

Phase 3 Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP) Progress and Milestones Template

Green - action has been completed or is moving forward as planned Yellow - action has encountered minor obstacles Red - action has not been taken or has encountered a serious barrier

Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources Available		Resources Needed		Progress to Date
							Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	

Priority Initiative 1: Reporting and Tracking

1.1	Initiate additional water quality monitoring sites that promote long-term trend evaluation at key locations in Adams County	<p>Additional sites as needed to evaluate Adams County progress as well as progress for specific land uses or projects in the county</p> <p>Additional monitoring capabilities needed at monitoring station at East Berlin and Bridgeport Stations to analyze nitrogen and phosphorus</p> <p>Increase technical capacity to be able to evaluate loading trends</p> <p>Work w/ state so citizen stream monitoring data can be utilized</p> <p>It is important to monitor implementation progress in terms of water quality, not just using the model</p>	WAAC, USGS, DEP, USGS, Conservation District	Adams County	2025	Additional funding and coordination with USGS	*	Cost savings may be realized if existing stations can be upgraded where possible	<p>Year 1 equipment cost/setting up East Berlin & Bridgeport to collect N &P</p> <p>Annual costs maintaining equipment, developing regression models, calibration, displaying on the web</p>	<p>\$190,000</p> <p>\$75,000/yr per site East Berlin & Bridgeport</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reached out to York County to discuss how they got nutrient monitoring accomplished in their County, York County Commissioners agreed to a cost sharing agreement with USGS Got information, and will be reaching out to USGS to begin the conversation Adams County has not found the same funding source that was utilized in York County
1.2	Improve data collection on urban	Chapter 102 provide more credit for non-structural practices.	ACOPD, Conservation District, DEP,	Adams County	Ongoing	Long-term compliance.	*	*	*	*	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Some BMPs are being tracked when Conservation District receives Notice Of

	non-structural practices		developers, municipalities			Maintaining accurate BMP inventory.					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Termination forms (NOT) for National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) sites Coordinator/Urban Team can work with Municipalities to track non-structural BMPs through Field Doc
1.3	Implement a documentation program for commercial and homeowner nutrient applications in developed lands	Required Urban Nutrient management plans	DEP, SCC, Landscape Industry	Statewide	2020	Commercial and home use of nutrients should be evaluated in the CAST model, more data are needed on current application levels.	*	*	*	*	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Senate Bill 915- Introduces statewide nutrient standards It's good that this bill has been introduced, but we need it passed in order for it to see benefit from it
1.4	Establish baseline of current practices for comparison with future implementation	Develop/implement a process for capturing current agricultural best management practices that are unreported Create a systematic process and database for inventorying stormwater management practices and facilities in MS4 and non-MS4 municipalities	DEP	Adams County and Statewide	Ongoing	<p>Verification of BMP's is time intensive.</p> <p>Identify the types of unreported, currently installed BMPs that would provide significant credit if verified. Focus on verifying those BMPs.</p> <p>Privacy concern</p>	*	*	*Staffing	*	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Coordinator is working with DEP to develop standards verifications processes and standards Coordinator sharing DEP/EPA acceptable reporting methods with planning team Working with technical team to help develop new verification standards for Precision Dairy Feeding DEP is unsure of how to verify Manure Incorporation and Dairy Precision Feeding Coordinator position does not necessarily help with verification of practices if it is not their job to go out and help verify things

Priority Initiative 2: Achieve Pollutant Reductions

2.1	Establish a set of BMPs that will achieve desired pollutant reductions in an efficient and cost-effective manner	BMP Implementation	ACOPD, Conservation District, NRCS, Municipalities, DEP, EPA, Stakeholders	Adams County	2025	There are considerable resource limitations to implement BMPs as described throughout this template.	Resources available for implementation of existing programs	Resources available for implementation of existing programs	Substantial staffing and technical resources will be needed to fully implement the proposed BMPs	Substantial staffing and technical resources will be needed to fully implement the proposed BMPs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Set of BMPs has been compiled into a technical appendix, which is being evaluated by the Conservation District Staff (See Attached) Prioritizing BMPs based on our resources and county needs. Working with private sector is being brought in to accomplish our goals. Buffers continue to be a priority, as does Advanced Nutrient Management
-----	--	--------------------	--	--------------	------	--	---	---	--	--	--

