| | <u>Green</u> - action has I | been completed or is moving | forward as planned | d <u>Yellow</u> - action | n has encountered n | ninor obstacles <u>Red</u> - a | action has not beer | n taken or has en | countered a serio | us barrier High | nlight changes for 2022-2023 milestone | <mark>period</mark> |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|---|--------------------------|---------------------------------|---|--|--|---------------------------------------|--|---|--|
| action # Green rellow Red | Description | Performance Target(s) | Responsible Party(ies) and Partnerships | Geographic Location | Expected Timeline | Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations | Resources | <u>Available</u> | Resourc | es <u>Needed</u> | Annual Progress to Date (2020 + 2021) *add new 2021 progress above the existing 2020 progress. Date each entry | Reason for Change to Action Item And Future Plans (2022 2023 milestone period) |
| | | | | | | | Technical | Financial | Technical | Financial | | |
| riorit | y Initiative 1: Pro | ogrammatic Initiativ | ves | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.1 | Potential formation of a Countywide Stormwater Authority | This effort could potentially establish an implementation and funding mechanism. | York County Commissioners | York County | 2019 – created 2021 – active | SWA Implementation Plan to be presented to Co Commissioners in early 2019; challenge is educating and garnering support of municipalities/ public | Would provide technical support staff to implement water quality initiatives across the County | Grant for developing Implementat ion Plan; Grant for mapping SW assets | As determined by Implementat ion Plan | As determined by Implementatio n Plan | 09/21: No new update. 12/20: Stormwater Authority Implementation Plan was presented to the County Commissioners; Opted to not form an Authority at this time; Other Plan recommendations, the WQMing and additional staffing for YCCD and YCPC, are being implemented as interim measures. | Revisit in the future |
| 1.2 | Implement County Comprehensive Plan policies and actions | Development directed to established growth areas; limited development and protection of natural resources in established rural areas | YCPC, municipalities, YCALPB, FNLT | York County | Ongoing | Educating municipalities, Updating local plans and ordinances, Growth areas not consistent with Census Urbanized Areas (MS4 Permit area is too limited) | Education, outreach | All YCPC Division Budgets | None | None | 09/21: No new update. 12/20: YCPC continues to implement the County Comprehensive Plan through review of municipal plans, land use ordinances, subdivision/ land development plans, permits, and grant applications; a new County Open Space & Land Preservation Committee was created to further protect ag and natural lands (YCALPB & FNLT reps serve on the Committee) The County Comprehensive Plan includes an Integrated Water Resources Plan (IWRP) component. Thus, through the review processes noted, the YCPC makes recommendations related to | Continue to Implement County Comprehen ve Plan |

| | | | | | | | | water quality that result in more BMPs being put on the ground that will reduce sediment and nutrients. The CAP is not only a tool to meet WIP 3 targets, but is also a tool to meet countywide water quality goals set for in the County Comprehensive Plan. Ag Conservation Plans are an integral part of land preservation easements and implementation of the Plans is continually monitored. Thus, preserving additional land through the YCALPB and FNLT will help to reach our CAP target. | |
|---|---|------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|---|--|--|---|---|
| Establish funding/staff support to assist the Agricultural community to develop and implement plans and BMP's to meet the York County Bay goals | Accomplish Action items 2.15 through 2.39 | YCPC, YCCD, Agricultural community | York County | 2019 - 2025 | No established statewide or county level system to track BMP reporting. Limited compliance activities by DEP. Lack of funding for staff to assist the ag community. | Experienced staff to assist ag operators to plan and implement BMPs; Staff to collect and report data. | 12 staff from all sources (9 technical staff and 3 supporting administrative and data collection/ verification staff (Total: \$1.2 m per year) | 09/21: The York County Community Foundation's Ag and Codorus Endowment Committees awarded YCPC funding for a 2 year Ag Outreach and BMP Implementation Pilot Program in a concentrated area of the Codorus Watershed. Part of the funding is for a part-time Ag Outreach Coordinator. YCPC contracted with Land Logics Group in August to provide this service. It is our hope that this pilot project will be successful and provide a model to replicate in other watersheds. Also, YCCD has filled their Licensed Engineer position and funding from York County and the CAP Agreement has been allocated to two Ag staff positions. 12/20: As of October 2020, YCCD has filled two of three new positions for technical staff through funding allocated by the County Commissioners. Two positions are funded through the CAP agreement, the third is coming from the Open Space Preservation funding. YCCD is still looking to fill a position for a licensed engineer. The job posting is live. The other 9 positions remain unfunded. These positions do not need to be only at YCCD, other avenues could be taken. | Continue building Ag Committee within WAY |

