		Green - action has been com	pleted or is moving	g forward as pla	nned Yellow -	action has encountere	d minor obstacle	es <b>Red</b> - acti	on has not been ta	ken or has encour	ntered a serious barrier
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources			es <u>Needed</u>	Progress to Date
		Stormwate	r Action Te	eam			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	
Priori	ty Initiative 1: Updat	e Act 167 Integrated \	<b>Water Resour</b>	ces County	<sup>,</sup> Plan						
1.1	Prioritize Act 167 planning in the County (develop new Act 167 plan that includes updated plan information and modeling for every County watershed. A new plan will provide updated ordinances to support regional runoff and flood management)		County Planning, Municipal., Lancaster County Clean Water Consortium	Countywide	FY2020-2021 (if funding is made available)	Act 167 work is not currently funded adequately in the general budget at the state level. This could be remedied by funding that line item or dedicating other grant funds.  Because the funding went away, we do not have the necessary data.			Lead org. (Consulting engineer/ planner)	\$3 million for Lancaster County	There is consensus that both an Act 167 plan update is appropriate; and an update should incorporate data, considerations, etc. that reflects water quality information a modeling to better ensure stormwater management and flo mitigation planning and project implementation balances be water quantity and quality. Actions to move this initiative forward are limited by financial considerations.
1.2	Have 167 plan that has pollutants/modeling parameters consistent with CAST (dependent on 1.1)		County planning, Municipalities	Countywide	FY2020-2021	Modeling is not consistent with the CAST model and more accurate baselines are required.  New legislation at the state level to provide consistency between Act 167 and CAST model	Local consultants				See progress to date on Action 1.1.
1.3	Update model ordinance(s) for countywide and/or watershed goals		County Planning, Municipalities, Solicitors, Community Stakeholders	Countywide	FY2020-2021	Develop a robust model municipal stormwater ordinance(s) for Lancaster County that explicitly defines water quality goals, implementation requirements, buffer extents, and supports other initiatives in the County WIP, including green infrastructure, conservation overlays, riparian corridor standards, and restricting development and construction within floodplains and advocate for municipal adoption.  Time constraints, no funding, and municipal adoption	Local agencies, local consultants			\$200,000 per model ordinance	There is consensus an Act 167 plan update is appropriate, an a subsequent update to the model ordinance is necessary. The engineer's group has convened several times to move this action forward, but there is also consensus to wait until the next MS4 permit draft (2023-2028 cycle) is issued first.

		Green - action has been com	npleted or is moving	g forward as pla	anned <u>Yellow</u> -	action has encountere	ed minor obstacles Red - ac	tion has not been ta	ken or has encour	ntered a serious barrier
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources <u>Available</u>	Resourc	es <u>Needed</u>	Progress to Date
		Stormwate	er Action Te	eam	'		Technical Financial	Technical	Financial	
1.4	Establish greater regionalization of runoff and flood management		County Planning, Municipalities	Countywide	FY2020-2021	Updated Act 167 and model ordinance(s) would establish basis for watershed-wide implementation of practices to costeffectively achieve pollutant reduction goals.	Local agencies, local consultants	Flexibility in regional management of water quality under Act 167	Funding for development of ordinance(s), hazard mitigation, and GIS tools: \$180,000 total to do	Implementation of projects that provide regional and extended community benefits have become more prevalent across the county. Mechanisms to better track or plan for more targeted project locations would be ideal (see Actions 1.1 and 1.2).
) Priori	ty Initiative 2: Updat	e MS4 Performance C	Criteria, Overs	ight, and Ir	 nplementati	on				
2.1	Clarify and broadly publicize flexibility criteria allowing focus on watersheds rather than municipally regulated MS4-UAs (process for watershed-based permits established, understood, and implemented by permittees to allow for greater documentation, recording, verification, and reporting of BMP beyond those located in MS4-UAs)		Lancaster County, municipalities	Countywide Priority Watersheds: Pequea Creek, Cocalico Creek Chiques Creek Others	CY2019-2021	As presented to permittees over the last ten years, DEP and EPA requirements and programs complicate this process and serve as a disincentive. However, recent guidance distributed to municipalities outlines a different methodology. Consistent training and regulation is vital.	Technical expertise is present; DEP clarification memo/letter watershed groups		12 plans (1 per watershed) at \$50,000 = \$600,000 minimum needed to do the plans	The development and implementation of watershed-based permits and plans is slowly progressing forward (Lititz Run WBP, Chiques Creek Report Card, Pequea WIP) that prioritize watershed health have been moving along. Financial limitations are the primary hurdle for further efforts across other watersheds.
2.2	Create goal line that is both definitive and does not stop at the end of a permit cycle (Establish quantifiable milestones that are consistent with CAST/Bay models, verifiable via consistent reporting templates that are consistent, accessible, and widely accepted)		Lancaster County, municipalities, Lancaster County Clean Water Consortium	Countywide	CY2019-2021	Milestones need to be consistent beyond those under current MS4 permits  Action is required by DEP/EPA to make this process less complicated DEP/EPA must provide clear direction with regard to pollutant reduction calculation methodologies, verification protocols, and reporting	Technical expertise is present		\$20,000 per year is necessary to create and maintain a local report.  \$80,000 – \$100,000 per year is necessary to host a point person for stormwater for the county	While there is consensus this is an appropriate action to undertake, it is difficult to establish definitive and broad milestones due to changing technologies, changing requirements, and so on. This action may come to the forefront through the further development of watershed-based documents (see Action 2.1).