2.2	Identify key areas for installation of BMPs with greatest net effect on nutrients	Complete GIS analysis to identify priority areas. Support legislation as necessary to achieve reductions that make the most economic sense.	ACOPD, Conservation District, DEP, WAAC, ICPRB, SRBC	Adams County	2025	This information is needed to prioritize key areas. Landowner buy-in.	GIS expertise	Resources available for ongoing GIS analyses	Technical resources needed to complete GIS analysis	Funding needed for staff time and/or funding for external organization to conduct analysis	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Working with ICPRB to evaluate ground water/stream nutrient data to determine the heaviest loading areas to prioritize
2.3	Evaluate technologies to enhance BMP's	Identify additional technology or improvements to practices to enhance nutrient reductions	DEP, Penn State, NRCS, Conservation District	Adams County, Statewide	2020/Ongoing	Utilize technology to process livestock manure for improved management and greater storage capacity, i.e., small capacity digesters. Farm operator buy-in as well as funding remains a challenge.	*	*	*	*	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This has not yet been addressed
2.4	Conduct pilot studies to identify and/or confirm efficiency of practices.	Investigate opportunities to improve efficiency of BMP's	DEP, Penn State, NRCS, Conservation District	Adams Count, Statewide	2020/Ongoing	Financial and technical resources will be needed but will not be able to be specified until pilots are introduced Landowner participation will be crucial	*	*	*	*	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> NRCS, Conservation District, and Penn State Extension are developing a program to test advanced nutrient management on field plots NRCS identified that they may have funds available for this project The collaborative group is in the process of gathering information from landowners.
2.5	Provide support for necessary legislation and regulatory changes.	Support legislation and regulatory and policy changes that will allow the utilization of proven technology and ensure financial support to maintain operations that address specific pollutants, i.e. poultry manure, etc.	DEP and PA legislature	Statewide, Adams County	2019/Ongoing	Support legislation and regulatory and policy changes that will provide the ability to utilize proven technology to reduce pollutant levels. Specifically, support Senate Bill 799 establishing the PA Clean Water	*	*	*	*	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Again, Senate Bill 915 Again, Need the bill to be passed in order to see benefits Looking for other partners who can support legislation, working with Policy/Legislation Team, which will include local legislators

		Provide a framework for urban nutrient management that will establish requirements for the application of fertilizer for commercial operations (including golf courses) and homeowners.				Procurement Program committing funding to the purchase of long-term nutrient reduction credits. Support SB 792 establishing requirements for urban nutrient management including planning for commercial operations (including golf courses) and homeowners regarding the application of fertilizer.					
--	--	---	--	--	--	---	--	--	--	--	--

Agricultural Sector

2.6	General Challenges with BMP implementation		Conservation District, NRCS, DEP, Army Corps, PDA, SCC, PAFB, FWS, PGC, NPS, landowners, Municipalities	Statewide	2019	Reduce administrative burdens of permits and current funding to better utilize our current resources: Simplify Chapter 105 permitting and create block grants for BMPs fixing existing resource concerns. Allow for MS4's to install BMPs outside of their MS4 area (municipal cost savings but more load reduced). (1.5x rate?)				\$150,000/yr	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • State <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Permitting, specifically 105 is still a very time-consuming burden, Conservation District staff have reached out to chapter 105 program and taken members of 105, and Bay Office to tour sites. Multiple meetings have been held on this topic with little progress being made. - No funding for new ag techs - Verification Processes for many BMPs still unknown, but coordinator is working with DEP on this issue - MS4s now have a 1-mile buffer radius, a step in the right direction - CBF has offered a flexible buffer grant - Bay Office has offered block grants, and we have applied for funding through these grants - Continuing to have conversations with the bay office about 105 permitting - Partners in Adams County have applied for, and received buffer resources through Chesapeake Bay Foundation
------------	--	--	---	-----------	------	---	--	--	--	--------------	---