| 1.4 | Establish a real-time stream monitoring program | Enough real-time monitors to obtain base data and be able to trend sediment and nutrients in 8 Act 167 watersheds | York County in partnership with YCCD, SRBC & USGS | York County | 2023 | Funding; Sustainability; Data storage and analysis | Technical experts | In-Kind Services | County Technical/ Administrativ e Staff; Monitoring equipment; SRBC/USGS contracting | Funding for 2 staff persons; monitoring equipment; & SRBC/USGS contracts (\$5 million for 1st five years) | 09/21: No new update. The WQ Monitoring agreement between York County and USGS remains in place. 12/20: The County implemented a realtime monitoring program in 2019 through a funding agreement with USGS. The six monitors that are installed throughout the County monitor about 90% of the flow leaving York County and are collecting continuous data to measure nitrogen, phosphorus, and sediment; Data collected is analyzed & posted on the USGS website. County is seeking funding to offset its annual cost for operations/maintenance. | Continue program |
|-----|---|---|---|-------------|------|---|---|---|---|--|--|---|
| 2.1 | Establish a set of BMPs that will achieve desired pollutant reductions in an efficient and cost effective manner (See BMP template) | Achieve required pollutant reductions | YCCD, YCPC, municipalities, DEP, EPA | York County | 2025 | "Sectors"/arbitrary boundaries within municipalities requiring differing/ competing regulations; lack of understanding of local issues by State/ Federal regulators AND vice versa; Permitting delays/protocol needs resolution; Need to implement programmatic changes in State template | Included under Action #1.1 on State template; Plus YCCD/ NRCS/ private consultants for ag. technical assistance | Included under Action # 1.1 on State template | Included under Action #1.1 on State template; Plus more Ag. tech. staff funding for YCCD (3 staff) | Included under Action #1.1 on State template; Plus additional \$250K annually for YCCD staff | 09/21: York County feels as if we have established the best set of BMP's that can be implemented, tracked, reported, and managed in any degree by YCPC or YCCD. We did not include BMP's in this list that are totally out of the "control" of these agencies. Furthermore, it's important to note that, although many BMP's "fell out of the model," it resulted from lack of verification. This does not mean that our progress went backwards, but rather means that BMP verification needs to be properly addressed. Last year we were told our set of BMP's run through the CAST 2017 model could potentially reach 76% of our County goal. Now, the same set of BMP's run through the CAST 2019 model will potentially reach 79% of our County goal. That is progress. We continue to feel that implementation of the recommended State Programmatic Changes is vital to achieving the nitrogen reduction target. | The BMP's listed in this CAP will continue to be the focus BMP's. |

| | | | | | | | | | | | 12/20: These BMP's were established in the York County CAP and are Action | |
|---|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|---------|------|----------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|---|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | numbers 2.5 – 3.18. The extent of these | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | BMP's was a stretch goal and as such will | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | reduce 76% of the County's allocation. | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Furthermore, the CAP stresses that these | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | BMP's will not be achieved without | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | implementation of the Programmatic | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Changes recommended. | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Aside from this understanding, the | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Revenue Building Action Team spent | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | April – July of 2020 developing a | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | "funding map" spreadsheet which lists | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | all CAP Actions, identifies a potential | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | funding source, and takes into account | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | the total poundage of nitrogen reduction | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | per Action as well as price. This will be | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | tool that will be able to help York County | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | to determine which BMP's to focus on, | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | will be able to illustrate funding needs, | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | and inform the CAP Action Teams and | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | YCC4CW. The funding map looked at | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | prioritizing by cost and also by nutrient | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | reduction. To date the mapping tool has | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | been used to identify potential funding | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | source when assisting with willing | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | landowners who have reached out – 2 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | times. The full benefit of the funding | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | map is still to be realized. This exercise | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | will continue to develop in the years to | |
