	Phase 3 Waters	hed Implementa	ation Plan (WI	P) Planning a	nd Progres	s Template – UN	ION COUNTY	,						
		<u>Green</u> - actio	n has been compl	eted or is moving	g forward as I	planned <u>Yellow</u> - ac	tion has encoun	tered minor obsta	cles <u>Red</u> - actior	n has not been t	aken or has enco	untered a serious	barrier	
						Potential		Resources	<u>Available</u>			Resource	es <u>Needed</u>	
						Implementation								
Action		Performance		Geographic	Expected	Challenges or						Suggested		Suggested
#	Description	Target(s)	Partners	Location	Timeline	Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Source	Financial	Source
Priori	ty Initiative 1: (County Progra	mmatic Initi	atives										
1.1A	Implement County	Ensure that	Union County	Countywide	Begin	Educating	Education,	1 UCPC staff			1 – FTE Clean	UCPC	\$130,000 per	Department of
	Comprehensive	growth activities	Planning		update to	municipalities,	outreach	person			Water		year	Environmental
	Plan policies and	address existing	Commission		County	Updating local					Coordinator			Protection
	actions	water quality	(UCPC),		Compreh	plans and					for UCPC			(DEP)
	Lindata Caunta	impairments	growth		ensive	ordinances,							¢2,000 m an	E
	Update County	through	boundary		plan boginning	Growth areas not							\$2,000 per acre of forest	Funding
	Comprehensive plan beginning	stormwater BMP implementation	municipalities, Union County		beginning 2021/202	consistent with Census Urbanized							conserved	Options:
	2021/2022	already required	Hazard		2021/202	Areas							through	PA
	2021/2022	by local	Mitigation		2	Alcus							easement \rightarrow	Department of
		ordinance	Plan (CHMP),			Local governments							Total \$3.0M	Conservation
			Act 167, Union			willing to propose								and Natural
		Preserve	County			ordinances to							\$2,000 per	Resources
		Environmentally	Greenway			protect							acre of	(DCNR)
		sensitive areas	Plan,			economically and							wetland	Community
		from new	Municipalities			environmentally							conserved	Conservation
		development				friendly landscapes							through	Partnerships
		through zoning,											easement \rightarrow	Program
		ordinances and											Total \$250K	CE A
		land trusts.											\$40,000 to	CFA
		Conserve 1,500											support	Greenways, Trails, and
		acres of forest.											Comprehensiv	Recreation
													e plan	Program
		Conserve 125											implementatio	Ŭ
		acres of											n .	
		wetlands.												

	Phase 3 Waters	hed Implementa	ation Plan (W	IP) Planning a	nd Progres	ss Template – UNI	ON COUNTY							
		<u>Green</u> - actio	n has been comp	leted or is movin	g forward as	planned <u>Yellow</u> - act	tion has encounte	ered minor obsta	cles <u>Red</u> - actio	n has not been t	aken or has enco	untered a serious	barrier	
						Potential		Resources	s <u>Available</u>			Resourc	es <u>Needed</u>	
						Implementation								
Action		Performance		Geographic	Expected	Challenges or						Suggested		Suggested
#	Description	Target(s)	Partners	Location	Timeline	Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Source	Financial	Source
1.1B	Advance local	Protecting Union	Municipalities,	Countywide	1-2 years	Reinforcing the					1 – FTE Clean	UCPC	\$130,000 per	DEP
	comprehensive	County's surface	DEP NRCO			municipal role in					Water		year	
	planning efforts	water and	Staff to assist	New Berlin		coordinating with					Coordinator			
		groundwater as a	with SWP,	and		the water					for UCPC			
	Implement the	viable resource is	New Berlin	Mifflinburg		authorities to								
	Source Water	critical to	and	Boroughs		perform education					SWP	PADEP	\$100,000	USDA/FSA/NF
	Protection (SWP)	preserving water	Mifflinburg			and outreach.					development	Northcentral		WA SWP
	Plan	quality and	Borough									Regional		Program
		healthy				The problem is the						Office, utility		
	Preparation and	communities				protection areas						staff, private		
	implementation of					often lie outside						sector		
	an effective	Work with				the political								
	stormwater	municipal				boundary of the								
	management	authorities to				municipality that								
	(SWM) plan	educate on the				owns the system.								
		benefits of SWP				Some of the								
		Plan – work with				adjacent								
		DEP on				municipalities have								
		development of				no zoning. The								
		Plans.				plans might be								
						dated but the								
						implementation								
						realities are								
						unchanged.								

						Potential		Resources	<u>Available</u>			Resourc	es <u>Needed</u>	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Partners	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source
1.1C*	Evaluate areas to establish riparian buffers to stabilize stream banks and limit encroachment	Identify landowners willing to participate and work with the	Municipalities, UCCD, CBF, Pheasants Forever, National Trout	Countywide	5-10 years	Adopting ordinances, may require a pilot project in a willing municipality to	Landowner outreach; on the ground riparian project	1 County GIS staff person, 2 UCCD staff people, Chesapeake			1 – FTE Clean Water Coordinator for UCPC	UCPC	\$130,000 per year	DEP
		following partners to implement new buffers	Unlimited, Buffalo Creek Watershed Association, Merrill Linn			demonstrate success. Look to revise and promote ordinances where	execution	Conservancy			1 – Clean Water Coordinator for UCCD	UCCD	\$130,000 per year	DEP
		Work with Chesapeake Conservancy through rapid de- listing approach to identify new	Conservancy (MLC)			feasible. Some ordinances promote destruction of buffers due to "mowing"							Expand Buffer Bonus Program to provide \$10,000 per acre of buffer installed to	Funding Options: CFA Watershed Restoration
		landowners. Identified over 1,600 acres of opportunity within Union County to				ordinances. Buffer funding programs must include 5-10-year minimum maintenance plan,							include 5-year maintenance contract→ \$2.85M total	and Protecti Program PA Fish and Boat Commission
		implement a 35 ft wide buffer.				incentive money for landowners, along with volunteers to establish the buffer.								CBF, Alliance for the Bay DCNR, Growing Greener, National Fish
														National and Wildl Foundatio (NFWF)

		<u>Green</u> - actio	n has been comp	leted or is moving	g forward as		tion has encount			on has not been t	aken or has encou			
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Partners	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Source	s <u>Available</u> Financial	Source	Technical	Resourc Suggested Source	es <u>Needed</u> Financial	Suggested Source
1.1D	Adopt and update an on-lot sewage management program (Act 537)	Look to review and update all Act 537 plans by municipality. Explore plan creation for municipalities for those who do not have a plan, look to incorporate new pumping guidelines.	Municipalities, Sewage Enforcement Officer	Countywide	5 years	Landowner education will be needed to promote proper on-lot septic system maintenance Municipal buy-in to update Act 537 plans. Lack of timely DEP enforcement of			Sewage Enforcement Officer	Municipalities	1 – FTE Clean Water Coordinator for UCPC	UCPC	\$130,000 per year \$1,000,000 funding to update willing municipalities	DEP/DCED/ CAP Grant/ PennVEST
1.2	Union CHMP	Improve flood prone areas with BMPs that also enhance water qualityProceed with project to improve West Milton and Kelly TownshipFlood control measure in place for Mifflinburg Borough and West Buffalo Township (Act 167)	UCPC, Union County Comprehensiv e Plan, ACT 167,	Countywide West Milton and Kelly Township Lewisburg Borough Flood Resiliency Green Infrastructure	2022- 2025	the 537 Program. Flood controls can capture pollution, if considered in design and maintained. Current Requirements by Pennsylvania Emergency Management Agency (PEMA)/ Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) are tough to receive funding – funding available only if hazard is identified by FEMA/PEMA and needs extremely project specific. Also, can't plant buffers in buy-out areas without FEMA	CRS program guides	FEMA Region III STAFF			Engineering Feasibility Study 1 – FTE Clean Water Coordinator for UCPC Project Implementatio n	Consultants UCPC Consultants	\$150,000 \$130,000 \$500,000	PEMA/FEMA

		<u>Green</u> - actio	on has been comp	leted or is moving	g forward as	planned <u>Yellow</u> - ac Potential	tion has encoun	tered minor obstac Resources		n has not been t	aken or has enco		s barrier ces <u>Needed</u>	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Partners	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source
1.3*	Act 167 SWM	Revise existing model stormwater ordinance when feasible. Look to incentivize additional protections for streams. Support implementation of existing ordinances where feasible.	UCPC, municipal engineers, UCCD, Union County Farm Bureau, Buffalo Creek Act 167, Bull Run and White Deer Act 167 Watershed Plan	Countywide Buffalo Creek, Bull Run, White Deer	2022- 2025	Should local involvement exist, funding to support coordination of Act 167 requirements.	Institutional knowledge	Municipal engineers – assume 6 for well-rounded local background			1 – FTE Clean Water Coordinator for UCPC	UCPC	\$130,000	DEP