						<p>Additional funding for technicians and BMPs needed to additionally increase current outputs</p> <p>Allow flexible funding options to meet landowner's needs</p> <p>Confidentiality of agricultural operators/producers</p> <p>Verification of BMPs: annual/periodic bmps and verification beyond installation would be difficult, time consuming, and require additional support.</p> <p>Identify mechanism and party to verify BMPs.</p> <p>Getting proper credit for current BMPs if there is value.</p> <p>Include Orchard representation on State Ag Workgroup.</p> <p>Need ability to implement BMPs</p>					
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

						on Nation Park Service property.					
2.7	Soil Health	<p><i>High Residual Till - 55,000 acres annually</i></p> <p><i>Conservation Till - 13,000 acres annually</i></p> <p><i>Cover Crop - 30,000 acres annually</i></p> <p><i>Cover Crop w/Fall</i></p> <p><i>Nutrients – 10,000 acres annually</i></p> <p><i>Prescribed Grazing – 3,500 total acres</i></p> <p><i>Soil Conservation/Water Quality Plans – 101,000 acres annually</i></p> <p><i>Manure Incorporation – 10,000 acres annually</i></p>	Conservation District, NRCS, RC&D, DEP, PDA, SCC, Plan Writers, landowners and operators	Adams County	2025	<p>Need dedicated source of funding for Cover Crops.</p> <p>Mechanism/staff to verifying plans and annual practices.</p> <p>Weather and soils severely impact feasibility of implementation.</p> <p>Determine where and how vertical tillage can be used in a farmer’s conservation plan and for incorporating manure.</p>			<p>verification of practices</p> <p>Verification of plans</p>	<p>\$1,600,000/yr for cover crops (\$40/acre) (verification cost not included)</p> <p>\$1,010,000 Ag E&S plans (\$500 plan/50 acres) (verification of plans not included)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Only way to accelerate implementation without additional technical resources or staffing is to work with private sector on projects that they can completely do on their own • Cover Crops- Working to create a more permanent cover crop program/funding source like in Maryland. Looking at this at the state of the county level, whichever is available • To increase cover cropping Adams County a more permanent source of funding is needed like that of Maryland • Manure Incorporation- No units have yet been verified, but this is a practice that the Coordinator is looking into getting on the ground soon. Working with DEP on the verification process • Soil Conservation and Water Quality Plans- Conservation District is sending operators through ag reimbursement program to get new plans written, and get plans put into practice keeper by our Chesapeake Bay Technician and Nutrient Management Specialist • *See Field Doc For BMP Numbers
2.8	Nutrient Management	<p><i>Nutrient Management Core N&P - 104,000 N&P acres annually</i></p> <p><i>Nutrient Management Rate N&P – 10,000 N&P acres annually</i></p> <p><i>Nutrient Management Time N&P -10,000 N&P acres annually</i></p>	Conservation District, NRCS, SCC, private agricultural contractors, local farmers	Adams County	2025	<p>Mechanism/staff to verifying annual and current practices.</p> <p>Weather and soils severely impact feasibility of implementation.</p> <p>Three applications over the growing season rather than one impacted by weather</p> <p>Equipment to reduce overlap of fertilizer on fields</p>	Conservation District staff can educate about these recommended actions during routine conversations with farmers/landowners		<p>verification of practices</p> <p>1 FTE Adams Co Agronomist</p>	<p>\$1,040,000 Ag Manure & Fertilizer plans (\$500 plan/50 acres)</p> <p>\$100,000/yr</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Verification still a hurdle • No funding for an Agronomist in Adams County, CD working with Private Sector on advanced nutrient management to reduce the burden on CD Staff • Adams County is not eligible for CEG • Private sector will provide incentive payments, and 1 on 1 consulting with landowners • Received funding through Implementation Grant for a nutrient management project in Adams County • Conservation District sending operators to states plan reimbursement program for manure management plans

