

# Southwest Regional Office CLEAN WATER PROGRAM

Application Type	New
Wastewater Type	Sewage
Facility Type	SRSTP

# NPDES PERMIT FACT SHEET INDIVIDUAL SFTF/SRSTP

Application No. PA0284998

APS ID 1068396

Authorization ID 1404871

Applicant Name	Richard Fanning	Facility Name	Fanning Properties SRSTP
Applicant Address	24 Fanning Drive	Facility Address	24 Fanning Drive
	Claysville, PA 15323-1301		Claysville, PA 15323-1301
Applicant Contact	Richard Fanning	Facility Contact	Same as Applicant
Applicant Phone	(724) 825-0639	Facility Phone	Same as Applicant
Client ID	371500	Site ID	858924
SIC Code	8800	Municipality	Donegal Township
SIC Description	Private Households	County	Washington
Date Application Rece	eivedJuly 28, 2022	WQM Required	Yes
Date Application Acce	pted August 3, 2022	WQM App. No.	6322405

#### **Summary of Review**

The permittee proposes to construct a 0.0004 MGD single residence treatment facility to replace a malfunctioning on lot septic system serving an existing single-family residence.

The sewage from this facility is treated with extended aeration, biofilm filtration, and UV disinfection prior to discharging to Trib 32881 to Dutch Fork, which is classified as a High-Quality Warm Water Fishery (WWF) per Chapter 93 Designated Use.

Associated WQM Permit No. 6322405 is also pending issuance by the department.

Single Residence Sewage Treatment Plant Permittees are not required to register for eDMR.

Act 14-PL 834 Municipal Notification was provided by letters sent to Washington County and Donegal Township dated June 30, 2022.

Act 537 Planning Approval was documented by a letter dated January 24, 2022.

Sludge use and disposal was not indicated in the application.

#### **Public Participation**

DEP will publish notice of the receipt of the NPDES permit application and a tentative decision to issue the individual NPDES permit in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin* in accordance with 25 Pa. Code § 92a.82. Upon publication in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*, DEP will accept written comments from interested persons for a 30-day period (which may be extended for one additional 15-day period at DEP's discretion), which will be considered in making a final decision on the application. Any person may request

Approve	Deny	Signatures	Date
Х		It al	
		Stephanie Conrad / Environmental Engineering Specialist	August 30, 2022
х		Mahbuba lasmin, Ph.D., P.E. / Environmental Engineering Manager	November 15, 2022

Summary of Review
or petition for a public hearing with respect to the application. A public hearing may be held if DEP determines that there is significant public interest in holding a hearing. If a hearing is held, notice of the hearing will be published in the <i>Pennsylvania Bulletin</i> at least 30 days prior to the hearing and in at least one newspaper of general circulation within the geographical area of the discharge.

Discharge and Stream Data – 2 - Receiving Waters and PWS  $\,$ 

Discharge, Receiving Waters and Water Supply I	nformation
Outfall No. 001	Design Flow (MGD)0004
Latitude 40° 7' 32"	Longitude -80° 24' 30"
Quad Name West Middletown	Quad Code 1702
Wastewater Description: Sewage Effluent	
Receiving WatersTributary 32881 to Dutch Fo	rk Stream Code 32881
NHD Com ID	RMI
Drainage Area 0.49	Yield (cfs/mi²) 0.007204
Q <sub>7-10</sub> Flow (cfs)0.00353	Q <sub>7-10</sub> Basis USGS Stream Stats
Elevation (ft)	Slope (ft/ft)
Watershed No. 20-E	Chapter 93 Class. HQ-WWF
Existing Use	Existing Use Qualifier
Exceptions to Use	Exceptions to Criteria
Assessment Status Attaining Use(s)	
Cause(s) of Impairment	
Source(s) of Impairment	
TMDL Status	Name
Background/Ambient Data	Data Source
pH (SU)	
Temperature (°F)	
Hardness (mg/L)	
Other:	
Nearest Downstream Public Water Supply Intake	Pennsylvania/West Virginia State Line
PWS Waters	Flow at Intake (cfs)
PWS RMI	
L ANO LIMII	Distance from Outfall (mi) 14.31

