		<u>Green</u> - ac	tion has been com	npleted or is movi	ng forward as	planned <u>Yellow</u> - ac	tion has encount	ered minor obstac	les Red - action has not bee	n taken or has encour	ntered a serious barrier
						Potential	Resource	es <u>Available</u>	Resources <u>N</u>	<u>eeded</u>	Annual Progress to Date
			Responsible			Implementation					
Action		Performance	Party(ies) and	Geographic	Expected	Challenges or					
#	Description	Target(s)	Partnerships	Location	Timeline	Recommendations	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	
Priori	ty Initiative 1: C	ounty Progran	nmatic Initia	tives							
1.1A	Implement County Comprehensive Plan policies and actions	Centre Regional Growth Boundary Full Implementation as part of Centre Region Comp Plan (2013) Ensure that growth activities address existing water quality impairments through stormwater BMP implementation already required by local ordinance	CCPC, growth boundary municipalities, Centre Region Planning Agency	Ferguson, Patton, State College Borough, College Township, Harris Township	Ongoing	Educating municipalities, Updating local plans and ordinances, Growth areas not consistent with Census Urbanized Areas and their contributing storm sewersheds	Education, outreach	N/A	Local planning coordination	N/A	2021 - Based upon initial outreach, the Centre Regional Planning Agency may be better positioned to achieve these implementation goals for the geographic area identified. Pending progress/completion of the Climate Action Plan and any assistance from the Centre County Conservation District for plan writing to Ferguson Township farmers with the Stormwater Impact Fees, they may want to take the lead while the Centre County Planning and Community Development Office (CCPCDO) plays a supporting role. The CAP was presented to the regional planning commissions outside of the Centre Region however, little interest or support has been vocalized. The connection of development within the regional growth boundary to water quality impairments will continue to be discussed at routine meetings.
1.18	Implement County Comprehensive Plan policies and actions	Map existing AMD BMPs and address additional impairments in Moshannon Creek and Beech Creek Explore DEP Pilot Project for Chesapeake Bay Program Nutrient Reduction Credit	Moshannon Creek Watershed Association, DEP Office of Mining	Moshannon Creek Watershed, Phillipsburg, Rush Township, Show Shoe Township, Burnside Township	2021 – pilot initiation	Majority of Association's work has occurred in Clearfield County, drought can make sampling inconsistent, additional sampling network and BMP implementation with volunteers only, EPA acceptance of results where correlations may be drawn (data may be inconclusive on the short-term)	Field work, Project identification	N/A	Project design	Assume \$500k needed per project	2021 - Moshannon Creek Watershed Associations current focus is on project work (new installs, maintenance, rehabilitation of old BMPs). Mapping existing BMPs is not a high priority but will be considered in future years.

		<u>Green</u> - ac	tion has been com	pleted or is movir	ng forward as		tion has encounte		es <u>Re</u>	 '		ntered a serious barrier
						Potential	Resource	<u>Available</u>		Resources N	<u>eeded</u>	Annual Progress to Date
			Responsible			Implementation						
Action		Performance	Party(ies) and	Geographic	Expected	Challenges or						
#	Description	Target(s)	Partnerships	Location	Timeline	Recommendations	Technical	Financial		Technical	Financial	
1.1C	Advance local comprehensive planning efforts Penns Valley, 2006 – Implement the Source Water Protection Plan	An annual review of the document and setting/fulfilling 1 goal. Partner with NRCS to determine eligibility of improvements regarding source water protection and the 2018 Farm Bill	Centre Hall Borough, Centre Hall Water Authority	Centre Hall, Millheim, Penn Township, Potter Township	1-2 years	Reinforcing the municipal role in coordinating with the water authorities to perform education and outreach. Recommendations include putting SWP plans on the municipal websites and consider annual/bi-annual joint meetings and informational mailings to property owners who are in proximity to Zone 1 (well heads). Source water projection is relevant to Chesapeake Bay pollutant load reductions because reducing pollution to groundwater reduces discharges to local streams, while contributing to the Bay goals and protecting local drinking water sources.	Educational flyer forms and activities lists. Coordination of meetings and continued education.	Minimal for printing, postage, website maintenance (\$250)	N/A		N/A	Commission is gaining traction on completing the regional comp plan update and hosted a joint water systems operators' meeting in July 2021. The outcome of the meeting was to foster better communication and thus coordination between the water systems to address operational issues. Future meetings may include the source water protection discussion to advance this initiative.

		<u>Green</u> - ac	tion has been com	pleted or is movir	ng forward as	planned <u>Yellow</u> - ac	tion has encount	ered minor obstac	les Red - action has not bee	n taken or has enco	untered a serious barrier
						Potential	Resource	es <u>Available</u>	Resources No	<u>eeded</u>	Annual Progress to Date
			Responsible			Implementation					
Action		Performance	Party(ies) and	Geographic	Expected	Challenges or					
#	Description	Target(s)	Partnerships	Location	Timeline	Recommendations	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	
1.1D	Advance local comprehensive planning efforts Penns Valley, update – Facilitate efforts to minimize flood impacts	Not set in comp plan As outreach occurs in Penns Valley, be mindful of this need and be on the lookout for opportunity to advance the effort	Gregg Twp, Millheim Boro, Penn Township, Penns Valley Conservation Association	Within responsible party municipalities	5-10 years	Original discussions stemmed from landowner concerns regarding flooding and flood mitigation techniques. FEMA's Community Rating System (CRS) was offered as a program solution but municipalities opted not to participate citing lack of administrative capacity. CRS includes incentives for adopting/enforcing higher floodplain development standards. Flood mitigation is relevant to Chesapeake Bay pollutant load reductions because stormwater controls for development are not designed to capture pollutants from storms >around 2". Flood controls can, if considered in design and maintained, capture pollution.	CRS program guides	N/A	Flood elevation certificates for program entry Administrative capacity	N/A	2021 - The administrative capacity is not yet in place and the conversations have not occurred. However, the implementation timeline is far enough out that this item can be reviewed in future progress updates.

		<u>Green</u> - ac	tion has been com	pleted or is movir	es Red - action has not bee	n taken or has encour	tered a serious barrier				
						Potential	Resources	<u>Available</u>	Resources <u>Ne</u>	<u>eeded</u>	Annual Progress to Date
Action		Doufoussanas	Responsible	Coornanhia	Fun act ad	Implementation					
Action	D	Performance	Party(ies) and	Geographic	Expected	Challenges or	# b t 1	et	T ankatani	Pto constal	
#	Description	Target(s)	Partnerships	Location	Timeline	Recommendations	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	
1.1E -1	Advance local	Not set in comp	Municipalities,	Region-wide on	5-10 years	No set means of	Base mapping	N/A	Planning and construction	See Initiative 3.8	2021 - The Nittany Valley's concentration is on public
	comprehensive	plan	Conservation	agricultural		evaluation outlined	identification;		guidance/standards		safety issues and moving into Hazard Mitigation Plan
	planning efforts	Utilize	District, Chesapeake	lands and developed land		in comp plan and landowners'	landowner outreach; on				goals. However, the implementation timeline is far enough out that this item can be reviewed in future
	Nittany Valley, 2004	Chesapeake	Bay	developed land		volunteering to	the ground				progress updates.
	– Evaluate areas to	Conservancy data	Foundation,			plant riparian	riparian project				p. 60. 600 apassos.
	establish riparian	set to identify	Pheasants			buffers unlikely.	execution				
	buffers to stabilize	buffer gap project	Forever,			Adopting					
	stream banks and	opportunities	National Trout			ordinances not					
	limit encroachment	(2021)	Unlimited			likely on a regional					
						scale but may require a pilot					
						project in a willing					
						municipality to					
						demonstrate					
						success.					
1.1E -2	Advance local	Not set in comp	Municipality,	Marion	5-10 years	With no public	May take place	N/A	Guidance/standards	Assume \$300,000	2021 - The Nittany Valley's concentration is on public
	comprehensive	plan	Centre County	Township		water system this	in the form of			planning cost	safety issues and moving into Hazard Mitigation Plan
	planning efforts	Charle arrives als	Planning Office			would take the	an ordinance				goals. However, the implementation timeline is far
	Nittany Valley, 2004	Should outreach occur in Nittany				place of the traditional source	vs. plan				enough out that this item can be reviewed in future progress updates.
	– Implement a well	Valley, be mindful				water protection					progress apaates.
	head/borehole	of this need and				plan.					
	protection for water	be on the lookout									
	wells	for opportunity to									
		advance the effort									

