

NPDES PERMIT FACT SHEET INDIVIDUAL SFTF/SRSTP

 Application No.
 PA0232793

 APS ID
 1037130

 Authorization ID
 1351197

Applicant, Facility and Project Information

Applicant Name	Jonah A. Wykoff	Facility Name	Jonah A. Wykoff SRSTP 6633 Chestnut Grove Highway		
Applicant Address	6633 Chestnut Grove Highway	Facility Address			
	Luthersburg, PA 15848-5014		Luthersburg, PA 15848-5014		
Applicant Contact	Jonah Wykoff	Facility Contact	Jonah Wykoff		
Applicant Phone	(814) 603-9568	Facility Phone	(814) 603-9568		
Client ID	350486	Site ID	816589		
SIC Code	4952	Municipality	Bloom Township		
SIC Description	Trans. & Utilities - Sewerage Systems	County	Clearfield		
Date Application Receiv	vedApril 22, 2021	WQM Required	No.		
Date Application Accep	tedMay 5, 2021	WQM App. No.	N/A		
Project Description	Application for the renewal of an ex	xisting individual permit	for a SRSTP.		

Summary of Review

DEP will publish notice of the receipt of the NPDES permit application and a tentative decision to issue the individual NPDES permit in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin* in accordance with 25 Pa. Code § 92a.82. Upon publication in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*, DEP will accept written comments from interested persons for a 30-day period (which may be extended for one additional 15-day period at DEP's discretion), which will be considered in making a final decision on the application. Any person may request or petition for a public hearing with respect to the application. A public hearing may be held if DEP determines that there is significant public interest in holding a hearing. If a hearing is held, notice of the hearing will be published in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin* at least 30 days prior to the hearing and in at least one newspaper of general circulation within the geographical area of the discharge.

This application is for the renewal of an existing individual Single Residence permit that replaced a malfunctioning onlot system. An individual Single Residence permit was required due to the use of an alternative design that is not covered in the *Small Flow Treatment Facilities Design Manual* (Document 362-0300-002.) This system is not listed in the *Alternate System Guidance* (Document 362-0300-007), but the design engineer certified that the proposed system would meet the advance treatment effluent limits. Additionally, this system is approved under the Onlot Alternate Technology Listings.

Approve	Deny	Signatures	Date		
х		Jonathan P. Peterman			
		Jonathan P. Peterman / Project Manager	August 13, 2021		
х		Nicholas W. Hartranft			
~		Nicholas W. Hartranft, P.E. / Environmental Engineer Manager	August 13, 2021		

<u>Discharge, Receiving</u>	Waters and Water Supply Informat	tion			
	36.00" hersburg tion: Sewage Effluent	Design Flow (MGD) Longitude Quad Code	0.0004 -78º 40' 37.81" 1016		
Receiving Waters	Little Anderson Creek (CWF, MF)	Stream Code	26687		
NHD Com ID	61830953	RMI	0.1900		
Drainage Area	N/A	Yield (cfs/mi ²)	N/A		
Q ₇₋₁₀ Flow (cfs)	N/A	Q7-10 Basis	N/A		
Elevation (ft)	N/A	Slope (ft/ft)	N/A		
Watershed No.	08B	_ Chapter 93 Class.	Cold Water Fishes, Migratory Fishes		
Existing Use	CWF	Existing Use Qualifier	N/A		
Exceptions to Use	N/A	Exceptions to Criteria	None		
Assessment Status	Impaired				
Cause(s) of Impairm	ACID MINE DRAINAGE, ACI	D MINE DRAINAGE, GRAZI	NG IN RIPARIAN OR		
Source(s) of Impairn TMDL Status	nent SHORELINE ZONES Final (4/7/2005)	Name Anderson C	reek		

Changes Since Last Permit Issuance: N/A. Other Comments: None.

Treatment Facility Summary

Treatment System

The individual components are as follows:

- One (1) 1,000 gallon dual compartment septic tank.
- One (1) Effluent filter.
- One (1) Orenco Advantex AX20RTUV treatment tank.
- One (1) UV Disinfection System.
- One (1) Outfall.