						<p>\$30K/per spreader (not practical)</p> <p>Currently no template plans for inorganic fertilizer plans.</p> <p>Yields vary based on soils and weather.</p> <p>Establishing soil specific, realistic yield goals requires considerable one-on-one interaction</p> <p>Several years of results are needed for review and development of a variable rate technology program.</p>				
2.9	Riparian	<p><i>Stream Restoration – 10,000 new linear feet</i></p> <p><i>Grass Buffers w/Exclusion Fencing – 200 new acres</i></p> <p><i>Forest Buffer w/Exclusion Fencing – 100 new acres</i></p> <p><i>Grass Buffers – 1,000 new acres</i></p> <p><i>Forest Buffers – 500 new acres</i></p> <p><i>Wetland Restoration – 25 new acres</i></p>	<p>Conservation District, USDA, DEP, Army Corps, DCNR, contractors, local farmers, nonprofits, PFBC, CBF, PACD, nonprofits</p>	Adams County	2025	<p>Chapter 105 permitting a major hurdle for drainage and stream restoration projects.</p> <p>Prioritize grass waterways on areas of active field erosion to capture soil and nutrients and not based GIS database.</p> <p>Prioritize forest buffers on 3rd order streams on agricultural lands and 1st/2nd order</p>			<p>Third party maintenance of buffers</p> <p>\$2,400,000 Forest buffer planting (\$4,000/ acre)</p> <p>\$7,150,000 Grassed buffers in eroding fields (\$6,500/ acre)</p> <p>\$937,500 fencing associated w/ buffers (\$3,125/ acre)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Chapter 105 permitting still a major hurdle • Coordinator working with Watershed Specialist and advisory teams on these projects • Over 2,000 Seedlings distributed to local landowners by ACCD and partners through the CBF K10 program • 2.5 Acres of new Riparian Buffer in 2020 • Met with municipal engineers to discuss funding for urban stream restoration, from these meetings applied for, and received partial funding for a restoration project that will include buffers and exclusion fencing among many other BMPs • 1,000 Acres of Grass Buffers, funding through EQUIP • 344 Acres of Forest Buffers, many funded through DCNR, CREP, WPC, and CBF • * For Other BMP Numbers See Field Doc

						<p>in abandoned ag land.</p> <p>Buffers are a labor intensive management practice.</p> <p>Incentive program needed to compensate for long-term loss of crops.</p> <p>Remove buffered lands from tax parcels The ability to fix failing buffers is needed (e.g. stream movement over time undermines buffers and they cannot be fixed without permits and expense).</p> <p>Need more research on value of saturated buffers.</p>				<p>\$880,000 stream restoration (\$88/ linear ft)</p> <p>\$156,250 wetland restoration (\$6,250/ acre)</p> <p>\$4,000/0.5 acre of saturated buffers</p>	
2.10	Other Ag BMPs	<p><i>Land Retirement – 1,500 new acres</i></p> <p><i>Forest Harvesting Practices – 100%</i></p> <p><i>Waste Storage Facilities – 4,000 new Animal Units</i></p> <p><i>Barnyard Runoff Controls – 15 new acres</i></p>	Conservation District, NRCS, contractors, industry, local farmers, DCNR	Adams County	2025	<p>Focus on small to mid-sized animal operations needing: well-placed fencing, dedicated sacrifice areas, and/or manure storage.</p> <p>Expand manure storage capacity for dairy operations to six months of storage:</p>				<p>\$5,000,000 new waste storage (\$1,250/AU)</p> <p>\$1,875,000 Barnyard runoff controls (\$125,000/ acre)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We are working with DEP to identify solar fields as retired ag land • * See Field Doc for BMP Numbers • Coordinator is working with ag techs to evaluate new waste storage facilities and innovative ways to find funding • Barnyard and Waste Management work being done by NRCS

						<p>expand infrastructure, covering and/or digesters.</p> <p>Evaluate exporting or the reintroduction of existing technology (utilize local energy and nutrient reduction facility) to address local poultry manure management</p> <p>Land retirement would be implemented when converted to solar farm</p> <p>Options for extending manure storages may be outside normal funding priorities Satellite storage facilities for manure may not be used for multiple purposes.</p>				Proposed cost for reduction of N - \$8-10/lb (1.1 M lb annual reduction = appx \$10M)	
--	--	--	--	--	--	---	--	--	--	---	--

