

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
Department of Environmental Protection
Southeast Regional Office
February 28, 2020
484.250.5920

Subject: Technical Review Memo
Revision of Plan Approval No. 23-0119E
APS ID 880945, AUTH ID 1282410
Sunoco Partners Marketing & Terminals, L.P.—Marcus Hook
100 Green Street
Marcus Hook, PA 19061

To: James D. Rebarchak
Regional Air Quality Program Manager
Air Quality Program
Southeast Region

From: David S. Smith
Engineering Specialist
Facilities Permitting Section
Air Quality Program

Through: Janine Tulloch-Reid, P.E.
Environmental Engineer Manager
Facilities Permitting Section
Air Quality Program

Through: James A. Beach, P.E.
Environmental Engineer Manager
New Source Review Section
Air Quality Program

I. Introduction/Purpose of Authorization

Sunoco Partners Marketing & Terminals, L.P. (SPMT) owns and operates a natural gas liquids (NGLs) processing, storage, and distribution facility located at the Marcus Hook Industrial Complex (MHIC) in Marcus Hook Borough, Delaware County (hereinafter referred to as “the facility”). SPMT operates the facility under Title V Operating Permit (TVOP) No. 23-00119 and Plan Approval No. 23-0119E, which the Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) issued to SPMT on March 2, 2015, and April 1, 2016, respectively. The facility is an existing major facility for Prevention of Significant Deterioration of Air Quality (PSD) and New Source Review (NSR) purposes (see *PSD Analysis* and *NSR Analysis* sections, below, for further discussion).

On April 29, 2016, Clean Air Council (CAC) appealed Plan Approval No. 23-0119E to the Environmental Hearing Board (EHB; under Docket No. 2016-073-L), arguing, among other things, that DEP erred in considering the sources and equipment permitted under Plan Approval No. 23-0119E as a stand-alone project (i.e., versus as a larger project with the other sources and equipment at the facility that relate to the NGLs processing, storage, and distribution operations and were previously permitted under other Plan Approvals).

On January 9, 2019, Judge Bernard A. Labuskes, Jr., of the EHB, remanded Plan Approval No. 23-0119E to DEP for the reevaluation of the sources and equipment permitted or authorized under Plan Approval Nos. 23-0119 through 23-0119E, Request for Determination of Changes of Minor Significance and Exemption from Plan

Approval/Operating Permit (RFD) No. 5236, and future projects related to the NGLs processing, storage, and distribution operations at the facility, as a single aggregated project to determine the applicability of PSD and NSR requirements (see *Single Aggregated Project Description* section, below, for further discussion).

On July 29, 2019, DEP received a Plan Approval application package (in triplicate) for revised Plan Approval No. 23-0119E from SPMT. The Plan Approval application package included the Plan Approval application, general information form, compliance review form [25 Pa. Code § 127.12(a)(11)], and copies of the notifications to the municipality and county [71 P.S. § 510-5 (Act 14 of 1984); 25 Pa. Code § 127.43a].¹ All applicable sections of the Plan Approval application were completed. Therefore, DEP considers the Plan Approval application administratively complete as of the same date. Coordination with other programs is not required.

II. Single Aggregated Project Description

DEP is reevaluating the following authorizations at the facility, listed in chronological order by original issuance/ approval date, as a single aggregated project:

A. Plan Approval No. 23-0119 (issued on February 5, 2013)

The portion of the single aggregated project previously authorized under Plan Approval No. 23-0119 includes the following:

- The installation of the following sources and equipment:
 - A new 300,000-*bbbl* refrigerated ethane storage tank.
 - A new 500,000-*bbbl* refrigerated propane storage tank.
 - All associated piping and components for the refrigerated product storage.
 - A new elevated, air-assisted West Cold Flare for flaring events.
- The use of three existing marine vessel loading docks (1A, 2A, and 3C), each equipped with two new loading arms and a new vapor return line, for the loading of liquified ethane (2A) and propane (1A and 3C) into marine vessels.²
- The use of an existing cavern (#5) for the storage of liquified propane.
- Incremental flows from cavern #5³ to an existing elevated, steam-assisted flare located in the state of Delaware.⁴

¹ On August 2, 2019, DEP received proofs of delivery for the notifications to the municipality and county.

² The application for Plan Approval No. 23-0119 indicated that existing marine vessel loading dock 3A, instead of 1A, would be used for the loading of liquified ethane and propane. The application for Plan Approval No. 23-0119D indicated that existing marine vessel loading dock 3A, in addition to 1A, would be used for the loading of liquified ethane, propane, and butane. As indicated in the *Plan Approval No. 23-0119B (issued on January 30, 2014)* subsection within this section, below, marine vessel loading dock 3A is used for the loading of light naphtha.

³ DEP did not previously evaluate the emissions from this activity as part of its review of the original authorization.

⁴ SPMT subsequently replaced this flare, the Ethylene Complex (EC) Flare, with an elevated, steam-assisted West Warm Flare (Source ID C03) under Plan Approval No. 23-0119H.

The following sources were listed in the original Plan Approval No. 23-0119:

Source ID	Source Name ⁵
101	Refrigerated Ethane Tank (300k <i>bbt</i>)*
102	Refrigerated Propane Tank (500k <i>bbt</i>)*
103	NSPS Subpart VVa Fugitive Equipment Leaks*
104	Marine Vessel Loading (Refrigerated)*
105	Cavern ⁶
C01	West Cold Flare (Modified)*

B. Plan Approval No. 23-0119A (issued on September 5, 2013)

The portion of the single aggregated project previously authorized under Plan Approval No. 23-0119A includes the following:

- The installation of the following equipment and source:
 - A new amine treatment system to remove hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) and carbon dioxide (CO₂) from liquified ethane/propane (mixed) feedstock prior to fractionation.
 - A new dehydration system to remove water from liquified ethane/propane (mixed) feedstock prior to fractionation.
 - A new fractionation tower (deethanizer) and associated equipment for the fractionation of liquified ethane/propane (mixed) feedstock into the two individual constituents.
 - All associated piping and components for routing liquified ethane and propane to the refrigerated product storage tanks (Source IDs 101–102) installed under Plan Approval No. 23-0119.
- The increased use of steam (62,000 *lbs/hr*) from three existing auxiliary boilers (1 and 3–4)⁷ by the deethanizer.³
- Incremental flows from the deethanizer³ to an existing elevated, steam-assisted flare located in the state of Delaware.⁴

The deethanizer⁴ was listed as a source (Source ID 106) in Plan Approval No. 23-0119A.

C. Plan Approval No. 23-0119B (issued on January 30, 2014)

The portion of the single aggregated project previously authorized under Plan Approval No. 23-0119B includes the following:

- The installation of a new 4-bay loading rack with vapor balance system for the offloading of natural gasoline feedstock and loading of pentane into tanker trucks.
- The use of eight existing internal floating roof storage tanks, as follows:
 - Four tanks (607 and 609–611) for the storage of natural gasoline feedstock prior to fractionation.

⁵ The source names are those listed in TVOP No. 23-00119 for the facility, for which DEP issued a minor modification on October 3, 2019 (see Footnote 6, below, for further discussion). The source names indicated with an asterisk (*) have changed from the respective original Plan Approvals, which, on December 19, 2016, DEP incorporated into TVOP No. 23-00119 via a significant modification.

⁶ The source name and ID originally applied to cavern #5 only. However, as part of the significant modification to the current TVOP discussed in Footnote 5, above, DEP combined cavern #5 and three additional existing caverns (# 1–3; see Footnote 12, below, for further discussion) into a source grouping under the same source name and ID.

⁷ As indicated in Footnote 8, below, steam from auxiliary boiler 2 was also used at the facility, but this auxiliary boiler has since been removed.

- Four tanks (246, 250, 253, and 527) for the storage of light naphtha prior to loading using an existing marine vessel loading dock (see below) or into tanker trucks.
- The use of an existing fractionation tower (15-2B T-05) and associated equipment for the fractionation of natural gasoline feedstock into pentane and light naphtha.
- The use of three existing spheres (Sphere 3, Sphere 4, HS-16) for the storage of pentane.
- The use of an existing marine vessel loading dock (3A, with air emissions routed through a vapor recovery unit on dock 3B) for the loading of light naphtha into marine vessels.³
- The increased use of steam (53,000 *lbs/hr*) from existing auxiliary boilers 1 and 3–4 by fractionation tower 15-2B T-05,⁷ and setting new combined carbon monoxide [carbon monoxide [CO], nitrogen oxides [NO_x], particulate matter [PM], sulfur oxides [SO_x], and volatile organic compound [VOC] potentials to emit (PTEs)/emission restrictions for the auxiliary boilers.⁸
- The increased use of cooling water (9,200 *gals/min*) from an existing cooling tower (15-2B; Source ID 139) permitted under TVOP No. 23-00001 (and subsequently, TVOP No. 23-00119) by existing fractionation tower 15-2B T-05.
- Incremental flows from the fractionation tower 15-2B T-05,³ marine vessel loading dock 3A,³ and spheres³ to an existing elevated, steam-assisted flare located in the state of Delaware.⁴

The following sources were listed in Plan Approval No. 23-0119B:

Source ID	Source Name ⁵
031	Auxiliary Boiler 1
032	Auxiliary Boiler 2 ⁸
033	Auxiliary Boiler 3
034	Auxiliary Boiler 4
111	Natural Gasoline Loading Rack
115	Marine Vessel Loading
133	Tank 246 Int Float 54.4 <i>mdbl</i>
136	Tank 250 Int Float 80.4 <i>mdbl</i>
178	Tank 527 Int Float 69.7 <i>mdbl</i>
188	Tank 607 Int Float 100 <i>mdbl</i>
190	Tank 609 Int Float 98.17 <i>mdbl</i>
192	Tank 611 Int Float 87.8 <i>mdbl</i>
204	Tank 253 Int Float 90.5 <i>mdbl</i>
212	Tank 610 Int Float 96.0 <i>mdbl</i>
C031	Low NO _x Burners and FGR (Auxiliary Boiler 1)*
C032	Low NO_x Burners and FGR (Auxiliary Boiler 2) ⁸
C033	Low NO _x Burners and FGR (Auxiliary Boiler 3)
C034	Low NO _x Burners and FGR (Auxiliary Boiler 4)
C111	Natural Gas Loading Rack Vapor Balance System

⁸ The combined PTEs/emission restrictions for the auxiliary boilers have changed twice since DEP originally issued Plan Approval No. 23-0119B. The first instance was as part of the significant modification to TVOP No. 23-00119 discussed in Footnote 5, above. However, based on their removal from service (indicated by ~~strikethrough~~), DEP did not include auxiliary boiler 2 and associated low-NO_x burners and FGR [flue gas recirculation] (former Source IDs 032 and C032, respectively), in TVOP No. 23-00119, and reduced the combined CO, NO_x, PM, SO_x, sulfuric acid mist [H₂SO₄], and VOC emission restrictions for the remaining three auxiliary boilers (i.e., Source IDs 031 and 033–034). The second instance was as part of the minor modification to TVOP No. 23-00119 discussed in Footnote 5, above, in which DEP reduced the combined CO emission restriction for the three auxiliary boilers, as they have actual certified continuous emissions monitoring system (CEMS) data. The current emission restrictions are reflected in the *NSR Analysis* and *PSD Analysis* sections, below.

D. Plan Approval No. 23-0119C (issued on November 19, 2014)

The portion of the single aggregated project previously authorized under Plan Approval No. 23-0119C includes the installation of a new 30,000-gal/min recirculating cooling tower for the deethanizer (Source ID 106) installed under Plan Approval No. 23-0119A to accommodate the refrigeration of propane following fractionation.

The cooling tower^{5*} was listed as a source (Source ID 112) in Plan Approval No. 23-0119C.⁹

E. Plan Approval No. 23-0119D (issued on February 26, 2015)

The portion of the single aggregated project previously authorized under Plan Approval No. 23-0119D includes the following:

- The installation of the following sources and equipment:
 - A new 300,000-bbl refrigerated ethane storage tank (associated with the fractionation of liquified ethane/propane (mixed) feedstock authorized under Plan Approval No. 23-0119A).
 - Two new refrigerated propane storage tanks, as follows (associated with the fractionation of liquified ethane/propane (mixed), propane, and propane/butane (transmix) feedstocks authorized under Plan Approval Nos. 23-0119A, 23-0119D, and 23-0119E, respectively):
 - One with a storage capacity of 900,000 bbl.
 - One with a storage capacity of 600,000 bbl.
 - A new 600,000-bbl refrigerated butane storage tank (associated with the fractionation of butane and propane/butane (transmix) feedstocks authorized under Plan Approval Nos. 23-0119D and 23-0119E, respectively).
 - A new elevated, air-assisted East Cold Flare for flaring events.¹⁰
 - All associated piping and components for the refrigerated product storage.
 - A new dehydration system to remove water from propane and butane feedstocks prior to refrigeration and product storage.
 - A new 50,000-gal/min cooling tower to accommodate the refrigeration of propane and butane.
- The use of three existing marine vessel loading docks (1A, 2A, and 3C; Source ID 104) permitted under Plan Approval No. 23-0119 (and subsequently, TVOP No. 23-00119), each equipped with two new loading arms and a new vapor return line, for the loading of liquified ethane (1A and 2A), propane (1A and 3C), and butane (1A and 3C) into marine vessels.²
- The redesign/modification and use of the West Cold Flare (Source ID C01) installed under Plan Approval No. 23-0119 to control failures from the refrigerated product storage tanks authorized under Plan Approval Nos. 23-0119 and 23-0119D, respectively.¹⁰

⁹ The source ID originally applied to the 30,000-gal/min cooling tower only. However, on February 26, 2015, DEP issued Plan Approval No. 23-0119D, which authorized the installation of a new 50,000-gal/min cooling tower (see *Plan Approval No. 23-0119D (issued on February 26, 2015)* subsection within this section, below, for further discussion). As part of the authorization, DEP combined the cooling towers into a source grouping under the same source ID, but changed the source name to “new cooling towers.”

¹⁰ As part of the revision to Plan Approval No. 23-0119E, SPMT has revised the emissions calculations for the East Cold Flare and West Cold Flare under Plan Approval No. 23-0119D to account for the as-built sweep gas flow necessary for safe and reliable operation of the cold flares, and additional operational and maintenance flows based on current and planned operation. The revised emissions are reflected in the PSD and NSR analyses (see *PSD Analysis* and *NSR Analysis* sections, below).

- The increased throughput for the deethanizer (Source ID C106) installed under Plan Approval No. 23-0119A.
- The increased use of steam (17,000 *lbs/hr*) from the auxiliary boilers (Source IDs 031 and 033–034) permitted under Plan Approval No. 23-0119B (and subsequently, TVOP No. 23-00119)⁶ by the dehydration system.³
- Incremental flows from the deethanizer³ to an existing elevated, steam-assisted flare located in the state of Delaware.⁴

The following sources were listed in Plan Approval No. 23-0119D:

Source ID	Source Name ⁵
103	NSPS Subpart VVa Fugitive Equipment Leaks*
112	New Cooling Towers*
117	Refrigerated Ethane Tank (300k <i>bbl</i>)*
118	Refrigerated Butane Tank (600k <i>bbl</i>)*
119	Refrigerated Propane Tank (900k <i>bbl</i>)*
120	Refrigerated Propane Tank (600k <i>bbl</i>)*
C01	West Cold Flare (Modified)*
C02	East Cold Flare (New Tanks Project)*

F. RFD No. 5236 (approved August 13, 2015)

The portion of the single aggregated project previously approved under RFD No. 5236 includes the installation of the following sources and equipment:

- Two new 50,000-*bbl* spheres (S20 and S21)¹¹ for the storage of propane and butane.
- All associated piping and components.

G. RFD No. 5340 (approved October 1, 2015)

The portion of the single aggregated project previously approved under RFD No. 5340 includes an increase to the VOC emission restriction for one of the existing internal floating roof storage tanks (609) authorized under Plan Approval No. 23-0119B for the storage of natural gasoline feedstock prior to fractionation. The request was to permit SPMT to continue to operate the storage tank while DEP reviewed the application for Plan Approval No. 23-0119F (see *Plan Approval No. 23-0119F (issued on August 15, 2016)* subsection within this section, below, for further discussion).

H. Plan Approval No. 23-0119E (originally issued on April 1, 2016)

The portion of the single aggregated project previously authorized under the original Plan Approval No. 23-0119E includes the following:

- The redesign/modification and use of three existing fractionation towers, as follows, and associated equipment for the fractionation of propane/butane (transmix) and deethanized natural gas liquid feedstocks:
 - Two fractionation towers (depropanizers; 15-2B T-4 and 15-2S T-4) for fractionation into propane and heavier hydrocarbons.
 - One fractionation tower (debutanizer; 15-2B T-2) for fractionation of the heavier hydrocarbons from the depropanizers into butane and natural gasoline.

¹¹ These sources are referenced in Section G, of the TVOP.

- The installation of the following equipment:
 - Meter provers.
 - Additional piping and components, including a flare header, from the fractionation towers and meter provers to an existing flare (as described below).
- The use of refrigerated and internal floating roof storage tanks installed and/or permitted under Plan Approval Nos. 23-0119, 23-0119B, and 23-0119D and/or permitted under the TVOP.
- The use of existing caverns (#1–3 and 5) for the storage of liquified propane and butane.¹²
- The increased use of steam (238,700 *lbs/hr*) from the auxiliary boilers (Source IDs 031 and 033–034) permitted under Plan Approval No. 23-0119B (and subsequently, TVOP No. 23-00119)⁷ by the fractionation towers³ and a preheater³ for the transmix and deethanized natural gas liquid feedstocks.
- The increased use of cooling water (19,500 *gals/min*) from existing cooling tower 15-2B (Source ID 139) permitted under TVOP No. 23-00119 by the fractionation towers.
- Incremental flows from the fractionation towers, meter provers, and caverns³ to an existing elevated, steam-assisted flare located in the state of Delaware.⁴

The following sources were listed in the original Plan Approval No. 23-0119E:

Source ID	Source Name
090	Depropanizer (15-2S T-4)
091	Depropanizer (15-2B T-4)
092	Debutanizer (15-2B T-2)
103	NSPS Subpart VVa Fugitive Equipment Leaks

I. Plan Approval No. 23-0119F (issued on August 15, 2016)

The portion of the single aggregated project previously authorized under Plan Approval No. 23-0119F includes increases to the VOC emission restrictions for three of the internal floating roof storage tanks (607, 609, and 611) authorized under Plan Approval No. 23-0119B for the storage of natural gasoline feedstock prior to fractionation.¹³ No physical changes to these storage tanks occurred as a result of the authorization.

The following sources were listed in Plan Approval No. 23-0119F:

Source ID	Source Name ⁵
188	Tank 607 Int Float 100 <i>mbbl</i>
190	Tank 609 Int Float 98.17 <i>mbbl</i>
192	Tank 611 Int Float 87.8 <i>mbbl</i>

¹² When DEP originally issued Plan Approval No. 23-0119E, caverns #1–3 and 5 were included in TVOP No. 23-00119 as part of a source grouping of various “NESHAP fugitive equipment” (Source ID 800). However, as discussed in Footnote 5, above, DEP combined the caverns into their own “cavern” source grouping (Source ID 105).

¹³ Plan Approval No. 23-0119F also included changes to the VOC emission restrictions for two internal floating roof storage tanks (23 and 242). However, these storage tanks do not store any materials that relate to the NGLs processing, storage, and distribution operations at the facility. Therefore, DEP does not consider these tanks to be part of the single aggregated project.

J. RFD No. 5918 (approved September 26, 2016)

The portion of the single aggregated project previously approved under RFD No. 5918 includes the following:

- The installation of additional equipment to accommodate the offloading of railcars containing propane at existing railcar stations (11–23).
- Incremental flows from the propane railcar offloading operation³ to an existing elevated, steam-assisted flare located in the state of Delaware.⁴

K. RFD No. 5944 (approved September 26, 2016)

The portion of the single aggregated project previously approved under RFD No. 5944 includes a de minimis emission increase, pursuant to 25 Pa. Code § 127.449, from the use of portable flares to vent ethane, propane, or butane from pipelines prior to any maintenance activities.

L. RFD No. 6484 (approved August 17, 2017)

The portion of the single aggregated project previously approved under RFD No. 6484 includes the following:

- The installation of the following additional equipment to remove alcohols, usually methanol, from feedstocks (potentially added during the winter months to prevent freezing in the pipeline) prior to their being processed at the facility:
 - New methanol analyzer equipment.
 - New molecular sieve dehydration beds.
 - A new regeneration process.
 - A new internal floating roof wastewater storage tank for the temporary storage of methanol-rich wastewater, generated during the regeneration of molecular sieve dehydration beds by the regeneration process, prior to discharge to the process wastewater stream.
 - All associated piping components for the methanol removal.
- The increased use of steam (2,922 *lbs/hr*) from the auxiliary boilers (Source IDs 031 and 033–034) permitted under Plan Approval No. 23-0119B (and subsequently, TVOP No. 23-00119)⁷ by the regeneration process.³
- Incremental flows from the regeneration process³ to the West Warm Flare (Source ID C03) installed under Plan Approval No. 23-0119H (and subsequently permitted under TVOP No. 23-00119).