i nase s wa	ershed Implementation Plan  Green - action has been comp				- action has encountere	d minor obstac	les Red - actio	n has not been ta	ken or has encour	ntered a serious barrier
tion Description #	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations		es <u>Available</u>		es <u>Needed</u>	Progress to Date
	Stormwate	r Action To	eam			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	
					requirements.					
Seek creative solutions to focus on the problem (pollution), not the geograph (MS4 and urban areas) – Pric projects that include multip benefits	ritize treated	Lancaster County, Municipalities	Countywide, Priority watersheds: Pequea Creek Cocalico Creek Chiques Creek, etc	FY2021	Current DEP and EPA requirements make it more difficult for municipalities to focus on water quality rather than specific, inefficient program requirements.  MS4 permits shifting from TSS to TN reductions. —this will take new dollars and expertise from the municipalities and engineers.  Process established for meeting water quality goals outside of regulated geography and in a cost- efficient manner  PennDOT/turnpike coordination and collaboration (including legislator support to help facilitate the process)	Technical expertise is present	Dollars for PRP projects are listed in the PRP report but need to be updated based on final, approved PRP's	Currently, Lancaster County MS4s will collectively spend appx. \$1M to achieve a 100,000-lb N reduction in the current MS4 permit cycle. This funding could be leveraged against future permit cycle compliance if it could be spent on watershed-based solutions that includes projects outside of the traditional MS4 area.	\$45,000 per watershed plan:  - Dollars would be mostly for BMP's that will be listed in other Priority Initiatives but can receive credit in stormwater work	Identifying and implementing projects that provide mul benefits and extended community benefits is not the is with this action. Changes to programmatic/policy requirements, coordination amongst multiple stakehold and so on inherently slows the overall process down. The are more opportunities (projects) than current funding streams.

		Green - action has been com	pleted or is moving	forward as plan	ned <u>Yellow</u> -	action has encountere	d minor obstacle	es <u>Red</u> - actio	on has not been ta	ken or has encou	ntered a serious barrier
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources	s <u>Available</u>	Resource	es <u>Needed</u>	Progress to Date
·		Stormwate	r Action Te	eam			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	
		Vegetated open channel – 384 acres treated									
Priority	Initiative 3: Create	e Programmatic Consis	stency								
3.1	ign permit parameters to water Jality goals		Lancaster County, municipalities, DEP	Countywide		If a municipality is asked to submit the same information for each plan (102, 537, etc), they should not need to duplicate efforts like hiring engineers twice or reformulate the data each time.  Various DEP and EPA strategies are presently not aligned and improvements are not accounted for across programs (102, 105, 537, NPDES, MS4, etc.)	Technical expertise is present		Staff time at DEP		The municipalities in the Lititz Run watershed are progressing with this action (but they are currently the only ones). There has been pushback from various departments at DEP regarding this effort. The draft Lititz Run WBP is anticipated to be submitted to DEP during the first quarter of 2021.
3.2 ins	reate greater consistency and accountability for review, spections, and documentation of peration and maintenance of the sites.		Lancaster County, municipalities	Countywide		County and municipalities should clarify and implement protocols to ensure consistent reporting for Bay TMDL compliance.	Technical expertise is present		Consistent inspection requires staff time from LCCD E&S staff and DEP; documentation and reporting protocols for operation and maintenance.	More funding for staff and staff training	There is strong consensus and support for this action. Human and capital resources are the main limitations for fully implemented programs. There are several efforts underway use stormwater fees to fund inspection and maintenance activities (including for privately-owned BMPs).

		Green - action has been com	pleted or is moving	forward as pla	nned <u>Yellow</u>	- action has encountere	d minor obstacle	s <u>Red</u> - actio	n has not been to	aken or has encou	ntered a serious barrier
tion #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Party(ies) and Location Timeline Implementation Partnerships Challenges or		Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources <u>Available</u>		Resourc	ces <u>Needed</u>	Progress to Date	
		Stormwate	r Action Te	eam			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	
						Tied to long-term verification processes inventory efforts	PennDOT Connect				
riorit	ty Initiative 4: Projec	ct Funding		1			1				
4.1	Seek and acquire creative legislation and funding for implementation, operation, and maintenance of water quality projects (obtain sufficient funding for implementation and ongoing maintenance of all BMPs necessary to achieve Bay TMDL compliance)	Nutrient management planning – 10,577 acres	Lancaster County, municipalities, private companies related to fertilizer legislation	Countywide		Current state legislation that complicates and/or prohibits various public-private initiatives should be addressed to facilitate P3 initiatives and allow for private and public funds to be used collaboratively.  The goal for this BMP is taken from the state recommendation, which relies on a change in the fertilizer legislation.  Without that legislative change, we can only strive to treat 100 acres.	Technical expertise is present			Funding or legislation to support larger goal	This may always be a limiting factor.
4.2	Employ market-driven solutions for project funding (e.g. stormwater offset, credit trading, environmental impact bonds, etc.)		Lancaster County, municipalities	Countywide	On-going	Practices such as stormwater offsets and wetlands banking transfers need to be enabled and established by DEP. EIB, green-crowd funding, and other private investments programs must be permitted via legislative change.	Technical expertise is present			Funding	Efforts have been underway implementing strategies or components of market-driven solutions (developer implemented regional stormwater management projects, social impact bonds, mitigation banking, and so on). Legis or programmatic support would be ideal to knocking dow remaining barriers for desired actions related to P3 effort
l. <b>3</b>	Revise funding criteria to ensure alignment with adopted policy and planning goals		Lancaster County MPO/TIP, Smart Growth Funds, Lancaster County Ag Preserve Board, LGH Lighten Up Lancaster	Countywide	On-going	Increase funding for Green Infrastructure and water quality BMPs for preserved farms, transportation and bridge projects, and complete streets	Technical expertise is present			Funding	There is consensus this is needed, but limits to financial resources are real.

	Phase 3 Watersh	ed Implementation Plan (	WIP) Progress	and Milest	ones Templat	e					
		Green - action has been comp	leted or is moving	g forward as pla	nned <u>Yellow</u> -	action has encountered	d minor obstacles Re	<u>d</u> - action has	s not been tak	cen or has enco	untered a serious barrier
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources <u>Availab</u>	ıle	Resource	s <u>Needed</u>	Progress to Date
		Stormwater	Action Te	eam			Technical Fina	ancial	Technical	Financial	
4.4	Build water quality improvement measures into capital and maintenance projects	Dirt and Gravel Roads E&S – 158,000 new linear feet by 2025	Municipalities, Municipal Authorities, Lancaster County Conservation District Watershed team for Dirt and Gravel Roads program	Countywide	2025	Increase # of green infrastructure projects and water quality BMPs installed with municipal capital and maintenance projects					The Dirt & Gravel Road/Low-Volume Roads program is very popular (even amongst boroughs and urbanized areas). Several municipalities have been implementing true asset management programs that provides considerations for stormwater facilities and water quality improvements in ot focus areas (e.g. transportation improvements).
riori	ty Initiative 5: Identi	ify alternate sources of	project ident	tification							
5.1	Identify projects from hazard mitigation planning initiatives		Municipalities, Lancaster County	Countywide	On-going	Regular review of hazard mitigation plans  Limited staffing to review materials	Dirt and Roads pr and dolla DCNR bu grants	rogram ars			This is becoming more normal in overall project developme and implementation efforts. However, human and capital resource limitations slow efforts to fully implement and coordinate/prioritize opportunities.
			Municipalities, Municipal Authorities,	Countywide	On-going	Regular review of municipal capital improvement plans	Dirt and Roads pr and dolla	rogram			See Action 5.1
5.2	Identify projects from municipal capital improvement plans		Lancaster County			Limited staffing to review materials	DCNR bu grants	uffer			
	Identify projects from local, county,		Municipalities, Municipal Authorities, Lancaster County	Countywide	On-going	Regular review of local, county, and state infrastructure improvement plans	Dirt and Roads pr and dolla DCNR bu	rogram ars			See Action 5.1
5.3	and state infrastructure improvement plans					Limited staffing to review materials	grants				
5.4	Identify projects from watershed plans		Municipalities, Conservation District, Watershed groups, Lancaster County	Countywide	On-going	Regular review of watershed plans  Limited staffing to review materials	Dirt and Roads pr and dolla DCNR bu	rogram ars			See Action 5.1
							grants	ALLEI			

	Phase 3 Watersh	ed Implementation Plan (	WIP) Progress	and Milesto	nes Template									
		Green - action has been comp	leted or is moving	forward as plan	ned <u>Yellow</u> - a	action has encountered	d minor obstacle	es <u>Red</u> - actio	n has not been ta	ken or has encour	ntered a serious barrier			
Action #	Party(ies) and Location Timeline Implementation Challenges or Recommendations  Partnerships  Party(ies) and Location Timeline Implementation Challenges or Resources Available Resources Needed Progress to Date													
		Stormwater	Action Te	eam			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial				

		In-stream restoration - Urban (29,146	Municipalities, LCCD,	Contiguous	2019 – 2025 (and	As site specific details	Practice Keeper as	319 funding for	Outreach staff to	Funding	There is no shortage of opportunities and potential project
		linear ft)	WSI, watershed groups, DTU, USFWS, LandStudies, USACE, DEP, Lancaster County Clean Water	projects in priority watersheds TBD  Specific sites	beyond)	become available, we will use the alternative BMP template for floodplain and stream restoration projects with legacy	data hub for permits and projects	Mill Creek, Conowingo, and Conewago	make initial contact with landowners		Shortage of financial resources is the only limiting factor
		In-stream restoration – Non-urban (63,900 linear ft)	Consortium, DEP, PAFBC, EPA	TBD based on opportunity, permit cycles, and compliance needs  The intention is to address source water issues as well		sediment.  Lack of funding available to achieve the projects at the pace we need  Long permit timelines  Presumed contiguous willing landowners when	Data experts like the Academy of Natural Science, SRBC, WSI, Chesapeake Conservancy, PSU, and more	Growing Greener funding	Permit processors (Harrisburg-based work)	Estimates \$150 (bank stabilization, smaller –scale projects, etc) -\$350 (legacy sediment or floodplain restoration type projects) per linear foot (this includes staff time)	
effec inspo wate shar	projects plus basic, cost ective monitoring (field pections) of before and after eer quality results that are red (include sourcewater	Wetland restoration/creation in floodplain – 52 acres				that may not be the case, especially in the short term  Develop an acceptable monitoring protocol that		Exelon funding	Excavators	\$75,000 per acre of wetland restoration	
prot	tection work)					includes a publically viewable format  Greater state/federal permit process speed (especially for commercial projects)  Current MS4 set up limits municipal		Private funding	Technical assistants to install projects (contractors) and project managers	Add 20% on top of all costs for pre- and post-project work (finding willing land owners, identifying the best project locations, followed by monitoring, maintenance, etc)	
						interest/availability to participate  In general, current municipal ordinances do not make these projects an easy "yes" for a		NFWF funding	Monitoring equipment and data analysis experts		

		Green - action has been con	pleted or is moving	forward as pla	nned <u>Yellow</u> -	action has encountere	d minor obstacles Red	- action has not been t	aken or has encou	ntered a serious barrier
action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources <u>Available</u>	<u>e</u> Resour	ces <u>Needed</u>	Progress to Date
		Stormwate	er Action Te	eam			Technical Finan	ncial Technical	Financial	
SR1.2	Dam removal notification system so that appropriate restoration accompanies any removals (programmatic recommendation)		County, PAFBC, Water Science Institute	Priority watersheds TBD	2025	A necessary comprehensive approach with connection between dam removal and restoration work; current situation allows a dam to be removed and the permitee to walk away, which results in much more sediment pollution	County, PSU, watershed specialists, PAFBC			This became a specific issue in the Chiques Creek watershe and revealed an inherent gap in the overall coordination efforts that have been accomplished. Programmatic/policy changes are requested to address this issue.
riorit	ty Initiative LP1: Gro	wth Management								
P1.1	Direct growth to UGA's and VGA's (DGA's). Prioritize redevelopment and infill in DGAs. Build more compactly and efficiently		Municipalities, Lancaster Co Planning Commission (LCPC), and developers.	Countywide (Focus: Designated Growth Areas)	LCPC Growth tracking - 2 year increments	Municipal participation  Increase % of new dwellings in UGAs to accommodate projected pop and increase % of new non-residential SF. Increase residential net density to target density for each UGA; 9.0+ DU/Ac, 6.5 DU/Ac or 5.5 DU/Ac depending on the UGA.	Municipalities (Regs & Impl.). LCPC staff for growth tracking		Grants and resources needed by municipalities	There is consensus for this action. Economic and political realities limit observance from time to time.
1.2	Utilize low impact development (LID) practices		Municipalities, LC Clean Water Consortium, Developers, and LCPC	Countywide (Focus: Designated Growth Areas)	2019-2025	Increase use of LID practices.  Municipal participation  Developer resistance	Staff of various partners		Grants and funding for education & outreach	This is a fundamental component of implemented project
1.3	Limit large-lot suburban development in rural areas		Municipalities and LCPC	Countywide (Focus: Designated Growth Areas)	LCPC Growth tracking - 2 year increments	Reduce total acres developed per year in rural areas, and reduce % of new dwellings outside UGAs  Municipal participation	LCPC staff for growth tracking			There is consensus for this action. Economic and politica realities limit observance from time to time.

	Phase 3 Watersh	ed Implementation Plan	(WIP) Progress	and Milesto	ones Templat	e					
		Green - action has been com	pleted or is moving	forward as pla	nned <u>Yellow</u> -	action has encountere	ed minor obstacle	es <u>Red</u> - actio	n has not been ta	ken or has encoun	itered a serious barrier
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources	s <u>Available</u>	Resourc	es <u>Needed</u>	Progress to Date
		Stormwate	r Action Te	eam			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	
LP1.4	Plan to fully serve Urban Growth Areas (UGAs) and align water and sewer service with UGA's	Septic connection of 3,000 systems (to municipal service)	Municipalities, Municipal Authorities and LCPC (education & advocacy)	Countywide (Focus: Designated Growth Areas)	LCPC Growth tracking - 2 year increments	Increase % parcels in UGA with water and sewer service  Costs, Municipal participation, Authority participation	LCPC staff for growth tracking			Matching funds to municipalities for Act 537 plans (of approx. \$100,00 per muni)	There is consensus for this action. Economic and political realities limit observance from time to time. Financial resoul limitations hinder full realization of this action.
LP1.5	Plan for appropriate wastewater management in rural areas	Septic pumping of 10,000 systems	Municipalities, Municipal Authorities, PA DEP and LCPC	Countywide (Focus: Rural Areas)	2019-2025	Reduce number of failing on-lot disposal systems (OLDS)  Costs, Stricter regulations required from state, municipal participation				Funding	There is consensus for this action. Economic and political realities limit observance from time to time. Financial resou limitations hinder full realization of this action.
LP1.6	Adopt and/or Update Act 537 Plans		Municipalities, PA DEP, and LCPC	Countywide	2019-2025	Increase number of municipalities that adopt or update their Act 537 Plans  Costs, Stricter regulations required from state, municipal participation				Matching funds to municipalities for Act 537 plans (approx. \$100,000 per muni) (programmatic recomm.)	Financial resource limitations hinder full realization of this action. This may be resolved through WBPs and fully integrated water resource plans as noted in previous initiatives.

	Phase 3 Watersh	ed Implementation Plan (	(WIP) Progress	and Milesto	ones Templato	e					
		Green - action has been comp	oleted or is moving	g forward as pla	nned <u>Yellow</u> -	action has encountere	d minor obstacles	Red - action	n has not been ta	aken or has encour	ntered a serious barrier
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources <u>A</u>	<u>vailable</u>	Resourc	es <u>Needed</u>	Progress to Date
		Stormwate	r Action Te	eam			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	
LP1.7	Utilize TDRs for Ag land, woodlots and other natural areas as a tool to promote greater density in UGAs/VGAs		Municipalities, LCPC (guidance)	Countywide	2019-2025	Increase # of muni using TDRs. Explore possibility of a pilot TDR ordinance between multiple municipalities  Logistical hurdles, municipal participation				Funding/grants to revise or draft ordinances	This is a complicated subject, but interest in adopting this approach or elements of this approach is growing.
Priori	ty Initiative LP2: Imp	rove Planning and Des	ign								
LP2.1	Improve Planning & Design and Utilize Integrated Water Resource Planning and Management (IWRP & IWRM)		Municipalities, with LCPC	Countywide	2019-2025	Increase number of Comprehensive watershed management, water/sewer infrastructure, rural wastewater management, stormwater management and green infrastructure plans Funding. Municipal				Grants and funding (\$50,000 - \$100,000 per plan/ordinance)	There is consensus for this action. Economic and political realities limit observance from time to time. Financial resource limitations hinder full realization of this action.
LP2.2	Amend or adopt local ordinances that minimize stormwater runoff and regulate development that protects water resources (tied to Act 167 focus areas and goals)		Municipalities, with LCPC	Countywide	2019-2025	participation  Increase number of ordinances municipalities adopt that minimize stormwater runoff and regulate development that protects water resources; including Zoning, SALDO, stormwater and floodplain management, well head protection ordinances, conservation zoning district and natural resource protection standards)  Municipal part.				Funding/grants to revise or draft ordinances (\$50,000 - \$100,000 per plan/ordinance)	There is consensus for this action. Economic and political realities limit observance from time to time.
LP2.3	Revise Project Funding Criteria		Municipalities, LCPC, Lancaster Co MPO (TTAC), DEP and DCNR	Countywide	2019-205 (i.e. 2019- 2022 MPO/TIP)	Funding criteria should be revised to ensure alignment with adopted policy and planning goals.  Current PennDOT, DEP, and DCNR regs do not require consistency.	Various stakeholders. (i.e. PennDot Connects Program and County LRTP)			Need to leverage existing funding	Programmatic and/or policy changes outside the control of local governments is necessary for this action to be fully realized.

		Green - action has been con	npleted or is moving	forward as pla	anned <u>Yellow</u> -	action has encountere	d minor obstacle	s Red - action	n has not been t	aken or has encoun	tered a serious barrier
ction #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources	<u>Available</u>	Resour	ces <u>Needed</u>	Progress to Date
		Stormwate	er Action Te	eam			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	
						Consistency between Central office and districts.  Coordination between MPO and municipalities					
.P2.4	Water quality improvement measures should be built into capital and maintenance projects		Municipalities, Municipal Authorities, LCPC, Lancaster MPO (TTAC)	Countywide	2019-205 (i.e. 2019- 2022 MPO/TIP)	Increase # of green infrastructure projects installed with municipal capital and maintenance projects  Municipal participation, funding	Various stakeholders. (i.e. PennDot Connects Program, and County LRTP)			Need to leverage existing funding	Programmatic and/or policy changes outside the control local governments is necessary for this action to be fully realized.
P2.5	Practice regional and place-based planning and analysis		Municipalities, LCPC	Countywide	2019-2025	Increase # of municipalities with regional comprehensive plans and natural resource and water resource plans  Municipal participation, resistance to regionalization	LCPC and municipal staffs				There is consensus for this action. Economic and politic realities limit observance from time to time.
P2.6	Utilize official maps for regional stormwater management and		Municipalities, LCPC	Countywide	2019-2025	Increase number of municipalities participating in regional official maps	LCPC and municipal staffs			Funds to municipalities for utilizing official maps for regional SWM	There is consensus for this action. Economic and political realities limit observance from time to time.
2.0	protection of natural resources					Municipal participation, lack of municipal familiarity with official maps under MPC					

		Green - action has been com	pleted or is moving	forward as pla	nned <u>Yellow</u>	- action has encountere	d minor obstacl	es <u>Red</u> - action	on has not been tal	ken or has encou	untered a serious barrier
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resource	s <u>Available</u>	Resource	s <u>Needed</u>	Progress to Date
		Stormwate	r Action Te	eam			Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	
LP2.7	Utilize agricultural preservation process to promote greater implementation of agricultural BMPs		Lancaster County Agricultural Preserve Board, Lancaster Farmland Trust, Lancaster County Conservation District	Countywide (Focus: Rural Areas)	2-19-2025	Increase number of BMPs implemented on preserved farms  The purchase of development rights does not carry BMP obligations  Ag Action Team coordination	APB and LFT staff				
riorit	y Initiative LP3: Nat	ural Resources, Open S	Space and Pai	rks							
		Land retirement to open space of 500 acres	Lancaster County Conservancy, Municipalities, LCPC, State	Countywide (Focus: Rural Areas)	2019-2025	Preserve natural lands and land with forest cover (2,000-3,000 acres).  Prioritize contiguous areas.	Current LCC staff	Conservation Fund	Staff & maintenance	County funding	There is strong consensus and realized actions associated wi this initiative. Human and financial resources for outreach, long-term maintenance, etc. are the primary limiting hurdles still working through.
LP3.1	Preserve natural and forested lands					Funding, landowner participation	State agencies (Game Comm., State Parks, Forestry, DCNR)	State funding			
LP3.2	Conserve natural resources and services throughout Lancaster County's urban, suburban and rural areas		Municipalities, Lancaster County Conservancy Lancaster County Parks, LCPC	Countywide		Funding, landowner participation  Increase acreage (or number) of areas being conserved for wise use & management to maintain ecological functions (wetlands, steeps slopes, erodible soils, forest blocks < 100 acres.					There is strong consensus and realized actions associated wi this initiative. Human and financial resources for outreach, long-term maintenance, etc. are the primary limiting hurdles still working through.
LP3.3	Restore ecological connections and natural resource systems throughout Lancaster County's urban, suburban and rural areas		Municipalities, Lancaster County Parks, LCPC, Lancaster County Conservancy	Countywide	2019-2025	Increase number of ecological connections through restoration efforts.  Funding, landowner participation					There is strong consensus and realized actions associated withis initiative. Human and financial resources for outreach, long-term maintenance, etc. are the primary limiting hurdles still working through.

		Green - action has been com	pleted or is moving	forward as pla	nned <u>Yellow</u> - a	action has encountered	d minor obstacl	les <u>Red</u> - actio	n has not been ta	ken or has encou	ntered a serious barrier
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resource	s <u>Available</u>	Resource	es <u>Needed</u>	Progress to Date
		Stormwate	r Action Te	eam	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial			
LP3.4	Neighborhood and regional parks, greenways and trails (5 acres in county/regional parks and 10 acres in local/municipal parks)		Municipalities, Lancaster County Parks, LCPC, Lancaster County Conservancy	Countywide	Check progress towards goal in 2025	Increase number and acreage of neighborhood and regional parks, greenways and trails. Adopted County standard: 15 acres of parkland/1,000 residents. Funding, County and municipal participation				Funding and grants	There is strong consensus and realized actions associated we this initiative. Human and financial resources for outreach, project implementation, long-term maintenance, etc. are the primary limiting hurdles.
LP3.5	Utilize TDRs as a tool to preserve high quality ag land, woodlots and other natural areas		Municipalities, LCPC	Countywide	2019-2025	Increase # of muni using TDRs. Explore possibility of a pilot TDR ordinance between multiple municipalities  Logistical hurdles, municipal participation					See LP 1.7
Priori <sup>,</sup>	ty Initiative LP4: Tree	e Canopy									
LP4.1a	Conduct a tree canopy assessment (Conduct a new tree canopy assessment in 2020, to compare to 2010 baseline)		County, Municipalities, Lancaster County Conservancy, Chesapeake Bay Conservancy	Countywide	2020	Funding, staffing	DCNR	CBF K10 Campaign, DCNR	GIS technology and staff		Activities are moving forward, but human and financial resource limitation hinder timely progress.
LP4.1b	Set tree canopy targets, and implement tree canopy action plans at county and municipal level		LCPC, Municipalities, Lancaster County Conservancy, and Lancaster Clean Water Consortium.	Countywide	2019-2025	Increase number of municipalities setting targets Funding, staffing Possibly select 3 priority large watersheds for initial imp.	DCNR  Lancaster County GIS	CBF K10 Campaign, DCNR	GIS technology and staff		Activities are moving forward, but human and financial resource limitation hinder timely progress.

	Pilase 5 Watersi	ned Implementation Plan	(WIP) Progress	and ivillest	ones Tempiat	e				
		Green - action has been com	pleted or is moving	forward as pla	anned <u>Yellow</u> -	action has encountere	d minor obstacles Red - acti	on has not been tal	cen or has enco	untered a serious barrier
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources <u>Available</u>	Resource	s <u>Needed</u>	Progress to Date
		Stormwate	r Action Te	eam			Technical Financial	Technical	Financial	
LP4.1c	Increase tree canopy cover	Tree planting of 50 acres (urban tree canopy)		Priority watersheds	2030	Increase % tree canopy cover in priority watersheds by 2030 Municipal buy-in	Tree Canopy Report Releaf Report (DCNR)			Definitive buy-in and projects implemented.
LP4.2a	Create a model tree preservation ordinance or "Planning Guide"		LCPC, municipalities, developers	Countywide	2019-2025	Adopt a model tree preservation ordinance or "Planning Guide"	PA Land Trust Assoc. (PALTA). DCNR			No update at this time.
LP4.2b	Adopt (or amend) tree preservation ordinances at municipal level		Municipalities, developers, LCPC	Countywide	2019-2025	Increase number of tree preservation ordinances adopted  Municipal capacity	PALTA			No update at this time.
LP4.2c	Enforce existing landscape ordinances and existing or new tree preservation ordinances		Municipalities, developers	Countywide	2019-2025	Preserve existing landscaping and trees  Municipal Capacity, Developer/land owner cooperation		Municipal staffing		Conflicts between ordinance language and water quality gos have been encountered.
LP4.3	Education and Outreach		Lancaster County Conservancy, Tree Tenders, LCPC, DCNR	Countywide	2019-2025	Conduct trainings				Human and financial resource shortfalls limit capacity and ability to expand training efforts.

	Phase 3 Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP) Progress and Milestones Template											
	Green - action has been completed or is moving forward as planned Yellow - action has encountered minor obstacles Red - action has not been taken or has encountered a serious barrier											
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Resources <u>Available</u> Resources <u>Needed</u> Progress to Da					
		Stormwater	Action Te	eam	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial				

## Each county-based local area will use this template to identify:

- 1. Inputs These are both existing and needed resources, public and private, to implement the identified priority initiative. These include both technical and financial resources, such as personnel, supplies, equipment and funding.
- 2. Process what is each partner able to do where and by when. These are the action items listed under each priority initiative.
- 3. Outputs and outcomes both short and long-term. These are the priority initiatives identified by each county. The performance targets are the intermediate indicators that will measure progress.
- 4. Implementation challenges any potential issues or roadblocks to implementation that could impede outputs and outcomes.

Asterisk: Place an asterisk next to the action number(s) for action items that appear in both the County Planning and Progress Template and the Programmatic Recommendations Template.

For each Priority Initiative or Program Element: Use the fields, as defined below, to identify the inputs and the process that will be followed to achieve each priority initiative. This is the "who, what, where, when and how" of the plan:

**Description** = What. This may include programs that address prevention, education, or as specific as planned BMP installations that will address the Priority Initiative. A programmatic or policy effort will require some ability to quantify the anticipated benefits which will allow calculation of the associated nutrient reductions.

**Performance Target** = How. This is an extension of the Description above. The Performance Target details the unique BMPs that will result from implementation of the Priority Initiative and serves as a benchmark to track progress in addressing the Priority Initiative. Performance Targets may be spread across multiple Responsible Parties, Geographies, and Timelines based on the specifics of the Initiative.

Responsible Party(ies) = Who. This is/are the key partner(s) who will implement the action items though outreach, assistance or funding, and who will be responsible for delivering the identified programs or practices.

**Geographic Location** = Where. This field identifies the geographic range of the planned implementation. This could extend to the entire county or down to a small watershed, based on the scale of the Priority Initiative, range of the Responsible Party, or planned funding/resources. NOTE: Resource limitations alone should not limit potential implementation as additional funding may become available in the future.

Expected Timeline = When. Provide the expected completion date for the planned activity. This should be a reasonable expectation, based on knowledge and experience, that will aid in tracking progress toward addressing the Priority Initiative.

**Resources Available: Technical & Funding =** This field will note technical and financial resources secured/available to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the resources identified in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole; or, if available, to each action.

**Resources Needed: Technical & Funding =** This field will note technical and financial resources needed/outstanding to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the additional resources projected and identified as needed in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole; or, if possible, to each action.

**Potential Implementation Challenges/Issues =** This field will note challenges and issues that may delay program implementation (Description).