		Green - ac	tion has been com	pleted or is movir	ng forward as	planned <u>Yellow</u> - ac	tion has encounte	red minor obstacle	es <u>Rec</u>	d - action has not bee	n taken or has encoun	itered a serious barrier
						Potential	Resources	<u>Available</u>		Resources <u>Ne</u>	<u>eeded</u>	Annual Progress to Date
			Responsible			Implementation						
Action		Performance	Party(ies) and	Geographic	Expected	Challenges or						
#	Description	Target(s)	Partnerships	Location	Timeline	Recommendations	Technical	Financial		Technical	Financial	
1.1E -3	Advance local	Complete a SWP	Municipality,	Walker	1-2 years	The Association	Further	N/A	N/A		Assume \$300,000	2021 - The Walker Township Water Association
	comprehensive	for Walker Twp.	Water	Township		structure vs.	discussion with				planning cost	continues to address system wide issues related to
	planning efforts	Water Association	Association, DEP,			Authority structure has proven to be	township; consultant					installing new pipe and new hydrants, so source water planning is not (from their perspective) a high
	Nittany Valley, 2004	2021 – meet with	Consultant			problematic for	driven plan.					priority. Walker Township has not vocalized their
	– Participate in	Walker Township				both DEP technical	·					position on acquiring and operating the system
	source water	and Water				and financial						under an authority structure. CCPCDO will continue
	protection planning	Association to				assistance. The						the discussion in 2022, but it is unlikely that a source
		facilitate discussion and				water system has been non-compliant						water protection plan will formulate in this area in the near future.
		identify if a				in recent years and						the near future.
		feasibility study				is in need of						
		should be				expensive repairs.						
		conducted				The township						
						should discuss the feasibility of taking						
						over the system						
						under an authority						
						and complete a						
4.45.4			6 . 5 .	6 . 5 .	0 '	SWP.	DI.	21/2	21/2		21/2	2024 The first life (2024
1.1E-4	Advance Centre Region Climate	Participate in the development of	Centre Region Planning	Centre Region	Ongoing	Connect our plans in meaningful ways	Plan development	N/A	N/A		N/A	2021 - The first half of 2021 was spent on getting public input on the development of a Centre Region
	Action and Adaption	"Clean Healthy	Agency,			so that climate	development					Climate Action and Adaptation Plan (CAAP) to help
	Plan	Water" goals	Council of			action and local						prioritize the strategies to include in the plan. The
			Governments			water quality goals						Executive Summary and full reports of the Centre
			Climate Action			can mutually						Region Sustainability Survey and the community
			and Adaptation Technical			benefit						forum on Addressing Climate Impacts in the Centre Region are available on the COG website
			Advisory									https://www.crcog.net/climateaction
			Group, Centre									and https://centresustains.com/ .
			County									
			municipalities									(COG website direct URLs to survey and forum
			with Climate Action Plans									pages: https://www.crcog.net/sustain-survey and https://www.crcog.net/climate-forum)
			ACCION FIGUS									necps.//www.creog.net/climate-forum/
												The second half of 2021 was spent writing the CAAP
												and having the 6 municipalities adopt it. The Plan
												was made available for public review in fall 2021 fall.
												Information can be found at www.crcog.net/climateaction and on FB and IG
												@centreregionalplanning. The Clean Healthy Water
												chapter ties to CAP goals and joint implementation
												will be planned in 2022.

		<u>Green</u> - ac	ction has been com	pleted or is movi	ng forward as	planned <u>Yellow</u> - ac	tion has encounte	red minor obstacl	es Red - action has not be	en taken or has encou	ntered a serious barrier
						Potential	Resource	s <u>Available</u>	Resources	<u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date
			Responsible			Implementation					
Action		Performance	Party(ies) and	Geographic	Expected	Challenges or					
#	Description	Target(s)	Partnerships	Location	Timeline	Recommendations	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	
1.1F -1	Advance local comprehensive planning efforts Milesburg/Boggs, ongoing – Work with respective water authorities to implement Source Water Protection Plans	Bi-annual review of plan and joint meeting	Milesburg Borough and Milesburg Borough Water Authority; Boggs Township and PA American Water; Bellefonte Borough/Autho rity	Within the Zone 1 and Zone 2	1-2 years	Reinforcing the municipal role in coordinating with the water authorities to perform education and outreach. Recommendations include putting SWP plans on the municipal websites and consider annual/bi-annual joint meetings and informational mailings to property owners who are in proximity to Zone 1 (well heads).	Educational flyer forms and activities lists. Coordination of meetings and continued education	Minimal for printing, postage, website maintenance (\$250)	N/A	Assume \$300,000 planning cost	2021 - This goal drew some interest at June's comp plan team meeting and concerns about the extent of future development in the Spring Creek Watershed impacting the Big Spring aquifer were voiced. Team members anticipate future land swaps between the state and private developers that are at the aquifer's headwaters. The Milesburg Borough Water Authority routinely sends DEP reports to customers, so upon plan adoption, this could be a priority goal to launch implementation.
1.1F -2	Advance local comprehensive planning efforts Milesburg/Boggs, ongoing – Adopt and enforce an onlot sewage management program	One-time adoption of ordinance with enforcement contingent upon program cycle of inspection and tank pumping.	Boggs Township, SEO	Upper Boggs Township beyond public sewer extent	5 years	Public acceptance and public hearing meetings may be contentious given associated program fees needed for program sustainability. Landowner education will be needed to promote proper on-lot septic system maintenance and risks to groundwater resources. DEP involvement through Act 537 may act as a catalyst.	N/A	N/A	Inventory of property owners with on-lot systems; program tracking software; SEO.	\$90,000 per year – employee budget, assume \$12,000 per year is recouped through permit fees	2021 - Boggs Township expressed concern about how to start up the program and what costs are associated. They seem open to discussing the program further in concept if the CCPCDO can provide some initial technical support and program start-up costs would be covered by grant.

		<u>Green</u> - ac	tion has been com	npleted or is movir	ng forward as	planned <u>Yellow</u> - ac	tion has encounte	ered minor obstacl	es Red - action has not bee	n taken or has encour	itered a serious barrier
						Potential	Resource	s <u>Available</u>	Resources No	<u>eeded</u>	Annual Progress to Date
		- (Responsible			Implementation					
Action	Description	Performance	Party(ies) and	Geographic	Expected	Challenges or	Technical	Financial	Tashriaal	Financial	
1.24	Description	Target(s) Improve flood	Partnerships CCPC,	Location	Timeline 2023-2025	Recommendations	N/A	Financial N/A	Technical	Financial	2021 - No discussions with Penns Valley regarding
1.2A	Implement County Hazard Mitigation Plan (2015, update kickoff JUNE 2020)	prone areas with BMPs that also enhance water quality	municipalities	Spring Mills (Penns, Sinking Creeks); Coburn (Penns, Elk, Pine Creeks)	2023-2025	Funding, land for BMPs	N/A	N/A	Planning, engineering, permitting, construction	\$5,000,000	hazard mitigation have taken place to date, though this is not planned to start until 2023.
1.28	Implement County Hazard Mitigation Plan (2015, update 2020-2021)	Improve flood prone areas with BMPs that also enhance water quality – address tributaries impaired by ag activities (Little Marsh Creek) and tributaries (Holt Run/Bald Eagle Creek) impaired by pathogens downstream of Milesburg Borough and upstream of Bald Eagle State Park	CCPC, Milesburg Borough, Boggs Township, Centre County Farm Bureau, Bald Eagle Creek Watershed Association, Trout Unlimited, National Fish and Wildlife Service	Milesburg Boro, Boggs Twp (lower Bald Eagle and Spring Creek);	2021 – review flood-resulting pinch points and map out upstream BMP opportunit ies	Funding, local/landowner interest	Planning		Planning, engineering, permitting, construction for ultimate solution	\$5,000,000	2021 - Pending adoption of the joint comprehensive plan (September 2021), there may be an opportunity to prioritize implementation actions.
1.3	Update/draft Act 167 Plans	Address climate change storm intensities and enhanced water quality needs with respect to development and agriculture Create new model stormwater ordinance that would require/incentiviz e additional protections for streams (high quality and impaired)	CCPC, municipal engineers, PSU	Countywide	2021 – discuss interest to pursue and funding opportunit ies	Should local involvement exist, funding for Plan update	Institutional knowledge	N/A	N/A	N/A	2021 - The CAP team discussed the existing Act 167 Plans and resulting model ordinances with key local stakeholders. The results of the discussion is that the ordinances are working as expected for flood mitigation and water quality is addressed through calculations. MS4s will be updating their ordinances based on the new DEP model ordinance in line with their permit implementation schedule.

		<u>Green</u> - ac	en taken or has encou	ntered a serious barrier							
						Potential	Resource	s <u>Available</u>	Resources <u>N</u>	<u>eeded</u>	Annual Progress to Date
			Responsible			Implementation					
Action		Performance	Party(ies) and	Geographic	Expected	Challenges or					
#	Description	Target(s)	Partnerships	Location	Timeline	Recommendations	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	
1.4	Implement County	Total preservation	Centre County	Ag land use	2021-2022	Operator	Guidelines	N/A	Guideline updates	Assume \$50,000	2021 - Centre County Conservation District
	Farmland	farm goal (53	Farmland Trust	area that fits	– explore	acceptance,	update			per farm >	established a partnership with the Farmland
	Preservation	farms in program	and Centre	farmland	incentive	additional resources	recommendati			\$2.65M	Preservation program in Centre County planning.
	Program with	currently – 8,019	County	preservation	opportunit	for plan	on				County staff and HRG created and mailed a letter
	farmland	acres, average	Agricultural	criteria	ies	development			Practice Keeper data	\$60,000 per year	about the CAP to all 53 preserved farms in the
	preservation	purchase price	Preservation			(requirement of	Priority		input/management		region. To date, two field meetings with
	program incentives	\$2,291 per acre,	Coordinator,			program),	recommendati				Conservation District staff and the Farmland
	enhancement	ADD 1-2 FARMS	NRCS,			additional staff	ons, easement		Legal support	Assume \$10,000	Preservation coordinator occurred regarding new
		EACH YEAR ON	ClearWater			need to handle	revision			budget	preserved easements, which resulted in two
		AVERAGE)	Conservancy,			inspection and	recommendati				additional Ag E&S plans to be input into
		Farmland Trust –	PennAg			paperwork,	ons,				PracticeKeeper.
		15 parcels	Industries			incentivize BMP	supplemental				
			Association,			installation as a	BMP funding				No additional mailings are planned – working on in-
		Preserved farms	PDA			farmland	research				person meetings with preserved farm owners to
		will implement				preservation goal,					discuss conservation plans & BMPs on property.
		and maintain				O&M partnership,					Currently at 4 field meetings for farmland
		pollution control				verification,					preservation applications – resulted in two
		BMPs beyond				landowner					additional Ag E&S Plans to be input into
		what is required				acceptance/potenti					PracticeKeeper as well as two Conservation Plans to
		for compliance				al barriers to land					be developed. The County Farmland Preservation
						preservation goals					Program received a grant from American Farmland
											Trust to create an Action Plan for Soil Health for
											Preserved Farms. The Centre County Conservation
											District will be assisting their office with plan
											development and implementation.