| - | | CL I LID !:! | N.A | NA | 2022 | Landard and the Care | Ed | F | 1 | I a dad | come to complete this Action. | |
| | Encourage and | GI and LID provisions | Municipalities/ | • | 2023 | Lack of credit for | Education; | Funds to | Included | Included | 09/21: No new update. | |
| | provide support to | included in municipal | YCPC/DEP | in York | | municipal | Local | amend local | under Action | under Action # | 13/20, 20 m.misinalitis and a death | |
| | municipalities to | land use ordinances | | County | | ordinance GI | ordinance | ordinances | # 1.1 on | 1.1 on State | 12/20: 28 municipalities are under the | |
| | incorporate green | | | | | provisions in | samples, | | State | template (1 | MS4 Permit which mandates this Action | |
| | infrastructure (GI) | | | | | Chesapeake Bay | model | | template | dedicated | to be done. Plus, the County has an Act | |
| | and Low Impact | | | | | (CB) Model | ordinances, | | | integrated | 167 Plan that required municipalities to | |
| | Development (LID) | | | | | | and CWP tool | | | planning staff | adopt an ordinance that manages | |
| | into land use | | | | | | | | | at county | stormwater on site of development. | |
| | ordinances | | | | | | | | | level) | MCM5BMP2 of the MS4 permit requires | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | incorporation of and consideration of LID practices. Act 167 models stormwater ordinance requires the use of GI for onsite stormwater management. Additionally, when other municipalities are updating their land use ordinances, YCPC staff encourages them, through the formal review and comment process of land use ordinances, to incorporate GI & LID provisions; sample/ model provisions | |
|-----|---|--|--|-------------|------|---|-------------------------------|-----------------|--|--|---|---|
| 2.3 | Support local and County initiatives for pollutant reducing activities | Report project BMP data for inclusion in Bay model. Credit using method developed under Action # 1.2 on State template | Master Watershed Stewards, Watershed organizations | York County | 2023 | Education/training of volunteers; Outreach to municipalities; Use of planning tools, such as York County BMP Reporting Tool, to obtain BMP data | Education, outreach, training | General budgets | Included under Action #1.1 on State template | Included under Action #1.1 on State template (1 dedicated integrated planning staff at county level) | are being shared with municipalities. 09/21: A CAP Project tracker was initiated this year after many suggestions from DEP. It is an attempt to track planned, in-progress, and implemented BMPs throughout the County. It's also a tool being used to track potential projects we find along the way. Support was found with the Project Implementation Committee. Completed BMPs continue to be reported through MS4 Annual Reports, Practice Keeper, and FieldDoc. The Project Implementation Committee created a Watershed Forestry subcommittee, which formed a Riparian Rangers group in partnership with Alliance for the Chesapeake Bay. The Riparian Rangers will be taking care of the O&M for buffer and lawn conversion projects across the County. To date, there are 21 Riparian Rangers. This program was implemented without any funding and is being supported by WAY, YCCD, and the MWS's. The Data Management Committee created an Unreported BMP Template for unreported BMP data collection. It has been tested with FieldDoc. This template, available in paper and as an | Continue to build relationships with partners, implement projects, and continue agency programs. This is the future plan for all Actions. |

online fillable form, will be used to gather data on the unreported BMP's and enter it into FieldDoc. A BMP Guide was also developed to accompany the reporting template. Support towards initiatives for pollutant reducing activities include the continuation of our partnerships with the York County Storm Water Consortium, Penn State Extension Master Watershed Stewards, York County Conservation District, Lower Susquehanna River Keeper Association, and Alliance for the Chesapeake Bay. The grant that YCPC received from NFWF's SWG PTA program for development of a messaging campaign and messaging materials is nearly finished. We have seen great success with this grant. We rebranded and merged York County Coalition for Clean Waters with Watershed Alliance of York. Our volunteer base has expanded, our online presence has increased, meeting attendance has increased, and many people have started to notice and talk about WAY. We also have folks interested in filling the five vacant Board seats. September 2021 is when the full rebranding, with all of our materials, photoshoots, and videos will be fully released. The grant will conclude in December 2021. 12/20: The Project Implementation Action Team, with the leadership of the Coordinator of the Master Watershed Stewards Program, have begun investigative reportable BMP's. Activities are happening now; buffers, rain