M. De Minimis Emissions Increase¹⁴ (DEP notified via letter from SPMT dated August 24, 2018)

The portion of the single aggregated project previously authorized under this de minimis emissions increase relates to the refrigerated butane storage tank (Source ID 118) permitted under Plan Approval No. 23-0119D (and subsequently, TVOP No. 23-00119) being temporarily taken out of service.

N. De Minimis Emissions Increase¹⁴ (DEP notified via letter from SPMT dated December 10, 2018)

The portion of the single aggregated project previously authorized under this de minimis emissions increase relates to operational, maintenance, and emergency connections to the West Warm Flare (Source ID C03) installed under Plan Approval No. 23-0119H (and subsequently permitted under TVOP No. 23-00119).

¹⁴ As authorized by DEP pursuant to 25 Pa. Code § 127.449.

O. De Minimis Emissions Increase¹⁴ (DEP notified via letter from SPMT dated March 22, 2019)

The portion of the single aggregated project previously authorized under this de minimis emissions increase relates to connections to the West Warm Flare (Source ID C03) installed under Plan Approval No. 23-0119H (and subsequently permitted under TVOP No. 23-00119).

P. RFD No. 7944 (approved August 21, 2019)

The portion of the single aggregated project previously approved under RFD No. 7944 includes a de minimis emissions increase, pursuant to 25 Pa. Code § 127.449, from the use of a portable flare during instances where the deethanizer (Source ID 106) installed under Plan Approval No. 23-0119A (and subsequently, TVOP No. 23-00119) is taken out of service for maintenance activities.

Q. Plan Approval No. 23-0119J (application currently under technical review by DEP)

The portion of the single aggregated project pending authorization under Plan Approval No. 23-0119J includes the following:

- The installation of the following sources and equipment:
 - Two new 600,000-*bbf* refrigerated ethane storage tanks.
 - One new amine treatment system to remove excess CO₂ from ethane feedstock prior to fractionation.
 - One new dehydration train system to remove water from ethane feedstock prior to fractionation.
 - Two new refrigeration systems, each consisting of a closed-loop propane system followed by an open-loop ethane system, for the cooling of dry ethane.
 - Two new fractionation towers (demethanizers) and associated equipment for the removal of methane from dry ethane.
 - Two new wet surface air cooling (WSAC) systems, one associated with each new refrigeration system, to process cooling water for the refrigeration systems.
 - One new elevated, air-assisted Project Phoenix Cold Flare, equipped with high- and low-pressure flare tips for flaring refrigerated streams that do not contain water.
 - All associated piping and components for the refrigerated ethane process.
- The use of two existing marine vessel loading docks (1A and 2A; part of Source ID 104) permitted under Plan Approval No. 23-0119 (and subsequently, TVOP No. 23-00119), each equipped with two loading arms and a vapor return line, for the loading of liquified ethane into marine vessels.
- The increased use of steam (36,300 *lbs/hr*) from the auxiliary boilers (Source IDs 031 and 033–034) permitted under Plan Approval No. 23-0119B (and subsequently, TVOP No. 23-00119) by the amine treatment system and dehydration train system.
- Incremental flows from the amine treatment system and dehydration train system to the West Warm Flare (Source ID C03) installed under Plan Approval No. 23-0119H (and subsequently permitted under TVOP No. 23-00119).

The following sources are proposed to be listed in Plan Approval No. 23-0119J:

Source ID	Source Name
103	NSPS Subpart VVa Fugitive Equipment Leaks
124	Refrigerated Ethane Storage Tank (600,000 <i>bbl</i>)
125	Refrigerated Ethane Storage Tank (600,000 <i>bbl</i>)
141	Wet Surface Air Cooling Systems (2)
C04	Project Phoenix Cold Flare

III. PSD Analysis

As indicated in 40 CFR § 52.21(a)(2)(i), the provisions of 40 CFR § 52.21 (incorporated by reference at 25 Pa. Code § 127.83) “apply to the construction¹⁵ of any new major stationary source¹⁵ ... or any project at an existing major stationary source in an area designated as attainment.” As the facility is an existing major stationary source, in accordance with 40 CFR § 52.21(a)(2)(iv), DEP is required to perform a PSD analysis to determine whether the single aggregated project constitutes a major modification¹⁵ for a regulated NSR pollutant¹⁵ and subject to PSD requirements. The relevant regulated NSR pollutants for which Delaware County is currently in attainment, and for which DEP has performed the PSD analysis, are CO, H₂SO₄, nitrogen dioxide [NO₂], lead [Pb], PM, PM less than 10 μ m in aerodynamic diameter [PM₁₀], PM less than 2.5 μ m in aerodynamic diameter [PM_{2.5}],¹⁶ and sulfur dioxide [SO₂].

As indicated in 40 CFR § 52.21(a)(2)(iv)(a), “a project is a major modification for a regulated NSR pollutant if it causes two types of emissions increases—a significant emissions increase¹⁵ ... and a significant¹⁵ net emissions increase.¹⁵ ... The project is not a major modification if it does not cause a significant emissions increase. If the project causes a significant emissions increase, then the project is a major modification only if it also results in a significant net emissions increase.” In addition, in accordance with 40 CFR § 52.21(b)(49)(iii)–(iv), greenhouse gases (GHGs),¹⁵ in units of carbon dioxide equivalents [CO₂e], are significant and subject to regulation¹⁵ only when a project is already a major modification for a regulated NSR pollutant.

Before beginning the PSD analysis, it is critical to define the project and establish the associated timeframes (i.e., based on the dates that DEP received a complete application, construction actually/is anticipated to commence,¹⁵ and operation actually/is anticipated to commence). DEP has defined the project as the single aggregated project described in the *Single Aggregated Project Description* section, above. While establishing the associated timeframes for a project is normally a straightforward exercise, in this case, the actual and anticipated dates for the commencement of construction and operation for the sources and equipment comprising the single aggregated project range from calendar years 2013–2022. Since the original Plan Approval No. 23-0119E has been remanded, DEP has chosen to establish the timeframes for the single aggregated project based on the actual dates that DEP received the complete application for, construction commenced under, and operation commenced under the original issuance of Plan Approval No. 23-0119E (i.e., October 6, 2015, April 1, 2016, and August 10, 2017, respectively).

The first step of the PSD analysis is to determine whether a significant emissions increase of a regulated NSR pollutant will occur due to the single aggregated project. This is based on the sum of the emissions increases for each emissions unit,¹⁴ and, as indicated in 40 CFR § 52.21(b)(7)(i)–(ii), is determined differently based on whether the emissions unit is new or existing, respectively, as follows:

- “A new emissions unit is any emissions unit that is (or will be) newly constructed and that has existed for less than 2 years from the date such emissions unit first operated.

¹⁵ As the term is defined in 40 CFR § 52.21(b).

¹⁶ On September 30, 2019, EPA approved DEP’s request for redesignation of the attainment status for Delaware County from nonattainment to attainment of the 2012 annual PM_{2.5} National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS).

- An existing emissions unit is any emissions unit that [is not a new emissions unit]. A replacement unit¹⁵ ... is an existing emissions unit.”

Since the sources and equipment comprising the single aggregated project include both new and existing emissions units, DEP has applied the hybrid test indicated in 40 CFR § 52.21(a)(2)(iv)(f), as follows:

- For each new emissions unit, the emissions increase of a regulated NSR pollutant is the difference between the potential to emit¹⁵ (PTE) and the baseline actual emissions¹⁵ (BAE), the latter of which is generally zero.
- For each existing emissions unit, the emissions increase of a regulated NSR pollutant is the difference between the projected actual emissions¹⁵ (PAE) and the BAE.

Moreover, as indicated in 40 CFR § 52.21(b)(48)(ii)(c), “when a project involves multiple [existing] emissions units, only one consecutive 24-month period must be used to determine the [BAE] for the emissions units being changed[, though a] different consecutive 24-month period can be used for each regulated NSR pollutant.”

Lastly, though not directly addressed in 40 CFR § 52.21, DEP also considers in the PSD analysis the “incremental emissions increase” of each regulated NSR pollutant for the sources and equipment of the single aggregated project that have not undergone construction (i.e., “any physical change or change in the method of operation”), but which have experienced an increase in utilization. This approach is consistent with U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) guidance (see *Attachments #1a–1c*, as **highlighted**).

Since all sources and equipment of the single aggregated project that were newly constructed had existed (i.e., commenced operation) less than 2 years prior to the date that construction commenced for sources and equipment authorized under the original issuance of Plan Approval No. 23-0119E (i.e., after April 1, 2014), DEP considers all such sources and equipment to be new emissions units. DEP has determined the respective emissions increases of each regulated NSR pollutant for these sources and equipment by using the PTEs previously calculated under the associated authorizations.

From the existing sources and equipment of the single aggregated project, DEP considers five to have undergone construction: marine vessel loading (non-refrigerated), the 15-2B cooling tower, and Tanks 607, 609, and 611 (Source IDs 115, 139, 188, 190, and 192, respectively). The only regulated NSR pollutant emitted by the marine vessel loading and storage tanks are VOCs; therefore, these sources are discussed in the *NSR Analysis* section, below.

Under RFD No. 5597, SPMT installed two pumps on the 15-2B cooling tower to increase its capacity from 25,000 *gals/min* to 28,500 *gals/min* to accommodate the transfer of cooling load from the 15-6 cooling tower for instrument air compressors (IACs) at the MHIC. While the physical change resulted in emissions increases of PM, PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5} for the 15-2B cooling tower and (greater) emissions decreases of PM, PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5} for the 15-6 cooling tower,¹⁷ the IACs are not in VOC service¹⁸ and do not relate to the NGLs processing, storage, and distribution operations at the facility. Therefore, for calculation purposes, DEP has evaluated the 15-2B cooling tower as if the physical change did not occur (see second bullet, below, and *NSR Analysis* section, below, for further discussion).

¹⁷ Since the 15-6 cooling tower was removed from service under RFD No. 5597, and its drift eliminators were not as efficient as those on the 15-2B cooling tower, the project resulted in a net emissions decrease of PM, PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5}. DEP has determined the emissions decreases of PM, PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5}, respectively, for the 15-6 cooling tower by using the associated 2014–2015 average PM emissions, and multiplying them by the PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} fractions, all as indicated in the application for RFD No. 5597.

¹⁸ As the term is defined in 40 CFR § 60.481a (i.e., “contains or contacts a process fluid that is at least 10[%] VOC by weight”).

None of the other existing sources and equipment of the single aggregated project have undergone construction, as follows:

- The auxiliary boilers were previously permitted under TVOP No. 23-00001 (and subsequently, Plan Approval No. 23-0119B and TVOP No. 23-00119) to provide steam. While most of the steam currently goes to different sources and equipment than it did under TVOP No. 23-00001 (as also authorized or proposed under Plan Approval Nos. 23-0119A, 23-0119D, (the original) 23-0119E, 23-0119H, and (pending) 23-0119J, and RFD No. 6484), the auxiliary boilers themselves did not experience a physical change or a change in the method of operation.¹⁹ Moreover, the total steam demand at the MHIC and associated emissions from the auxiliary boilers do not exceed the total rated steam production and permitted emission restrictions for the auxiliary boilers, respectively (see *Additional Information for the Auxiliary Boilers* section, below, for further discussion). DEP has determined the associated incremental emissions increases of CO, CO_{2e}, H₂SO₄, NO₂, Pb, PM, PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}, and SO₂ by multiplying the total steam demand associated with the affected sources and equipment of the single aggregated project by the corresponding emission factors provided by SPMT in the applications for either the minor modification to TVOP No. 23-00119 (CO) or the original Plan Approval No. 23-0119E (all other pollutants). [Note: DEP has also determined the associated incremental emissions increase of NO_x and VOCs (see *NSR Analysis* section, below, for further discussion).]
- The 15-2B cooling tower was previously permitted under TVOP No. 23-00001 (and subsequently, Plan Approval No. 23-0119B and TVOP No. 23-00119) to provide cooling water. While all of the cooling water currently goes to different sources and equipment than it did under TVOP No. 23-00001 (as also authorized under the original Plan Approval No. 23-0119E and RFD No. 5597), the 15-2B cooling tower itself did not experience a physical change or a change in the method of operation related to the NGLs processing, storage, and distribution operations at the facility.^{17,19} DEP has determined the associated incremental emissions increases of PM, PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5} by summing the following:
 - The emissions increases of PM, PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5} indicated in the applications for Plan Approval No. 23-0119E and RFD No. 5597, as determined by SPMT using the calculation methodology developed by Messrs. Joel Reisman and Gordon Frisbie in their paper titled “Calculating Realistic PM₁₀ Emissions from Cooling Towers” (i.e., Equation 1 using a source-specific total dissolved solids content, as discussed on pg. 2 [first full paragraph]), based on continuous operation (i.e., 8,760 *hrs/yr*).
 - The above emissions increases of PM, PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5} prorated by the cooling water demand for fractionation tower 15-2B T-05 authorized under Plan Approval No. 23-0119B.

[Note: DEP has also determined the associated incremental emissions increase of VOCs (see *NSR Analysis* section, below, for further discussion).]

- Marine vessel loading (refrigerated) and Tanks 246, 253, 250, 527, and 610 (Source IDs 104, 133, 204, 136, 178, and 212, respectively): The only regulated NSR pollutant emitted by these sources is VOCs; therefore, these sources are discussed in the *NSR Analysis* section, below.

While flows from various sources and equipment of the single aggregated project proceed to the West Warm Flare, DEP does not consider the West Warm Flare itself to be part of the single aggregated project. The West Warm Flare is effectively a replacement unit for the EC Flare, which had received flows from various sources and equipment at the Braskem America facility at the MHIC since before SPMT began its NGLs processing, storage,

¹⁹ DEP’s technical review memo for Plan Approval Nos. 23-0119A, 23-0119B, and (the original) 23-0119E, dated August 19, 2013, January 21, 2014, and March 31, 2016, respectively, indicated that the auxiliary boilers experienced a physical change or a change in the method of operation, as applicable, due to the addition of a new fuel (i.e., H₂S from the new amine treatment system) and new steam demand and lines. In addition, DEP’s technical review memo for the original Plan Approval No. 23-0119E indicated that the 15-2B cooling tower experienced a physical change due to the addition of new cooling water demand and lines. These statements were in error, as the auxiliary boilers were previously permitted to burn refinery fuel gas containing H₂S under TVOP No. 23-00001, and the new steam and cooling water demands and lines do not constitute a physical change or result in a change in the method of operation of the auxiliary boilers and 15-2B cooling tower themselves (i.e., these sources still produce steam and circulate cooling water, respectively).

and distribution operations there.²⁰ Moreover, 74.9%, *by weight*, of the VOC flow to the West Warm Flare is from sources and equipment at the Braskem America facility, not SPMT. Therefore, for the PSD analysis, DEP has only considered the incremental emissions increases associated with flows to the West Warm Flare from the various sources and equipment of the single aggregated project. DEP has determined the associated incremental emissions increases of CO, NO₂, and SO₂ by multiplying the total mass flows, the higher heating values of the respective materials (e.g., natural gas, propane, butane, etc.), and the CO, NO_x,²¹ and SO₂ emission factors for flares (0.31 *lbs/mmBtu*, 0.068 *lbs/mmBtu*, and 0.0006 *lbs/mmBtu*, respectively) from EPA’s AP-42, Volume I, Fifth Edition (AP-42), Table 13.5-1. In addition, DEP has determined the associated incremental emissions increases of CO₂e by summing the emissions increases of CO₂e indicated in the applications for Plan Approval Nos. 23-0119H and (pending) 23-0119J, as determined by SPMT using the calculation methodology specified in 40 CFR § 98.233. [Note: DEP has also determined the associated incremental emissions increase of NO_x and VOCs (see *NSR Analysis* section, below, for further discussion).]

Based on the emissions increases of CO, CO₂e, H₂SO₄, NO₂, Pb, PM, PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}, and SO₂ for the respective sources and equipment comprising the single aggregated project, DEP has determined the associated emissions increases due to the single aggregated project (see *Attachment #2*). The emissions increases of CO, CO₂e, H₂SO₄, NO₂, Pb, PM, PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}, and SO₂ due to the single aggregated project, as well as the associated significant emissions rates, are listed in Table 1, below:

Table 1
Emissions Increases Due to the Single Aggregated Project & Significant Emissions Rates (*tons/yr*)
40 CFR § 52.21(a)(2)(iv)(f) and (b)(3)(i)(a), (23), and (40)

	CO	CO ₂ e	H ₂ SO ₄	NO ₂	Pb	PM	PM ₁₀	PM _{2.5}	SO ₂
Emissions Increases ^{22,23}	111.40	184,375	0.0676	65.99	4.09×10 ⁻⁵	10.65	10.44	8.59	1.21
Significant Emissions Rates	100	75,000	7	40	0.6	25	15	10	40

As indicated in Table 1, DEP has determined that the single aggregated project causes a significant emissions increase of CO, CO₂e, and NO₂. Therefore, the next step is to conduct a netting analysis to determine whether a significant net emissions increase of CO, CO₂e, and NO₂ also occurs due to the single aggregated project.

As indicated in 40 CFR § 52.21(b)(3)(i)–(ii), the net emissions increase is the sum of “[t]he increase in emissions from a particular physical change or change in the method of operation” (i.e., the significant emissions increases of CO, CO₂e, and NO₂ determined above) and “any other increases and decreases in actual emissions ... that are

²⁰ However, since SPMT’s TVOP with Delaware Department of Natural Resources & Environmental Control (DNREC) did not permit operational and maintenance flows to the EC Flare, SPMT was not permitted to consider the EC Flare as an existing emissions unit. Consequently, under Plan Approval No. 23-0119H, DEP considered the West Warm Flare as a new emissions unit. Notwithstanding this permitting history, based on a decrease in the amount of piping and components associated with the West Warm Flare versus the EC Flare, the physical change resulted in net emissions decreases of CO, CO₂e, NO₂, and SO₂, and aggregated emissions decreases of NO_x and VOCs (see *NSR Analysis* section, below, for further discussion). Therefore, the change does not constitute construction, and the fact that the West Warm Flare otherwise meets the criteria for a replacement unit specified in 40 CFR § 52.21(b)(3)(i)–(iv) does not apply. [Note: This position is consistent with EPA guidance (see *Attachment #3*, as highlighted) and the definition of the term “modification” in 25 Pa. Code § 121.1 (i.e., “a physical change ... which would increase the amount of an air contaminant emitted ... or which would result in the emission of an air contaminant not previously emitted, except that ... replacement [is] not considered [a] physical change”).]

²¹ All NO_x is also treated as NO₂.

²² The emissions increases of CO, CO₂e, and NO₂ in Table 1 differ from the values indicated in the *Pa. Bulletin* notice for revised Plan Approval No. 23-0119E, to be published on February 29, 2020, by +1.18 *tons/yr*, +875 *tons/yr*, and +0.25 *tons/yr*, respectively. The changes are due to additional information provided by SPMT, at DEP’s request, that DEP received after it had submitted the notices to the *Pa. Bulletin* for publication.

²³ The emissions increases of PM, PM_{2.5}, and SO₂ in Table 1 differ from the values indicated in the *Pa. Bulletin* notice for revised Plan Approval No. 23-0119E, to be published on February 29, 2020, by +0.01 *tons/yr*, –0.01 *tons/yr*, and +0.01 *tons/yr*, respectively. The changes are due solely to rounding.

contemporaneous with the particular change and are otherwise creditable,” where the contemporaneous period “occurs between: (a) The date five years before construction on the particular change commences; and (b) The date that the increase from the particular change occurs.” (If the net emissions increase is a negative value, then zero is entered.) In line with the discussion in the third paragraph of this section, since the original Plan Approval No. 23-0119E has been remanded, DEP has chosen to set the date that construction on the particular change (i.e., the single aggregated project) commenced as the date that construction commenced under the original issuance of Plan Approval No. 23-0119E. Similarly, DEP has chosen to set the date that the increase from the particular change occurs as the date that operation of sources/equipment installed under the original issuance of Plan Approval No. 23-0119E commenced. Therefore, DEP has used the April 1, 2011–August 10, 2017, timeframe as the contemporaneous period for which to determine whether a significant net emissions increase of CO, CO_{2e}, and NO₂ occurs due to the single aggregated project. (Since the increases and decreases in actual emissions for the contemporaneous period were authorized by DEP via either Plan Approval, ERC application, or de minimis emissions increase, DEP considers them to meet the criteria in 40 CFR § 52.21(b)(3)(iii)–(viii) for being creditable.) In addition, DEP has not truncated the look-back period to consider CO, CO_{2e}, and NO₂ emissions that were netted out under previous Plan Approvals.

