	1	<u>Green</u> -	action has been c	completed or is n	noving forward as		action has enco	untered minor obs		tion has not bee	n taken or has en			
		Performance		Geographic	Expected	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendatio		Resource	s <u>Available</u>			Resourc	es <u>Needed</u>	Suggestee
Action #	Description	Target(s)	Partners	Location	Timeline	ns	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Source	Financial	Source
riority	y Initiative 1	: County Pro	grammatic	Initiatives										
1.1A	Implement County Comprehensiv e Plan policies and actions	Ensure that growth activities address existing water quality impairments through stormwater BMP implementatio n already required by local ordinances Preservation of environmental ly sensitive, economically important and culturally important lands – Conserve 1,800 acres of forest -Conserve 70 acres of wetland Utilize conservation	NCPC, municipal engineers, NCCD, Municipalities, SEDA-COG, Keystone COG, ACT 167 Plan, Hazard Mitigation Plan, Greenway Plan	Multi- Municipality	Ongoing	Educating municipalities, Updating local plans and ordinances, Growth areas not consistent with Census Urbanized Areas Local governments willing to propose to ordinances to protect economically and environmentally friendly landscapes	Education, outreach	1 NCPC staff person			1 – FTE Clean Water Coordinator for Planning Commission	Planning Commission	\$130,000 per year \$2,000 per acre of forest conserved through easement → Total \$3.6M \$2,000 per acre of wetland conserved through easement → Total \$140K	DEP TBD TBD Funding Options: PA DCNR Community Conservatio Partnership Program CFA Greenways, Trails, and Recreation Program

		Green -	action has been o	completed or is m	oving forward as	s planned <u>Yellow</u> -	action has enco	untered minor obst	tacles <u>Red</u> - acti	ion has not bee	n taken or has end	countered a serio	us barrier	
						Potential		Resources	<u>Available</u>			Resource	es <u>Needed</u>	
						Implementation								
						Challenges or								
		Performance		Geographic	Expected	Recommendatio						Suggested		Suggeste
tion #	Description	Target(s)	Partners	Location	Timeline	ns	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Source	Financial	Source
.1B*	Evaluate areas	Identify	CBF, Sunbury	Countywide	5-10 years	Adopting	landowner	1 County GIS			1 – FTE Clean	Planning	\$130,000 per	DEP
	to establish	landowners	Chapter of TU,			ordinances, may	outreach; on	staff person, 2			Water	Commission	year	
	riparian	willing to	Chesapeake			require a pilot	the ground	Conservation			Coordinator			
	buffers to	participate	Conservancy,			project in a	riparian	District staff			for Planning			
	stabilize	and work with	NRCS, NCCD,			willing	project	people			Commission			
	stream banks	the partners	PAFBC, DCNR,			municipality to	execution							
	and limit	to identify (5)	North Central			demonstrate					1 – Additional	Conservation	\$130,000 per	DEP
	encroachment	buffer	PA			success.					Watershed	District	year	
		opportunities	Conservancy,								Specialist for			
			Merrill Linn			Lack of technical					Conservation			
		Leverage	Conservancy,			assistance to					District		Expand Buffer	Funding
		municipal	Middle			support							Bonus	Options:
		parks along	Susquehanna			implementation							Program to	
		creeks for	River Keepers,			goals for forest							provide	CFA
		education,	Northumberla			buffers.							\$5,000 per	Watershed
		BMP work,	nd AOAA,										acre of buffer	Restoration
		habitat value,	Watershed			Buffer funding							installed $\rightarrow$	and Protect
		etc.	groups LSCWA			programs must							\$1,010,000	Program
			and SCRA,			include 5- to 10-							total	
		Protect	SEDA-COG,			year minimum								PA Fish and
		riparian	PennDOT, AG			maintenance							Maintenance	Boat
		greenways,	Land			plan, incentive							equipment/	Commissio
		promote	Preservation,			money for							contract	
		establishment				landowners,							\$50,000 per	CBF, Alliand
		and	Preservation			along with							year for	for the Bay
		maintenance	Association,			volunteers to							upkeep	
		of riparian	ACT 167 Plan,			establish the								DCNR,
		forest buffers	Greenway			buffer.								Growing
			Plan											Greener,
														NFWF

	Phase 3 Wat	tershed Imple	mentation Pla	an (WIP) Plan	ning and Prog	gress Template –	NORTHUMBE		NTY					
		<u>Green</u> -	action has been o	completed or is m	noving forward a		action has encour		stacles <u>Red</u> - act	tion has not bee	en taken or has en			
						Potential		Resource	s <u>Available</u>			Resourc	es <u>Needed</u>	1
						Implementation								
						Challenges or								
		Performance		Geographic	Expected	Recommendatio						Suggested		Suggested
Action #	Description	Target(s)	Partners	Location	Timeline	ns	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Source	Financial	Source
1.2	Northumberla	Improve flood	NCPC,	Countywide	2022-2025	Funding, land for					1 – FTE Clean	Planning	\$130,000 per	DEP
	nd County	prone areas	municipal			BMPs, willing					Water	Commission	year	
	Hazard	with BMPs	engineers,	West Milton		landowners to					Coordinator			
	Mitigation	that also	NCCD,	and Kelly		implement					for Planning		\$2,000 per	PEMA/FEMA
	Plan	enhance water quality	Municipalities, SEDA-COG,	Township		projects					Commission		acre of flood	PEIVIA/FEIVIA
		water quality	Keystone COG,			Enforcement and							prone	
		Develop a	County			compliance with							easement $\rightarrow$	
		stream	Comprehensiv			local zoning							total	
		corridor	e Plan, ACT			ordinances							easement TBD	
		restoration	167 Plan											
		plan to protect											\$100,000	PEMA/FEMA
		the											Stream	
		Susquehanna											Restoration	
		River Banks											Corridor Plan	
		and Creek												
		Enforce flood												
		plain												
		development												
		regulations												
		Dramata anan												
		Promote open space												
		preservation												
		and purchase												
		flood prone												
		easements												

		<u>Green</u> -	action has been c	ompleted or is m	noving forward a	•	action has enco	untered minor obs		ion has not bee	n taken or has en			
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Partners	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendatio ns	Technical	Resources	<u>Available</u> Financial	Source	Technical	Resourc Suggested Source	es <u>Needed</u> Financial	Suggested Source
1.3*	Continue to Implement ACT 167 requirements, look to update requirements where opportunities exist to improve water quality benefits	Revise existing model stormwater ordinance where needed. Look to incentivize additional protections for streams Enforce urban forest and landscape management policies for stormwater management Encourage the development of a wellhead protection plan where appropriate	NCPC, municipal engineers, NCCD, Municipalities, SEDA-COG, Keystone COG, Hazard Mitigation Plan, Comprehensiv e Plan	Countywide	2022-2025	Should local involvement exist, funding to support coordination of ACT 167 requirements	Institutional knowledge	Municipal engineers – assume 6 for well-rounded local background			1 – FTE Clean Water Coordinator for Planning Commission	Planning Commission	\$130,000 per year	DEP

		<u>Green</u> -		completed or is m		Potential		untered minor obs Resources	<u>Available</u>	tion has not bee	an taken of has en	countered a serio Resource	es Needed	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Partners	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Implementation Challenges or Recommendatio ns	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested	Financial	Suggested Source
1.4A*	Continue to address and support existing Acid Mine Drainage (AMD) treatment systems and streams impaired by Acid Mine Drainage	Continue to provide funding to both active and passive treatment systems that are reducing the impacts of AMD impairments. If funding recedes water quality could	PA DEP Bureau of Mining, Conservation District, watershed groups, NRCS, Stream Restoration INC. Office of Surface Mining, AOAA	Countywide Shamokin Creek Mahantango Creek	Ongoing	Need to supportlegislationregarding theRECLAIM Act tosupport fundingof newtreatmentsystems.Lack of technicalassistance tosupportrestorationefforts	Monitoring	Conservation District			Support for monitoring 1 – FTE Clean Water	USGS Conservation District	<ul> <li>\$25,000 per year for water quality monitoring</li> <li>\$75,000 per year for maintenance of restored AMD sites</li> <li>\$130,000 per year</li> </ul>	DEP Bureau o Mining, Abandoned Mine Reclamation Program, USGS DEP

