	Phase 3 Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP) Annual Progress Update – Berks County												
<u>Green</u> - acti	on has been completed or is mov	ving forward as planned Ye	llow - action has encounte	ered minor obsta	cles <u>Red</u> - actio	on has not been taken or has encou	untered a serious	s barrier					
							Resource	s <u>Available</u>	Resource	es <u>Needed</u>			
Action # Green Yellow Red	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	*add new 2022 progress above the existing 2021 progress. Date each entry		
Priority	Initiative 1: Little Swata	ra											
1.1	Upper Little Swatara (ULS) 319 Plan Development and Implementation	Summer 2022 for 319 Plan		Upper Little Swatara (ULS) catchments	Mid 2021-mid 2022 for plan development followed by implement.	Carry over identified 319 plan opportunities and initiatives into the Berks Bay Action Plan (BBAP) and Catchment Targeting inventories  BBAP participation with 319 plan steering committee  "Leaning" on 319 Plan development as the driver for BBAP implementation in the ULS catchments	CWP, BCCD, BerksNature, County, local engineers/ consultants, TSPs	Growing Greener (GG) (funding secured for 319 Plan develop.)		BMP implement. funding (as noted under Action 3.1 for implement. challenges and recom.)	QAPP for 319 plan approved by EPA. 319 plan is currently on hold while PA DEP updates the Crosskill Creek TMDL. Held Little Swatara 319 plan & BMP funding meeting with local farmers & stakeholders. Awarded \$277,000 CAP Implementation Grant for BMP installation (projects lined up for Spring 2023 implementation)		
1.2	One-on-one farmer engagements via Catchment Management Database (CMD) prioritization	Engagements list for each catchment per Action 3.1	CWP, BCCD, NRCS, TSPs	All catchments	inherent tie to	Augmented messaging and outreach combining 319 plan goals and objectives with BBAP action items and goals	CWP, BCCD, TSPs; additional person hired by BCCD for on the-ground verifications	\$30k verifications funds being applied towared efforts			Completed first verification run (test run) focused on ag parcels to fine tune process for remaining catchments. Current plan is finalize two watersheds through the end of 2022 and continue into 2023 per action 3.1.		

							Resource	s <u>Available</u>	Resource	es <u>Needed</u>	
Action # Green Yellow Red	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	*add new 2022 progress above the existing 2021 progress. Date each entry
1.3	One-on-one municipal engagements	Engagements list for each catchment per Action 3.1	CWP, County	All catchments		Augmented messaging and outreach combining 319 plan goals and objectives with BBAP action items and goals	BCCD, County, local engineers/ consultants				Currently working with the Berks County Planning Commission/GIS to prioritize catchments for verifications and capture non-ag BMPs. Coordinating with Berks Ag Preservation for additional verifications for ag BMPs.
	Explore partnerships with Lebanon and Schuylkill counties for regional efforts		County, BCCD	Catchments within shared watersheds	On-going	Watershed-based, multi-entity, collaborative grant applications are viewed favorable with conventional funding organizations (NFWF, etc.)  Lower Little Swatara identified as a priority watershed in the Lebanon CAP.		NFWF Small Watershed Grant (SWG), Most Effective Basins Funding (MEBF), Ches. Bay Trust (CBT), Growing Greener (GG)			Contacting LCCD and possibly CBF to coordinate. Initial efforts underway in Crosskill Creek watershed (shared with Lebanon) where a partnership may be applicable.
1.5	Promote and monitor BMP implementation	Per BMP targets outlined in P.I. 3 Coordination for individual catchments	County, BCCD, TSPs, municipal., farmers, local watershed groups, non-profits	Upper Little Swatara catchments	inherent tie to	Outreach materials may be needed for individual engagements (Expanded BMP Quick Reference Guide with all BMPs included would assist this effort)*	CWP, BCCD, County, TSPs, local engineers/ consultants	All noted under other actions		BMP implement. funding (as noted under Action 3.1 for implement. challenges and recom.)	Meeting with stakeholders in Little Swatara watershed to discuss RCPP funding for BMP's. Entering, updating, capturing, etc. BMP's into Practice Keeper (PK) as part of the overall catchment targeting intiative, long-term verification processes (LTVPs) implementation, and 319 plan(s) development and implementation.