| | | | | ı | | 1 | ı | ı | ı | | |
|-----|-----------------------|------------------------|----------|------------|------|-------------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | gardens. Unreported BMP's need to be |
| | | | | | | | | | | | reported to received credit. |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Support towards initiatives for pollutant |
| | | | | | | | | | | | reducing activities include; Continuation |
| | | | | | | | | | | | of the MWS Program in York County |
| | | | | | | | | | | | through COVID-19 pandemic, this group |
| | | | | | | | | | | | is responsible for implementation of |
| | | | | | | | | | | | several projects. York County Storm |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Water Consortium (YCSWC) is another |
| | | | | | | | | | | | group implementing projects. York |
| | | | | | | | | | | | County Economic Alliance (YCEA) for the |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Codorus Beautification project. Tree |
| | | | | | | | | | | | plantings, education and informing land |
| | | | | | | | | | | | owners – which will all help to eventually |
| | | | | | | | | | | | reduce nutrients. |
| | | | | | | | | | | | The West subset Allies of CV, 1, 191 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | The Watershed Alliance of York, with |
| | | | | | | | | | | | board members including YCPC, MWS, |
| | | | | | | | | | | | YCCD, planned and executed Watershed |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Week 2020 nearly completely virtually. |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Due to the nature of the event, many |
| | | | | | | | | | | | educational and outreach initiatives |
| | | | | | | | | | | | were taken. |
| | | | | | | | | | | | VCDC and ad for the NEWE CMC and was |
| | | | | | | | | | | | YCPC applied for the NFWF SWG and was |
| | | | | | | | | | | | awarded \$50,000 that will be used for |
| | | | | | | | | | | | messaging the CAP, which will help with education and outreach and help to |
| | | | | | | | | | | | · |
| | | | | | | | | | | | continue to propel this Action in 2021. |
| | | | | | | | | | | | None of the CAP aspect is common knowledge. There needs to be education |
| | | | | | | | | | | | and outreach, to make landowners |
| | | | | | | | | | | | aware and lead them to the correct |
| | | | | | | | | | | | resources to implement practices |
| | | | | | | | | | | | resources to implement practices |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Completed BMPs are being reported |
| | | | | | | | | | | | through MS4 Annual Reports, Practice |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Keeper, and FieldDoc. |
| | Develop/advertise a | Bid awarded and | YCSWC, | York | 2023 | This concept has | Private | Current | Private | Sufficient | 09/21: Through the Performance |
| | · · | projects completed per | DEP/EPA, | Countywide | 2023 | been used for MS4 | consultants/ | limited staff | consulting | money for | Contract with ARRC, two stream |
| 2.4 | | RFP proposal | private | Countywide | | Permit sediment | YCCD staff/ | funding, | team to site, | consultants to | restoration projects were completed in |
| | bids to site, design, | πι ρισροσαί | private | | | reductions; | YCSWC | potentially | design, build, | make a profit | 2021 that resulted in TSS reduction of |
| | bius to site, design, | | | | | reductions, | 163446 | potentially | uesigii, bullu, | make a promi | 2021 that resulted in 133 reduction of |

| | | | I | | | | | | I . | | |
|-----|----------------------|-----------------|------------|------|---------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------|---|--|
| | permit, install, | consultant, | | | Consider this | members/ | YCSWC PRP | maintain | and | 1,922,850 lbs/yr and N reduction of | |
| | operate, and | landowners | | | option for meeting | DEP staff | funds | BMPs; | incentivize | 1,655 lbs/yr. Additional reductions are | |
| | maintain BMPs to | | | | Nitrogen | | | administrativ | landowners to | anticipated following PCSM. These | |
| | reduce a specified | | | | reductions for WIP | | | e staff to | participate; | projects satisfy approx. 50% of the | |
| | amount of sediment | | | | 3 efforts; Highly | | | manage RFP | Less money | contract. | |
| | to meet PRP goals | | | | desirable, as | | | /contract/ | needed if | | |
| | and shortfall of WIP | | | | consultant analysis | | | payments; | current MS4 | 12/20: The YCSWC entered into a | |
| | 3 nitrogen goals in | | | | to maximize | | | Grant staff, | PRP money | performance-based contract with ARRC | |
| | the County. | | | | efficiency/profits | | | DEP support | could be used | in 2020 to reduce 4 million pounds of | |
| | | | | | would guarantee | | | staff | to meet | sediment and make significant strides | |
| | | | | | best projects | | | | countywide | toward meeting the Regional CBPRP 10% | |
| | | | | | , , | | | | Nitrogen | reduction requirement; will also help to | |
| | | | | | | | | | reduction | meet CAP nitrogen reduction goal. The | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Performance Based RFP will reduce | |
| | | | | | | | | | | 1,283 lbs of Nitrogen. | |
