| | <u>Green</u> - action has | been completed or is moving | forward as planned | Yellow - action | has encountered n | ninor obstacles <u>Red</u> - a | action has not bee | n taken or has en | countered a serio | us barrier | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------------|---|--|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Action # Green Yellow Red | Description | Performance Target(s) | Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships | Geographic Location | Expected Timeline | Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations | Resources | s <u>Available</u> | Resourc | es <u>Needed</u> | Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021 + 2022) | Reason for Change to Action Item And Future Plans (2022- 2023 milestone period) |
| | | | | | | | Technical | Financial | Technical | Financial | | persony |
| Priorit | y Initiative 1: Pro | grammatic Initiativ | es | | | | | <u> </u> | <u>'</u> | | | |
| 1.1 | Potential formation of a Countywide Stormwater Authority | This effort could potentially establish an implementation and funding mechanism. | York County Commissioners | York County | 2019 – created 2021 – active | SWA Implementation Plan to be presented to Co Commissioners in early 2019; challenge is educating and garnering support of municipalities/ public | Would provide technical support staff to implement water quality initiatives across the County | Grant for developing Implementat ion Plan; Grant for mapping SW assets | As determined by Implementat ion Plan | As determined by Implementatio n Plan | 08/22: No new update, not removing from CAP. The action states "potential s/w authority". The study is complete and resulted in an assortment of recommendations of which one was implemented by the County Commissioners that resulted in a logical and sound scientific step toward improved watershed management in York County. 09/21: No new update. 12/20: Stormwater Authority Implementation Plan was presented to the County Commissioners; Opted to not form an Authority at this time; Other Plan recommendations, the WQMing and additional staffing for YCCD and YCPC, are being implemented as interim measures. | Revisit in the future. |
| 1.2 | Implement County Comprehensive Plan policies and actions | Development directed to established growth areas; limited development and protection of natural resources in established rural areas | YCPC, municipalities, YCALPB, FNLT | York County | Ongoing | Educating municipalities, Updating local plans and ordinances, Growth areas not consistent with Census Urbanized | Education, outreach | All YCPC Division Budgets | None | None | 08/22: No new update. 09/21: No new update. 12/20: YCPC continues to implement the County Comprehensive Plan through review of municipal plans, land use ordinances, subdivision/ land development plans, permits, and grant | Continue to Implement County Comprehensi ve Plan |

| | | | | | | Areas (MS4 Permit | | | applications; a new County Open Space | |
|-----|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|--|-------------|
| | | | | | | area is too limited) | | | & Land Preservation Committee was | |
| | | | | | | area is too iiiriiteu) | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | created to further protect ag and natural | |
| | | | | | | | | | lands (YCALPB & FNLT reps serve on the | |
| | | | | | | | | | Committee) The County Comprehensive | |
| | | | | | | | | | Plan includes an Integrated Water | |
| | | | | | | | | | Resources Plan (IWRP) component. Thus, | |
| | | | | | | | | | through the review processes noted, the | |
| | | | | | | | | | YCPC makes recommendations related to | |
| | | | | | | | | | water quality that result in more BMPs | |
| | | | | | | | | | being put on the ground that will reduce | |
| | | | | | | | | | sediment and nutrients. The CAP is not | |
| | | | | | | | | | only a tool to meet WIP 3 targets, but is | |
| | | | | | | | | | also a tool to meet countywide water | |
| | | | | | | | | | quality goals set for in the County | |
| | | | | | | | | | Comprehensive Plan. Ag Conservation | |
| | | | | | | | | | Plans are an integral part of land | |
| | | | | | | | | | preservation easements and | |
| | | | | | | | | | implementation of the Plans is | |
| | | | | | | | | | continually monitored. Thus, preserving | |
| | | | | | | | | | additional land through the YCALPB and | |
| | | | | | | | | | FNLT will help to reach our CAP target. | |
| 1.3 | | Accomplish Action | YCPC, YCCD, | York County | 2019 - 2025 | No established | Experienced | 12 staff from | 08/22: An Engineer for the York County | Continue |
| | | items 2.15 through | Agricultural | | | statewide or | staff to assist | all sources (9 | Conservation District was hired in June | building Ag |
| | | 2.39 | community | | | county level | ag operators | technical staff | 2021. Ag positions have been filled with | Committee |
| | | | | | | system to track | to plan and | and 3 | funding being allocated to York County | within WAY |
| | | | | | | BMP reporting. | implement | supporting administrative | Conservation District Ag staff. Ag | |
| | | | | | | Limited compliance | BMPs; Staff | and data | engagement has increased over the past | |
| | | | | | | activities by DEP. | to collect | collection/ | year. The Ag Field Day hosted by YCPC, | |
| | Establish | | | | | Lack of funding for | and report | verification | and funded by the York County | |
| | funding/staff support | | | | | staff to assist the | data. | staff | Community Foundation, was a success. | |
| | to assist the | | | | | ag community. | | (Total: \$1.2 m | This is the first Ag event initiative that | |
| | Agricultural | | | | | , | | per year) | YCPC has planned and hosted. The CWQE | |
| | community to | | | | | | | | was a partner in the planning of the | |
| | develop and | | | | | | | | event and assisted with the organization | |
| | implement plans and | | | | | | | | of the vouchers that were given out to | |
| | BMP's to meet the | | | | | | | | farmers/landowners in the concentrated | |
| | York County Bay | | | | | | | | outreach area – Barshinger Run in the | |
| | goals | | | | | | | | Codorus watershed. We started | |
| | 80013 | | | | | | | | presenting at and being involved in more | |
| | | | | | | | | | Ag related events. In years past we have | |
| | | | | | | | | | both only been surface involved with | |
| | | | | | | | | | some local Ag groups such as providing | |
| | | | | | | | | | updates here and there to the Farm | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | Bureau and Ag Land Preserve. This year | |
| | | | | | | | | | we spoke at two Soil Heath Luncheons | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | on the importance of riparian forest | |
|-----|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------|-------------|------|----------------------|-----------|----------|---------------|----------------|--|----------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | buffers and explained the resources that | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | we have for assistance in this area. | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Outreach around the CEG funding was | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | also amped up this year. The reCAP | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Newsletter strived to include Ag | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | information and resources throughout | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | the year. The Ag Committee within WAY | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | is still finding not only its purpose but | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | also Ag experienced people to be | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | volunteers. | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | voidificers. | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 09/21: The York County Community | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Foundation's Ag and Codorus | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Endowment Committees awarded YCPC | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | funding for a 2 year Ag Outreach and | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | BMP Implementation Pilot Program in a | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | concentrated area of the Codorus | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Watershed. Part of the funding is for a | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | part-time Ag Outreach Coordinator. | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | YCPC contracted with Land Logics Group | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | in August to provide this service. It is our | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | hope that this pilot project will be | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | successful and provide a model to | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | replicate in other watersheds. | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Also, YCCD has filled their Licensed | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Engineer position and funding from York | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | County and the CAP Agreement has been | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | allocated to two Ag staff positions. | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Ç , | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 12/20: As of October 2020, YCCD has | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | filled two of three new positions for | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | technical staff through funding allocated | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | by the County Commissioners. Two | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | positions are funded through the CAP | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | agreement, the third is coming from the | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Open Space Preservation funding. YCCD | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | is still looking to fill a position for a | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | licensed engineer. The job posting is live. | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | The other 9 positions remain unfunded. | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | These positions do not need to be only at | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | YCCD, other avenues could be taken. | |
| 1.4 | entitle. Le | Enough real-time | York County in | York County | 2023 | Funding; | Technical | In-Kind | County | Funding for 2 | 08/22: No new update. The WQ | Continue |
| | Establish a real-time | monitors to obtain | partnership | • | | Sustainability; Data | experts | Services | Technical/ | staff persons; | Monitoring agreement between York | program |
| | stream monitoring | base data and be able | with YCCD, | | | storage and | - | | Administrativ | monitoring | County and USGS remains in place. | - |
| | program | to trend sediment and | SRBC & USGS | | | analysis | | | e Staff; | equipment; & | · | |
| | | | | | I | l · | I | I. | · · | <u> </u> | | |

| | nutrients in 8 Act 167 watersheds | | | | | | | Monitoring equipment; SRBC/USGS contracting | SRBC/USGS contracts (\$5 million for 1 st five years) | 09/21: No new update. The WQ Monitoring agreement between York County and USGS remains in place. 12/20: The County implemented a real- time monitoring program in 2019 through a funding agreement with USGS. The six monitors that are installed throughout the County monitor about 90% of the flow leaving York County and are collecting continuous data to measure nitrogen, phosphorus, and sediment; Data collected is analyzed & posted on the USGS website. County is seeking funding to offset its annual cost for operations/maintenance. | |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|------|---|---|---|---|--|--|---|
| Priority In | nitiative 2: Achieve Pollutant Redu | | Vork County | 2025 | "Sactore" /arhitram | Included | Included | Included | Included | 09/22: No now undate. There is still no | The PMP's |
| 2.1 BM ach poll in a cos mai | pollutant reductions | YCCD, YCPC, municipalities, DEP, EPA | York County | 2025 | "Sectors"/arbitrary boundaries within municipalities requiring differing/ competing regulations; lack of understanding of local issues by State/ Federal regulators AND vice versa; Permitting delays/protocol needs resolution; Need to implement programmatic changes in State template | Included under Action #1.1 on State template; Plus YCCD/ NRCS/ private consultants for ag. technical assistance | Included under Action # 1.1 on State template | Included under Action #1.1 on State template; Plus more Ag. tech. staff funding for YCCD (3 staff) | Included under Action #1.1 on State template; Plus additional \$250K annually for YCCD staff | 08/22: No new update. There is still no way to achieve 100% of reduction goals without recommended state programmatic changes. 09/21: York County feels as if we have established the best set of BMP's that can be implemented, tracked, reported, and managed in any degree by YCPC or YCCD. We did not include BMP's in this list that are totally out of the "control" of these agencies. Furthermore, it's important to note that, although many BMP's "fell out of the model," it resulted from lack of verification. This does not mean that our progress went backwards, but rather means that BMP verification needs to be properly addressed. Last year we were told our set of BMP's run through the CAST 2017 model could potentially reach 76% of our County goal. Now, the same set of BMP's run through the CAST 2019 model will potentially reach 79% of our County goal. That is progress. We continue to feel that implementation of the recommended State | The BMP's listed in this CAP will continue to be the focus BMP's. |