Based on the sum of the emissions increases of CO, CO_{2e}, and NO₂ due to the single aggregated project and the increases and decreases in actual emissions for the contemporaneous period, DEP has determined the associated net emissions increases for the single aggregated project (see *Attachment #2*). The net emissions increases of CO, CO_{2e}, and NO₂ for the single aggregated project, as well as the associated significant emissions rates, are listed in Table 2, below:

Table 2
Net Emissions Increases for the Single Aggregated Project & Significant Emissions Rates (*tons/yr*)
40 CFR § 52.21(b)(3)(i)(a)–(b) and (23)

	CO	CO _{2e}	NO ₂
Net Emissions Increases ²⁴	92.94	164,314	38.70
Significant Emissions Rates	100	75,000	40

As indicated in Table 2, DEP has determined that the single aggregated project causes a significant net emissions increase of CO_{2e} only. Since the single aggregated project is not a major modification for a regulated NSR pollutant, CO_{2e} is not subject to regulation and SPMT is not subject to PSD requirements.

IV. NSR Analysis

Pursuant to 25 Pa. Code § 127.201(f), the facility is “considered a major facility²⁵ and ... subject to the requirements applicable to a major facility located in a severe nonattainment area²⁵ for ozone”. Therefore, in accordance with 25 Pa. Code §§ 127.203a and 127.203(b)(1)(i)–(ii), DEP is required to perform an NSR analysis to determine whether the aggregated emissions increases of NO_x or VOCs (i.e., the sum of the emissions increases due to the single aggregated project and either of the following) exceed 25 *tons/yr*:

- “[T]he other increases in net emissions occurring over a consecutive 5 calendar-year period, which includes the calendar year of the modification or addition which results in the emissions increase.
- [The] other increases and decreases in net emissions occurring within 10 years prior to the date of submission of a complete Plan Approval application.”

²⁴ As a result of the changes discussed in Footnote 22, above, the net emissions increases of CO, CO_{2e}, and NO₂ in Table 2 differ from the pre-change values by +1.18 *tons/yr*, +876 *tons/yr*, and +0.26 *tons/yr*, respectively (with the slight difference due to rounding).

²⁵ As the term is defined in 25 Pa. Code § 121.1.

As with the PSD analysis, before beginning the NSR analysis, it is critical to define the project and establish the associated timeframes. As with the PSD analysis, DEP has defined the project as the single aggregated project described in the *Single Aggregated Project Description* section, above, and has chosen to establish the timeframes for the single aggregated project based on the actual dates that DEP received the complete application for, construction commenced under, and operation commenced under the original issuance of Plan Approval No. 23-0119E.

First, DEP has determined the emissions increase of NO_x and VOCs due to the single aggregated project. As with the PSD analysis, this is based on the sum of the emissions increases for each emissions unit,²⁵ and, as indicated in 25 Pa. Code § 127.203a(a)(1)(i)(B) and (A), is determined differently based on whether the emissions unit is new or existing, respectively, as follows:

- “For new emissions units, the emissions increase of a regulated NSR pollutant^{15,25} will be the potential to emit²⁵ [(PTE)] from each new emissions unit.”
- “For existing emissions units, an emissions increase of a regulated NSR pollutant is the difference between the projected actual emissions²⁵ [(PAE)] and the baseline actual emissions²⁵ [(BAE)] for each unit.”

As with the PSD analysis, as indicated in 25 Pa. Code § 127.203a(a)(4)(i)(D), “when a project involves multiple [existing] emissions units, the same consecutive 24-month period must be used to determine the [BAE] for the emissions units being changed.” However, unlike with the PSD analysis, “[t]he same consecutive 24-month period shall be used for all regulated NSR pollutants, unless ... a different 24-month period is more appropriate and [DEP] approves [of its use].”

Lastly, though not directly addressed in 25 Pa. Code §§ 127.203 and 127.203a, DEP also considers in the NSR analysis the “incremental emissions increase” of NO_x and VOCs from the sources and equipment of the single aggregated project that have not undergone a modification,²⁵ but which have experienced an increase in utilization.

As with the PSD analysis, DEP considers all sources and equipment of the single aggregated project that were newly constructed to be new emissions units, and has determined the respective emissions increases for these sources and equipment by using the PTEs previously calculated under the associated authorizations.

Similar to the PSD analysis, from the existing sources and equipment of the single aggregated project, DEP considers the marine vessel loading (non-refrigerated), the 15-2B cooling tower, and Tanks 607, 609, and 611 (Source IDs 115, 139, 188, 190, and 192, respectively) to have undergone a modification. As all of these sources were permitted under Plan Approval No. 23-0119B, DEP used the 2010–2011 timeframe for the BAEs used during its original review of the application for Plan Approval No. 23-0119B.²⁶

Marine vessel loading was previously permitted under TVOP No. 23-00001 for the loading of gasoline and other petroleum products, and subsequently permitted under Plan Approval No. 23-0119B (and TVOP No. 23-00119) for the loading of (non-refrigerated) petroleum products, specifically light naphtha, at loading dock 3A. Based on the PAE indicated in the application for Plan Approval No. 23-0119B (3.71 *tons/yr*), and the BAE from DEP records (0.09 *tons/yr*), DEP has determined the emissions increase of VOCs for the marine vessel loading to be 3.62 *tons/yr*.

²⁶ DEP’s technical review memo for Plan Approval No. 23-0119B, dated January 21, 2014, indicates that the BAEs for the marine vessel loading and Tanks 607, 609, and 611 were based on the 2009–2010 timeframe. However, the values shown are actually for the 2010–2011 timeframe. While a portion of this timeframe is outside of the 5-year period immediately prior to the date that DEP received the complete application for the original Plan Approval No. 23-0119E, pursuant to 25 Pa. Code § 127.203a(a)(4)(i), “[DEP] may approve the use of a different consecutive 24-month period within the last 10 years ... that ... is more representative of normal source operation.” The 2010–2011 timeframe represents the last 2 years that the facility operated as a refinery before shutting down, not operating in a normal manner again until 2015. Therefore, DEP considers the use of the 2010–2011 timeframe appropriate.

As discussed in the *PSD Analysis* section, above, the physical change to the 15-2B cooling tower did not result in an emissions increase of VOCs and did not relate to the NGLs processing, storage, and distribution operations at the facility. Therefore, for calculation purposes, DEP has evaluated the 15-2B cooling tower as if the physical change did not occur (see second bullet, below, for further discussion).

Tanks 607 and 611 were previously permitted under TVOP No. 23-00001 to store petroleum liquids with a vapor pressure of less than 11 *psia*, and subsequently permitted under Plan Approval Nos. 23-0119B and 23-0119F (and TVOP No. 23-00119), to store petroleum liquids, specifically natural gasoline or light naphtha, with a vapor pressure of less than 11 *psia*. Tanks 607 and 611, along with Tanks 23, 454, and 619, were subject to a combined VOC emission restriction in TVOP No. 23-00001 of 7.5 *tons/yr*. However, in Plan Approval No. 23-0119F, Tanks 23, 607, and 611 were subject to separate VOC emission restrictions of 1.47 *tons/yr*, 6.75 *tons/yr*, and 6.05 *tons/yr*, respectively, while Tanks 454 and 619 were indicated for removal (and not included). DEP considers the increase in VOC emission restrictions for these storage tanks to be “a change in the method of operation of a source which would increase the amount of an air contaminant emitted by the source.” Based on the current VOC emission restrictions established under Plan Approval No. 23-0119F (1.47 *tons/yr*, 6.75 *tons/yr*, and 6.05 *tons/yr*),²⁷ and the BAEs previously determined under Plan Approval No. 23-0119B (0.74 *tons/yr*, 1.16 *tons/yr*, and 1.59 *tons/yr*, respectively), DEP has determined the emissions increase of VOCs for Tanks 23, 607, and 611 to be 0.73 *tons/yr*, 5.59 *tons/yr*, and 4.46 *tons/yr*, respectively.

Tank 609 was previously permitted under TVOP No. 23-00001 to store benzene, but subsequently permitted under Plan Approval Nos. 23-0119B and 23-0119F (and TVOP No. 23-00119) to store natural gasoline. DEP considers this to be “a change in the method of operation of a source ... which would result in the emission of an air contaminant not previously emitted.” Based on the current VOC emission restriction established under Plan Approval No. 23-0119F (5.40 *tons/yr*),²⁷ and the BAE previously determined under Plan Approval No. 23-0119B (1.775 *tons/yr*), DEP has determined the emissions increase of VOCs for Tank 609 to be 3.63 *tons/yr*.

None of the other existing sources and equipment of the single aggregated project underwent a modification, as follows:

- As discussed in the *PSD Analysis* section, above, the auxiliary boilers did not experience a physical change or a change in the method of operation, and the total steam demand at the MHIC and associated emissions from the auxiliary boilers do not exceed the total rated steam production and permitted emission restrictions for the auxiliary boilers, respectively. In the same manner as in the PSD analysis, DEP has determined the associated incremental emissions increases of NO_x and VOCs by multiplying the total steam demand associated with the affected sources and equipment of the single aggregated project by the NO_x and VOC emission factors provided by SPMT in the application for the original Plan Approval No. 23-0119E.
- As discussed in the *PSD Analysis* section, above, the 15-2B cooling tower itself did not experience a change in the method of operation related to the NGLs processing, storage, and distribution operations at the facility. DEP has determined the associated incremental emissions increase of VOCs by multiplying the total cooling water demand associated with the affected sources and equipment of the single aggregated project by the controlled VOC fugitive emission factor for petroleum refineries (0.7 *lbs/mmgals*) from EPA’s AP-42, Table 5.1-3 (up to the VOC emission restriction in TVOP No. 23-00001 (and subsequently, TVOP No. 23-00119) of 4.60 *tons/yr*). (It bears mention that this approach yields a higher emissions increase of VOCs than evaluating the 15-2B cooling tower as an existing emissions unit.)
- The marine vessel loading previously permitted under TVOP No. 23-00001 was also subsequently permitted (or proposed, as applicable) under Plan Approval Nos. 23-0119, 23-0119D, and (pending) 23-0119J (as all or part of Source 104) for the loading of liquefied ethane, propane, and butane, as applicable. While the associated loading docks were each equipped with two new loading arms and a new vapor return line, no emissions result from their operation. Therefore, the physical change does not constitute a modification.

²⁷ Pursuant to 25 Pa. Code § 127.203a(a)(5)(ii), the PTE for an emissions unit may be used in lieu of the PAE.

- Tanks 253 and 610 (Source IDs 204 and 212, respectively) were previously permitted under TVOP No. 23-00001 to store petroleum liquids with a vapor pressure of less than 11 *psia*, and subsequently permitted under Plan Approval Nos. 23-0119B and 23-0119F, as applicable (and TVOP No. 23-00119), to store petroleum liquids, specifically natural gasoline or light naphtha, with a vapor pressure of less than 11 *psia*. Tanks 253 and 610, along with other tank(s) that are not part of the single aggregated project, were subject to a combined VOC emission restriction in TVOP No. 23-00001 of 47.13 *tons/yr*, and a combined VOC emission restriction in Plan Approval No. 23-0119F of 40.4 *tons/yr*. Since the current combined VOC emission restriction is less than that in TVOP No. 23-00001, DEP considers the emissions increase of VOCs for Tanks 253 and 610 to be zero (i.e., 0 *tons/yr*).
- Tanks 246, 250, and 527, (Source IDs 133, 136, and 178, respectively) were previously permitted under TVOP No. 23-00001 to store petroleum liquids with a vapor pressure of less than 11 *psia*,²⁸ and subsequently permitted under Plan Approval No. 23-0119B (and TVOP No. 23-00119), to store petroleum liquids, specifically natural gasoline or light naphtha, with a vapor pressure of less than 11 *psia*. The application for Plan Approval No. 23-0119B did not include values for PAEs and BAEs; rather, it included projected and baseline actual throughputs as proxies. DEP determined whether or not the tanks experienced an emissions increase of VOCs based on whether they realized a combined increase in utilization. Based on DEP records, the average historical throughput for the three storage tanks in 2010–2011 (i.e., the same timeframe used to calculate the BAE for Tank 609) was 49.99 *Mbbl/day*. As indicated in the corresponding application, the planned throughput for the three storage tanks under Plan Approval No. 23-0119B was 8.76 *Mbbl/day*. Since the planned throughput for the three storage tanks under Plan Approval No. 23-0119B is less than the average historical throughput in TVOP No. 23-00001, DEP considers the emissions increase of VOCs for Tanks 246, 250, and 527 to be zero (i.e., 0 *tons/yr*).

As discussed in the *PSD Analysis* section, above, DEP does not consider the West Warm Flare itself to be part of the single aggregated project, and has only considered the incremental emissions increases associated with flows to the West Warm Flare from the various sources and equipment of the single aggregated project. DEP has determined the associated incremental emissions increase of NO_x and VOCs by multiplying the total mass flows of the respective materials (e.g., natural gas, propane, butane, etc.) that proceed to the West Warm Flare by the following:

- NO_x: The associated higher heating value and the NO_x emission factor for flares (0.068 *lbs/mmBtu*) from AP-42, Table 13.5-1.
- VOCs: The VOC destruction and removal efficiency (DRE) of 98% for the West Warm Flare (and, for natural gas flows, an assumed VOC content of 1%²⁹).

Next, DEP has determined the other increases in net emissions of NO_x and VOCs occurring over a consecutive 5 calendar-year period. Similar to the PSD analysis, since the original Plan Approval No. 23-0119E has been remanded, DEP has chosen to set the date of “the modification or addition which results in the emissions increase” as the date that construction commenced under the original issuance of Plan Approval No. 23-0119E. Therefore, DEP has used the 2012–2016 timeframe as the consecutive 5 calendar-year period for which to determine the other increases in net emissions of NO_x and VOCs. In addition, DEP has not truncated the look-back period to consider the other increases in net emissions of NO_x and VOCs that were netted out under previous Plan Approvals.

Based on the sum of the emissions increases of NO_x and VOCs due to the single aggregated project and the other increases in net emissions of NO_x and VOCs occurring over a consecutive 5 calendar-year period, DEP has determined the associated aggregated emissions increases for the single aggregated project (see *Attachment #5*).

²⁸ Except for Tank 527, which did not include a vapor pressure restriction.

²⁹ Though, based on 2019 daily average gas chromatograph data from Williams Pipeline Co., the natural gas supplier for the MHIC (*Attachment #4*), the maximum daily VOC content of the pipeline natural gas supplied to the MHIC was 0.158% (on January 31, 2019).

The aggregated emissions increases of NO_x and VOCs for the single aggregated project are listed in Table 3, below:

Table 3
Aggregated Emissions Increases for the Single Aggregated Project (*tons/yr*)
25 Pa. Code § 127.203(b)(1)(i)

	NO _x	VOCs
Aggregated Emissions Increases ³⁰	67.99	177.05
Significant Emissions Rates	25	25

Since the aggregated emissions increases of both NO_x and VOCs for the single aggregated project exceed 25 *tons/yr*, the aggregated emissions increases are significant²⁵ for both pollutants. Therefore, the single aggregated project is subject to the NSR requirements of 25 Pa. Code Chapter 127, Subchapter E, for both NO_x and VOCs, and there is no need to determine the aggregated emissions increase in accordance with 25 Pa. Code § 127.203(b)(1)(ii). SPMT is required to implement Lowest Achievable Emission Rate (LAER) for NO_x and VOCs in accordance with 25 Pa. Code §§ 127.203(b)(2) and 127.205(1), and obtain NO_x and VOC emissions offsets in accordance with 25 Pa. Code §§ 127.201(d) and 127.210(a) (see *NSR Requirements* section, below, for further discussion).

V. NSR Requirements

In accordance with 25 Pa. Code § 127.205(1)–(5), respectively, SPMT is required to meet the following requirements:

- Implement a level of pollution control that meets LAER.
- Certify that each facility located within the Commonwealth that is owned, operated, or controlled by SPMT and subject to NSR requirements and emission restrictions, is in compliance, or are on a schedule of compliance, with all applicable emission restrictions and standards.
- Obtain and surrender the required emission offsets, at the required offset ratio, prior to commencement of operation of the affected source(s), from other sources that impact a nonattainment area in the same or lower nonattainment classification area than the one in which they were generated.
- Demonstrate through an analysis of alternative sites, sizes, production processes, and environmental control techniques that the benefits of the proposed project significantly outweigh the environmental and social costs imposed on the Commonwealth as a result of its location, construction, or modification.

A. 25 Pa. Code § 127.205(1)

SPMT has conducted a LAER evaluation in accordance with EPA’s guidance in the October 1990 draft NSR Workshop Manual, and applicable Commonwealth and federal regulations. As indicated in 25 Pa. Code § 127.205(1), “only sources which are new or which are modified shall be required to implement LAER.” The following sources and equipment of the single aggregated project, which were (or are proposed to be)

³⁰ As a result of the changes discussed in Footnote 22, above, the aggregated emissions increase of NO_x in Table 3 differs from the value indicated in the *Pa. Bulletin* notice for revised Plan Approval No. 23-0119E, to be published on February 29, 2020, by +0.26 *tons/yr*. Based on this and a recalculation the emissions increases of VOCs associated with certain sources, the aggregated emissions increase of VOCs in Table 3 differs from the value indicated in the *Pa. Bulletin* notice for revised Plan Approval No. 23-0119E, to be published on February 29, 2020, by +7.02 *tons/yr*.

newly constructed or were modified, and are in VOC service and/or emit VOCs and/or NO_x, are required to meet LAER:

- The refrigerated storage tanks under Plan Approval Nos. 23-0119, 23-0119D, and (pending) 23-0119J.
- The piping and components under Plan Approval Nos. 23-0119, 23-0119A, 23-0119B, 23-0119D, (the original) 23-0119E, and (pending) 23-0119J; and RFDs No. 5236 and 6484.
- The elevated, air-assisted cold flares under Plan Approval Nos. 23-0119, 23-0119D, and (pending) 23-0119J.
- The following internal floating roof storage tanks:
 - Tank 609 (Source ID 190) under Plan Approval No. 23-0119B.
 - A methanol-rich wastewater tank under RFD No. 6484.
- The cooling towers under Plan Approval Nos. 23-0119B, 23-0119C, 23-0119D, and (the original) 23-0119E.³¹
- The meter provers under the original Plan Approval No. 23-0119E.

1. Refrigerated storage tanks: The refrigerated propane and butane storage tanks are in VOC service. The ethane in the refrigerated ethane storage tanks also contains VOCs. Regardless, as discussed in DEP's technical review memos for Plan Approval Nos. 23-0119, 23-0119D, and 23-0119J, dated February 4, 2013, February 23, 2015, and February 28, 2020, respectively, all of the refrigerated storage tanks are designed to have zero VOC emissions. Therefore, the refrigerated storage tanks meet LAER.
2. Piping and components: Under Plan Approval Nos. 23-0119, 23-0119A, 23-0119B, and 23-0119D (and subsequently, TVOP No. 23-00119), and (the original) Plan Approval No. 23-0119E, the piping and components are subject to the Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources (NSPS) for Equipment Leaks of VOC in the Synthetic Organic Chemicals Manufacturing Industry for Which Construction, Reconstruction, or Modification Commenced After November 7, 2006 [40 CFR Part 60, Subpart VVa], including leak levels and the use of an approved Leak Detection and Repair (LDAR) program. SPMT has proposed that these requirements be extended to the proposed piping and components under pending Plan Approval No. 23-0119J, and that they constitute LAER. DEP concurs with SPMT's LAER proposal for the piping and components, except that DEP considers the following more stringent LDAR requirements indicated in the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality's (TCEQ's) 28LAER program as LAER, and has incorporated them into SPMT's existing LDAR program for the facility:
 - The leak definition for pumps of 500 *ppm* (instead of 2,000 *ppm*).
 - The leak percentage for valves required for a reduction in monitoring frequency of 0.5% (instead of 2.0%).

Since SPMT already uses a gas analyzer in conjunction with the repair or maintenance of leaking components, DEP considers SPMT's existing LDAR program as a directed maintenance program. Therefore, DEP has not changed any other LDAR requirements under Source ID 103.

³¹ The WSAC systems under Plan Approval No. 23-0119J are proposed to rely on evaporative cooling to transfer heat from the propane and ethane refrigerants in the refrigeration systems, which requires an open design of the heat exchange system akin to an air-cooled fin fan-type heat exchange system. As such, VOCs from the process are not expected to accumulate in the water basins of the WSAC systems.