	Phase 3 Wat	ershed Imple	mentation Pla	an (WIP) Plan	ning and Prog	gress Template –	NORTHUMBE	RLAND COU	NTY					
		Green -	action has been	completed or is m	noving forward as	s planned Yellow -	action has encou	ntered minor ob	stacles <b>Red</b> - act	tion has not bee	en taken or has en	countered a serio	us barrier	
						Potential			es <u>Available</u>			Resourc	es <u>Needed</u>	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Partners	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Implementation Challenges or Recommendatio ns	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source
1.48*	Identify new funding to support the implementatio n of Acid Mine Drainage treatment systems	Opportunities still exist to address AMD runoff into local streams. New passive and active treatment systems are needed to support water quality improvements . Work with DEP and other identified partners to find funding to support the implementatio n of new treatment systems	PA DEP Bureau of Mining, Conservation District, watershed groups, NRCS, Stream Restoration INC. Office of Surface Mining, AOAA	Countywide Shamokin Creek Mahantango Creek	2022-2025	Need to supportlegislationregarding theRECLAIM Act tosupport fundingof newtreatmentsystems.Lack of technicalassistance tosupportrestorationeffortsWork with USGSto monitorShamokin Creekprior torestorationefforts to displaythe nutrientbenefits of AMDtreatment. CASTacceptance ofresults to depictnutrientreductionsassociated within stream AMD					Coordination of restoration Monitoring Shamokin Creek 1 – FTE Clean Water Coordinator for Conservation District	PL 566 Program with NRCS USGS Conservation District	\$10,000,000 \$150,000 \$130,000 per year	DEP Bureau of Mining, Abandoned Mine Reclamation Program, USGS DEP

				completed or is m		Potential	action has encou		s <u>Available</u>		en taken or has en		es <u>Needed</u>	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Partners	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Implementation Challenges or Recommendatio ns	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source
1.5	Anthracite	6,500 acres to	AAOA, NCCD,	AOAA	2022-2025	Funding and					1 – FTE Clean	Conservation	\$130,000 per	DEP, DCNR,
	Outdoor	conserve,	Keep PA			technical					Water	District	year	NFWF, PAFBC
	Adventure	implement	Beautiful,			assistance to					Coordinator			
	Area (AOAA)	BMPs,	PennDOT,			support					for			
	Authority	implement	Shingara			restoration work					Conservation			
	conservation	AMD and	Enterprises,								District			
	measures	recreational	SEDA-COG,			Additional AMD								
		activities –	COG Rail			needs funding to								
		specific BMP	Authority,			improve water								
		targets to be	American			quality								
		identified at a	Chestnut											
		later date	Foundation,											
			Carbon Run											
			Initiative,											
			Shamokin											
			Creek											
			Restoration											
			Alliances											

		Green -	action has been o	completed or is m	loving forward as	planned Yellow -	action has encour	ntered minor obs	stacles <u>Red</u> - act	tion has not bee	en taken or has en	countered a seric	ous barrier	
						Potential			s <u>Available</u>				es Needed	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Partners	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Implementation Challenges or Recommendatio ns	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source
1.6*	Implement County Farmland Preservation Program with farmland preservation program incentives enhancement	Total farmland preservation 9,104 acres currently in preservation 54 farms waiting to enter Ag Land Preservation – goal is to enroll as many as funding allows Utilize conservation easements to protect and preserve agriculture land	4R Alliance, NCCD, Northumberla nd County Farm Bureau, NRCS, Private Sector Agriculture Farm Visits, FSA, Ag Land Preservation, Greenway Plan	Ag land use area that fits farmland preservation criteria	2022-2023 – explore incentive opportunities	Operator acceptance, additional resources for plan development to incentivize BMP installation as a farmland preservation goal, need funding to support farmers wanting to enter farmland preservation Preserved farms are required to have an NRCS Conservation Plan, work with farmers to ensure Conservation Plan is reported in PracticeKeeper. Recommend making this a program requirement statewide. Sharing NRCS data must comply with 1619 form.					Technical assistance for program management 1 – FTE Clean Water Coordinator for Conservation District	PDA Conservation District	Assume \$150,000 per farm → \$8,100,000 \$130,000 per year	NFWF, GG. Increased Conservation District budget, PDA, SCC DEP

1.7**	Establish funding/staff support to assist the Agricultural community (day to day support) 728 farms exist in	The goal is to Complete 200 total farms by 2025 Work with private consultants to document plans.	4R Alliance, NCCD, Northumberla nd County Farm Bureau, NRCS, Private Sector Agriculture Farm Visits, FSA, Ag Land Preservation,	Countywide AG Land	2022-2025	Limited compliance activities by DEP. Lack of Technical assistance to support the farming community. Private sector Ag plans are not required to be	Field verification, troubleshootin g	NCCD, USDA NRCS, Private Consultants	N/A	N/A	<ul> <li>6 – additional Ag Planners to provide technical assistance and ag planning</li> <li>3 – FTE Design, Permit construction Services</li> </ul>	District/NRCS/ Private Sector Farm Visits Private Sector/ NCCD	\$780,000 per year \$420,000 per year	DEP/NRCS/ SCC/PDA DEP/NRCS/ SCC/PDA
	Northumberla nd County Approximately 100 farms	In order to communicate effectively with the farming	Northumberla nd County Greenways Plan			shared with District staff. Work with ACT 38, Preserved farms and					3 – FTE Design, Permit construction Services	Private Sector/ NCCD	\$315,000 per year	DEP/NRCS/ SCC/PDA
	have their plans in Northumberla nd County	community one on one farmer outreach must be conducted. The most effective way to capture and report BMPs is through one- on-one farm visits. Farmers do not participate in surveys or other methods. Work with agricultural community to implement BMPs consistent with E&S				organic farms to report AG E&S and NRCS Conservation Plans. These operations are required to have them, but no requirement to report the plans. It is recommended state agencies make changes to ACT 38 and Persevered Farm programs to require PracticeKeeper reporting.					1 – FTE Clean Water Coordinator for Conservation District	Conservation District	\$130,000 per year	DEP
1.8	Bloomsburg, Susquehanna, and Bucknell University Partnership - Implementatio n	regulations Develop undergraduat e and graduate students so that they can effectively engage in landowner	Bloomsburg University, Susquehanna University, Bucknell University	319 Priority Watershed Riparian properties Preserved farms	2022-2025	Continued undergraduate/g raduate engagement as students graduate through program, implementation funding	Outreach boots on the ground	Bloomsburg, Susquehanna, Bucknell University students	N/A	N/A	5 – Student Internships to Support CAP Implementatio n	Bloomsburg, Susquehanna and Bucknell University or Other Students who live locally and attend other colleges	\$50,000	TBD

				completed or is mo		Potential	action has encou		s <u>Available</u>		n taken or has end		es <u>Needed</u>	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Partners	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Implementation Challenges or Recommendatio ns	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggeste Source
		outreach during		Priority Corridor		Lack of technical								
		implementatio		Watersheds –		assistance							See 3.3 for	
		n years.		Warrior Run,		professionals to							funding needs	
				Mahantango,		mentor students							on plan	
				Shamokin		and develop							development	
						workforce development.							and reporting	
						Lack of								
						competitive								
						paying job								
						opportunities that ensure long								
						term sustainable								
						for recently								
						graduated								
						students								

		<u>Green</u> -	action has been c	completed of IS m	ioving forward as	Potential	action has encol	untered minor ob Resource	stacies <u>Red</u> - a s Available	action has not bee	n taken or has end		es Needed	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Partners	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Implementation Challenges or Recommendatio ns	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source
1.9A	Water quality	Develop	CBF, Sunbury	Countywide	2022 –	Simplifying the	Website	NCCD	N/A	N/A	Website	Consultant	\$30,000 per	Administrative
	communicatio	messages and	Chapter of TU,		develop local	resources that	Development			,	development		year	budget tag-
	n plan,	audience;	Chesapeake		content,	are available					and continued		,	along to
	leveraging	execute plan	Conservancy,		timing,						maintenance			project-
	existing	and distribute	NRCS, NCCD,		identify									related grant
	documents	messaging	NCPC PAFBC,		responsible									award
	and covering	through staff	DCNR, North		staff									
	topics	and partners	Central PA								1 – FTE	Planning	\$130,000 per	NFWF
	including		Conservancy,								Marketing and	Commission	year	
	Comprehensiv		Merrill Linn								Outreach			
	e Plan,		Conservancy,								Coordinator			
	Greenway,		Middle									Discusions	¢120.000 m m	DED
	Plan, ACT 167 Plan, Hazard		Susquehanna								1 – FTE Clean	Planning Commission	\$130,000 per	DEP
	Mitigation		River Keepers, Northumberla								Water Coordinator	Commission	year	
	Plan,		nd AOAA,								for Planning			
	Watershed		Watershed								Commission			
	Implementatio		groups LSCWA								commission			
	n Plans		and SCRA,											
			Universities,											
			Other											
			Recreational											
			groups,											
			Universities,											
			etc.											

