

Southwest Regional Office CLEAN WATER PROGRAM

Application Type Renewal Facility Type Municipal Major / Minor Minor

### NPDES PERMIT FACT SHEET INDIVIDUAL SEWAGE

 Application No.
 PA0217271

 APS ID
 1058089

 Authorization ID
 1387248

### **Applicant and Facility Information**

Applicant Name	Ohio Township Sanitary Authority		Facility Name	Kilbuck Run STP
Applicant Address	1719 R	oosevelt Road	Facility Address	Red Mud Hollow Road
	Pittsbu	gh, PA 15237-1050	_	Pittsburgh, PA 15237
Applicant Contact	Dennis	Coyle	Facility Contact	Dennis Blakley
Applicant Phone	(412) 3	64-4549	Facility Phone	(412) 366-2700
Client ID	45245		Site ID	553893
Ch 94 Load Status	Not Ov	erloaded	Municipality	Sewickley Hills
Connection Status	No Lim	tations	County	Allegheny
Date Application Receiv	ved	March 4, 2022	EPA Waived?	Yes
Date Application Accep	oted	March 14, 2022	If No, Reason	
Purpose of Application		Renewal of NPDES permit for the	e discharge of treated sev	vage.

### Summary of Review

The applicant has applied for the renewal of NPDES Permit PA0217271. The previous permit was issued on August 4, 2017 and expired on August 31, 2022. The permit is currently under administrative extension.

Sewage from this plant is treated with activated sludge, sedimentation basins, and UV light disinfection.

The applicant is currently enrolled in and will continue to use eDMR.

The Act 14-PL 834 Municipal Notification was provided by the February 24, 2022 letters and no comments were received.

Below is a summary of changes made to this permit:

- E. Coli monitoring was imposed.
- Ammonia-nitrogen limits became more stringent. The facility's current effluent concentrations fall within the newly imposed limits. A compliance schedule would not be necessary.
- All instances of 8-hr composite sampling have been changed to 24-hr composite samples.
- All instances of weekday sampling have been changed to daily sampling.
- Mass loading limits for CBOD<sub>5</sub> and TSS have been rounded to comply with DEP guidance. They are slightly more stringent than the previous cycle.
- Total Lead monitoring was reimposed but sampling frequency was increased to 1/week.

Sludge use and disposal description and location(s): liquid sludge is brought to Pine Creek STP when necessary.

Approve	Deny	Signatures	Date
x		Grace Polaboshi	
		Grace Polakoski, E.I.T. / Environmental Engineering Specialist	February 9, 2023
x		MAHBURA IASMIN	
		Mahbuba lasmin, Ph.D., P.E. / Environmental Engineer Manager	February 14, 2023

### **Summary of Review**

#### **Public Participation**

DEP will publish notice of the receipt of the NPDES permit application and a tentative decision to issue the individual NPDES permit in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin* in accordance with 25 Pa. Code § 92a.82. Upon publication in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*, DEP will accept written comments from interested persons for a 30-day period (which may be extended for one additional 15-day period at DEP's discretion), which will be considered in making a final decision on the application. Any person may request or petition for a public hearing with respect to the application. A public hearing may be held if DEP determines that there is significant public interest in holding a hearing. If a hearing is held, notice of the hearing will be published in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin* at least 30 days prior to the hearing and in at least one newspaper of general circulation within the geographical area of the discharge.

rmation	
Design Flow (MGD)	0.295
Longitude	<u>-80° 6' 53.2"</u>
Quad Code	1405
Stream Code	36739
RMI	3.57
Yield (cfs/mi <sup>2</sup> )	0.009
	USGS StreamStats
	(Attachment A)
	CWF
Exceptions to Criteria	
Nama	
Data Source	
Data Source	
Moon Two Municipal Authority	1
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	6.64
	Design Flow (MGD) Longitude Quad Code Stream Code RMI Yield (cfs/mi <sup>2</sup> ) Q7-10 Basis Slope (ft/ft) Chapter 93 Class.

Changes Since Last Permit Issuance: USGS StreamStats was used for the Q7-10 flow instead of Bulletin #12.

	1	Freatment Facility Summa	ry	
Freatment Facility Na	me: Kilbuck Run STP			
WQM Permit No.	Issuance Date		Purpose	
0204405	03/03/1975	Construction of original ST	P	
0275454 A-1	04/29/2005	screen, a flow equalization	ncluding: a comminutor with basin, two aeration tanks, t ge holding tanks, and a UV	wo final
Waste Type	Degree of Treatment	Process Type	Disinfection	Avg Annual Flow (MGD)
Sewage	Secondary	Aerobic Digestion	UV	0.295
Hydraulic Capacity	Organic Capacity			Biosolids
(MGD)	(lbs/day)	Load Status	<b>Biosolids Treatment</b>	Use/Disposa
0.295	541	Not Overloaded	N/A	Other WWTP

Changes Since Last Permit Issuance: N/A

### **Compliance History**

Facility: Kilbuck Run STP

NPDES Permit No.: PA0217271

### Compliance Review Period: 6/2017 – 6/2022

#### **Inspection Summary:**

INSP ID	INSPECTED DATE	INSP TYPE	AGENCY	INSPECTION RESULT DESC
3187681	04/28/2021	Compliance Evaluation	County Health Dept	No Violations Noted
3096631	05/19/2020	Compliance Evaluation	County Health Dept	No Violations Noted
2855956	03/13/2019	Compliance Evaluation	County Health Dept	No Violations Noted
<u>2747930</u>	06/07/2018	Compliance Evaluation	County Health Dept	No Violations Noted
<u>2726398</u>	04/23/2018	Chapter 94 Inspection	PA Dept of Environmental Protection	No Violations Noted
<u>2618365</u>	07/18/2017	Compliance Evaluation	County Health Dept	No Violations Noted

### **Violation Summary:**

No Violations

### **Open Violations by Client ID:**

No open violations for client id 45245

### **Enforcement Summary:**

No open enforcements

### **DMR Violation Summary:**

Fecal exceedance 5/22 TSS exceedance 11/21

### Compliance Status:

Permittee in compliance.

#### Completed by: John Murphy

Completed date: 6/17/2022

### **Compliance History**

### DMR Data for Outfall 001 (from May 1, 2021 to April 30, 2022)

Parameter	APR-22	MAR-22	FEB-22	JAN-22	DEC-21	NOV-21	OCT-21	SEP-21	AUG-21	JUL-21	JUN-21	MAY-21
Flow (MGD)												
Average Monthly	0.040	0.036	0.059	0.037	0.048	0.043	0.036	0.043	0.059	0.045	0.043	0.045
Flow (MGD)												
Daily Maximum	0.071	0.059	0.146	0.082	0.095	0.320	0.090	0.125	0.178	0.072	0.067	0.116
pH (S.U.)												
Minimum	6.6	6.8	6.8	6.6	6.6	7.1	6.7	7.1	6.8	6.6	7.2	7.3
pH (S.U.)												
Maximum	7.2	7.5	7.3	7.4	7.6	7.8	8.0	7.9	7.8	7.9	8.0	7.9
DO (mg/L)												
Minimum	6.2	7.0	7.0	6.8	7.2	6.7	6.3	6.2	6.2	6.3	6.0	6.7
CBOD5 (lbs/day)												
Average Monthly	1.7	1.5	1.6	1.3	1.7	1.7	0.8	1.7	2.0	1.3	1.8	1.7
CBOD5 (lbs/day)												
Weekly Average	2.1	2.1	2.7	2.0	3.0	3.9	1.0	3.3	5.4	2.4	3.4	2.5
CBOD5 (mg/L)												
Average Monthly	5.4	6.6	4.9	4.9	4.1	7.0	4.0	4.6	4.1	3.8	5.2	5.1
CBOD5 (mg/L)												
Weekly Average	6.9	11.2	9.1	6.8	4.7	16.3	4.0	5.4	4.4	6.1	6.4	6.9
BOD5 (lbs/day)												
Raw Sewage Influent												
  Average												
Monthly	94	93	117	99	120	96	84	129	184	126	116	102
BOD5 (lbs/day)												
Raw Sewage Influent												
 br/> Daily Maximum	103	130	163	113	158	107	106	240	499	195	181	129
BOD5 (mg/L)												
Raw Sewage Influent												
  Average								o 1 -	070	100		
Monthly	294	392	320	389	320	401	365	345	373	426	341	329
TSS (lbs/day)					0.7		1.0		0.5			
Average Monthly	3.3	3.9	3.6	2.5	2.7	4.2	1.3	3.0	2.5	1.5	1.5	2.3
TSS (lbs/day)												
Raw Sewage Influent												
  Average		404	477	447	400	007	0.5	110	054	400	400	
Monthly	92	101	177	117	103	237	85	118	254	139	163	82
TSS (lbs/day)												
Raw Sewage Influent	440	450		4.57	447	7.47	400			010		100
 br/> Daily Maximum	112	153	446	157	117	747	123	214	839	218	447	133