Urban Sector											
2.11	Seek creative solutions to focus on the problem (pollution), not the geography (MS4 and urban areas)	Redefine MS4 area to allow mitigating efforts to occur outside of the urbanized areas	DEP, ACOPD, municipalities	Adams County	Ongoing	Policy or legislative change required.	*	*	*	*	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> DEP released guidelines that allow MS4 communities to work in a mile radius outside of their designated zones
2.12	Create a documentation program for urban nutrient management	Support current proposed fertilizer legislation for nutrient applications in urban environments.	DEP, Landscaping Industry	Statewide	2020	Legislation required.	*	*	*	*	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Senate Bill 915- Introduces statewide nutrient standards It's good that this bill has been introduced, but we need it passed in order for it to see benefit from it

2.13	Install riparian buffers on public lands	Implement riparian buffers on public lands. Try to coordinate <i>-100' buffers on public parcels greater than 10 acres (96 acres of riparian buffer)</i> <i>-50' buffers on public parcels less than 10 acres (14 acres of riparian buffer)</i>	ACOPD, Conservation District, WAAC, NRCS, landowners	Adams County	2025	Landowner cooperation and funding required for successful implementation. Security issues may be a constraint of planting trees on private properties. Forest buffer credit challenges – 20 years until tree maturity. Financial resources.				\$500,000	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Continuing to work with local public partners, municipalities, and schools In the process of completing agreements for a 5 plus acre tree planting in a local park
2.14	Create additional natural areas	Create forest buffers, meadowlands, and other natural areas that are not considered a nuisance	ACOPD, Conservation District, NRCS, municipalities	Adams County	2025	Coordination with municipalities will be needed to comply with ordinances and other planning tools.	*	*	*	*	* Looking heavily into the meadow plantings on both public and private lands, funding through DCNR
2.15	Establish more Shade Tree Commissions	Establish additional Commissions <i>50 acres tree planting.</i>	ACOPD, Municipalities, community members	Adams County	2025	Funding is required to develop and implement these programs.	*	*	*	*	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No further shade tree commissions have been established. Coordinator plans to reach out to municipalities across the county to garner interest in shade tree commissions from local leadership
2.16	Implement other urban initiatives	<i>Full implementation of the municipal PRP plans: Retrofit runoff reductions for 145 treated acres. 3,750 linear feet of urban stream restoration. 16 acres forest buffer. Install 2.5 acres of permeable pavement. Street sweep 109.43 miles. Dry detention ponds to treat 100 acres. 50</i>	ACOPD, Municipalities, community members	Adams County, municipalities	2025		Current resources being utilized				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reached out to Adams County MS4s, and other Municipalities. Met with municipal engineers to discuss project ideas and assisting them with getting funding Creating a framework document to match projects with funding sources as they become available/are found

		<i>acres treated by rain gardens and 25 acres treated by bioswales.</i>									
2.17	Promote creations of additional sewage management districts at the municipal level	Proper on-site septic system management	ACOPD, COG, Local municipalities	Adams County, municipalities	Ongoing		Current Staffing				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No action to date Coordinator will be reaching out to municipal governments and urban landowners to gather interest in this initiative
2.18	Evaluate formation of a Countywide Stormwater Authority	This effort could potentially establish an implementation and funding mechanism	Adams County	Adams County	Ongoing	Educating and garnering support of municipalities may be challenging. Additional financial resources would be required to initiate this effort.	*	*	*	*	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No action to date Coordinator will be working with County, and municipal officials to gather interest in beginning this process