3. **Cold flares:** SPMT's search of EPA's RACT/BACT/LAER Clearinghouse (RBLC) and other state RBLC databases for NO_x and VOC LAER precedents for flares, as presented in the applications for revised Plan Approval No. 23-0119E, and pending Plan Approval No. 23-0119J, revealed the following:

- A NO_x emission rate of 0.068 *lbs/mmBtu* corresponding to that indicated for elevated flares in AP-42, Table 13.5-1.
- Numerous entries for flares subject to a VOC DRE restriction of 98%, and two entries for flares subject to a VOC DRE restriction of 99%. (In addition, DEP has corresponded with Ms. Anne Inman, P.E., Air Permits Division, TCEQ, who has indicated that TCEQ has permitted additional facilities with flares subject to a VOC DRE restriction of 99%.) In all cases, the higher VOC DRE restriction is indicated for flows with hydrocarbons containing no more than three carbons (i.e., propane or lighter), and the lower VOC DRE restriction is indicated for flows with heavier hydrocarbons.

As the flows to the West Cold Flare and East Cold Flare contain butane, pentane, and other hydrocarbons with more than three carbons, a VOC DRE restriction of 98% applies to these cold flares.³² SPMT also contends that, since "[t]he flows to the [proposed] Project Phoenix Cold Flare will always contain trace amounts of hydrocarbons with three carbons or more," a VOC DRE restriction of 98% applies to this cold flare as well.

In addition, two entries in SPMT's search from the Bay Area Air Quality Management District BACT Guidance database propose the use of fuel gas recovery, where available. A fuel gas recovery system for the West Cold Flare and East Cold Flare is infeasible because the operational and maintenance flows to those flares are not continuous. While the flows to the Project Phoenix Cold Flare are proposed to be continuous, SPMT contends that the facility does not have the available capacity in combustion equipment to combust all of the fuel gas that would be generated by a fuel gas recovery system.

Based on the RBLC searches and a technical feasibility analysis, SPMT has proposed that compliance with the design and operating requirements of 40 CFR § 60.18 (including maximum exit velocity, visible emissions, and a minimum net heating value for gas streams combusted in flares), as previously established by DEP as LAER for the West Warm Flare under Plan Approval No. 23-0119H, likewise constitutes LAER for the cold flares. SPMT has stated that the West Cold Flare and East Cold Flare already meet these design and operating requirements, and that the proposed Project Phoenix Cold Flare will also meet these requirements.

DEP concurs with SPMT's LAER proposal for the cold flares, except that DEP considers a VOC DRE restriction of 99.0% to be appropriate for the proposed Project Phoenix Cold Flare. Based on information in the application for pending Plan Approval No. 23-0119J for the proposed flows to the Project Phoenix Cold Flare, DEP has calculated the total percentages of hydrocarbons and VOCs containing more than three carbons (chiefly isobutane from the propane refrigeration system) to be 0.015%, *by weight*, and 0.33%, *by weight*, respectively. Then, DEP multiplied the higher VOC DRE value by the portion of the VOC flow containing no more than three carbons and the lower VOC DRE value by the portion of the VOC flow containing more than three carbons to obtain a weighted VOC DRE value of 98.997% (99.0% after reducing to three significant figures).

4. **Internal floating roof storage tanks:** DEP conducted a search of EPA's RBLC for VOC LAER precedents for internal floating roof storage tanks, which revealed two entries, RBLC IDs TX-0825 and TX-0835, that indicated primary and secondary seals for the internal floating roof. More specifically, RBLC ID TX-0835 indicated a mechanical shoe (primary) seal and a rim-mounted secondary seal for the internal floating roof. RBLC ID TX-0835 also indicated welded decks and a vapor pressure restriction of less

³² Plan Approval Nos. 23-0119 and 23-0119D (and subsequently, TVOP No. 23-00119) did/do not include any VOC DRE restrictions for the West Cold Flare and East Cold Flare. Accordingly, DEP has added the VOC DRE restriction of 98% for the West Cold Flare and East Cold Flare to revised Plan Approval No. 23-0119E (i.e., Condition # 002, Section D (under Source IDs C01-C02), respectively).

than 11.0 *psia* for the materials stored in the tank. RBLC ID TX-0825 indicated white paint for the tank shell. DEP considers these features to constitute LAER.

The methanol-rich wastewater tank has all of these features; therefore, it meets LAER. Tank 609 has all of these features except for a rim-mounted secondary seal; therefore, it does not meet LAER. Accordingly, DEP has added a requirement to revised Plan Approval No. 23-0119E (i.e., Condition # 015, Section D (under Source ID 190)) for SPMT to install a rim-mounted secondary seal for the internal floating roof within 18 months after the date of issuance. [Note: This requirement would not preclude incorporation of revised Plan Approval No. 23-0119E into TVOP No. 23-00119.]

5. Cooling towers: As previously indicated in the applications for Plan Approval Nos. 23-0119C and 23-0119D, SPMT has proposed the use of a heat exchanger LDAR program to monitor the water circulating through the cooling towers for the presence of hydrocarbons/VOCs. DEP concurs with SPMT's LAER proposal for the cooling towers.
6. Meter provers: SPMT has proposed the use of best management practices for the meter provers to minimize VOC blowdowns. DEP concurs with SPMT's LAER proposal for the meter provers.

B. 25 Pa. Code § 127.205(2)

To SPMT's knowledge, all existing sources located within the Commonwealth that are owned, operated, or controlled by SPMT are in compliance with applicable local, state, and federal regulations and consent decree requirements, or are on a compliance schedule.

C. 25 Pa. Code § 127.205(3)–(4)

Pursuant to 25 Pa. Code § 127.201(f), the facility is subject to the requirements applicable to a major facility located in a severe nonattainment area for ozone. Therefore, as indicated in 25 Pa. Code § 127.210(a), SPMT is required to offset the aggregated emissions increases of NO_x and VOCs at the ratio of 1.3:1. Based on the significant aggregated emissions increases of NO_x and VOCs indicated in Table 3, above, and the required offset ratio, SPMT is required to obtain and surrender 88.38 tons of NO_x Emission Reduction Credits (ERCs) and 239.25 tons of VOC ERCs.³³ SPMT has previously surrendered, and DEP has previously retired, 32.80 tons of NO_x ERCs and 134.72 tons of VOC ERCs³⁴ under previously-issued Plan Approvals for certain sources and equipment comprising the single aggregated project. Therefore, to fully offset the aggregated emissions increases of NO_x and VOCs, SPMT is required to obtain and surrender an additional 55.58 tons of NO_x ERCs and 104.53 tons of VOC ERCs for the single aggregated project (see *Attachment #5*). Of these ERC amounts, SPMT shall obtain and surrender 55.58 tons of NO_x ERCs and 55.01 tons of VOC ERCs under revised Plan Approval No. 23-0119E, and 49.52 tons of VOC ERCs under pending Plan Approval No. 23-0119J. As of the date that DEP received the application for revised Plan Approval No. 23-0119E, SPMT held 5.20 tons of NO_x ERCs and 142.62 tons of VOC ERCs. While this amount of VOC ERCs is sufficient to satisfy the amount SPMT is required to surrender, SPMT has indicated that it may choose to secure additional VOC ERCs to surrender instead.

D. 25 Pa. Code § 127.205(5)

SPMT has conducted an analysis of alternative sites, sizes, production processes, and environmental control techniques to demonstrate that the benefits of the facility significantly outweigh the environmental and social costs imposed on the Commonwealth as a result of its location, construction, or modification. Except for the

³³ As a result of the changes discussed in Footnote 22, above, the amount of NO_x ERCs required to be surrendered by SPMT differs from the value indicated in the *Pa. Bulletin* notice for revised Plan Approval No. 23-0119E, to be published on February 29, 2020, by +0.33 *tons/yr*. Based on this and a recalculation the emissions increases of VOCs associated with certain sources, the amount of VOC ERCs required to be surrendered by SPMT differs from the value indicated in the *Pa. Bulletin* notice for revised Plan Approval No. 23-0119E, to be published on February 29, 2020, by +6.09 *tons/yr*.

³⁴ The amount of VOC ERCs previously surrendered/retired includes 19.02 tons under Plan Approval No. 23-0119H for flows to the West Warm Flare that are attributable to various sources and equipment of the single aggregated project.

sources and equipment proposed under Plan Approval No. 23-0119J, as well as one of the depropanizers (Source ID 090) permitted under the original Plan Approval No. 23-0119E, the sources and equipment comprising the single aggregated project have already commenced operation and rely upon existing equipment and utilities at the facility, including marine vessel loading docks and pipeline infrastructure that terminates at the facility. Furthermore, SPMT has stated that “equipment sizing and production processes were determined in order to meet technical requirements and business demands of the MHIC,” and contends that relocating, replacing, or rerouting the pipeline infrastructure outside of existing right-of-ways would create an unnecessary net environmental and community disturbance. Lastly, SPMT contends that, because of the facility’s location in an area subject to the requirements applicable to a severe nonattainment area for ozone, the sources and equipment comprising the single aggregated project have been/will be designed to minimize overall emissions and meet associated NSR, LAER, and ERC requirements, which may not be the same for a suitable alternate industrial location. Based on the above, DEP concurs that the benefits of the facility significantly outweigh the environmental and social costs imposed on the Commonwealth as a result of its location, construction, or modification.

VI. Regulatory Analysis

Revised Plan Approval No. 23-0119E incorporates all terms and conditions indicated in the previously-issued Plan Approvals, which, except as specified in the *NSR Analysis* section, above, have not been modified. As the associated applicable federal regulations and requirements have already been detailed in DEP’s corresponding technical review memos, they are not discussed further here.

To the extent not already discussed in the *NSR Requirements* section, above, the applicable federal regulations and requirements for the sources and equipment proposed under pending Plan Approval No. 23-0119J are discussed in the *Emissions/Regulatory Analysis* and *Additional Regulatory Analysis* sections of DEP’s corresponding technical review memo, dated February 28, 2020.

VII. Additional Information for the Auxiliary Boilers

Each of the three auxiliary boilers at the facility is capable of producing steam at a rate of 267,000 *lbs/hr*. Therefore, the total rated steam production for all three auxiliary boilers is 801,000 *lbs/hr*. The steam demand for the various projects at the MHIC is listed in Table 4, below, and is less than the total rated steam production:

Table 4
Total Steam Demand at the MHIC (*lbs/hr*)

Base MHIC Steam Demand	210,000
Plan Approval No. 23-0119A	62,000
Plan Approval No. 23-0119B	53,000
Plan Approval No. 23-0119D	17,000
Plan Approval No. 23-0119E	238,700
RFD No. 6484 (Methanol Removal Project)	2,292
Plan Approval No. 23-0119J (Project Phoenix)	36,300
Total	619,292

Based on the total steam demand at the MHIC and the CO, H₂SO₄, NO_x, PM, SO₂, and VOC emission factors for the auxiliary boilers, as provided by SPMT in the applications for either the minor modification to TVOP No. 23-00119 (CO) or the original Plan Approval No. 23-0119E (all other pollutants), the CO, H₂SO₄, NO_x, PM, SO₂, and VOC PTEs do not exceed the associated emission restrictions indicated in TVOP No. 23-00119. The

CO, H₂SO₄, NO_x, PM, SO₂, and VOC emission factors, PTEs, and emission restrictions for the auxiliary boilers are listed in Table 5, below:

Table 5
CO, H₂SO₄, NO_x, PM, SO₂, & VOC Emission Factors, PTEs, & Emission Restrictions for the Auxiliary Boilers

Pollutant	Emission Factors (<i>lbs/lb steam</i>)	PTEs (<i>tons/yr</i>)	Emission Restrictions (<i>tons/yr</i>)
CO	1.00×10^{-5}	27.12	27.23
H ₂ SO ₄	3.77×10^{-8}	0.102	3.15
NO _x	2.53×10^{-5}	68.63	92.71
PM	4.56×10^{-6}	12.37	21.94
SO ₂	6.19×10^{-7}	1.68	41.10
VOCs	7.60×10^{-7}	2.06	5.49

VIII. Recommendations

Based on a review of the previously-issued Plan Approvals and associated applications, the previously-approved RFDs and associated applications, and the previously-approved de minimis emissions increases and associated letters for the facility; TVOPs No. 23-00001 and 23-00119; the applications for revised Plan Approval No. 23-0119E and pending Plan Approval No. 23-0119J; and EPA's RBLC, I recommend that DEP issue the revised Plan Approval No. 23-0119E for SPMT for the facility.

September 17, 1993

Mr. Larry Devillier
Supervisor, Permit Section
Office of Air Quality and Radiation
Protection
Louisiana Department of
Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 82135
Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70884-2135

Re: Union Carbide Chemicals and Plastics Company, Inc.
PSD Applicability

Dear Mr. Devillier:

We have reviewed the application dated May 18, 1993, from Union Carbide Chemicals and Plastics Company, Inc. concerning a permit to construct and operate a new polyethylene (PE) production facility at its Taft/Star Complex located near Taft, St. Charles Parish Louisiana.

The new PE production facility will require steam from an existing power system consisting of four boilers. The boilers will increase emissions as a result of the PE project. This increase must be included in the net emission increase for the PE project. You have suggested the following methodology for computing the net emissions increase. The potential emissions from the new PE facility at maximum production capacity plus the increased emissions from the existing boilers attributable to the new facility operating at maximum capacity will be the increase attributable to the proposed change. For this specific situation, where the existing boilers are not being modified and the demand from the new PE unit on the existing boilers can be specifically quantified, the emissions increase from the existing boilers that occurs as a direct result of the proposed PE project should be based on the maximum utilization for which the new PE unit would be permitted. At present, we agree that this methodology is applicable to this proposed project.

I trust this answers the question that you posed in your August 10, 1993 letter regarding net emission increase. If you have any questions, please call Mr. Reverdie Daron Page of my staff at (214) 655-7222.

Sincerely yours,

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY

JOLE C. LUEHRS

Jole C. Luehrs

Chief

New Source Review Section (6T-AN)

cc: David Solomon

6T-AN:PAGE:X7222:dp: 09/13/93

DOC.F:UNIONCRB4.LET

7-25-01

Ms. Bliss Higgins
Assistant Secretary
Environmental Services Division
Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality
P. O. Box 82135
Baton Rouge, LA 70884-2135

RE: Motiva Enterprises, LLC
Low Sulfur Gasoline (LSG) Project - Related Emission
Increase Methodology

Dear Ms. Higgins:

On April 10, 2001, we received a copy of a proposal sent to you by Motiva's two Louisiana refineries (see enclosure). Motiva's concern relates to the acceptable method to calculate emission increases resulting from their proposed LSG project. The company proposes to install new desulfurization equipment at their refineries designed to comply with the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) Tier 2 LSG regulation. The new equipment will result in increased utilization of existing equipment at the refineries. The existing equipment at which increased utilization is expected to occur as a result of the new desulfurization equipment will likely include steam boilers, hydrogen plants, sulfur recovery units, and flare systems. Motiva proposes to calculate emission increases from the existing equipment which will support the new desulfurization equipment based on what they term the "proposed potential increase in utilization" caused by the need to support the new equipment.

As you are aware, EPA's regulations define a "major modification" as one in which a physical change or change in the method of operation of a major stationary source results in a significant net emissions increase (see 40 Code of Federal Regulations section 52.21(b)(2)). In determining whether a proposed change will be a major modification, it is necessary to first calculate the total increase in emissions that will result from the proposed changes at the source. This calculation includes (1) increases occurring at all new or modified units, and (2) any other increases at existing emissions units not being modified which could experience emission increases that will

Attachment #1b

result from the change. (It is important to note that emission decreases that may be associated with a proposed project are not considered in this initial step. They may, however, be considered if the source wishes to net the project out of major new source review by considering all increases and decreases in emissions that are contemporaneous with the project and otherwise creditable.) The existing equipment described above by Motiva are examples of units which will not be modified as part of the change, but could nonetheless experience emission increases as a result of the operation of the new desulfurization equipment.

For the new and modified units associated with the new desulfurization unit, actual emission increases are calculated by subtracting the actual emissions at those units averaged over the preceding two years (or other more representative period) from the emission levels at maximum allowed production capacity of the units. In the case of the existing equipment not undergoing a change, but whose emission levels could be affected by the change at the facility (e.g., because of increased demand for steam and other products), emissions increases should be calculated as the worst case increases that could occur at those existing units if the new or modified units were to operate at their maximum permitted capacity. The company should provide conclusive evidence that all potential emissions increases associated with the operation of the project are accounted for within the New Source Review application provided to you for review.

If you have questions or comments concerning this matter, please feel free to contact me at (214) 665-6656 or Mr. Rick Barrett of my staff at (214) 665-7227.

Sincerely yours,

Rebecca Weber
Associate Director for Air
Multimedia Planning and
Permits Division

Enclosure

February 24, 2005

(AR-18J)

Steve Dunn
NSR Team Leader
Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources
Bureau of Air Management
101 South Webster Street
Box 7921
Madison, Wisconsin 53707-7921

Re: Request for a PSD Applicability Determination for Murphy Oil,
Superior, Wisconsin

Dear Mr. Dunn:

Thank you for your letter dated August 14, 2003, regarding the regulatory aspects of a potential project at the Murphy Oil USA (Murphy) facility in Superior, Wisconsin.

Your letter requests the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to provide guidance on how to calculate the net emissions increase from the boilers from a proposed project at the Murphy facility, which is a major source under the Part 70 and Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) programs. The situation at the facility is described in your letter as follows:

Murphy presently operates four oil/gas fired boilers at the Superior refinery with a reported steam capacity of slightly less than 140,000 pounds steam/hour. The minimum steam load required to operate the refinery processes is 80,000 pounds steam per hour with additional steam being primarily used in cold weather to keep process units and other equipment warm. Additionally, Murphy presently has in-place steam turbine back-ups for many electric pumps which could, if all were operated, use an additional 80,000 pounds steam per hour. The refinery reports that the boilers have, in the past 24 months, operated at full-capacity producing 140,000 pounds of steam per hour. This operation has been due to both cold weather and decisions by Murphy to operate additional steam turbines.

At issue is the method for calculating the "net emissions increase" resulting from increased utilization of upstream boilers due to projects that Murphy may undertake at the

facility. You characterize the project as "a non-exempt physical change (i.e. modification) to a process unit which does not involve any physical changes to the boiler," and point out that the proposed project would increase the steam needed to operate a process unit, and thus increase the minimum steam load at the refinery. Your request attaches a letter from Murphy which cites various site-specific evaluations by EPA, but provides few details on the actual proposed project at issue. In its letter, Murphy discusses their views regarding the de-bottlenecking concept, and argues that what it proposes constitutes "increased utilization" that would ignore emissions increases from the boilers.

We communicated with your office and with Murphy in November 2004, about the lack of specific information that the company has provided concerning the proposed project. We recently received some additional information from Murphy, as well as a copy of Wisconsin Department of Natural Resource's (WDNR) February 26, 2003 determination that Murphy's application is subject to review under the PSD program (ch. NR 405, Wis. Adm. Code). It is our understanding that Murphy disagrees with your determination, and has asked WDNR to seek EPA's input on the case. Accordingly, we provide you with the guidance below.

As a preliminary matter, we note that air emissions from Murphy's facility are governed by the Wisconsin State Implementation Plan (SIP) approved PSD program. The Wisconsin PSD program was approved by EPA on May 27, 1999, and does not include later federal changes to the New Source Review (NSR) regulations. Under the Wisconsin SIP, future emissions of modified non-electric utility steam generating units are calculated using the "actual to potential to emit" (PTE) method. We emphasize that NSR/PSD applicability calculations are governed by the applicability criteria in the currently approved and applicable SIPs, and recognize that States have the primary responsibility for determining how the SIP-approved NSR/PSD program applies to facilities within their jurisdiction. While EPA is providing input and guidance, we will defer to WDNR's final decision as long as it comports with applicable law, regulations and Agency guidance.

EPA provides site-specific responses on permitting issues¹. We

¹ The prior EPA analyses cited in Murphy's letter were also specific to the facilities and projects presented to the Agency in those cases. In one case, the Agency modified its determination when it became aware of new information about the facility at issue: the April 10, 1992 determination for the Hoechst Celanese facility was superseded by a March 14, 1997 letter that stressed the need for details on

note that the actual project submitted to WDNR for determination may differ from the project hypothetically described in the correspondence from Murphy attached to your August 14, 2003 letter. Murphy's letter does not provide sufficient details about the history of the current operations or the proposed changes and project(s) that are needed in order to make a determination.² Among other things, the submittal lacks information on the following: the affected units at the facility; the permit and/or other limits that apply; the duration and frequency of operation at maximum capacity of the steam generating units involved; current and historical production levels; other equipment, pumps, structures and processes that have been and will be involved or affected, and their history; the past and proposed emissions; the pollutants; and how the steam is being re-allocated. The details of the proposed project need to be fleshed out in order to make a determination. Based on what we have been presented, EPA generally agrees with WDNR's analysis in this matter, and provides the guidance below in order to assist you as you make a final determination once you receive the relevant information. We will offer you further guidance then, if it would be helpful in ensuring that the final decision comports with applicable law, regulations and guidance.