### NPDES Permit Fact Sheet Kilbuck Run STP

### NPDES Permit No. PA0217271

TSS (lbs/day)												
Weekly Average	4.5	8.1	6.5	4.9	4.8	12.1	2.1	5.9	4.7	3.0	3.6	6.2
TSS (mg/L)												
Average Monthly	10.1	17.7	9.7	9.5	7.8	17.0	6.0	7.8	6.0	4.3	4.1	7.2
TSS (mg/L)												
Raw Sewage Influent												
 br/> Average												
Monthly	291	429	496	468	296	949	372	322	435	471	532	262
TSS (mg/L)												
Weekly Average	12.0	44.0	11.2	19.6	14.5	50.0	9.0	10.2	10.0	7.6	6.8	17.2
Fecal Coliform												
(No./100 ml)		_	-					-	_			
Geometric Mean	10	8	2	6	84	9	4	3	4	3	4	4
Fecal Coliform												
(No./100 ml)												
Instantaneous	00	00	7		0.400	04	10	05	10	20		10
Maximum	23	20	7	11	2420	21	12	25	12	30	14	16
UV Transmittance (%) Minimum	44.3	51.7	23.1	42.2	46.6	61	62	10 7	60.8	60	60.1	<b>FF</b> 0
	44.3	51.7	23.1	42.2	40.0	01	02	48.7	00.0	60	60.1	55.8
Total Nitrogen (mg/L) Daily Maximum					9.06							
Ammonia (lbs/day)					9.00							
Average Monthly	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.04	1.5	0.29	0.13	0.21	0.15	0.1	0.4	0.09
Ammonia (mg/L)	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.04	1.5	0.23	0.15	0.21	0.15	0.1	0.4	0.03
Average Monthly	1.8	1.7	1.2	0.17	2.4	1.2	0.6	0.65	0.43	0.3	1.0	0.30
Total Phosphorus				0			0.0	0.00	0.10	0.0		0.00
(mg/L)												
Daily Maximum					2.94							
Total Lead (mg/L)					-							
Daily Maximum					0.8							

### Compliance History

### Effluent Violations for Outfall 001, from: June 1, 2021 To: April 30, 2022

Parameter	Date	SBC	DMR Value	Units	Limit Value	Units
TSS	11/30/21	Wkly Avg	50.0	mg/L	45.0	mg/L

### **Development of Effluent Limitations**

Outfall No.	001		De	sign Flow (MGD)	0.295
Latitude	40° 33' 29.42	"	Lo	ngitude	-80º 6' 53.2"
Wastewater De	escription:	Sewage Effluent			

### **Technology-Based Limitations**

The following technology-based limitations apply, subject to water quality analysis and BPJ where applicable:

Pollutant	Limit (mg/l)	SBC	Federal Regulation	State Regulation
CBOD <sub>5</sub>	25	Average Monthly	133.102(a)(4)(i)	92a.47(a)(1)
	40	Average Weekly	133.102(a)(4)(ii)	92a.47(a)(2)
Total Suspended	30	Average Monthly	133.102(b)(1)	92a.47(a)(1)
Solids	45	Average Weekly	133.102(b)(2)	92a.47(a)(2)
рН	6.0 – 9.0 S.U.	Min – Max	133.102(c)	95.2(1)
Fecal Coliform (5/1 – 9/30)	200 / 100 ml	Geo Mean	-	92a.47(a)(4)
Fecal Coliform (5/1 – 9/30)	1,000 / 100 ml	IMAX	-	92a.47(a)(4)
Fecal Coliform (10/1 – 4/30)	2,000 / 100 ml	Geo Mean	-	92a.47(a)(5)
Fecal Coliform (10/1 – 4/30)	10,000 / 100 ml	IMAX	-	92a.47(a)(5)
Total Residual Chlorine	0.5	Average Monthly	-	92a.48(b)(2)

### Water Quality-Based Limitations

### WQM7.0

WQM7.0 is a water quality modeling program for Windows that determines Waste Load Allocations ("WLAs") and effluent limitations for carbonaceous biochemical oxygen demand ("CBOD5"), ammonia-nitrogen, and dissolved oxygen for single and multiple point-source discharge scenarios. To accomplish this, the model simulates two basic processes. In the ammonia-nitrogen module, the model simulates the mixing and degradation of ammonia-nitrogen in the stream and compares calculated instream ammonia-nitrogen concentrations to ammonia-nitrogen water quality criteria. In the dissolved oxygen module, the model simulates the mixing and consumption of dissolved oxygen in the stream due to the degradation of CBOD5 and ammonia-nitrogen and compares calculated instream dissolved oxygen concentrations to dissolved oxygen water quality criteria. WQM 7.0 then determines the highest pollutant loadings that the stream can assimilate while still meeting water quality criteria under design conditions.

DEP's modeling for sewage discharges is a two-step process. First, a discharge is modeled for the summer period (May through October) using warm temperatures for the discharge and the receiving stream. Modeling for the summer period is done first because allowable ammonia-nitrogen concentrations in a discharge are lower at higher temperatures (i.e., warm temperatures are more likely to result in critical loading conditions). Reduced dissolved oxygen levels also appear to increase ammonia toxicity and the maximum concentration of dissolved oxygen in water is lower at higher temperatures. The second step is to evaluate WQBELs for the winter period, but only if modeling shows that WQBELs are needed for the summer period.

The model inputs used to model the discharge from Kilbuck Run STP are shown below:

Stream Parameters								
Reac	h 1	Rea	ch 2					
Stream Code	36739	Stream Code	36739					
RMI	3.57	RMI	3.47					
Elevation (ft)	1019	Elevation (ft)	1018					
Drainage Area (mi <sup>2</sup> )	1.64	Drainage Area (mi <sup>2</sup> )	1.66					
Q <sub>7-10</sub> Flow (cfs)	0.0148	Q <sub>7-10</sub> Flow (cfs)	0.0151					

Facility/Design Parameters								
Discharge Flow (MGD)	0.295							
LFY (cfs/mi <sup>2</sup> ) [for use in summer modeling]	0.009							
2*LFY (cfs/mi <sup>2</sup> ) [for use in winter modeling]	0.018							

Sum	nmer Moo	deling Inputs	
Tributary		Discharge	•
Temperature (°C)	20	Temperature (°C)	20
pH (S.U.)	7	pH (S.U.)	7
DO (mg/L)	9.01	DO (mg/L)	4
CBOD <sub>5</sub> (mg/L)	2	CBOD <sub>5</sub> (mg/L)	25
NH <sub>3</sub> -N (mg/L)	0	NH <sub>3</sub> -N (mg/L)	25
DO Goal (mg/L)	6	DO Goal (mg/L)	6
Wii	nter Mod	eling Inputs	
Tributary		Discharge	•
Temperature (°C)	5	Temperature (°C)	15
pH (S.U.)	7	pH (S.U.)	7
DO (mg/L)	12.51	DO (mg/L)	4
CBOD <sub>5</sub> (mg/L)	2	CBOD <sub>5</sub> (mg/L)	25
NH <sub>3</sub> -N (mg/L)	0	NH <sub>3</sub> -N (mg/L)	25
DO Goal (mg/L)	6	DO Goal (mg/L)	6

The modeling results (output files can be found in Attachments B and C) show that water-quality based effluent limitations for these parameters are appropriate.

Parameter	Limit (mg/l)	SBC	Model
Dissolved Oxygen	6	Minimum	WQM7.0
Ammonia Nitrogen (Nov 1 – Apr 30)	2.79	Average Monthly	WQM7.0
Ammonia Nitrogen (May 1 – Oct 31)	1.97	Average Monthly	WQM7.0

The modeling results show that technology-based effluent limitations for  $CBOD_5$  are appropriate. However, during the last permit cycle, seasonal  $CBOD_5$  limits were imposed. These seasonal  $CBOD_5$  limits prove to be more stringent than the recommended TBELs for  $CBOD_5$  so they will be reimposed this permit cycle to comply with anti-backsliding regulations.