Priority Initiative 2: Research, Education, and Training

3.1	Develop a strategy to communicate parallel goals of the WIP3 and local goals such as water quality, public and environmental health, economic development, and others	Nutrient Management Workshop or similar forum Annual No-till Forum Adams County State of the Waters forum	Conservation District, ACOPD, Penn State Extension, WAAC, Municipalities, School Districts, Local farmers	Adams County	Ongoing	Financial resources, participant interest.	Annual No-till forum Adams County State of the Waters forum Penn State Extension Training				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Held annual Soil Health Meeting in February of 2020, and plan on holding it virtually in February of 2021. The 2020 meeting was attended by over 125 farmers, topics discussed include advanced nutrient management, and the Adams County CAP 2021 Meeting will feature a representative from Rosetree LLC to speak about our Adams County, Advanced Nutrient Management project, which we applied for CAP funds to complete Act 220 process has made the Phase 3 WIP a priority and is being incorporated in those discussions, Potomac Regional Committee Prioritizing WIP, this puts a spotlight on the importance of the County CAP
3.2	20-acre pilot studies of agricultural BMPs to assess impacts to profitability	Analysis of the effects of BMP installation	Conservation District, landowners, NRCS	Adams County	2025	Landowner willingness to participate.	Current staff expertise		Laboratory Analysis, etc.		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Conservation District, NRCS, and Penn State Extension are developing this program See Above, Section 2.4
3.3	Conduct education of commercial landscape industry/golf course owners/homeowners regarding nutrient management	Nutrient reduction outside of agriculture	ACOPD including Conservation District, WAAC, Penn State, DEP	Adams County	Ongoing	Legislation/regulation required.			Nutrient Technician (1 FTE)		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No progress towards a nutrient management tech in Adams County Strategizing with staff about setting up a group meeting/call with golf course owners in the county to discuss use

Phase 3 Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP) Progress and Milestones Template

Each county-based local area will use this template to identify:

1. Inputs – These are both existing and needed resources, public and private, to implement the identified priority initiative. These include both technical and financial resources, such as personnel, supplies, equipment and funding.
2. Process – what is each partner able to do where and by when. These are the action items listed under each priority initiative.
3. Outputs and outcomes – both short and long-term. These are the priority initiatives identified by each county. The performance targets are the intermediate indicators that will measure progress.
4. Implementation challenges – any potential issues or roadblocks to implementation that could impede outputs and outcomes.

Asterisk: Place an asterisk next to the action number(s) for action items that appear in both the County Planning and Progress Template and the Programmatic Recommendations Template.

For each Priority Initiative or Program Element: Use the fields, as defined below, to identify the inputs and the process that will be followed to achieve each priority initiative. This is the “who, what, where, when and how” of the plan:

Description = What. This may include programs that address prevention, education, or as specific as planned BMP installations that will address the Priority Initiative. A programmatic or policy effort will require some ability to quantify the anticipated benefits which will allow calculation of the associated nutrient reductions.

Performance Target = How. This is an extension of the Description above. The Performance Target details the unique BMPs that will result from implementation of the Priority Initiative and serves as a benchmark to track progress in addressing the Priority Initiative. Performance Targets may be spread across multiple Responsible Parties, Geographies, and Timelines based on the specifics of the Initiative.

Responsible Party(ies) = Who. This is/are the key partner(s) who will implement the action items through outreach, assistance or funding, and who will be responsible for delivering the identified programs or practices.

Geographic Location = Where. This field identifies the geographic range of the planned implementation. This could extend to the entire county or down to a small watershed, based on the scale of the Priority Initiative, range of the Responsible Party, or planned funding/resources. *NOTE: Resource limitations alone should not limit potential implementation as additional funding may become available in the future.*

Expected Timeline = When. Provide the expected completion date for the planned activity. This should be a reasonable expectation, based on knowledge and experience, that will aid in tracking progress toward addressing the Priority Initiative.

Resources Available: Technical & Funding = This field will note technical and financial resources secured/available to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the resources identified in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole; or, if available, to each action.

Resources Needed: Technical & Funding = This field will note technical and financial resources needed/outstanding to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the additional resources projected and identified as needed in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole; or, if possible, to each action.

Potential Implementation Challenges/Issues = This field will note challenges and issues that may delay program implementation (Description).

Progress to Date = This field will be used for annual reporting on priority initiatives. This is a description of the action(s) your county took toward achieving the priority initiative. Examples include education and outreach, programmatic changes, etc. For numeric priority initiatives your county can retrieve those numbers directly from *FieldDoc* or put in the column “See *FieldDoc*.”

Justification for Change to Action Item = This field will be used for two-year milestone updates. This field allows for your county to adjust your original targets and goals based on progress to date. Your county may adjust goals and targets up or down based on your progress to date. This field requires a justification as to the reason of change, whether up or down.