| | | | | | | | | | | | Programmatic Changes is vital to | |
|-----|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------|------|---------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | achieving the nitrogen reduction target. | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | demeving the introgen reduction target. | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 12/20: These BMP's were established in | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | the York County CAP and are Action | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | numbers 2.5 – 3.18. The extent of these | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | BMP's was a stretch goal and as such will | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | reduce 76% of the County's allocation. | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | reduce 70% of the county's anocation. | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Furthermore, the CAP stresses that these | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | BMP's will not be achieved without | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | implementation of the Programmatic | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | - | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Changes recommended. | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Aside from this understanding, the | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Revenue Building Action Team spent | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | April – July of 2020 developing a | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | "funding map" spreadsheet which lists | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | all CAP Actions, identifies a potential | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | funding source, and takes into account | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | the total poundage of nitrogen reduction | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | per Action as well as price. This will be | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | tool that will be able to help York County | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | to determine which BMP's to focus on, | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | will be able to illustrate funding needs, | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | and inform the CAP Action Teams and | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | YCC4CW. The funding map looked at | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | prioritizing by cost and also by nutrient | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | reduction. To date the mapping tool has | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | been used to identify potential funding | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | source when assisting with willing | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | landowners who have reached out – 2 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | times. The full benefit of the funding | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | map is still to be realized. This exercise | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | will continue to develop in the years to | |
| | | Cland IID | N.A. misira altitud | N.A | 2022 | Look of and all Co. | Falue-#1- · | Francis to | المماريط ما | المماريط ما | come to complete this Action. | |
| | Encourage and | GI and LID provisions | Municipalities/ | Municipalities | 2023 | Lack of credit for | Education; | Funds to | Included | Included | 08/22: New to previous years' update is | |
| | provide support to | included in municipal | YCPC/DEP | in York | | municipal | Local | amend local | under Action | under Action # | that partnerships have been formed to | |
| | municipalities to | land use ordinances | | County | | ordinance GI | ordinance | ordinances | # 1.1 on | 1.1 on State | acquire a grant from the Chesapeake Bay | |
| | incorporate green | | | | | provisions in | samples, | | State | template (1 | Trust to work with the CWQE on hosting | |
| 2.2 | infrastructure (GI) | | | | | Chesapeake Bay | model | | template | dedicated | HOA workshops around GI and LID. The | |
| | and Low Impact | | | | | (CB) Model | ordinances, | | | integrated | recently obtained NFWF INSR grant has | |
| | Development (LID) | | | | | | and CWP tool | | | planning staff | heavy components on GI and LID. The | |
| | into land use | | | | | | | | | at county | NFWF INSR will start in 2023 and will be | |
| | ordinances | | | | | | | | | level) | implemented until 2025. | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | 09/21: No new update. | |
|-----|---|--|--|-------------|------|---|-------------------------------|-----------------|--|--|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | 12/20: 28 municipalities are under the MS4 Permit which mandates this Action to be done. Plus, the County has an Act 167 Plan that required municipalities to adopt an ordinance that manages stormwater on site of development. MCM5BMP2 of the MS4 permit requires incorporation of and consideration of LID practices. Act 167 models stormwater ordinance requires the use of GI for onsite stormwater management. | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Additionally, when other municipalities are updating their land use ordinances, YCPC staff encourages them, through the formal review and comment process of land use ordinances, to incorporate GI & LID provisions; sample/ model provisions are being shared with municipalities. | |
| 2.3 | Support local and County initiatives for pollutant reducing activities | Report project BMP data for inclusion in Bay model. Credit using method developed under Action # 1.2 on State template | Master Watershed Stewards, Watershed organizations | York County | 2023 | Education/training of volunteers; Outreach to municipalities; Use of planning tools, such as York County BMP Reporting Tool, to obtain BMP data | Education, outreach, training | General budgets | Included under Action #1.1 on State template | Included under Action #1.1 on State template (1 dedicated integrated planning staff at county level) | 08/22: Previously mentioned programs, particularly the Riparian Rangers, have been expanded over the past year. Grants obtained in 2022 will be supporting local and county initiatives for pollutant reducing activities. 09/21: A CAP Project tracker was initiated this year after many suggestions from DEP. It is an attempt to track planned, in-progress, and implemented BMPs throughout the County. It's also a tool being used to track potential projects we find along the way. Support was found with the Project Implementation Committee. Completed BMPs continue to be reported through MS4 Annual Reports, Practice Keeper, and FieldDoc. The Project Implementation Committee created a Watershed Forestry subcommittee, which formed a Riparian Rangers group in partnership with | Continue to build relationships with partners, implement projects, and continue agency programs. This is the future plan for all Actions. |

Alliance for the Chesapeake Bay. The Riparian Rangers will be taking care of the O&M for buffer and lawn conversion projects across the County. To date, there are 21 Riparian Rangers. This program was implemented without any funding and is being supported by WAY, YCCD, and the MWS's. The Data Management Committee created an Unreported BMP Template for unreported BMP data collection. It has been tested with FieldDoc. This template, available in paper and as an online fillable form, will be used to gather data on the unreported BMP's and enter it into FieldDoc. A BMP Guide was also developed to accompany the reporting template. Support towards initiatives for pollutant reducing activities include the continuation of our partnerships with the York County Storm Water Consortium, Penn State Extension Master Watershed Stewards, York County Conservation District, Lower Susquehanna River Keeper Association, and Alliance for the Chesapeake Bay. The grant that YCPC received from NFWF's SWG PTA program for development of a messaging campaign and messaging materials is nearly finished. We have seen great success with this grant. We rebranded and merged York County Coalition for Clean Waters with Watershed Alliance of York. Our volunteer base has expanded, our online presence has increased, meeting attendance has increased, and many people have started to notice and talk about WAY. We also have folks interested in filling the five vacant Board seats. September 2021 is when the full rebranding, with all of our materials, photoshoots, and videos will be fully

released. The grant will conclude in December 2021. 12/20: The Project Implementation Action Team, with the leadership of the Coordinator of the Master Watershed Stewards Program, have begun investigative reportable BMP's. Activities are happening now; buffers, rain gardens. Unreported BMP's need to be reported to received credit. Support towards initiatives for pollutant reducing activities include; Continuation of the MWS Program in York County through COVID-19 pandemic, this group is responsible for implementation of several projects. York County Storm Water Consortium (YCSWC) is another group implementing projects. York County Economic Alliance (YCEA) for the Codorus Beautification project. Tree plantings, education and informing land owners – which will all help to eventually reduce nutrients. The Watershed Alliance of York, with board members including YCPC, MWS, YCCD, planned and executed Watershed Week 2020 nearly completely virtually. Due to the nature of the event, many educational and outreach initiatives were taken. YCPC applied for the NFWF SWG and was awarded \$50,000 that will be used for messaging the CAP, which will help with education and outreach and help to continue to propel this Action in 2021. None of the CAP aspect is common knowledge. There needs to be education and outreach, to make landowners aware and lead them to the correct resources to implement practices

| 2.4 | Develop/advertise a Performance-based RFP seeking private bids to site, design, permit, install, operate, and maintain BMPs to reduce a specified amount of sediment to meet PRP goals and shortfall of WIP 3 nitrogen goals in the County. | Bid awarded and projects completed per RFP proposal | YCSWC, DEP/EPA, private consultant, landowners | York Countywide | 2023 | This concept has been used for MS4 Permit sediment reductions; Consider this option for meeting Nitrogen reductions for WIP 3 efforts; Highly desirable, as consultant analysis to maximize efficiency/profits would guarantee best projects | Private consultants/ YCCD staff/ YCSWC members/ DEP staff | Current limited staff funding, potentially YCSWC PRP funds | Private consulting team to site, design, build, maintain BMPs; administrativ e staff to manage RFP /contract/ payments; Grant staff, DEP support staff | Sufficient money for consultants to make a profit and incentivize landowners to participate; Less money needed if current MS4 PRP money could be used to meet countywide Nitrogen reduction | Completed BMPs are being reported through MS4 Annual Reports, Practice Keeper, and FieldDoc. 08/22: The YCSWC continues to implement projects that will assist in reaching the PRP goals. 09/21: Through the Performance Contract with ARRC, two stream restoration projects were completed in 2021 that resulted in TSS reduction of 1,922,850 lbs/yr and N reduction of 1,655 lbs/yr. Additional reductions are anticipated following PCSM. These projects satisfy approx. 50% of the contract. 12/20: The YCSWC entered into a performance-based contract with ARRC in 2020 to reduce 4 million pounds of sediment and make significant strides toward meeting the Regional CBPRP 10% reduction requirement; will also help to meet CAP nitrogen reduction goal. The Performance Based RFP will reduce | |
|-----|---|---|---|-----------------|------|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| 2.5 | Tree Planting - Canopy | 4.25 acres | YCSWC municipalities/ landowners/ watershed organizations | Countywide | 2023 | Unanalyzed projects included in Regional PRP due to 9-month development deadline; MS4 PRP criteria mismatched with CB Model/WIP 3 goals; Continue to fund grant programs | State/County/ Municipal/ private staff/pubic agencies/ watershed organizations | \$2M per year through 2023/grants | Local and State technical staff to identify/ plan/ implement analyzed projects that most efficiently achieve goals | Sufficient money to staff and support State/local coordination to achieve State and local water quality goals | 1,283 lbs of Nitrogen. 08/22: In 2022 WAY became Keystone 10 Million Trees partners which led to many different tree planting opportunities and projects in the pipeline. See FieldDoc. 09/21: Metric not found in FieldDoc. York Water Company planted 600 trees (=2 acres) at Reservoir Park resulting in 1lb of nitrogen reduction. 12/20: Through projects implemented through the Penn State MWS Program in cooperation with West Manchester Township, nearly this entire goal was completed in year 1. Financial support for these efforts typically are coming from DCNR or through our Alliance for the Chesapeake Bay partners on the Project | |