		<u>Green</u> - actio	on has been comp	leted or is movin	g forward as		ion has encounte			on has not been	taken or has encou			
						Potential		Resource	s <u>Available</u>	I		Resourc	es <u>Needed</u>	1
		_				Implementation								
Action		Performance		Geographic	Expected	Challenges or						Suggested		Suggested
#	Description	Target(s)	Partners	Location	Timeline	Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Source	Financial	Source
1.4*	Continue to	Total	Agricultural	Prime	2022-	Operator					Technical	PDA	Assume	NFWF, GG.
	Implement County	preservation	Preservation	farmland soils	2023 –	acceptance,					assistance for		\$250,000 per	Increased
	Farmland	farm goal (91	Coordinator,	countywide	explore	additional					program		farm →	UCCD budget
	Preservation	farms in program	Natural		incentive	resources for plan					management		\$3,000,000	
	Program.	currently –	Resource		opportuni	development								
		10,000 acres)	Conservation		ties	incentivize BMP					1 – FTE Clean	UCCD	\$130,000 per	DEP
			Service			installation as a					Water		year	
		Look to fund 300	(NRCS), UCCD,			farmland					Coordinator			
		acres (2-3 farms)	Farm Bureau,			preservation goal.					for UCCD			
		per year in	Land Trusts										675 000 M	DED
		preservation				Preserved farms					1 -	UCCD	\$75,000 per	DEP
		program.				are required to					administrative		year	
		 – 1,300 acres of farmland 				have an NRCS Conservation Plan,					assistant			
		conservation				work with farmers					6 – Ag	UCCD	\$780,000 per	PDA/SCC/
		conservation				to ensure					planners to	UCCD	year	NRCS/DEP
		Preservation of				Conservation Plan					assist with		year	NICES/ DEI
		Agriculture and				is reported in					technical			
		Open Space Land				PracticeKeeper.					assistance			
		Use through the				Recommend					assistance			
		encouragement				making this a								
		of local				program								
		governments to				requirement								
		implement				statewide.								
		effective land use												
		ordinances				Lack of funds to								
						preserve land.								
		Utilize				Landowner								
		conservation				willingness								
		easements to				decreases due to								
		protect land				the reality of the								
						limited funds, if								
						they don't rank at								
						the "top" of the								
						list, over years								
						they quit applying.								

		<u>Green</u> - actio	n has been comp	leted or is moving	g forward as		tion has encount			on has not been t	aken or has encou			
						Potential		Resource	s <u>Available</u>	1		Resource	s <u>Needed</u>	
Action		Performance		Geographic	Expected	Implementation Challenges or						Suggested		Suggested
#	Description	Target(s)	Partners	Location	Timeline	Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Source	Financial	Source
1.5*	Establish	Approximately	UCPC, UCCD,	Countywide	2022-	Limited	Field	UCCD, USDA	Conservation	Chesapeake	6 – additional	District/NRCS/	\$780,000 per	DEP/NRCS/
	funding/staff	350 have their	Contracted		2025	compliance	verification,	NRCS, Private	Plan Inventory	Conservancy	Ag Planners to	Private Sector	year	SCC/PDA
	support to assist	plans in Union	planners,			activities by DEP.	troubleshootin	Consultants		(NRCS grant)	provide	Farm Visits		
	the Agricultural	County, goal is to	Union County				g				technical			
	community (day to	complete 450 by	Farm Bureau,			Lack of Technical					assistance and			
	day support)	2025.	ACT 38			assistance to	25 farm visits	Chesapeake	0.5 FTE	Chesapeake	ag planning			
			operators,			support the	per year	Bay Technician	(\$32,500)	Bay Inspection				
	574 farms exist in	Support local	organic			farming				Program	3 – FTE	Private Sector/	\$420,000 per	DEP/NRCS/
	Union County	farms with	farmers,			community					Design,	UCCD	year	SCC/PDA
		financial	preserved				Contracted	Growing	\$50/plan to be	Landowner	Engineers,			
		assistance from	farms,			Private sector Ag	Planners	Greener Grant	paid to		Permits			
		institutions	integrators			plans are not		- UCCD	contractor					
						required to be					3 – FTE	Private Sector/	\$315,000 per	DEP/NRCS/
		Work with				shared with					inspector	UCCD	year	SCC/PDA
		private ag				District staff.					construction			
		consultants to									Services			
		document plan				Work with Act 38,								
		reporting.				preserved farms					1 – FTE Clean	UCCD	\$130,000 per	DEP
						and organic farms					Water		year	
		In order to				to report Ag E&S					Coordinator			
		communicate				and NRCS					for UCCD			
		effectively with				Conservation								
		the farming				Plans. These					0.5 FTE –	UCCD	\$32,500 per	DEP
		community one				operations are					Chesapeake		year	
		on one farmer				required to have					Bay Technician			
		outreach must be				them, but no					to expand this			
		conducted.				requirement to					position to a			
						report the plans. It					full-time			
		Work to				is recommended					position			
		document Act 38				state agencies					instead of			
		and preserved				make changes to					currently half			
		farms				Act38 and								
		conservation and				preserved farm					1 –	UCCD	\$75,000 per	DEP
		nutrient				programs to					administrative		year	
		management				require					assistant			
		plans.				PracticeKeeper								
		'				reporting.								

						Potential		Resource	es <u>Available</u>			Resource	s <u>Needed</u>	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Partners	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source
1.6A	Bucknell University (Bucknell) Partnership - Implementation	Develop undergraduate and graduate students to support staff with implementation. Work with Bucknell students and staff to support 319 WIP implementation	Bucknell – Professors	 319 Priority Watershed – Buffalo Creek Riparian properties Preserved farms Priority Watersheds: Turtle Creek Headwaters, Turtle Creek South (Winfield Creek), Conley Run, and Cold Run Watersheds 	2022- 2025	Continued undergraduate/gra duate engagement as students graduate through program, implementation funding Lack of technical assistance professionals to mentor students Lack of competitive paying job opportunities that ensure long term sustainability for recently graduated students	Outreach boots on the	Bucknell students	N/A	N/A	5 – Student Internships to Support CAP Implementatio n	Bucknell or Other Students who live locally and attend other colleges	\$50,000 per year	TBD
1.6B	Quantify Land/BMPs Managed by Bucknell	Work with Bucknell to ensure that water quality improvements that they manage are captured in PK/FieldDoc	Bucknell	Bucknell Owned Lands in Union County	2022	Getting maximum credits for experimental BMPs	Institutional knowledge	1 County staff person, HRG (CAP coordinator)	N/A	N/A	5 – Student Internships to Support CAP Implementatio n	Bucknell or Other Students who live locally and attend other colleges	\$50,000 per year	TBD

	Phase 3 Waters	hed Implementa	ation Plan (W	IP) Planning ai	nd Progres	s Template – UNI	ON COUNTY							
		Green - actio	n has been comp	leted or is moving	g forward as I	planned Yellow - act	ion has encounte	ered minor obsta	cles Red - actio	n has not been t	aken or has enco	untered a serious	barrier	
						Potential			<u>Available</u>				s <u>Needed</u>	
						Implementation								
Action		Performance		Geographic	Expected	Challenges or						Suggested		Suggested
#	Description	Target(s)	Partners	Location	Timeline	Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Source	Financial	Source
1.7A	Develop a Union	Work to Develop	Board of	Countywide	2022-	Simplifying the					Website	District/ UCPC	\$30,000 per	Administrative
	County Water	messages and	Commissioner		2023	resources that are					development		year	budget tag-
	quality	audience;	s staff,		Commenc	available					and continued			along to
	communication	execute plan and	PA DEP		е						maintenance			project-
	plan, leveraging	distribute	Northcentral		discussion									related grant
	existing documents	messaging	Office,		s and									award
	and covering topics	through staff and	Penn State		planning									
	including Hazard	partners.	Extension		to						1 – FTE	UCPC	\$130,000 per	NFWF
	Mitigation,		(Master		develop a						Marketing and		year	
	Comprehensive	Utilize the Union	Gardeners),		communi						Outreach			
	Plan, Greenways	County website	PA Rural		cation						Coordinator			
	Plan, Act 167,	as a source of	Water		plan									
	Buffalo Creek 319	consistent	Association,								1 – FTE Clean	UCPC	\$130,000 per	DEP
	etc.	communication,	Trout		2023 –						Water		year	
		website	Unlimited,		develop						Coordinator			
		development is	Buffalo Creek		local						for UCPC			
		underway.	Watershed		content,									
			Alliance,		timing,									
			USDA-NRCS,		identify									
			DCNR,		responsibl									
			Bucknell,		e staff									
			UCCD											