							Resource	s <u>Available</u>	Resource	es <u>Needed</u>		
Action # Green Yellow Red	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	*add new 2022 progress above the existing 2021 progress. Date each entry	
		_		_								

							Resources	<u>Available</u>	Resource	es <u>Needed</u>	
Action # Green Yellow Red	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	*add new 2022 progress above the existing 2021 progress. Date each entry
Priority	Initiative 2: Conestoga-	Cocalico								•	
	Upper Conestoga (UC) 319 Plan development and implementation	Summer 2023 for 319 plan	UC 319 Plan Steering Committee, Chesapeake Bay Found. (CBF), Berks County Conservation District (BCCD), NRCS, Ag Technical Service Providers (TSPs)		2022-2023 for plan development followed by implement.	opportunities and initiatives into the Berks Bay Action Plan (BBAP) and Catchment Targeting inventories	County, local engineers/ consultants, TSPs, Lancaster Farmland Trust	Growing Greener (GG) (CBF applying for 319 plan develop. Funds)			Plan development in very early stages, but initial steps underway or upcoming. Current target date for 319 plan completion is June 1, 2023.
2.2	One-on-one farmer engagements via Catchment Management Database (CMD) prioritization	Engagements list for each catchment per Action 3.1	CBF, BCCD, NRCS, TSPs	All catchments			CBF, BCCD, TSPs			engagements as noted under Action 3.1	This effort is primarily in a holding pattern until 319 plan development is in full swing. However, previously launched efforts underway and adjusted to compliment CAP efforts
23	One-on-one municipal engagements	Engagements list for each catchment per Action 3.1		Municipalities in all catchments	inherent tie to	outreach combining 319 plan goals and objectives with BBAP	BCCD, County, local engineers/ consultants				This effort in a holding pattern until 319 plan development is in full swing. It is anticipated the plan will be complete mid-2023.

							Resource	s <u>Available</u>	Resource	es <u>Needed</u>	
Action # Green Yellow Red	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	*add new 2022 progress above the existing 2021 progress. Date each entry
2.4 L	Explore partnerships with Lancaster and Chester County for regional efforts		County, BCCD	Catchments within shared watersheds	On-going	Watershed-based, multi-entity, collaborative grant applications are viewed favorable with conventional funding organizations (NFWF, etc.)		NFWF Small Watershed Grant (SWG), NFWF Most Effective Basins Funding (MEBF), Growing Greener (GG), Ches. Bay Trust (CBT)			Partnering with CCCD on Implementation Grant funding, submitted \$850,000 grant request to NFWF Most Effective Basins (MEB). Upper Conestoga 319 plan (2023 completion) may reveal further partnering opportunities.
25		Per BMP targets outlined in P.I. 3 Coordination for individual catchments	County, BCCD, TSPs, municipal., farmers, local watershed groups, non-profits	Upper Conestoga (and Cocalico) catchments		Outreach materials may be needed for individual engagements (Expanded BMP Quick Reference Guide with all BMPs included would assist this effort)*  Coordinating Action Team (AT) to bring along Cocalico catchments simultaneously with 319 plan development		CAP imp. funds, ACAP, RCPP, EQIP, NFWF, GG-(see Action 3.2 also)		BMP implement. funding (as noted under Action 3.1 for implement. challenges and recom.)	Implementing BMP's with NRCS/RCPP funding. Projects in queue for spring 2023 with CAP implementation funds. Stroud applied for GG+ funding for soil health efforts that may apply to this area.