| 2.6 | Bioswale | 7.8 acres treated | YCSWC municipalities/ MWSs/ landowners | Countywide | 2023 | Unanalyzed projects included in Regional PRP due to 9-month development deadline; MS4 PRP criteria mismatched with CB Model/WIP 3 goals; Permitting delays; Continue to fund grant programs | Current State/County/ Municipal/ private staff | \$2M per year through 2023/grants | Local and State technical staff to identify/ plan/ implement analyzed projects that most efficiently achieve goals | Sufficient money to staff and support State/local coordination to achieve State and local water quality goals | Implementation Action Team. Other funding sources include municipalities and landowners. This project will be reported through theMS4 Annual Report. 08/22: Raingardens, bioretention, bioswales, and infiltration basins remain popular and desired projects for homeowners/associations and businesses. Grants secured in 2022 will focus on the implementation of educational tracts to make resources and implementation more accessible. See FieldDoc and MS4 reporting provided by YCSWC. 09/21: No new update. 12/20: Two Regional CBPRP bioswale projects were completed that together treated 35.2 acres, which exceeds the goal for this practice; NFWF, GG, & YCSWC monies helped to fund these projects; they were reported through the MS4 Annual Report. Additional bioswale projects are planned through implementation of the Regional CBPRP. Also, bioswale projects could happen during routine development under NPDES permit. | |
|-----|---------------|-------------------|--|------------|------|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| 2.7 | Forest Buffer | 98.2 acres | YCSWC municipalities/ landowners/ Watershed organizations/ MWSs/ Horn Farm Center/ WAY/ YCCD | Countywide | 2023 | Unanalyzed projects included in Regional PRP due to 9-month development deadline; MS4 PRP criteria mismatched with CB Model/WIP 3 goals; Permitting delays; Continue to fund grant programs | Current State/County/ Municipal/ public agencies/ private staff/ watershed organizations/ MWSs/ Horn Farm Center | \$2M per year through 2023/grants | Local and State technical staff to identify/ plan/ implement analyzed projects that most efficiently achieve goals | Sufficient money to staff and support State/local coordination to achieve State and local water quality goals | 08/22: In 2022 WAY became Keystone 10 Million Trees partners which has led to many different tree planting opportunities and projects in the pipeline. Many grants obtained in 2022 have buffer implementation components. See FieldDoc. 09/21: FieldDoc has this metric as a total of 165 acres whereas York lists this metric in this report as 118.2 acres. FieldDoc is reporting 7% progress or 12.00/165.00 acres. Since noted in 2020, Alliance for the Chesapeake Bay received a NFWF SWG | |

| | | | | | | | | | | to develop and pilot a conservation | |
|-----|---------------------|-----------------|------------|--|-------------------|---------------|--------------|-----------|-----------------|---|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | incentive program that will accelerate | |
| | | | | | | | | | | the establishment of riparian forest | |
| | | | | | | | | | | buffers by agricultural producers in the | |
| | | | | | | | | | | southern part of the Codorus Watershed | |
| | | | | | | | | | | in York County, as well as in Cecil and | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Harford counties in Maryland. | |
| | | | | | | | | | | 12/20: Two Regional CBPRP forest buffer | |
| | | | | | | | | | | projects (4.8 acres) are currently being | |
| | | | | | | | | | | initiated and expected to be completed | |
| | | | | | | | | | | later in 2020 or early 2021. Funding is | |
| | | | | | | | | | | through DCNR and municipalities. They | |
| | | | | | | | | | | will be reported through the MS4 Annual | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Report. | |
| | | | | | | | | | | See FieldDoc for non-CBPRP numerical | |
| | | | | | | | | | | detail. | |
| | | | | | | | | | | The Alliance for the Chesapeake Bay, | |
| | | | | | | | | | | which is a CAP partners with | |
| | | | | | | | | | | representation on the Project Implementation Action Team, applied for | |
| | | | | | | | | | | a NFWF SWG to develop and pilot a | |
| | | | | | | | | | | conservation incentive program that | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Will accelerate the establishment of | |
| | | | | | | | | | | riparian forest buffers by agricultural | |
| | | | | | | | | | | producers in the southern part of the | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Codorus Watershed in York County, as | |
| | | | | | | | | | | well as in Cecil and Harford counties in | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Maryland. Goal of project is to restore | |
| | | | | | | | | | | 160 acres of riparian forest habitat, some | |
| | | | | | | | | | | of which will be in York County. It's | |
| | | | | | | | | | | tricky to estimate BMP numbers just yet; | |
| | | | | | | | | | | the funds are really flexible so things | |
| | | | | | | | | | | could pan out different ways. But about | |
| | | | | | | | | | | 1/3 of the funds will be used in York, | |
| | | | | | | | | | | which is around \$150,000. That will | |
| | | | | | | | | | | mostly be used on ag BMPs to pair with | |
| | | | | | | | | | | around 40 acres of buffer. | |
| | | YCSWC | Countywide | 2023 | Unanalyzed | Current | \$2M per | Local and | Sufficient | 08/22: No new update. See YCSWC | |
| | | municipalities/ | | | projects included | State/County/ | year through | State | money to staff | reporting and MS4 reporting for more | |
| | | landowners | | | in Regional PRP | Municipal/ | 2023/grants | technical | and support | details. Wetland work is included in | |
| 2.8 | Wetland Restoration | | | | due to 9-month | private staff | | staff to | State/local | some of the grants recently obtained in | |
| | | | | | development | | | identify/ | coordination | 2022. See FieldDoc. | |
| | | | | | deadline; MS4 PRP | | | plan/ | to achieve | | |
| | | | | I and the second | criteria | | | implement | State and local | | |

| | | | | | mismatched with CB Model/WIP 3 goals; Permitting delays; Continue to fund grant programs | | | analyzed projects that most efficiently achieve goals | water quality goals | 09/21: No new update. See YCSWC reporting and MS4 reporting for more details. 12/20: Through a mitigation bank project in the Codorus Watershed, 8 acres were restored. Restoration over the next 3 years will continue to come through mitigation as well as through Penndot projects and Regional CBPRP projects. The mitigation part is for habitat, it still reduces pollutants. It is up to DEP to decide when credit will be given for these practices. |
|-----|--------------------|--|------------|------|---|--|---|--|---|---|
| 2.9 | Infiltration Basin | YCSWC municipalities/ landowners | Countywide | 2023 | Unanalyzed projects included in Regional PRP due to 9-month development deadline; MS4 PRP criteria mismatched with CB Model/WIP 3 goals; Permitting delays; Continue to fund grant programs | Current State/County/ Municipal/ private staff | \$2M per year through 2023/grants | Local and State technical staff to identify/ plan/ implement analyzed projects that most efficiently achieve goals | Sufficient money to staff and support State/local coordination to achieve State and local water quality goals | 08/22: Raingardens, bioretention, bioswales, and infiltration basins remain popular and desired projects for homeowners/associations and businesses. Grants secured in 2022 will focus on the implementation of educational tracts to make resources and implementation more accessible. See FieldDoc and MS4 reporting provided by YCSWC. 09/21: FieldDoc is reporting 0/32.40. We noted Basin Retrofit projects in 2020, but learned from Olivia Devereux (CAST Model Consultant) that Basin Retrofit projects should instead be accounted for in the "Stormwater Performance Standard of stormwater treatment." See reporting provided by YCSWC. 12/20: Through the Regional CBPRP, 2 Basin Retrofit projects, together treating 90 acres, were completed and reported through the MS4 Annual Report, which appears to exceed this goal. Another Basin Retrofit project that will treat 29.2 acres is under construction. Municipal stormwater ordinances and Chapter 102 permits require stormwater to be managed on site. Infiltration Basins are a popular way to achieve this. These will be reported either as an annual MS4 |