			n has been compl			Potential			s Available		taken or has encou		s Needed	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Partners	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested	Financial	Suggested Source
т 1.7В	Agricultural Communication Strategy	Work to develop a communication plan to engage integrators: 1. 1-4 mailings a year, for equip	UCCD, County Farm Bureau, Integrators, Ag Land Preservation, PSU Extension, NRCS, 4R	Countywide	2022- 2025	Funding to support the technical assistance required to complete one on one farm outreach		Jource		Jource	6 – additional Ag Planners to provide technical assistance and ag planning	District/NRCS/ Private Sector Farm Visits	\$780,000 per year	DEP/NRCS/ SCC/PDA
		rental, ag preservation, etc. 2. Short postcard surveys to get feedback	Alliance, Young Farmers, Pesticide Meetings			Outreach to integrators is a challenge due to the number of integrators and					1 – FTE Marketing and Outreach Coordinator	UCPC	\$130,000 per year	NFWF
		 Social Media Trying to set up software that can email or text 				multiple country boundaries they serve. It is recommended					1 – FTE Clean Water Coordinator for UCCD	UCCD	\$130,000 per year	DEP
		messages to our farmer lists 5. Outreach events - piggy				DEP/PDA/SCC communicate with integrators on a frequent basis to							See 1.7A for website costs. Costs for	
		backing on annual events hosted by partners				reduce mixed messages One on one farm							meeting attendance and administration	
		(Extension, Commercial (Hoover Tractor), Community				outreach is the best way to communicate with farmers. Work to							may be covered through other funding	
		Events (Fair) 6. Stories or ads in local paper 7. Posters on bulletin boards at				develop a plan to complete one on one farm visits.							requests.	
		local businesses 8. Attending meetings of outside groups –												
		Young Farmers, 4H, etc												

	Phase 3 Waters	hed Implement	ation Plan (W	IP) Planning a	nd Progres	ss Template – UN	ION COUNTY							
		<u>Green</u> - actio	on has been comp	leted or is moving	g forward as		tion has encount			ion has not been t	aken or has encou			
						Potential		Resource	es <u>Available</u>			Resource	es <u>Needed</u>	
A		Deufermenne		Casanankia	E	Implementation						Guarantead		Guarantead
Action		Performance		Geographic	Expected	Challenges or						Suggested		Suggested
#	Description	Target(s)	Partners	Location	Timeline	Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Source	Financial	Source
	ty Initiative 2: F		Tracking		_									
2.1*	Existing BMP	Expand use of	Lead -	Countywide	2022 –	EPA acceptance of	Precision	Chesapeake	N/A	N/A	Further GIS	Chesapeake	\$46,000 (2022	EPA/DEP
	cataloguing	existing buffer	Chesapeake		cataloguin	the approach,	Conservation	Conservancy			and data	Conservancy	only)	
	(quantity and	layer with urban	Conservancy		g	further refine	Tools				processing/me			
	location) for select	hydrology layer				guidance in QAPP					thod			
	BMPs, expanding		Stakeholder		2023 –	so that counties					refinement			
	on general	R&D into	peer review –		Practice	can accomplish	General	QAPP						
	recommendations	distinguishing ag,	Bucknell,		Keeper	this or so that the	methodology				5 – Student	Bucknell	\$50,000	TBD
	provided in Quality	pasture, and turf	United States		batch	state can take the	outline				Internships to	Student or		
	Assurance Project	covers from	Geological		upload	burden off of					Support CAP	local student		
	Plan (QAPP)	grassed buffers	Survey (USGS),		processin	counties, utilize					Implementatio	attending		
			Farm Bureau,		g and field	the approach to	BMP field	Varies by BMP			n	nearby		
	BMPs = forest	Manual digitizing	PDA,		views	catalogue existing	backcheck					university etc.		
	buffers, urban	where leaf-off <1	Environmental			BMPs and do on					C additional		6700 000 m om	
	forest buffers,	ft resolution	Protection			the ground					6 – additional	UCCD/NRCS/P	\$780,000 per	PDA/SCC/
	grass buffers,	imagery is available	Agency (EPA)			verification where required for					Ag Planners to provide	rivate Sector Farm Visits	year	NRCS/DEP
	urban grass buffers, manure	avallable				reporting					technical			
	storages, grassed	Field verify with				purposes, this is an					assistance and			
	waterways, wet	staff where				accelerated BMP					verification			
	ponds and	required				catch up approach					support			
	wetlands, fencing	required				while we continue					Support			
	wettands, reneing	Add data to				to provide support								
		Practice Keeper				to farmers on								
		or another batch				planning and BMP								
		upload option				installs, reduce the								
		(FieldDoc)				amount of								
		, ,				interruption of								
						government								
						entities to								
						compliant farm								
						operations.								

			on has been comp			Potential		Resource	s Available			Resource	es Needed	
Action		Performance		Geographic	Expected	Implementation Challenges or						Suggested		Suggested
#	Description	Target(s)	Partners	Location	Timeline	Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Source	Financial	Source
2.2*	Identify future ag/urban project opportunities using automated means	BMP opportunity analysis – ag conservation, land retirement, alternative crop, forest conservation, stream restoration Back check with staff field views Batch upload to FieldDoc to	Lead - Chesapeake Conservancy Stakeholder peer review – Bucknell, USGS, Farm Bureau, PDA	Countywide	2022 – cataloguin g 2023 – batch upload processin g and field views 2024 – 2025 – implemen tation	Different data set scales/precision	Precision Conservation Tools Batch upload processing BMP field verify GIS Mapping	Chesapeake Conservancy DEP/ Susquehanna River Basin Commission (SRBC) Varies by BMP Union County	N/A	N/A	Further GIS and data processing/me thod refinement 5 – Student Internships to Support CAP Implementatio n	Chesapeake Conservancy, Union County GIS Department Bucknell Student or local student attending nearby university etc.	\$46,000 (2022 only) \$50,000	EPA/DEP TBD
		calculate credit opportunity			focus		Abilities	GIS Department						
2.3*	Develop a local system to capture	Add development	Municipal engineers,	Urban/suburb an landscape	2022	Currently municipalities are	Reporting platform	FieldDoc	N/A	N/A	Training	DEP	N/A	DEP
	data collection on urban structural and non-structural practices	related BMPs to PK/FieldDoc so that as land use data sets are updated, there are accompanying	Chesapeake Conservancy, Central Keystone Council of Governments (CKCOG),			not collecting BMP data because it is not required in non-Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4)					5 – Student Internships to Support CAP Implementatio n	Bucknell Student or local student attending nearby university etc.	\$50,000 per year	TBD
		BMPs	Susquehanna Economic Development Association – Council of Governments (SEDA-COG)			communities. Must incentivize communities to report, no existing system in place.					1 – municipal planner	UCPC, CKCOG, SEDA-COG Municipality, etc.	\$130,000 per year	DEP

	Phase 3 Waters	shed Implementa	ation Plan (W	IP) Planning a	nd Progres	ss Template – UN	ION COUNTY							
		<u>Green</u> - actio	n has been comp	leted or is moving	g forward as		tion has encoun	tered minor obsta		on has not been t	taken or has enco			
						Potential		Resource	s <u>Available</u>			Resourc	ces <u>Needed</u>	
A		Deufeure		Coordinate in	F	Implementation						Currented		Currented
Action		Performance		Geographic	Expected	Challenges or	-	6	-	6	-	Suggested	F ¹	Suggested
#	Description	Target(s)	Partners	Location	Timeline	Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Source	Financial	Source
2.4*	Implement a	Support fertilizer	PSU Extension	Countywide	TBD	Education of	TBD based on	TBD based on	TBD based on	TBD based on	1 – FTE Clean	UCPC	\$130,000 per	DEP
	documentation	legislation –				responsible	fertilizer	fertilizer	fertilizer	fertilizer	Water		year	
	program for	where legislation				parties, receiving	legislation if	legislation if	legislation if	legislation if	Coordinator			
	commercial and	requires				timely information,	passed	passed	passed	passed	for UCPC			
	homeowner	reporting, be the				training on								
	nutrient	data				reporting system,							Urban	DEP/PDA
	applications in	clearinghouse				will need direction							Nutrient	
	developed lands					from State on							Management	
	C	Legislation will				what's expected							\$10 per acre	
	Support current	support the				and any reporting							→ \$20,000	
	legislation for	implementation				system that's								
	fertilizer bill.	of Urban				developed.								
		Nutrient				Counting angult								
		Management –				Counties aren't								
		2,000 acres				equipped with								
						technology or field								
						experience to manage this								
						initiative Fertilizer								
						Legislation has								
						failed to pass								
						congress in the last								
						two years.								
	<u> </u>					100 years.								