### **Toxics Management Spreadsheet (TMS)**

WQBELs are developed pursuant to Section 301(b)(1)(C) of the Clean Water Act and, per 40 CFR § 122.44(d)(1)(i), are imposed to "control all pollutants or pollutant parameters (either conventional, nonconventional, or toxic pollutants) that are or may be discharged at a level that will cause, have the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an excursion above any state water quality standard, including state narrative criteria for water quality." The Department of Environmental Protection developed the Toxics Management Spreadsheet (TMS) to facilitate calculations necessary to complete a reasonable potential (RP) analysis and determine WQBELs for discharges of toxic and some nonconventional pollutants.

The TMS is a single discharge, mass-balance water quality modeling program for Microsoft Excel® that considers mixing, first-order decay, and other factors to determine WQBELs for toxic and nonconventional pollutants. Required input data including stream code, river mile index, elevation, drainage area, discharge flow rate, low-flow yield, and the hardness and

### NPDES Permit Fact Sheet Kilbuck Run STP

pH of both the discharge and the receiving stream are entered into the TMS to establish site-specific discharge conditions. Other data such as reach dimensions, partial mix factors, and the background concentrations of pollutants in the stream also may be entered to further characterize the discharge and receiving stream. The pollutants to be analyzed by the model are identified by inputting the maximum concentration reported in the permit application or Discharge Monitoring Reports, or by inputting an Average Monthly Effluent Concentration (AMEC) calculated using DEP's TOXCONC.xls spreadsheet for datasets of 10 or more effluent samples. Pollutants with no entered concentration data and pollutants for which numeric water quality criteria in 25 Pa. Code Chapter 93 have not been promulgated are excluded from the modeling.

The TMS evaluates each pollutant by computing a Wasteload Allocation for each applicable criterion, determining the most stringent governing WQBEL, and comparing that governing WQBEL to the input discharge concentration to determine whether permit requirements apply in accordance with the following RP thresholds:

- Establish limits in the permit where the maximum reported effluent concentration or calculated AMEC equals or exceeds 50% of the WQBEL. Use the average monthly, maximum daily, and instantaneous maximum (IMAX) limits for the permit as recommended by the TMS (or, if appropriate, use a multiplier of 2 times the average monthly limit for the maximum daily limit and 2.5 times the average monthly limit for IMAX).
- For non-conservative pollutants, establish monitoring requirements where the maximum reported effluent concentration or calculated AMEC is between 25% 50% of the WQBEL.
- For conservative pollutants, establish monitoring requirements where the maximum reported effluent concentration or calculated AMEC is between 10% 50% of the WQBEL.

In most cases, pollutants with effluent concentrations that are not detectable at the level of DEP's Target Quantitation Limits are eliminated as candidates for WQBELs and water quality-based monitoring.

Per DEP SOP "Establishing Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations (WQBELs) and Permit Conditions for Toxic Pollutants in NPDES Permits for Existing Dischargers" (SOP No. BCW-PMT-037), the Toxics Management Spreadsheet (TMS) will be run for all pollutants for which sampling data is available. All sewage facilities with a design flow of greater than or equal to 0.1 MGD are required to provide effluent samples for: pH, TRC, fecal coliform, CBOD<sub>5</sub> or BOD<sub>5</sub>, TSS, NH<sub>3</sub>-N. Total N. Total P. DO, temperature, TKN, NO<sub>2</sub>-N + NO<sub>3</sub>-N, TDS, Chloride, Bromide, Sulfate, oil and grease, and any applicable TMDL parameters. Kilbuck Run STP does not have any industrial or commercial contributors so they were not required to sample for Total Copper, Total Lead, or Total Zinc. However, since eDMR data was available for Total Lead for the previous permit cycle, they were included in the analysis. Additionally, Kilbuck Run STP conducted additional lead testing, the results of which can be found in Attachments E and F. The Quantitation Limit of the initial resampling (Attachment E) was 0.007 mg/L, which is less sensitive than the DEP Target Quantitation Limit of 1.0 µg/L. As such, the permittee was given the opportunity to resample again (Attachment F), in which the Quantitation Limit was 0.800 µg/L. After the completion of both rounds of resampling, there were more than 10 samples available to analyze. Therefore, any samples that were considered to be "outliers" were removed from consideration. Additionally, because there were more than 10 samples available, the resampling data was evaluated using the TOXCONC model to get an AMEC value (Attachment G). The following WQBELs were recommended for this facility as a result of the Reasonable Potential Analysis:

Pollutant	Average Monthly (µg/L)	Maximum Daily (µg/L)
Total Lead	Report	Report

Additionally, since this facility uses UV disinfection instead of chlorine disinfection, TBELs for TRC are not applicable. Per DEP SOP "Establishing Effluent Limitations for Individual Sewage Permits" (SOP No. BCW-PMT-033, Rev. March 24, 2021), routine monitoring of UV transmittance (%), UV dosage ( $\mu$ Ws/cm<sup>2</sup>), or UV intensity ( $\mu$ W/cm<sup>2</sup>) will be established at the same frequency that would be used for TRC. Per Table 6.3 of the "Technical Guidance for the Development and Specification of Effluent Limitations", TRC monitoring should occur daily for a facility between 0.1 and 1.0 MGD. UV transmittance will be reimposed at a frequency of 1/day during this permit cycle.

### Best Professional Judgment (BPJ) Limitations

Typically, a dissolved oxygen minimum limitation of 4.0 mg/L will be implemented based on the standard in 25 PA Code Chapter 93 and best professional judgment. However, since the WQM7.0 suggested the more stringent value of 6.0 mg/L, the more stringent of the two will be imposed during this permit cycle.

### Anti-Backsliding

Section 402(o) of the Clean Water Act (CWA), enacted in the Water Quality Act of 1987, establishes anti-backsliding rules governing two situations. The first situation occurs when a permittee seeks to revise a Technology-Based effluent limitation based on BPJ to reflect a subsequently promulgated effluent guideline which is less stringent. The second situation addressed by Section 402(o) arises when a permittee seeks relaxation of an effluent limitation which is based upon a State treatment standard of water quality standard.

Previous limits can be used pursuant to EPA's anti-backsliding regulation 40 CFR 122.44 (I) Reissued permits. (1) Except as provided in paragraph (I)(2) of this section when a permit is renewed or reissued. Interim effluent limitations, standards or conditions must be at least as stringent as the final effluent limitations, standards, or conditions in the previous permit (unless the circumstances on which the previous permit was based have materially and substantially changed since the time the permit was issued and would constitute cause for permit modification or revocation and reissuance under §122.62). (2) In the case of effluent limitations established on the basis of Section 402(a)(1)(B) of the CWA, a permit may not be renewed, reissued, or modified on the basis of effluent guidelines promulgated under section 304(b) subsequent to the original issuance of such permit, to contain effluent limitations which are less stringent than the comparable effluent limitations in the previous permit.

The facility is not seeking to revise the previously permitted effluent limits.

### Mass Loading Limitations

Per Department SOP "Establishing Effluent Limitations for Individual Sewage Permits" (BCW-PMT-033), mass loading limits will be established for POTWs for CBOD<sub>5</sub>, TSS, ammonia nitrogen. Average monthly mass loading limits will be established for CBOD<sub>5</sub>, TSS, and ammonia nitrogen. Average weekly mass loading limits will be established for CBOD<sub>5</sub> and TSS. Mass loading limits will be calculated according to the formula below:

average annual design flow (MGD) × concentration limit  $\left(\frac{mg}{L}\right)$  × 8.34 (conversion factor)

$$=$$
 mass loading limit  $(\frac{lbs}{day})$ 

The following mass loading limitations were calculated:

Parameter	Average Monthly (Ibs/day)	Average Weekly (Ibs/day)
CBOD₅ (May 1 – Oct 31)	49.2	92.3
CBOD₅ (Nov 1 – Apr 30)	61.5	73.8
TSS	73.8	110.7
Ammonia Nitrogen (May 1 – Oct 31)	4.8	-
Ammonia Nitrogen (Nov 1 – Apr 30)	6.9	-

### **Influent Monitoring**

Per Department SOP "New and Reissuance Sewage Individual NPDES Permit Applications" (BCW-PMT-002), POTWs with design flows greater than 2,000 GPD, influent BOD<sub>5</sub> and TSS monitoring will be established in the permit. The influent monitoring will be established with the same frequency and sample type as the effluent sampling.