							Resource	s <u>Available</u>	Resourc	es <u>Needed</u>	
Action # Green Yellow Red	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	*add new 2022 progress above the existing 2021 progress. Date each entry
Priority	Initiative 3: Coordination	on									
		See timeline for annual targets of assessments; each catchment will have an identified BMP acreage from the BMP targets identified in Actions 3.2 – 3.5	Berks County Conservation District (BCCD), Berks County Planning Commission (BCPC)/GIS, 319 plan comm., local municipalities, County GIS, Ag Preserve. Board, NRCS	All areas (all catchments to be analyzed and prioritized)  Analyses order will follow "worst-to-first" hierarchy based on Catchment Management Database (CMD) mass and incremental	total catchment groups: 2021-4, 2022-18, 2023-4  Existing funding timeline: 27 total catchment groups, 6/ year (2022-2026)	identification, etc. for catchments in these areas	(CMD), County GIS, USGS SPARROW, Practice Keeper (PK)	NFWF SWG/ INSRG programs; \$30k verifications funding		associated with assessments, engage., data entry, etc. that drive long-term	\$30,000 implementation gran obtained for physical BMP verifications and entry of data into Practice Keeper. Completed a practice verification run (test run) of 1 watershed (5 catchments). Overall process being finetuned for remaining catchments based on results of the test run (actions to be finalized include identification of exact data entry repsonbilities (PK vs. PK partner portal, transfer of information, etc.).
3.1	Catchments Assessments and Prioritization	Game plan by late 2021		loading scores.		GIS overlay analyses of individual catchments to outline engagements, opportunities, etc. ("game plan" for each catchment)					
						Results of these analyses are intended to outline specific BMP implementation actions. Implementation actions will only be realized with additional funding and permitting flexibility across all considerations.*					

							Resource	s <u>Available</u>	Resource	es <u>Needed</u>	
Action # Green Yellow Red	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	*add new 2022 progress above the existing 2021 progress. Date each entry
		Soil Conservation and WQ Plans – 12,000 acres Nutrient Management Core Nitrogen – 10,500 acres	BCCD, NRCS, Ag Technical Service Providers (TSPs), farmers, Center for Watershed Protection	All areas with emphasis provided towards prioritized	On-going with inherent tie to Action 3.1 and 319 plan(s) development	Broad slate of BMP types across ag industry and based on individual farm conservation needs as identified through CMD prioritization and 319 plan	Farm survey, NRCS, TSPs, BCCD, Ag Preserve Board, CWP,	REAP, CEG, EQIP, RCPP, MEBF, State reimb. Program,	2 persons: PK manage. and BMP verifications and/or	additional personnel (2 persons noted	BCCD has received \$2.2 million from NRCS/RCPP which has been contracted to 10 operations for structural BMP's. A grant request has
		Nutrient Management Core Phosphorus – 4,700 acres	(CWP), Chesapeake Bay Foundation (CBF)	catchments through Action 3.1 and 319 plan(s)	and implement. timelines	objectives	CBF, Penn State Ext.	PennVEST, PL566, \$30k verifications funding	reconcile. processes (these individuals	for added personnel and	been submitted to NFWF Most Effective Basins totaling \$850,000 for BMP incentive payments, precision nutrient
		Nutrient Management Placement Nitrogen – 3,400 acres		development		Several rates based on a combination of underreported BMPs where capture of unreported acres will be	_		would perform these activities indefinitely)	equipment costs)	management, cover crops and nutrient management core planning. Berks County & LSI have begun verifications in 1 watershed (5 catchments).
		Nutrient Management Timing Nitrogen – 3,800 acres				achieved through catchment prioritization efforts and Practice Keeper (PK) data entry and management				Capital Cost:	Recieved \$30,000 Implementation Grant for BMP verification & data entry into Practice Keeper.
		Nutrient Management Rate Nitrogen – 2,700 acres				Farmer resistance and buy-in				~\$8.9 million (includes ~\$300,000 for	
		Nutrient Management Placement Phosphorus – 2,700 acres				Education to be achieved via one- on-one engagements by balancing farmer's needs and wants with fitting into a	-			SC plans, ~\$700,000 for cover crops, ~\$7.0 million for	
		Nutrient Management Timing Phosphorus – 2,700 acres				recognized BMP for nutrient and sediment reductions.				AWS, and ~\$40,000 for prescribed grazing	
		Nutrient Management Rate Phosphorus – 2,700 acres				Limited definition of cover crops and what counts as a reduction*				practices)	
		Conservation Tillage – 6,100 acres				Transfer of plans in NRCS platform to PK would reduce long-term additional resource needs tied to data entry*					
		High Residue Tillage – 6,600 acres Traditional Cover Crops –				Multiple composting approaches should be considered in the 2023					
	Promote and monitor as RMP	4,500 acres  Cover Crops with Fall				scenario update (Mushroom composting may be an additional potential alternative for reductions*)					