Green - action has been completed or is moving forward as planned Yellow - action has encountered minor obstacles Red - action has not be									n taken or has encour		
						Potential	Resource	s <u>Available</u>	Resources No	<u>eeded</u>	Annual Progress to Date
			Responsible			Implementation					
Action		Performance	Party(ies) and	Geographic	Expected	Challenges or					
#	Description	Target(s)	Partnerships	Location	Timeline	Recommendations	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	
1.5A	Establish funding/staff support to assist the Agricultural community (day to day support) 1140 ag parcels in Centre Co	700 farms have their plans, including NRCS plans, might get up to 900 farms as this grant gets finished → focus on BMP implementation for these farms 86,000 acres under manure management plans/ nutrient management plans 70,000 acres under ag E&S plans	CCPD, CCCD, Contracted planners	Centre County ag land	2021-2025	Limited compliance activities by DEP. Insufficient funding for public sector staff to assist the ag community.	Field verification, troubleshootin g	N/A	1 engineer, 1 planner, 2 technicians	\$350,000 per year	2021 - Information pulled from October 1, 2020 through July 15, 2021 (not inclusive of information in PracticeKeeper prior to this date): New Act 38 plan acreage: 1,753 acres New MMP plan acreage: 4,322 acres New Ag E&S plan acreage: 5,546 acres The Centre County Conservation District applied for, and received, a Growing Greener grant to pay Contract Plan Writers to develop plans for interested farmers in Centre County. The Plan Writer develops both plans (not Act 38) if needed by the operation. The grant pays the Plan Writer directly (anywhere from \$350-\$1500 per plan). The grant requires the farmer to pay \$50 (total), which considerably alleviates the financial burdens associated with obtaining plans. Daina Beckstrand is the certified Act 38 Specialist in the office and she reviews all Act 38 plans for Centre County producers. Allyson Ulsh is working under a provisional certification to also
1.5B	Develop plans	2 plans per month per consultant, assume backlog is complete (ag E&S and manure mgmt. plans)	CCCD, Contracted planners	Centre County ag land	2022-2023	Utilize outreach program to get landowners signed up for this during Winter 2020/2021	Contracted planners	\$50/plan to be paid to contractor	N/A	N/A	review Act 38 plans. 2021 - Now working with three plan writers (new plan writer started in June 2021) January 2020 to July 2021, 31 plans written by private plan writers through the District's Growing Greener grant. 6/1/2019 (Date of grant received) – Plan Writers first began in January 2020, Total as of 8/23/2021 is 35 Plans written.

		<u>Green</u> - ac	tion has been con	pleted or is movir	is moving forward as planned <u>Yellow</u> - action has encountered minor ob			red minor obstacl	es Red - action has not be	een taken or has encour	itered a serious barrier
						Potential	Resource	s <u>Available</u>	Resources	<u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date
			Responsible			Implementation					
Action		Performance	Party(ies) and	Geographic	Expected	Challenges or					
#	Description	Target(s)	Partnerships	Location	Timeline	Recommendations	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	
1.5C	Chesapeake Bay Technical Inspection/ Plan Data Gathering	Collect data regarding existing farm plans and implementation progress 50 farms per year → 200 farms through 2025	CCCD	Ag land use area	2021-2025	Farmer knowledge of the location and contents of their plan, obtaining copies of existing plans to determine if they are up to date	Site visits and plan reviews	\$200,000	N/A	N/A	2021 - The Chesapeake Bay Agricultural Inspection Program is on schedule for 2021 and plan information is being entered into PracticeKeeper as part of the program workflow. As of May 31, 2021: 51 farms inspected. The Phase 1 inspection scope is completed in addition to farm networking, operational details, funding programs and the farmer's resource concerns. Implementation is discussed in relation to BMPs listed in the plans as well as updating plans at the time of inspection to include BMPs that have been installed but not previously recorded in existing Plans. "Inspections" are often not the forefront of the conversation as the term does not foster a two-way relationship within the agricultural community.
1.5D	Other Plan Data Gathering	Collect data regarding existing farm plans and implementation progress ~45 farms per year → 170 farms through 2025	CCCD, HRG, Ag Consultants, NRCS, Chesapeake Conservancy	Ag land use area	2021-2025	Farmer knowledge of the location and contents of their plan, obtaining copies of existing plans to determine if they are up to date	50 farm visits per year Existing plans data sharing Request/revie w plans as part of farm support	Conservation Plan Inventory	Existing plan data	Assume 20 plans per year (\$120,000 per year)	2021 - As of July 15, 2021: 11 additional plans (not collected through inspection program). Farmers provided them to the Conservation District as a result of their relationships with landowners and staff's discussions with them during phone calls, REAP application rounds, etc.
1.6A	LandscapeU Partnership – Planning	Receive project opportunity/priori tization deliverable to assist with 2021 implementation steps	PSU Profs – Erica Smithwick, Jason Kaye; graduate students	Legacy sediment landscapes Riparian properties Preserved farms	2021	Student ownership of assignment, water quality monitoring data compatibility/consis tency, GIS knowledge	Prioritization deliverable Background data Spring Creek Watershed Atlas WRMP Report	N/A	N/A	N/A	2021 - Centre County received the student reports from the 2020-2021 academic year and is considering the conclusions for next steps and additional student project opportunities. Link to one of the public reports: Overlap of Biophysical Need for and Sociodemographic Indicators of Willingness to Adopt Riparian Buffers in Centre County, PA (psu.edu)

	Green - action has been completed or is moving forward as planned Vellow - action has encountered minor obstacles Red - action has not been taken or has encountered a serious barrier												
						Potential	Resources	<u>Available</u>	Resources <u>Ne</u>	<u>eeded</u>	Annual Progress to Date		
A - A ¹ - · · ·		Daniform and	Responsible	Canaman kin	F	Implementation							
Action		Performance	Party(ies) and	Geographic	Expected	Challenges or							
#	Description	Target(s)	Partnerships	Location	Timeline	Recommendations	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial			
1.6B	LandscapeU	Develop graduate	PSU Profs –	Legacy	2022-2025	Continued graduate	Outreach boots	N/A	Digital and print materials	TBD – might link to	2021 - Centre County received the student reports		
	Partnership –	students so that	Erica	sediment		engagement as	on the ground		highlighting local projects	other Priority	from the 2020-2021 academic year and is		
	Implementation	they can	Smithwick,	landscapes		students graduate				Initiatives' budgets	considering the conclusions for next steps and		
		effectively engage in landowner	Jason Kaye;	Riparian		through program, implementation					additional student project opportunities for the 2021-2022 school year.		
		outreach during	graduate students	properties		funding					2021-2022 SCHOOL YEAR.		
		implementation	Students	properties		runung							
		years		Preserved									
		years		farms									
1.6C	Quantify	Work with office	PSU Office of	PSU-owned/	2021	Getting maximum	Institutional	N/A	N/A	N/A	2021 - This initiative is on hold until FieldDoc is		
	Land/BMPs	of physical plant	Physical Plant?,	managed land		credits for	knowledge				running for urban BMP data collection. Ag BMPs will		
	Managed by Penn	and other PSU	PSU College of	in Centre		experimental BMPs					be documented in PracticeKeeper as appropriate.		
	State University	groups to ensure	Ag Sciences	County									
		that water quality											
		improvements											
		that they manage are captured in											
		CAST/FieldDoc											
1.6D	Leverage Ag	Have a booth and	CCCD, CCPD,	Countywide	2021	Preparation time,	Educational	NFWF grant	Class offering	N/A	2021 - Centre County Conservation District staff		
	Progress Days as an	class(es) at Ag	Chesapeake	,		county staff	materials and	funding,	S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	,	participated with the riparian buffer tour and		
	opportunity to	Progress Days	Bay			availability,	demonstration	assume \$5,000			pollinator plots, while providing educational		
	educate more	2021	Foundation,			opportunity to	exhibits	could be			materials at Ag Progress Days. The Conservation		
	farmers on local		Chesapeake			encourage farm		invested in this			District also presented information at the Grange		
	water quality issues		Conservancy,			stakeholders to					Fair.		
	and solutions		4R Alliance			participate with us							