### **Additional Considerations**

Sewage discharges will include monitoring, at a minimum, for *E. coli*, in new and reissued permits, with a monitoring frequency of 1/quarter for design flows >= 0.05 and < 1 MGD.

The receiving stream is not impaired for nutrients, therefore, annual sampling for nitrogen and phosphorus will be imposed per 25 PA Code §92.61b.

Monitoring frequency for the proposed effluent limits are based upon Table 6-3, Self-Monitoring Requirements for Sewage Dischargers, from the Department's Technical Guidance for the Development and Specification of Effluent Limitations.

### **Proposed Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements**

The limitations and monitoring requirements specified below are proposed for the draft permit, and reflect the most stringent limitations amongst technology, water quality and BPJ. Instantaneous Maximum (IMAX) limits are determined using multipliers of 2 (conventional pollutants) or 2.5 (toxic pollutants). Sample frequencies and types are derived from the "NPDES Permit Writer's Manual" (362-0400-001), SOPs and/or BPJ.

### Outfall 001, Effective Period: Permit Effective Date through Permit Expiration Date.

			Effluent L	imitations.			Monitoring Re	quirements
Parameter	Mass Units	s (lbs/day) <sup>(1)</sup>		Concentrati	ions (mg/L)		Minimum <sup>(2)</sup>	Required
Parameter	Average Monthly	Weekly Average	Daily Minimum	Average Monthly	Weekly Average	Instant. Maximum	Measurement Frequency	Sample Type
Flow (MGD)	Report	Report Daily Max	xxx	xxx	XXX	xxx	Continuous	Recorded
pH (S.U.)	XXX	xxx	6.0 Inst Min	xxx	XXX	9.0	1/day	Grab
DO	ххх	xxx	6.0 Inst Min	XXX	XXX	xxx	1/day	Grab
CBOD5 Nov 1 - Apr 30	60.0	90.0	XXX	25.0	37.5	50	1/week	24-Hr Composite
CBOD5 May 1 - Oct 31	49.0	70.0	xxx	20.0	30.0	40	1/week	24-Hr Composite
BOD5 Raw Sewage Influent	Report	Report Daily Max	xxx	Report	XXX	xxx	1/week	24-Hr Composite
TSS Raw Sewage Influent	Report	Report Daily Max	xxx	Report	XXX	xxx	1/week	24-Hr Composite
TSS	70.0	110.0	xxx	30.0	45.0	60	1/week	24-Hr Composite
Fecal Coliform (No./100 ml) Oct 1 - Apr 30	ххх	xxx	XXX	2000 Geo Mean	XXX	10000	1/week	Grab
Fecal Coliform (No./100 ml) May 1 - Sep 30	ххх	XXX	XXX	200 Geo Mean	XXX	1000	1/week	Grab
E. Coli (No./100 ml)	ХХХ	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	Report	1/quarter	Grab
UV Transmittance (%)	ххх	XXX	Report	xxx	XXX	xxx	1/day	Measured
Total Nitrogen	ххх	xxx	xxx	Report Daily Max	XXX	ххх	1/year	24-Hr Composite
Ammonia Nov 1 - Apr 30	6.9	XXX	xxx	2.79	XXX	5.58	1/week	24-Hr Composite

### NPDES Permit Fact Sheet Kilbuck Run STP

### Outfall 001, Continued (from Permit Effective Date through Permit Expiration Date)

			Effluent L	imitations			Monitoring Requirements		
Parameter	Mass Units	(lbs/day) <sup>(1)</sup>		Minimum <sup>(2)</sup>	Required				
Faranieler	Average Monthly	Weekly Average	Daily Minimum	Average Monthly	Weekly Average	Instant. Maximum	Measurement Frequency	Sample Type	
Ammonia								24-Hr	
May 1 - Oct 31	4.8	XXX	XXX	1.97	XXX	3.94	1/week	Composite	
				Report				24-Hr	
Total Phosphorus	XXX	XXX	XXX	Daily Max	XXX	XXX	1/year	Composite	
					Report			24-Hr	
Lead, Total (ug/L)	XXX	XXX	XXX	Report	Daily Max	XXX	1/week	Composite	

Compliance Sampling Location: Outfall 001

# ATTACHMENT A: USGS STREAMSTATS

### StreamStats Report

 Region ID:
 PA

 Workspace ID:
 PA20220616184627677000

 Clicked Point (Latitude, Longitude):
 40.55812, -80.11479

 Time:
 2022-06-16 14:46:47 -0400



Collapse All

Basin Character	sucs		
Parameter Code	Parameter Description	Value	Unit
DRNAREA	Area that drains to a point on a stream	1.64	square miles
ELEV	Mean Basin Elevation	1162	feet

Low-Flow Statis	tics			
Low-Flow Statist	tics Parameters [Lo	Pegion 4		
LOW- IOW Statis	lics Falameters [LC	 (egion +j		
	Parameter Name	Units	Min Limit	Max Limit

Parameter Code	Parameter Name	Value	Units	Min Limit	Max Limit
ELEV	Mean Basin Elevation	1162	feet	1050	2580
Low-Flow Statis	tics Disclaimers [Low	Flow F	Region 4]		
One or more of th with unknown erro	e parameters is outside the ors.	suggest	ed range. Estin	nates were ext	rapolated
Low-Flow Statis	tics Flow Report [Low	/ Flow I	Region 4]		
Low <del>-</del> F <b>l</b> ow Statis <sup>.</sup> Statistic	tics Flow Report [Low	/ Flow I	Region 4] Value	Ur	nit
		/ Flow I	0		nit *3/s
<b>Statistic</b> 7 Day 2 Year Low	/ Flow	/ Flow I	Value	ft	
Statistic	v Flow w Flow	r Flow I	Value 0.048	ft <sup>/</sup>	^3/s
<b>Statistic</b> 7 Day 2 Year Low 30 Day 2 Year Lo	v Flow w Flow w Flow	/ Flow I	Value 0.048 0.0903	ft' ft' ft'	*3/s *3/s

Low-Flow Statistics Citations

Stuckey, M.H.,2006, Low-flow, base-flow, and mean-flow regression equations for Pennsylvania streams: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2006-5130, 84 p. (http://pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2006/5130/)

USGS Data Disclaimer: Unless otherwise stated, all data, metadata and related materials are considered to satisfy the quality standards relative to the purpose for which the data were collected. Although these data and associated metadata have been reviewed for accuracy and completeness and approved for release by the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), no warranty expressed or implied is made regarding the display or utility of the data for other purposes, nor on all computer systems, nor shall the act of distribution constitute any such warranty.

USGS Software Disclaimer: This software has been approved for release by the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS). Although the software has been subjected to rigorous review, the USGS reserves the right to update the software as needed pursuant to further analysis and review. No warranty, expressed or implied, is made by the USGS or the U.S. Government as to the functionality of the software and related material nor shall the fact of release constitute any such warranty. Furthermore, the software is released on condition that neither the USGS nor the U.S. Government shall be held liable for any damages resulting from its authorized or unauthorized use.

USGS Product Names Disclaimer: Any use of trade, firm, or product names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

Application Version: 4.9.0 StreamStats Services Version: 1.2.22 NSS Services Version: 2.2.0

# ATTACHMENT B: WQM7.0 MODELING RESULTS (SUMMER)

#### SWP Basin PWS Stream Code RMI Drainage Area Elevation Slope Apply FC Stream Name Withdrawal (ft) (sq mi) (ft/ft) (mgd) ☑ 20G 36739 KILBUCK RUN 1019.00 1.64 0.00000 0.00 3.570 Stream Data LFY Trib Stream Rch Rch WD Rch Rch Tributary Stream pН Temp pН Design Flow Flow Trav Velocity Ratio Width Depth Temp Cond. Time (cfsm) (cfs) (cfs) (ft) (°C) (days) (fps) (ft) (°C) 0.0 Q7-10 0.009 0.00 0.000 0.000 0.00 0.00 20.00 7.00 0.00 0.00 0.01 Q1-10 0.00 0.00 0.000 0.000 Q30-10 0.00 0.00 0.000 0.000 Discharge Data Existing Permitted Design Disc Disc

