







Bureau of Environmental Cleanup & Brownfields

Vapor Intrusion Guidance Update

Cleanup Standards Scientific Advisory Board

Meeting

Dec. 17, 2014

Timeline

- ➤ Old version presented at March 19 Cleanup Standards Scientific Advisory Board (CSSAB) meeting
- Conference calls with VI Workgroup in April/May
- > Last update for Regions in June
- > Presented revised version to CSSAB in July
- ➤ More calls with VI Workgroup in August/September
- > Regional staff reviewed in mid-October.
- Routed for internal review in early November.
- Sent to CSSAB members for review in early December.



2004 Guidance Limitations

- Confusion with how to handle VI under site-specific standard (SSS).
- ➤ Indoor air "MSCs" are not really MSCs.
- Screening values too high?
- > Confusion addressing future onsite structures.
- ➤ Minimal sampling guidance.
- > No discussion of petroleum vapor intrusion (PVI).
- Confusion with "background" reference.



July 2014 Version of VI Guidance

Screening or Lines of Evidence

- ➤ Screening Option
 - >Limiting conditions
 - ➤ Allowed for groundwater and soil VI screening
 - ➤ Petroleum proximity distances
 - ➤ Allowed for indoor air, sub-slab soil gas or near-source soil gas screening
- ➤ Lines of Evidence (LOE) Option
 - ➤ Single lines of evidence
 - ➤ Multiple lines of evidence



July 2014 Version of VI Guidance

Lines of Evidence

- ➤ Single LOE
 - ➤ Mitigation with EC
 - ➤ Sub-slab soil gas screening
 - ➤Indoor air screening
- ➤ Multiple LOE
 - ➤ Near-source soil gas screening
 - ➤ Evaluate soil type and permeability
 - > Evaluate depth to source
 - **≻**Modeling



July 2014 Version of VI Guidance

Separate Sections

- ➤ Accessing Inhabited Buildings on Neighboring Properties
- ➤ When OSHA PELs Apply
- ➤ Demonstrating Attainment



Problems with July 2014 Version

- ➤ No definitions of important terms
- ➤ How to address VI under SSS?
- >Attainment language misleading
- ➤ Near-source soil gas screening should be single line of evidence
- > Format and flow charts did not flow well



Key Changes from July 2014 Version

- ➤ Reorganized for clarity
- >Added definitions and uses of key terms
- > Consolidated multiple flow charts into one
- ➤ Moved preferential pathways to beginning
- ➤ Moved proximity distance screen near beginning creating "VI Areas of Potential Concern"



Key Changes from July 2014 Version

- ➤ Moved near-source soil gas sampling to single line of evidence eliminated LOE language
- ➤ Removed "attainment language" and replaced with "address Ch. 250 Requirements" language
- ➤ Added SSS section separate process



Proposed SHS Process

- ➤ Identify Preferential Pathways
- ➤ Identify VI AOPCs Proximity Screening
- ➤ Identify Limiting Conditions
- ➤ Screen Soil and Groundwater Data
- >Apply Alternate VI Assessment Options
 - ✓ Indoor air, near-source, or sub-slab soil gas screening
 - ✓ Modeling
- ➤ Address Regulatory Requirements



At any stage in the Investigation...

- ➤ Mitigation with Environmental Covenant (EC) is always an option
- EC for future construction
 - Can evaluate future structures for VI
 - Can construct future structures with mitigation systems



Identify Preferential Pathways

- ➤ **Definition-** A natural or man-made feature that acts as a conduit for vapor transport by enhancing vapor migration from contaminated environmental media through soil or soil-like material to an existing or future inhabited building.
- > Use One major change

"Typical utility lines and their foundation penetrations in single-family homes and similarly sized buildings are not considered preferential pathways."



Identify Areas of Potential VI Concern

Use Proximity Distances

- ➤ Non-Petroleum
 - Less than 100 feet horizontal distance
 - No vertical proximity distance
- > Petroleum-Only
 - Less than 30 horizontal feet
 - Less than 6 vertical feet (under proper conditions)
 - Less than 15 vertical feet if SPL present



Identify Potential VI Sources

Other conditions limiting the use of soil and groundwater screening values

- 1. Separate Phase Liquids
- 2. Depth to Source Less than Five Feet



Soil and Groundwater Screen

- > Can use only if no limiting conditions exist
- > Soil screening values are higher of:
 - 1. Generic soil-to-GW MSC and,
 - 2. Values calculated using equilibrium partitioning
- Groundwater screening values are higher of:
 - 1. Groundwater MSC and,
 - 2. Values calculated using EPA's attenuation factors



Alternative VI Assessment Options

- 1. Sub-slab soil gas concentrations < SV_{SS} for existing buildings
- 2. Indoor air concentrations $< SV_{IA}$ at existing buildings
- 3. Near-source soil gas concentrations < SV_{NS}
- 4. VI modeling using acceptable input parameters



Addressing Regulatory Requirements

- Sampling design and statistical tests
- Additional guidance on using the soil, groundwater, soil gas and indoor air screening values
- ➤ Additional guidance on using ECs and activity and use limitations (AULs) to evaluate VI under the SHS



Proposed SSS Process

- > Same as SHS process except:
 - 1. Different screening values
 - 2. Substitute risk assessment for modeling option



SSS Screening

Use any of these methods to calculate SSS screening values:

- 1. Reduce SHS VI screening values by a factor of 10.
- 2. Use the current USEPA indoor air Regional Screening Level (RSL) values to screen indoor air data.
- 3. Convert indoor air RSLs to near-source or sub-slab soil gas screening values by using the following attenuation factors from Appendix X:

Environmental Medium	Residential	Non- Residential	Converted Residential
Sub-slab soil gas	0.026	0.0078	0.026
Near-source soil gas	0.005	0.001	0.005



SSS VI Risk Assessment

- Emphasize development of CSM
- Must use current toxicity data
- ➤ Use calculated risk values from modeling output, not calculated indoor air concentration
- Must follow risk assessment guidance in TGM



Improvements from Previous Versions

- Ability to evaluate VI for future buildings
- Introduction of petroleum proximity distances
- Clear guidance on the use of environmental covenants
- Near-source and sub-slab only soil gas sampling permitted
- Clear guidance on how to evaluate VI under the SSS
- Clearer language on application of OSHA PELs
- Appendices explaining screening value development, modeling requirements and sampling guidance
- Improved figures showing points of application for screening values











Bureau of Environmental Cleanup & Brownfields

Thanks to VI Workgroup

Craig Robertson
Annette Gusseppi-Elie
Chuck Campbell
Colleen Costello

David Brown
Mike Maddigan
Troy Conrad
Brie Sterling
Frank Nemec