| 2.10 | Bioretention/ Raingardens | 39.5 acres treated | YCSWC/ municipalities/ MWSs/ landowners | Countywide | 2023 | Unanalyzed projects included in Regional PRP due to 9-month development deadline; MS4 PRP criteria mismatched with CB Model/WIP 3 goals; Permitting delays; Continue to fund grant programs | Current State/County/ Municipal/ MWSs/ private staff/ volunteers | \$2M per year through 2023/grants | Local and State technical staff to identify/ plan/ implement analyzed projects that most efficiently achieve goals | Sufficient money to staff and support State/local coordination to achieve State and local water quality goals | project or through FieldDoc, we are still discussing the most advantageous way to do so. 08/22: Raingardens, bioretention, bioswales, and infiltration basins remain popular and desired projects for homeowners/associations and businesses. Grants secured in 2022 will focus on the implementation of educational tracts to make resources and implementation more accessible. See FieldDoc and MS4 reporting provided by YCSWC. 09/21: CAP dollars funded a raingarden planting in Fairview Township. See YCSWC reporting and MS4 reporting for more details. 12/20: Through the Regional CBPRP, 1 Bioretention BMP treating 12.8 acres was completed with funding assistance through NFWF & YCSWC; it was reported through the MS4 Annual Report. 2 projects that will together treat 33.8 acres are underway and another project scheduled for 2021 will treat 25.1 acres. Additionally, Municipal stormwater ordinances require projects less than 1 acre to manage stormwater on site. This Action is popular for such projects and typically an effort completed by the MWS and/or homeowners. This is a task that our Data Management and Project Implementation action teams are working out the best way to collect this | |
|------|---|----------------------|--|------------|------|---|--|---|--|---|---|--|
| 2.11 | Stormwater Performance Standard- Stormwater Treatment | 216.48 acres treated | YCSWC municipalities/ landowners | Countywide | 2023 | Unanalyzed projects included in Regional PRP due to 9-month development deadline; MS4 PRP criteria mismatched with CB Model/WIP 3 | Current State/County/ Municipal/ private staff | \$2M per year through 2023/grants | Local and State technical staff to identify/ plan/ implement analyzed projects that | Sufficient money to staff and support State/local coordination to achieve State and local water quality goals | data 08/22: See YCSWC reporting, MS4 reporting, and FieldDoc for more details. 09/21: No new update. FieldDoc reports this metric as 53.00/326.00. York's report has 216.48 acres. See YCSWC reporting and MS4 reporting for more details. | |

| | | | | | | goals; Permitting delays; Continue to fund grant programs | | | most efficiently achieve goals | | 12/20: Through the Regional CBPRP, a filter strip with soil amendments & conservation landscaping will treat 5 acres. This project is currently under design & funded through a GG Grant. Upon completion, this project will be reported through the MS4 Annual Report. Additional progress towards this Action is being completed through municipal ordinance requirements. See FieldDoc for numerical details of such projects. | |
|------|---|-----------------------------|--|------------|------|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| 2.12 | Stormwater Performance Standard - Runoff Reduction | 0.34 acres treated | YCSWC/ municipalities/ landowners | Countywide | 2023 | Unanalyzed projects included in Regional PRP due to 9-month development deadline; MS4 PRP criteria mismatched with CB Model/WIP 3 goals; Permitting delays; Continue to fund grant programs | Current State/County/ Municipal/ private staff | \$2M per year through 2023/grants | Local and State technical staff to identify/ plan/ implement analyzed projects that most efficiently achieve goals | Sufficient money to staff and support State/local coordination to achieve State and local water quality goals | 08/22: See YCSWC reporting, MS4 reporting, and FieldDoc for more details. 09/21: No new update. Metric is not accounted for in FieldDoc or listed as a metric at all. 12/20: The YCCD worked with Wrightsville Borough to develop the County's first green street project. The Limekiln Alley improvement in the Borough is a 670 foot green street project. This design is capable of handling up to 5 inches of rain per hour, with the first inch being absorbed in the ground & the rest being filtered through a black sheet, then through 18 inches of stone and a perforated pipe, before going into the stormwater drain. Pervious brick pavers, as well as concrete wheel pads for vehicles completed the project. See FieldDoc for numeric details. | |
| 2.13 | Urban Stream Restoration | 56,688 linear feet restored | YCSWC/ municipalities/ landowners/ watershed organizations/ PennDOT | Countywide | 2023 | Unanalyzed projects included in Regional PRP due to 9-month development deadline; MS4 PRP criteria mismatched with CB Model/WIP 3 goals; Permitting delays; Need for | Current State/County/ Municipal/ private staff | \$2M per year through 2023/grants | Local and State technical staff to identify/ plan/ implement analyzed projects that most | Sufficient money to staff and support State/local coordination to achieve State and local water quality goals | 08/22: CAP funded stream restoration projects that have been completed in 2021-2022 are Barshinger Creek Stream Restoration and Pine Run Stream Restoration. Three more are planned for the 2022 CAP funding. See FieldDoc and CAP reimbursement for more information. | |

| | | | | | watershed permit; | | | efficiently | | 09/21: No new update. FieldDoc is | |
|------|-----------------|-------------|---------------|------|---------------------|---------------|-----------|---------------|-----------------|---|--------------|
| | | | | | willing landowners, | | | achieve goals | | reporting 3,705.00/63,688.00 or 6% | |
| | | | | | Continue to fund | | | 8.000 | | complete. | |
| | | | | | grant programs | | | | | · | |
| | | | | | , , | | | | | 12/20: Through the Regional CBPRP, | |
| | | | | | | | | | | 4,643 LF of urban stream restoration was | |
| | | | | | | | | | | completed with funding assistance from | |
| | | | | | | | | | | NFWF, GG, Local SW BMP Grant & | |
| | | | | | | | | | | YCSWC. These projects were reported | |
| | | | | | | | | | | through MS4 Annual Reports. An | |
| | | | | | | | | | | additional 20,643 LF, of stream | |
| | | | | | | | | | | restoration is either underway or | |
| | | | | | | | | | | scheduled, which includes the 2 projects | |
| | | | | | | | | | | awarded York County CAP BMP | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Implementation funds & scheduled, per | |
| | | | | | | | | | | the funding agreement, to be completed | |
| | | | | | | | | | | before 6/30/2021 as follows: | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Hallam Borough – Beaver Street | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Stream/Swale Restoration includes | |
| | | | | | | | | | | 800LF of stream restoration and 125LF of | |
| | | | | | | | | | | swale restoration on an unnamed | |
| | | | | | | | | | | tributary to Kreutz Creek. Due to an | |
| | | | | | | | | | | unexpected delay with issuance of the | |
| | | | | | | | | | | USACE permit and construction | |
| | | | | | | | | | | restrictions due to the stream being | |
| | | | | | | | | | | classified as a wild trout stream, project | |
| | | | | | | | | | | bidding for construction was postponed | |
| | | | | | | | | | | to December 2020, with award to be | |
| | | | | | | | | | | made in February 2021. | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Penn Township – Center Street Stream | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Restoration includes 500LF of stream | |
| | | | | | | | | | | restoration on Oil Creek. Construction is | |
| | | | | | | | | | | underway & expected to be completed | |
| | | | | | | | | | | by the end of 2020. | |
| | | | | | | | | | | See FieldDoc for non-CBPRP numerical | |
| | | | | | | | | | | details. | |
| | 50,0 | State | Statewide, | 2025 | Failure to pass | None, | None, | State | Sufficient | 08/22: -The turf fertilizer legislation (SB | *2022 status |
| | | legislation | regulated and | | legislation | Program does | Program | technical | money to staff | 251 which became Act 83) was signed | changed |
| | Nutrient | | unregulated | | | not currently | does not | staff to | and support | into law. The law establishes | from red to |
| 2.14 | Management Plan | | urban areas | | | exist | currently | develop | State/local | composition of turf fertilizers, rates of | yellow. |
| | (Urban) | | | | | | exist | guidance and | coordination | application and practices during | |
| | | | | | | | | requirement | to achieve | application; of which all applications of | |
| | | | | | | | | s related to | State and local | fertilizer to turf must comply. This is | |

| | | | | | | | | credits in CB | water quality | essentially a "Plan" for all York County |
|------|---------------------|-----------------|-------------|------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--|
| | | | | | | | | Model | goals | turf acres and the number of acres now |
| | | | | | | | | iviouei | goais | under urban nutrient plans far exceed |
| | | | | | | | | | | the performance target of this initiative. |
| | | | | | | | | | | York County municipalities are |
| | | | | | | | | | | prohibited under this law (Act 83) to |
| | | | | | | | | | | establish ordinances requiring "plans" |
| | | | | | | | | | | and/or requirements more restrictive |
| | | | | | | | | | | than Act 83. The Department solicited |
| | | | | | | | | | | for the enactment of this law in order to |
| | | | | | | | | | | reduce the nutrients getting into PA |
| | | | | | | | | | | waters thereby getting credit for State |
| | | | | | | | | | | Chesapeake Bay allocation reductions. |
| | | | | | | | | | | York County turf acreage falls into this |
| | | | | | | | | | | category and this acreage now has come |
| | | | | | | | | | | under "urban nutrient plan" |
| | | | | | | | | | | implementation. When the program is |
| | | | | | | | | | | fully up and running and the industry and |
| | | | | | | | | | | regulated community start following the |
| | | | | | | | | | | process progress will occur. It will be |
| | | | | | | | | | | extremely difficult to determine how |
| | | | | | | | | | | many acres will be treated, reported, etc. |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | 09/21: No new update. Status remains |
| | | | | | | | | | | the same as 2020. |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | 12/20: Achievement of this depends |
| | | | | | | | | | | either on volunteer homeowner action |
| | | | | | | | | | | and/or legislative action. Currently |
| | | | | | | | | | | unregulated. |
| | 1,500 acres retired | Landowners/ | York County | 2025 | Lack of economic/ | Federal/State | Federal/Stat | 12 dedicated | \$1.2M | 08/22: Updates remains the same. Ag |
| | | Operators, | | | regulatory | /local agency | e/local | trained staff | annually for | preservation tactics remain the same |
| | | Federal/State/ | | | incentives; | trained staff, | agency | to educate, | dedicated | through Ag Land Preservation, Farms and |
| | | local agencies, | | | insufficient | private | grants/ | sell programs | · | Natural Lands Trust, Open Space |
| | | Private | | | resources for data | company | programs, | to farmers/ | \$100,000 | Program. See FieldDoc/Practicekeeper |
| | | consultants | | | collection, design, | trained staff | private | landowners, | each) | for numerical details. |
| | | | | | implementation | | grants; | develop | | |
| 2.15 | Land Retirement to | | | | and verification | | Also Ag Land | plans, and | | 09/21: No new update. FieldDoc metrics |
| | Ag Open Space | | | | | | Preservation | implement | | are "Acres of retired land" 1,084/3,009 |
| | | | | | | | Board and | BMPs | | or 36% progress. Whereas the York CAP |
| | | | | | | | Farm/Natura | | | has this metric as 1,500 acres retired. |
| | | | | | | | I Lands Trust | | | See reporting provided by Ag Land |
| | | | | | | | | | | Preserve for more details. |
| | | | | | | | | | | 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical |
| | | | | | | | | | | · · |
| | | | | | | | | | | details. Ideally, marginal Ag land should |