		<u>Green</u> - actic	n nas been comp	leted or is movin	g forward as	-	ction has encoun			ion has not been	taken or has enco			
						Potential		Resourc	es <u>Available</u>	- I		Resource	es <u>Needed</u>	1
						Implementation								
Action	_	Performance	_ .	Geographic	Expected	Challenges or						Suggested		Suggested
#	Description	Target(s)	Partners	Location	Timeline	Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Source	Financial	Source
2.5*	Improve Agricultural BMP reporting utilizing PracticeKeeper, Capital RC&D Transect Survey, PSU Survey, Manure Transport Reporting and Remote Sensing	Increase reporting of agriculture plans into PracticeKeeper Work with Capital RC&D to improve current transect survey routes to be more inclusive Work with PSU to produce better response rate to the PSU survey for Union County Work with PDA/DEP to improve manure reporting	DEP, UCCD, NRCS, PDA, NRCS, Union County Farm Bureau, Capital RC&D, Chesapeake Conservancy, PSU Survey, Manure Brokers	Countywide	2022- 2025	 Private sector ag planners do not have access to PracticeKeeper. Ag planners do not have time to report into PK. Current Capital RC&D routes are not all inclusive. Current response rates are low and miss a large demographic of Union County farmers. Manure brokers are not required to report data annually. Data is 					5 – Summer interns for reporting and verification	Local University Student or local student attending nearby university etc.	\$50,000 – paid internships See 3.5 for funding needs to improve cover crop reporting for Capital RC&D	DEP/PDA/SC
2.6*	Standardized Reporting for Dairy Precision Feeding	Counties would	Chesapeake Bay Program, Penn State Extension, Dairy co-ops	Countywide	2022	not all inclusive. It is recommended that milk urea nitrogen (MUN) be an acceptable standard for reporting dairy precision feeding. Guidelines need to be posted on acceptable MUN rates and work with dairy integrators to receive MUN data	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Reporting protocol	Chesapeake Bay Program, Penn State Extension, Dairy co-ops	N/A	N/A

		<u>Green</u> - actio	n has been comp	leted or is moving	g forward as		ion has encounte			n has not been	taken or has enco			
						Potential		Resources	<u>Available</u>			Resourc	es <u>Needed</u>	1
- 		Performance		Coordinatio	For a set of a	Implementation						Currented		Guaranta
ction				Geographic	Expected	Challenges or	-	6		6	-	Suggested	F ¹	Suggeste
Ħ	Description	Target(s)	Partners	Location	Timeline	Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Source	Financial	Source
iori	ty Initiative 3: /	Achieve New F	Pollutant Re	ductions										
3.1	Implement Union	Protect 250 miles	UCPC, UCCD,	Countywide	2021-	Gaining landowner					1 – FTE Clean	UCPC	\$130,000 per	DEP
	County Greenway	of riparian	Buffalo Creek		2025	interest,					Water		year	
	Plan	buffers using	Watershed			design/permit/con					Coordinator			
		easements	Alliance,			struction					for UCPC			
			Land Trusts			schedules,								
		Install 200 acres				dedicated funding							\$2,000 per	TBD
		of riparian				to support BMP							acre of buffer	
		buffers along the				implementation.							conserved	
		Susquehanna											through	
		River				Lack of technical							$easement \rightarrow$	
						assistance and							Total \$125K	
		Protect 50 acres				engineering staff								
		of flood plain				to support							For buffer	
		next to Koons				implementation.							implementatio	
		Easement in											n see initiative	
		Mifflinburg				Lack of technical							3.8	
		D				assistance to							¢2.000	TRO
		Permanently				implement the							\$2,000 per	TBD
		protect 1.5 miles				plan.							acre flood	
		of riverfront land in Great Stream				Lack of adequate							plain	
		Commons				funding to							conserved through	
		Commons				implement the							easement \rightarrow	
		Make strategic				plan.							Total \$100K	
		additions to the				μαπ.								
		state forest and												
		other public												
		lands												
	I		1											

		<u>Green</u> - actio	n nas been comp	leted or is moving	g iorwaru as	Potential	LION has encount	tered minor obstac	s <u>Available</u>	in has not been	taken or has enco		es Needed	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Partners	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested	Financial	Suggested Source
3.2	Implementation of the Buffalo Creek Watershed 319 Plan	Conduct farm visits, windshield surveys, GIS Studies. Utilize Precision Conservation assessments to target strategic farm locations. 2016 to present – market program to landowners in impaired segments. Aim for 3 farms per year for implementation	Bucknell, UCCD, other partners to be identified	Buffalo Creek Watershed Prioritizing Buffalo Creek mainstream, Beaver Run, Muddy Run/Coal Run, Little Buffalo, Rapid Run, Spruce Run, Panther Run, Black Run, Stony Run, Conley Run	2021- 2025	Funding, landowner interest in BMPs, implementation partner coordination Reverification of existing farm BMPs					Design, permit, construction oversight 1 – New Environmental Specialist	Private sector, US FWS, volunteers, UCCD, Bucknell UCCD	\$500K per farm at \$1.5M per year → \$7.5M over 5 years \$75,000	EPA 319 Funds, PADEP NRCS, CBF, CREP, NFWF EPA 319 Gran
3.3	Accelerated Implementation of Rapid Delisting Catchment Strategy through the Precision Conservation Partnership	Have identified four priority catchments. Looking to identify 3-6 parcels per priority catchment Turtle Creek is priority number 1 with six catchments already identified for outreach	Chesapeake Conservancy, UCCD and Precision Conservation Partnership Stakeholders	Turtle Creek Headwaters, Turtle Creek South (Winfield Creek), Conley Run, and Cold Run Watersheds	2022- 2025	Gaining landowner interest, design/permit/con struction schedules, dedicated funding to support BMP implementation, Lack of technical assistance and engineering staff to support implementation. Can only work with 1-3 parcel owners per year based on current staffing.	Program management and GIS Landowner outreach	Chesapeake Conservancy Partnership stakeholders			3 – additional FTE municipal/ environmental planners	Chesapeake Conservancy, CBF, etc.	\$390,000 per year \$100,000 dollars to complete rapid delisting program management per year → total cost \$500,000 Other funding identified in below initiatives	DEP/NRCS/ SCC/PDA/ DCNR/NFWF/ Growing Greener/ EPA EPA/DEP

#DescriptionTarget(s)PartnersLocationTimelineRecommendationsTechnicalSourceFinancialSourceTechnicalSource3.4*Help farmers and operators comply with state and federalSoil and Water Quality4R Alliance, UCCD, Union County Farm Bureau, NRCS,Countywide Ag Land2022- 2025Lack of DEP inspections.25 farms per year inspectedUCCD0.5 FTEs per year inspectedDEP Chesapeake Ag Planners to Bay Inspection program6 - additional Private Sector Farm VisitsDistrict/NRCS Private Sector Farm Visits	Financial	-									1 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C					
Operators comply with state and federal requirements: Conservation and Nutrient Management PlansQuality UCCD, Union County Farm Bureau, NRCS, Private Sector AgricultureAg Land2025inspections. Pars (Surge and Verification of AG Plans, NRCS plans expire and do not get reverified, private plans are never entered.year inspectedyearYearChesapeake Bay Inspection por privateAg Planners to Work with farmersPrivate Sector Farm Visits Conservation Plans, NRCS plans expire and do not get reverified, private plans are never entered.VUCCDYearYearChesapeake Bay Inspection private plansAg Planners to Farm Visits Conservation Plans, NRCS plans equipmentManagement PlansNutrient (Manure (Bell and Banagement)Evans, CountryPart Plans2025inspections. PlansVerification of AG Plans, NRCS plans expire and do not get reverified, private plans are never entered.Verification of AG Plans, NRCS plans equipmentNo-till drills private plans are never entered.VCCDVerification of AG PlansNo-till drills PlansVCCDVerification of AG PlansNo-till drills private plans are never entered.VCCDVerification of AG PlansNo-till drills PlansVCCDVerification of AG PlansVerification of AG <br< th=""><th>Tinanciai</th><th>ource Fi</th><th></th><th>Suggested Source</th><th>Technical</th><th>Source</th><th>Financial</th><th>Source</th><th>Technical</th><th>Challenges or</th><th>-</th><th></th><th>Partners</th><th></th><th></th><th></th></br<>	Tinanciai	ource Fi		Suggested Source	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Challenges or	-		Partners			
of Core N and 3.000 new acres of Core P of Core P of Core P and O'Lakes, Dairy Farmers Dairy Farmers Dairy Farmers Dairy Farmers Maryland (47), Preserved (47), Preserved (50), and (47), Preserved (50), and (47), Preserved (50), and (47), Preserved (50), and (50), a	/ \$780,000 per r year	vivation \$15 p of sand \$15 p of sand \$15 p for a of \$1 of sand \$15 p for a of \$1	Source Fict/NRCS/ ate Sector N Visits Servation S	Source District/NRCS/ Private Sector Farm Visits Conservation Plans Core N and	6 – additional Ag Planners to work with	DEP Chesapeake Bay Inspection	0.5 FTEs per	UCCD	25 farms per year inspected No-till drills and manure spreader	Recommendations Lack of DEP inspections. Reporting and verification of AG Plans, NRCS plans expire and do not get reverified, private plans are never entered. Lack of Technical assistance to support agriculture planning and implementation, one on one farm outreach is best way to capture existing plans. Act 38 and Preserved Farms not required to enter plans in PK, Recommended to require programs to enter plans into	Timeline 2022-	Location Countywide	4R Alliance, UCCD, Union County Farm Bureau, NRCS, Private Sector Agriculture Farm Visits, integrators (Bell and Evans, Country View, Pilgrims Pride, Empire Kosher, BJE Land O'Lakes, Dairy Farmers of America, Maryland and Virginia Milk Producers Cooperative, BJE Poultry, Chick to Chicken, Tyson, Purdue, Eggs for Vaccines, Smithfield Hatfield, Swift) ACT 38	Target(s)Soil and WaterQualityConservationPlans (AG E&S)10,000 new acresNutrientManagement(ManureManagement)10,500 new acresof Core N and13,000 new acresof Core PWork with ACT38 operators(47), PreservedFarms (91), andcertified organicsto documentplans alreadyrequiredImplementationchallenges(continued):State agenciesmust work withintegrators toensure they arerequiringcompliance byfarmers. Someintegrators	DescriptionHelp farmers andoperators complywith state andfederalrequirements:Conservation andNutrient	#