		<u>Green</u> - ac	tion has been com	pleted or is movir	ng forward as	planned <u>Yellow</u> - ac	tion has encounte	red minor obstacle	es Red - action has not bee	n taken or has encour	ntered a serious barrier
						Potential	Resource	s <u>Available</u>	Resources Ne	<u>eeded</u>	Annual Progress to Date
			Responsible			Implementation					
Action		Performance	Party(ies) and	Geographic	Expected	Challenges or					
#	Description	Target(s)	Partnerships	Location	Timeline	Recommendations	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	
1.7	Water quality	Develop messages	Board of	Countywide	2021 –	Simplifying the	Communicatio	N/A	Website development and	\$30,000 per year	2021 - Conservation District staff have developed
	communication	and audience;	Commissioners	-	develop	resources that are	ns skillset		continued maintenance		content for a <u>CAP website</u> and post educational
	plan, leveraging	execute plan and	staff,		local	available					information on Facebook. County Planning staff are
	existing documents	distribute	Penn State		content,						taking the lead on branding and a written
	and covering topics	messaging	Sustainability		timing,						communications plan/message strategy, to be
	including	through staff and	Institute,		identify						completed by the end of the year.
	Homeowner Guide	partners	PA DEP		responsibl						
	to protecting		Northcentral		e staff						
	Drinking Water,		Office,								
	Agricultural Practices and BMPs		Penn State Extension								
	that reduce		(Master								
	pollution, Methods		Gardeners),								
	and land use		PA Rural Water								
	controls that		Association,								
	protect		Penn State								
	groundwater quality		LandscapeU,								
	and control quantity		Clearwater								
	(Riparian & Forest		Conservancy,								
	Buffers, slope		Trout								
	control), Proper		Unlimited								
	Turf Management		(Spring Creek								
	Methods (Natural		Chapter),								
	Landscaping and/or		Penns Valley								
	Chemical		Conservation								
	Application and		Association,								
	Treatment		Spring Creek								
	Measures), The		Watershed								
	Homeowner's Guide to		Commission, Moshannon								
	Stormwater,		Creek								
	Urban/Suburban		Watershed								
	BMPs		Coalition								
	= •		(Association),								
			Bald Eagle								
			Watershed								
			Association,								
			USDA-NRCS,								
			DCNR,								
			PSU AEC								

		<u>Green</u> - ac	tion has been com	pleted or is movir	g forward as	planned Yellow - act	tion has encounte	red minor obstacle	es Red - action has not been	n taken or has encour	ntered a serious barrier
						Potential	Resources	<u>Available</u>	Resources <u>Ne</u>	<u>eeded</u>	Annual Progress to Date
			Responsible			Implementation					
Action		Performance	Party(ies) and	Geographic	Expected	Challenges or					
#	Description	Target(s)	Partnerships	Location	Timeline	Recommendations	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	
1.8	Countywide WQ	Demonstrate	Centre County	MS4	2021	DEP acceptance of	Engineering/M	N/A	For implementation, if the	\$150,000 per year	2021 - HRG had a discussion with the Harris
	Credit Offset Pilot	measurable	Municipalities,	watershed		approach, permit	S4 permit		pilot works, 1 FT MS4		Township engineer to brainstorm pilot project areas
		success of a pilot	Centre County	HUC12 pilot		compliance	requirement		Coordinator, 1 PT ag		that would be potential candidates for a more cost
	Variables to	project area	Agricultural	area		coordination among	coordination		Coordinator		effective and local water quality beneficial approach
	consider:	where MS4-	Preservation			sectors					to implementing their MS4 Pollutant Reduction Plan
	# of Farms/Forest	regulated areas	Program, the				Project		Project design and	Unknown until	(PRP). Because cost effective projects have already
	preserved within	and non-regulated	Centre County				opportunity		construction	concept is	been identified across all of the MS4s in the county,
	the Corridors of	areas can benefit	Farmland				ideas			developed and	we will explore candidate projects over the life of
	Opportunity (COO)	from achieving	Trust,							cost-savings are	the CAP but will likely not pursue implementation of
	 Miles of riparian 	sediment and	Centre County							calculated	any until the municipalities' next MS4 permit
	buffer created	nutrient goals	Conservation								application requirement is known.
	within COOs.		District,								
	• # of Ag. BMPs		Clearwater								
	established within		Conservancy,								
	COOs.		Spring Creek								
	 Miles of Stream 		Watershed								
	stabilization and		Commission,								
	restoration within		Trout								
	COOs.		Unlimited								
	Spring Creek		(Spring Creek								
	Watershed		Chapter),								
	Resource		Penns Valley								
	Monitoring		Conservation								
	Quarterly Progress		Association								
	# SWM facilities *********************************										
	maintained within										
	municipal park										
	systems ■ Acres of										
	Preserved Open										
	Space and										
	Environmentally										
	Sensitive Areas										
	Sensitive Areas					1					

						Potential	Resource	s <u>Available</u>	Resources	Needed	Annual Progress to Date
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	
Priori	ity Initiative 2: R	.	•	I							
2.1A	Existing BMP cataloguing (quantity and location) for select BMPs, expanding on general recommendations provided in QAPP BMPs = forest buffers, urban forest buffers, urban grass buffers, wet ponds and wetlands, fencing	Expand use of existing buffer layer with urban hydrology layer R&D into distinguishing ag, pasture, and turf covers from grassed buffers Manual digitizing where leaf-off <1 ft resolution imagery is available Back check with staff field views where required Add data to Practice Keeper or another batch upload option (FieldDoc)	Lead - Chesapeake Conservancy Stakeholder peer review - PSU, USGS, Farm Bureau, PDA, EPA	Centre County	2021 – cataloguin g 2022 – Practice Keeper batch upload processing and field views	EPA acceptance of the approach, further refine guidance in QAPP so that counties can accomplish this or so that the state can take the burden off of counties, utilize the approach to catalogue existing BMPs and do on the ground verification where required for reporting purposes, this is an accelerated BMP catch up approach while we continue to provide support to farmers on planning and BMP installs, reduce the amount of interruption of government entities to compliant farm	Precision Conservation Tools General methodology outline BMP field backcheck	N/A	Further GIS and data processing/method refinement	\$46,000 (2021 only) Assume \$200,000 annual salary/benefit need for 2 staff people to follow up on BMP verification annually	2021 - Funding for the initial BMP cataloguing project has not been obtained. HRG and Chesapeake Conservancy are working on a white paper that will be presented to DEP. THIs white paper outlines the need for more remote sensing work to be done, specifically, by taking next steps with the NRCS PA BMP Remote Sensing Pilot Project (Potomac River Basin) process and findings. By taking this step, the initiative is behind schedule and not yet funded, but we hope to build momentum toward a successful outcome, including buy-in from EPA/Bay Program.

		<u>Green</u> - ac	tion has been com	pleted or is movir	ng forward as	planned <u>Yellow</u> - ac	tion has encounte	ered minor obstac	les Red - action has not bee	n taken or has encoun	tered a serious barrier
						Potential	Resource	es <u>Available</u>	Resources N	<u>eeded</u>	Annual Progress to Date
			Responsible			Implementation					
Action		Performance	Party(ies) and	Geographic	Expected	Challenges or					
#	Description	Target(s)	Partnerships	Location	Timeline	Recommendations	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	
2.1B	Identify future	BMP opportunity	Lead -	Centre County	2021 –	Different data set	Precision	N/A	Further GIS and data	\$44,000 (2021)	2021 - See 2.1A for progress as this initiative is a
	ag/urban project	analysis – ag	Chesapeake		cataloguin	scales/precision	Conservation		processing/method		companion initiative.
	opportunities using automated means	conservation, land retirement,	Conservancy		g		Tools		refinement		
	automateu means	alternative crop,	Stakeholder		2022 –						
		forest	peer review -		batch						
		conservation,	PSU, USGS,		upload						
		stream	Farm Bureau,		processing		Batch upload				
		restoration	PDA,		and field		processing				
		Back check with	municipalities with MS4		views		BMP field				
		staff field views	permits		2023 –		backcheck				
			p a man		2025 –						
		Batch upload to			implement						
		FieldDoc to			ation						
		calculate credit			focus						
2.2	Improve continuous	opportunity Add development-	Municipal	Urban/suburba	2022	Non-MS4	Reporting	N/A	Training	N/A	2021 - The CAP team discussed the existing Act 167
2.2	data collection on	related BMPs to	engineers,	n landscape	2022	engagement	platform	N/A	Trailing	N/A	Plans and resulting model ordinances with key local
	urban structural and	CAST/FieldDoc so	Bellefonte			(what's in it for			Municipal data input	Assume \$5000	stakeholders, and the need for urban BMP reporting
	non-structural	that as land use	Borough,			them if they don't				administrative cost	was also discussed. Since the County records plans
	practices	data sets are	Chesapeake			already have a BMP				per municipality	that are as-builts, it is possible to go back through
		updated, there are accompanying	Conservancy?			database?), difficulty obtaining				per year and 7 developing	the plans and capture BMPs for reporting in FieldDoc. Going back to 2010 is an option since
		BMPs				past information				municipalities ->	that's when operation and maintenance agreements
						(MS4s typically				\$35,000 per year	started to be required.
						have databases					·
						from 2003-present)					
2.3	Implement a documentation	Support fertilizer legislation –	Centre County – department	Countywide	TBD	Education of responsible parties,	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	2021 - This initiative is being pursued by legislators. HRG has coordinated with the Chesapeake Bay
	program for	where legislation	to be			receiving timely					Commission staff on the topic and receives periodic
	commercial and	requires	determined			information,					updates.
	homeowner	reporting, be the				training on					·
	nutrient	data				reporting system,					
	applications in	clearinghouse				will need direction					
	developed lands					from State on what's expected					
						and any reporting					
						system that's					
						developed					

Phase 3 Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP) Annual Progress Update – CENTRE COUNTY
--

		<u>Green</u> - ac	tion has been com	pleted or is movin	ng forward as	planned <u>Yellow</u> - ac	tion has encounte	red minor obstacle	es Red - action has not bee	n taken or has encoun	tered a serious barrier
						Potential	Resource	s <u>Available</u>	Resources <u>N</u>	<u>eeded</u>	Annual Progress to Date
			Responsible			Implementation					
Action		Performance	Party(ies) and	Geographic	Expected	Challenges or					
#	Description	Target(s)	Partnerships	Location	Timeline	Recommendations	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	
Driori	ty Initiative 3: A		allutant Podi	uctions			I		1		
	1				I.		I			1	
3.1	Implement BMPs	Design and install	CCCD, NRCS,	Centre County	2021-2025	Having enough in-	Design,	N/A	N/A	assume we need	2021 - The majority of plans drafted to date have
	from Growing	four manure	Chesapeake	ag land		house technical	construction			\$170k per project	documented existing BMPs. Those plans and BMPs
	Greener plan	management	Bay			assistance capacity	oversight			for	are being reported through PracticeKeeper,
	development farms	systems and	Foundation,			to see projects from				implementation →	contributing to our overall goals.
		AHUAs per year	Chesapeake			concept through				\$3.4 million	
		(20 systems total)	Conservancy			construction					As plans are written, Plan Writers will write in BMPs
											that can be implemented within 3 years of the plans'
		13 acres of									date of development. The Growing Greener Plan
		treated area,									Writing Grant is mostly dedicated to assisting farms
		assuming we build									with plan development and documentation of BMPs
		20 more loafing									already implemented on the operation. The District
		lots (5 farms per									has other Growing Greener grants dedicated to
		year)									installing new BMPs to protect resource concerns on
											Centre County operations as well as CAP Block Grant
											funding and CEG Grant funding.