| | 4.25 acres | YCSWC | Countywide | 2023 | Unanalyzed | State/County/ | \$2M per | Local and | Sufficient | 09/21: Metric not found in FieldDoc. | |
| | 4.23 acres | municipalities/ | Countywide | 2023 | projects included | Municipal/ | year through | State | money to staff | York Water Company planted 600 trees | |
| | | landowners/ | | | in Regional PRP | private | , | technical | and support | (=2 acres) at Reservoir Park resulting in | |
| | | watershed | | | due to 9-month | staff/pubic | 2023/grants | staff to | State/local | - | |
| | | | | | | · • | | | - | 1lb of nitrogen reduction. | |
| | | organizations | | | development | agencies/ | | identify/ | coordination | | |
| | | | | | deadline; MS4 PRP | watershed | | plan/ | to achieve | 42/22 7 | |
| | | | | | criteria | organizations | | implement | State and local | 12/20: Through projects implemented | |
| | | | | | mismatched with | | | analyzed | water quality | through the Penn State MWS Program in | |
| | | | | | CB Model/WIP 3 | | | projects that | goals | cooperation with West Manchester | |
| | Tree Planting - | | | | goals; Continue to | | | most | | Township, nearly this entire goal was | |
| 2.5 | Canopy | | | | fund grant | | | efficiently | | completed in year 1. | |
| | , | | | | programs | | | achieve goals | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Financial support for these efforts | |
| | | | | | | | | | | typically are coming from DCNR or | |
| | | | | | | | | | | through our Alliance for the Chesapeake | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Bay partners on the Project | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Implementation Action Team. Other | |
| | | | | | | | | | | funding sources include municipalities | |
| | | | | | | | | | | and landowners. This project will be | |
| | | | | | | | | | | reported through theMS4 Annual | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Report. | |
| | 7.8 acres treated | YCSWC | Countywide | 2023 | Unanalyzed | Current | \$2M per | Local and | Sufficient | 09/21: No new update. | |
| | | municipalities/ | , | | projects included | State/County/ | year through | State | money to staff | | |
| | | MWSs/ | | | in Regional PRP | Municipal/ | 2023/grants | technical | and support | 12/20: Two Regional CBPRP bioswale | |
| 2.6 | Bioswale | landowners | | | due to 9-month | private staff | 2020/ 81 41163 | staff to | State/local | projects were completed that together | |
| 2.0 | Diosware | MINOVIICIS | | | development | private stair | | identify/ | coordination | treated 35.2 acres, which exceeds the | |
| | | | | | | | | | to achieve | | |
| | | | | | deadline; MS4 PRP | | | plan/ | | goal for this practice; NFWF, GG, & | |
| | | | | | criteria | | | implement | State and local | YCSWC monies helped to fund these | |

| | | I | | | T | | I | I | T . | I | |
|-----|---------------|------------|-----------------|------------|------|---------------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------|--|
| | | | | | | mismatched with | | | analyzed | water quality | projects; they were reported through the |
| | | | | | | CB Model/WIP 3 | | | projects that | goals | MS4 Annual Report. Additional bioswale |
| | | | | | | goals; Permitting | | | most | | projects are planned through |
| | | | | | | delays; Continue to | | | efficiently | | implementation of the Regional CBPRP. |
| | | | | | | fund grant | | | achieve goals | | Also, bioswale projects could happen |
| | | | | | | programs | | | | | during routine development under |
| | | | | | | | | | | | NPDES permit. |
| | | 98.2 acres | YCSWC | Countywide | 2023 | Unanalyzed | Current | \$2M per | Local and | Sufficient | 09/21: FieldDoc has this metric as a total |
| | | | municipalities/ | | | projects included | State/County/ | year through | State | money to staff | of 165 acres whereas York lists this |
| | | | landowners/ | | | in Regional PRP | Municipal/ | 2023/grants | technical | and support | metric in this report as 118.2 acres. |
| | | | Watershed | | | due to 9-month | public | | staff to | State/local | FieldDoc is reporting 7% progress or |
| | | | organizations/ | | | development | agencies/ | | identify/ | coordination | 12.00/165.00 acres. |
| | | | MWSs/ Horn | | | deadline; MS4 PRP | private staff/ | | plan/ | to achieve | |
| | | | Farm Center/ | | | criteria | watershed | | implement | State and local | Since noted in 2020, Alliance for the |
| | | | WAY/ YCCD | | | mismatched with | organizations/ | | analyzed | water quality | Chesapeake Bay received a NFWF SWG |
| | | | | | | CB Model/WIP 3 | MWSs/ Horn | | projects that | goals | to develop and pilot a conservation |
| | | | | | | goals; Permitting | Farm Center | | most | | incentive program that will accelerate |
| | | | | | | delays; Continue to | | | efficiently | | the establishment of riparian forest |
| | | | | | | fund grant | | | achieve goals | | buffers by agricultural producers in the |
| | | | | | | programs | | | | | southern part of the Codorus Watershed |
| | | | | | | | | | | | in York County, as well as in Cecil and |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Harford counties in Maryland. |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 12/20: Two Regional CBPRP forest buffer |
| | 5 . 5 . 6 | | | | | | | | | | projects (4.8 acres) are currently being |
| 2.7 | Forest Buffer | | | | | | | | | | initiated and expected to be completed |