		Groop actic	n has hoon come	lated or is mavin	a forward as	plannod Vallous as	tion has ansaurt	orad minar abo	taclos Dod cat	ion has not been ta	akan ar has ansa	intered a cariava	barrior	
		<u>Green</u> - actic	on has been comp	leted of is movin	g forward as	Potential	tion has encount		iacies <u>Red</u> - acti ies <u>Available</u>	on has not been to	aken of has encou		es <u>Needed</u>	
Action		Performance		Geographic	Expected	Implementation Challenges or						Suggested		Suggested
#	Description	Target(s)	Partners	Location	Timeline	Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Source	Financial	Source
* 3.5	Advanced Nutrient Management (4R) Practice Education and Implementation	Transition manure management plans (MMP) to nutrient management plans and incentivize implementation Increase existing 4R practice (N Rate by 3,450 acres, N Timing by 7,200 acres and N Placement by 4,300 acres)	4R Alliance, UCCD, Union County Farm Bureau, NRCS, Private Sector Agriculture Farm Visits, PA Game Commission	Countywide Ag Land	2022- 2025	RecommendationsLandowner interest, BMP verification (annual)Lack of Technical assistance to support agriculture planning and implementationAdditional funding to support soil testing. Soil testing is key to meeting the recommendations of supplemental BMPs.Machine dependent for most farming operationsCost of fertilizer is self-regulating farmers to use less fertilizer; therefore, lower rates are applied.Explore the idea of increasing PSNT or	Educational support 25 farms per year inspected Manure	Bay Tech UCCD UCCD	\$32,500 per year 0.5 FTEs	CBO Inspection Program Chesapeake Bay Inspection Program	6 – additional Ag Planners to work with farmers to meet 4R standards	District/NRCS/ Private Sector Farm Visits	\$780,000 per year \$10 per acre of advanced nutrient management planning per type → total cost for all is \$149,500	DEP/NRCS/ SCC/PDA DEP/PDA/SCC/ NRCS

	Phase 3 waters	ned implementa	ation Plan (Wi	iej Planning a	na Progres	ss Template – UN								
		<u>Green</u> - actio	on has been comp	leted or is movin	g forward as	planned <u>Yellow</u> - ad	tion has encount	ered minor obstac	les <u>Red</u> - action	n has not been t	aken or has encou	untered a serious	barrier	
						Potential		Resources	<u>Available</u>			Resource	es <u>Needed</u>	
						Implementation								
Action		Performance		Geographic	Expected	Challenges or						Suggested		Suggested
#	Description	Target(s)	Partners	Location	Timeline	Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Source	Financial	Source
3.6*	Implement Practice	Determine	UCCD, Union	Countywide	2022 –	Capacity to	Transect	Capital RC&D			6 – additional	District/NRCS/	\$780,000 per	DEP/NRCS/
	to improve soil	feasibility of	County Farm	Ag Land	investigati	manage the	survey				Ag Planners to	Private Sector	year	SCC/PDA
	health and	, having a	, Bureau, NRCS,	U	on	program,	,				transition	Farm Visits	,	,
	sustainability	county/state cost	Private Sector		-	landowner interest	Landowner	1 UCCD staff			farmers to			
	(Tillage	share program to	Agriculture		2023 –		education	person			high residue			
	Management and	enhance	Farm Visits, PA		next steps	Lack of technical		P						
	Cover Crops)	adoption of the	Game			assistance and	Existing No-Till	UCCD			County UCCD	Cover Crop	\$90 per acre	PDA, SCC,
		annual practice	Commission			farm planners to	farm	0001			– staff to	Incentive	traditional per	Growing
						work with farmers	equipment for				administer the	Program	year → \$81K	Greener,
		Implement				to transition to	Rent				program		for a 5-year	Pennsylvania
		tillage				High Residue					p 0		total of \$405K	Association of
		management				Tillage							(incentive	Conservation
		and cover crops											payment,	Districts
		on an annual				Current							administration	(PACD)
		rate of 30,300				verification							, Capital RC&D	(17(0))
		acres High				methods do not							reporting)	
		Residue, 3,600				accurately capture							\$50 per acre	
		acres				implemented							fall nutrients	
		Conservation				amounts – work							per year \rightarrow	
		Tillage, 1,800				with Capital RC&D							\$1.5M for 5-	
		acres Low				to improve							year total of	
		Residue, 900				Transect Survey							\$7.55M	
		acres of cover				Routes							11100.10	
		crops and 30,200				Noules					Capital RC&D	Capital RC&D	\$50,000 for	DEP
						Farmors aro					staff to	Capital NCQD		
		acres of cover crops with fall				Farmers are harvesting cover					complete		to Capital	
		nutrients				crops for forage,					Transect		RC&D	
		nutrients				need accurate					Survey		Transect	
						efficiency crediting					Survey			
						, ,							Survey	
						for commodity								
						cover crops								
						Evicting Cover Cree								
						Existing Cover Crop								
						Programs have								
						strict plant by date								
						that does not work								
						with changing								
						weather patterns								
						and wetter years								

			n has been comp			Potential			s <u>Available</u>			Intered a serious Resource	es <u>Needed</u>	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Partners	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source
3.7	Implement more pasture management BMPs	Prescribed grazing – 350 acres Forest buffers on fenced pasture corridor – 75 acres	UCCD, NRCS, Private Ag Consultants, Chesapeake Bay Foundation (CBF), Chesapeake	Countywide ag lands – landowners who raise horses, dairy, beef and other pasture grazing	2025	Landowner education, BMP funding for non- buffer work, plan updates, data gathering. Lack of Technical					6 – additional Ag Planners to provide technical assistance and ag planning 3 – additional	District/NRCS/ Private Sector Farm Visits Chesapeake	\$780,000 per year \$390,000 per	DEP/NRCS/ SCC/PDA DEP/NRCS/
		Grass buffers on fenced pasture corridor – 26 acres	Conservancy	animals		assistance to support agriculture planning and implementation.					FTE environmental technician	Conservancy, CBF, Clearwater Conservancy, etc.	year	SCC/PDA/ DCNR/NFWF/ Growing Greener/ EPA
						need to be updated to comply with prescribed grazing definition – difficult to get landowner buy-in –							Prescribed grazing \$540 per acre → \$189K total	DEP/NRCS/ SCC/PDA/ DCNR/NFWF/ Growing Greener/ EPA
						fund alternative watering and fencing; most pastures are streamside.							FB Buffer W/ Exclusion \$10,500 per acre → \$787,500 total	DEP/NRCS/ SCC/PDA/ DCNR/NFWF/ Growing Greener/ EPA
						Increasing construction costs are resulting in cancelled NRCS contracts.							GB Buffer W/ Exclusion \$2,750 per acre → \$71,500 total	DEP/NRCS/ SCC/PDA/ DCNR/NFWF/ Growing Greener/ EPA