Input Data WQM 7.0

Name	Permit Number		Disc Flow (mgd)	Disc Flow (mgd)	Res Fa	ctor	(°C)	pН
Kilbuck Run STP	PA0217271	0.0000	0.0000	0.295	0 0	0.000	20.00	7.00
	Par	ameter Data						
Borr	meter Name	Disc Conc	Trib Con		eam onc	Fate Coef		
Para	meter Name	(mg/L)	(mg/	L) (m	g/L)	(1/days)		
CBOD5		25.0	0 2	.00	0.00	1.50	)	
Dissolved Oxygen		4.0	0 9	.01	0.00	0.00	)	
NH3-N		25.0	0 0	.00	0.00	0.70	)	

### Input Data WQM 7.0

	SWP Basir			Stre	am Name		RMI	E	Elevati (ft)	on	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Slope (ft/ft)	PW: Withdr (mg	awal	Apply FC
	20G	367	739 KILBU	CK RUN			3.47	70	101	8.00	1.66	0.00000		0.00	V
					S	tream Da	ta								
Design	LFY	Trib Flow	Stream Flow	Rch Trav	Rch Velocity	WD Ratio	Rch Width	Rc Dep		Temp	<u>Tributary</u> p pH	Tem	Stream	pН	
Cond.	(cfsm)	(cfs)	(cfs)	Time (days)	(fps)		(ft)	(ft	)	(°C)		(°C	)		
27-10	0.009	0.02	0.00	0.000	0.000	0.0	0.00		0.00	20	.00 7.0	00 0	0.00	0.00	
21-10 230-10		0.00		0.000	0.000										

	Dis	charge D	ata						
Name	Permit Number	Existing Disc Flow (mgd)	Permi Dis Flov (mg	c [ w		Rese Fac		Disc Temp (°C)	Disc pH
		0.0000	0.00	000	0.0000	0	.000	25.00	7.00
	Par	rameter D	ata						
Pare	meter Name	Dis Co		Trib Conc	Strea Cor		Fate Coef		
Fair	ineter Name	(mg	/L)	(mg/L)	(mg	/L)	(1/days	)	
CBOD5		2	5.00	2.0	0 0	0.00	1.5	0	
Dissolved Oxy	/gen	1	3.00	8.2	4 (	0.00	0.0	0	
NH3-N		2	5.00	0.0	0 0	0.00	0.7	0	

# WQM 7.0 Modeling Specifications

Parameters	Both	Use Inputted Q1-10 and Q30-10 Flows	$\checkmark$
WLA Method	EMPR	Use Inputted W/D Ratio	
Q1-10/Q7-10 Ratio	0.64	Use Inputted Reach Travel Times	
Q30-10/Q7-10 Ratio	1.36	Temperature Adjust Kr	$\checkmark$
D.O. Saturation	90.00%	Use Balanced Technology	$\checkmark$
D.O. Goal	6		

### WQM 7.0 Hydrodynamic Outputs

	SW	P Basin	Strea	m Code				Stream	Name			
		20G	3	6739				KILBUCI	K RUN			
RMI	Stream Flow	PWS With	Net Stream Flow	Disc Analysis Flow	Reach Slope	Depth	Width	W/D Ratio	Velocity	Reach Trav Time	Analysis Temp	Analysis pH
	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(ft/ft)	(ft)	(ft)		(fps)	(days)	(°C)	
Q7-1	0 Flow											
3.570	0.01	0.00	0.01	.4564	0.00189	.462	8.94	19.36	0.11	0.054	20.00	7.00
Q1-1	0 Flow											
3.570	0.01	0.00	0.01	.4564	0.00189	NA	NA	NA	0.11	0.054	20.00	7.00
Q30-	10 Flow	,										
3.570	0.02	0.00	0.02	.4564	0.00189	NA	NA	NA	0.11	0.053	20.00	7.00

<u>SWP Basin</u> 20G	Stream Code 36739			Stream Name KILBUCK RUN	-
RMI	Total Discharge	e Flow (mgd	) <u>Ana</u>	ysis Temperature	e (°C) Analysis pH
3.570	0.29	95		20.000	7.000
Reach Width (ft)	Reach De	epth (ft)		Reach WDRatio	Reach Velocity (fps)
8.942	0.46	52		0.114	
Reach CBOD5 (mg/L)	Reach Kc	(1/days)	R	each NH3-N (mg	g/L) Reach Kn (1/days)
24.28	1.49	-		1.91	0.700
Reach DO (mg/L)	Reach Kr			Kr Equation	Reach DO Goal (mg/L)
6.095	21.1	46		Owens	6
Reach Travel Time (days 0.054	s) TravTime (days)	Subreact CBOD5 (mg/L)	NH3-N (mg/L)	D.O. (mg/L)	
	0.005	24.08	1.90	6.12	
	0.011	23.89	1.89	6.14	
	0.016	23.70	1.89	6.16	
	0.021	23.51	1.88	6.18	
	0.027	23.32	1.87	6.21	
	0.032	23.14	1.87	6.23	
	0.038	22.95	1.86	6.25	
	0.043	22.77	1.85	6.27	
	0.048	22.59	1.85	6.29	
	0.054	22.41	1.84	6.31	

# WQM 7.0 D.O.Simulation

### WQM 7.0 Wasteload Allocations

			am Code <u>Stream Name</u> 36739 KILBUCK RUN						
NH3-N	Acute Alloc	ation	s						
RMI	Discharge	Name	Baseline Criterion (mg/L)	Baseline WLA (mg/L)	Multiple Criterion (mg/L)	Multiple WLA (mg/L)	Critical Reach	Percent Reduction	
3.5	70 Kilbuck Run	STP	16.76	17.11	16.76	17.11	0	0	
	70 Kilbuck Run Chronic All Discharge N	ocati		17.11 Baseline WLA (mg/L)	16.76 Multiple Criterion (mg/L)	17.11 Multiple WLA (mg/L)	0 Critical Reach	0 Percent Reduction	

			CBOD5		NH3-N		d Oxygen	Critical	Percent
RMI	Discharge Name	Baseline (mg/L)	Multiple (mg/L)	Baseline (mg/L)	Multiple	Baseline (mg/L)	Multiple		Reduction
3.57 K	ilbuck Run STP	25	25	1.97	1.97	6	6	0	0

		m Code 6739		Stream Name KILBUCK RU	-		
RMI	Name	Permit Number	Disc Flow (mgd)	Parameter	Effl. Limit 30-day Ave. (mg/L)	Effl. Limit Maximum (mg/L)	Effl. Limit Minimum (mg/L)
3.570	Kilbuck Run STP	PA0217271	0.000	CBOD5	25		
				NH3-N	1.97	3.94	
				Dissolved Oxygen			6

### WQM 7.0 Effluent Limits

# ATTACHMENT C: WQM7.0 MODELING RESULTS (WINTER)

						ut Dat							
	SWP Basir			Stre	am Name		RMI	Eleva (ft)	A	inage irea q mi)	Slope PW Withd (ft/ft) (mg	rawal	Apply FC
	20G	367	739 KILBU	CK RUN			3.5	70 10	19.00	1.64	0.00000	0.00	V
					St	ream Dat	ta						
Design Cond.	LFY	Trib Flow	Stream Flow	Rch Trav Time	Rch Velocity	WD Ratio	Rch Width	Rch Depth	<u>Tribi</u> Temp	utary pH	<u>Strean</u> Temp	рН	
Cond.	(cfsm)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(days)	(fps)		(ft)	(ft)	(°C)		(°C)		
27-10 21-10 230-10	0.018	0.01 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00	0.000 0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000	0.0	0.00	0.00	5.00	7.00	0.00	0.00	
					D	ischarge							
			Name	Per	mit Numbe	Disc	Disc Flow	Flow	Reserve Factor	Disc Temp (°C)	Disc pH		
		Kilbu	ck Run STI	P PAG	0217271	0.000	0 0.000	0 0.295	0.000	0 15	.00 7.00		
					P	arameter	Data						
				Paramete	r Name					ate oef			
				anameter		(m	ng/L) (r	ng/L) (r	ng/L) (1/d	iays)			
			CBOD5				25.00	2.00	0.00	1.50			
			Dissolved	Oxygen			4.00	12.51	0.00	0.00			