| | | | | | | | | | | be target for completion of this Action. As individual farmers that retire some of their land, unless they notify, we will not know. If numbers are not reported to FieldDoc, we need to uncover where they are reported through. We need to determine how Land Retirement is being captured. The programs could help us to identify where the Land Retirement has occurred. Other ways this goal will be reached are through Ag preserve programs, farm and natural lands and open space program. |
|------|----------------------------|---|-------------|------|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| 2.16 | Nutrient Management Core N | Landowners/ operators, Federal/State/ local agencies, Private consultants, fertilizer suppliers | York County | 2025 | Lack of economic/ regulatory incentives; insufficient resources for data collection, design, implementation and verification; need coordination with fertilizer reps; landowner participation | Federal/State / local agency trained staff, private company trained staff | Federal/Stat e/local agency grants/ programs, private grants | See Action #2.15 for needs to implement Ag BMP Action #'s 2.15 thru 2.39 | Included in \$1.2M annually for 12 dedicated staff from Action # 2.15 | o8/22: Several "Ag Field Days" occurred in 2022 where attendees could hear from an array of speakers and vendors such as: 4R Alliance, Agri-King, Horizon Farm Credit, Alliance for the Bay, Atlantic Tractor, CWQE, NRCS, Chesapeake Bay Foundation, Pasa Sustainable Agriculture, PA Soil Health Coalition, The Mill, Willard Agri-Service, WAY, YCALPB, YCCD, and more. Presentations were given by industry professionals and farmers alike on topics such as nutrient management and no-till practices. All events were well received and several project leads were made. For numerical update see FieldDoc/PracticeKeeper. This update applies to Action 2.16 through 2.32. O9/21: No new update. FieldDoc is reporting 24,813.00/185,000.00 or 13% progress. See PracticeKeeper for details. 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical details. Looking at forming partnership with 4R Alliance as this is a big opportunity for York County nutrient reduction. 4R Alliance is in progress of outreaching to and educating farmers. Potential funding for this Action includes: state nutrient management act program, manure management plans, CD (open space |

| 2.17 Nutrient Management Core P | 88,400 acres | Landowners/ operators, Federal/State/ local agencies, Private consultants, fertilizer suppliers | York County | 2025 | Lack of economic/ regulatory incentives; insufficient resources for data collection, design, implementation, and verification; need coordination with fertilizer reps; landowner participation | Federal/State /local agency trained staff, private company trained staff | Federal/Stat e/local agency grants/ programs, private grants | See Action #2.15 for needs to implement Ag BMP Action #'s 2.15 thru 2.33 | Included in \$1.2M annually for 12 dedicated staff from Action # 2.15 | program and county funding), landowners, agencies, NRCS. The concept has been accepted and we will be submitting a joint funding application to the Community Foundation early 2021. 08/22: See update for Action 2.16. 09/21: No new update. FieldDoc is reporting 3,319/88,400 or 4%. See PracticeKeeper for details. 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical details. Looking at forming partnership with 4R Alliance as this is a big opportunity for York County nutrient reduction. 4R Alliance is in progress of outreaching to and educating farmers. Plans are captured through Practice Keeper and through NRCS system. There is no known other mechanism for reporting Plans. Potential funding for this Action includes: | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|---|-------------|------|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Nutrient 2.18 Management N Rate | 88,400 acres | Landowners/ operators, Federal/State/ local agencies, Private consultants, fertilizer suppliers Landowners/ operators, | | 2025 | Lack of economic/ regulatory incentives; insufficient resources for data collection, design, implementation and verification; need coordination with fertilizer reps; landowner participation Lack of economic/ regulatory | Federal /State/ local agency trained staff, private company trained staff | Federal/Stat e/local agency grants/ programs, private grants Federal/Stat e/local | See Action #2.15 for needs to implement Ag BMP Action #'s 2.15 thru 2.33 | Included in \$1.2M annually for 12 dedicated staff from Action # 2.15 | state nutrient management act program, manure management plans, CD funding, landowners, agencies, NRCS. 08/22: See update for Action 2.16. 09/21: No new update. FieldDoc is reporting 1,164/88,400 or 1%. See PracticeKeeper for details. 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical details. Looking at forming partnership with 4R Alliance as this is a big opportunity for York County nutrient reduction. 4R Alliance is in progress of outreaching to and educating farmers. Potential funding for this Action includes: state nutrient management act program, manure management plans, CD funding, landowners, agencies, NRCS. 08/22: See update for Action 2.16. | |

| | | local agencies, Private consultants, fertilizer suppliers | | | insufficient resources for data collection, design, implementation and verification; need coordination with fertilizer reps; landowner participation | trained staff, private company trained staff | grants/ programs, private grants | implement Ag BMP Action #'s 2.15 thru 2.33 | 12 dedicated staff from Action # 2.15 | 09/21: No new update. FieldDoc is reporting 0/88,400 or 0%. See PracticeKeeper for details. 12/20: Looking at forming partnership with 4R Alliance as this is a big opportunity for York County nutrient reduction. 4R Alliance is in progress of outreaching to and educating farmers. Potential funding for this Action includes: state nutrient management act program, manure management plans, CD funding, landowners, agencies, NRCS. |
|------|--|---|-------------|------|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| 2.20 | 7illage Management- High Residue | Landowners/ operators, Federal/State/ local agencies, Private consultants | York County | 2025 | Lack of economic/ regulatory incentives; insufficient resources for data collection, design, implementation, and verification | Federal/ State /local agency trained staff, private company trained staff | Federal/Stat e/local agency grants/ programs, private grants | See Action #2.15 for needs to implement Ag BMP Action #'s 2.15 thru 2.33 | Included in \$1.2M annually for 12 dedicated staff from Action # 2.15 | 08/22: See update for Action 2.16. 09/21: No new update. FieldDoc is reporting 45,372.00/90,000.00 or 50%. See PracticeKeeper for details. 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical details. Potential funding for this Action includes: state nutrient management act program, manure management plans, CD (open space program and county funding), landowners, agencies, NRCS. |
| 2.21 | Tillage Management-Conservation | Landowners/ operators, Federal/State/ local agencies, Private consultants | York County | 2025 | Lack of economic/ regulatory incentives; insufficient resources for data collection, design, implementation, and verification | Federal/ State /local agency trained staff, private company trained staff | Federal/Stat e/local agency grants/ programs, private grants | See Action #2.15 for needs to implement Ag BMP Action #'s 2.15 thru 2.33 | Included in \$1.2M annually for 12 dedicated staff from Action # 2.15 | 08/22: See update for Action 2.16. 09/21: No new update. FieldDoc is reporting 57,382.00/35,000.00 or 164% See PracticeKeeper for details. 12/20: The goal for this Action has been exceeded in year 1. See FieldDoc for numerical details. Potential funding for this Action includes: state nutrient management act program, manure management plans, CD (open space program and county funding), landowners, agencies, NRCS. |
| 2.22 | 55,000 acres planted Cover Crops Traditional | Landowners/ operators, Federal/State/ local agencies, Private consultants | York County | 2025 | Lack of economic/ regulatory incentives; insufficient resources for data collection, design, | Federal/ State/ local agency trained staff, private | Federal/Stat e/local agency grants/ programs, | See Action #2.15 for needs to implement Ag BMP Action #'s | Included in \$1.2M annually for 12 dedicated staff from Action # 2.15 | 08/22: See update for Action 2.16. 09/21: No new update. See PracticeKeeper for details. |