Changes Since Last Permit Issuance: N/A, new permit issuance

Other Comments: None

	Treatment Facility Summary						
Treatment Facility Na	ame: Fanning Properties S	RSTP					
WQM Permit No.	Issuance Date						
6322405	Under Department Review						
Waste Type	Degree of Treatment	Process Type	Disinfection	Avg Annual Flow (MGD)			
Sewage	Tertiary	Extended Aeration and Biofilm Filtration	Ultraviolet (UV)	0.0004			
Hydraulic Capacity (MGD)	Organic Capacity (lbs/day)	Load Status	Biosolids Treatment	Biosolids Use/Disposal			
0.0004	0.9	Not Overloaded		•			

Changes Since Last Permit Issuance: N/A -New Permit Issuance

Other Comments: WQM Permit No. 6322405, currently under Department review, approves construction of a STP with a rated annual average design flow of 0.0004 MGD. The treatment process consists of:

- One (1) 1300-gallon Singulair Bio-Kinetic Model 960-500 extended aeration treatment
- One (1) 1055-gallon Hydro-Kinetic-Bio-Film Reactor
- One (1) Model AT 1500 UV disinfection.

### Compliance History

Other Comments: This is a new facility, therefore, there is no applicable compliance history.

Development of Effluent Limitations								
Outfall No.	001		Design Flow (MGD)	.0004				
Latitude	40° 7' 32.00'		Longitude	-80° 24' 30.00"				
Wastewater D	Description:	Sewage Effluent		Wastewater Description: Sewage Effluent				

#### **Technology-Based Limitations (TBELs)**

The following effluent limitations and monitoring requirements, at a minimum, will be established in all new and renewed SFTF permits based on the requirements of DEP's "Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) for Clean Water Program New and Reissuance Small Flow Treatment Facility Individual NPDES Permit Application" (SOP No. BCW-PMT-003, Version 1.8, Final, November 9, 2012, Revised May 17, 2019).

Parameter	Avg	IMAX	Sample Type	Frequency: SFTFs	Frequency: SRSTPs
			Estimate (SRSTPs)		
Flow (GPD)	Report	XXX	Measured (SFTFs)	1/month	1/year
BOD5 (mg/L)	10	20	Grab	1/month	1/year
TSS (mg/L)	10	20	Grab	1/month	1/year
	6.0 S.U.				
pH*	Inst. Min.	9.0 S.U.	Grab	1/month	1/year
		STPs; Use TRC			
	Spreadsheet to de	etermine WQBELs			
TRC (mg/L)	or 0.02 mg/	L for SFTFs	Grab	1/month	1/month
Fecal Coliform	200 Geometric Mean (SFTFs) /				
(No./100 ml)	Average (	(SRSTPs)	Grab	1/month	1/year

<sup>\*</sup> Technology-Based effluent limits for pH will be imposed based upon Federal Regulation 133.102(c) and State Regulation 95.2(1).

#### **Antidegradation Best Available Combination of Technologies (ABACT)**

Outfall 001 discharges to Tributary 32881 to Dutch Fork, which is classified as a HQ-WWF. The proposed SFTF is a repair for an existing on-lot system and an anti-degradation analysis is typically not required. Act 537 Planning was approved for this SRSTP on January 24, 2022.

The following Antidegradation Best Available Combination of Technologies (ABACT) effluent limits, at a minimum, will be established based on the requirements of DEP's "Water Quality Antidegradation Implementation Guidance" (Doc. No. 391-0300-002; November 29, 2003).