							Resources	<u>Available</u>	Resource	es <u>Needed</u>	
Action # Green Yellow Red	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	*add new 2022 progress above the existing 2021 progress. Date each entry
3.2		Nutrients — 4,500 acres  Commodity Cover Crops — 550 acres  Prescribed Grazing — 500 acres  Pasture Alternative Watering — 400 acres  Horse Pasture Management — 20 acres  Barnyard Runoff Controls — 2 acres  Loafing Lot Management — 2 acres  Dairy Precision Feeding — 2,300 animal units  Animal Waste Management Systems — 7,800 animal units  Manure Transport out of Berks County — 2,000 dry tons/year  Mortality Composting — 3 systems  Manure Incorporation — 700 acres				Engagements with retailers/vendors and NRCS for fertilizers should help long-term promotion of nutrient management goals  Clear messaging regarding livestock in streams would improve engagements with farmers*  CAP implementation funding as incentive payments via EQIP/NRCS funding  Identification of demonstration projects based on initial farmer engagements during Action 3.1 activities to be able to demonstrate worthiness of a particular BMP will provide a strong basis for future buy-in  350 inspections occurring annually (with NRCS visiting ~500 farms/year), these efforts and knowledge will streamline Action 3.1 activities for farms in targeted catchments; added persons would augment these visits for verifications					

							Resource	s <u>Available</u>	Resourc	es <u>Needed</u>	
Action # Green Yellow Red	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	*add new 2022 progress above the existing 2021 progress. Date each entry
3.3	Promote and monitor urban/ developed BMP implementation	Runoff Reduction Performance Standards – 400 acres  Stormwater Treatment Performance Standards – 200 acres  Extended Dry Ponds – 50 acres  Infiltration Practices – 40 acres  Bioretention – 25 acres  Vegetated Open Channels – 25 acres  Impervious Disconnection – 0.24 acres  Advanced IDD&E Control – 140 acres treated  Conservation Landscaping – 40 acres  Urban Tree Canopy – 1 acre  Urban Forest Planting – 10 acres  Urban Nutrient Management – 650 acres  Conv. Septic Denitrification – 150 systems	Local municipalities, local watershed groups, BerksNature, Alliance for the Chesapeake Bay (ACB), County GIS, developers	Developed and semi-rural areas (all catchments)	inherent tie to	Significant reconciliation of numbers is necessary (to be completed via catchment analyses)  Individual municipal engagements via one-on-one engagements and as part of the catchment prioritization processes will be key for "buy-in" and establishing individual municipal needs.  Identify needs and assistance channels for compliant MS4 programs (specifically MCM #3 and education/outreach channels) by individual muni.  Modify implementation rates in 2023 after catchment targeting inventories generated.  319 plan efforts will focus on ag, Coord. AT will focus on complementing efforts for developed areas in applicable catchments.  Track developments by catchments (to track impervious)  Separate database may need to be considered for capturing all Ch. 102/ land development BMPs already in place*	Local engineers, DEP, County, MS4 group, County GIS, Clean Water Acedemy, EPA, ACB	GG, NFWF, CBT, DCNR, Keystone, developers, municipal, PennVEST		Capital Cost:     ~\$19.9 million     (includes     ~\$8,000 for     conservation     landscaping,     ~\$6.3 million for     SWM facilities,     and ~\$5.7     million for     septic systems)  Costs are based     on if full slate of     BMPs are     required),     current high- level     assumption is     60%-75% of the     proposed BMPs     are already     implemented     but not     captured     through an     appropriate     reporting     mechanisms	Implementation is occuring. However, data capture and reporting processes are still under development and/or being finalized. One item under consideration/ review is the implementation of a title block requirements for land development plans that pass through county planning (and non-ag BMPs can be captured at that point).