Anti-Backsliding

In accordance with 40 CFR 122.44(I)(1) and (2), this permit does not contain effluent limitations, standards, or conditions that are less stringent than the previous permit

TMDL Impairment

The Department's Geographic Information System (GIS) shows this segment of Little Anderson Creek is not attaining its use. This segment is impaired by metals (iron, aluminum, and manganese), siltation, and pH due to acid mine drainage and agricultural runoff. The TMDL does not provide a specific wasteload allocation for onlot facilities which are generally excluded from TMDLs. Additionally, this facility is existing malfunctioning onlot system and would not be considered a new source. Given the regulations contained in 40 CFR §122.44(d)(1)(ii)&(iii), it can be determined that the type of effluent from this facility has no "Reasonable potential to cause, or contributes to an in-stream excursion above the allowable ambient concentration of a State numeric criteria within a State water quality standard for an individual pollutant." Therefore, the permit will not be required to contain effluent limits for the pollutant addressed in the TMDL.

Chesapeake Bay Requirements

Facilities that are designed based on a flow of less than 2,000 GPD (400 GPD design flow for this facility) are not a part of Pennsylvania's Chesapeake Bay Tributary Strategy. Accordingly, it is not practicable to require the permittee to perform nutrient monitoring under this program.

Existing Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements

	Effluent Limitations						Monitoring Requirements	
Parameter	Mass Units (Ibs/day) ⁽¹⁾		Concentrations (mg/L)				Minimum ⁽²⁾	Required
	Average Monthly	Average Weekly	Minimum	Average Monthly	Maximum	Instant. Maximum	Measurement Frequency	Sample Type
Flow (MGD)	Report	xxx	xxx	xxx	xxx	xxx	1/year	Estimate
рН (S.U.)	xxx	ххх	6.0	xxx	9.0	ххх	1/year	Grab
BOD5	xxx	xxx	xxx	10.0	xxx	20	1/year	Grab
TSS	XXX	xxx	xxx	10.0	XXX	20	1/year	Grab
Fecal Coliform				200 Geo				
<u>(No./100 ml)</u> The existing effluent limit	XXX	XXX	XXX	Mean	XXX	XXX	1/year	Grab

Existing Limits – Outfall 001

The existing effluent limits for Outfall 001 were based on a design flow of 0.0004 MGD.

Proposed Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements

The limitations and monitoring requirements specified below are proposed for the draft permit, and reflect the most stringent limitations amongst technology, water quality and BPJ. Instantaneous Maximum (IMAX) limits are determined using multipliers of 2 (conventional pollutants) or 2.5 (toxic pollutants). Sample frequencies and types are derived from the "NPDES Permit Writer's Manual" (362-0400-001) and/or BPJ.

Parameter		Effluent Limitations					Monitoring Requirements	
	Mass Units (Ibs/day) ⁽¹⁾		Concentrations (mg/L)				Minimum ⁽²⁾	Required
	Average Monthly	Average Weekly	Minimum	Average Monthly	Maximum	Instant. Maximum	Measurement Frequency	Sample Type
Flow (MGD)	Report	XXX	xxx	XXX	xxx	xxx	1/year	Estimate
pH (S.U.)	XXX	ххх	6.0	xxx	9.0	xxx	1/year	Grab
BOD5	XXX	xxx	xxx	10.0	xxx	20	1/year	Grab
TSS	XXX	XXX	xxx	10.0	xxx	20	1/year	Grab
Fecal Coliform (No./100 ml)	xxx	xxx	xxx	200 Geo Mean	xxx	xxx	1/year	Grab

The proposed effluent limits for Outfall 001 are based on a design flow of 0.0004 MGD.

Development of Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Frequency

 BOD_5 (10 mg/L) and TSS (10mg/L) are technology-based limits stipulated in the *Technical Guidance for the Development and* Specification of Effluent Limitations (362-0400-001). 40 CFR Section 133.102 provides the basis of effluent limitations for pH. The fecal coliform limits correspond with 25 PA Code § 92a.47 (a)(4). The design incorporates UV disinfection. No monitoring is required for UV disinfection systems at SRSTPs. All of the monitoring frequencies sample types correspond with the policies and procedures for SRSTP's in lieu of the *Technical Guidance for the Development and Specification of Effluent Limitations* (362-0400-001).

Compliance Review / History

-A WMS Query was run at *Reports* - *Violations & Enforcements* – *Open Violations for Client Report* to determine whether there are any unresolved violations associated with the client that will affect issuance of the permit (per CSL Section 609). This query revealed that there were no unresolved violations.