			n has been comp			Potential		tered minor obsta Resources	s Available				es Needed	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Partners	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source
3.8*	Riparian buffer and re-forestation BMPs	500 riparian forest buffer acres, (275) acres lost since 2017 need reverified 400 riparian	CBF, Buffalo Creek Watershed Alliance, MLC, Chesapeake Conservancy, NRCS, UCCD,	Countywide	2022 – line up landowne rs 2023- 2025 –	Landowner partnerships, landowner education, volunteer acceptance of buffer plantings,	Materials Mapping	UCCD (in-kind, annual tree sale efforts), CBF Chesapeake Conservancy	Budget available to be determined	CBF, NFWF, NFWS, NRCS - CREP	6 – additional Ag Planners to provide technical assistance and ag planning	District/NRCS/ Private Sector Farm Visits	\$780,000 per year	DEP/NRCS/ SCC/PDA
		grass buffer acres, (25) acres lost since 2017 need reverified 15 acres – Agriculture Tree	PA Game Commission, CBF		implemen tation	buffer maintenance guide for farmers, routine site visits to confirm buffers are thriving, invasive species					3 – additional FTE environmental technician	Chesapeake Conservancy, CBF, Clearwater Conservancy, etc.	\$390,000 per year	DEP/NRCS/ SCC/PDA/ DCNR
		Planting 60 acres – urban forest buffer				removal during establishment. Flash grazing must be allowed with							Forest Buffer \$10,000 per acre → 2.85M Grass Buffer	DCNR, NFWF PACD, TreeVitalize, DEP, Coldwater
		2 acres – urban tree canopy 100 acres –				buffer installation. Funding program must include a 5-							\$2,500 per acre → \$937,500	Heritage Partnership Implementat n Grants,
		urban forest planting				10-year maintenance program to establish buffers along with incentive program \$4K minimum per acre payment.							Tree/Forest Planting \$10,000 per acre → \$1.161M	Landscape Scale Restoration (LSR) Grant Program – US Forest Service Pennsylvania Habitat Stewardship
						Must revise ordinances to not cut down buffers because of "messy" appearance.								Program, Alliance for the Bay, CBF, Chesapeake Conservancy

	1	<u>Green</u> - actio	on has been comp	leted or is moving	g forward as		ction has encoun	tered minor obstac		n has not been	taken or has enco			
						Potential Implementation		Resources	Available			Resource	es <u>Needed</u>	
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Partners	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source
3.9	Wetland restoration implementation on marginal production ag land	65 acres of Wetland Restoration 25 Acres of Wetland Enhancement	Chesapeake Conservancy, UCCD, NRCS, Buffalo Creek Watershed Alliance, MLC, PA Game	Countywide	2022 – 2025	Willing landowner; appropriate siting, design, and construction for successful restoration result.	Landowner outreach	1 UCCD staff person			3 – additional FTE environmental technician	Chesapeake Conservancy, CBF, Clearwater Conservancy, etc.	\$390,000 per year	DEP/DCNR
		and Rehabilitation Identify 1 large property owner from University of Vermont restorable wetland layer to help identify where to implement a large project	Commission			Lack of technical assistance for landowner outreach and agriculture planning to identify potential site locations. Lead time it takes to secure projects can take years.					2 – stream biologist	PAFBC, USGS, UCCD, etc.	\$280,000 Wetland Restoration \$30,000 per acre → \$2.7M	DEP/DCNR/PA FBC/USGS DEP/DCNR/ USDA Conservation Reserve Program (CRP or NRCS Wetlands Reserve Program (WRP)
3.10	Stream Restoration (Urban and Agriculture)	10,400 Linear feet (2 miles) Urban Stream Restoration 15,000 Linear feet (~3 mile) Agriculture Stream Restoration	CBF, Chesapeake Conservancy, TU National, NFWS, Buffalo Creek Watershed Alliance, MLC, PFBC Stream Restoration Team, NRCS	Rapid delisting areas are the top priority, and Countywide	2022 – 2025	Design/permit/con struction cycle seems to work in two-year increments, there is an assumption that eroded/degraded streams exist based upon 403(d) listing – should that not be the case in the field, adjust quantitative goal down and ensure buffers are in place.	GIS Design/GP-1 permit	Chesapeake Conservancy and partners Trout Unlimited, Municipalities			Design, permit, construction services 2 – Municipal Engineers 3 – FTE Design, Permit construction Services	Private sector, USFWS, TU Municipalities, UCPC, CKCOG, SEDA-COG Private Sector/ UCCD	Assume \$900/LF - \$9.36M – Urban Assume \$400/LF - \$6.0M Agriculture \$280,000 per year \$420,000	Growing Greener, NFWF, DEP, DCNR, PAFBC, USGS DEP/NRCS/ SCC/PDA DEP/DCNR
						cover engineering design.					2 – stream biologist	UCCD/ Environmental Group	\$280,000	DEP/DCNR/PA FBC/USGS

tion #									s <u>Available</u>			Resource	· <u>· · · · · · · · · · ·</u>	
	Description	Performance Target(s)	Partners	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggestee Source
bar con	nplement more arnyard runoff ontrol/loafing lot anagement	32 acres of barnyard runoff controls. (18 acres need reverified)	UCCD, Chesapeake Conservancy, NRCS, Union County Farm Bureau, NRCS	Countywide Farms	2022- 2025	Lack of Technical assistance to support agriculture planning and implementation Lack of funding to cover engineering					6 – additional Ag Planners to provide technical assistance and ag planning 3 – FTE	District/NRCS/ Private Sector Farm Visits Private Sector/	\$780,000 per year \$420,000 per	DEP/NRCS/ SCC/PDA DEP/NRCS/
						design Increasing construction costs					Design, Engineer. Permit	UCCD	year	SCC/PDA
						are cancelling NRCS contracts					3 – FTE inspector construction	Private Sector/ UCCD	\$315,000 per year	DEP/NRCS/ SCC/PDA
											Services		Barnyard Runoff Control \$175K per project,	DEP/NRCS/ SCC/PDA

			on has been comp			Potential		Resources			taken or has encou		s <u>Needed</u>	
Action		Performance		Geographic	Expected	Implementation Challenges or						Suggested		Suggestee
#	Description	Target(s)	Partners	Location	Timeline	Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Source	Financial	Source
3.12	Animal Waste Storage Systems	20,000 Animal Units of Animal Waste Management Systems (10,000 new AUs of livestock & 10,000 new AUs of poultry)	UCCD, SCC, CEG Program, County Farm Bureau, NRCS, Private Farm Visits, Ag Land Preservation, Chesapeake Conservancy, Integrators	Livestock & Poultry farms	2022- 2025	Time to get through planning, design, and construction; outreach to smaller farms that likely need the assistance; match cash value for small farms; readiness to plan/implement	Project implementatio n – 5 farms per year	NRCS, UCCD, Private Ag Sector			 6 – additional Ag Planners to provide technical assistance and ag planning 3 – FTE Design, Engineer. Permit 	District/NRCS/ Private Sector Farm Visits Private Sector/ UCCD	\$780,000 per year \$420,000 per year	DEP/NRCS/ SCC/PDA DEP/NRCS/ SCC/PDA
						projects when outreach efforts yield willing landowners. Lack of funding to cover engineering design.					3 – FTE inspector construction services	Private Sector/ UCCD	\$315,000 per year Animal waste management system \$175,000 per project, assume 100 AUs per project \$35M in total	DEP/NRCS/ SCC/PDA DEP/NRCS/ SCC/PDA

	<u>Green</u> - action has been completed or is moving					Potential	lion has encoun		cies <u>Red</u> - action 6 Available	taken or has encol	encountered a serious barrier Resources Needed			
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Partners	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested	Financial	Suggested Source
3.13*	Urban SWM Non- Regulated Communities	Document existing ordinances and BMPs associated with implementation at local municipal level Catalogue	UCPC, UCCD, developing municipalities, CKCOG	Countywide	Ongoing 2022- 2025	Coordination/ training for municipal staff, FieldDoc batch upload opportunity, non- MS4 engagement (what's in it for them?), difficulty obtaining past	Reporting platform	FieldDoc			5 – Summer interns for reporting and verification 2 – Municipal Engineers	Bucknell Student or local student attending nearby university etc. Municipalities, UCPC, CKCOG, SEDA-COG	\$50,000 – paid internships \$280,000 per year	NRCS/PDA/ DEP TBD
		existing BMPs that fit into this category and newly built ones Stormwater				information (MS4s typically have databases from 2003-present).					1 – municipal planner	UCPC, CKCOG, SEDA-COG, Municipality, etc.	\$130,000 per year	DEP
		Treatment Performance Standard – 80 acres treated											Stormwater Treatment \$1,815 → \$145,200	DEP
.14	Conservation Landscaping/Turf to Meadow Conversion	Promote new program and enable one large tract landowners'	UCPC, DCNR, UCCD, Chesapeake Conservancy,	Developed areas in County municipalities	2022 - 2025	Landowner education and acceptance	Planting plan assistance	Alliance for the Bay (in- kind)			1 – FTE Municipal Planner	UCPC	\$130,000 per year	DEP/DCNR
		participation 80 new acres of Conservation Landscaping	Buffalo Creek Watershed Alliance, MLC			Existing mowing ordinances and weed ordinances can be a challenge to implementation					3 – additional FTE environmental technician	Chesapeake Conservancy, CBF, Clearwater Conservancy, etc.	\$130,000 per year	DEP/DCNR/ SCC/PDA/ NRCS
													\$2,500 per acre meadow → \$200,000 budget for all	DCNR