As you are aware, EPA's regulations define a "major modification" as one in which a physical change or a change in the method of operation of a major stationary source results in a significant net emissions increase. 40 C.F.R. 52.21(b)(2).³ The total increase in emissions that will result from the proposed changes at the source includes: (1) increases occurring at all new or modified units, and (2) any other increases at existing emissions units not being modified which could experience emissions increases as a result of the change.

With respect to the general concepts, the modification scenario as presented by Murphy's May 13, 2003 letter does not appear to fall within the concept of "debottlenecking." EPA's NSR/PSD

the proposed project.

² Omitted information about a proposed project vitiates regulatory determinations. In a case involving this same company, a Court held that Murphy withheld relevant information from WDNR regarding NSR/PSD aspects of a proposed modification of a distillate unifier. See U.S. v. Murphy Oil USA, Inc., 155 F. Supp. 2d 1117 (W.D.Wisc., August 1, 2001).

³ The Wisconsin SIP defines "major modification" in 405.02(21) as "any physical change in or change in the method of operation of a major stationary source that would result in a significant net emissions increase of any air contaminant subject to regulation under the act."

policies define "debottlenecking" to apply to a unit that has not been modified, but which experiences an increase in its effective capacity due to the removal of a capacity limitation on an associated unit.⁴ According to the information provided, the proposed project involves an increase in the boiler units' normal production of steam, not removal of capacity limitations. Emissions resulting from "increased utilization" of the boiler as part of the proposed project must be accounted for as set forth in the following paragraphs.

In determining whether a proposed change will be a major modification and will trigger PSD requirements, we calculate the total increase in emissions that will result from the proposed changes at the source. If all of the units affected by the project, not just those physically modified, collectively emit increased emissions in excess of the significance thresholds, the project is subject to PSD review. The total emissions increases attributable to the project (from the boilers and modified downstream units) are counted towards PSD applicability.

Because of the effective dates of your applicable SIP rules, the relevant analysis for the emissions from the new emissions unit(s) is actual-to-potential (PTE). See In re Rochester Public Utilities, PSD Appeal No. 03-03 (EAB August 3, 2004) at pg. 17. For any new unit(s) being added as part of the proposed project, actual emissions increases are calculated by determining the emissions levels at the maximum allowed production capacity for the unit(s) and subtracting the actual emissions at those units (presumably zero) averaged over the preceding two years (or other more representative period).

For a situation where the existing boilers are not being modified, the emissions increase from the existing boilers that occurs as a direct result of the proposed project should be based on the maximum utilization for which the new unit will be permitted.⁵ The emissions increases should be calculated as the worst case increases that could occur at those existing units if

⁴ See the *New Source Review Workshop Manual* for understanding the concept of "debottlenecking." Pages A.37 and A.46 both contain examples of "debottlenecking."

⁵ See September 17, 1993 letter from Jolie C. Luehrs, Chief, New Source Review Section, EPA Region 6 to Larry Devillier, Louisiana Dept. of Environmental Quality, regarding Union Carbide Chemicals and Plastics Company.

the new units were to operate at maximum capacity.⁶

If, as a result of the project, PSD significance thresholds for any of the PSD pollutants would be exceeded, then the project is subject to PSD requirements. Best Available Control Technology (BACT) will be required on all emission units that contribute to the emissions increase and are physically modified or experience a change in the method of operation. BACT would not apply to the boilers if no physical changes or change in the method of operation is planned for the boilers.

In sum, EPA defers to and generally agrees with the State's analysis, but lacks the details to provide final confirmation of the determination at this time. In order to assist you in going forward, we have clarified how applicable concepts would be applied under the federal regulations in effect at the time that the Wisconsin SIP was approved. If you obtain additional information on the project, we will be glad to offer you further guidance.

Please do not hesitate to contact Danny Marcus of my staff at (312) 353-8781, if you have any questions or comments; or to direct Murphy's attorneys to Andre Daugavietis, Associate Regional Counsel, at (312) 886-6663.

Sincerely yours,

/s/

Sam Portanova, Acting Section Chief
Air Permits Section

⁶ See July 25, 2001 letter from Rebecca Weber, Associate Director for Air Multimedia Planning and Permits Division, EPA Region 6 to Bliss Higgins, Louisiana Dept. of Environmental Quality, regarding Motiva Enterprises, LLC.

Emissions from All SPMT Projects	CO	CO ₂ e	H ₂ SO ₄	NO ₂	Pb	PM	PM ₁₀	PM _{2.5}	SO ₂	Relevant Authorization(s)
Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) Significance Thresholds (tons/yr)	100	75,000	7	40	0.6	25	15	10	40	
Sources Comprising the Single Aggregated Project										
Piping and Components Fugitive Emissions (LDAR and non-LDAR)	0	5,568	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Plan Approval Nos. 23-0119, 23-0119A, 23-0119B, 23-0119D, 23-0119E, & 23-0119J
West Cold Flare (air-assisted)	31.76	14,286	0	6.97	0	0	0	0	0.0454	Plan Approval Nos. 23-0119 & 23-0119D
West Warm Flare Incremental Flows	18.87	8,506	0	4.14	0	0	0	0	0.0269	Plan Approval Nos. 23-0119, 23-0119A, 23-0119B, 23-0119D, 23-0119E, & 23-0119J; RFDs 5918, 6484; De minimis emissions increase letters dated 12/10/2018 & 3/22/2019
Auxiliary Boilers Incremental Emissions Increases	17.99	137,679	0.0676	45.36	4.09E-05	8.17	8.17	8.17	1.11	Plan Approval Nos. 23-0119A, 23-0119B, 23-0119D, 23-0119E, & 23-0119J; RFD 6484
Natural Gasoline Storage Tank 607 Fugitive Emissions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Plan Approval Nos. 23-0119B & 23-0119F
Natural Gasoline Storage Tank 609 Fugitive Emissions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Plan Approval Nos. 23-0119B & 23-0119F
Natural Gasoline Storage Tank 611 Fugitive Emissions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Plan Approval Nos. 23-0119B & 23-0119F
Natural Gasoline Storage Tanks 610 Incremental Emissions Increase	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Plan Approval No. 23-0119B
Light Naphtha Storage Tanks Incremental Emissions Increase	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Plan Approval No. 23-0119B
Marine Vessel Loading Fugitive Emissions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Plan Approval No. 23-0119B
15-2B Cooling Tower Incremental Emissions Increases	0	0	0	0	0	1.27	1.22	0.347	0	Plan Approval Nos. 23-0119B & 23-0119E
30,000-gpm Cooling Tower	0	0	0	0	0	0.25	0.233	0.011	0	Plan Approval No. 23-0119C
East Cold Flare (air-assisted)	15.66	7,054	0	3.44	0	0	0	0	0.0115	Plan Approval No. 23-0119D
50,000-gpm Cooling Tower	0	0	0	0	0	0.40	0.38	0.058	0	Plan Approval No. 23-0119D
Meter Provers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Plan Approval No. 23-0119E
Project Phoenix Cold Flare (air-assisted)	27.12	11,281	0	5.95	0	0	0	0	0.02	Plan Approval No. 23-0119J
Wet Surface Air Cooler Systems	0	0	0	0	0	0.552	0.429	0.00133	0	Plan Approval No. 23-0119J
New Spheres	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	RFD No. 5236
Propane Railcar Offloading	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	RFD No. 5918
Portable Flare Metering	0	0.484	0	0.0002	0	0	0	0	0	RFD No. 5944
Methanol Recovery Project	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	RFD No. 6484
Portable Flare for Deethanizer	0	0	0	0.142	0	0	0	0	0	RFD No. 7944
Butane Storage Tank 118 (TOOS)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	De minimis emissions increase letter dated 8/24/2018
Emissions Increase from Single Aggregated Project	111.40	184,375	0.0676	65.99	4.09E-05	10.65	10.44	8.59	1.21	
PSD Significance Thresholds (tons/yr)	100	75,000	7	40	0.6	25	15	10	40	
Is Emissions Increase Significant for PSD?	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	
Sources Not Part of Single Aggregated Project										
CO Oxidation Catalyst for Diesel Engine Pumps	-1.27	363.81	0	0.435	0	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.53	Plan Approval No. 23-0001AD
DE Sources Shutdown	-17.52	-20,425	0	-29.29	0	-3.93	-3.93	-3.93	-20.62	ERC Application
Gasoline Storage Tank 23 Fugitive Emissions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Plan Approval No. 23-0119F
Gasoline Storage Tank 242 Fugitive Emissions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Plan Approval No. 23-0119F
Crude Oil Storage Tanks Fugitive Emissions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Plan Approval No. 23-0119G
15-2B Cooling Tower Expansion Incremental Emissions Increase	0	0	0	0	0	0.155	0.149	0.0423	0	RFD No. 5597
15-6 Cooling Tower Shutdown	0	0	0	0	0	-0.19	-0.18	-0.051	0	RFD No. 5597
Diesel Tanks and Pumps	0.324	0.602	0	1.56	0	0.034	0.034	0.034	0	RFD No. 5865
Mobile Thermal Oxidizer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	De minimis emissions increase letter dated 10/3/2016
Crude Pump	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	De minimis emissions increase letter dated 11/4/2016
Net Emissions Increase, Calculated Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 52.21(b)(3)(i)-(ii): 4/1/2011-8/10/2017	92.94	164,314		38.70						
PSD Significance Thresholds (tons/yr)	100	75,000		40						
Is Net Emissions Increase Significant for PSD?	No	No*		No						
Boiler Emission Factors (lbs/lb steam)	1.00E-05	7.68E-02	3.77E-08	2.53E-05	2.28E-11	4.56E-06	4.56E-06	4.56E-06	6.19E-07	
Additional Boiler Steam Demand Per Affected Authorization	lbs steam/hr									
Plan Approval No. 23-0119A (for deethanizer)	62,000									
Plan Approval No. 23-0119B (for fractionation tower 15-2B T-05)	53,000									
Plan Approval No. 23-0119D (for dehydration system)	17,000									
Plan Approval No. 23-0119E (for 3 fractionation towers and NGL feedstocks preheater)	238,700									
RFD No. 6484 (for West Warm Flare incremental flows)	2,292									
Plan Approval No. 23-0119J (for West Warm Flare incremental flows)	36,300									

Commencement of Construction Date (or Approval Date) Commencement of Operation Date Source Type & Other Notes

2/5/2013 and later 12/23/2015 and later New unit: PTE

2/5/2013 and later ~ 12/27/2016 and later New unit: PTE

N/A N/A Existing, unmodified unit: incremental emissions increase

N/A N/A Existing, unmodified unit: incremental emissions increase

N/A N/A Existing, modified unit: BAE – PAE

N/A N/A Existing, modified unit: BAE – PAE

N/A N/A Existing, modified unit: BAE – PAE

N/A N/A Existing, unmodified unit: incremental emissions increase

N/A N/A Existing, unmodified unit: incremental emissions increase

N/A N/A Existing, modified unit: BAE – PAE

N/A N/A Existing, unmodified unit*: incremental emissions increase (*see note by RFD 5597 and discussion in technical review memo)

11/29/2014 1/16/2016 New unit: PTE

2/26/2015 1/31/2018 New unit: PTE

2/26/2015 1/31/2018 New unit: PTE

4/1/2016 8/10/2017 New unit: PTE

— — New unit: PTE

— — New unit: PTE

8/13/2015 after 8/13/2015 New unit: PTE

9/26/2016 after 9/26/2016 New unit: PTE

9/26/2016 after 9/26/2016 De minimis emissions increase

8/17/2017 after 8/17/2017 New unit: PTE

8/21/2019 after 8/21/2019 New unit: PTE

N/A N/A De minimis emissions increase

9/12/2012 after 9/12/2012 Existing, modified unit: PAE – BAE

(11/5/2012) N/A Existing, modified unit: PAE – BAE

(8/15/2016) N/A Existing, modified unit: PAE – BAE

(8/15/2016) N/A Existing, modified unit: PAE – BAE

(3/10/2017) N/A Existing, modified unit: PAE – BAE

4/11/2016 N/A Existing, unmodified unit*: incremental emissions increase (*see discussion in technical review memo)

(4/11/2016) N/A Existing, unmodified unit*: incremental emissions increase (*see discussion in technical review memo)

(8/29/2016) N/A DEP considers the SO₂ emissions to be negligible and have no effect on the PSD analysis. Therefore, DEP did not request that SPMT calculate them.

10/3/2016 after 10/3/2016 De minimis emissions increase

N/A N/A De minimis emissions increase

Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 52.21(b)(3)(i)-(ii), "[t]he increase in emissions from a particular physical change or change in the method of operation" is aggregated with "any other increases and decreases in actual emissions . . . that are contemporaneous with the particular change and are otherwise creditable," where the contemporaneous period "occurs between: (a) The date five years before construction on the particular change commences; and (b) The date that the increase from the particular change occurs." As with the NSR analysis, DEP has chosen to set the date that construction on the particular change (i.e., the single aggregated project) commenced as the date that construction commenced under the original issuance of Plan Approval No. 23-0119E. Similarly, DEP has chosen to set the date that the increase from the particular change occurs as the date that operation of sources/ equipment installed under the original issuance of Plan Approval No. 23-0119E commenced (i.e., 8/10/2017). Therefore, the contemporaneous period used for the PSD analysis is April 1, 2011–August 10, 2017.

*CO₂e is not subject to regulation because the net emissions increases of CO and NO₂ are not significant.

The emission factor for CO is from minor modification to TVOP No. 23-00119. All other emission factors are from the original application for Plan Approval No. 23-0119E.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Applicability Determination Index

Control Number: 0100044

Category: NSPS
EPA Office: Region 5
Date: 05/30/2000
Title: Modification Issues for Dense Pack Turbine Project
Recipient: Henry Nickel
Author: Francis Lyons

Subparts: Part 60, A, General Provisions

References: 60.14

Abstract:

Q: Does the installation of Dense Pack turbine blades constitute a modification?

A: Probably not. Although such a project would constitute a nonroutine physical change under PSD, it would not be a modification under PSD (as well as NSPS) if there were not an associated emissions increase as defined under the respective PSD and NSPS rules.

Letter:

Henry Nickel
Counsel for the Detroit Edison Company
Hunton & Williams
1900 K Street, N.W.
Washington D.C. 20006-1109

Dear Mr. Nickel:

I am responding to your request on behalf of the Detroit Edison Company for an applicability determination regarding the proposed replacement and reconfiguration of the high pressure section of two steam turbines at the company's Monroe Power Plant, referred to as the Dense Pack project. Specifically, you requested that the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) determine whether the Dense Pack project at the Monroe Power Plant would be considered a major modification that would subject the project to pollution control requirements under the Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) program.

We have reviewed your original request, dated June 8, 1999, and the supplemental information you submitted on December 10, 1999, and March 16, 2000. We provisionally conclude that the Dense Pack

project would not be a major modification. Thus, Detroit Edison may proceed with the project without first obtaining a PSD permit. Although the Dense Pack project would constitute a nonroutine physical change to the facility that might well result in a significant increase in air pollution, Detroit Edison asserts that emissions will not in fact increase due to the construction activity, and EPA has no information to dispute that assertion.

As you know, nonroutine changes of any type, purpose, or magnitude at an electric utility steam generating unit -- ranging from projects to increase production efficiency to even the complete replacement of entire major components -- are excluded from PSD coverage as long as they do not significantly increase emissions from the source. Thus, Detroit Edison has been free to proceed at any time with the Dense Pack project without first obtaining a PSD permit as long as it adheres to its stated intention to not increase emissions as a result of the project. Indeed, EPA encourages the company to proceed with the project on this basis, since it appears to both reduce emissions per unit of output and not increase actual air pollution.

As you are also aware, under the applicable new source review regulations, in determining if a physical change will result in a significant emissions increase at an electric utility plant, companies may use an "actual" to "representative actual annual emissions" test for emissions from the electric utility steam generating unit, under which a calculation of baseline emissions and a projection of future emissions after the change is needed. Our determination of nonapplicability is provisional because Detroit Edison has not, to our knowledge, provided a calculation of baseline emissions or projected future emissions to the permitting agency, and this should be done prior to the start of construction. The basis for this determination is summarized below and is set forth in full in the enclosed detailed analysis.

In determining whether an activity triggers PSD, the Clean Air Act and EPA's regulations specify a two-step test. The first step is to determine if such activity is a physical or operational change, and if it is, the second step is to determine whether emissions will increase because of the change. The statute admits of no exception from its sweeping scope, but EPA's regulations contain some narrow exceptions to the definition of physical or operational change. In particular, Detroit Edison claims that the Dense Pack project is eligible for the exclusion for routine maintenance, repair, and replacement. The determination of whether a proposed physical change is "routine" is a case-specific determination which takes into consideration the nature, extent, purpose, frequency, and cost of the work, as well as other relevant factors. After carefully reviewing all the information you submitted in light of the relevant factors, EPA has determined that the proposed project is not "routine."

The purpose of the Dense Pack project, to significantly enhance the present efficiency of the high pressure section of the steam turbine, signifies that the project is not routine. An upgrade of this nature is markedly different from the frequent, inexpensive, necessary, and incremental maintenance and replacement of deteriorated blades that is commonly practiced in the utility industry. For instance, past blade maintenance and replacement of only the deteriorated blades at Detroit Edison has never increased efficiency over the original design. Accordingly, because increasing turbine efficiency by a total redesign of a major component is a defining feature of the proposed Dense Pack project, it clearly goes significantly beyond both historic turbine work at Detroit Edison, and what would otherwise be considered a regular, customary, or standard undertaking for the purpose of maintaining the existing steam turbine units. The project also goes well beyond routine turbine maintenance, repair, and replacement activities for the utility industry in general.

The nature and extent of the work in question -- replacement of the entire high pressure sections of the steam turbines for Units 1 and 4 at Monroe -- suggests that the Dense Pack project is not routine. It would result in greater efficiency above the level that can be reached by simply replacing deteriorated blades with ones of the same design and, in addition, will substantially increase efficiency over the original design. Specifically, the Dense Pack upgrade would not only restore the 7 percent of the efficiency rating lost over the years at each unit but would improve the unit's efficiency by an additional 5 percent over its original design capacity. Accordingly, the proposed project represents a significant and major redesign and replacement of the entire high pressure sections of the steam turbines at Units

1 and 4 at the Monroe facility.

The frequency with which utilities have undertaken turbine upgrades like the Dense Pack project also indicates the nonroutine nature of the changes. The information provided by Detroit Edison, regarding past history at the Monroe facility, describes what is characterized as necessary maintenance, repair, and replacement of deteriorated turbine blades approximately every 4 years. During these overhaul periods, it is not uncommon for the company to replace up to several turbine blades at one time. It is common among other utilities to also perform similar turbine maintenance. However, Detroit Edison has not provided any information to suggest that a complete replacement and redesign of the high pressure section of a steam turbine is conducted frequently at Monroe or at any other individual utility. Instead, Detroit Edison relies on its claim that projects "similar" to the Dense Pack project have been performed at a number of utilities. This information does not indicate that the replacement of the high pressure section of the steam turbine is frequent at the typical utility source; to the contrary, the only available information reflects that projects like the Dense Pack project have been performed only one time, if ever, at individual sources.

The cost of the Dense Pack project is significant and tends to indicate that this project is nonroutine. Detroit Edison expects the Dense Pack replacement to cost approximately \$6 million for each turbine unit, for a total of \$12 million. The EPA has rejected claims of routineness in past cases where the cost was substantially less than this figure. Moreover, Detroit Edison intends to capitalize the entire cost of this project, and EPA believes that a \$12 million project that is 100 percent capital improvement indicates that it is a major undertaking.

Beyond the clearly significant absolute cost of this project, available information suggests that this expenditure far exceeds the cost typically associated with turbine blade maintenance activity. Detroit Edison provided only a summary of the total project costs for past maintenance and inspections at the facility, the total costs of which ranged from less than \$1 million to a little more than \$6 million. Although Detroit Edison did not provide any detail regarding what specific activities comprise these aggregated amounts, it acknowledges that it spent only \$18,700, \$33,100, and \$7,900 to replace high-pressure rotors in three turbine projects in 1981 and 1982. Further, the project is significantly more costly than simply replacing deteriorated blades today; Detroit Edison acknowledges that the Dense Pack upgrade would cost three times more than its alternative blade repair and replacement project. Accordingly, it appears that the costs associated with the Dense Pack project greatly exceed the amounts spent previously by Detroit Edison or that it would spend presently for the replacement of deteriorated turbine blades or rotors.