| | | | | | | implementation, | company | private | 2.15 thru | | 12:20: See FieldDoc for numerical |
|------|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-------------|------|---------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|------------|---------------|--|
| | | | | | | and verification | trained staff | grants | 2.39 | | details. Potential funding for this Action |
| | | | | | | and vermedion | tranica stan | grants | 2.33 | | includes: conservation plans, CEG, |
| | | | | | | | | | | | EQUIP, CSP (conservation stewardship |
| | | | | | | | | | | | program), REAP, RCPP. The CEG program |
| | | | | | | | | | | | being administered by YCCD will be |
| | | | | | | | | | | | particularly helpful towards this Action. |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Other partners include the Farm Bureau, |
| | | | | | | | | | | | MWS, etc. The actionable outcomes is |
| | | | | | | | | | | | the BMP is implemented and reported |
| | | | | | | | | | | | through Practice Keeper. |
| | | 3,000 animal units | Landowners/ | York County | 2025 | Lack of economic/ | Federal/ | Federal/Stat | See Action | Included in | 08/22: See update for Action 2.16. |
| | | 5,000 animal units | operators, | TOTA County | 2023 | regulatory | State/ local | e/local | #2.15 for | \$1.2M | 00/22. See update for Action 2.10. |
| | | | Federal/State/ | | | incentives; | | | needs to | annually for | 09/21: No new update. See |
| | | | local agencies, | | | insufficient | agency trained staff, | agency grants/ | implement | 12 dedicated | PracticeKeeper for details. |
| 2.23 | Animal Waste | | Private | | | resources for data | private | programs, | Ag BMP | staff from | rracticeReeper for details. |
| 2.23 | Management System | | consultants | | | collection, design, | company | programs, private | Action #'s | Action # 2.15 | 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical |
| | | | consultants | | | implementation, | trained staff | grants | 2.15 thru | Action # 2.15 | details. Potential funding for the Action |
| | | | | | | and verification | trained stair | grants | 2.39 | | includes: conservation plans, CEG, |
| | | | | | | and vermeation | | | 2.33 | | EQUIP, REAP, RCPP, PENNVEST, EXELON. |
| | | 70 acres | Landowners/ | York County | 2025 | Lack of economic/ | Federal/ | Federal/Stat | See Action | Included in | 08/22: See update for Action 2.16. |
| | | 70 acres | operators, | TOTA County | 2023 | regulatory | State/ local | e/local | #2.15 for | \$1.2M | 00/22. See update for Action 2.10. |
| | | | Federal/State/ | | | incentives; | agency | agency | needs to | annually for | 09/21: No new update. See |
| | | | local agencies, | | | insufficient | trained staff, | grants/ | implement | 12 dedicated | PracticeKeeper for details. |
| | Barnyard Runoff | | Private | | | resources for data | private | programs, | Ag BMP | staff from | FracticeReeper for details. |
| 2.24 | Control | | consultants | | | collection, design, | • | programs, private | Action #'s | Action # 2.15 | 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical |
| | Control | | Consultants | | | implementation, | company trained staff | grants | 2.15 thru | Action # 2.13 | details. |
| | | | | | | and verification | trained stair | grants | 2.39 | | Potential funding for the Action includes: |
| | | | | | | and verification | | | 2.33 | | conservation plans, CEG, EQUIP, REAP, |
| | | | | | | | | | | | RCPP, PENNVEST, EXELON. |
| | | 16,000 acres | Landowners/ | York County | 2025 | Lack of economic/ | Federal/ | Federal/Stat | See Action | Included in | 08/22: See update for Action 2.16. |
| | | 10,000 ucies | operators, | TOTA COUNTY | 2023 | regulatory | State/ local | e/local | #2.15 for | \$1.2M | obj 22. See apadic for Action 2.10. |
| | | | Federal/State/ | | | incentives; | agency | agency | needs to | annually for | 09/21: No new update. FieldDoc is |
| | | | local agencies, | | | insufficient | trained staff, | grants/ | implement | 12 dedicated | reporting 1,142.00/16,000.00 or 7% |
| | Precision Intensive | | Private | | | resources for data | private | programs, | Ag BMP | staff from | See PracticeKeeper for details. |
| 2.25 | Rotational/ | | consultants | | | collection, design, | company | programs, private | Action #'s | Action # 2.15 | see Fractice Reeper for details. |
| 2.23 | Prescribed Grazing | | Consultants | | | implementation, | trained staff | grants | 2.15 thru | Action # 2.15 | 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical |
| | Trescribed Grazing | | | | | and verification | trained stair | grants | 2.39 | | details. Potential funding for the Action |
| | | | | | | and verification | | | 2.33 | | includes: conservation plans (pasture |
| | | | | | | | | | | | management practices come from |
| | | | | | | | | | | | plans), Capital RC+D, same as above. |
| | | 5,000 linear feet | Landowners/ | York County | 2025 | Lack of economic/ | Federal/ | Federal/Stat | See Action | Included in | 08/22: See update for Action 2.16. |
| | | restored | operators, | TOTA COUNTY | 2023 | regulatory | State/ local | e/local | #2.15 for | \$1.2M | 00/22. See upuate for Action 2.10. |
| 2.26 | Non-Urban Stream | restored | Federal/State | | | incentives; | agency | agency | needs to | annually for | 09/21: No new update. See |
| 2.20 | Restoration | | (DEP)/ local | | | resources for data | trained staff, | grants/ | implement | 12 dedicated | PracticeKeeper for details. |
| | | | 1 | | | | | _ | i i | 12 dedicated | r racticenceper for details. |
| | | | agencies, | | | collection, design, | private | programs, | Ag BMP | | |

| | | | Duitanta | | | ! | | | A -+: 41/- | -t-ff f | 12/20: Cas FieldDas for remarked | |
|------|----------------------|--------------|-----------------|-------------|------|--|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | Private | | | implementation | company | private | Action #'s | staff from | 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical | |
| | | | consultants | | | and verification; | trained staff | grants | 2.15 thru | Action # 2.15 | details. Potential funding for the Action | |
| | | | | | | DEP permitting | | | 2.39 | | includes: CEG, EQUIP, REAP, PENNVEST, | |
| | | | | | | system | | | | | EXELON, DCNR, Growing Greener, 319. | |
| | | | | | | delays/protocol | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | needs resolution | | | | | | |
| | | 6,000 acres | Landowners/ | York County | 2025 | Lack of economic/ | Federal/ | Federal/Stat | See Action | Included in | 08/22: See update for Action 2.16. | |
| | | | operators, | | | regulatory | State/ local | e/local | #2.15 for | \$1.2M | | |
| | | | Federal/State/ | | | incentives; | agency | agency | needs to | annually for | 09/21: No new update. FieldDoc is | |
| | | | local agencies, | | | Insufficient | trained staff, | grants/ | implement | 12 dedicated | reporting 195.00/6,248.00 or 3% | |
| | | | Private | | | resources for data | private | programs, | Ag BMP | staff from | See PracticeKeeper for details. | |
| | | | | | | | · | 1 | _ | | See FracticeReeper for details. | |
| 2.27 | C D (((A) | | consultants | | | collection, design, | company | private | Action #'s | Action # 2.15 | 43/20 Co. Field Des Co | |
| 2.27 | Grass Buffer (Ag) | | | | | Implementation, | trained staff | grants | 2.15 thru | | 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical | |
| | | | | | | and verification; | | | 2.39 | | details. Potential funding and | |
| | | | | | | Develop simpler | | | | | implementation of this Action include: | |
| | | | | | | variation of CREP | | | | | CEG, EQUIP, REAP, PENNVEST, EXELON, | |
| | | | | | | program | | | | | DCNR, Buffer My Stream, CBF, Alliance | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | for Bay, Game Commission, MWS | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Program. | |
| | | 6,000 acres | Landowners/ | York County | 2025 | Lack of economic/ | Federal/ | Federal/Stat | See Action | Included in | 08/22: See update for Action 2.16. | |
| | | | operators, | · | | regulatory | State/ local | e/local | #2.15 for | \$1.2M | | |
| | | | Federal/State/ | | | incentives; | agency | agency | needs to | annually for | 09/21: No new update. FieldDoc is | |
| | | | local agencies, | | | Insufficient | trained staff, | grants/ | implement | 12 dedicated | reporting 430.00/6,975.00 or 6% | |
| | | | Private | | | resources for data | private | programs, | Ag BMP | staff from | See PracticeKeeper for details. | |
| | | | consultants | | | | · | private | Action #'s | Action # 2.15 | See FracticeReeper for details. | |
| 2.20 | Faurat Duffau (A.a.) | | Consultants | | | collection, design, | company | · · | | ACCION# 2.13 | 12/20: Cas FieldDas fan mussanisel | |
| 2.28 | Forest Buffer (Ag) | | | | | Implementation, | trained staff | grants | 2.15 thru | | 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical | |
| | | | | | | and verification; | | | 2.39 | | details. Potential funding and | |
| | | | | | | Develop simpler | | | | | implementation of this Action include: | |
| | | | | | | variation of CREP | | | | | CEG, EQUIP, REAP, PENNVEST, EXELON, | |
| | | | | | | program | | | | | DCNR, Buffer My Stream, CBF, Alliance | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | for Bay, Game Commission, MWS | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Program. | |
| | | 10,000 acres | Landowners/ | York County | 2025 | Lack of economic/ | Federal/ | Federal/Stat | See Action | Included in | 08/22: No new update. See | |
| | | | operators, | | | regulatory | State/ local | e/local | #2.15 for | \$1.2M | PracticeKeeper for details. | |
| | | | Federal/State/ | | | incentives; | agency | agency | needs to | annually for | · | |
| | | | local agencies, | | | Insufficient | trained staff, | grants/ | implement | 12 dedicated | 09/21: No new update. See | |
| | | | Private | | | resources for data | private | programs, | Ag BMP | staff from | PracticeKeeper for details. | |
| | | | consultants | | | collection, design, | company | private | Action #'s | Action # 2.15 | The second of th | |
| | Manure | | Constituties | | | implementation, | trained staff | grants | 2.15 thru | 7 (01) 11 2.13 | 12/20: A system for collecting this | |
| 2.29 | | | | | | and verification | danieu staii | grants | | | | |
| | Incorporation | | | | | and vernication | | | 2.39 | | information is unknown. Reporting of | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | manure incorporation happens through | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | manure and nutrient management plans, | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | utilizing Practice Keeper to report | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Manure Incorporation is sufficient but it | |
| | | | | | | The second secon | | The second secon | The second secon | The second secon | The state of the s | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | is important to note that nothing from | |