| | | | | | | | | | | | later in 2020 or early 2021. Funding is |
| | | | | | | | | | | | through DCNR and municipalities. They |
| | | | | | | | | | | | will be reported through the MS4 Annual |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Report. |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | See FieldDoc for non-CBPRP numerical |
| | | | | | | | | | | | detail. |
| | | | | | | | | | | | The Alliance for the Chesapeake Bay, |
| | | | | | | | | | | | which is a CAP partners with |
| | | | | | | | | | | | representation on the Project |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Implementation Action Team, applied for |
| | | | | | | | | | | | a NFWF SWG to develop and pilot a |
| | | | | | | | | | | | conservation incentive program that |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Will accelerate the establishment of |
| | | | | | | | | | | | riparian forest buffers by agricultural |
| | | | | | | | | | | | producers in the southern part of the |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Codorus Watershed in York County, as |
| | | | | | | | | | | | codords watershed in fork country, as |

| | | | | | | | | | | | well as in Cecil and Harford counties in Maryland. Goal of project is to restore 160 acres of riparian forest habitat, some of which will be in York County. It's tricky to estimate BMP numbers just yet; the funds are really flexible so things could pan out different ways. But about 1/3 of the funds will be used in York, which is around \$150,000. That will mostly be used on ag BMPs to pair with around 40 acres of buffer. |
|-----|---------------------|------------------|--|------------|------|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| 2.8 | Wetland Restoration | 6 acres restored | YCSWC municipalities/ landowners | Countywide | 2023 | Unanalyzed projects included in Regional PRP due to 9-month development deadline; MS4 PRP criteria mismatched with CB Model/WIP 3 goals; Permitting delays; Continue to fund grant programs | Current State/County/ Municipal/ private staff | \$2M per year through 2023/grants | Local and State technical staff to identify/ plan/ implement analyzed projects that most efficiently achieve goals | Sufficient money to staff and support State/local coordination to achieve State and local water quality goals | 09/21: No new update. See YCSWC reporting and MS4 reporting for more details. 12/20: Through a mitigation bank project in the Codorus Watershed, 8 acres were restored. Restoration over the next 3 years will continue to come through mitigation as well as through Penndot projects and Regional CBPRP projects. The mitigation part is for habitat, it still reduces pollutants. It is up to DEP to decide when credit will be given for these practices. |
| 2.9 | Infiltration Basin | 32.4 acres | YCSWC municipalities/ landowners | Countywide | 2023 | Unanalyzed projects included in Regional PRP due to 9-month development deadline; MS4 PRP criteria mismatched with CB Model/WIP 3 goals; Permitting delays; Continue to fund grant programs | Current State/County/ Municipal/ private staff | \$2M per year through 2023/grants | Local and State technical staff to identify/ plan/ implement analyzed projects that most efficiently achieve goals | Sufficient money to staff and support State/local coordination to achieve State and local water quality goals | 09/21: FieldDoc is reporting 0/32.40. We noted Basin Retrofit projects in 2020, but learned from Olivia Devereux (CAST Model Consultant) that Basin Retrofit projects should instead be accounted for in the "Stormwater Performance Standard of stormwater treatment." See reporting provided by YCSWC. 12/20: Through the Regional CBPRP, 2 Basin Retrofit projects, together treating 90 acres, were completed and reported through the MS4 Annual Report, which appears to exceed this goal. Another Basin Retrofit project that will treat 29.2 acres is under construction. Municipal stormwater ordinances and Chapter 102 permits require stormwater |

| 2.10 | Bioretention/ Raingardens | 39.5 acres treated | YCSWC/ municipalities/ MWSs/ landowners | Countywide | 2023 | Unanalyzed projects included in Regional PRP due to 9-month development deadline; MS4 PRP criteria mismatched with CB Model/WIP 3 goals; Permitting delays; Continue to fund grant programs | Current State/County/ Municipal/ MWSs/ private staff/ volunteers | \$2M per year through 2023/grants | Local and State technical staff to identify/ plan/ implement analyzed projects that most efficiently achieve goals | Sufficient money to staff and support State/local coordination to achieve State and local water quality goals | to be managed on site. Infiltration Basins are a popular way to achieve this. These will be reported either as an annual MS4 project or through FieldDoc, we are still discussing the most advantageous way to do so. 09/21: CAP dollars funded a raingarden planting in Fairview Township. See YCSWC reporting and MS4 reporting for more details. 12/20: Through the Regional CBPRP, 1 Bioretention BMP treating 12.8 acres was completed with funding assistance through NFWF & YCSWC; it was reported through the MS4 Annual Report. 2 projects that will together treat 33.8 acres are underway and another project scheduled for 2021 will treat 25.1 acres. Additionally, Municipal stormwater ordinances require projects less than 1 acre to manage stormwater on site. This Action is popular for such projects and typically an effort completed by the MWS and/or homeowners. This is a task that our Data Management and Project Implementation action teams are working out the best way to collect this data |