### Input Data WQM 7.0

### Input Data WQM 7.0

25.00 0.00

0.70

0.00

NH3-N

	SWP Basir			Stre	am Name		RMI	I	Elevati (ft)	on	Draina( Area (sq m	ĩ	Slope (ft/ft)	PW Withdi (mg	rawal	Apply FC
	20G	36	739 KILBU	CK RUN			3.47	70	101	8.00	1	1.66	0.00000		0.00	
					s	tream Da	ta									
Design	LFY	Trib Flow	Stream Flow	Rch Trav Time	Rch Velocity	WD Ratio	Rch Width	Rc Dep		Tem	<u>Tributar</u> P	ұ pH	Tem	Stream p	рН	
Cond.	(cfsm)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(days)	(fps)		(ft)	(fi	)	(°C)	)		(°C	)		
Q7-10	0.018	0.02		0.000	0.000	0.0	0.00		0.00	5	5.00	7.0	0 (	0.00	0.00	
Q1-10 Q30-10		0.00 0.00		0.000	0.000											

	Dis	charge Da	ata					
Name	Permit Number	Existing Disc Flow (mgd)	Permitt Disc Flow (mgd	Di Fl	sč Res	erve T ctor	Disc 'emp (°C)	Disc pH
		0.0000	0.00	00 0.	0000	0.000	25.00	7.00
	Par	rameter Da	ata					
Par	ameter Name	Dise		Trib Conc	Stream Conc	Fate Coef		
Fai	aneter Name	(mg	/L) (r	mg/L)	(mg/L)	(1/days)		
CBOD5		25	5.00	2.00	0.00	1.50		
Dissolved Ox	ygen	:	3.00	8.24	0.00	0.00	)	
NH3-N		2	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.70		

# WQM 7.0 Modeling Specifications

Parameters	Both	Use Inputted Q1-10 and Q30-10 Flows	✓
WLA Method	EMPR	Use Inputted W/D Ratio	
Q1-10/Q7-10 Ratio	0.64	Use Inputted Reach Travel Times	
Q30-10/Q7-10 Ratio	1.36	Temperature Adjust Kr	✓
D.O. Saturation	90.00%	Use Balanced Technology	✓
D.O. Goal	6		

### WQM 7.0 Hydrodynamic Outputs

		P Basin 08B		<u>um Code</u> 6798				Stream CHEST C				
RMI	Stream	PWS	Net	Disc	Reach	Depth	Width	W/D	Velocity		Analysis	Analysis
	Flow (cfs)	With (cfs)	Stream Flow (cfs)	Analysis Flow (cfs)	(ft/ft)	(ft)	(ft)	Ratio	(fps)	Trav Time (days)	Temp (°C)	рН
Q7-1	0 Flow											
25.440	3.06	0.00	3.06	.8354	0.01515	.678	26.87	39.63	0.21	0.029	5.00	7.00
Q1-1	0 Flow											
25.440	1.96	0.00	1.96	.8354	0.01515	NA	NA	NA	0.18	0.034	5.00	7.00
Q30-	10 Flow	,										
25.440	4.16	0.00	4.16	.8354	0.01515	NA	NA	NA	0.25	0.025	5.00	7.00

<u>SWP Basin</u> 20G	Stream Code 36739			Stream Name KILBUCK RUN	
RMI	Total Discharge	e Flow (mgd	) <u>Ana</u> l	ysis Temperature (°C	) Analysis pH
3.570	0.29	5		14.686	7.000
Reach Width (ft)	Reach De	epth (ft)		Reach WDRatio	Reach Velocity (fps)
8.942	0.46	2		19.357	0.114
Reach CBOD5 (mg/L)	Reach Kc	(1/days)	R	each NH3-N (mg/L)	Reach Kn (1/days)
24.28	1.49	-		2.71	0.465
Reach DO (mg/L)	Reach Kr			Kr Equation	Reach DO Goal (mg/L)
6.204	18.6	42		Owens	6
Reach Travel Time (days	5)	Subreach	Results		
0.054	TravTime (days)		NH3-N (mg/L)	D.O. (mg/L)	
	0.005	24.13	2.70	6.34	
	0.011	23.97	2.69	6.45	
	0.016	23.82	2.69	6.56	
	0.021	23.68	2.68	6.67	
	0.027	23.53	2.67	6.76	
	0.032	23.38	2.67	6.84	
	0.038	23.23	2.66	6.92	
	0.043	23.09	2.65	6.99	
	0.048	22.94	2.65	7.06	
	0.054	22.80	2.64	7.12	

# WQM 7.0 D.O.Simulation

### WQM 7.0 Wasteload Allocations

	SWP Basin 20G		a <u>m Code</u> 6739			ream Name BUCK RUN		
NH3-N	Acute Alloca	tion	s					
RMI	Discharge N	ame	Baseline Criterion (mg/L)	Baseline WLA (mg/L)	Multiple Criterion (mg/L)	Multiple WLA (mg/L)	Critical Reach	Percent Reduction
3.5	70 Kilbuck Run S	TP	24.1	24.6	24.1	24.6	0	0
	70 Kilbuck Run S			24.6	24.1	24.6	0	0
		cati		24.6 Baseline WLA (mg/L)	24.1 Multiple Criterion (mg/L)	24.6 Multiple WLA (mg/L)	0 Critical Reach	0 Percent Reduction

			CBC	DD5	NH	3-N	Dissolved	d Oxygen	Critical	Percent
_	RMI	Discharge Name	Baseline (mg/L)	Multiple (mg/L)	Baseline (mg/L)	Multiple	Baseline (mg/L)	Multiple		Reduction
	3.57 Ki	Ibuck Run STP	25	25	2.79	2.79	6	6	0	0

				-		
SWP Basin Stream	n Code		Stream Nam	<u>e</u>		
20G 36	739		KILBUCK RU	IN		
Name	Permit Number	Disc Flow (mgd)	Parameter	Effl. Limit 30-day Ave. (mg/L)	Effl. Limit Maximum (mg/L)	Effl. Limit Minimum (mg/L)
Kilbuck Run STP	PA0217271	0.000	CBOD5	25		
			NH3-N	2.79	5.58	
			Dissolved Oxygen			6
	20G 36 Name	SWP Basin         Stream Code           20G         36739           Name         Permit Number	SWP Basin     Stream Code       20G     36739       Name     Permit Number       Permit Number     Disc Flow (mgd)	SWP Basin     Stream Code     Stream Name       20G     36739     KILBUCK RU       Name     Permit Number     Disc Flow (mgd)     Parameter       Kilbuck Run STP     PA0217271     0.000     CBOD5 NH3-N	SWP Basin 20G     Stream Code 36739     Stream Name KILBUCK RUN       Name     Permit Number     Disc Flow (mgd)     Parameter     Stfl. Limit 30-day Ave. (mg/L)       Kilbuck Run STP     PA0217271     0.000     CBOD5     25 NH3-N       Kilbuck Run STP     PA0217271     0.100     CBOD5     25	SWP Basin 20G     Stream Code 36739     Stream Name KILBUCK RUN       Name     Permit Number     Disc Flow (mgd)     Parameter     Effl. Limit 30-day Ave. (mg/L)     Effl. Limit Maximum (mg/L)       Kilbuck Run STP     PA0217271     0.000     CBOD5     25       NH3-N     2.79     5.58