| | | | | | | | | | | manure is worked in. The law does not say that it needs to be tilled in. There is no specific way to account for acres of manure incorporated. Potential funding and implementation for this Action includes: conservation plans, nutrient plans, nutrient management programs | |
|------|--|---|-------------|------|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| 2.30 | Off Stream Watering without fencing | Landowners/ operators, Federal/State/ local agencies, Private consultants | York County | 2025 | Lack of economic/ regulatory incentives; Insufficient resources for data collection, design, implementation, and verification | Federal/ State/ local agency trained staff, private company trained staff | Federal/Stat e/local agency grants/ programs, private grants | See Action #2.15 for needs to implement Ag BMP Action #'s 2.15 thru 2.39 | Included in \$1.2M annually for 12 dedicated staff from Action # 2.15 | 08/22: See update for Action 2.16. 09/21: No new update. See PracticeKeeper for details. 12/20: Potential funding and implementation for this Action includes: conservation plans, nutrient plans, nutrient management programs, Capital RC+D, CREP. See Action 2.25 | |
| 2.31 | Tree Planting | Landowners/ operators, Federal/State/ local agencies, Private consultants | York County | 2025 | Lack of economic/ regulatory incentives; Insufficient resources for data collection, design, implementation, and verification | Federal/ State/ local agency trained staff, private company trained staff | Federal/Stat e/local agency grants/ programs, private grants | See Action #2.15 for needs to implement Ag BMP Action #'s 2.15 thru 2.39 | Included in \$1.2M annually for 12 dedicated staff from Action # 2.15 | O8/22: York County is a Keystone 10 Million Trees program partner. Through this effort, many tree plantings and riparian forest buffers have been planted. This program will go through 2025 and York County plans on continuing the partnership for the life of the program. See FieldDoc/PracticeKeeper for numerical details. O9/21: No new update. See PracticeKeeper for details. 12/20: Financial support for these efforts typically are coming from DCNR or through our Alliance for the Chesapeake Bay partners on the Project Implementation Action Team. Other funding sources include municipalities and landowners. | |
| 2.32 | | Landowners/ operators, Federal/State/ local agencies, Private consultants | York County | 2025 | Lack of economic/ regulatory incentives; insufficient resources for data collection, design, implementation, | Federal/ State/ local agency trained staff, private company trained staff | Federal/Stat e/local agency grants/ programs, private grants | See Action #2.15 for needs to implement Ag BMP Action #'s | Included in \$1.2M annually for 12 dedicated staff from Action # 2.15 | 08/22: See update for Action 2.16. 09/21: No new update. FieldDoc is reporting 26,379.00/180,000.00 or 15%. See PracticeKeeper for details. | |

| | | | | | | and verification; lack of regulatory oversight and follow through | | | 2.15 thru 2.39 | | 12:20: See FieldDoc for numerical details. Other partners include the Farm Bureau, MWS, etc. The actionable outcomes is the BMP is implemented and reported through PracticeKeeper. Ag plans are reported through Practice Keeper. Plans are documented through funding sources (YCCD, NRCS, DEP reimbursement) or Land Preservation programs. Potential funding and implementation for this Action include: funding for getting plans written, CEG, | |
|------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|---|-------------|-----------|--|---|--|---|--|---|---|
| 2.33 | Wetland Restoration – Floodplain (ag) | 12 acres established | Landowners/ operators, Federal/State/ local agencies, Private consultants | York County | 2025 | Lack of economic/ regulatory incentives; insufficient resources for data collection, design, implementation, and verification; lack of regulatory oversight and follow through | Federal/ State/ local agency trained staff, private company trained staff | Federal/Stat e/local agency grants/ programs, private grants | See Action #2.15 for needs to implement Ag BMP Action #'s 2.15 thru 2.39 | Included in \$1.2M annually for 12 dedicated staff from Action # 2.15 | cost share money for farmers to pay for consultants, county funding, nutrient management program, Ag reimbursement program, REAP 08/22: A SRBC Consumptive Use grant was acquired for York County in 2022 that includes Ag wetland work. See FieldDoc/PracticeKeeper for numerical details. 09/21: No new update. See PracticeKeeper for details. 12:20: Potential funding and implementation for this Action include: CREP, EQUIP, wetland reserve program, CEG, Growing Greener, EXELON, 319, consultants and mitigation projects, | |
| 2.34 | Erosion & Sediment Control Level 2 | 2,500 acres | YCCD | Countywide | 2019-2025 | DEP enforcement | 4 staff | | Enforcement | | NRCS. 08/22: Program progress continues. No new update. See FieldDoc/PracticeKeeper for numerical details. 09/21: No new update. FieldDoc is reporting 930.21/2,500 or 37%. See PracticeKeeper for details. 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical details. See numbers reported to DEP. Need more consistent enforcement. | Priority Initiative 3 was eliminated and Action was moved to Priority Initiative 2 and renumbered |
| 2.35 | Dirt & Gravel Road (DGR) Erosion & | 31,680 feet | YCCD | Countywide | 2019-2025 | Timely DEP GP-11 reviews | 1 staff | \$623,801/yr | | Double State Conservation | 08/22: Program progress continues. No new update. See | Priority Initiative 3 |

| | Sediment Control- Driving Surface Aggregate + Raising the Roadbed [includes Low Volume Road (LVR) projects] | | | | | | | | | Commission LVR annual allocation | FieldDoc/PracticeKeeper for numerical details. 09/21: No new update. See PracticeKeeper for details. 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical details. See SCC GIS numbers. The District has already committed the 2020-21 DGR and LVR funding allocations toward projects to be completed next year. | was eliminated and Action was moved to Priority Initiative 2 and renumbered |
|------|--|--------------|------|------------|-----------|--|-----------------------------------|--------------|-----------|--|---|---|
| 2.36 | Dirt & Gravel Road Erosion & Sediment Control - Driving Surface Aggregate with Outlets (includes LVR projects) | 168,960 feet | YCCD | Countywide | 2019-2025 | Timely DEP GP-11 reviews | Included under Action #2.35 | | | Included under Action #2.35 | 08/22: Program progress continues. No new update. See FieldDoc/PracticeKeeper for numerical details. 09/21: No new update. See PracticeKeeper for details. 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical details. See SCC GIS numbers. The District has already committed the 2020-21 DGR and LVR funding allocations toward projects to be completed next year. | Priority Initiative 3 was eliminated and Action was moved to Priority Initiative 2 and renumbered |
| 2.37 | Dirt & Gravel Road Erosion & Sediment Control - Outlets only (includes LVR projects) | 31,680 feet | YCCD | Countywide | 2019-2025 | Timely DEP GP-11 reviews | Included under Action #2.35 | | | Included under Action #2.35 | 08/22: Program progress continues. No new update. See FieldDoc/PracticeKeeper for numerical details. 09/21: No new update. See PracticeKeeper for details. 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical details. See SCC GIS numbers. The District has already committed the 2020-21 DGR and LVR funding allocations toward projects to be completed next year. | Priority Initiative 3 was eliminated and Action was moved to Priority Initiative 2 and renumbered |
| 2.38 | Forest Buffer | 20 acres | YCCD | Countywide | 2019-2025 | Dedicated education & outreach staff | 0.5 staff | \$225,000/yr | 0.5 staff | \$25,000/yr | 08/22: York County is a Keystone 10 Million Trees program partner. Through this effort, many tree plantings and riparian forest buffers have been planted. This program will go through 2025 and York County plans on | Priority Initiative 3 was eliminated and Action was moved |