|------|---|----------------------|--|------------|------|---|--|---|--|---|---|
| 2.11 | Stormwater Performance Standard- Stormwater Treatment | 216.48 acres treated | YCSWC municipalities/ landowners | Countywide | 2023 | Unanalyzed projects included in Regional PRP due to 9-month development deadline; MS4 PRP criteria mismatched with CB Model/WIP 3 goals; Permitting delays; Continue to fund grant programs | Current State/County/ Municipal/ private staff | \$2M per year through 2023/grants | Local and State technical staff to identify/ plan/ implement analyzed projects that most efficiently achieve goals | Sufficient money to staff and support State/local coordination to achieve State and local water quality goals | 09/21: No new update. FieldDoc reports this metric as 53.00/326.00. York's report has 216.48 acres. See YCSWC reporting and MS4 reporting for more details. 12/20: Through the Regional CBPRP, a filter strip with soil amendments & conservation landscaping will treat 5 acres. This project is currently under design & funded through a GG Grant. Upon completion, this project will be reported through the MS4 Annual Report. |

| 2.12 | Stormwater Performance Standard - Runoff Reduction | mur | SWC/ inicipalities/ downers | Countywide | 2023 | Unanalyzed projects included in Regional PRP due to 9-month development deadline; MS4 PRP criteria mismatched with CB Model/WIP 3 goals; Permitting delays; Continue to fund grant programs | Current State/County/ Municipal/ private staff | \$2M per year through 2023/grants | Local and State technical staff to identify/ plan/ implement analyzed projects that most efficiently achieve goals | Sufficient money to staff and support State/local coordination to achieve State and local water quality goals | Additional progress towards this Action is being completed through municipal ordinance requirements. See FieldDoc for numerical details of such projects. 09/21: No new update. Metric is not accounted for in FieldDoc or listed as a metric at all. 12/20: The YCCD worked with Wrightsville Borough to develop the County's first green street project. The Limekiln Alley improvement in the Borough is a 670 foot green street project. This design is capable of handling up to 5 inches of rain per hour, with the first inch being absorbed in the ground & the rest being filtered through a black sheet, then through 18 inches of | |
|------|---|--------------------------------------|--|------------|------|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| | Urban Stream | restored mur land wate orga | SWC/ inicipalities/ downers/ tershed ganizations/ nnDOT | Countywide | 2023 | Unanalyzed projects included in Regional PRP due to 9-month development deadline; MS4 PRP criteria mismatched with CB Model/WIP 3 | Current State/County/ Municipal/ private staff | \$2M per year through 2023/grants | Local and State technical staff to identify/ plan/ implement analyzed | Sufficient money to staff and support State/local coordination to achieve State and local water quality | stone and a perforated pipe, before going into the stormwater drain. Pervious brick pavers, as well as concrete wheel pads for vehicles completed the project. See FieldDoc for numeric details. 09/21: No new update. FieldDoc is reporting 3,705.00/63,688.00 or 6% complete. 12/20: Through the Regional CBPRP, 4,643 LF of urban stream restoration was completed with funding assistance from NFWF, GG, Local SW BMP Grant & | |
| 2.13 | Urban Stream Restoration | | | | | cB Model/WIP 3 goals; Permitting delays; Need for watershed permit; willing landowners, Continue to fund grant programs | | | projects that most efficiently achieve goals | goals | through MS4 Annual Reports. An additional 20,643 LF, of stream restoration is either underway or scheduled, which includes the 2 projects awarded York County CAP BMP Implementation funds & scheduled, per the funding agreement, to be completed before 6/30/2021 as follows: | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | Hallam Borough – Beaver Street Stream/Swale Restoration includes 800LF of stream restoration and 125LF of swale restoration on an unnamed tributary to Kreutz Creek. Due to an unexpected delay with issuance of the USACE permit and construction restrictions due to the stream being classified as a wild trout stream, project bidding for construction was postponed to December 2020, with award to be made in February 2021. Penn Township – Center Street Stream Restoration includes 500LF of stream restoration on Oil Creek. Construction is underway & expected to be completed by the end of 2020. | |
|------|--|---------------------|---|---|------|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | See FieldDoc for non-CBPRP numerical details. | |
| 2.14 | Nutrient Management Plan (Urban) | 50,000 acres | State legislation | Statewide, regulated and unregulated urban areas | 2025 | Failure to pass legislation | None, Program does not currently exist | None, Program does not currently exist | State technical staff to develop guidance and requirement s related to credits in CB Model | Sufficient money to staff and support State/local coordination to achieve State and local water quality goals | 09/21: No new update. Status remains the same as 2020. 12/20: Achievement of this depends either on volunteer homeowner action and/or legislative action. Currently unregulated. | |