		<u>Green</u> - actio	n has been comp	leted or is movin	g forward as	-	tion has encoun			ion has not been t	aken or has enco			
						Potential	Resources <u>Available</u>				Resources <u>Needed</u>			
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Partners	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source
" 3.15*	Continue dirt and gravel road program	21 miles overall restored through past projects Continue to implement the program annually	UCCD, Trout Unlimited	Countywide	2025	Continue D&G Road program funding Expand Dirt and Gravel Road Program to include farm lanes	Education, technical assistance, project oversight 1 – technical staff administering	UCCD, Center for Dirt & Gravel Road Studies, SCC	\$1.4 Million since 1998	State Conservation Commission	1 – FTE Clean Water Coordinator for UCCD	UCCD	Since the second secon	DEP/PDA/ SC
3.16*	Work with PennDOT and local municipalities to improve roadside ditch and embankment maintenance programs	Educate local municipal leaders and work with PennDOT to address state owned roads on the importance of maintaining healthy vegetation along roadside ditches and embankments to prevent erosion and increase nutrient uptake and reduce	Municipalities, DEP and PennDOT	Countywide	2023	PennDOT's and Municipal willingness to cut back on mowing programs. DEP Chesapeake Bay Program will need to assist in the education of PennDOT.	the program				1 – FTE Clean Water Coordinator for UCPC 1 – FTE Clean Water Coordinator for UCCD	UCPC	\$130,000 per year \$130,000 per year	DEP DEP/PDA/ SC
3.17*	Work with PA Game Commission to establish BMPs and conservation easements within Game Lands. Require farmland lessees to implement Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP) and Ag E&S plans	Invasive species. Approximately 3,200 acres of state Game Lands are managed in Union County.	PA Game Commission, UCCD, MLC	PA Game Lands 193, 201, 252 and 317	2025	Coordination with Game commission often challenging, requiring leases to implement plans when not currently required by lease contract.					1 – FTE Clean Water Coordinator for UCCD	UCCD	\$130,000 per year	DEP/PDA/ SC

				pleted or is movin		Potential	Resources <u>Available</u>				Resources <u>Needed</u>			
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Partners	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggestec Source
3.18	Private Funding & Grant Administration	Identify some private funding sources that may be able to supplement public funding sources/existing sources utilized	Existing project implementer networks	Countywide	2022- 2025	Need to expand network, educational aspect of less common funders, logistics of utilizing unproven funding sources (or lesser known).	Financial services	HRG (CAP coordinator)			 1 – FTE UCCD Grant Manager 1 – FTE UCPC Grant Manager 	UCCD UCPC	\$130,000 \$130,000	TBD TBD
		for stakeholders, continue to work with partners to facilitate additional funding.				Grant administration is a challenge due to limited staff and time-consuming nature of grant reporting and administration.					2 – staff accountants	UCPC & UCCD	\$140,000 per year	TBD

	Phase 3 Waters	hed Implementa	ation Plan (W	IP) Planning a	nd Progre	ss Template – UN	ION COUNTY							
		<u>Green</u> - actio	on has been comp	oleted or is movin	g forward as	planned <u>Yellow</u> - ac	tion has encount	ered minor obsta	icles <u>Red</u> - actio	on has not been t	aken or has encou	untered a serious	barrier	
						Potential		Resource	s <u>Available</u>			Resource	es <u>Needed</u>	
					-	Implementation								
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Partners	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	Challenges or Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Suggested Source	Financial	Suggested Source
The state of the s	· ·				Timeline	Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	300102	rechincar	Source	Financiai	Jource
4.1 *	ty Initiative 4: I	-	-		2022	Land access	¢20.000 intern	Duelveell		1	Malumbaanafan		N/A	
4.1*	Incorporate existing water	Location identification,	ALLARM, Keystone	Countywide	2022	Land access, expanded	\$30,000 intern salary budget	Bucknell			Volunteers for Water quality	Local environmental	N/A	TBD
	quality monitoring	financial and	Water			volunteer need,	Salary Budget				monitoring	groups		
	data into	volunteer budget	Resources			equipment/materi					monitoring	8.000		
	Chesapeake Data	analysis, and	Center,			als budget,	QAQC	Bucknell			New	UCCD	\$10,000	ALLARM
	Explorer/	initial landowner	Bucknell,			Consistent data		/ALLARM			monitoring			
	Chesapeake	communication	Buffalo Creek			collection, Quality					equipment			
	Monitoring	by end of 2021	Watershed			Assurance Quality							4400.000	
	Cooperative	CACT 21	Association,			Control (QAQC)					3 – additional FTE	Chesapeake	\$130,000 per	DEP/NRCS/
	database	CAST-21 acknowledgemen	USGS, Susquehanna			continuation.					environmental	Conservancy, CBF,	year	DCNR/PDA
	&	t of our data	University			Data precision,					technician	Clearwater		
	ŭ		oniversity			QAQC, opportunity						Conservancy,		
	Initiate additional	Map existing				to educate						etc.		
	water quality	monitoring				landowners about								
	monitoring sites	locations				local stream health					2 – stream	UCCD/	\$280,000 per	DEP/DCNR/PA
	that promote long-					and what they can					biologist	Environmental	year	FBC/USGS
	term trend	Expand				do about it.						Group		
	evaluation at key locations in Union	monitoring based on Corridors of				Ensure								
	County	Opportunity				USGS/DEP/SU								
	county	(COO) area				continue								
		monitoring gaps				monitoring in								
						Turtle Creek.								
		Buffalo Creek												
		Watershed												
		Association												
		shared 10+ years of data with												
		ALLARM												
		Work with												
		Bucknell to												
		establish												
		monitoring in												
		Turtle Creek												
		Watershed												

	Phase 3 Waters	hed Implementa	ation Plan (WI	P) Planning a	nd Progres	ss Template – UN	ION COUNTY								
	Green - action has been completed or is moving forward as planned Yellow - action has encountered minor obstacles Red - action has not been taken or has encountered a serious barrier														
						Potential	Resources <u>Available</u>				Resources <u>Needed</u>				
						Implementation									
Action		Performance		Geographic	Expected	Challenges or						Suggested		Suggested	
#	Description	Target(s)	Partners	Location	Timeline	Recommendations	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	Technical	Source	Financial	Source	
4.2	Supporting	Develop new or	Buffalo Creek	Countywide	Ongoing	Continued	Social media	County –			1 – FTE Clean	UCPC	\$130,000 per	DEP	
	watershed associations for	reestablish	Watershed			coordination	shares	department to be determined			Water Coordinator		year		
	short-term success	existing watershed	Alliance, MLC, Trout			among a lot of active groups, keep		be determined			for UCPC				
	and long-term	associations to	Unlimited,			project leads list	Project	САР							
	sustainability	support with CAP	National Trout			active so that	development	Coordinator			1 – FTE Clean	UCCD	\$130,000 per	DEP/PDA/ SCC	
	aligning with their	implementation.	Unlimited			watershed	support	(HRG)			Water		year	, ,	
	goals	Watershed				approach to grant					Coordinator				
		organizations can				applications can be					for UCCD				
		support with				developed well in									
		outreach,				advance of							\$5000 per	Budget to be	
		engagement,				submittal							organization	included in	
		new project				deadlines.							to produce	project-	
		identification and implementation.											promotional materials	related grant applications	
		Enhance the											(hats, shirts,	applications	
		capacity of local											stickers) for		
		watershed											members \rightarrow		
		associations for											\$15,000 total		
		short-term											per year		
		success and long-													
		term													
		sustainability.													

Each county-based local area will use this template to identify:

1. Inputs – These are both existing and needed resources, public and private, to implement the identified priority initiative. These include both technical and financial resources, such as personnel, supplies, equipment, and funding. 2. Process – what is each partner able to do where and by when. These are the action items listed under each priority initiative.

3. Outputs and outcomes – both short and long-term. These are the priority initiatives identified by each county. The performance targets are the intermediate indicators that will measure progress.

4. Implementation challenges – any potential issues or roadblocks to implementation that could impede outputs and outcomes.

Asterisk: Place an asterisk next to the action number(s) for action items that appear in both the County Planning and Progress Template and the Programmatic Recommendations Template.

For each Priority Initiative or Program Element: Use the fields, as defined below, to identify the inputs and the process that will be followed to achieve each priority initiative. This is the "who, what, where, when and how" of the plan:

Description = What. This may include programs that address prevention, or as specific as planned BMP installations that will address the Priority Initiative. A programmatic or policy effort will require some ability to quantify the anticipated benefits which will allow calculation of the associated nutrient reductions.

Performance Target = How. This is an extension of the Description above. The Performance Target details the unique BMPs that will result from implementation of the Priority Initiative and serves as a benchmark to track progress in addressing the Priority Initiative. Performance Targets may be spread across multiple Responsible Parties, Geographies, and Timelines based on the specifics of the Initiative.

Responsible Party(ies) = Who. This is/are the key partner(s) who will implement the action items though outreach, assistance or funding, and who will be responsible for delivering the identified programs or practices.

Geographic Location = Where. This field identifies the geographic range of the planned implementation. This could extend to the entire county or down to a small watershed, based on the scale of the Priority Initiative, range of the Responsible Party, or planned funding/resources. NOTE: Resource limitations alone should not limit potential implementation as additional funding may become available in the future.

Expected Timeline = When. Provide the expected completion date for the planned activity. This should be a reasonable expectation, based on knowledge and experience, that will aid in tracking progress toward addressing the Priority Initiative.

Resources Available: Technical & Funding = This field will note technical and financial resources secured/available to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the resources identified in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole; or, if available, to each action.

Resources Needed: Technical & Funding = This field will note technical and financial resources needed/outstanding to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the additional resources projected and identified as needed in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole; or, if possible, to each action.

Potential Implementation Challenges/Issues = This field will note challenges and issues that may delay program implementation (Description).

GLOSSARY

- ACT 167 Plan The Pennsylvania SWM Act of 1978, or Act 167, required that each county must prepare and adopt a watershed SWM plan for each watershed located in the county as designated by DEP, in consultation with the municipalities located within each watershed.
- Ag E&S Agricultural Erosion and Sedimentation Plan. Agricultural Erosion and Sedimentation plans document best management practices on crop and pasture fields to mitigate erosion and protect soil health. Any landowner that disturbs the soil (including no tillage) more than 5,000 square feet (~ 1/10 acre) must have a written Agricultural Erosion & Sediment Control Plan according to Pennsylvania State law, Chapter 102.
- BMP Best Management Practice. Best management practices describe a type of water pollution control. Using agricultural BMPs can help to prevent or minimize the effects of nonpoint source pollution. CAST – Chesapeake Assessment Scenario Tool. CAST is a web-based nitrogen, phosphorus and sediment load estimator tool that streamlines environmental planning.
- CBF Chesapeake Bay Foundation. The Chesapeake Bay Foundation is a non-profit organization devoted to the restoration and protection of the Chesapeake Bay in the United States.
- UCCD Union County Conservation District. The Union County Conservation District serves as the primary local source of assistance to all individuals and organizations who benefit from the county's natural resources that we collectively strive to sustain and improve.
- UCPC Union County Planning Commission. The Union County Planning Commission makes recommendations and decisions to maintain and enhance the high quality of life for all residents, in accordance with the Pennsylvania Municipalities Planning Code, and other laws and regulations of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and the County of Union.
- CHMP County Hazard Mitigation Plan. The Union County Hazard Mitigation Plan was developed for the purpose of providing a blueprint for reducing property damage and saving lives from the effects of future natural and human-caused disasters in Union County; Qualifying the County for pre-disaster and post-disaster grant funding; Complying with state and federal legislative requirements related to local hazard mitigation planning; Demonstrating a firm local commitment to hazard mitigation principles; and Improving community resiliency following a disaster event.
- CKCOG Central Keystone Council of Governments. The Central Keystone Council of Governments is an organization of municipalities pooling resources to more efficiently administer services for residents of local municipalities, thus providing services that would not otherwise be economically practical. They provide inspection and permitting services.
- CNMP Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan. A Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan is a whole farm, progressive document. It contains records of the current activities on a livestock operation, an evaluation of the existing environmental risks, and proposals to reduce the risk of negative impacts to the environment. The objective is to ensure both farm production and environmental goals (clean water, clean air, and healthy soils) are achieved on the farm.
- COO of Opportunity. Analysis completed comparing the County Comprehensive Plan goals to potential for co-benefits for local water quality. Since there are elevated nitrogen levels throughout Union County, the analysis helped focus the planning team on particular HUC12 watersheds for the most global benefit. Once the HUC12 watersheds are identified, the next step is to identify regulatory compliant (or in progress) farms, their neighbors, and preserved farms for targeted outreach and specific BMP installation options.
- DCNR Department of Conservation and Natural Resources. DCNR is responsible for maintaining and preserving state parks and forests; providing information on the state's natural resources; and working with communities to benefit local recreation and natural areas.
- DEP Department of Environmental Protection. The Department of Environmental Protection's mission is to protect Pennsylvania's air, land, and water from pollution and to provide for the health and safety of its citizens through a cleaner environment.
- EPA Environmental Protection Agency. The Environmental Protection Agency is a United States federal government agency whose mission is to protect human and environmental health.
- FEMA Federal Emergency Management Agency. FEMA supports citizens and emergency personnel to build, sustain, and improve the nation's capability to prepare for, protect against, respond to, recover from, and mitigate all hazards. FieldDoc – FieldDoc is a protected, online database that uses geographic information to generate baseline nutrient and sediment loading information and calculate load reductions for planned BMPs. GIS – Geographic Information System. GIS is a computer system that analyzes and displays geographically referenced information.
- HUC12 Watershed. A local sub-watershed level delineation that captures tributary systems draining into the larger Chesapeake Bay watershed.
- **MLC Merrill Linn Conservancy.** Local non-profit conservation organization serving the Central Susquehanna Valley.
- MMP Manure Management Plan. Manure management plans document how a landowner plans to capture, store, treat, and utilize animal manures in an environmentally sustainable manner. Every landowner that has livestock or spreads manure on their property must have a written Manure Management Plan according to Pennsylvania State law, Chapter 91.
- MS4 Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System. A separate storm sewer system is a collection of structures, including retention basins, ditches, roadside inlets, and underground pipes, designed to gather stormwater from built-up areas and discharge it, without treatment, into local streams and rivers.
- NFWF National Fish and Wildlife Foundation. NFWF works towards sustaining, restoring, and enhancing the nation's fish, wildlife, plants and habitats for current and future generations through innovative public and private partnerships, and by investing financial resources and intellectual capital into science-based programs designed to address conservation priorities and achieve measurable outcomes.
- NMP Act 38 Nutrient Management Plan. Nutrient management plans are required under Pennsylvania State law Act 38 which applies to operations with more than 2,000 pounds live animal weight per acre of pasture and crop fields.
- NRCS Natural Resource Conservation Service. NRCS's programs help farmers reduce soil erosion, enhance water supplies, improve water quality, increase wildlife habitat, and reduce damages caused by floods and other natural disasters. PACD – Pennsylvania Association of Conservation Districts. Provides support for Pennsylvania's conservation districts.
- PEMA Pennsylvania Emergency Management Agency. PEMA is tasked with the response to, preparedness for, recovery from, and the mitigation or prevention of disasters and other emergencies.
- PK PracticeKeeper. PracticeKeeper is a protected, online database Used for reporting conservation plans, BMPs, E&S plans, nutrient management plans, watershed plans, complaints, DEP inspection reports and data exports to DEP.
- QAPP Quality Assurance Project Plan. A QA Project Plan documents the technical and quality aspects of a project, including project management, implementation, and assessment. It specifies responsibilities, monitoring objectives, sampling design, sample collection methods, analytical methods, quality control, data management and data validation activities. It is required by EPA prior to any monitoring or data collection.
- QAQC Quality Assurance Quality Control. QA/QC is the combination of quality assurance, the process or set of processes used to measure and assure the quality of a product, and quality control, the process of ensuring products and services meet consumer expectations.
- 4R Nutrient Stewardship Precision Conservation. Right fertilizer source at the Right rate, at the Right time and in the Right place for optimal crop management.
- SEDA-COG SEDA Council of Governments. SEDA Council of Governments is a public organization whose focus is related to economic development, community life, and the environment in Central Pennsylvania.

SRBC – Susquehanna River Basin Commission. SRBC's mission is to enhance public welfare through comprehensive planning, water supply allocation, and management of the water resources of the Susquehanna River Basin.

- **SWM** Stormwater Management. Stormwater management is the effort to reduce runoff of rainwater or melted snow into streets, lawns and other sites and the improvement of water quality.
- SWP Source Water Protection. Source Water Protection is a planning process conducted by local water utilities, as well as regional or national government agencies, to protect drinking water sources from overuse and contamination.
- USGS United States Geological Survey. USGS provides science about the natural hazards that threaten lives and livelihoods; the water, energy, minerals, and other natural resources we rely on; the health of our ecosystems and environment; and the impacts of climate and land-use change.
- WIP Watershed Implementation Plan. Watershed Implementation Plans (WIPs) are the roadmap for how the Bay jurisdictions (including Pennsylvania), in partnership with federal and local governments, will achieve the Chesapeake Bay TMDL allocations.
- WWTP Wastewater Treatment Plant. Wastewater treatment plants process contaminants from wastewater or sewage and convert it into an effluent that can be returned to the water cycle with acceptable impact on the environment or reused for various purposes.