For the reasons delineated above, we conclude that the changes proposed by Detroit Edison are not routine. Detroit Edison's submissions do not demonstrate that projects such as the Dense Pack project are frequent, inexpensive, or done for the purpose of maintaining the facility in its present condition. Instead, the source relies on two principal arguments: (1) it claims that this project is less significant in scope than was the activity in question in the 1988 applicability determination for the Wisconsin Electric Power Company (WEPCO); and (2) it alleges that EPA has interpreted the exclusion for routine activity expansively to exempt all projects that do not increase a unit's emission rate. EPA rejects both of these arguments, the former because both EPA and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit viewed WEPCO's activity as "far from" routine and thus this attempted comparison to WEPCO is unsuitable, and the latter because it is demonstrably incorrect. The attached analysis addresses these points in significant detail.

When nonroutine physical or operational changes significantly increase emissions to the atmosphere, they are properly characterized as major modifications and are subject to the PSD program. In general, a physical change in the nature of the Dense Pack project, which provides for the more economical production of electricity, would be expected to result in the increased utilization of the affected units, and thus, increased emissions. Notwithstanding the fact the Monroe units may be high on the dispatch order, the Dense Pack project would allow Detroit Edison to produce electricity more cheaply per unit of

output, thereby creating an incentive to run Units 1 and 4 above current levels. Even a small increase over current normal levels in the utilization of the affected units would result in a significant increase in actual emissions of criteria pollutants. For example, in 1997, at the Monroe facility Unit 1 emitted approximately 14,000 tons of nitrogen oxides (NOx) and 41,000 tons of sulfur dioxide (SO2), and Unit 2 emitted 12,000 tons of NOx and 35,000 tons of SO2. Based on this information, if a one to five percent increase in operation were to result from the Dense Pack project, increases on the order of 160-800 tons of NOx and 400-2000 tons of SO2 would occur.

Detroit Edison, however, maintains that emissions will not increase as a result of the Dense Pack project. Specifically, the company contends that representative actual annual emissions following the change will not be greater than its pre-change actual emissions, because the Dense Pack upgrade will not result in increased utilization of the units. As you are aware, the PSD regulations (under the provisions commonly known as the "WEPCO rule") allow a source undertaking a nonroutine change that could affect emissions at an electric utility steam generating unit to lawfully avoid the major source permitting process by using the unit's representative actual annual emissions to calculate emissions following the change if the source submits information for 5 years following the change to confirm its pre-change projection. In projecting post-change emissions, Detroit Edison does not have to include that portion of the unit's emissions which could have been accommodated before the change and is unrelated to the change, such as demand growth.

Under the WEPCO rule, Detroit Edison must compute baseline actual emissions and must project the future actual emissions from the modified unit for the 2-year period after the physical change (or another 2-year period that is more representative of normal operation in the unit's modified state). As noted above, Detroit Edison has not provided these figures to verify its projection of no increase in actual emissions, and should submit them to the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality prior to beginning construction. In addition, Detroit Edison must maintain and submit to the permitting agency on an annual basis for a period of at least 5 years (or a longer period not to exceed 10 years, if such a period is more representative of the modified unit's normal post-change operations) from the date the units at the Monroe Plant resume regular operation, information demonstrating that the renovation did not result in a significant emissions increase. If Detroit Edison fails to comply with the reporting requirements of the WEPCO rule or if the submitted information indicates that emissions have increased as a consequence of the change, it will be required to obtain a PSD permit for the Dense Pack project.

Finally, regardless of whether PSD review is triggered due to the Dense Pack project, Detroit Edison must meet all other applicable federal, state, and local air pollution requirements.

This determination will be final in 30 days unless, during that time, Detroit Edison seeks to confer with or appeal to the Administrator or her designee regarding it. If you have any questions regarding this determination, please contact Laura Hartman, Environmental Engineer, at (312) 353-5703, or Jane Woolums, Associate Regional Counsel, at (312) 886-6720.

Sincerely,

/s/

Francis X. Lyons
Regional Administrator

Enclosure

cc: Peter Marquardt, Esq., Special Counsel
Detroit Edison Company
2000 Second Avenue - 688 WCB
Detroit, Michigan 48336

Russell Harding, Director
Michigan Department of Environmental Quality

MHIC Natural Gas Composition - 2019 Daily Avgs

Data courtesy of Williams Pipeline Co.

Meter ID	Chrmtg	Beg Date Time	G A Eff Date	Spec Grav	Heat Fctr	Nitrogen	Carbon Dic	Methane	Ethane	Propane	I-Butane	N-Butane	I-Pentane	N-Pentane	Hexane	Hydrogen	Smpl Dev	Smpl Type
6133	GQC06041	1/1/2019 9:00	1/1/2019	0.567	1.0288	0.244	0.04	97.535	2.112	0.065	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6133	GQC06041	1/2/2019 9:00	1/2/2019	0.568	1.0304	0.245	0.051	97.311	2.308	0.078	0.002	0.005	0.001	0	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6133	GQC06041	1/3/2019 9:00	1/3/2019	0.569	1.0309	0.246	0.056	97.237	2.370	0.083	0.002	0.005	0.001	0	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6133	GQC06041	1/4/2019 9:00	1/4/2019	0.569	1.0315	0.248	0.064	97.149	2.444	0.087	0.003	0.006	0.001	0	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6133	GQC06041	1/5/2019 9:00	1/5/2019	0.570	1.0328	0.251	0.074	96.969	2.593	0.1	0.004	0.008	0.001	0.001	0.001	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6133	GQC06041	1/6/2019 9:00	1/6/2019	0.568	1.0292	0.251	0.045	97.459	2.171	0.069	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6133	GQC06041	1/7/2019 9:00	1/7/2019	0.567	1.029	0.246	0.043	97.496	2.141	0.068	0.001	0.004	0	0	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6133	GQC06041	1/8/2019 9:00	1/8/2019	0.568	1.0304	0.246	0.057	97.299	2.309	0.08	0.002	0.005	0	0	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6133	GQC06041	1/9/2019 9:00	1/9/2019	0.568	1.0297	0.248	0.051	97.393	2.226	0.075	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6133	GQC06041	1/10/2019 9:00	1/10/2019	0.567	1.0283	0.252	0.038	97.582	2.062	0.062	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6133	GQC06041	1/11/2019 9:00	1/11/2019	0.567	1.0284	0.253	0.039	97.558	2.082	0.063	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6133	GQC06041	1/12/2019 9:00	1/12/2019	0.567	1.0286	0.253	0.039	97.532	2.106	0.064	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6133	GQC06041	1/13/2019 9:00	1/13/2019	0.567	1.0289	0.253	0.041	97.502	2.132	0.067	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6133	GQC06041	1/14/2019 9:00	1/14/2019	0.568	1.0292	0.249	0.045	97.468	2.162	0.07	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6133	GQC06041	1/15/2019 9:00	1/15/2019	0.567	1.0291	0.248	0.045	97.477	2.155	0.07	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6133	GQC06041	1/16/2019 9:00	1/16/2019	0.568	1.0297	0.248	0.048	97.401	2.219	0.076	0.002	0.005	0.001	0	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6133	GQC06041	1/17/2019 9:00	1/17/2019	0.568	1.0297	0.249	0.047	97.405	2.216	0.075	0.002	0.005	0.001	0	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6133	GQC06041	1/18/2019 9:00	1/18/2019	0.568	1.0293	0.251	0.045	97.446	2.179	0.072	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6133	GQC06041	1/19/2019 9:00	1/19/2019	0.568	1.0293	0.25	0.045	97.446	2.178	0.072	0.002	0.004	0.001	0	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6133	GQC06041	1/20/2019 9:00	1/20/2019	0.567	1.0276	0.252	0.033	97.667	1.989	0.056	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6133	GQC06041	1/21/2019 9:00	1/21/2019	0.568	1.0293	0.251	0.048	97.444	2.177	0.072	0.002	0.004	0.001	0	0.001	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6133	GQC06041	1/22/2019 9:00	1/22/2019	0.568	1.029	0.249	0.046	97.49	2.140	0.069	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6133	GQC06041	1/23/2019 9:00	1/23/2019	0.567	1.028	0.25	0.036	97.618	2.033	0.059	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6133	GQC06041	1/24/2019 9:00	1/24/2019	0.567	1.0289	0.248	0.043	97.502	2.133	0.068	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6133	GQC06041	1/25/2019 9:00	1/25/2019	0.568	1.0299	0.247	0.045	97.386	2.235	0.079	0.003	0.005	0.001	0	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6133	GQC06041	1/26/2019 9:00	1/26/2019	0.568	1.0293	0.248	0.043	97.457	2.174	0.071	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6133	GQC06041	1/27/2019 9:00	1/27/2019	0.568	1.0299	0.25	0.049	97.368	2.250	0.075	0.002	0.005	0.001	0	0.001	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6133	GQC06041	1/28/2019 9:00	1/28/2019	0.567	1.0287	0.253	0.042	97.513	2.121	0.066	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6133	GQC06041	1/29/2019 9:00	1/29/2019	0.567	1.0291	0.255	0.043	97.465	2.163	0.068	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6133	GQC06041	1/30/2019 9:00	1/30/2019	0.568	1.0296	0.255	0.048	97.407	2.205	0.076	0.003	0.005	0.001	0	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6133	GQC06041	1/31/2019 9:00	1/31/2019	0.571	1.0338	0.255	0.085	96.868	2.633	0.131	0.008	0.013	0.002	0.002	0.002	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	2/1/2019 9:00	2/1/2019	0.571	1.0334	0.255	0.079	96.917	2.602	0.123	0.007	0.011	0.002	0.001	0.002	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	2/2/2019 9:00	2/2/2019	0.568	1.0302	0.253	0.051	97.325	2.283	0.078	0.003	0.005	0.001	0	0.001	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	2/3/2019 9:00	2/3/2019	0.569	1.0308	0.252	0.053	97.252	2.349	0.083	0.003	0.006	0.001	0	0.001	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	2/4/2019 9:00	2/4/2019	0.57	1.0335	0.246	0.065	96.925	2.638	0.108	0.005	0.009	0.002	0.001	0.001	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	2/5/2019 9:00	2/5/2019	0.569	1.032	0.242	0.057	97.131	2.462	0.095	0.004	0.007	0.001	0.001	0.001	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	2/6/2019 9:00	2/6/2019	0.569	1.0313	0.241	0.052	97.227	2.374	0.093	0.004	0.007	0.001	0.001	0.001	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	2/7/2019 9:00	2/7/2019	0.569	1.0309	0.239	0.052	97.274	2.336	0.088	0.003	0.006	0.001	0	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	2/8/2019 9:00	2/8/2019	0.568	1.0302	0.248	0.052	97.335	2.277	0.079	0.003	0.005	0.001	0	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	2/9/2019 9:00	2/9/2019	0.568	1.0295	0.25	0.049	97.414	2.212	0.069	0.002	0.004	0.001	0	0.001	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	2/10/2019 9:00	2/10/2019	0.569	1.0311	0.25	0.061	97.202	2.39	0.084	0.003	0.006	0.001	0	0.001	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	2/11/2019 9:00	2/11/2019	0.568	1.0296	0.25	0.049	97.411	2.21	0.073	0.002	0.004	0.001	0	0.001	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	2/12/2019 9:00	2/12/2019	0.568	1.0296	0.249	0.048	97.407	2.211	0.075	0.003	0.005	0.001	0	0.001	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	2/13/2019 9:00	2/13/2019	0.568	1.0295	0.248	0.05	97.421	2.197	0.075	0.003	0.005	0.001	0	0.001	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	2/14/2019 9:00	2/14/2019	0.568	1.0294	0.249	0.047	97.433	2.19	0.073	0.002	0.005	0	0	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	2/15/2019 9:00	2/15/2019	0.569	1.0315	0.249	0.067	97.156	2.418	0.095	0.005	0.008	0.001	0.001	0.002	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	2/16/2019 9:00	2/16/2019	0.568	1.0297	0.247	0.05	97.396	2.222	0.076	0.003	0.005	0.001	0	0.001	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	2/17/2019 9:00	2/17/2019	0.568	1.0295	0.248	0.045	97.433	2.192	0.075	0.003	0.005	0.001	0	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	2/18/2019 9:00	2/18/2019	0.568	1.0299	0.245	0.051	97.378	2.239	0.078	0.003	0.005	0.001	0	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	2/19/2019 9:00	2/19/2019	0.569	1.0303	0.248	0.057	97.319	2.282	0.082	0.003	0.006	0.001	0.001	0.001	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	2/20/2019 9:00	2/20/2019	0.569	1.0303	0.247	0.063	97.306	2.288	0.083	0.004	0.006	0.001	0.001	0.001	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	2/21/2019 9:00	2/21/2019	0.569	1.0308	0.247	0.066	97.247	2.336	0.089	0.004	0.007	0.001	0.001	0.001	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	2/22/2019 9:00	2/22/2019	0.569	1.0305	0.248	0.062	97.291	2.296	0.089	0.004	0.007	0.001	0.001	0.001	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	2/23/2019 9:00	2/23/2019	0.568	1.03	0.242	0.051	97.382	2.235	0.08	0.003	0.005	0.001	0	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	2/24/2019 9:00	2/24/2019	0.569	1.0314	0.246	0.067	97.187	2.384	0.098	0.005	0.008	0.002	0.001	0.001	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	2/25/2019 9:00	2/25/2019	0.569	1.0305	0.249	0.054	97.296	2.305	0.084	0.004	0.006	0.001	0.001	0.001	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	2/26/2019 9:00	2/26/2019	0.568	1.0294	0.251	0.055	97.425	2.187	0.073	0.003	0.005	0.001	0	0.001	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	2/27/2019 9:00	2/27/2019	0.568	1.0288	0.252	0.055	97.487	2.126	0.071	0.003	0.005	0.001	0	0.001	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	2/28/2019 9:00	2/28/2019	0.567	1.0279	0.248	0.041	97.625	2.02	0.061	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated

Attachment #4

6075	GQC06041	3/1/2019 9:00	3/1/2019	0.567	1.0283	0.248	0.045	97.577	2.06	0.064	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	3/2/2019 9:00	3/2/2019	0.568	1.0291	0.249	0.052	97.472	2.145	0.073	0.003	0.005	0.001	0	0.001	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	3/3/2019 9:00	3/3/2019	0.567	1.0284	0.247	0.043	97.564	2.075	0.065	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	3/4/2019 9:00	3/4/2019	0.567	1.0287	0.245	0.046	97.533	2.104	0.067	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	3/5/2019 9:00	3/5/2019	0.567	1.0286	0.252	0.051	97.522	2.099	0.068	0.002	0.004	0.001	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	3/6/2019 9:00	3/6/2019	0.567	1.0285	0.249	0.053	97.535	2.085	0.069	0.002	0.005	0.001	0	0.001	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	3/7/2019 9:00	3/7/2019	0.567	1.0285	0.25	0.056	97.528	2.089	0.069	0.002	0.004	0.001	0	0.001	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	3/8/2019 9:00	3/8/2019	0.567	1.0284	0.249	0.054	97.548	2.073	0.068	0.002	0.004	0.001	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	3/9/2019 9:00	3/9/2019	0.567	1.0284	0.249	0.052	97.555	2.069	0.067	0.002	0.004	0.001	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	3/10/2019 9:00	3/10/2019	0.568	1.0293	0.245	0.055	97.442	2.173	0.075	0.003	0.005	0.001	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	3/11/2019 9:00	3/11/2019	0.567	1.0287	0.248	0.045	97.53	2.105	0.067	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	3/12/2019 9:00	3/12/2019	0.568	1.0294	0.248	0.049	97.441	2.181	0.074	0.002	0.005	0	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	3/13/2019 9:00	3/13/2019	0.567	1.0289	0.245	0.045	97.513	2.123	0.069	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	3/14/2019 9:00	3/14/2019	0.568	1.0305	0.241	0.057	97.311	2.295	0.085	0.003	0.006	0.001	0	0.001	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	3/15/2019 9:00	3/15/2019	0.567	1.0289	0.248	0.045	97.502	2.127	0.07	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	3/16/2019 9:00	3/16/2019	0.568	1.0302	0.246	0.055	97.339	2.266	0.083	0.003	0.006	0.001	0	0.001	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	3/17/2019 9:00	3/17/2019	0.567	1.0283	0.246	0.037	97.596	2.054	0.063	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	3/18/2019 9:00	3/18/2019	0.567	1.0293	0.245	0.042	97.468	2.168	0.071	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	3/19/2019 9:00	3/19/2019	0.569	1.0312	0.243	0.059	97.208	2.393	0.086	0.003	0.006	0.001	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	3/20/2019 9:00	3/20/2019	0.569	1.031	0.242	0.062	97.23	2.369	0.086	0.003	0.006	0.001	0	0.001	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	3/21/2019 9:00	3/21/2019	0.569	1.0306	0.241	0.066	97.267	2.332	0.084	0.003	0.006	0.001	0	0.001	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	3/22/2019 9:00	3/22/2019	0.568	1.0296	0.246	0.052	97.404	2.214	0.075	0.002	0.005	0.001	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	3/23/2019 9:00	3/23/2019	0.568	1.0296	0.245	0.05	97.419	2.205	0.073	0.002	0.005	0	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	3/24/2019 9:00	3/24/2019	0.569	1.0312	0.241	0.066	97.202	2.389	0.09	0.003	0.007	0.001	0.001	0.001	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	3/25/2019 9:00	3/25/2019	0.569	1.0312	0.245	0.065	97.201	2.39	0.087	0.003	0.006	0.001	0	0.001	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	3/26/2019 9:00	3/26/2019	0.568	1.0298	0.241	0.049	97.402	2.226	0.075	0.002	0.005	0	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	3/27/2019 9:00	3/27/2019	0.569	1.0306	0.245	0.056	97.282	2.323	0.084	0.003	0.006	0.001	0	0.001	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	3/28/2019 9:00	3/28/2019	0.569	1.0306	0.246	0.059	97.274	2.326	0.085	0.003	0.006	0.001	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	3/29/2019 9:00	3/29/2019	0.569	1.0315	0.245	0.065	97.164	2.423	0.091	0.003	0.007	0.001	0.001	0.001	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	3/30/2019 9:00	3/30/2019	0.569	1.0309	0.24	0.059	97.246	2.361	0.086	0.003	0.006	0.001	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	3/31/2019 9:00	3/31/2019	0.567	1.0285	0.251	0.041	97.544	2.094	0.065	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatog Accumulated
6075	GQC06041	4/1/2019 9:00	4/1/2019	0.567	1.0288	0.245	0.042	97.523	2.117	0.067	0.001	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	4/2/2019 9:00	4/2/2019	0.568	1.0297	0.242	0.049	97.41	2.217	0.076	0.002	0.005	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	4/3/2019 9:00	4/3/2019	0.569	1.0309	0.246	0.06	97.241	2.350	0.09	0.003	0.007	0.001	0.001	0.001	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	4/4/2019 9:00	4/4/2019	0.568	1.03	0.248	0.056	97.348	2.258	0.081	0.003	0.006	0.001	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	4/5/2019 9:00	4/5/2019	0.567	1.0286	0.25	0.04	97.546	2.094	0.066	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	4/6/2019 9:00	4/6/2019	0.568	1.0297	0.245	0.045	97.407	2.223	0.074	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	4/7/2019 9:00	4/7/2019	0.569	1.0308	0.24	0.053	97.269	2.348	0.083	0.002	0.005	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	4/8/2019 9:00	4/8/2019	0.568	1.0296	0.244	0.048	97.414	2.214	0.075	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	4/9/2019 9:00	4/9/2019	0.568	1.0296	0.243	0.047	97.418	2.212	0.074	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	4/10/2019 9:00	4/10/2019	0.568	1.0291	0.246	0.042	97.485	2.152	0.07	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	4/11/2019 9:00	4/11/2019	0.568	1.0293	0.247	0.044	97.453	2.178	0.072	0.001	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	4/12/2019 9:00	4/12/2019	0.568	1.0293	0.246	0.045	97.449	2.182	0.073	0.001	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	4/13/2019 9:00	4/13/2019	0.567	1.029	0.244	0.042	97.504	2.135	0.069	0.001	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	4/14/2019 9:00	4/14/2019	0.567	1.0283	0.249	0.038	97.581	2.064	0.063	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	4/15/2019 9:00	4/15/2019	0.567	1.0284	0.255	0.039	97.558	2.08	0.065	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	4/16/2019 9:00	4/16/2019	0.567	1.0279	0.251	0.036	97.636	2.016	0.059	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	4/17/2019 9:00	4/17/2019	0.566	1.0276	0.251	0.032	97.671	1.987	0.056	0	0.002	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	4/18/2019 9:00	4/18/2019	0.566	1.0275	0.252	0.032	97.685	1.973	0.055	0	0.002	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	4/19/2019 9:00	4/19/2019	0.566	1.0279	0.251	0.034	97.631	2.022	0.058	0	0.002	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	4/20/2019 9:00	4/20/2019	0.568	1.0297	0.249	0.047	97.398	2.228	0.073	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	4/21/2019 9:00	4/21/2019	0.568	1.0293	0.252	0.046	97.433	2.193	0.071	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	4/22/2019 9:00	4/22/2019	0.568	1.0292	0.254	0.044	97.453	2.174	0.07	0.001	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	4/23/2019 9:00	4/23/2019	0.568	1.0298	0.253	0.042	97.381	2.247	0.072	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	4/24/2019 9:00	4/24/2019	0.568	1.0295	0.246	0.043	97.435	2.2	0.07	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	4/25/2019 9:00	4/25/2019	0.568	1.0296	0.251	0.05	97.391	2.229	0.074	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	4/26/2019 9:00	4/26/2019	0.568	1.0295	0.25	0.047	97.415	2.208	0.074	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	4/27/2019 9:00	4/27/2019	0.568	1.0296	0.25	0.047	97.409	2.214	0.074	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	4/28/2019 9:00	4/28/2019	0.568	1.0292	0.252	0.043	97.462	2.167	0.07	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	4/29/2019 9:00	4/29/2019	0.567	1.0287	0.252	0.04	97.523	2.115	0.066	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	4/30/2019 9:00	4/30/2019	0.567	1.0285	0.247	0.038	97.563	2.085	0.064	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	5/1/2019 9:00	5/1/2019	0.566	1.0276	0.249	0.033	97.678	1.982	0.056	0	0.002	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	5/2/2019 9:00	5/2/2019	0.567	1.0282	0.253	0.038	97.583	2.06	0.062	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	5/3/2019 9:00	5/3/2019	0.567	1.0281	0.252	0.038	97.604	2.042	0.061	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph

6075	GQC06041	5/4/2019 9:00	5/4/2019	0.568	1.0294	0.248	0.046	97.436	2.192	0.073	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	5/5/2019 9:00	5/5/2019	0.568	1.0294	0.254	0.045	97.426	2.196	0.073	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	5/6/2019 9:00	5/6/2019	0.568	1.0295	0.257	0.045	97.412	2.208	0.074	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	5/7/2019 9:00	5/7/2019	0.568	1.0298	0.25	0.048	97.377	2.243	0.076	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	5/8/2019 9:00	5/8/2019	0.568	1.0291	0.257	0.044	97.454	2.167	0.072	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	5/9/2019 9:00	5/9/2019	0.568	1.0292	0.254	0.044	97.455	2.169	0.071	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	5/10/2019 9:00	5/10/2019	0.567	1.0289	0.253	0.04	97.494	2.14	0.068	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	5/11/2019 9:00	5/11/2019	0.567	1.0287	0.253	0.041	97.52	2.115	0.066	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	5/12/2019 9:00	5/12/2019	0.567	1.0289	0.253	0.041	97.493	2.141	0.067	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	5/13/2019 9:00	5/13/2019	0.567	1.0291	0.253	0.041	97.47	2.162	0.068	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	5/14/2019 9:00	5/14/2019	0.568	1.0298	0.242	0.047	97.406	2.224	0.076	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	5/15/2019 9:00	5/15/2019	0.568	1.0298	0.244	0.046	97.392	2.236	0.076	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	5/16/2019 9:00	5/16/2019	0.568	1.0294	0.246	0.043	97.449	2.186	0.072	0.001	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	5/17/2019 9:00	5/17/2019	0.568	1.0292	0.249	0.041	97.467	2.166	0.071	0.001	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	5/18/2019 9:00	5/18/2019	0.567	1.029	0.251	0.041	97.488	2.146	0.069	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	5/19/2019 9:00	5/19/2019	0.567	1.0286	0.257	0.039	97.529	2.105	0.066	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	5/20/2019 9:00	5/20/2019	0.567	1.0287	0.26	0.038	97.515	2.117	0.066	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	5/21/2019 9:00	5/21/2019	0.567	1.0291	0.258	0.039	97.467	2.164	0.068	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	5/22/2019 9:00	5/22/2019	0.568	1.0294	0.256	0.04	97.426	2.202	0.071	0.001	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	5/23/2019 9:00	5/23/2019	0.567	1.0284	0.256	0.037	97.556	2.083	0.064	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	5/24/2019 9:00	5/24/2019	0.567	1.0285	0.257	0.038	97.54	2.098	0.064	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	5/25/2019 9:00	5/25/2019	0.567	1.0283	0.257	0.038	97.558	2.08	0.063	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	5/26/2019 9:00	5/26/2019	0.567	1.0284	0.255	0.038	97.554	2.086	0.064	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	5/27/2019 9:00	5/27/2019	0.567	1.0281	0.253	0.036	97.593	2.052	0.062	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	5/28/2019 9:00	5/28/2019	0.567	1.0287	0.255	0.038	97.52	2.119	0.065	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	5/29/2019 9:00	5/29/2019	0.567	1.0287	0.255	0.037	97.525	2.115	0.065	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	5/30/2019 9:00	5/30/2019	0.567	1.0288	0.253	0.04	97.511	2.126	0.066	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	5/31/2019 9:00	5/31/2019	0.567	1.0287	0.248	0.04	97.53	2.113	0.066	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	6/1/2019 9:00	6/1/2019	0.567	1.0288	0.248	0.04	97.525	2.117	0.066	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	6/2/2019 9:00	6/2/2019	0.567	1.0288	0.249	0.04	97.518	2.122	0.066	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	6/3/2019 9:00	6/3/2019	0.567	1.0285	0.251	0.039	97.548	2.094	0.064	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	6/4/2019 9:00	6/4/2019	0.567	1.0286	0.25	0.039	97.535	2.106	0.065	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	6/5/2019 9:00	6/5/2019	0.568	1.0294	0.244	0.041	97.45	2.189	0.071	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	6/6/2019 9:00	6/6/2019	0.568	1.0303	0.24	0.045	97.343	2.285	0.079	0.002	0.005	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	6/7/2019 9:00	6/7/2019	0.568	1.0298	0.244	0.046	97.394	2.234	0.076	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	6/8/2019 9:00	6/8/2019	0.568	1.0302	0.241	0.048	97.352	2.276	0.077	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	6/9/2019 9:00	6/9/2019	0.568	1.0293	0.251	0.045	97.442	2.188	0.069	0.001	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	6/10/2019 9:00	6/10/2019	0.567	1.029	0.254	0.042	97.472	2.159	0.068	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	6/11/2019 9:00	6/11/2019	0.568	1.0294	0.254	0.043	97.423	2.204	0.07	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	6/12/2019 9:00	6/12/2019	0.568	1.0299	0.254	0.045	97.364	2.259	0.073	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	6/13/2019 9:00	6/13/2019	0.568	1.0297	0.252	0.043	97.394	2.234	0.072	0.001	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	6/14/2019 9:00	6/14/2019	0.568	1.0295	0.253	0.042	97.42	2.209	0.07	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	6/15/2019 9:00	6/15/2019	0.567	1.028	0.256	0.036	97.607	2.037	0.06	0.001	0.002	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	6/16/2019 9:00	6/16/2019	0.567	1.0289	0.26	0.04	97.474	2.153	0.068	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	6/17/2019 9:00	6/17/2019	0.568	1.0293	0.257	0.042	97.429	2.198	0.07	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	6/18/2019 9:00	6/18/2019	0.569	1.0314	0.246	0.048	97.181	2.437	0.082	0.002	0.005	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	6/19/2019 9:00	6/19/2019	0.568	1.0304	0.249	0.049	97.304	2.314	0.078	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	6/20/2019 9:00	6/20/2019	0.568	1.0303	0.249	0.047	97.321	2.299	0.077	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	6/21/2019 9:00	6/21/2019	0.568	1.0304	0.249	0.046	97.305	2.317	0.077	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	6/22/2019 9:00	6/22/2019	0.568	1.0296	0.253	0.046	97.394	2.229	0.072	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	6/23/2019 9:00	6/23/2019	0.568	1.0293	0.253	0.044	97.441	2.188	0.07	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	6/24/2019 9:00	6/24/2019	0.568	1.0293	0.25	0.045	97.447	2.181	0.071	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	6/25/2019 9:00	6/25/2019	0.569	1.0312	0.248	0.045	97.209	2.411	0.08	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	6/26/2019 9:00	6/26/2019	0.569	1.0307	0.246	0.049	97.267	2.352	0.079	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	6/27/2019 9:00	6/27/2019	0.568	1.0304	0.244	0.052	97.314	2.306	0.078	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	6/28/2019 9:00	6/28/2019	0.568	1.0303	0.246	0.051	97.314	2.303	0.079	0.002	0.005	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	6/29/2019 9:00	6/29/2019	0.569	1.0313	0.237	0.052	97.223	2.394	0.086	0.003	0.005	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	6/30/2019 9:00	6/30/2019	0.569	1.0304	0.251	0.049	97.303	2.311	0.079	0.002	0.005	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	7/1/2019 9:00	7/1/2019	0.568	1.0302	0.249	0.046	97.339	2.283	0.077	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	7/2/2019 9:00	7/2/2019	0.568	1.0301	0.243	0.047	97.363	2.265	0.077	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	7/3/2019 9:00	7/3/2019	0.568	1.0304	0.239	0.049	97.326	2.299	0.08	0.002	0.005	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	7/4/2019 9:00	7/4/2019	0.568	1.0301	0.241	0.047	97.369	2.259	0.078	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	7/5/2019 9:00	7/5/2019	0.567	1.0288	0.251	0.04	97.509	2.128	0.068	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	7/6/2019 9:00	7/6/2019	0.567	1.0291	0.246	0.041	97.484	2.154	0.069	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph

6075	GQC06041	7/7/2019 9:00	7/7/2019	0.568	1.0294	0.242	0.044	97.455	2.182	0.071	0.001	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	7/8/2019 9:00	7/8/2019	0.567	1.0287	0.25	0.041	97.522	2.116	0.066	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	7/9/2019 9:00	7/9/2019	0.568	1.0297	0.247	0.044	97.403	2.227	0.074	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	7/10/2019 9:00	7/10/2019	0.568	1.0304	0.242	0.048	97.321	2.306	0.076	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	7/11/2019 9:00	7/11/2019	0.568	1.0305	0.236	0.052	97.315	2.311	0.079	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	7/12/2019 9:00	7/12/2019	0.568	1.0302	0.246	0.051	97.335	2.284	0.077	0.002	0.005	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	7/13/2019 9:00	7/13/2019	0.568	1.0303	0.244	0.049	97.327	2.292	0.082	0.002	0.005	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	7/14/2019 9:00	7/14/2019	0.568	1.0295	0.246	0.045	97.435	2.192	0.076	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	7/15/2019 9:00	7/15/2019	0.568	1.03	0.248	0.045	97.372	2.249	0.079	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	7/16/2019 9:00	7/16/2019	0.568	1.0303	0.237	0.048	97.356	2.271	0.082	0.002	0.005	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	7/17/2019 9:00	7/16/2019	0.568	1.0303	0.237	0.048	97.346	2.28	0.082	0.002	0.005	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	7/18/2019 9:00	7/18/2019	0.569	1.0307	0.238	0.052	97.302	2.312	0.088	0.003	0.005	0.001	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	7/19/2019 9:00	7/19/2019	0.568	1.0301	0.248	0.049	97.359	2.25	0.085	0.003	0.006	0.001	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	7/20/2019 9:00	7/19/2019	0.568	1.0301	0.248	0.049	97.359	2.25	0.085	0.003	0.006	0.001	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	7/21/2019 9:00	7/19/2019	0.568	1.0301	0.248	0.049	97.359	2.25	0.085	0.003	0.006	0.001	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	7/22/2019 9:00	7/19/2019	0.568	1.0301	0.248	0.049	97.358	2.252	0.085	0.003	0.006	0.001	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	7/23/2019 9:00	7/23/2019	0.568	1.03	0.244	0.049	97.369	2.251	0.08	0.002	0.005	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	7/24/2019 9:00	7/24/2019	0.568	1.029	0.243	0.039	97.508	2.136	0.069	0.001	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	7/25/2019 9:00	7/25/2019	0.568	1.03	0.246	0.043	97.373	2.255	0.077	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	7/26/2019 9:00	7/26/2019	0.568	1.0304	0.241	0.048	97.329	2.295	0.08	0.002	0.005	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	7/27/2019 9:00	7/27/2019	0.568	1.0299	0.247	0.047	97.384	2.239	0.077	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	7/28/2019 9:00	7/28/2019	0.568	1.0298	0.248	0.045	97.386	2.238	0.076	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	7/29/2019 9:00	7/29/2019	0.568	1.0301	0.242	0.046	97.359	2.271	0.077	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	7/30/2019 9:00	7/30/2019	0.569	1.0306	0.239	0.051	97.297	2.322	0.083	0.002	0.005	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	7/31/2019 9:00	7/31/2019	0.568	1.0303	0.241	0.049	97.336	2.29	0.078	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	8/1/2019 9:00	8/1/2019	0.568	1.0304	0.246	0.049	97.306	2.314	0.079	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	8/2/2019 9:00	8/2/2019	0.569	1.0307	0.244	0.049	97.276	2.344	0.081	0.002	0.005	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	8/3/2019 9:00	8/3/2019	0.569	1.0308	0.248	0.046	97.276	2.327	0.091	0.003	0.006	0.001	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	8/4/2019 9:00	8/4/2019	0.569	1.0315	0.245	0.049	97.198	2.399	0.096	0.004	0.007	0.001	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	8/5/2019 9:00	8/5/2019	0.569	1.0309	0.245	0.049	97.264	2.344	0.089	0.003	0.006	0.001	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	8/6/2019 9:00	8/6/2019	0.569	1.0313	0.243	0.049	97.221	2.384	0.092	0.003	0.006	0.001	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	8/7/2019 9:00	8/7/2019	0.569	1.031	0.245	0.049	97.259	2.349	0.089	0.003	0.006	0.001	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	8/8/2019 9:00	8/8/2019	0.568	1.0309	0.243	0.049	97.272	2.339	0.088	0.003	0.006	0.001	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	8/9/2019 9:00	8/9/2019	0.569	1.0313	0.247	0.05	97.211	2.388	0.093	0.003	0.006	0.001	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	8/10/2019 9:00	8/10/2019	0.568	1.0308	0.245	0.047	97.284	2.33	0.086	0.003	0.005	0.001	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	8/11/2019 9:00	8/11/2019	0.568	1.0294	0.248	0.041	97.45	2.181	0.073	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	8/12/2019 9:00	8/12/2019	0.568	1.0302	0.25	0.042	97.343	2.277	0.08	0.002	0.005	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	8/13/2019 9:00	8/13/2019	0.569	1.031	0.243	0.048	97.261	2.353	0.086	0.003	0.005	0.001	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	8/14/2019 9:00	8/14/2019	0.568	1.0304	0.245	0.047	97.319	2.299	0.083	0.002	0.005	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	8/15/2019 9:00	8/15/2019	0.568	1.0305	0.249	0.047	97.293	2.323	0.081	0.002	0.005	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	8/16/2019 9:00	8/16/2019	0.569	1.0309	0.248	0.049	97.252	2.354	0.087	0.003	0.006	0.001	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	8/17/2019 9:00	8/17/2019	0.569	1.0308	0.25	0.047	97.268	2.336	0.089	0.003	0.006	0.001	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	8/18/2019 9:00	8/18/2019	0.569	1.0309	0.251	0.047	97.26	2.345	0.087	0.003	0.006	0.001	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	8/19/2019 9:00	8/19/2019	0.568	1.0306	0.247	0.047	97.294	2.318	0.085	0.003	0.005	0.001	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	8/20/2019 9:00	8/20/2019	0.569	1.0308	0.241	0.05	97.281	2.329	0.089	0.003	0.006	0.001	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	8/21/2019 9:00	8/21/2019	0.569	1.031	0.232	0.05	97.286	2.33	0.092	0.004	0.007	0.001	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	8/22/2019 9:00	8/22/2019	0.568	1.0301	0.244	0.046	97.376	2.243	0.083	0.003	0.006	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	8/23/2019 9:00	8/23/2019	0.568	1.0303	0.24	0.047	97.348	2.274	0.083	0.002	0.005	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	8/24/2019 9:00	8/24/2019	0.568	1.0298	0.242	0.045	97.403	2.223	0.078	0.002	0.005	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	8/25/2019 9:00	8/25/2019	0.568	1.0296	0.242	0.043	97.433	2.197	0.077	0.002	0.005	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	8/26/2019 9:00	8/26/2019	0.568	1.0296	0.248	0.042	97.432	2.193	0.078	0.002	0.005	0.001	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	8/27/2019 9:00	8/27/2019	0.568	1.0298	0.248	0.04	97.402	2.225	0.078	0.002	0.005	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	8/28/2019 9:00	8/28/2019	0.568	1.0294	0.244	0.041	97.461	2.176	0.072	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	8/29/2019 9:00	8/29/2019	0.568	1.0297	0.243	0.042	97.42	2.216	0.073	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	8/30/2019 9:00	8/30/2019	0.567	1.0285	0.245	0.037	97.566	2.084	0.064	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	8/31/2019 9:00	8/31/2019	0.567	1.0289	0.244	0.039	97.519	2.126	0.068	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	9/1/2019 9:00	9/1/2019	0.568	1.0293	0.242	0.041	97.469	2.17	0.072	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	9/2/2019 9:00	9/2/2019	0.568	1.0296	0.245	0.042	97.432	2.2	0.075	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	9/3/2019 9:00	9/3/2019	0.568	1.0296	0.239	0.042	97.451	2.189	0.074	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	9/4/2019 9:00	9/4/2019	0.568	1.0299	0.24	0.043	97.402	2.234	0.076	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	9/5/2019 9:00	9/5/2019	0.568	1.03	0.235	0.046	97.389	2.247	0.077	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	9/6/2019 9:00	9/6/2019	0.568	1.0295	0.238	0.044	97.449	2.191	0.073	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	9/7/2019 9:00	9/7/2019	0.567	1.0289	0.241	0.039	97.529	2.119	0.067	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	9/8/2019 9:00	9/8/2019	0.567	1.0287	0.243	0.038	97.544	2.104	0.066	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph

6075	GQC06041	9/9/2019 9:00	9/9/2019	0.567	1.0286	0.245	0.037	97.554	2.096	0.065	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	9/10/2019 9:00	9/10/2019	0.567	1.0288	0.244	0.037	97.528	2.121	0.066	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	9/11/2019 9:00	9/11/2019	0.568	1.0304	0.236	0.044	97.34	2.295	0.078	0.002	0.005	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	9/12/2019 9:00	9/12/2019	0.568	1.0302	0.24	0.046	97.358	2.27	0.079	0.002	0.005	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	9/13/2019 9:00	9/13/2019	0.568	1.0298	0.243	0.043	97.408	2.225	0.075	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	9/14/2019 9:00	9/14/2019	0.567	1.0291	0.24	0.041	97.506	2.139	0.069	0.001	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	9/15/2019 9:00	9/15/2019	0.568	1.0294	0.242	0.042	97.458	2.177	0.074	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	9/16/2019 9:00	9/16/2019	0.568	1.0298	0.245	0.041	97.405	2.228	0.075	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	9/17/2019 9:00	9/17/2019	0.568	1.0304	0.239	0.043	97.342	2.292	0.078	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	9/18/2019 9:00	9/18/2019	0.568	1.0302	0.238	0.044	97.366	2.269	0.077	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	9/19/2019 9:00	9/19/2019	0.568	1.0295	0.239	0.041	97.458	2.185	0.071	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	9/20/2019 9:00	9/20/2019	0.567	1.0291	0.244	0.039	97.492	2.151	0.069	0.001	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	9/21/2019 9:00	9/21/2019	0.568	1.0294	0.243	0.038	97.468	2.174	0.071	0.001	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	9/22/2019 9:00	9/22/2019	0.568	1.0295	0.243	0.039	97.445	2.194	0.073	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	9/23/2019 9:00	9/23/2019	0.568	1.0302	0.235	0.042	97.382	2.259	0.077	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	9/24/2019 9:00	9/24/2019	0.569	1.0314	0.234	0.047	97.22	2.406	0.086	0.002	0.005	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	9/25/2019 9:00	9/25/2019	0.567	1.029	0.24	0.038	97.514	2.136	0.068	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	9/26/2019 9:00	9/26/2019	0.569	1.0306	0.242	0.045	97.298	2.331	0.078	0.002	0.005	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	9/27/2019 9:00	9/27/2019	0.569	1.0308	0.243	0.045	97.276	2.348	0.081	0.002	0.005	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	9/28/2019 9:00	9/28/2019	0.568	1.0295	0.241	0.04	97.458	2.184	0.072	0.001	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	9/29/2019 9:00	9/29/2019	0.568	1.0298	0.24	0.041	97.411	2.228	0.075	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	9/30/2019 9:00	9/30/2019	0.568	1.0298	0.242	0.04	97.414	2.225	0.073	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6133	GQC06041	10/1/2019 9:00	10/1/2019	0.567	1.0293	0.242	0.039	97.477	2.167	0.071	0.001	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6133	GQC06041	10/2/2019 9:00	10/2/2019	0.568	1.0298	0.231	0.042	97.431	2.217	0.073	0.001	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6133	GQC06041	10/3/2019 9:00	10/3/2019	0.567	1.0293	0.233	0.041	97.493	2.158	0.071	0.001	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6133	GQC06041	10/4/2019 9:00	10/4/2019	0.568	1.0293	0.239	0.043	97.47	2.171	0.072	0.001	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6133	GQC06041	10/5/2019 9:00	10/5/2019	0.567	1.0291	0.247	0.042	97.489	2.148	0.069	0.001	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6133	GQC06041	10/6/2019 9:00	10/6/2019	0.567	1.0288	0.246	0.039	97.52	2.124	0.066	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6133	GQC06041	10/7/2019 9:00	10/7/2019	0.567	1.0282	0.246	0.035	97.609	2.046	0.061	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6133	GQC06041	10/8/2019 9:00	10/8/2019	0.567	1.0293	0.247	0.042	97.458	2.18	0.069	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6133	GQC06041	10/9/2019 9:00	10/9/2019	0.568	1.0299	0.248	0.046	97.369	2.256	0.074	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6133	GQC06041	10/10/2019 9:00	10/10/2019	0.568	1.0295	0.249	0.045	97.424	2.205	0.072	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6133	GQC06041	10/11/2019 9:00	10/11/2019	0.568	1.0292	0.243	0.048	97.472	2.161	0.071	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6133	GQC06041	10/12/2019 9:00	10/12/2019	0.567	1.0291	0.245	0.046	97.486	2.147	0.071	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6133	GQC06041	10/13/2019 9:00	10/13/2019	0.568	1.0293	0.245	0.046	97.453	2.177	0.073	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6133	GQC06041	10/14/2019 9:00	10/14/2019	0.568	1.0295	0.244	0.044	97.433	2.200	0.074	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6133	GQC06041	10/15/2019 9:00	10/15/2019	0.568	1.0296	0.244	0.044	97.428	2.204	0.074	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6133	GQC06041	10/16/2019 9:00	10/16/2019	0.567	1.0293	0.24	0.044	97.469	2.171	0.071	0.001	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6133	GQC06041	10/17/2019 9:00	10/17/2019	0.567	1.0292	0.236	0.042	97.493	2.155	0.069	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6133	GQC06041	10/18/2019 9:00	10/18/2019	0.567	1.0289	0.239	0.04	97.527	2.124	0.067	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6133	GQC06041	10/19/2019 9:00	10/19/2019	0.567	1.029	0.24	0.041	97.51	2.136	0.069	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6133	GQC06041	10/20/2019 9:00	10/20/2019	0.567	1.029	0.24	0.041	97.509	2.137	0.069	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6133	GQC06041	10/21/2019 9:00	10/21/2019	0.567	1.0292	0.238	0.042	97.495	2.151	0.07	0.001	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6133	GQC06041	10/22/2019 9:00	10/22/2019	0.567	1.0291	0.242	0.042	97.489	2.152	0.07	0.001	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6133	GQC06041	10/23/2019 9:00	10/23/2019	0.568	1.0292	0.245	0.042	97.479	2.157	0.071	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6133	GQC06041	10/24/2019 9:00	10/24/2019	0.567	1.0291	0.244	0.042	97.491	2.149	0.07	0.001	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6133	GQC06041	10/25/2019 9:00	10/25/2019	0.567	1.029	0.244	0.041	97.503	2.138	0.069	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6133	GQC06041	10/26/2019 9:00	10/26/2019	0.567	1.0287	0.245	0.04	97.543	2.102	0.066	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6133	GQC06041	10/27/2019 9:00	10/27/2019	0.567	1.0285	0.247	0.037	97.56	2.087	0.065	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6133	GQC06041	10/28/2019 9:00	10/28/2019	0.567	1.0286	0.245	0.037	97.555	2.093	0.065	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6133	GQC06041	10/29/2019 9:00	10/29/2019	0.567	1.0287	0.244	0.039	97.537	2.108	0.067	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6133	GQC06041	10/30/2019 9:00	10/30/2019	0.567	1.029	0.244	0.041	97.498	2.142	0.07	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6133	GQC06041	10/31/2019 9:00	10/31/2019	0.568	1.0295	0.243	0.044	97.433	2.200	0.074	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	11/1/2019 9:00	11/1/2019	0.568	1.0298	0.243	0.044	97.403	2.228	0.076	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	11/2/2019 9:00	11/2/2019	0.568	1.0298	0.237	0.045	97.412	2.223	0.076	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	11/3/2019 9:00	11/3/2019	0.568	1.0303	0.237	0.047	97.351	2.282	0.077	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	11/4/2019 9:00	11/4/2019	0.568	1.0302	0.237	0.047	97.365	2.265	0.079	0.002	0.005	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	11/5/2019 9:00	11/5/2019	0.568	1.0306	0.231	0.049	97.317	2.316	0.08	0.002	0.005	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	11/6/2019 9:00	11/6/2019	0.568	1.0306	0.236	0.049	97.315	2.315	0.079	0.002	0.005	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	11/7/2019 9:00	11/7/2019	0.568	1.0303	0.236	0.047	97.371	2.247	0.087	0.004	0.006	0.001	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	11/8/2019 9:00	11/8/2019	0.568	1.03	0.251	0.044	97.377	2.229	0.087	0.003	0.007	0.001	0.001	0.001	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	11/9/2019 9:00	11/9/2019	0.566	1.028	0.236	0.035	97.654	2.013	0.058	0.001	0.002	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	11/10/2019 9:00	11/10/2019	0.568	1.0306	0.234	0.047	97.33	2.296	0.084	0.003	0.006	0.001	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	11/11/2019 9:00	11/11/2019	0.568	1.0304	0.238	0.046	97.345	2.275	0.085	0.003	0.006	0.001	0	0	0	Chromatograph

6075	GQC06041	11/12/2019 9:00	11/12/2019	0.567	1.029	0.239	0.039	97.531	2.115	0.07	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	11/13/2019 9:00	11/13/2019	0.567	1.029	0.235	0.041	97.525	2.124	0.07	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	11/14/2019 9:00	11/14/2019	0.568	1.0291	0.238	0.041	97.505	2.141	0.069	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	11/15/2019 9:00	11/15/2019	0.567	1.0285	0.237	0.037	97.586	2.071	0.064	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	11/16/2019 9:00	11/16/2019	0.566	1.0281	0.238	0.035	97.642	2.023	0.059	0.001	0.002	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	11/17/2019 9:00	11/17/2019	0.567	1.028	0.236	0.036	97.647	2.02	0.058	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	11/18/2019 9:00	11/18/2019	0.567	1.0281	0.236	0.035	97.641	2.025	0.059	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	11/19/2019 9:00	11/19/2019	0.567	1.0285	0.236	0.038	97.586	2.073	0.063	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	11/20/2019 9:00	11/20/2019	0.566	1.028	0.24	0.035	97.642	2.021	0.059	0.001	0.002	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	11/21/2019 9:00	11/21/2019	0.568	1.0297	0.234	0.044	97.434	2.206	0.075	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	11/22/2019 9:00	11/22/2019	0.568	1.0302	0.233	0.046	97.375	2.259	0.08	0.002	0.005	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	11/23/2019 9:00	11/23/2019	0.567	1.029	0.235	0.04	97.526	2.125	0.069	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	11/24/2019 9:00	11/24/2019	0.567	1.0283	0.234	0.036	97.621	2.045	0.061	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	11/25/2019 9:00	11/25/2019	0.568	1.0299	0.236	0.044	97.411	2.227	0.075	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	11/26/2019 9:00	11/26/2019	0.568	1.0301	0.236	0.046	97.377	2.258	0.077	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	11/27/2019 9:00	11/27/2019	0.568	1.0301	0.237	0.047	97.373	2.259	0.078	0.002	0.005	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	11/28/2019 9:00	11/28/2019	0.568	1.0301	0.237	0.047	97.382	2.25	0.078	0.002	0.005	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	11/29/2019 9:00	11/29/2019	0.567	1.029	0.234	0.04	97.532	2.122	0.068	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	11/30/2019 9:00	11/30/2019	0.568	1.0296	0.23	0.043	97.462	2.188	0.072	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	12/1/2019 9:00	12/1/2019	0.567	1.0282	0.233	0.036	97.639	2.031	0.059	0	0.002	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	12/2/2019 9:00	12/2/2019	0.566	1.028	0.235	0.034	97.656	2.014	0.057	0.001	0.002	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	12/3/2019 9:00	12/3/2019	0.566	1.0281	0.234	0.035	97.639	2.029	0.059	0.001	0.002	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	12/4/2019 9:00	12/4/2019	0.567	1.0287	0.233	0.038	97.57	2.09	0.064	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	12/5/2019 9:00	12/5/2019	0.566	1.0282	0.233	0.036	97.635	2.034	0.059	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	12/6/2019 9:00	12/6/2019	0.567	1.0284	0.233	0.038	97.601	2.062	0.062	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	12/7/2019 9:00	12/7/2019	0.567	1.0281	0.234	0.036	97.637	2.029	0.059	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	12/8/2019 9:00	12/8/2019	0.566	1.028	0.231	0.035	97.662	2.011	0.057	0.001	0.002	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	12/9/2019 9:00	12/9/2019	0.567	1.0286	0.233	0.038	97.586	2.076	0.063	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	12/10/2019 9:00	12/10/2019	0.568	1.03	0.235	0.047	97.397	2.239	0.076	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	12/11/2019 9:00	12/11/2019	0.567	1.0285	0.236	0.038	97.584	2.076	0.063	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	12/12/2019 9:00	12/12/2019	0.567	1.0286	0.234	0.04	97.569	2.089	0.064	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	12/13/2019 9:00	12/13/2019	0.568	1.0294	0.236	0.043	97.468	2.176	0.07	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	12/14/2019 9:00	12/14/2019	0.569	1.0314	0.237	0.055	97.212	2.397	0.089	0.003	0.006	0.001	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	12/15/2019 9:00	12/15/2019	0.567	1.0286	0.235	0.039	97.575	2.084	0.063	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	12/16/2019 9:00	12/16/2019	0.567	1.0284	0.232	0.038	97.607	2.058	0.061	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	12/17/2019 9:00	12/17/2019	0.568	1.0293	0.24	0.046	97.468	2.169	0.071	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	12/18/2019 9:00	12/18/2019	0.567	1.0279	0.237	0.036	97.665	2.002	0.056	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	12/19/2019 9:00	12/19/2019	0.568	1.0293	0.237	0.051	97.469	2.163	0.073	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	12/20/2019 9:00	12/20/2019	0.568	1.0298	0.237	0.049	97.42	2.21	0.076	0.003	0.005	0.001	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	12/21/2019 9:00	12/21/2019	0.567	1.0283	0.235	0.04	97.616	2.043	0.061	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	12/22/2019 9:00	12/22/2019	0.567	1.0288	0.235	0.041	97.547	2.105	0.066	0.001	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	12/23/2019 9:00	12/23/2019	0.567	1.029	0.236	0.042	97.521	2.127	0.068	0.002	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	12/24/2019 9:00	12/24/2019	0.567	1.0283	0.235	0.037	97.616	2.047	0.06	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	12/25/2019 9:00	12/25/2019	0.567	1.0282	0.234	0.037	97.624	2.041	0.06	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	12/26/2019 9:00	12/26/2019	0.566	1.028	0.234	0.036	97.659	2.011	0.058	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	12/27/2019 9:00	12/27/2019	0.567	1.0292	0.229	0.043	97.509	2.145	0.069	0.001	0.004	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	12/28/2019 9:00	12/28/2019	0.567	1.0289	0.233	0.041	97.542	2.113	0.066	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	12/29/2019 9:00	12/29/2019	0.567	1.0284	0.233	0.038	97.612	2.053	0.061	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	12/30/2019 9:00	12/30/2019	0.566	1.028	0.233	0.036	97.663	2.009	0.057	0	0.002	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph
6075	GQC06041	12/31/2019 9:00	12/31/2019	0.567	1.028	0.234	0.036	97.651	2.019	0.058	0.001	0.003	0	0	0	0	Chromatograph

Emissions from All SPMT Projects Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) Significance Thresholds (tons/yr)	NO, 25	VOCs 25	Relevant Authorization(s)
Sources Comprising the Single Aggregated Project			
Piping and Components Fugitive Emissions (LDAR and non-LDAR)	0	82.35	Plan Approval Nos. 23-0119, 23-0119A, 23-0119B, 23-0119D, 23-0119E, & 23-0119J
West Cold Flare (air-assisted)	6.97	10.81	Plan Approval Nos. 23-0119 & 23-0119D
West Warm Flare Incremental Flows	4.14	14.66	Plan Approval Nos. 23-0119, 23-0119A, 23-0119B, 23-0119D, 23-0119E, & 23-0119J; RFDs 5918, 6484; De minimis emissions increase letters dated 12/10/2018 & 3/22/2019
Auxiliary Boilers Incremental Emissions Increases	45.36	1.36	Plan Approval Nos. 23-0119A, 23-0119B, 23-0119D, 23-0119E, & 23-0119J; RFD 6484
Natural Gasoline Storage Tank 607 Fugitive Emissions	0	5.59	Plan Approval Nos. 23-0119B & 23-0119F
Natural Gasoline Storage Tank 609 Fugitive Emissions	0	3.63	Plan Approval Nos. 23-0119B & 23-0119F
Natural Gasoline Storage Tank 611 Fugitive Emissions	0	4.46	Plan Approval Nos. 23-0119B & 23-0119F
Natural Gasoline Storage Tanks 610 Incremental Emissions Increase	0	0	Plan Approval No. 23-0119B
Light Naphtha Storage Tanks Incremental Emissions Increase	0	0	Plan Approval No. 23-0119B
Marine Vessel Loading Fugitive Emissions	0	3.616	Plan Approval No. 23-0119B
15-2B Cooling Tower Incremental Emissions Increases	0	4.60	Plan Approval Nos. 23-0119B & 23-0119E
30,000-gpm Cooling Tower	0	5.52	Plan Approval No. 23-0119C
East Cold Flare (air-assisted)	3.44	20.34	Plan Approval No. 23-0119D
50,000-gpm Cooling Tower	0	9.20	Plan Approval No. 23-0119D
Meter Provers	0	1.00	Plan Approval No. 23-0119E
Project Phoenix Cold Flare (air-assisted)	5.95	1.79	Plan Approval No. 23-0119J
Wet Surface Air Cooler Systems	0	0	Plan Approval No. 23-0119J
New Spheres	0	0.87	RFD No. 5236
Propane Railcar Offloading	0	2.1893	RFD No. 5918
Portable Flare Metering	0.0002	0.0020	RFD No. 5944
Methanol Recovery Project	0	0.652	RFD No. 6484
Portable Flare for Deethanizer	0.142	0.157	RFD No. 7944
Butane Storage Tank 118 (TOOS)	0	1.00	De minimis emissions increase letter dated 8/24/2018
Emissions Increase from Single Aggregated Project	65.99	173.79	
Sources Not Part of Single Aggregated Project			
CO Oxidation Catalyst for Diesel Engine Pumps	0.435	0.63	Plan Approval No. 23-0001AD
Gasoline Storage Tank 23 Fugitive Emissions	0	0.73	Plan Approval No. 23-0119F
Gasoline Storage Tank 242 Fugitive Emissions	0	7.07	Plan Approval No. 23-0119F
15-2B Cooling Tower Expansion Incremental Emissions Increase	0	0	RFD No. 5597
15-6 Cooling Tower Shutdown	0	0	RFD No. 5597
Diesel Tanks and Pumps	1.56	0.0123	RFD No. 5865
Mobile Thermal Oxidizer	0	1.00	De minimis emissions increase letter dated 10/3/2016
Crude Pump	0	0.81	De minimis emissions increase letter dated 11/4/2016
Net Emissions Increase, Calculated Pursuant to 25 Pa. Code § 127.203(b)(1)(i): Calendar Years 2012–2016	67.99	184.04	
NSR Significance Thresholds (tons/yr)	25	25	
Is Net Emissions Increase Significant for NSR?	Yes	Yes	
ERCs Required for Single Aggregated Project (1.3:1 Offset Ratio)	88.38	239.25	
ERCs Previously Retired Under Single Aggregated Project			
Plan Approval No. 23-0119B		34.65	
Plan Approval No. 23-0119C		7.18	
Plan Approval No. 23-0119E	32.80	56.10	
Plan Approval No. 23-0119F		17.77	
Plan Approval No. 23-0119H (portion attributable to single aggregated project and not previously considered)		19.02	
Totals	32.80	134.72	
Remaining ERCs to Obtain for Single Aggregated Project	55.58	104.53	
Boiler Emission Factors (lbs/lb steam)	2.53E-05	7.60E-07	
Additional Boiler Steam Demand Per Affected Authorization			
Plan Approval No. 23-0119A (for deethanizer)		62,000	
Plan Approval No. 23-0119B (for fractionation tower 15-2B T-05)		53,000	
Plan Approval No. 23-0119D (for dehydration system)		17,000	
Plan Approval No. 23-0119E (for 3 fractionation towers and NGL feedstocks preheater)		238,700	
RFD No. 6484 (for West Warm Flare incremental flows)		2,292	
Plan Approval No. 23-0119J (for West Warm Flare incremental flows)		36,300	

Commencement of Construction Date (or Approval Date)	Commencement of Operation Date	Source Type & Other Notes
2/5/2013 and later	12/23/2015 and later	New unit: PTE
2/5/2013 and later	~ 12/27/2016 and later	New unit: PTE
N/A	N/A	Existing, unmodified unit: incremental emissions increase
N/A	N/A	Existing, unmodified unit: incremental emissions increase
N/A	N/A	Existing, modified unit: BAE – PAE
N/A	N/A	Existing, modified unit: BAE – PAE
N/A	N/A	Existing, modified unit: BAE – PAE
N/A	N/A	Existing, unmodified unit: incremental emissions increase
N/A	N/A	Existing, unmodified unit: incremental emissions increase
N/A	N/A	Existing, modified unit: BAE – PAE
N/A	N/A	Existing, unmodified unit*: incremental emissions increase (*see note by RFD 5597 and discussion in technical review memo)
11/29/2014	1/16/2016	New unit: PTE
2/26/2015	1/31/2018	New unit: PTE
2/26/2015	1/31/2018	New unit: PTE
4/1/2016	8/10/2017	New unit: PTE
---	---	New unit: PTE
---	---	New unit: PTE
8/13/2015	after 8/13/2015	New unit: PTE
9/26/2016	after 9/26/2016	New unit: PTE
9/26/2016	after 9/26/2016	De minimis emissions increase
8/17/2017	after 8/17/2017	New unit: PTE
8/21/2019	after 8/21/2019	New unit: PTE
N/A	N/A	De minimis emissions increase
9/12/2012 (8/15/2016)	after 9/12/2012 N/A	Existing, modified unit: PAE – BAE
(8/15/2016)	N/A	Existing, modified unit: PAE – BAE
(4/11/2016)	N/A	Existing, modified unit: PAE – BAE
(8/29/2016)	N/A	Existing, unmodified unit*: incremental emissions increase (*see discussion in technical review memo)
10/3/2016	after 10/3/2016	DEP considers the SO ₂ emissions to be negligible and have no effect on the PSD analysis. Therefore, DEP did not request that SPMT calculate them.
N/A	N/A	De minimis emissions increase

Pursuant to 25 Pa. Code § 127.203(b)(1)(i), the emissions increase from the aggregated project is "aggregated with the other increases in net emissions occurring over a consecutive 5 calendar-year period, which includes the calendar year of the modification or addition which results in the emissions increase." Since the original Plan Approval No. 23-0119E has been remanded, DEP has chosen to set the date of "the modification or addition which results in the emissions increase" as the date that construction commenced under the original issuance of Plan Approval No. 23-0119E (i.e., 4/1/2016). Therefore, DEP has used the 2012–2016 timeframe as the 5 calendar-year period for which to determine the net emissions increases for NO_x and VOCs for the single aggregated project.

Since the net emissions increases for NO_x and VOCs calculated pursuant to 25 Pa. Code § 127.203(b)(1)(i) are significant, there is no need to calculate the net emissions increases for

The emission factor for CO is from minor modification to TVOP No. 23-00119. All other emission factors are from the original application for Plan Approval No. 23-0119E.