### WQM 7.0 Effluent Limits

# ATTACHMENT D: TMS MODELING RESULTS

Toxics Management Spreadsheet Version 1.3, March 2021



# **Discharge Information**

Inst	tructions D	ischarge Stream														
Fac	ility: Kilk	ouck Run STP						NPI	DES Perr	mit No.:	PA0217	271		Outfall	No.: 001	
Eva	luation Type:	Major Sewage /	Industr	ial V	laste	•		Wa	stewater	Descript	tion: trea	ated sew	age			
_						Dische		24.0								
						Discha	_		racterist				<b>C</b> = m	alata Mi	Times	(maine)
De	sign Flow	Hardness (mg/l)*	pH (	SU)'	• •				al Mix Fa	-	-	0.51			x Times	
	(MGD)*				_	AFC	;		CFC	THH	·	CRL	Q,	-10		2 <sub>h</sub>
	0.295	100	7	.2												
							0	if lef	t blank	0.5 if le	ft blank	0	) if left blan	k	1 if lef	t blank
	Disch	arge Pollutant	Units	Ма	x Dis Cor	charge nc	Tri Cor		Stream Conc	Daily CV	Hourly CV	Strea m CV	Fate Coeff	FOS	Criteri a Mod	Chem Transl
	Total Dissolve	ed Solids (PWS)	mg/L			444										
-	Chloride (PW	1 1	mg/L			115										
Group	Bromide	-/	mg/L		0	.127										
ĕ	Sulfate (PWS	)	mg/L		(	62.5										
	Fluoride (PW	S)	mg/L													
	Total Aluminu	m	µg/L													
	Total Antimon	у	µg/L													
	Total Arsenic		µg/L													
	Total Barium		µg/L													
	Total Berylliur	n	µg/L													
	Total Boron		µg/L													
	Total Cadmiu		µg/L													
	Total Chromiu		µg/L													
	Hexavalent C	nromium	µg/L												<u> </u>	
	Total Cobalt Total Copper		µg/L													
2	Free Cyanide		µg/L												<u> </u>	
Group	Total Cyanide		μg/L μg/L													
Sro.	Dissolved Iror		µg/L													
ľ	Total Iron	-	µg/L													
	Total Lead		µg/L		1	1.35										
	Total Mangan	ese	µg/L													
	Total Mercury		µg/L													
	Total Nickel		µg/L													
		(Phenolics) (PWS)	µg/L													
	Total Seleniur	n	µg/L													
	Total Silver		µg/L													
	Total Thallium	1	µg/L													
	Total Zinc		µg/L													
┝	Total Molybde	num	µg/L													
	Acrolein		µg/L	< <												
	Acrylamide		µg/L	<												
	Acrylonitrile Benzene		μg/L μg/L	<												
	Bromoform		µg/L µg/L	<												
	Diomolom		Pg/L													

Toxics Management Spreadsheet Version 1.3, March 2021



### Stream / Surface Water Information

Kilbuck Run STP, NPDES Permit No. PA0217271, Outfall 001

Statewide Criteria
 Great Lakes Criteria
 ORSANCO Criteria

Instructions	Discharge	Stream
--------------	-----------	--------

Receiving Surface Water Name:

Location	Stream Code*	RMI*	Elevation (ft)*	DA (mi <sup>2</sup> )*	Slope (ft/ft)	PWS Withdrawal (MGD)	Apply Fish Criteria*
Point of Discharge	036739	3.57	1019	1.64			Yes
End of Reach 1	036739	3.47	1018	1.66			Yes

#### Q 7-10

Location	RMI	LFY	Flow	r (cfs)	W/D	Width	Depth	Velocit	Time	Tributa	ary	Strea	m	Analys	sis
Location	T SIVII	(cfs/mi <sup>2</sup> )*	Stream	Tributary	Ratio	(ft)	(ft)	y (fps)	(dave)	Hardness	pН	Hardness*	pH*	Hardness	pН
Point of Discharge	3.57	0.009										100	7		
End of Reach 1	3.47	0.0091													

No. Reaches to Model: 1

#### $Q_h$

Location	RMI	LFY	Flow	r (cfs)	W/D	Width	Depth	Velocit	Time	Tributa	ary	Strea	m	Analys	sis
Location	T SIVII	(cfs/mi <sup>2</sup> )	Stream	Tributary	Ratio	(ft)	(ft)	y (fps)	(dave)	Hardness	pН	Hardness	рН	Hardness	pН
Point of Discharge	3.57														
End of Reach 1	3.47														



Toxics Management Spreadsheet Version 1.3, March 2021

### **Model Results**

Kilbuck Run STP, NPDES Permit No. PA0217271, Outfall 001

Analysis pH: 7.19

Instructions	Results	RETURN TO INPUTS	SAVE AS PDF	PRINT	All	) Inputs	O Results	O Limits	
	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••								

✓ Hydrodynamics

Q 7-10

RMI	Stream Flow (cfs)	PWS Withdrawal (cfs)	Net Stream Flow (cfs)	Discharge Analysis Flow (cfs)	Slope (ft/ft)	Depth (ft)	Width (ft)	W/D Ratio	Velocity (fps)	Time (days)	Complete Mix Time (min)
3.57	0.01		0.01	0.456	0.002	0.462	8.942	19.356	0.114	0.054	0.008
3.47	0.01		0.015								

 $Q_h$ 

RMI	Stream Flow (cfs)	PWS Withdrawal (cfs)	Net Stream Flow (cfs)	Discharge Analysis Flow (cfs)	Slope (ft/ft)	Depth (ft)	Width (ft)	W/D Ratio	Velocity (fps)	Time	Complete Mix Time (min)
3.57	0.19		0.19	0.456	0.002	0.53	8.942	16.882	0.136	0.045	0.55
3.47	0.189		0.19								

#### ✓ Wasteload Allocations

AFC CC	T (min): 0.0	800	PMF:	1	Ana	lysis Hardne	ss (mg/l):	100 Analysis pH: 7.19
Pollutants	Conc	Stream CV	Trib Conc (µg/L)	Fate Coef	WQC (µg/L)	WQ Obj (µg/L)	WLA (µg/L)	Comments
Total Dissolved Solids (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Chloride (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Sulfate (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Lead	0	0		0	64.581	81.6	84.3	Chem Translator of 0.791 applied

✓ CFC CCT (min): 0.008

Analysis Hardness (mg/l): 100

Pollutants	Conc	Stream CV	Trib Conc (µg/L)	Fate Coef	WQC (µg/L)	WQ Obj (µg/L)	WLA (µg/L)	Comments
Total Dissolved Solids (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Chloride (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Sulfate (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Lead	0	0		0	2.517	3.18	3.28	Chem Translator of 0.791 applied

PMF: 1

### NPDES Permit Fact Sheet Kilbuck Run STP

✓ THH CC	T (min): 0.0	008	PMF:	1	Ana	ilysis Hardne	ess (mg/l):	N/A Analysis pH: N/A
Pollutants	Conc	Stream CV	Trib Conc (µg/L)	Fate Coef	WQC (µg/L)	WQ Obj (µg/L)	WLA (µg/L)	Comments
Total Dissolved Solids (PWS)	0	0		0	500,000	500,000	N/A	
Chloride (PWS)	0	0		0	250,000	250,000	N/A	
Sulfate (PWS)	0	0		0	250,000	250,000	N/A	
Total Lead	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
CCC CC	T (min): 0.8	550	PMF:	1	Ana	ilysis Hardne	ess (mg/l):	N/A Analysis pH: N/A
Pollutants	Conc	Stream CV	Trib Conc (µg/L)	Fate Coef	WQC (µg/L)	WQ Obj (µg/L)	WLA (µg/L)	Comments
Total Dissolved Solids (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Chloride (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Sulfate (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Lead	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Recommended WQBELs & Monitoring Requirements

No. Samples/Month: 4

	Mass	Limits	Concentration Limits						
Pollutants	AML (lbs/day)	MDL (lbs/day)	AML	MDL	IMAX	Units	Governing WQBEL	WQBEL Basis	Comments
Total Lead	Report	Report	Report	Report	Report	µg/L	3.28	CFC	Discharge Conc > 10% WQBEL (no RP)

#### ☑ Other Pollutants without Limits or Monitoring

The following pollutants do not require effluent limits or monitoring based on water quality because reasonable potential to exceed water quality criteria was not determined and the discharge concentration was less than thresholds for monitoring, or the pollutant was not detected and a sufficiently sensitive analytical method was used (e.g., <= Target QL).

Pollutants	Governing WQBEL	Units	Comments
Total Dissolved Solids (PWS)	N/A	N/A	PWS Not Applicable
Chloride (PWS)	N/A	N/A	PWS Not Applicable
Bromide	N/A	N/A	No WQS
Sulfate (PWS)	N/A	N/A	PWS Not Applicable

# ATTACHMENT E: ADDITIONAL LEAD TESTING (2022)

# MICROBAC\* Microbac Laboratories Inc., Pittsburgh Division CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS 2093171

							022 9:30	
Result	MDL	RL	Units	DF	Note	Prepared	Analyzed	Analys
								10.276-75-
<0.002	0.002	0.007	mg/L	1		09/16/22 0943	09/20/22 0038	SEA
				_				
							022 9:00	
Result	MDL	RL	Units	DF	Note	Prepared	Analyzed	Analys
<0.002	0.002	0.007	mg/L	1		09/16/22 0943	09/20/22 0045	SEA
				<u>.</u>				
					이번 영양은 영양을 가지 않는 것이 없다.		022 9:30	
Result	MDL	RL	Units	DF	Note	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
<0.002	0.002	0.007	mg/L	1		09/16/22 0943	09/20/22 0051	SEA
	_		_					
							022 9:40	÷.,
Result	MDL	RL	Units	DF	Note	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
<0.002	0.002	0.007	mg/L	1		09/16/22 0943	09/20/22 0058	SEA
ter								
	20000000							
	Temp:	3.9°C						
	<0.002 Result <0.002 Result <0.002 Result	<0.002 0.002 <table>          Result         MDL           &lt;0.002</table>	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002       0.002       0.007       mg/L       1         Result       MDL       RL       Units       DF         <0.002	Result       MDL       RL       Units       DF       Note         <0.002	Collection Date:         08/24/2           Result         MDL         RL         Units         DF         Note         Prepared           <0.002	Collection Date:         08/24/2022         9:30           Result         MDL         RL         Units         DF         Note         Prepared         Analyzed           <0.002