							Resource	s <u>Available</u>	Resource	es <u>Needed</u>	
Action # Green Yellow Red	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	*add new 2022 progress above the existing 2021 progress. Date each entry
3.4	Promote and monitor riparian buffers and stream BMP implementation	Forest buffers — 300 acres  Forest buffers with exclusion fencing — 50 acres  Narrow forest buffers with exclusion fencing — 50 acres  Grass Buffers — 300 acres  Grass Buffers with exclusion fencing — 10 acres  Narrow grass buffers with exclusion fencing — 10 acres  Urban forest buffers — 20 acres  Urban stream restoration — 1,500 linear feet  Non-urban stream restoration — 8 acres  Wetland restoration — 8 acres  Dirt &Gravel Road Program (Driving Surface + Raising the Roadbed) — 750 linear feet	BCCD, ACB, CBF, Stroud, TSPs, local municipalities, local watershed groups, local municipalities, Ag Preserve. Board	All areas with emphasis provided towards prioritized catchments	Ongoing with inherent tie to Action 3.1 and 319 plan(s) development timelines	Landowner/farmer resistance or buy-in  Buffers with exclusion fencing are exclusive to riparian corridors (and applied to pasture land uses); Buffers (no exclusion fencing) are not exclusive to riparian corridors and applied to crop, hay, turfgrass, and similar land uses (can be applied to field borders and similar upland scenarios)  Newly acquired buffer maintenance equipment should assist with long-term considerations	ACB, CBF, BerksNature, BCCD, Stroud, DCNR, NRCS	CREP, DCNR, GG, MEBF, Keystone, NFWF	provide long- term verification processes	Capital Cost:     ~\$4.4 million     (includes ~\$1.2     million for     forest buffers     and ~\$2.5     million for     stream     restoration)  Long-term     maintenance     costs will need     TBD	BCCD received \$450,000 Growing Greener to implement 50 acres of riparian buffers in CB watershed in Berks County. Catchment Targeting efforts include capture of known buffers for inventory building.

							Resources	<u>Available</u>	Resourc	es <u>Needed</u>	
Action # Green Yellow Red	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	*add new 2022 progress above the existing 2021 progress. Date each entry
3.5	Promote and monitor conservation/ preservation BMP implementation	Forest Conservation – 293 acres  Agricultural Conservation – 492 acres	BCCD, Ag. preserve. Board, BerksNature, local municipalities, Kittatinny Coalition	All areas	On-going	Carbon credits program for private forests (provides incentives for forest conservation that also provides nutrient and sediment reductions)  BerksNature, Ag Preserve. Board, and Kittatinny Coalition are drivers for preserved farms	BerksNature, CBF				Approximately 108 acres of farmland preserved thus far in 2022.
		Wetland Conservation – 15 acres									
3.6	Data Management	Action 3.1 established processes by fall of 2021 to dictate data management tasks and activities	County, BCCD	All areas	Ongoing; game plan by late summer/ early fall 2021 (tied to Catchment Targeting Action 3.1)	House the master CMD and related attributes and inventory at County GIS  Final game plan for Catchment Targeting Initiative will dictate layers and attributes table	PK, FieldDoc, County GIS				Developed and implemented game plan for Catchment Targeting initiative along with Berks County Planning Commission, BCCD & Ag Preservation. Coded yellow as final details for capture of nonag BMPs still under development.
						Ag information stored in PK at BCCD					
3.7	Programs/plans alignment as	Master list of plan(s) overlays as part of Action 3.1 established assessment processes game plan	County, BCCD, CWP, CBF	All areas/ catchments	On-going with master list established as part of Action 3.1	Ensure efforts do not conflict and/or align with other efforts; alignment protocols built into Catchment Targeting processes.  Push/pull applicable information/data from 319 plan(s) development processes	MS4 PRPs, Comp Plan, Source Water Protection (SWP) program, and related local plans				Primary focus is centered around the BMPs called for in the Hazard Mitigation Plan against the BMPs called for in the BBAP.
3.7	Programs/plans alignment as part of assessment process					Action 3.1 assessments include plan/data overlays during desktop analysis portion of activities (intent is to potentially match BMP opps. With previous plan(s) objectives)					

							Resource	s <u>Available</u>	Resource	es <u>Needed</u>	A
Action # Green Yellow Red	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	*add new 2022 progress above the existing 2021 progress. Date each entry
3.8	BMP Reporting Reconciliation		BCCD, local municipalities, local watershed groups, County, CWP, CBF, TSPs	All areas	On-going; tied to Catchment Targeting and 319 plan(s) development findings, and Action 3.6 for data manage	(County GIS) appropriately	Local engineers/ consultants, TSPs, BCCD	\$30k verifications funding		noted under Action 3.2 for ag- related BMPs	