Parameter	Treatment Process Performance Expectations (mg/L)				
	<2,000 gpd	2,000-50,000 gpd	>50,000 gpd		
CBOD <sub>5</sub> (May 1 – Oct. 31)	10	10	10		
CBOD <sub>5</sub> (Nov. 1 – Apr. 30)	20	20	10		
Suspended Solids	20	10	10		
NH <sub>3</sub> -N (May 1 – Oct. 31)	5.0	3.0	1.5		
NH <sub>3</sub> -N (Nov. 1 – Apr. 30)	15.0	9.0	4.5		
Effective disinfection	Disinfection should be accomplished using a method that leaves no				
	detectable residual. Disinfection using ultra-violet light or other non-chlorine				
	based systems is encourage and must be considered.				
Other parameters, as	Determined by the size and characteristics of the proposed discharge, may				
needed	include – NO <sub>2</sub> /NO <sub>3</sub> -N, To	tal Phosphorus, Copper, L	ead, Zinc		

The limitations and monitoring requirements, specified on page 8 of this Fact Sheet, reflect the most stringent limitation amongst the above Technology-Based Effluent Limitations.

#### **Additional Considerations**

Ultraviolet (UV) disinfection is used; therefore, Total Residual Chlorine (TRC) limits are not applicable. Routine monitoring of UV transmittance or intensity is not required for SRSTPs.

SRSTPs ae not required to monitor for Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorus in new and reissued permits.

#### **Proposed Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements**

The limitations and monitoring requirements specified below are proposed for the draft permit, and reflect the most stringent limitations amongst technology, water quality and BPJ. Instantaneous Maximum (IMAX) limits are determined using multipliers of 2 (conventional pollutants) or 2.5 (toxic pollutants). Sample frequencies and types are derived from the "NPDES Permit Writer's Manual" (362-0400-001), SOPs and/or BPJ.

#### Outfall 001, Effective Period: Permit Effective Date through Permit Expiration Date.

	Effluent Limitations					Monitoring Requirements		
Parameter	Mass Units (lbs/day) (1)		Concentrations (mg/L)			Minimum <sup>(2)</sup>	Required	
Farameter	Average Monthly	Average Weekly	Minimum	Annual Average	Maximum	Instant. Maximum	Measurement Frequency	Sample Type
Flow (MGD)	Report Annl Avg	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	1/year	Estimate
pH (S.U.)	XXX	XXX	6.0 Inst Min	XXX	XXX	9.0	1/year	Grab
BOD <sub>5</sub>	XXX	XXX	XXX	10.0	XXX	20.0	1/year	Grab
TSS	XXX	XXX	XXX	10.0	XXX	20.0	1/year	Grab
Fecal Coliform (No./100 ml)	XXX	XXX	XXX	200	XXX	XXX	1/year	Grab
Ammonia-Nitrogen	XXX	XXX	XXX	15.0	XXX	XXX	1/year	Grab

Compliance Sampling Location: Outfall 001

Other Comments: None

# ATTACHMENT A USGS Stream Stats Output

# StreamStats Report

Region ID: PA

Workspace ID: PA20220830104817393000

Clicked Point (Latitude, Longitude): 40.12559, -80.40874

Time: 2022-08-30 06:48:36 -0400



## > Basin Characteristics

Parameter Code	Parameter Description	Value	Unit
DRNAREA	Area that drains to a point on a stream	0.49	square miles
ELEV	Mean Basin Elevation	1279	feet

# Low-Flow Statistics Flow Report [Low Flow Region 4]

Statistic	Value	Unit
7 Day 2 Year Low Flow	0.0131	ft^3/s
30 Day 2 Year Low Flow	0.0263	ft^3/s
7 Day 10 Year Low Flow	0.00353	ft^3/s
30 Day 10 Year Low Flow	0.00803	ft^3/s
90 Day 10 Year Low Flow	0.0173	ft^3/s

Low-Flow Statistics Citations

Stuckey, M.H.,2006, Low-flow, base-flow, and mean-flow regression equations for Pennsylvania streams: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2006-5130, 84 p. (http://pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2006/5130/)