		<u>Green</u> -	action has been o	completed or is m	oving forward as		action has encour			ion has not be	en taken or has end			
						Potential		Resource	s <u>Available</u>			Resource	es <u>Needed</u>	
ction #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Partners	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Implementation Challenges or Recommendatio ns	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggestee Source
1.9B	Agricultural	One-on-one	CD, County	Countywide	2022-2025	Funding to					6 – additional	District/NRCS/	\$780,000 per	DEP/NRCS/
	Communicatio	farm outreach	Farm Bureau,			support the					Ag Planners to	Private Sector	year	SCC/PDA
	n Strategy	is the best way	Integrators, Ag			technical					provide	Farm Visits	<b>,</b>	,
		to	Land			assistance					technical			
		communicate	Preservation,			required to					assistance and			
		with farmers	PSU Extension,			complete one on					ag planning			
		in addition to	NRCS			one farm								
		reporting				outreach					1 – FTE	Planning	\$130,000 per	NFWF
		practices.									Marketing and	Commission	year	
		Work to				Outreach to					Outreach			
		develop a plan				integrators is a					Coordinator			
		to complete				challenge due to								
		one on one				the number of					1 – FTE Clean	Conservation	\$130,000 per	DEP
		farm visits.				integrators and					Water	District	year	
						multiple country					Coordinator			
		Work to				boundaries they					for			
		develop a				serve. It is					Conservation			
		communicatio				recommended					District		See 1.9A for	
		n plan to				DEP/PDA/SCC							website costs.	
		engage				communicate with integrators							Costs for	
		integrators.				on a frequent							meeting	
		Partner with				basis to reduce							attendance	
		external ag				mixed messages.							and	
		partners to				mixed messages.							administration	
		present CAP											is covered	
		goals at											through other	
		meeting.											funding	
		J											requests.	
		Utilize Farm												
		Bureau												
		Newsletters												
		for												
		announcemen												
		ts												

		<u>Green</u> -			oving forward as	Potential	action has encou	Intered minor obs	s Available		n taken or has end		es Needed	
		Performance		Geographic	Expected	Implementation Challenges or Recommendatio		Kesource				Suggested		Suggeste
on #	Description	Target(s)	Partners	Location	Timeline	ns	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Source	Financial	Source
rity	Initiative 2	: Reporting	and Tracking	g	·		<u>.</u>					·	·	
*	Existing BMP	Expand use of	Lead -	Countywide	2022 –	EPA acceptance	Precision	Chesapeake	N/A	N/A	Further GIS	Chesapeake	\$46,000 (2022	EPA/DEP
	cataloguing	existing buffer	Chesapeake		cataloguing	of the approach,	Conservation	Conservancy			and data	Conservancy	only)	
	(quantity and	layer with	Conservancy			further refine	Tools				processing/me			
	location) for	urban			2023 –	guidance in					thod			
	select BMPs,	hydrology	Stakeholder		Practice	QAPP to					refinement			
	expanding on	layer	peer review –		Keeper batch	streamline the	General	QAPP						
	general		Bloomsburg		upload	process, utilize	methodology							
	recommendati	R&D into	University,		processing	the approach to	outline				5 – Student	Local	\$50,000	TBD
	ons provided	distinguishing	USGS, Farm		and field views	catalogue					Internships to	University		
	in QAPP	ag, pasture,	Bureau,			existing BMPs					Support CAP	Student or		
		and turf	PDA, EPA			and do on the	BMP field	Varies by BMP			Implementatio	local student		
	BMPs = forest	covers from				ground	backcheck				n	attending		
	buffers, urban forest buffers,	grassed buffers				verification						nearby		
	grass buffers,	Duffers				where required for reporting						university etc.		
	urban grass	Manual				purposes, this is								
	buffers, wet	digitizing				an accelerated								
	ponds and	where leaf-off				BMP catch up								
	wetlands,	<1 ft				approach while								
	fencing, cover	resolution				we continue to								
	crop and	imagery is				provide support								
	tillage	available				to farmers on								
	management					planning and								
	0	Back check				BMP installs,								
		with staff field				reduce the								
		views where				amount of								
		required				interruption of								
						government								
		Add data to				entities to								
		Practice				compliant farm								
		Keeper or				operations								
		another batch												
		upload option												
		(FieldDoc)												

n # Description f Identify futu ag/urban project opportunitie using		Partners	Geographic		Potential Implementation		Resources	s <u>Available</u>			Resource	es <u>Needed</u>	
f Identify futu ag/urban project opportunitie	E BMP		Geographic		Implementation								
f Identify futu ag/urban project opportunitie	e BMP		Location	Expected Timeline	Challenges or Recommendatio ns	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source
ag/urban project opportunitie		Lead -	Countywide	2022 –	Different data set	Precision	Chesapeake	N/A	N/A	Further GIS	Chesapeake	\$44,000 (2022	EPA/DEP
automated means	analysis – ag	Chesapeake Conservancy Stakeholder peer review – Bloomsburg University, USGS, Farm Bureau, PDA	Countywhite	2022 – cataloguing 2023 – batch upload processing and field views 2024 – 2025 – implementatio n focus	scales/ precision	Conservation Tools Batch upload processing BMP field backcheck	Conservancy DEP/SRBC Varies by BMP			and data processing/me thod refinement 5 – Student Internships to Support CAP Implementatio n	Local University Student or local student attending nearby university etc.	\$50,000 per year	TBD
* Develop a local system	opportunity Add urban BMPs to	Municipal engineers,	Urban/suburb an landscape	2022	Currently municipalities	Reporting platform	FieldDoc	N/A	N/A	Training	DEP	N/A	DEP
to capture data collectio on urban structural an non-structur practices	use data sets are updated,				are not collecting BMP data because it is not required in Non- MS4 communities. Must incentivize communities to report, no existing system					5 – Student Internships to Support CAP Implementatio n 1 – municipal planner	Local University Student or local student attending nearby university etc. Planning Commission,	\$50,000 per year \$130,000 per year	TBD

				completed or is m		Potential		untered minor ob Resource	s <u>Available</u>	ction has not bee			ces <u>Needed</u>	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Partners	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Implementation Challenges or Recommendatio ns	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source
2.4*	Implement a documentatio n program for commercial and homeowner nutrient applications in developed lands Support current legislation for a fertilizer bill.	Support fertilizer legislation – where legislation requires reporting Legislation will support the implementatio n of <b>Urban</b> <b>Nutrient</b> <b>Management</b> – 2,000 acres.	PSU Extension	Countywide	2022	Education of responsible parties, receiving timely information, training on reporting system, will need direction from State on what's expected and any reporting system that's developed, Counties aren't equipped with technology or field experience to manage this initiative Fertilizer Legislation has failed to pass congress in the	TBD based on fertilizer legislation, if passed	TBD based on fertilizer legislation, if passed	TBD based on fertilizer legislation if passed	TBD based on fertilizer legislation, if passed	1 – FTE Clean Water Coordinator for Planning Commission	Planning Commission	\$130,000 per year Urban Nutrient Management \$10 per acre → \$20,000	DEP/PDA