# ATTACHMENT F: ADDITIONAL LEAD TESTING (2023)

# MICROBAC® Microbac Laboratories Inc., Pittsburgh Division CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS 3014516

Client Sample ID:	Kilbuck OTSA										
Sample Matrix:	Aqueous							Collected By:	Tim Bar	ker	
Lab Sample ID:	3014516-01							Collection Date:	01/04/2	023 9:50	
Metals Total by ICPMS			Result	MDL	RL	Units	DF	Note F	repared	Analyzed	Analys
Method: EPA 200.8, Rv	. 5.4 (1994)										
Lead		1.57		0.088	0.800	ug/L	1	02/	03/23 1026	02/06/23 1747	SEV
Client Sample ID:	Kilbuck OTSA										
Sample Matrix:	Aqueous							Collected By:	Tim Bar	ker	
Lab Sample ID:	3014516-02							Collection Date:	01/11/20	023 9:53	
Metals Total by ICPMS			Result	MDL	RL	Units	DF	Note F	repared	Analyzed	Analys
Method: EPA 200.8, Rv	. 5.4 (1994)										
Lead		0.785		0.088	0.800	ug/L	1	02/	03/23 1026	02/06/23 1749	SEV
Client Sample ID:	Kilbuck OTSA										
Sample Matrix:	Aqueous							Collected By:	Tim Bar	ker	
Lab Sample ID:	3014516-03							Collection Date:	01/19/2	023 9:30	
Metals Total by ICPMS			Result	MDL	RL	Units	DF	Note F	repared	Analyzed	Analys
Method: EPA 200.8, Rv	. 5.4 (1994)									-	
Lead		0.968		0.088	0.800	ug/L	1	02/	03/23 1026	02/06/23 1751	SEV
Client Sample ID:	Kilbuck OTSA										
Sample Matrix:	Aqueous							Collected By:	Tim Bar	ker	
Lab Sample ID:	3014516-04							Collection Date:	01/25/2	023 10:00	
Metals Total by ICPMS			Result	MDL	RL	Units	DF	Note F	repared	Analyzed	Analys
Method: EPA 200.8, Rv	. 5.4 (1994)										
Lead		0.388		0.088	0.800	ug/L	1	02/	03/23 1026	02/06/23 1753	SEV
Definitions											
Definitions RL:	Reporting Limit	t									
	Reporting Limit Micrograms pe										
RL:											

Microbac Laboratories, Inc.

100 Marshall Drive | Warrendale, PA 15086 | 724-772-0610 p | www.microbac.com

# ATTACHMENT G: TOXCONC RESULTS FOR LEAD

	Facility:	Kilbuck Run STP			
	NPDES #:	PA0217271			
	Outfall No:	001			
	n (Samples/Month):	4			
	Reviewer/Permit Engineer:	GRP			
Parameter Name	Total Lead				
Units	other				
Detection Limit	1				
Sample Date	When entering values below the	he detection limit, e	nter "ND" or u	se the < notation	n (ea. <0.02)
01/25/18	<1				
08/24/18	<1				
07/18/19	1				
09/16/21	0.8				
08/24/22	<2				
08/31/22	<2				
09/07/22	<2				
09/14/22	<2				
01/04/23	1.57				
	0.785				
01/11/23				1	1
01/11/23 01/19/23	0.968				

		Reviewer/Permit Engineer:	GRP
Facility:	Kilbuck Run STP		
NPDES #:	PA0217271		
Outfall No:	001		
n (Samples/Month):	4		
Parameter	Distribution Applied	Coefficient of Variation (daily)	Avg. Monthly
Total Lead (other)	Delta-Lognormal	0.3318726	1.3532128
	- V		

# ATTACHMENT H: PRE-DRAFT LETTER



December 6, 2022

Dennis Coyle Ohio Township Sanitary Authority 1719 Roosevelt Road Pittsburgh, PA 15237-1050

Re: Draft NPDES Permit- Sewage Kilbuck Run STP Application No. PA0217271 Authorization ID No. 1387248 Ohio Township, Allegheny County

Dear Dennis Coyle:

The Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) has reviewed your NPDES permit application and has reached a preliminary finding that new or more stringent water quality-based effluent limitations (WQBELs) for toxic pollutant(s) should be established in the permit. This finding is based on DEP's assessment that reasonable potential exists to exceed water quality criteria under Chapter 93 in the receiving waters during design flow conditions.

Outfall No.	Pollutant	Average Monthly (µg/L)	Maximum Daily (µg/L)	IMAX (µg/L)	DEP Target QL (µg/L)
001	Total Lead	3.28	5.12	8.21	1.0

Attached is a survey that DEP requests that you complete and return to DEP via email by **December 9, 2022**. Completion of this survey will help DEP understand your current capabilities or plans to treat or control these pollutant(s). Your response to this notice does not constitute an official comment for DEP response but will be taken under consideration. When the draft NPDES permit is formally noticed in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*, you may make official comments for DEP's further consideration and response.

In addition to completion of the survey, you may elect to collect a minimum of four (4) additional effluent samples, as 24-hour composites, and have the samples analyzed for the pollutant(s) identified above, using a quantitation limit (QL) that is no greater than the Target QLs identified in the table above. The samples should be collected at least one week apart. If you elect this option, please check the appropriate box on the survey and return the survey to DEP. Review of your application will remain on hold until the additional sampling results are provided to DEP. **The resampling must be completed within 45 days of the receipt of this letter (January 20, 2023).** 

Please contact me if you have any questions about this information or the attached survey.

Sincerely,

grace tolabordi

Grace Polakoski, E.I.T. Environmental Engineering Specialist Clean Water Program

Enclosures

cc: Dennis Blakley – McCandless Township Sanitary Authority Douglas J. Evans, P.E. – NIRA Consulting Engineers, Inc. Southwest Regional Office Central Office Division of Operations

# ATTACHMENT I: PRE-DRAFT SURVEY

WE	pennsylvania
E	DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL
	PROTECTION
	Bureau of Clean Water
	NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM

ONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM (NPDES) PRE-DRAFT PERMIT SURVEY FOR TOXIC POLLUTANTS

Permittee Name: Ohio Township Sanitary Authority	Permit No.: PA0217271
Pollutant(s) identified by DEP that may require WQBELs: Total	Lead
Is the permittee aware of the source(s) of the pollutant(s)?	Yes 🛛 No 🗌 Suspected
If Yes or Suspected, describe the known or suspected source(s) o	f pollutant(s) in the effluent.
Has the permittee completed any studies in the past to control or t	reat the pollutant(s)?
If Yes, describe prior studies and results:	
Does the permittee believe it can achieve the proposed WQBELs	now? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No 🗌 Uncertain
If No, describe the activities, upgrades or process changes that we	ould be necessary to achieve the WQBELs, if known.
Estimated date by which the permittee could achieve the proposed	WQBELs: January 20, 2023 Uncertain
Will the permittee conduct additional sampling for the pollutant(s)	to supplement the application? X Yes No
Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate site-specific data If any of these data have <u>not</u> been submitted to DEP, please attact	
Discharge pollutant concentration coefficient(s) of variability	Year(s) Studied:
Discharge and background Total Hardness concentrations (r	netals) Year(s) Studied:
Background / ambient pollutant concentrations	Year(s) Studied:
Chemical translator(s) (metals)	Year(s) Studied:
Slope and width of receiving waters	Year(s) Studied:
Velocity of receiving waters at design conditions	Year(s) Studied:
Acute and/or chronic partial mix factors (mixing at design con	nditions) Year(s) Studied:
Volatilization rates (highly volatile organics)	Year(s) Studied:
Site-specific criteria (e.g., Water Effect Ratio or related study	) Year(s) Studied: