regional Authority (ESCRA).

## APPLICATION

Gannett Fleming Inc., the engineering consultant, submitted the NPDES Application for Individual Permit to Discharge Sewage Effluent for Major Sewage Facilities (DEP \#3800-PM-BCW0009b) on behalf of ESCRA. This application was received by the Department on January 29,2020 and considered administratively complete on February 10, 2020. Greg Pysher, Authority Manager, is both the client and site contact for this application. His additional contact information is (fax) 570-374-6078 and (email) ESCRA@verizon.net. The engineering consultant is David J. Gryger, PE, Project Engineer with Gannett Fleming, Inc of Camp Hill, PA. His contact information is (phone) 717-886-5382, (fax) 717-763-1808 and (email)
dgryger@gfnet.com.

## PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

DEP will publish notice of the receipt of the NPDES permit application and a tentative decision to issue the individual NPDES permit in the Pennsylvania Bulletin in accordance with 25 Pa. Code § 92a.82. Upon publication in the Pennsylvania Bulletin, DEP will accept written comments from interested persons for a 30 -day period (which may be extended for one additional 15 -day period at DEP's discretion), which will be considered in making a final decision on the application. Any person may request or petition for a public hearing with respect to the application. A public hearing may be held if DEP determines that there is significant public interest in holding a hearing. If a hearing is held, notice of the hearing will be published in the Pennsylvania Bulletin at least 30 days prior to the hearing and in at least one newspaper of general circulation within the geographical area of the discharge.

The case file, permit application package and draft permit will be available for public review at Department's Northcentral Regional Office. The address for this office is 208 West Third Street, Suite 101, Williamsport, PA 17701. An appointment can be made to review these materials during the comment period by calling the file coordinator at 570-327-3636.

CONTINUED on the next page.

| Approve | Return | Deny | Signatures |  |  | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X |  |  | Jeffrey J. Gocek, EIT | Aapmy Hoch | Project Manager | 01/05/2022 |
| X |  |  | Nicholas W. Hartranft, | $\mathrm{H} .21 . \mathrm{Hy}$ | Engineer Manager | 01/05/2022 |

DISCHARGE, RECEIVING WATERS AND WATER SUPPLY INFORMATION

| Outfall No. <br> Latitude <br> Quad Name | 001 |  | Design Flow (MGD) | 2.0 (see narrative below) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $40^{\circ} 46^{\prime}$ |  | Longitude | -760 51' 31.65" |
|  | Sunbury, PA |  | Quad Code | 1231 |
| Wastewater Description: |  | Sewage Effluent |  |  |


| Receiving Waters | Susquehanna River (WWF) | Stream Code | 18668 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NHD Com ID | 54965823 | RMI | 118 |
| Drainage Area (mi²) | 18,400 | Yield (cfs/mi²) | N/A |
| Q7-10 Flow (cfs) | 2,000.8 | Q7-10 Basis | USGS Gage \#01554000 |
| Elevation (ft) | 410 | Slope (ft/ft) | N/A |
| Watershed No. | 6-A | Chapter 93 Class. | WWF, MF |
| Existing Use | None | Existing Use Qualifier | None |
| Exceptions to Use | None | Exceptions to Criteria | None |
| Assessment Status | Impaired (Fish Consumption) |  |  |
| Cause(s) of Impairment | Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) |  |  |
| Source(s) of Impairment | Unknown |  |  |
| TMDL Status | Pending | Name N/A |  |


| Nearest Downstream Public Water Supply Intake | United Water Pennsylvania |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PWS Waters | Susquehanna River | Distance from Outfall (mi) | 40.0 |
|  |  |  |  |

## $\underline{Q}_{7,10}$ DETERMINATION

The $Q_{7,10}$ is the lowest seven consecutive days of flow in a 10-year period and is used for modeling wastewater treatment plant discharges. 25 PA § 96.1 defines $Q_{7,10}$ as "the actual or estimated lowest 7 consecutive day average flow that occurs once in 10 years for a stream with unregulated flow, or the estimated minimum flow for a stream with regulated flow".

A stream gage upstream of the existing discharge, "Susquehanna River at Sunbury, PA" (USGS \#01554000) was selected as a reference gage. A $Q_{7,10}$ flow for that gage (22.0 CFS) was obtained from Selected Streamflow Statistics for Streamgage Locations in and near Pennsylvania (USGS Open Files Report 2011-1070). The drainage area at the point of discharge ( $18,400 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ ) was calculated by the USGS Pennsylvania StreamStats application. Knowing the drainage area at the discharge and both the drainage area ( $18,300 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ ) and $\mathrm{Q}_{7,10}(1,990 \mathrm{CFS})$ at the reference gage, the $Q_{7,10}$ at the discharge was calculated to be 2,000.87 CFS.

See Attachment 01 for the $Q_{7,10}$ determination.

## TREATMENT FACILITY

ESCRA operates a wastewater treatment facility (WWTF) serving Hummels Wharf, a Census Designated Place ( $32 \%$ of the flow), Penn Township (27\%), Selinsgrove Borough (27\%) and Shamokin Dam Borough (13\%).

See Attachment 02 for a map of the WWTF location.
This WWTF consists of an influent screen, a comminutor, distribution box \#1, two primary clarifiers, distribution box \#2, four Vertical Loop Reactors (VLRs) for Biological Nutrient Removal (BNR), distribution box \#3 (with Ferric Chloride addition for Total Phosphorus removal), two secondary clarifiers, gaseous chlorine injection, and two chlorine contact tanks. Solids are treated in two anaerobic digesters and later with two belt filter presses. Ferric chloride is used as a coagulant and is fed just prior to the secondary clarifiers.

See Attachment 03 for the treatment process flow diagram. See Attachment 04 for a site layout diagram.
The WWTF characteristics are as follows.

| Waste Type | Degree of Treatment | Process Type | Disinfection | Average Annual <br> Flow (MGD) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sewage | Secondary with P Reduction | Activated Sludge | Gas Chlorine | 2.0 |
| Hydraulic Capacity <br> (MGD) | Organic Capacity <br> (lbs/day) | Load Status | Biosolids Treatment | Biosolids <br> Use/Disposal |
| 7.0 | 7,000 | Not Overloaded | Anaerobic Digestion | Class B/Landfill |

Dewatered anaerobically stabilized Class B biosolids are produced in accordance with 25 PA § $271.932(\mathrm{~b})(3)$. Sludge is disposed of at the Lycoming County Resources Management Landfill.

The annual average flows of the three years prior to application submission were 1.834 MGD (2018), 0.965 MGD (2017) and 1.093 MGD (2016). The highest monthly average flow for the previous year was 2.095 MGD, which occurred in November 2018. The highest peak instantaneous flow for that year was 2.899 MGD.

The most recent wastewater treatment upgrades were authorized by Water Quality Management (WQM) permit amendment \#5503402 A-1, issued January 22 , 2020. This amendment involved replacing equipment which had exceeded its useful life and providing better flood protection to the WWTF. The original permit, which authorized the installation of the VLR system, was issued in 2003. During the issuance of this 2020 permit, the Department was notified by the consultant that the true hydraulic capacity of this WWTF is 7.0 MGD, limited by the capacity of the main pumping station. An organic capacity rerate was approved in 2013 by WQM \#5508403-A1, increasing the plant organic capacity to 7,000 pounds $\mathrm{BOD}_{5}$ per day. The hydraulic capacity of 2.0 MGD was not changed at that time.

## COMPLIANCE HISTORY

The WMS Query Open Violations for Client by Permit Number revealed no open violations for the ESCRA.
The most recent Department Compliance Evaluation Inspection (CEI), was conducted April 22, 2021. At the time of the inspection, all required treatment units were online and operational. The plant effluent was clear with a pH of 7.70 , a Total Chlorine Residual (TCR) of $0.26 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ and a Dissolved Oxygen (DO) concentration of $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$. No violations were identified or noted during the inspection.

A Chesapeake Bay (CBAY) inspection was last performed October 28, 2020. During the 2019-2020 Water Year, the ESCRA did not exceed nutrient caploads. No violations were identified or noted during the inspection.

Recent Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) data, from November 2020 to October 2021, is presented in the table below.

| Parameter | OCT-21 | SEP-21 | AUG-21 | JUL-21 | JUN-21 | MAY-21 | APR-21 | MAR-21 | FEB-21 | JAN-21 | DEC-20 | NOV-20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flow (MGD) Average Monthly | 1.6916 | 2.2269 | 1.2902 | 1.1395 | 0.9983 | 1.2066 | 1.4606 | 1.6732 | 1.4271 | 1.2675 | 1.4001 | 1.1165 |
| Flow (MGD) Daily Maximum | 2.6803 | 6.3135 | 4.78 | 1.6458 | 1.1045 | 1.6777 | 2.4127 | 2.7937 | 2.929 | 1.6978 | 5.3253 | 1.6066 |
| $\mathrm{pH} \text { (S.U.) }$ <br> Minimum | 7.42 | 7.07 | 7.33 | 7.36 | 7.42 | 7.28 | 7.25 | 7.14 | 7.18 | 6.87 | 6.73 | 7.25 |
| pH (S.U.) <br> Maximum | 7.97 | 7.79 | 7.86 | 7.78 | 8.1 | 7.66 | 8.15 | 7.61 | 7.62 | 7.67 | 7.51 | 7.66 |
| DO (mg/L) <br> Minimum | 4.61 | 1.03 | 2.85 | 4.99 | 8.37 | 8.33 | 6.0 | 6.8 | 5.66 | 9.62 | 4.19 | 8.54 |
| TRC (mg/L) Average Monthly | 0.4 | 0.45 | 0.41 | 0.40 | 0.41 | 0.27 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.23 |
| TRC (mg/L) Instantaneous Maximum | 0.59 | 0.79 | 0.75 | 0.63 | 0.72 | 0.45 | 0.36 | 0.41 | 0.42 | 0.47 | 0.43 | 0.40 |
| CBOD5 (lbs/day) Average Monthly | $<53$ | $<79$ | $<42$ | $<37$ | $<33$ | < 39 | $<48$ | < 57 | $<47$ | $<41$ | <61 | $<37$ |
| CBOD5 (lbs/day) Weekly Average | <62 | < 131 | < 59 | $<40$ | $<34$ | $<44$ | < 56 | $<70$ | $<58$ | $<48$ | < 158 | $<39$ |
| CBOD5 (mg/L) Average Monthly | $<4.0$ | $<4.0$ | $<4.0$ | $<4.0$ | $<4.0$ | $<4.0$ | $<4.0$ | $<4.0$ | $<4.0$ | $<4.0$ | $<4.0$ | $<4.0$ |
| CBOD5 (mg/L) Weekly Average | $<4.0$ | $<4.0$ | $<4.0$ | $<4.0$ | $<4.0$ | $<4.0$ | $<4.0$ | $<4.0$ | < 4.0 | $<4.0$ | $<5.0$ | $<4.0$ |
| BOD5 (lbs/day) <br> Raw Sewage Influent <br> Average Monthly | 2652 | 2240 | 1943 | 1941 | 1942 | 2066 | 2262 | 2124 | 2549 | 1959 | 2018 | 2216 |
| BOD5 (lbs/day) <br> Raw Sewage Influent <br> Daily Maximum | 3707 | 3068 | 2529 | 3034 | 2350 | 2371 | 2529 | 3009 | 3716 | 2317 | 3308 | 2963 |
| BOD5 (mg/L) <br> Raw Sewage Influent <br> Average Monthly | 202 | 140 | 195 | 211 | 235 | 212 | 192 | 156 | 233 | 190 | 196 | 246 |
| TSS (lbs/day) Average Monthly | <67 | <99 | <65 | < 46 | <41 | < 49 | <60 | < 71 | < 59 | < 51 | < 81 | < 46 |
| TSS (lbs/day) <br> Raw Sewage Influent Average Monthly | 3376 | 2391 | 1715 | 1893 | 1975 | 2137 | 2138 | 1800 | 2793 | 2227 | 1931 | 2746 |
| TSS (lbs/day) <br> Raw Sewage Influent <br> Daily Maximum | 4707 | 4158 | 2230 | 4630 | 2773 | 2680 | 2669 | 2716 | 6241 | 2932 | 4763 | 3930 |
| TSS (lbs/day) Weekly Average | $<77$ | < 164 | 129 | $<49$ | $<43$ | < 55 | <69 | <87 | $<73$ | <60 | <222 | < 49 |
| TSS (mg/L) Average Monthly | < 5.0 | < 5.0 | <6.0 | < 5.0 | < 5.0 | < 5.0 | < 5.0 | < 5.0 | < 5.0 | < 5.0 | < 5.0 | < 5.0 |
| TSS (mg/L) <br> Raw Sewage Influent <br> Average Monthly | 257 | 144 | 172 | 205 | 240 | 219 | 183 | 133 | 264 | 217 | 177 | 306 |
| TSS (mg/L) Weekly Average | $<5.0$ | $<5.0$ | 11 | $<5.0$ | < 5.0 | $<5.0$ | $<5.0$ | $<5.0$ | < 5.0 | < 5.0 | $<7.0$ | $<5.0$ |
| Fecal Coliform (CFU/100 ml) Geometric Mean | 30 | $>36$ | 8.0 | $<5.0$ | 11 | 20 | $>65$ | 33 | $<13$ | <2.0 | $<3$ | 16 |
| Fecal Coliform (CFU/100 ml) Instantaneous Maximum | 64 | $\stackrel{>}{7}$ | 102 | 65 | 120 | 90 | > 1200 | 2375 | 80 | 18 | 32 | 113 |
| Nitrate-Nitrite (mg/L) Average Monthly | 4.64 | 4.76 | 5.62 | 5.39 | 5.05 | 7.1 | 4.52 | 3.78 | 5.84 | 6.87 | 9.18 | 9.37 |
| Nitrate-Nitrite (lbs) Total Monthly | 2016.4 | 1996.4 | 1678.3 | 1575.8 | 1281.8 | 2148.8 | 1624.9 | 1671.8 | 1756.7 | 2270 | 2520.4 | 2604 |
| Total Nitrogen (mg/L) Average Monthly | < 6.268 | < 7.035 | $<7.073$ | < 7.309 | <6.44 | < 8.05 | 6.53 | 10.67 | 11.79 | 9.65 | <9.97 | < 10.56 |
| Total Nitrogen (lbs) Effluent Net Total Monthly | $\begin{gathered} < \\ 2767.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} < \\ 2952.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} < \\ 2100.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} < \\ 2169.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} < \\ 1638.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} < \\ 2443.1 \end{gathered}$ | 2510.3 | 4745.3 | 3734.9 | 3171.3 | $\begin{gathered} < \\ 2747.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} < \\ 2934.7 \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Nitrogen (lbs) Total Monthly | $\begin{gathered} < \\ 2767.2 \end{gathered}$ | $2952.6$ | $\begin{gathered} < \\ 2100.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} < \\ 2169.8 \end{gathered}$ | $1638.4$ | $\begin{gathered} < \\ 2443.1 \end{gathered}$ | 2510.3 | 4745.3 | 3734.9 | 3171.3 | $\begin{gathered} < \\ 2747.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} < \\ 2934.7 \end{gathered}$ |


| Total Nitrogen (lbs) Effluent Net Total Annual |  | < 33643 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Nitrogen (lbs) Total Annual |  | < 33643 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ammonia (lbs/day) Average Monthly | <11 | <11 | $<9.0$ | <13 | < 8.0 | <8.0 | <11 | 36 | 39 | 20 | 4.0 | 3.0 |
| Ammonia (mg/L) Average Monthly | < 0.8 | < 0.8 | <0.95 | <1.32 | < 0.96 | < 0.83 | <0.852 | 2.604 | 3.289 | 1.834 | 0.445 | 0.336 |
| Ammonia (lbs) Total Monthly | <350 | < 337.5 | <272 | <413 | $<245$ | <253 | < 329.1 | 1107 | 1101 | 615 | 139.2 | 95.0 |
| Ammonia (lbs) Total Annual |  | < 5094 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TKN (mg/L) Average Monthly | < 1.596 | <2.185 | < 1.41 | < 1.731 | < 1.33 | <0.81 | 1.99 | 6.89 | 5.95 | 2.75 | < 0.75 | <1.19 |
| TKN (lbs) Total Monthly | < 737.7 | <921.9 | < 407.7 | < 535.5 | < 340.4 | <255.5 | 878.3 | 3073.5 | 1978.2 | 892.3 | <212 | <329.1 |
| Total Phosphorus (lbs/day) Average Monthly | 9.0 | 5.0 | 14 | 16 | 18 | 11 | 10 | 3.0 | 4.0 | <3.0 | <6.0 | 3.0 |
| Total Phosphorus (mg/L) Average Monthly | 0.67 | 0.36 | 1.51 | 1.7 | 2.15 | 1.15 | 0.82 | 0.23 | 0.36 | $<0.3$ | <0.68 | 0.35 |
| Total Phosphorus (lbs) <br> Effluent Net <br> Total Monthly | 282 | 148.9 | 427.7 | 491.7 | 548.1 | 344.5 | 293.3 | 98 | 112 | <96.5 | <190 | 98 |
| Total Phosphorus (lbs) Total Monthly | 282 | 148.9 | 428 | 492 | 548 | 344.5 | 293.3 | 98 | 112 | <96.5 | <190 | 98 |
| Total Phosphorus (lbs) <br> Effluent Net <br> Total Annual |  | <3106 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Phosphorus (lbs) Total Annual |  | <3106 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The following are effluent limitation exceedances from December 2020 through October 2021.

| Parameter | Date | SBC | DMR Value | Units | Limit Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fecal Coliform | $04 / 30 / 21$ | Geo Mean | $>65$ | CFU/100 ml | 2,000 |
| Fecal Coliform | $09 / 30 / 21$ | Geo Mean | $>36$ | CFU/100 ml | 200 |
| Fecal Coliform | $04 / 30 / 21$ | IMAX | $>1,200$ | CFU/100 ml | 10,000 |
| Fecal Coliform | $09 / 30 / 21$ | IMAX | $>6,000$ | CFU/100 ml | 1,000 |

## INDUSTRIAL USERS

The WWTF has four industrial users within the collection system. These users and associated flows, in gallons per day (GPD) are presented below.

| Name | Industry | Categorical | Process Flow <br> (GPD) | Total <br> Flow <br> (GPD) | Location |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kerrico | Maunfactures marble counter tops, basins, and showers | No | 25 | 25 | Selinsgrove |
| Farmland National Beef, LP | Process of beef and pork into case-ready packages | No | 19,300 | 19,300 | Monroe Township |
| PENNDOT Maintenance 3-5 | Maintenance Buidling | No | Unknown | Unknown | Selinsgrove |
| Isle of Que | Local Brewery | No | 100 | 355 | Selinsgrove |

## EXISTING LIMITATIONS

The following limitations were established at the permit issuance on July 17, 2015.

| Discharge Parameter | Mass Limits (Ib/day) |  | Concentration Limits (mg/L) |  |  |  | Monitoring Requirements |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Monthly Average | Weekly Average | Minimum | Monthly <br> Average | Weekly Average | IMAX | Minimum Measurement Frequency | Required Sample Type |
| Flow (MGD) | Report | Report | XXX | XXX | XXX | XXX | Continuous | Metered |
| pH (SU) | XXX | XXX | 6.0 | XXX | XXX | 9.0 | 1/Day | Grab |
| Dissolved Oxygen | XXX | XXX | Report | XXX | XXX | XXX | 1/Day | Grab |
| Total Residual Chlorine | XXX | XXX | XXX | 0.5 | XXX | 1.6 | 1/Day | Grab |
| CBOD ${ }_{5}$ | 417 | 667 | XXX | 25 | 40 | 50 | 2/Week | 24 Hour Composite |
| $\mathrm{BOD}_{5}$ INFLUENT | Report | Report | XXX | Report | XXX | XXX | 2/Week | 24 Hour Composite |
| Total Suspended Solids | 500 | 750 | XXX | 30 | 45 | 60 | 2/Week | 24 Hour Composite |
| TSS INFLUENT | Report | Report | XXX | Report | XXX | XXX | 2/Week | 24 Hour Composite |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Fecal Coliform (CFU/100mL) } \\ (05 / 01-09 / 30) \end{gathered}$ | XXX | XXX | XXX | $\begin{gathered} 200 \\ \text { Geo Mean } \end{gathered}$ | XXX | 1,000 | 2/Week | Grab |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Fecal Coliform (CFU } / 100 \mathrm{~mL}) \\ (10 / 01-04 / 30) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | XXX | XXX | XXX | $\begin{gathered} 2,000 \\ \text { Geo Mean } \end{gathered}$ | XXX | 10,000 | 2/Week | Grab |
| Ammonia-Nitrogen | Report | XXX | XXX | Report | XXX | XXX | 2/Week | 24 Hour Composite |
| Total Phosphorus | Report | XXX | XXX | Report | XXX | XXX | 2/Week | 24 Hour Composite |


| Discharge <br> Parameter | Mass Limits (lb/day) |  | Concentration Limits (mg/L) |  | Monitoring Requirements |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Monthly | Annual | Minimum | Monthly <br> Average | Maximum | Minimum <br> Measurement <br> Frequency | Required <br> Sample Type |
| Ammonia-N | Report | Report | XXX | Report | XXX | $2 /$ Week | 24 Hour <br> Composite |
| Kjeldahl-N | Report | XXX | XXX | Report | XXX | 2 Week | 24 Hour <br> Composite |
| Nitrate-Nitrite as N | Report | XXX | XXX | Report | XXX | $2 /$ Week | 24 Hour <br> Composite |
| Total Nitrogen | Report | Report | XXX | Report | XXX | $1 /$ Month | Calculation |
| Total Phosphorus | Report | Report | XXX | Report | XXX | 2/Week | 24 Hour <br> Composite |
| Net Total Nitrogen | Report | 51,141 | XXX | XXX | XXX | $1 /$ Month | Calculation |
| Net Total Phosphorus | Report | 6,819 | XXX | XXX | XXX | $1 /$ Month | Calculation |

ESCRA was authorized to use 725 pounds per year as Total Nitrogen Offsets towards compliance with the Annual Net TN Mass load limitation.

## DEVELOPMENT OF EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS

## Technology-Based Limitations

The following technology-based limitations apply, subject to water quality analysis and BPJ where applicable:

| Pollutant | Limit (mg/l) | SBC | Federal Regulation | State Regulation |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CBOD $_{5}$ | 25 | Average Monthly | $133.102(\mathrm{a})(4)(\mathrm{i})$ | $92 \mathrm{a} .47(\mathrm{a})(1)$ |
|  | 40 | Average Weekly | $133.102(\mathrm{a})(4)(\mathrm{ii})$ | $92 \mathrm{a} .47(\mathrm{a})(2)$ |
| Total Suspended Solids | 30 | Average Monthly | $133.102(\mathrm{~b})(1)$ | $92 \mathrm{a} .47(\mathrm{a})(1)$ |
|  | 45 | Average Weekly | $133.102(\mathrm{~b})(2)$ | $92 \mathrm{a} .47(\mathrm{a})(2)$ |
| Fecal Coliform <br> $(5 / 1-9 / 30)$ | $6.0-9.0$ S.U. | Min - Max | $133.102(\mathrm{c})$ | $95.2(1)$ |
| Fecal Coliform <br> $(5 / 1-9 / 30)$ | $200 / 100 \mathrm{ml}$ | Geo Mean |  | - |
| Fecal Coliform <br> $(10 / 1-4 / 30)$ | $1,000 / 100 \mathrm{ml}$ | IMAX |  | $92 \mathrm{a} .47(\mathrm{a})(4)$ |
| Fecal Coliform <br> $(10 / 1-4 / 30)$ | $2,000 / 100 \mathrm{ml}$ | Geo Mean | - | $92 \mathrm{a} .47(\mathrm{a})(4)$ |
| Total Residual Chlorine | $10,000 / 100 \mathrm{ml}$ | IMAX | - | $92 \mathrm{a} .47(\mathrm{a})(5)$ |

## Total Residual Chlorine

The Department's TRC_CALC spreadsheet is a model used to evaluate Total Residual Chlorine (TRC) effluent limitations. This model determines applicable acute and chronic wasteload allocations (WLAs) for TRC based on the data supplied by the user and then compares the WLAs to the technology-based average monthly limit using the procedures described in the EPA Technical Support Document for Water Quality-Based Toxics Control.

This model recommended the following limitations.

| Parameter | Effluent Limitations (mg/L) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Monthly Average | IMAX |
| Total Residual Chlorine | 0.5 | 1.6 |

See Attachment 06 for the TRC_CALC model results.

## Water Quality-Based Limitations

$\mathrm{CBOD}_{5}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}$ and DO
WQM 7.0 for Windows (version 1.1) is a DEP computer model used to determine wasteload allocations and effluent limitations for $\mathrm{CBOD}_{5}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}$ and DO for single and multiple point source discharge scenarios. This model simulates two basic processes. The $\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}$ module simulates the mixing and degradation of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}$ in the stream and compares calculated instream $\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}$ concentrations to the water quality criteria. The DO module simulates the mixing and consumption of DO in the stream due to degradation of $\mathrm{CBOD}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}$ and compares the calculated instream DO concentrations to the water quality criteria. The model then determines the highest pollutant loading the stream can assimilate and still meet water quality under design conditions.

This model recommended the following limitations.

| Parameter | Effluent Limitations (mg/L) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 30 Day Average | Maximum | Minimum |
| $\mathrm{CBOD}_{5}$ | 25 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}$ | 25 | 50 |  |
| DO |  |  | 3.0 |

See Attachment 05 for the WQM model output.

Chesapeake Bay TMDL
Despite 25 years of extensive restoration efforts, the Chesapeake Bay Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) was prompted by insufficient progress and continued poor water quality in the Chesapeake Bay and its tidal tributaries. This TMDL, required by the Clean Water Act, is the largest ever developed by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). This document identifies the necessary pollution reductions of nitrogen, phosphorus and sediment across Delaware, Maryland, New York, Virginia, West Virginia, District of Columbia and Pennsylvania. It also sets pollution limits necessary to meet applicable water quality standards in the Bay, tidal rivers and embayments.

Pennsylvania explains how and when it will meet its pollution allocations in its Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP), which is incorporated into the TMDL. Pennsylvania's permitting strategy for significant dischargers has been outlined in the Phase I WIP and incorporated in the Phase III WIP by reference, and imposes Total Nitrogen (TN) and Total Phosphorus (TP) cap loads on the significant dischargers.

Because of the design flow of this facility, the Department considers this a Significant Sewage Discharger (Phase 1) for the purposes of implementing the Chesapeake Bay TMDL. The issuance of this permit which occurred January 11, 2008 included the establishment of annual caploads of 51,141 pounds per year TN and 6,189 pounds per year TP. Offsets of 725 pounds of TN per year were approved for compliance purposes at a past permit issuance, following the submission of proper documentation. This offset consists of 25 pounds per year TN for each retired Equivalent Dwelling Unit (EDU) connected to the collection system (29 EDUs).

The Phase III WIP calls for the continued monitoring of Ammonia-N, Kjeldahl-N, Nitrate-Nitrite as N, TN and TP.
The permit will contain a Part C condition for the Chesapeake Bay nutrient requirements. The permit will also contain a Part A footnote explaining the offsets.

## Toxics Screening Analysis

As part of the application, ESCRA analyzed and submitted an effluent analysis for all parameters in Pollutant Groups (PG) 1 through 5.
Maximum pollutant concentrations, and non-detects (NDs) at Target QLs, for PG 1 and 2 were entered into the Department's Toxics Management Spreadsheet (TMS), which has since replaced both the TSA spreadsheet and PENTOXSD models used in the last renewal. The TMS is used to determine reasonable potential (RP) and calculate water quality-based effluent limitations (WQBELS) for discharges of toxic pollutants from a single discharge point. The TMS utilizes the following logic to assign either no action, effluent limitation or monitoring; 1. Establish average monthly, daily maximum and IMAX limits in the draft permit where the maximum reported concentration exceeds $50 \%$ of the WQBEL (RP is demonstrated), 2. Establish monitoring requirements for non-conservative pollutants where the maximum reported concentrations is between $25 \%$ to $50 \%$ of the WQBEL and 3. Establish monitoring requirements for conservative pollutants where the maximum reported concentration is between $10 \%$ to $50 \%$ of the WQBEL. Partial mix factors (PMFs) were obtained from the PENTOXSD modeling performed in 2014.

The TMS recommended the following monitoring and limitations.

|  | Mass Limits (lbs/day) |  |  | Concentration (ug/L) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pollutants | AML | MDL | AML | MDL | IMAX | WQBEL | Basis |  |
| Total Copper | Report | Report | Report | Report | Report | 79.2 | AFC |  |
| Benzo(a)Anthracene | 0.003 | 0.005 | 0.19 | 0.3 | 0.48 | 0.19 | CRL |  |
| Benzo(a)Pyrene | 0.0003 | 0.0005 | 0.019 | 0.03 | 0.048 | 0.019 | CRL |  |
| 3,4-Benzofluoranthene | 0.003 | 0.005 | 0.19 | 0.3 | 0.48 | 0.19 | CRL |  |
| Dibenzo(a,h)Anthracene | 0.0003 | 0.0005 | 0.019 | 0.03 | 0.048 | 0.019 | CRL |  |
| Hexachlorobenzene | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.015 | 0.024 | 0.039 | 0.015 | CRL |  |
| Indeno(1,2,3-cd)Pyrene | 0.003 | 0.005 | 0.19 | 0.3 | 0.48 | 0.19 | CRL |  |
| n-Nitrosodi-n-Propylamine | Report | Report | Report | Report | Report | 0.96 | CRL |  |

In accordance with the Department Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) \#BPNPSM-PMT-033, ESCRA can demonstrate through additional sampling during the draft permit comment period that these parameters, not normally found in POTW effluent, are not present in the wastewater and therefore eliminate the need for monitoring and limitations.

See Attachment 07 for the TMS Output.

## Best Professional Judgment (BPJ) Limitations

In the absence of applicable effluent guidelines for the discharge or pollutant, permit writers must identify and/or develop needed technology-based effluent limitations (TBELs) TBELs on a case-by-case basis, in accordance with the statutory factors specified in the Clean Water Act.

No BPJ limitations have been proposed for this draft.

## Anti-Backsliding

In order to comply with 40 CFR § $122.44(I)$ (anti-backsliding requirements), the Department must issue a renewed permit with limitations as stringent as that the of the previous permit.

No less stringent limitations have been proposed for this draft.

## DEVELOPMENT OF MONITORING

## Influent Monitoring

In order to adequately characterize the influent wastewater, monitoring of influent Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD5) and Total Suspended Solids (TSS) will be continued at the current frequency of 2/Week. This is in accordance with Department procedure.

## Dissolved Oxygen

This permit will require a monitoring requirement for Dissolved Oxygen (DO), to ensure that the effluent is well oxygenated at the point of discharge and the instream DO criteria is not violated.

## Toxics Monitoring

As explained above, the Department's TMS model recommends monitoring for Total Copper and $n$-Nitrosodi-n-Propylamine.

## E.coli

The Department is requiring the monitoring of Eschericia coli (E. coli), a pathogenic bacterium normally found in the intestines of healthy people and animals which is used as a fecal contamination indicator in freshwater ecosystems. Section 303(c)(1) of the Clean Water Act requires that Pennsylvania periodically review and revise water quality standards, if necessary. The 2017 triennial review final form rulemaking, published in 2020, has revised the Chapter 93 water quality standards regulations for bacteria to include E. coli. To further characterize fecal contamination of surface waters during the swimming season, the Department is requiring the quarterly reporting of effluent E. coli effluent values. In accordance with 25 PA § 92a.61, the Department may impose reasonable monitoring requirements on pollutants which could have impact on the quality of the Commonwealth's waters or the quality of waters in other states.

## WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY TESTING

Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Testing is a measure of the aggregate toxic effect to aquatic organisms from all the pollutants in a facility's wastewater effluent. The WET test measures the wastewater's effect on the specific organisms' ability to survive, grow and reproduce.

For Outfall 001, Chronic WET Testing was completed annually. The dilution series used for the tests was: $100 \%, 60 \%, 30 \%, 2 \%$, and $1 \%$.

## Summary of Four Most Recent Test Results - NOEC/LC50 Data Analysis

|  | Ceriodaphnia Results (\% Effluent) |  |  | Pimephales Results (\% Effluent) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Test Date | NOEC <br> Survival | NOEC <br> Reproduction | LC50 | NOEC <br> Survival | NOEC <br> Growth | LC50 |  |
| 2017 | 100 | 60 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | PASS |
| 2018 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | PASS |
| 2019 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | PASS |
| 2020 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | PASS |

* A "passing" result is that which is greater than or equal to the TIWC value.

The Target Instream Waste Concentration (TIWC) used for analysis of the results is: $2 \%$.
Is there reasonable potential for an excursion above water quality standards based on the results of these tests? (NOTE - In general, reasonable potential is determined anytime there is at least one test failure in the previous four tests).YES $\boxtimes$ NO

## WET Limits

Has reasonable potential been determined? $\square$ YES $\boxtimes$ NO
Will WET limits be established in the permit?YES $\boxtimes$ NO

## Evaluation of Test Type, IWC and Dilution Series for Renewed Permit



1. Determine IWC - Acute (IWCa):
( $\left.\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{d}} \times 1.547\right) /\left(\left(\mathrm{Q}_{7-10} \times \mathrm{PMFa}\right)+\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{d}} \times 1.547\right)\right)$
$[(2.0 \mathrm{MGD} \times 1.547) /((2000 \mathrm{cfs} \times 0.010)+(2.0 \mathrm{MGD} \times 1.547))] \times 100=13.397 \%$
Is IWCa < 1\%? $\square$ YES $\boxtimes$ NO
Type of Test for Permit Renewal: CHRONIC
2. Determine Target IWC - Chronic (IWCc)
( $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{d}} \times 1.547$ ) / ( $\left.\mathrm{Q}_{7-10} \times \mathrm{PMFc}\right)+\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{d}} \times 1.547\right)$
$[(2.0$ MGD $\times 1.547) /((2000 \operatorname{cfs} \times 0.074)+(2.0$ MGD $\times 1.547))] \times 100=2.047 \%$

## 3. Determine Dilution Series

Dilution Series $=100 \%, 60 \%, 30 \%, 2 \%$, and $1 \%$.
(See Attachment C of WET SOP for dilution series, based on TIWCc,)
The permit will contain a Part C Special Condition for the WET Testing requirements, which will include annual testing.

## REMOVAL OF MONITORING

It appears that during the last issuance (2015), requirements to monitor Ammonia-Nitrogen and Total Phosphorus were mistakenly included in Part A.I.A (page 3) with other non-nutrient parameters. This is most likely due to a bug in NMS, the permitting system then used by the Department to generate NPDES permits. The monitoring for these parameters was properly included with the other nutrient monitoring and cap loads in Part A.I.B (page 5). Since no basis was included in the 2014 Fact Sheet for the inclusion of these parameters in Part A.I.A, it is considered a mistake and they will be removed and listed in Part A.I.B with the nutrient requirements in this draft permit.

## STORMWATER OUTFALL

ESCRA maintains one stormwater outfall at the WWTF. The outfall characteristics are as follows.

| Outfall | Receiving Stream | Latitude | Longitude |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SW3 | Susquehanna River | $40^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime}$ | $-76^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime}$ |

The permit will contain a Part C Special Condition for the management of stormwater discharging from the WWTF.

## RECEIVING STREAM

## Stream Characteristics

The receiving stream is the Susquehanna River. The Susquehanna River, according to 25 PA § 93.9M, is protected for Warm Water Fishes (WWF) and Migratory Fishes (MF). These are the streams Designated Uses, which is defined in 25 PA § 93.1 as "those uses specified in $\S \S 93.9$ a $-93.9 z$ for each waterbody or segment whether or not the use is being attained". Designated uses are regulations promulgated by the Environmental Quality Board (EQB) throughout the rulemaking process. This stream currently has no Existing Use, which is defined in 25 PA § 93.1 as "those uses actually attained in the waterbody on or after November 28, 1975 whether or not they are included in the water quality standards". Marsh Creek is identified by stream code 21856. This stream is in (Chapter 93) drainage list M and State Water Plan watershed 6A (Penns and Middle Creeks).

## Impairment/TMDL

This section of the Susquehanna River is attaining its designated uses for recreation but not attaining its designated uses for fish consumption. The fish consumption impairment is due polychlorinated biphenyls (cause) from an unknown source. No TMDL has been calculated for this part of the Susquehanna River.

An assessment of designated uses with respect to Aquatic Life has yet to be conducted for this part of the Susquehanna River.

## ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS

## Hauled-In Wastes

According to the application materials, ESCRA does not accept hauled-in wastes.

## Mass Limitations

Existing mass limitations for $\mathrm{CBOD}_{5}$ and $T S S$ are calculated by multiplying the concentration ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ) by the flow (MGD) by the conversion (8.34).

## Rounding of Limitations

Limitations have been rounded in accordance with the Department's Technical Guidance for the Development and Specification of Effluent Limitations and Other Permit Conditions in NPDES Permits (\#362-0400-001).

## Limit Multipliers

The instantaneous maximum limitations have been calculated using multipliers of 2.0 (for sewage discharges) and 2.5 (for toxic industrial discharges) for determining the IMAX. This practice is in accordance with the Department's Technical Guidance for the Development and Specification of Effluent Limitations and Other Permit Conditions in NPDES Permits (\#362-0400-001).

## Sample Frequencies and Types

The sample type and minimum measurement frequencies are in accordance with the Department's Technical Guidance for the Development and Specification of Effluent Limitations and Other Permit Conditions in NPDES Permits (\#362-0400-001). The minimum measurement frequencies of the nutrient parameters are in accordance with the Department's Phase III Watershed Implementation Plan of the Chesapeake Bay TMDL.

## Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs)

The review of this permit application was performed in accordance with the Department's SOP for New and Reissuance Sewage Individual NPDES Permit Applications and SOP for Establishing Effluent Limitations for Individual Sewage Permits (SOP \#BPNPSM-PMT-033).

## Special Permit Conditions

Stormwater Prohibition
Approval Contingencies
Proper Waste Disposal
Total Residual Chlorine Optimization
Solids Management for Non-Lagoon Treatment Systems
Whole Effluent Toxicity - No Permit Limits
Stormwater Requirements for Sewage Facilities >= 1.0 MGD

## Supplemental Discharge Monitoring Reports

Daily Effluent Monitoring
Non-Compliance Reporting
Biosolids Production and Disposal
Hauled-in Municipal Waste
Influent and Process Control
Lab Accreditation

## PROPOSED EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

The limitations and monitoring requirements specified below are proposed for the draft permit, and reflect the most stringent limitations amongst technology, water quality and BPJ. Instantaneous Maximum (IMAX) limits are determined using multipliers of 2 (conventional pollutants) or 2.5 (toxic pollutants). Sample frequencies and types are derived from the "NPDES Permit Writer's Manual" (362-0400-001), SOPs and/or BPJ.

Outfall 001, Effective Period: Permit Effective Date through Permit Expiration Date

| Discharge Parameter | Mass Limits (Ib/day) |  | Concentration Limits (mg/L) |  |  |  | Monitoring Requirements |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Monthly <br> Average | Weekly Average | Minimum | Monthly Average | Weekly Average | IMAX | Minimum Measurement Frequency | Required Sample Type |
| Flow (MGD) | Report | Report Daily Maximum | XXX | XXX | XXX | XXX | Continuous | Metered |
| pH (SU) | XXX | XXX | 6.0 <br> Instantaneous <br> Minimum | XXX | XXX | 9.0 | 1/Day | Grab |
| Dissolved Oxygen | XXX | XXX | Report | XXX | XXX | XXX | 1/Day | Grab |
| Total Residual Chlorine | XXX | XXX | XXX | 0.50 | XXX | 1.60 | 1/Day | Grab |
| $\mathrm{CBOD}_{5}$ | 417 | 667 | XXX | 25 | 40 | 50 | 2/Week | 24 Hour Composite |
| BOD ${ }_{5}$ Influent | Report | XXX | XXX | Report | XXX | XXX | 2/Week | 24 Hour Composite |
| Total Suspended Solids | 500 | 750 | XXX | 30 | 45 | 60 | 2/Week | 24 Hour Composite |
| TSS Influent | Report | XXX | XXX | Report | XXX | XXX | 2/Week | 24 Hour Composite |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Fecal Coliform (No./100mL) } \\ (05 / 01-09 / 30) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | XXX | XXX | XXX | $\begin{gathered} 200 \\ \text { Geometric Mean } \end{gathered}$ | XXX | 1,000 | 1/Day | Grab |
| Fecal Coliform (No./100mL) $(10 / 01-04 / 30)$ | XXX | XXX | XXX | $\begin{gathered} 2,000 \\ \text { Geometric Mean } \end{gathered}$ | XXX | 10,000 | 1/Day | Grab |
| E. coli ( $\mathrm{No} . / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) | XXX | XXX | XXX | XXX | XXX | Report | 1/Month | Grab |
| Total Copper ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) | Report | Report <br> Daily Maximum | XXX | Report | Report Daily Maximum <br> Daily Maximum | XXX | 1/Week | 24 Hour Composite |
| Benzo(a)Anthracene ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) | 0.003 | $\begin{gathered} 0.005 \\ \text { Daily Maximum } \end{gathered}$ | XXX | 0.19 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.30 \\ \text { Daily Maximum } \end{gathered}$ | 0.48 | 1/Week | 24 Hour Composite |
| Benzo(a)Pyrene ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) | 0.0003 | $\begin{gathered} 0.0005 \\ \text { Daily Maximum } \end{gathered}$ | XXX | 0.019 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.03 \\ & \text { Daily Maximum } \end{aligned}$ | 0.048 | 1/Week | 24 Hour Composite |
| 3,4-Benzofluoranthene ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) | 0.003 | $\begin{gathered} 0.005 \\ \text { Daily Maximum } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | XXX | 0.19 | $\begin{gathered} 0.30 \\ \text { Daily Maximum } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0.48 | 1/Week | 24 Hour Composite |
| Dibenzo(a,h)Anthracene ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) | 0.0003 | $\begin{gathered} 0.0005 \\ \text { Daily Maximum } \end{gathered}$ | XXX | 0.019 | $\begin{gathered} 0.03 \\ \text { Daily Maximum } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0.048 | 1/Week | 24 Hour Composite |
| Hexachlorobenzene ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) | 0.0003 | $\begin{gathered} 0.0004 \\ \text { Daily Maximum } \end{gathered}$ | XXX | 0.015 | $\begin{gathered} 0.024 \\ \text { Daily Maximum } \end{gathered}$ | 0.039 | 1/Week | 24 Hour Composite |
| Indeno(1,2,3-cd)Pyrene ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) | 0.003 | $\begin{gathered} 0.005 \\ \text { Daily Maximum } \end{gathered}$ | XXX | 0.19 | $\begin{gathered} 0.30 \\ \text { Daily Maximum } \end{gathered}$ | 0.48 | 1/Week | 24 Hour Composite |
| n -Nitrosodi-n-Propylamine ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) | Report | Report Daily Maximum | XXX | Report | Report <br> Daily Maximum | XXX | 1/Week | 24 Hour Composite |

The limitations and monitoring requirements specified below are proposed for the draft permit, to comply with Pennsylvania's Chesapeake Bay Tributary Strategy.

Outfall 001, Effective Period: Permit Effective Date through Permit Expiration Date

| Discharge Parameter | Mass Limits (Ib/day) |  | Concentration Limits (mg/L) |  |  | Monitoring Requirements |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Monthly | Annual | Minimum | Monthly Average | Maximum | Minimum Measurement Frequency | Required Sample Type |
| Ammonia-N | Report | Report | XXX | Report | XXX | 2/Week | 24 Hour Composite |
| Kjeldahl-N | Report | XXX | XXX | Report | XXX | 2/Week | 24 Hour Composite |
| Nitrate-Nitrite as N | Report | XXX | XXX | Report | XXX | 2/Week | 24 Hour Composite |
| Total Nitrogen | Report | Report | XXX | Report | XXX | 1/Month | Calculation |
| Total Phosphorus | Report | Report | XXX | Report | XXX | 2/Week | 24 Hour Composite |
| Net Total Nitrogen | XXX | 51,141 | XXX | XXX | XXX | 1/Year | Calculation |
| Net Total Phosphorus | XXX | 6,819 | XXX | XXX | XXX | 1/Year | Calculation |

END of Fact Sheet.
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