		<u>Green</u> - acti	ion has been com	pleted or is movin	g forward as	planned <u>Yellow</u> - ac	tion has encounte	ered minor obstacl	les Red - action has not be	en taken or has encou	intered a serious barrier
						Potential	Resource	s <u>Available</u>	Resources <u>I</u>	<u>leeded</u>	Annual Progress to Date
			Responsible			Implementation					
Action		Performance	Party(ies) and	Geographic	Expected	Challenges or					
#		Target(s)	Partnerships	Location	Timeline	Recommendations	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	
3.2	Implement Halfmoon WIP Imp Gra - 20 (wh for	P approval – 21 plementation ant Application 022 – see plan hen approved) BMP specifics rtial plementation – 23-2025	Partnerships Chesapeake Bay Foundation, Centre County Conservation District, Dr. Preisendanz Lab Group of Penn State University, DCNR, Chesapeake Conservancy, Western Pennsylvania Conservancy, Keystone 10 Million Tree Partnership, Penn State Agriculture & Environment Center, Huntingdon County Conservation District, ClearWater Conservancy, Partners for Fish & Wildlife, Penn State Extension, USDA ARS Pasture Systems and Watershed Management	Halfmoon Watershed – Halfmoon Township and Ferguson Township	Timeline 2021-2025	Recommendations Funding, landowner interest in BMPs, implementation partner coordination	Technical Outreach and coordination	\$5000	Design, permit, construction oversight	\$4,700,000	2021 - The Halfmoon WIP was approved by EPA. The plan development partners plan to get together in late summer/early summer to discuss next steps and will likely apply for 319 funding in Spring 2022.

		<u>Green</u> - ac	tion has been com	npleted or is movii	ng forward as	planned <u>Yellow</u> - ac	tion has encounte	ered minor obstac	les Red - action has not bee	n taken or has encou	ntered a serious barrier
						Potential	Resource	s <u>Available</u>	Resources Ne	<u>eeded</u>	Annual Progress to Date
A -+:		Doufousson	Responsible	Caaaaahia	Farma and a st	Implementation					
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	
3.3	Accelerated	Implement 9	Chesapeake	Beaver Branch,	2021-2024	Reinvigorating	Program	\$500,000	N/A	\$56,840	2021 - The Precision Conservation Partnership
3.3	implementation of Rapid Delisting Catchment Strategy through the Precision Conservation Partnership	previously scoped projects resulting in 29 acres of forest buffer, 9.4 acres of fencing with buffer, 11 acres of wetland restoration, 5,000 LF of stream restoration	Conservancy and the Precision Conservation Partnership stakeholders	Halfmoon – Bald Eagle, Halfmoon – Loveville, Elk Creek, Tributary to Pine Creek	2021 2024	landowner interest, design/permit/cons truction schedules, construction match dollars	management and GIS Landowner outreach	7300,000	IV/A	\$30,0 4 0	implemented 4 restoration projects during spring 2021 including 24.63 acres of forest buffer, 4.63 acres of herbaceous buffer, 890 linear feet of streambank fencing, and 910 linear feet of in-stream restoration within rapid stream de-listing catchments. This fall we have 6 additional projects fully funded where restoration will begin in rapid stream de-listing catchments. These include an additional 18.3 acres of forest buffer, 2.2 acres herbaceous buffer, 4 acres of wetland restoration, and 11,172 linear feet of streambank fencing.
3.4	Fish Hatchery Nitrogen Reduction	Address nitrogen discharges associated with fish hatchery locations – amend how they are viewed in CAST, collect environmental monitoring information to confirm/refute that they are elevated nitrogen producers, plan/design/const ruction nitrogen-reducing treatment system	PA Fish and Boat Commission, DEP Northcentral Regional Office, local watershed group, TU, Western PA Conservancy	Countywide	2021 – investigati on 2022 – next steps	Stakeholder interest, treatment challenges	CAST insight Hatchery operation insight	N/A	Monitoring study	N/A	2021 - A hatchery tour is being coordinated between PFBC and HRG during 2021. We will view the existing facilities and treatment methods and identify potential improvement needs during the tour.
3.5	4R Practice Education and Implementation	Transition manure management plans to nutrient management plans and incentivize implementation Increase existing 4R practice (N rate and timing) adoption by 2,800 acres	4R Alliance, CBF, PACD, Chesapeake Conservancy	Priority HUC	2021-2022	Landowner interest, BMP verification (annual), CBF staff loss who had a lot of landowner relationships	Educational support	CBF grant	Cost share recommendations/equipm ent/software	\$50,000	2021 - 4R Alliance staff turnover has reduced communication with the County team. Outreach restrictions due to COVID have also made having workshops to garner landowner interest more challenging. Two workshops are currently planned be held: Late August PACD-funded Homeowners Conservation Workshop, Spring 2022 PACD-funded/Chesapeake Conservancy Partnership Plan Writing Workshop in Elk Creek Watershed.

Green - action has been completed or is moving forward as planned Yellow - action has encountered minor obstacles Red - action has not been taken or has encountered minor obstacles Red - action has not been taken or has encountered minor obstacles.										n taken or has encou	ntered a serious barrier
						Potential	Resource	es <u>Available</u>	Resources N	<u>eeded</u>	Annual Progress to Date
			Responsible			Implementation					
Action		Performance	Party(ies) and	Geographic	Expected	Challenges or					
#	Description	Target(s)	Partnerships	Location	Timeline	Recommendations	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	
3.6	Low and no-till cover crop and tillage BMPs	Determine feasibility of having a county cost share program to enhance adoption of the annual practice	CCCD, Centre Co Farm Bureau	Ag land use countywide	2021 – investigati on 2022 – next steps	Capacity to manage the program, landowner interest	Transect survey Landowner education	N/A	Cost share program development	\$120,000 cost share budget per year	2021 - Additional documented no-till/cover crop practices this year, not inclusive of all recently entered plans in PracticeKeeper as documented in the GG Grant-funded plans - 1,041 - No till acreage 638 - Cover crop acreage 405 - Strip crop acreage Additional no-till operations are anticipated to come on line in the coming years as a result of 2021 REAP
		Increase conservation tillage by 1,000 acres Increase high residue tillage by 3,000 acres									applications. As plans are developed through the Growing Greener grant and/or verified through the Chesapeake Bay Inspection Program and/or additional plan sharing within farm networks, these BMPs are listed in the plans, which are then documented into PK and linked to the plan in the system.

		<u>Green</u> - ac	tion has been com	pleted or is movi	ng forward as	planned <u>Yellow</u> - ac	tion has encounte	red minor obstacle	es <u>Red</u> - action ha	not been taken or has encou	ntered a serious barrier
						Potential	Resource	s <u>Available</u>	Res	ources <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date
			Responsible			Implementation					
Action		Performance	Party(ies) and	Geographic	Expected	Challenges or					
#	Description	Target(s)	Partnerships	Location	Timeline	Recommendations	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	
3.7	Implement more pasture management BMPs	Off stream watering without fencing – 1,460 acres/10 farms Pasture Alternative Watering – 400 acres/10 farms Prescribed grazing – 3,520 acres (50% by reporting) Horse pasture management – 50 acres/3 farms Forest buffers on fenced pasture corridor – 365 acres (50% by reporting) Grass buffers on fenced pasture corridor – 1,100 acres (50% by reporting)	CCCD, NRCS, Chesapeake Bay Foundation, Chesapeake Conservancy	Centre County ag lands – landowners who raise horses, dairy, beef	2025	Landowner education, BMP funding for non- buffer work, plan updates, data gathering	Landowner education Data gathering through Bay Tech visits	CBF grant	N/A	2 grazing plans per year (\$4000 each) - \$80,000 total planning budget Assume \$70,000 per grazing mgmt. project → 10 farms, \$700,000 total project budget	2021 - Additional documented BMPs this year, not inclusive of all recently entered plans in PracticeKeeper - 222 – Prescribed Grazing acreage Anecdotally, there is less pasture on operations than previously reported, so these targets may not be realistic.

Action Performance Party(ies) and Potential Resources Potential Resources Potential Resources Potential Resources Potential Resources Potential Resources Potential Potential	
Action Performance Party(ies) and Geographic Expected Challenges or	
# Description Target(s) Partnerships Location Timeline Recommendations Technical Financial Technical Financial	
" Sessiption (argetts) Furtherships Economic Recommendations Technical Financial Financial	
3.8 Riparian buffer and Partner with ClearWater Centre County 2022 – Landowner Materials Budget Implementation – design, \$2,000,000 budget 2021 - Additional documented BMPs this ye	ar, not
re-forestation BMPs Chesapeake Bay Conservancy, line up partnerships, available to be equipment, materials inclusive of all recently entered plans in	
(not downstream Foundation to CBF, watershed landowner landowner landowner determined	
from animal plant 100,000 associations, s education, 3 acres – Riparian forest buffer	
activities) trees (portion of Chesapeake volunteer Mapping 500,000 trees that Conservancy, 2023-2025 acceptance of grass Identified responsible parties continue to in	nctall now
will be RFPed for Western PA – buffer plantings, buffers and apply for funding on an annual buffers and apply for funding on an annual buffers and apply for funding on an annual buffer plantings, buffers and apply for funding on an annual buffer plantings.	
growers) Conservancy implement grass buffer projects will be reported through the funding	
ation maintenance guide agencies' required system.	Ü
130 riparian forest for farmers, routine	
buffer acres – new site visits to confirm Remote sensing capability regarding the aer	
buffer; assume buffers are thriving,	
1100 acres are invasive species developed with State and Federal assistance reported through removal during County formalizes the need.	e as the
aerial photo establishment, it	
analysis was recommended	
by their peers that	
50 riparian grass ClearWater	
buffer acres – new Conservancy serves	
buffer; assume as the lead site	
800 acres are coordinator reported through	
aerial photo	
analysis	
8 acres – urban	
forest buffer	
3 acres – urban	
tree planting – target one	
landowner in	
urbanized area	
200 acres – urban	
forest planting –	
target one large	
landowner in urbanized area	

		<u>Green</u> - ac	tion has been com	pleted or is movir	ng forward as	planned <u>Yellow</u> - ac	tion has encounte	red minor obstacl	es Red - action has not bee	n taken or has encour	tered a serious barrier
						Potential	Resource	s <u>Available</u>	Resources N	<u>eeded</u>	Annual Progress to Date
		5.6	Responsible			Implementation					
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	
3.9A	Wetland restoration implementation on marginal production ag land	11 acres	Chesapeake Conservancy, Western PA Conservancy, Penns Valley Conservation Association	Halfmoon – Bald Eagle, Beaver Branch	2021 – early implement ation activities	Willing landowner; appropriate siting, design, and construction for successful restoration result	Landowner outreach	N/A	Preliminary design	Assume \$300,000 for land acquisition Assume \$100,000 project budget (design, permit,	2021 - Property identified in Harris Township. Next steps include scoping the project in the field and meeting with the landowner in 2022.
3.9B	Wetland restoration implementation	Identify 1 large property owner from University of Vermont restorable wetland layer to install a 350-acres-treated wetland	Wildlife for Everyone, ClearWater Conservancy, Western PA Conservancy	Priority watershed (ag or non-ag)	ID landowner (2021) Funding (2022) Design/pe rmit (2023-2024) Constructi on (2025)	WFE just finished up the Soaring Eagle wetland project, is there interest in another one? – same for ClearWater, landowner participation, permitting, funding	GIS mapping Landowner outreach	N/A	Preliminary design	\$500,000	2021 - Initial contact with a representative from Wildlife For Everyone was made without response. The CAP team would benefit from a partner organization taking the lead on this initiative. The schedule will need to be extended to insert the step of identifying a lead organization before or in parallel with identifying some candidate landowners.
3.10	Non-urban stream restoration	37,600 feet (7 miles) installed/inventori ed Assume two project installs per year per contractor team 22,200 LF in TU planned projects	CBF, Chesapeake Conservancy, TU National, Spring Creek TU, NFWS, Western PA Conservancy, Penns Valley Conservation Association	Rapid delisting areas	2021-2022 2023-2024 2025	Design/permit/cons truction cycle seems to work in two-year increments, there is an assumption that eroded/degraded streams exist based upon 403(d) listing – should that not be the case in the field, adjust quantitative goal down and ensure buffers are in place	GIS Design/GP-1 permit	Existing grant	Design, permit, construction services	Assume \$450/LF - \$7 M for total project delivery, floodplain restoration concept, not O&M Assume \$50/LF - \$1,110,000 for TU- lead projects	2021 - See Initiative 3.3 for update.
3.11	Implement more barnyard runoff control/loafing lot management/ag stormwater management	110 acres of treated area, assuming we map 79 more and build 20 more (5 farms per year)	CCCD, Chesapeake Conservancy, NRCS	Livestock farms	2021-2025	Mapping challenges, typical logistics regarding landowner buy-in and project development/fundi ng	Conservation District staff assistance, consulting ag engineers	N/A	Consultant for program management and project delivery	\$1.8 M per year, \$9 M total	2021 - CEG and Block Grant funding are being spent on projects that support this initiative. Five projects are on schedule to be completed this year including roofed heavy use areas and manure storage BMPs.

		<u>Green</u> - ac	tion has been com	pleted or is movin	ng forward as	planned <u>Yellow</u> - ac	tion has encounte	red minor obstacle	es Red - action has not been	n taken or has encour	tered a serious barrier
						Potential	Resource	s <u>Available</u>	Resources <u>Ne</u>	<u>eeded</u>	Annual Progress to Date
			Responsible			Implementation					
Action		Performance	Party(ies) and	Geographic	Expected	Challenges or					
#	Description	Target(s)	Partnerships	Location	Timeline	Recommendations	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	
3.12	Animal waste management BMP implementation for livestock	8,500 AUs managed through new BMPs	CCCD, SCC, CEG Program (future years)	Livestock farms	2021-2025	Current capacity through NRCS and CCCD implements about 5 farms projects per year; Time to get through planning, design, and construction; outreach to smaller farms that likely need the assistance; match cash value for small farms; readiness to plan/implement projects when outreach efforts yields willing landowners	Project implementatio n – 2 farms/year Project implementatio n – 3 farms/year	Assume \$280,000/year Assume \$420,000/year	Design, permit, construction services	Assume 2 projects per year, \$130,000 each, \$260,000 per year, \$1.3 M total	2021 - CEG and Block Grant funding are being spent on the construction of projects that support this initiative. 1800 AUs are being managed by these newly installed projects including beef, dairy, horses, swine, and poultry operations.
3.13	Mitigation Banking Pilot Project	Floodplain restoration and/or wetland restoration project that achieves future MS4 permit sediment compliance for a currently waived Township while yielding nitrogen reductions for UAJA's benefit	UAJA, Benner Township, Spring Township, Nittany Valley Joint Recreation Authority	Benner Township and/or Spring Township	Regulatory approval (2021- 2022) Design/pe rmitting (2023) Constructi on (2024- 25)	Landowner participation, regulatory compliance (MS4 – sediment and WWTP NPDES - nitrogen), funding for construction, recreational opportunity based on project location and public accessibility	Long-term operation and maintenance Municipal/ landowner coordination	N/A	Design, permit, construction services	Assume 2500 LF project, \$750,000 project budget	2021 - This initiative is on hold pending updates from DEP to the mitigation banking process, regulatory changes to Chapter 105, and the next MS4 permit requirements for municipalities.

		<u>Green</u> - ac	tion has been con	npleted or is movi	ng forward as	planned <u>Yellow</u> - ac	tion has encounte	red minor obstacle	es Red - action has not bee	n taken or has encoun	ntered a serious barrier		
								Potential	Resource	s <u>Available</u>	Resources <u>N</u>	<u>eeded</u>	Annual Progress to Date
			Responsible			Implementation							
Action		Performance	Party(ies) and	Geographic	Expected	Challenges or							
#	Description	Target(s)	Partnerships	Location	Timeline	Recommendations	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial			
3.14	Runoff Reduction Performance Standard and Storm Water Treatment Performance Standard Implementation	Implement existing ordinances at local municipal level Catalogue existing BMPs that fit into this category and newly built ones	CCPC, CCCD, developing municipalities	County-wide	Ongoing 2021-2025	Coordination/training for municipal staff, FieldDoc batch opportunity, non-MS4 engagement (what's in it for them?), difficulty obtaining past information (MS4s typically have databases from 2003-present)	Reporting platform	N/A	Training Municipal data input	N/A Assume \$5000 administrative cost per municipality per year and 7 developing municipalities → \$35,000 per year	2021 - This initiative is on hold until FieldDoc is running for urban BMP data collection.		
3.15	MS4-related Chesapeake Bay Pollutant Reduction Plan Implementation	Plan implementation by end of current permit term Implement bioretention, buffers, stream restoration, street sweeping, etc.	Harris Township, Patton Township, College Township, State College Borough, Ferguson Township	Joint urbanized planning area	2025	Municipal capacity to implement plans (technical, financial)	Municipal engineer design/permit, construction readiness	Unknown	N/A	N/A	2021 - The municipalities are on track regarding implementing the joint Pollutant Reduction Plan. As BMPs are implemented, they will be reported through the MS4 Annual Reports.		
3.16	Conservation Landscape/Turf to Meadow Conversion/Waters hed Forestry Initiative	Promote new program and enable one large tract landowners' participation (20 acres of conversion)	CCPC, DCNR, Western PA Conservancy, ClearWater Conservancy, Penn State Ag/Turf Program	Developed areas in Centre County municipalities	2021-2022	Landowner education and acceptance	Planting plan assistance	N/A	N/A	\$5,000 per acre (forest), \$2,500 per acre (meadow) → \$100,000 (budget for all forest)	2021 – Consistent DCNR Conservation Landscaping program funding is needed for the County to promote the opportunity and enable our partners to take the lead on a large-scale project.		
3.17	Impervious surface reduction project	Identify a blighted/flood prone area that could be converted to a park (8-acre area)	CCPC, CDBG- eligible municipalities	Centre County Boroughs	ID landowner (2021) Funding (2022) Design/pe rmit (2023-2024) Constructi on (2025)	Lack of local interest, property purchase/donation, suggested to explore below South Hills Plaza, streets perpendicular to Easterly/Westerly Parkway	Mapping	Available budget to be determined	Design, permit, construction	\$7,000,000	2021 - No progress has been made to date due to team capacity and a lack of need for MS4 credit. It is also not a high priority due to low nitrogen reduction potential and high anticipated capital cost.		

		<u>Green</u> - ac	tion has been com	pleted or is movir	ng forward as	planned <u>Yellow</u> - ac	ction has encount	ered minor obstacle	es Red - action has r	ot been taken or has encou	ntered a serious barrier
						Potential		es <u>Available</u>	Resou	rces <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date
			Responsible		_	Implementation					
Action	Beautation	Performance	Party(ies) and	Geographic	Expected	Challenges or	Tark with a f	et	▼ btl	Pto an atal	
#	Description	Target(s)	Partnerships	Location	Timeline	Recommendations	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	2024 6 16 17 17 17 17 17 17 17
3.18	Continue dirt and gravel road program	200,000 feet overall restored through past projects and future projects	CCCD	Countywide	2025	Continue D&G Road program funding	Education, technical assistance, project oversight	\$175,000 per year	N/A	N/A	2021 - Current Conservation District records indicate that the cumulative goal of 200,000 feet has been met, but the last CAST reporting run (2018) indicated that only about ¼ of that has made it to CAST. We'll need to rectify that with our DEP partners, including potential reverification of projects that were completed before 2010. Also, funding has been used for stream crossings, which have many benefits, though do not result in significant project length. A place to report these positive impacts should also be identified, or Conservation District staff should be trained, for reporting those improvements as they also result in sediment and nutrient reductions.
3.19	Operation and Maintenance Performance	Cultivate a partnership(s) with volunteer groups or contractors who we can direct landowners to who have interest in assistance with O&M of practices that we facilitate	Volunteer group, contractor	Countywide	2021-2022	Volunteer training, liability, materials/equipme nt funding	Technical guidance Volunteer maintenance labor	N/A	N/A	N/A	2021 - In 2022, discuss the need with Native Creations, US Fish and Wildlife Partnership for Fish and Wildlife, and Clearwater Conservancy to see what model has worked for them and if it can be scaled.
3.20A	Private Funding	Identify some private funding sources that may be able to supplement public funding sources/existing sources utilized for stakeholders		Centre County	2021-2022	Need to expand network, educational aspect of less common funders, logistics of utilizing unproven funding sources (or lesser known)	Financial services	N/A	N/A	N/A	2021 - As a result of a successful application submitted by the PADEP Chesapeake Bay Office, the CAP Team worked with Millersville University through the Governor's Office of Performance Through Excellence to identify private entities who may have interest in investing in local clean water projects. The student team also developed a flyer that we can use when performing initial outreach with the identified entities.
3.20B	Public/Non-profit Funding	Fill-out our public/non-profit source list	Central PA Conservancy, Western PA Conservancy, Natural Lands Trust, Chesapeake Bay Foundation, Hamer Foundation	Centre County	2021	Partners use similar funding sources, becoming more competitive	Financial services	N/A	N/A	N/A	2021 - We are on track to complete the list of potential funding partners during 2021.

	Green - action has been completed or is moving forward as planned Yellow - action has encountered minor obstacles Red - action has not been taken or has encountered a serious barrier											
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources Technical	S <u>Available</u> Financial	Resources <u>N</u> Technical	eeded Financial	Annual Progress to Date	
3.20C	Public/private Farm BMP sponsorship program	Utilize REAP program to leverage funding, sponsor farmers who perform BMPs and pay them cash from tax rebates that corporation receives from funding the program	SCC, The Nature Conservancy, Chesapeake Bay Foundation, PennAg	Centre County	2021-2022 initiation	Corporate interest,	Initial coordination	N/A	N/A	N/A	2021 - See Initiative 3.20A for progress as this is a companion initiative. Rather than having private donors provide funding to Centre County, the goal of the Millersville University project was to suggest to companies to sign up to be a REAP sponsor.	
Priori	ty Initiative 4: R	Research, Educ	ation, and Tr	aining								
4.1A	Incorporate existing water quality monitoring data into Chesapeake Data Explorer/ Chesapeake Monitoring Cooperative database	Successful data input/acceptance by ALLARM program by end of 2021	ALLARM, Keystone Water Resources Center – Spring Creek, Senior Environmental Corps, PSU, watershed associations	Countywide	2021	Data precision, QAQC, opportunity to educate landowners about local stream health and what they can do about it	Data QAQC and training Data input	N/A	N/A	N/A	2021 - ALLARM worked with local partners to obtain data, clean and organize it to Chesapeake Monitoring Cooperative standards, geo-reference the data, and upload the data to the Chesapeake Data Explorer.	

					Potential Resources Ava		s <u>Available</u>	Resources <u>Ne</u>	<u>eeded</u>	Annual Progress to Date	
Action		Performance	Responsible Party(ies) and	Geographic	Expected	Implementation Challenges or					
#	Description	Target(s)	Partnerships	Location	Timeline	Recommendations	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	
v n t t e	Initiate additional water quality monitoring sites that promote long-term trend evaluation at key locations in Centre County	Location identification, financial and volunteer budget analysis, and initial landowner communication by end of 2021 CAST-21 acknowledgement of our data Map existing monitoring locations Expand monitoring based on Corridors of Opportunity area	ALLARM, Keystone Water Resources Center – Spring Creek, Senior Environmental Corps, PSU, local watershed associations	To be determined	2021	Land access, expanded volunteer need, equipment/material s budget, Consistent data collection, QAQC continuation Consideration to expand the Keystone Water Resources Center to cover places like Bald Eagle, Buffalo Run, etc. to get a continual basis	\$30,000 intern salary budget QAQC	N/A	Sample Collection for new sites identified through CAP implementation	\$10,000 EQUIPMENT	2021 - See 4.1A progress

		<u>Green</u> - ac	tion has been con	npleted or is movin	ng forward as				es Red - action has not be	en taken or has encou	ntered a serious barrier
		Potential Resources <u>Available</u> Resources		<u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date						
			Responsible			Implementation					
Action		Performance	Party(ies) and	Geographic	Expected	Challenges or					
#	Description	Target(s)	Partnerships	Location	Timeline	Recommendations	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	
4.2	Enhance the capacity of local watershed associations for short-term success and long-term sustainability	Share each other's social media content When developing water quality projects, include them in the scoping/funding process When we utilize/obtain funding for outreach, reserve some for partner promotional items (hats, shirts, etc.) Encourage project implementation on the watershed level so that these partners enhance their relationships with non-peers with a co-benefit of diversifying	Spring Creek Watershed Commission, Bald Eagle Watershed Association, Spring Creek Chapter of Trout Unlimited, National Trout Unlimited, Penns Valley Conservation Association, Moshannon Creek Watershed Association	Countywide	Ongoing	Continued coordination among a lot of active groups, keep project leads list active so that watershed approach to grant applications can be developed well in advance of submittal deadlines, see if Spring Creek Watershed Commission could serve as the coordinating party	Social media shares Project development support	Limited match	N/A	\$5000 per organization to produce promotional materials (hats, shirts, stickers) for members	2021 - COVID-related restrictions and delays on last year's projects have resulted in fewer organizational meetings than in past years. Organizations that are project-focused continue to work with landowners, solicit funding, and complete designs and construction at a pace of a about four projects per organization per year. They all continue to need additional membership, grant funding, and technical assistance to scale efforts up, though fortunately implementation has not slowed down.
4.3	Farm decision maker trips to showcase BMPs that work	their membership Two trips per year, increase participation by 10% each year	CBF, CCCD, NRCS, County Farm Bureau	Centre County	Fall and Spring of each year	Promotion to increase participation each year, expand logistics/# of local and Bay trips to allow for increased participation, consider alternative transportation options to canoes if it helps to scale up the initiative	N/A	Trip coordination and field facilitation	N/A	\$2000 per year to expand resources	2021 - Initial steps have been taken to determine if a trip can be scheduled for Fall 2021. COVID restrictions condensed the amount of time in 2021 where the trips could realistically occur.

Phase 3 Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP) Planning and Progress Template

Each county-based local area will use this template to identify:

- 1. Inputs These are both existing and needed resources, public and private, to implement the identified priority initiative. These include both technical and financial resources, such as personnel, supplies, equipment and funding.
- 2. Process what is each partner able to do where and by when. These are the action items listed under each priority initiative.
- 3. Outputs and outcomes both short and long-term. These are the priority initiatives identified by each county. The performance targets are the intermediate indicators that will measure progress.
- 4. Implementation challenges any potential issues or roadblocks to implementation that could impede outputs and outcomes.

Asterisk: Place an asterisk next to the action number(s) for action items that appear in both the County Planning and Progress Template and the Programmatic Recommendations Template.

For each Priority Initiative or Program Element: Use the fields, as defined below, to identify the inputs and the process that will be followed to achieve each priority initiative. This is the "who, what, where, when and how" of the plan:

Description = What. This may include programs that address prevention, education, or as specific as planned BMP installations that will address the Priority Initiative. A programmatic or policy effort will require some ability to quantify the anticipated benefits which will allow calculation of the associated nutrient reductions.