| | | | | | | | | | | | continuing the partnership for the life of the program. See FieldDoc/ PracticeKeeper for numerical details. 09/21: No new update. See PracticeKeeper for details. 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical details. | to Priority Initiative 2 and renumbered |
|------|--|-----------|------|------------|-----------|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| 2.39 | Forest Buffer- Streamside with Exclusion Fencing | 2.5 acres | YCCD | Countywide | 2019-2025 | Dedicated education & outreach staff | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | See PracticeKeeper. 08/22: York County is a Keystone 10 Million Trees program partner. Through this effort, many tree plantings and riparian forest buffers have been planted. This program will go through 2025 and York County plans on continuing the partnership for the life of the program. See FieldDoc/ PracticeKeeper for numerical details. 09/21: No new update. See PracticeKeeper for details. | Priority Initiative 3 was eliminated and Action was moved to Priority Initiative 2 and renumbered |
| 2.40 | Grass Buffer – Streamside with Exclusion Fencing | 5 acres | YCCD | Countywide | 2019-2025 | Dedicated education & outreach staff | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | details. Need willing landowners. Targeted outreach. 08/22: No new update. See PracticeKeeper for details. 09/21: No new update. See PracticeKeeper for details. 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical details. Need willing landowners. Targeted outreach. | Priority Initiative 3 was eliminated and Action was moved to Priority Initiative 2 and renumbered |
| 2.41 | Forest Buffer – Narrow with Exclusion Fencing | 2.5 acres | YCCD | Countywide | 2019-2025 | Dedicated education & outreach staff | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | 08/22: York County is a Keystone 10 Million Trees program partner. Through this effort, many tree plantings and riparian forest buffers have been planted. This program will go through 2025 and York County plans on continuing the partnership for the life of the program. See | Priority Initiative 3 was eliminated and Action was moved to Priority Initiative 2 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | FieldDoc/PracticeKeeper for numerical details. | and renumbered |
|------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|------|------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | 09/21: No new update. See PracticeKeeper for details. | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical details. Need willing landowners. Targeted | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | outreach. | |
| | Grass Buffer – | 5 acres | YCCD | Countywide | 2019-2025 | Dedicated education & outreach staff | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | 08/22: No new update. See PracticeKeeper for details. 09/21: No new update. See | Priority Initiative 3 was eliminated |
| 2.42 | Narrow with | | | | | | | | | | PracticeKeeper for details. | and Action was moved |
| | Exclusion Fencing | | | | | | | | | | 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical details. | to Priority Initiative 2 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Need willing landowners. Targeted outreach. | and renumbered |
| | | 5 acres | YCCD | Countywide | 2019-2025 | Dedicated education & | Included under Action | Included under Action | Included under Action | Included under Action | 08/22: No new update. See PracticeKeeper for details. | Priority Initiative 3 |
| | | | | | | outreach staff | #2.38 | #2.38 | #2.38 | #2.38 | 09/21: No new update. See PracticeKeeper for details. | was eliminated and Action |
| 2.43 | Grass Buffer | | | | | | | | | | · | was moved |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical details. | to Priority Initiative 2 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Need willing landowners. Targeted outreach. | and renumbered |
| | | 5 acres | YCCD | Countywide | 2019-2025 | Dedicated | Included | Included | Included | Included | 08/22: No new update. See | Priority |
| | | | | | | education & outreach staff | under Action #2.38 | under Action #2.38 | under Action #2.38 | under Action #2.38 | PracticeKeeper for details. | Initiative 3 |
| 2.44 | Grass Buffer- Narrow | | | | | | | | | | 09/21: No new update. See PracticeKeeper for details. | eliminated and Action |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical details. Need willing landowners. Targeted | was moved to Priority Initiative 2 and |
| | | | | | | | | | | | outreach. | renumbered |
| 2.45 | Non Urban Stream Restoration - | 73,500 linear feet | YCCD | Countywide | 2019-2025 | Timely DEP GP-11 reviews | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | 08/22: Grants obtained in 2022 have components of Non Urban Stream Restoration – Floodplain. A grant obtained in 2022 is for the study of 4 | Priority Initiative 3 was eliminated |
| | Floodplain | | | | | | | | | | miles of restoration – floodplain. For | and Action was moved |

| | | | | | | | | | | | numerical updates see PracticeKeeper / FieldDoc for details. 09/21: No new update. See PracticeKeeper for details. 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical details. Need willing landowners. Targeted outreach. | to Priority Initiative 2 and renumbered |
|------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|------|-------------|-----------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| 2.46 | Urban Stream Restoration | 7,000 linear feet | YCCD | Countywide | 2019-2025 | Timely DEP GP-11 reviews | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | 08/22: CAP funded stream restoration projects that have been completed in 2021-2022 are Barshinger Creek Stream Restoration and Pine Run Stream Restoration. Three more are planned for the 2022 CAP funding. See FieldDoc and CAP reimbursement for more information. Grant funding obtained in 2022 will go towards the restoration of Willis Run. For numerical details see PracticeKeeper / FieldDoc / MS4 reporting. 09/21: No new update. See PracticeKeeper for details. | Priority Initiative 3 was eliminated and Action was moved to Priority Initiative 2 and renumbered |
| | | 7 agras | VCCD | Countravido | 2010 2025 | Timoly DED CD 11 | Included | Included | Included | Included | 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical details. Need willing landowners. Targeted outreach. | Driority |
| 2.47 | Wetland Restoration - Headwater | 7 acres | YCCD | Countywide | 2019-2025 | Timely DEP GP-11 reviews | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | 08/22: See Action 2.33. See PracticeKeeper for details. 09/21: No new update. See PracticeKeeper for details. 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical details. Need willing landowners. Targeted outreach. | Priority Initiative 3 was eliminated and Action was moved to Priority Initiative 2 and renumbered |
| 2.48 | Wetland Restoration - Floodplain | 7 acres | YCCD | Countywide | 2019-2025 | Timely DEP GP-11 reviews | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | 08/22: See Action 2.33. See PracticeKeeper for details. 09/21: No new update. See PracticeKeeper for details. | Priority Initiative 3 was eliminated and Action was moved to Priority |

| | | | | | | | | | | | 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical details. Need willing landowners. Targeted outreach. | Initiative 2 and renumbered |
|------|--------------------|---------|---|------------|-----------|------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| | | 7 acres | YCCD | Countywide | 2019-2025 | Timely DEP GP-11 | Included | Included | Included | Included | 08/22: See Action 2.33. See | Priority |
| 2.49 | | | | | | reviews | under Action | under Action | under Action | under Action | PracticeKeeper for details. | Initiative 3 |
| | | | | | | | #2.38 | #2.38 | #2.38 | #2.38 | | was |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 09/21: No new update. See | eliminated |
| | Wetland Creation - | | | | | | | | | | PracticeKeeper for details. | and Action |
| | Floodplain | | | | | | | | | | | was moved |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical | to Priority |
| | | | | | | | | | | | details. | Initiative 2 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Need willing landowners. Targeted | and |
| | | | | | | | | | | | outreach. | renumbered |
| 2.50 | | 7 acres | YCCD | Countywide | 2019-2025 | Timely DEP GP-11 | Included | Included | Included | Included | 08/22: See Action 2.33. See | Priority |
| | | | | | | reviews | under Action | under Action | under Action | under Action | PracticeKeeper for details. | Initiative 3 |
| | | | | | | | #2.38 | #2.38 | #2.38 | #2.38 | | was |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 09/21: No new update. See | eliminated |
| | Wetland Creation - | | | | | | | | | | PracticeKeeper for details. | and Action |
| | Headwater | | | | | | | | | | 10/00 0 7: 115 6 | was moved |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical | to Priority |
| | | | | | | | | | | | details. | Initiative 2 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Need willing landowners. Targeted | and |
| | | _ | \ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \ | | 2010 2007 | T | | | | | outreach. | renumbered |
| 2.51 | | 7 acres | YCCD | Countywide | 2019-2025 | Timely DEP GP-11 | Included | Included | Included | Included | 08/22: See Action 2.33. See | Priority |
| | | | | | | reviews | under Action | under Action | under Action | under Action | PracticeKeeper for details. | Initiative 3 |
| | | | | | | | #2.38 | #2.38 | #2.38 | #2.38 | 00/24 No. 10 July 6 1 | was |
| | Matter d | | | | | | | | | | 09/21: No new update. See | eliminated |
| | Wetland | | | | | | | | | | PracticeKeeper for details. | and Action |
| | Enhancement | | | | | | | | | | 12/20. Con Field Don for recovered | was moved |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical details. | to Priority |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Need willing landowners. Targeted | Initiative 2 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 9 | and renumbered |
| | | | | | | | | | | | outreach. | renumbered |

Phase 3 Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP) Progress and Milestones Template

Each county-based local area will use this template to identify:

- 1. Inputs These are both existing and needed resources, public and private, to implement the identified priority initiative. These include both technical and financial resources, such as personnel, supplies, equipment and funding.
- 2. Process what is each partner able to do where and by when. These are the action items listed under each priority initiative.
- 3. Outputs and outcomes both short and long-term. These are the priority initiatives identified by each county. The performance targets are the intermediate indicators that will measure progress.
- 4. Implementation challenges any potential issues or roadblocks to implementation that could impede outputs and outcomes.

Asterisk: Place an asterisk next to the action number(s) for action items that appear in both the County Planning and Progress Template and the Programmatic Recommendations Template.

For each Priority Initiative or Program Element: Use the fields, as defined below, to identify the inputs and the process that will be followed to achieve each priority initiative. This is the "who, what, where, when and how" of the plan:

Description = What. This may include programs that address prevention, education, or as specific as planned BMP installations that will address the Priority Initiative. A programmatic or policy effort will require some ability to quantify the anticipated benefits which will allow calculation of the associated nutrient reductions.

Performance Target = How. This is an extension of the Description above. The Performance Target details the unique BMPs that will result from implementation of the Priority Initiative and serves as a benchmark to track progress in addressing the Priority Initiative. Performance Targets may be spread across multiple Responsible Parties, Geographies, and Timelines based on the specifics of the Initiative.

Responsible Party(ies) = Who. This is/are the key partner(s) who will implement the action items though outreach, assistance or funding, and who will be responsible for delivering the identified programs or practices.

Geographic Location = Where. This field identifies the geographic range of the Priority Initiative, range of the Responsible Party, or planned funding/resources. *NOTE: Resource limitations alone should not limit potential implementation as additional funding may become available in the future.*

Expected Timeline = When. Provide the expected completion date for the planned activity. This should be a reasonable expectation, based on knowledge and experience, that will aid in tracking progress toward addressing the Priority Initiative.

Resources Available: Technical & Funding = This field will note technical and financial resources secured/available to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the resources identified in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole; or, if available, to each action.

Resources Needed: Technical & Funding = This field will note technical and financial resources needed/outstanding to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the additional resources projected and identified as needed in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole; or, if possible, to each action.

Potential Implementation Challenges/Issues = This field will note challenges and issues that may delay program implementation (Description).

Progress to Date = This field will be used for annual reporting on priority initiatives. This is a description of the action(s) your county took toward achieving the priority initiative. Examples include education and outreach, programmatic changes, etc. For numeric priority initiatives your county can retrieve those numbers directly from *FieldDoc* or put in the column "See *FieldDoc*."

Reason for Change to Action Item = This field will be used for two-year milestone updates. This field allows for your county to adjust your original targets and goals based on progress to date. Your county may adjust goals and targets up or down based on your progress to date. This field requires a reason as to the reason of change, whether up or down.