	Phase 3 Wat	ershed Imple	mentation Pla	an (WIP) Planı	ning and Prog	gress Template –	NORTHUMBE	RLAND COUN	ITY					
		<u>Green</u> -	action has been o	completed or is m	oving forward a	s planned <u>Yellow</u> - a	action has encour	ntered minor obs	stacles <u>Red</u> - act	tion has not bee	en taken or has en			
						Potential		Resources	s <u>Available</u>			Resourc	es <u>Needed</u>	
						Implementation								
						Challenges or								
		Performance		Geographic	Expected	Recommendatio						Suggested		Suggested
Action #	Description	Target(s)	Partners	Location	Timeline	ns	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Source	Financial	Source
2.5*	Improve	Increase	DEP, NCCD,	Countywide	2022-2025	Private sector ag					1 – FTE Clean	Conservation	\$130,000 per	DEP
2.0	Agricultural	reporting of	NRCS, PDA,	countywhite	2022 2025	planners do not					Water	District	year	021
	BMP reporting	agriculture	NRCS,			have access to					Coordinator	District	year	
	utilizing	plans (30 per	Northumberla			PracticeKeeper.					for			
	PracticeKeepe	year) into	nd County			Ag planners do					Conservation			
	r, Capital	PracticeKeepe	Farm Bureau,			not have time to					District			
	RC&D	r	Capital RC&D,			report into PK.								
	Transect		Chesapeake								5 – Summer	Local	\$50,000 – paid	DEP/PDA/SC
	Survey, PSU	Work with	Conservancy,			Current Capital					interns for	University	internships	, ,
	Survey,	Capital RC&D	PSU Survey,			RC&D routes are					reporting and	, Student or		
	Manure	to improve	Manure			not all inclusive					verification	local student		
	Transport	current	Brokers			and could be						attending		
	Reporting and	transect				improved.						nearby		
	Remote	survey routes										university etc.		
	Sensing	to be more				Current response								
		inclusive				rates are low and							See 3.5 for	
						miss a large							funding needs	
		Work with				demographic of							to improve	
		PSU to				Northumberland							cover crop	
		produce				County farmers.							reporting for	
		better											capital RC&D	
		response rate				Manure brokers								
		to the PSU				are not required								
		survey for				to report data								
		Northumberla				annually. Data is								
		nd County				not inclusive.								
		Work with												
		PDA/DEP to												
		improve												
		manure												
		transport												
		reporting												

		<u>Green</u> -	action has been o	ompleted or is m	oving forward as		action has encou			ction has not be	en taken or has end			
		Performance		Geographic	Expected	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendatio		Resource	es <u>Available</u>			Suggested	es <u>Needed</u>	Suggested
Action #	Description	Target(s)	Partners	Location	Timeline	ns	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Source	Financial	Source
2.6*	Standardized Reporting for Dairy Precision Feeding	County would like to utilize the dairy precision feeding BMP. However, current reporting guidelines do not allow for clear reporting standards on feed reduction amounts, how to report, and who is qualified to report. -1,000 animal units per year for dairy precision feeding	Chesapeake Bay Program, Penn State Extension, Dairy co-ops	Countywide	2022	It is recommended that MUN be an acceptable standard for reporting dairy precision feeding. Guidelines need to be posted on acceptable MUN rates and work with dairy integrators to receive MUN data to report to DEP.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Reporting protocol	Chesapeake Bay Program, Penn State Extension, Dairy co-ops	N/A	N/A
Priority	/ Initiative 3:	-	ew Pollutant	Reductions	;	1				-		1		
3.1			Bloomsburg University, NCCD, other partners to be identified	Warrior Run Watershed	2021-2025	Funding, landowner interest in BMPs, implementation partner coordination Reverification of existing farm BMPs					1 – New Environmental Specialist	Conservation District	\$68,000.00 \$1,000,000 to support implementatio n of Warriors Run 319	Applied for EPA 319 Grant, waiting on approval 319 Program, GG, PDA, SCC NRCS

	Phase 3 Wat	ershed Imple	mentation Pla	an (WIP) Plann	ing and Prog	gress Template –	NORTHUMB	ERLAND COUN	ITY					
		<u>Green</u> -	action has been	completed or is mo	oving forward a	s planned <u>Yellow</u> -	action has encou	untered minor obs	stacles <u>Red</u> - ac	tion has not be	en taken or has en	countered a serio	ous barrier	
						Potential		Resources	s <u>Available</u>			Resourc	es <u>Needed</u>	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Partners	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Implementation Challenges or Recommendatio ns	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source
3.2	Accelerated Implementatio n of Rapid Delisting Catchment Strategy through the Precision Conservation Partnership	Would like to partner in the future with Chesapeake Conservancy to explore rapid delisting approach	Chesapeake Conservancy, NCCD and Precision Conservation Partnership Stakeholders	TBD with input from Chesapeake Conservancy	2022-2025	Gaining landowner interest, design/permit/co nstruction schedules, dedicated funding to support BMP implementation, Lack of technical assistance and engineering staff to support	Program management and GIS Landowner outreach	Chesapeake Conservancy Partnership stakeholders	Financiai	Source	3 – additional FTE environmental technician	Chesapeake Conservancy, CBF, Clearwater Conservancy, etc.	\$390,000 per year \$100,000 dollars to complete rapid delisting program management per year →	DEP/NRCS/ SCC/PDA/ DCNR/NFWF/ Growing Greener/ EPA
						implementation							total cost \$500,000	

	1	<u>Green</u> -	action has been o	completed or is m	oving forward a		action has enco			iction has not be	en taken or has end			
		Performance		Geographic	Expected	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendatio			es <u>Available</u>			Suggested	es <u>Needed</u>	Suggested
Action #	Description	Target(s)	Partners	Location	Timeline	ns	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Source	Financial	Source
3.3*	Help farmers and operators to be in compliance with state and federal	Soil and Water Quality Conservation Plans (AG E&S) 25,000 new acres	4R Alliance, NCCD, Northumberla nd County Farm Bureau, NRCS, Private	Countywide Ag Land	2022-2025	Lack of DEP inspections. Reporting and verification of AG Plans, NRCS plans expire and	Educational support	CBF/4R Alliance	CBF grant	NFWF	Cost share support for equipment/ maintenance/ staff	Private Consultant/ District/ NRCS	\$150,000 cost share budget per year	DEP
	Conservation and Nutrient Management Plans	Nutrient Management (Manure Management) 31,000 new acres Core N, 17,500 new	Sector Agriculture Farm Visits, FSA, Ag Land Preservation			do not get reverified, private plans are never entered. Lack of Technical assistance to support agriculture					6 – additional Ag Planners to work with farmers	District/NRCS/ Private Sector Farm Visits	\$780,000 per year	DEP/NRCS/ SCC/PDA
		Acres Core P Work with ACT 38 operators,				planning and implementation, one on one farm outreach is best way to capture						Conservation Plans	\$15 per acre for a total cost of \$375,000	DEP/SCC/PD NRCS
		Preserved Farms, and certified organics to document				existing plans. Act 38 and Preserved Farm programs are not required to enter						Core N and Core P	\$15 per acre for a total cost of \$465,000	DEP/SCC/PD NRCS
		plans already required. State agencies must work with				plans in PK, Recommendatio ns to require all programs to enter plans into								
		integrators to ensure they are requiring compliance by farmers. Some				PK with farmers as well.								
		integrators require compliance, but not all,												

		<u>Green</u> - a	action has been c	completed or is m	oving forward as	-	action has enco	untered minor ob		action has not bee	n taken or has end			
						Potential		Resource	es <u>Available</u>			Resource	s <u>Needed</u>	
						Implementation								
						Challenges or								
		Performance		Geographic	Expected	Recommendatio						Suggested		Suggestee
ion #	Description	Target(s)	Partners	Location	Timeline	ns	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Source	Financial	Source
.4	Advanced	Transition	4R Alliance,	Countywide	2022-2025	Landowner	Educational	CBF/4R	CBF grant	NFWF	6 – additional	District/NRCS/	\$780,000 per	DEP/NRCS/
	Nutrient	manure	NCCD,	AG Land		interest, BMP	support	Alliance			Ag Planners to	Private Sector	year	SCC/PDA
	Management	management	Northumberla			verification					work with	Farm Visits		
	(4R) Practice	plans to	nd County			(annual).					farmers to			
	Education and	nutrient	Farm Bureau,			Lack of Technical					meet 4R			
	Implementatio	-	NRCS, Private			assistance to					standards			
	n	plans and	Sector			support								
		incentivize	Agriculture			agriculture							_	
		implementatio	Farm Visits,			planning and							See 3.3 for	
		n	FSA, Ag Land			implementation.							cost share	
		Increase	Preservation			Additional							budget for	
		existing 4R				funding to							equipment	
		practice (N/P				support soil							rentals.	
		Rate by 2,300				testing. Soil							¢10	
		acres, N/P				testing is key to							\$10 per acre of advanced	DEP/PDA/S NRCS
		Timing by				meeting the recommendation							nutrient	INRCS
		2,300 acres and N/P				s of								
		Placement by				supplemental							management planning per	
		2,300 acres)				BMPs.							type $\rightarrow$ total	
		2,500 acres				DIVIF 5.							cost for all is	
		Explore the				Machine							\$138,000	
		idea of				dependent for							Ŷ130,000	
		increasing				most farming								
		PSNT or				operations								
		Chlorophyl												
		testing to				Cost of fertilizer								
		district				is self-regulating								
		program				farmers to use								
		participants				less fertilizer;								
						therefore, lower								
						rates result when								
						PSNTs are done								
						at the beginning								
						of the growing								
						season.								

		- Green -			noving forward as	Potential	action has encou		s Available		en taken or has end		es Needed	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Partners	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Implementation Challenges or Recommendatio ns	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested	Financial	Suggeste Source
3.5*	Implement Practice to improve soil health and sustainability (Tillage Management and Cover Crops)	Determine feasibility of having a county/state cost share program to enhance adoption of the annual practice	NCCD, Northumberla nd County Farm Bureau, NRCS, Private Sector Agriculture Farm Visits, FSA, Ag Land Preservation	Countywide Ag Land	2022 – investigation 2023 – next steps	Capacity to manage the program, landowner interest Lack of technical assistance and farm planners to work with farmers to transition to High	Transect survey Landowner education Existing No-Till farm equipment for Rent – no longer rents	Capital RC&D 1 NCCD staff person Conservation District			6 – additional Ag Planners to transition farmers to high residue	District/NRCS/ Private Sector Farm Visits	\$780,000 per year	DEP/NRCS/ SCC/PDA
		tillage management and cover crops on an annual rate of 58,000 acres High Residue, 7,000 acres Conservation Tillage, 4,000 acres Low Residue, 18,000 acres of cover crops and 10,000 acres of cover crops with fall nutrients				Residue Tillage Current verification methods do not accurately capture implemented amounts – Capital RC&D survey needs revised Farmers are harvesting cover crops for forage, need accurate efficiency	Cover Crop Incentive Program	NCCD	\$73,749 in 2019	Greener	County Conservation District – staff to administer the program	Cover Crop Incentive Program	\$90 per acre traditional per year → \$1.62M for a 5 year total of \$8.1M (incentive payment, administration , capital RC&D reporting) \$50 per acre fall nutrients per year → \$500,000 for 5-year total of \$2.5M	PDA, SCC, Growing Greener, PACD,
		intrents				crediting for commodity cover crops					No-till drill rental program	NCCD	\$150,000 for drill and maintenance, storage, admin	DEP

		<u>Green</u> -	action has been o	completed or is m	oving forward as		action has enco		ostacles <u>Red</u> - act	tion has not bee	n taken or has end			
		Performance		Geographic	Expected	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendatio		Resource	es <u>Available</u>			Resource Suggested	es <u>Needed</u>	Suggested
ction #	Description	Target(s)	Partners	Location	Timeline	ns	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Source	Financial	Source
3.6*	Implement more pasture management BMPs	Prescribed grazing – 800 acres Pasture Alternative Watering –	NCCD, Northumberla nd County Farm Bureau, NRCS, Private Sector Agriculture	Countywide ag lands – landowners who raise horses, dairy, beef and other pasture	2022-2025	Landowner education, BMP funding for non- buffer work, plan updates, data gathering	Landowner education	NRCS	CBF grant		6 – additional Ag Planners to provide technical assistance and ag planning	District/NRCS/ Private Sector Farm Visits	\$780,000 per year	DEP/NRCS/ SCC/PDA
		150 acres Grass buffers on fenced pasture corridor – 220 acres Forest buffers on fenced pasture corridor – 10 acres Land	Farm Visits, FSA, Ag Land Preservation Chesapeake Bay Foundation, Chesapeake Conservancy	grazing animals		Lack of Technical assistance to support agriculture planning and implementation Old NRCS plans need to be updated to comply with prescribed grazing definition – difficult to get landowner buy-					3 – additional FTE environmental technician	Chesapeake Conservancy, CBF, Clearwater Conservancy, etc.	\$390,000 per year Prescribed grazing \$540 per acre → \$432,000 total Off stream watering \$500 per acre → \$75,000 total	DEP/NRCS/ SCC/PDA/ DCNR/NFWF Growing Greener/ EP ""
		Retirement to Ag Open Space – 205 acres				in – fund alternative watering and fencing; most pastures are streamside							Land Retirement \$500 per acre → \$102,500 total	un
						Increasing construction costs are resulting in canceled NRCS contracts by							FB Buffer W/ Exclusion \$10,500 per acre → \$105K total	un
						landowners.							GB Buffer W/ Exclusion \$2,750 per acre → \$605K total	""

	1	<u>Green</u> -	action has been o	completed or is m	oving forward as		action has enco	untered minor obs		tion has not bee	n taken or has end			
						Potential		Resources	s <u>Available</u>			Resource	s <u>Needed</u>	
						Implementation Challenges or								
ction #	Description	Performance	Darthors	Geographic	Expected Timeline	Recommendatio	Technical	Sourco	Financial	Fourco	Technical	Suggested	Financial	Suggested
3.7*	Description	Target(s)	Partners	Location	2022 – line up	ns Landowner		Source	Financial	Source CBF, NFWF,	6 – additional	Source District/NRCS/	Financial	Source
5.7	Riparian buffer and re-	410 riparian forest buffer	CBF, Sunbury Chapter of TU,	Countywide	landowners	partnerships,	Materials	NCCD (in-kind, annual tree	Budget available to be	NFWS, NRCS -	Ag Planners to	Private Sector	\$780,000 per year	SCC/PDA
	forestation	acres; Need to	Chesapeake		landowners	landowner		sale efforts),	determined	CREP	provide	Farm Visits	уса	SCOTDA
	BMPs	recredit	Conservancy,		2023-2025	education,		CBF	determined	CIVEI	technical			
	Bivil 5	additional	NRCS, NCCD,		implementatio	volunteer					assistance and			
		acres lost	PAFBC, DCNR,		n	acceptance of	Mapping	Chesapeake			ag planning			
		since 2010	North Central			buffer plantings,		Conservancy						
			РА			buffer		,			3 – additional	Chesapeake	\$390,000 per	DEP/NRCS/
		62 riparian	Conservancy,			maintenance					FTE	Conservancy,	year	SCC/PDA/
		grass buffer	Merrill Linn			guide for					environmental	CBF,	-	DCNR
		acres; Need to	Conservancy,			farmers, routine					technician	Clearwater		
		recredit	Middle			site visits to						Conservancy,		
		additional	Susquehanna			confirm buffers						etc.		
		acres lost	River Keepers,			are thriving,							Forest Buffer	DCNR, NFW
		since 2010	Northumberla			invasive species							\$5,000 per	PACD,
			nd AOAA,			removal during							acre → \$250K	TreeVitalize
		40 acres –	Watershed			establishment								DEP,
		Agriculture	groups LSCWA			_, , ,							Maintenance	Coldwater
		Tree Planting	and SCRA,			Flash grazing							equipment/co	Heritage
		80	Universities,			must be allowed							ntract \$490K	Partnership
		80 acres –	Other			with buffer							Cross Duffer	Implementa
		urban forest buffer	Recreational			installation							Grass Buffer	n Grants,
		bullet	groups, DCNR, DEP BAMR			Funding program							\$2,500 per acre → \$100K	Landscape Scale
		2 acres –	DEF BAIVIN			must include a 5-								Restoration
		urban tree				10-year							Tree/Forest	(LSR) Grant
		canopy				maintenance							Planting	Program – l
						program to							\$10,000 per	Forest Servi
		120 acres –				establish buffers							acre $\rightarrow$ \$1.2M	Pennsylvani
		urban forest				along with								Habitat
		planting				incentive							Forest	Stewardship
		_				program \$4K							Harvesting	Program,
		500 acres –				minimum per							\$60 per acre	Alliance for
		forest				acre payment							→ \$30K total	the Bay, CBF
		harvesting												Chesapeake
		practices												Conservanc

	1				oving forward a		action has cheo	untered minor obs			n taken or has end			
						Potential		Resources	s <u>Available</u>			Resource	s <u>Needed</u>	
						Implementation								
						Challenges or								
		Performance		Geographic	Expected	Recommendatio						Suggested		Suggested
Action #	Description	Target(s)	Partners	Location	Timeline	ns	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Source	Financial	Source
3.8	Wetland	82 acres of	CBF, Sunbury	Countywide	2022 –2025	Willing	Landowner	1 NCCD staff			3 – additional	Chesapeake	\$390,000 per	DEP/DCNR
	restoration	Wetland	Chapter of TU,			landowner;	outreach	person			FTE	Conservancy,	year	
	implementatio	Restoration	Chesapeake			appropriate					environmental	CBF,		
	n on marginal		Conservancy,			siting, design,					technician	Clearwater		
	production ag	Identify 1	NRCS, NCCD,			and construction						Conservancy,		
	land	large property	PAFBC, DCNR,			for successful						etc.		
		owner from	North Central			restoration result								
		University of	PA								2 – stream	NCCD/	\$280,000	DEP/DCNR/F
		Vermont	Conservancy,			Lack of technical					biologist	Environmental		FBC/USGS
		restorable	Merrill Linn			assistance for						Group		
		wetland layer	Conservancy,			landowner								
		to install a	Middle			outreach and							Wetland	DEP/DCNR/
		wetland	Susquehanna			agriculture							Restoration	USDA
			River Keepers,			planning to							\$30,000 per	Conservatio
			Northumberla			identify potential							acre →	Reserve
			nd AOAA,			site locations							\$2.46M	Program (CF
			Watershed											or NRCS
			groups LSCWA			Lead time it								Wetlands
			and SCRA,			takes to secure								Reserve
						projects can take								Program
						years								(WRP)

	Phase 3 Wat	tershed Imple	mentation Pla	an (WIP) Planr	ning and Prog	ress Template –	NORTHUMB	ERLAND COUN	ТҮ					
		<u>Green</u> -	action has been o	completed or is m	oving forward as	planned <u>Yellow</u> -	action has enco	untered minor obst	tacles <u>Red</u> - ac	tion has not be	en taken or has end	countered a serio	us barrier	
						Potential		Resources	<u>Available</u>			Resource	s <u>Needed</u>	
						Implementation								
						Challenges or								
		Performance		Geographic	Expected	Recommendatio						Suggested		Suggested
Action #	Description	Target(s)	Partners	Location	Timeline	ns	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Source	Financial	Source
3.9	Stream	10,000 Linear	CBF, Sunbury	Rapid delisting	2022 – 2025	Design/permit/c	Design/GP-1	Trout			Design,	Private sector,	Assume	Growing
	Restoration	feet (~2 miles)	Chapter of TU,	areas top		onstruction cycle	permit	Unlimited,			permit,	USFWS, TU	\$900/LF -	Greener,
	(Urban and	Urban Stream	Chesapeake	priority &		seems to work in		Municipalities			construction		\$9.0M –	NFWF, DEP,
	Agriculture)	Restoration	Conservancy,	Countywide		two-year					services		Urban	DCNR, PAFBO
			NRCS, NCCD,	·		increments,								USGS
		8,000 Linear	PAFBC, DCNR,			there is an							Assume	
		feet (~1.5	North Central			assumption that							\$400/LF -	DEP/NRCS/
		mile)	PA			eroded/degrade							\$3.2M	SCC/PDA
		Agriculture	Conservancy,			d streams exist							Agriculture	
		Stream	Merrill Linn			based upon							-	
		Restoration	Conservancy,			403(d) listing –					2 – Municipal	Municipalities,	\$280,000 per	
			Middle			should that not					Engineers	Planning	year	DEP/DCNR
			Susquehanna			be the case in						Commission,		
			River Keepers,			the field, adjust						COG		
			Northumberla			quantitative goal								
			nd AOAA,			down and ensure					3 – FTE	Private Sector/	\$420,000	
			Watershed			buffers are in					Design, Permit	NCCD		DEP/DCNR/P
			groups LSCWA			place					construction			FBC/USGS
			and SCRA,			Lack of funding					Services			
						to cover						NCCD/		
						engineering					2 – stream	Environmental	\$280,000	
						design					biologist	Group		

	Phase 3 Wat	ershed Imple	ementation Pla	an (WIP) Plan	ning and Prog	ress Template –	NORTHUMBE	RLAND COUN	ITY					
		<u>Green</u> -	action has been o	completed or is m	oving forward as		action has encou			tion has not bee	n taken or has end			
		Performance		Geographic	Expected	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendatio	<b>-</b>		s <u>Available</u>	<b>6</b>		Resource		Suggested
Action #	Description	Target(s)	Partners	Location	Timeline	ns	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Source	Financial	Source
3.10	Implement more barnyard runoff control/loafin g lot	49 acres of barnyard runoff controls	NCCD, Northumberla nd County Farm Bureau, NRCS, Private Sector	Countywide Farms	2022-2025	Landowner buy- in and project development/fun ding Lack of Technical					6 – additional Ag Planners to provide technical assistance and ag planning	District/NRCS/ Private Sector Farm Visits	\$780,000 per year	DEP/NRCS/ SCC/PDA
	management	72 acres of agriculture drainage management	Agriculture Farm Visits, FSA, Ag Land Preservation			assistance to support agriculture planning and implementation					3 – FTE Design, Permit construction Services	Private Sector/ NCCD	\$420,000 per year	DEP/NRCS/ SCC/PDA
						Increasing construction costs are cancelling NRCS contracts					3 – FTE Design, Permit construction Services	Private Sector/ NCCD	\$315,000 per year	DEP/NRCS/ SCC/PDA
						Lack of funding to cover engineering design							Barnyard Runoff Control \$175,000 per project, assume 1 acre per project \$5.6M in total	DEP/NRCS/ SCC/PDA/ PennVEST
													Agriculture Stormwater Management \$10,000 per project, assume 1 acre per project \$720K in total	DEP/NRCS/ SCC/PDA/ PennVEST

	Phase 3 Wat	tershed Imple	mentation Pla	an (WIP) Planı	ning and Prog	ress Template –	NORTHUMBE	RLAND COUN	ITY					
		<u>Green</u> -	action has been	completed or is m	oving forward as		action has encou			ion has not bee	en taken or has end			
						Potential		Resources	s <u>Available</u>			Resource	s <u>Needed</u>	1
						Implementation Challenges or								
		Performance		Geographic	Expected	Recommendatio						Suggested		Suggested
Action #	Description	Target(s)	Partners	Location	Timeline	ns	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Source	Financial	Source
3.11	Animal Waste Storage Systems	5,000 new AUs of livestock waste management systems	NCCD, Northumberla nd County Farm Bureau, NRCS, Private Sector Agriculture	Livestock & Poultry farms	2022-2025	Current capacity through NRCS and NCCD implements about 5 farms projects per year; Time to get	Project implementatio n – 5 farms a year	NRCS, NCCD, Private Ag Sector			6 – additional Ag Planners to provide technical assistance and ag planning	District/NRCS/ Private Sector Farm Visits	\$780,000 per year	DEP/NRCS/ SCC/PDA
		10,000 new AUs of poultry waste management systems	Farm Visits,			through planning, design, and construction; outreach to smaller farms					3 – FTE Design, Permit construction Services	Private Sector/ NCCD	\$420,000 per year	DEP/NRCS/ SCC/PDA
						that likely need the assistance; match cash value for small farms; readiness to					3 – FTE Design, Permit construction Services	Private Sector/ NCCD	\$315,000 per year	DEP/NRCS/ SCC/PDA
						plan/implement projects when outreach efforts yield willing landowners							Animal waste management system \$175,000 per project, assume 100 AUs per	DEP/NRCS/ SCC/PDA/ PennVEST
						to cover planning, I&Es, and engineering design							project \$26.25M in total	

		<u>Green</u> -	action has been c	ompleted or is m	oving forward as		action has enco		stacles <u>Red</u> - act	ion has not be	en taken or has en			
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Partners	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendatio ns	Technical	Source	s <u>Available</u> Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	s <u>Needed</u> Financial	Suggester Source
3.12*	Urban Stormwater Management Non- Regulated Communities	Implement existing ordinances at local municipal level	NCPC, NCCD, developing municipalities, Keystone Council of Governments, Sunbury	County-wide	Ongoing 2022-2025	Coordination/ training for municipal staff, FieldDoc batch opportunity, non-MS4 engagement	Reporting platform	FieldDoc			5 – Summer interns for reporting and verification	Local University Student or local student attending nearby university etc.	\$50,000 – paid internships	NRCS/PDA/ DEP
		Stormwater Treatment Performance Standard – 16	Municipal Authority, SEDA-COG, DCED			(what's in it for them?), very little reporting in the non-ms4					2 – Municipal Engineers	Municipalities, Planning Commission, COG	\$280,000 per year	TBD
		acres treated Stormwater Treatment Performance Standard – 273 acres treated Advanced Grey Infrastructure – 190 acres treated Dry Detention Ponds – 65 acres treated Infiltration Practices – 58 acres treated Impervious Surface reduction – 1 acre				sector, must encourage more reporting Catalogue existing BMPs that fit into this category and newly built ones					1 – municipal planner	Planning Commission, COG, Municipality, etc.	\$130,000 per year Stormwater Treatment \$1,815/acre $\rightarrow$ \$29,040 Runoff Reduction \$4,162/acre $\rightarrow$ \$1.136M Advanced Grey Infrastructure \$132/acre $\rightarrow$ \$25,080 Dry Detention Ponds \$7,917/acre $\rightarrow$ \$511,000 Infiltration Practices \$7,917/acre $\rightarrow$ \$460,000 Impervious Surface reduction \$57,460/acre	DEP

	1	<u>Green</u> - a	action has been c	ompleted or is m	oving forward as		action has encou	intered minor ob		ction has not bee	n taken or has end			
		Performance		Geographic	Expected	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendatio		Resource	es <u>Available</u>			Resource Suggested	es <u>Needed</u>	Suggested
Action #	Description	Target(s)	Partners	Location	Timeline	ns	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Source	Financial	Source
3.13	Conservation Landscaping/T urf to Meadow	Promote new program and enable one large tract	NCPC, NCCD, developing municipalities, Keystone	Developed areas in County municipalities	2022 - 2025	Landowner education and acceptance	Planting plan assistance	Alliance for the Bay (in- kind)			1 – FTE Municipal Planner	Planning Commission	\$130,000 per year	DEP/DCNR
	Conversion	landowners' participation 120 new acres of Conservation	Council of Governments, Sunbury Municipal Authority, SEDA-COG,			Existing mowing ordinances and weed ordinances can be a challenge to implementation					3 – additional FTE environmental technician	Chesapeake Conservancy, CBF, etc.	\$130,000 per year	DEP/DCNR/ SCC/PDA/ NRCS
		Landscaping	Watershed organizations										\$2,500 per acre meadow → \$300,000 budget for all	DCNR
3.14*	Continue dirt and gravel road program	34 miles overall restored through past projects and future projects 5,000 new linear feet of D&G Road improvements	NCCD	Countywide	2025	Continue D&G Road program funding Expand Dirt and Gravel Road Program to include farm lanes	Education, technical assistance, project oversight	NCCD, Center for Dirt & Gravel Road Studies, SCC	\$2.4 million since 1998	State Conservation Commission	1 – FTE Clean Water Coordinator for Conservation District	Conservation District	\$130,000 per year Dirt and Gravel Roads \$40 per foot→ \$200,000	DEP/PDA/ SCO
3.15*	Work with PennDOT and local municipalities to reduce frequency of	Educate local municipal leaders and PennDOT on the importance of	Local Municipalities, DEP and PennDOT	Countywide	2023	PennDOT's and Municipal willingness to cut back on mowing programs. DEP Chesapeake Bay					1 – FTE Clean Water Coordinator for Planning Commission	Planning Commission	\$130,000 per year	DEP
	mowing road ditches and along roadways	keeping higher vegetation along roadways to prevent erosion and increase nutrient uptake.				Program will need to assist in the education of PennDOT.					1 – FTE Clean Water Coordinator for Conservation District	Conservation District	\$130,000 per year	DEP/PDA/ SCO

	Phase 3 Wat	tershed Imple	mentation Pl	an (WIP) Planı	ning and Prog	gress Template –	NORTHUMB		ITY					
	[	<u>Green</u> -	action has been	completed or is m	oving forward a		action has enco	untered minor obs		tion has not be	en taken or has en			
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Partners	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendatio ns	Technical	Source	s <u>Available</u> Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested	es <u>Needed</u> Financial	Suggested Source
3.16	Private Funding & Grant Administration	Identify some private funding sources that may be able to	Existing project implementer networks	Countywide	2022-2023	Need to expand network, educational aspect of less common	Financial services	HRG (CAP coordinator)			1 – FTE Conservation District Grant Manager	Conservation District	\$130,000	TBD
		supplement public funding sources/existi ng sources utilized for stakeholders,				funders, logistics of utilizing unproven funding sources (or lesser known)					1 – FTE Planning Commission Grant Manager	Planning Commission	\$130,000	TBD
		continue to work with partners to facilitate additional funding				Grant administration is a challenge due to limited staff and time- consuming nature of grant reporting and administration					2 – staff accountants	Planning Commission & Conservation District	\$140,000 per year	TBD

	Phase 3 Wat	ershed Imple	mentation Pl	an (WIP) Planı	ning and Prog	ress Template –	NORTHUMBE	RLAND COU	NTY					
		Green -	action has been	completed or is m	oving forward as	planned Yellow -	action has encou	ntered minor ob	ostacles <u>Red</u> - a	ction has not bee	n taken or has en	countered a serio	us barrier	
						Potential			es <u>Available</u>				s <u>Needed</u>	
						Implementation								
						Challenges or								
		Performance		Geographic	Expected	Recommendatio		-				Suggested		Suggested
Action #	Description	Target(s)	Partners	Location	Timeline	ns	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Source	Financial	Source
	-	-	-	and Training									1	
4.1*	Develop new water quality monitoring data into Chesapeake Data Explorer/ Chesapeake Monitoring Cooperative database	Location identification, financial and volunteer budget analysis, and initial landowner communicatio n by end of 2021 CAST-21 acknowledge ment of our data Map existing monitoring	ALLARM, Bloomsburg University, Watershed Associations	To be determined	2022	Land access, expanded volunteer need, equipment/mate rials budget, Consistent data collection, QAQC continuation Data precision, QAQC, opportunity to educate landowners about local stream health and what they can do about it			N/A	N/A	Volunteers for Water quality monitoring New monitoring equipment 3 – additional FTE environmental technician	Local environmental groups Conservation District Chesapeake Conservancy, CBF, etc. NCCD/ Environmental Group	N/A \$10,000 \$130,000 per year	TBD ALLARM DEP/NRCS/ DCNR/PDA
		locations Expand monitoring based on Corridors of Opportunity area monitoring gaps				Consideration to expand the Keystone Water Resources Center					2 – stream biologist		\$280,000 per year	DEP/DCNR/PA FBC/USGS

	Phase 3 Wat	tershed Imple	mentation Pla	an (WIP) Plan	ning and Prog	ress Template –	NORTHUMB		ГҮ					
		Green -	action has been o	completed or is m	noving forward as	s planned Vellow -	action has encou	untered minor obst	acles <b>Red</b> - act	tion has not bee	en taken or has en	countered a serio	us barrier	
						Potential		Resources					es Needed	
						Implementation								
						Challenges or								
		Performance		Geographic	Expected	Recommendatio						Suggested		Suggested
Action #	Description	Target(s)	Partners	Location	Timeline	ns	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Source	Financial	Source
4.2	Enhance the capacity of local watershed associations for short-term success and long-term sustainability	Develop new or reestablish existing watershed associations to support with CAP implementatio n. Watershed organizations	Watershed Associations, Trout Unlimited, National Trout Unlimited, Rivers Keeper, Shamokin Creek Restoration	Countywide	Ongoing	Willing volunteers and leaders to establish and run watershed organizations to be successful and support with implementation	Social media shares Project development support	County – department to be determined CAP Coordinator (HRG)			<ul> <li>1 – FTE Clean</li> <li>Water</li> <li>Coordinator</li> <li>for Planning</li> <li>Commission</li> <li>1 – FTE Clean</li> <li>Water</li> <li>Coordinator</li> <li>for</li> </ul>	Planning Commission Conservation District	\$130,000 per year \$130,000 per year	DEP DEP/PDA/ SCC
		can support with outreach, engagement, new project identification and implementatio n Encourage project implementatio n on the watershed level so that these partners enhance their relationships with non- peers with a co-benefit of diversifying their membership	Alliance, Little Shamokin Creek Watershed Association, AOAA								Conservation District		\$5000 per organization to produce promotional materials (hats, shirts, stickers) for members → \$15,000 total	Enhance the capacity of local watershed associations for short-term success and long-term sustainability

## Phase 3 Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP) Planning and Progress Template

## Each county-based local area will use this template to identify:

1. Inputs – These are both existing and needed resources, public and private, to implement the identified priority initiative. These include both technical and financial resources, such as personnel, supplies, equipment and funding. 2. Process – what is each partner able to do where and by when. These are the action items listed under each priority initiative.

3. Outputs and outcomes – both short and long-term. These are the priority initiatives identified by each county. The performance targets are the intermediate indicators that will measure progress.

4. Implementation challenges – any potential issues or roadblocks to implementation that could impede outputs and outcomes.

Asterisk: Place an asterisk next to the action number(s) for action items that appear in both the County Planning and Progress Template and the Programmatic Recommendations Template.

For each Priority Initiative or Program Element: Use the fields, as defined below, to identify the inputs and the process that will be followed to achieve each priority initiative. This is the "who, what, where, when and how" of the plan:

Description = What. This may include programs that address prevention, or as specific as planned BMP installations that will address the Priority Initiative. A programmatic or policy effort will require some ability to quantify the anticipated benefits which will allow calculation of the associated nutrient reductions.

Performance Target = How. This is an extension of the Description above. The Performance Target details the unique BMPs that will result from implementation of the Priority Initiative and serves as a benchmark to track progress in addressing the Priority Initiative. Performance Targets may be spread across multiple Responsible Parties, Geographies, and Timelines based on the specifics of the Initiative.

Responsible Party(ies) = Who. This is/are the key partner(s) who will implement the action items though outreach, assistance or funding, and who will be responsible for delivering the identified programs or practices.

Geographic Location = Where. This field identifies the geographic range of the planned implementation. This could extend to the entire county or down to a small watershed, based on the scale of the Priority Initiative, range of the Responsible Party, or planned funding/resources. NOTE: Resource limitations alone should not limit potential implementation as additional funding may become available in the future.

Expected Timeline = When. Provide the expected completion date for the planned activity. This should be a reasonable expectation, based on knowledge and experience, that will aid in tracking progress toward addressing the Priority Initiative.

Resources Available: Technical & Funding = This field will note technical and financial resources secured/available to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the resources identified in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole; or, if available, to each action.

Resources Needed: Technical & Funding = This field will note technical and financial resources needed/outstanding to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the additional resources projected and identified as needed in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole; or, if possible, to each action.

Potential Implementation Challenges/Issues = This field will note challenges and issues that may delay program implementation (Description).

## **GLOSSARY**

- ACT 167 Plan. The Pennsylvania Stormwater Management Act of 1978, or Act 167, required that each county must prepare and adopt a watershed stormwater management plan for each watershed located in the county as designated by DEP, in consultation with the municipalities located within each watershed.
- Ag E & S Agricultural Erosion and Sedimentation Plan. Agricultural Erosion and Sedimentation plans document best management practices on crop and pasture fields to mitigate erosion and protect soil health. Any landowner that disturbs the soil (including no tillage) more than 5,000 square feet (~ 1/10 acre) must have a written Agricultural Erosion & Sediment Control Plan according to Pennsylvania State law, Chapter 102.

ALLARM – Alliance for Aquatic Resource Monitoring. ALLARM is a program of Dickinson College that enhances local action for the protection and restoration of waterways by empowering communities with scientific knowledge and tools. AMD – Acid Mine Drainage. Outflow of acidic water from metal mines or coal mines.

- BMP Best Management Practice. Best management practices describe a type of water pollution control. Using agricultural BMPs can help to prevent or minimize the effects of nonpoint source pollution.
- **CAST** Chesapeake Assessment Scenario Tool. CAST is a web-based nitrogen, phosphorus and sediment load estimator tool that streamlines environmental planning.
- CBF Chesapeake Bay Foundation. The Chesapeake Bay Foundation is a non-profit organization devoted to the restoration and protection of the Chesapeake Bay in the United States.
- NCCD Northumberland County Conservation District. The Northumberland County Conservation District serves as the primary local source of assistance to all individuals and organizations who benefit from the county's natural resources that we collectively strive to sustain and improve.

- NCPC Northumberland County Planning Commission. The Northumberland County Planning Commission makes recommendations and decisions to maintain and enhance the high quality of life for all residents, in accordance with the Pennsylvania Municipalities Planning Code, and other laws and regulations of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and the County of Northumberland.
- DCNR Department of Conservation and Natural Resources. DCNR is responsible for maintaining and preserving state parks and forests: providing information on the state's natural resources; and working with communities to benefit local recreation and natural areas.
- DEP Department of Environmental Protection. The Department of Environmental Protection's mission is to protect Pennsylvania's air, land and water from pollution and to provide for the health and safety of its citizens through a cleaner environment. EPA – Environmental Protection Agency. The Environmental Protection Agency is a United States federal government agency whose mission is to protect human and environmental health.
- FEMA Federal Emergency Management Agency. FEMA supports citizens and emergency personnel to build, sustain, and improve the nation's capability to prepare for, protect against, respond to, recover from, and mitigate all hazards. FieldDoc – FieldDoc is a protected, online database that uses geographic information to generate baseline nutrient and sediment loading information and calculate load reductions for planned BMPs. GIS – Geographic Information System. GIS is a computer system that analyzes and displays geographically referenced information.
- HUC12 Watershed. A local sub-watershed level delineation that captures tributary systems draining into the larger Chesapeake Bay watershed.
- MMP Manure Management Plan. Manure management plans document how a landowner plans to capture, store, treat, and utilize animal manures in an environmentally sustainable manner. Every landowner that has livestock or spreads manure on their property must have a written Manure Management Plan according to Pennsylvania State law, Chapter 91.
- MS4 Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System. A separate storm sewer system is a collection of structures, including retention basins, ditches, roadside inlets and underground pipes, designed to gather stormwater from built-up areas and discharge it, without treatment, into local streams and rivers.
- NFWF National Fish and Wildlife Foundation. NFWF works towards sustaining, restoring, and enhancing the nation's fish, wildlife, plants and habitats for current and future generations through innovative public and private partnerships, and by investing financial resources and intellectual capital into science-based programs designed to address conservation priorities and achieve measurable outcomes.
- NMP Act 38 Nutrient Management Plan. Nutrient management plans are required under Pennsylvania State law Act 38 which applies to operations with more than 2,000 pounds live animal weight per acre of pasture and crop fields.
- NRCS Natural Resource Conservation Service. NRCS's programs help farmers reduce soil erosion, enhance water supplies, improve water quality, increase wildlife habitat, and reduce damages caused by floods and other natural disasters.
- PACD Pennsylvania Association of Conservation Districts. Provides support for Pennsylvania's conservation districts.
- PEMA Pennsylvania Emergency Management Agency. PEMA is tasked with the response to, preparedness for, recovery from, and the mitigation or prevention of disasters and other emergencies. PracticeKeeper. PracticeKeeper is a protected, online database Used for reporting conservation plans, BMPs, E&S plans, nutrient management plans, watershed plans, complaints, DEP inspection reports and data exports to DEP. QAPP – Quality Assurance Project Plan. A QA Project Plan documents the technical and quality aspects of a project, including project management, implementation and assessment. It specifies responsibilities, monitoring objectives, sampling design, sample
  - collection methods, analytical methods, guality control, data management and data validation activities. It is required by EPA prior to any monitoring or data collection.
- QAQC Quality Assurance Quality Control. QA/QC is the combination of quality assurance, the process or set of processes used to measure and assure the quality of a product, and quality control, the process of ensuring products and services meet consumer expectations.
- **4R Nutrient Stewardship Precision Conservation.** Right fertilizer source at the Right rate, at the Right time and in the Right place for optimal crop management.
- SRBC Susquehanna River Basin Commission. SRBC's mission is to enhance public welfare through comprehensive planning, water supply allocation, and management of the water resources of the Susquehanna River Basin. SWM – Stormwater Management. Stormwater management is the effort to reduce runoff of rainwater or melted snow into streets, lawns and other sites and the improvement of water guality.
- SWP Source Water Protection. Source Water Protection is a planning process conducted by local water utilities, as well as regional or national government agencies, to protect drinking water sources from overuse and contamination.
- USGS United States Geological Survey. USGS provides science about the natural hazards that threaten lives and livelihoods; the water, energy, minerals, and other natural resources we rely on; the health of our ecosystems and environment; and the impacts of climate and land-use change.
- WIP Watershed Implementation Plan. Watershed Implementation Plans (WIPs) are the roadmap for how the Bay jurisdictions (including Pennsylvania), in partnership with federal and local governments, will achieve the Chesapeake Bay TMDL allocations.
- WWTP Wastewater Treatment Plant. Wastewater treatment plants process contaminants from wastewater or sewage and convert it into an effluent that can be returned to the water cycle with acceptable impact on the environment or reused for various purposes.