Performance Target = How. This is an extension of the Description above. The Performance Target details the unique BMPs that will result from implementation of the Priority Initiative and serves as a benchmark to track progress in addressing the Priority Initiative.

Performance Targets may be spread across multiple Responsible Parties, Geographies, and Timelines based on the specifics of the Initiative.

Responsible Party(ies) = Who. This is/are the key partner(s) who will implement the action items though outreach, assistance or funding, and who will be responsible for delivering the identified programs or practices.

Geographic Location = Where. This field identifies the geographic range of the Priority Initiative, range of the Responsible Party, or planned funding/resources. *NOTE: Resource limitations alone should not limit potential implementation as additional funding may become available in the future.*

Expected Timeline = When. Provide the expected completion date for the planned activity. This should be a reasonable expectation, based on knowledge and experience, that will aid in tracking progress toward addressing the Priority Initiative.

Resources Available: Technical & Funding = This field will note technical and financial resources secured/available to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the resources identified in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole; or, if available, to each action.

Resources Needed: Technical & Funding = This field will note technical and financial resources needed/outstanding to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the additional resources projected and identified as needed in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole; or, if possible, to each action.

Potential Implementation Challenges/Issues = This field will note challenges and issues that may delay program implementation (Description).

GLOSSARY

- ACT 167 Plan. The Pennsylvania Stormwater Management Act of 1978, or Act 167, required that each county must prepare and adopt a watershed stormwater management plan for each watershed located in the county as designated by DEP, in consultation with the municipalities located within each watershed.
- Ag E & S Agricultural Erosion and Sedimentation Plan. Agricultural Erosion and Sedimentation plans document best management practices on crop and pasture fields to mitigate erosion and protect soil health. Any landowner that disturbs the soil (including no tillage) more than 5,000 square feet (~ 1/10 acre) must have a written Agricultural Erosion & Sediment Control Plan according to Pennsylvania State law, Chapter 102.
- **ALLARM Alliance for Aquatic Resource Monitoring.** ALLARM is a program of Dickinson College that enhances local action for the protection and restoration of waterways by empowering communities with scientific knowledge and tools.
- AMD Acid Mine Drainage. Outflow of acidic water from metal mines or coal mines.
- BMP Best Management Practice. Best management practices describe a type of water pollution control. Using agricultural BMPs can help to prevent or minimize the effects of nonpoint source pollution.
- BRIC Building Resilient and Infrastructure and Communities. The Building Resilient Infrastructure and Communities (BRIC) program is a new FEMA pre-disaster hazard mitigation program that replaces the existing Pre-Disaster Mitigation (PDM) program.
- CAST Chesapeake Assessment Scenario Tool. CAST is a web-based nitrogen, phosphorus and sediment load estimator tool that streamlines environmental planning.
- **CBF Chesapeake Bay Foundation.** The Chesapeake Bay Foundation is a non-profit organization devoted to the restoration and protection of the Chesapeake Bay in the United States.
- **CCCD Centre County Conservation District.** The Centre County Conservation District serves as the primary local source of assistance to all individuals and organizations who benefit from the county's natural resources that we collectively strive to sustain and improve.
- CCPC Centre County Planning Commission. The Centre County Planning Commission makes recommendations and decisions to maintain and enhance the high quality of life for all residents, in accordance with the Pennsylvania Municipalities Planning Code, and other laws and regulations of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and the County of Centre.

- CHMP County Hazard Mitigation Plan. The Centre County Hazard Mitigation Plan was developed for the purpose of providing a blueprint for reducing property damage and saving lives from the effects of future natural and human-caused disasters in Centre County; Qualifying the County for pre-disaster and post-disaster grant funding; Complying with state and federal legislative requirements related to local hazard mitigation planning; Demonstrating a firm local commitment to hazard mitigation principles; and Improving community resiliency following a disaster event.
- COO Corridors of Opportunity. Analysis completed comparing the County Comprehensive Plan goals to potential for co-benefits for local water quality. Since there are elevated nitrogen levels throughout Centre County, the analysis helped focus the planning team on particular HUC12 watersheds for the most global benefit. Once the HUC12 watersheds are identified, the next step is to identify regulatory compliant (or in progress) farms, their neighbors, and preserved farms for targeted outreach and specific BMP installation options.
- CRS Community Rating System. Community Rating System is a voluntary incentive program that recognizes and encourages community floodplain management activities that exceed the minimum National Flood Insurance Plan requirements.
- DCNR Department of Conservation and Natural Resources. DCNR is responsible for maintaining and preserving state parks and forests; providing information on the state's natural resources; and working with communities to benefit local recreation and natural areas.
- **DEP Department of Environmental Protection.** The Department of Environmental Protection's mission is to protect Pennsylvania's air, land and water from pollution and to provide for the health and safety of its citizens through a cleaner environment.
- **EPA Environmental Protection Agency.** The Environmental Protection Agency is a United States federal government agency whose mission is to protect human and environmental health.
- FEMA Federal Emergency Management Agency. FEMA supports citizens and emergency personnel to build, sustain, and improve the nation's capability to prepare for, protect against, respond to, recover from, and mitigate all hazards.
- FieldDoc FieldDoc is a protected, online database that uses geographic information to generate baseline nutrient and sediment loading information and calculate load reductions for planned BMPs.
- GIS Geographic Information System. GIS is a computer system that analyzes and displays geographically referenced information.
- **HUC12 Watershed.** A local sub-watershed level delineation that captures tributary systems draining into the larger Chesapeake Bay watershed.
- Legacy Sediment. Soil that was eroded from upland areas after the arrival of early settlers and over the centuries of intensive land uses, such as agriculture.
- MMP Manure Management Plan. Manure management plans document how a landowner plans to capture, store, treat, and utilize animal manures in an environmentally sustainable manner. Every landowner that has livestock or spreads manure on their property must have a written Manure Management Plan according to Pennsylvania State law, Chapter 91.
- MS4 Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System. A separate storm sewer system is a collection of structures, including retention basins, ditches, roadside inlets and underground pipes, designed to gather stormwater from built-up areas and discharge it, without treatment, into local streams and rivers.
- **NFWF National Fish and Wildlife Foundation.** NFWF works towards sustaining, restoring, and enhancing the nation's fish, wildlife, plants and habitats for current and future generations through innovative public and private partnerships, and by investing financial resources and intellectual capital into science-based programs designed to address conservation priorities and achieve measurable outcomes.
- NMP Act 38 Nutrient Management Plan. Nutrient management plans are required under Pennsylvania State law Act 38 which applies to operations with more than 2,000 pounds live animal weight per acre of pasture and crop fields.
- NRCS Natural Resource Conservation Service. NRCS's programs help farmers reduce soil erosion, enhance water supplies, improve water quality, increase wildlife habitat, and reduce damages caused by floods and other natural disasters.
- PACD Pennsylvania Association of Conservation Districts. Provides support for Pennsylvania's conservation districts.
- **PEMA Pennsylvania Emergency Management Agency.** PEMA is tasked with the response to, preparedness for, recovery from, and the mitigation or prevention of disasters and other emergencies.
- PracticeKeeper. PracticeKeeper is a protected, online database Used for reporting conservation plans, BMPs, E&S plans, nutrient management plans, watershed plans, complaints, DEP inspection reports and data exports to DEP.
- **QAPP Quality Assurance Project Plan.** A QA Project Plan documents the technical and quality aspects of a project, including project management, implementation and assessment. It specifies responsibilities, monitoring objectives, sampling design, sample collection methods, analytical methods, quality control, data management and data validation activities. It is required by EPA prior to any monitoring or data collection.
- QAQC Quality Assurance Quality Control. QA/QC is the combination of quality assurance, the process or set of processes used to measure and assure the quality of a product, and quality control, the process of ensuring products and services meet consumer expectations.
- 4R Nutrient Stewardship Precision Conservation. Right fertilizer source at the Right rate, at the Right time and in the Right place for optimal crop management.
- SRBC Susquehanna River Basin Commission. SRBC's mission is to enhance public welfare through comprehensive planning, water supply allocation, and management of the water resources of the Susquehanna River Basin.
- **SWM Stormwater Management.** Stormwater management is the effort to reduce runoff of rainwater or melted snow into streets, lawns and other sites and the improvement of water quality.
- **SWP Source Water Protection.** Source Water Protection is a planning process conducted by local water utilities, as well as regional or national government agencies, to protect drinking water sources from overuse and contamination.
- UAJA University Area Joint Authority. University Area Joint Authority (UAJA) is the municipal authority providing Wastewater Treatment, or Water Recycling services, to much of State College and the Centre Region.
- **USGS United States Geological Survey.** USGS provides science about the natural hazards that threaten lives and livelihoods; the water, energy, minerals, and other natural resources we rely on; the health of our ecosystems and environment; and the impacts of climate and land-use change.
- Water Quality Credit Offset Pilot. For nitrogen, and potentially other pollutants, BMPs are more effective and cheaper to build in the agricultural landscape than the developed landscape. The goal of this pilot is to further explore the 1-mile radius beyond the MS4 Pollutant Reduction Plan planning area, and larger radii, where ag BMPs can be sited for MS4 credit under certain conditions.
- WIP Watershed Implementation Plan. Watershed Implementation Plans (WIPs) are the roadmap for how the Bay jurisdictions (including Pennsylvania), in partnership with federal and local governments, will achieve the Chesapeake Bay TMDL allocations.
- **WWTP Wastewater Treatment Plant.** Wastewater treatment plants process contaminants from wastewater or sewage and convert it into an effluent that can be returned to the water cycle with acceptable impact on the environment or reused for various purposes.