| 2.15 | Land Retirement to Ag Open Space | 1,500 acres retired | Landowners/ Operators, Federal/State/ local agencies, Private consultants | York County | 2025 | Lack of economic/ regulatory incentives; insufficient resources for data collection, design, implementation and verification | Federal/State /local agency trained staff, private company trained staff | Federal/Stat e/local agency grants/ programs, private grants; Also Ag Land Preservation Board and Farm/Natura I Lands Trust | 12 dedicated trained staff to educate, sell programs to farmers/ landowners, develop plans, and implement BMPs | \$1.2M annually for dedicated | 09/21: No new update. FieldDoc metrics are "Acres of retired land" 1,084/3,009 or 36% progress. Whereas the York CAP has this metric as 1,500 acres retired. See reporting provided by Ag Land Preserve for more details. 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical details. Ideally, marginal Ag land should be target for completion of this Action. As individual farmers that retire some of their land, unless they notify, we will not know. If numbers are not reported to | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | FieldDoc, we need to uncover where they are reported through. We need to determine how Land Retirement is being captured. The programs could help us to identify where the Land Retirement has occurred. Other ways this goal will be reached are through Ag preserve programs, farm and natural lands and open space program. |
|------|-------------------------------|---------------|---|-------------|------|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| 2.16 | Nutrient Management Core N | 185,000 acres | Landowners/ operators, Federal/State/ local agencies, Private consultants, fertilizer suppliers | York County | 2025 | Lack of economic/ regulatory incentives; insufficient resources for data collection, design, implementation and verification; need coordination with fertilizer reps; landowner participation | Federal/State / local agency trained staff, private company trained staff | Federal/Stat e/local agency grants/ programs, private grants | See Action #2.15 for needs to implement Ag BMP Action #'s 2.15 thru 2.39 | Included in \$1.2M annually for 12 dedicated staff from Action # 2.15 | 09/21: No new update. FieldDoc is reporting 24,813.00/185,000.00 or 13% progress. See PracticeKeeper for details. 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical details. Looking at forming partnership with 4R Alliance as this is a big opportunity for York County nutrient reduction. 4R Alliance is in progress of outreaching to and educating farmers. Potential funding for this Action includes: state nutrient management act program, manure management plans, CD (open space program and county funding), landowners, agencies, NRCS. The concept has been accepted and we will be submitting a joint funding application to the Community Foundation early 2021. |
| 2.17 | Nutrient Management Core P | 88,400 acres | Landowners/ operators, Federal/State/ local agencies, Private consultants, fertilizer suppliers | York County | 2025 | Lack of economic/ regulatory incentives; insufficient resources for data collection, design, implementation, and verification; need coordination with fertilizer reps; landowner participation | Federal/State /local agency trained staff, private company trained staff | Federal/Stat e/local agency grants/ programs, private grants | See Action #2.15 for needs to implement Ag BMP Action #'s 2.15 thru 2.33 | Included in \$1.2M annually for 12 dedicated staff from Action # 2.15 | 09/21: No new update. FieldDoc is reporting 3,319/88,400 or 4%. See PracticeKeeper for details. 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical details. Looking at forming partnership with 4R Alliance as this is a big opportunity for York County nutrient reduction. 4R Alliance is in progress of outreaching to and educating farmers. Plans are captured through Practice Keeper and through NRCS system. There is no known other mechanism for reporting Plans. |

| 2.18 | Nutrient Management N Rate | Landowners/ operators, Federal/State/ local agencies, Private consultants, fertilizer suppliers | York County | 2025 | Lack of economic/ regulatory incentives; insufficient resources for data collection, design, implementation and verification; need coordination with fertilizer reps; landowner participation | Federal /State/ local agency trained staff, private company trained staff | Federal/Stat e/local agency grants/ programs, private grants | See Action #2.15 for needs to implement Ag BMP Action #'s 2.15 thru 2.33 | Included in \$1.2M annually for 12 dedicated staff from Action # 2.15 | Potential funding for this Action includes: state nutrient management act program, manure management plans, CD funding, landowners, agencies, NRCS. 09/21: No new update. FieldDoc is reporting 1,164/88,400 or 1%. See PracticeKeeper for details. 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical details. Looking at forming partnership with 4R Alliance as this is a big opportunity for York County nutrient reduction. 4R Alliance is in progress of outreaching to and educating farmers. Potential funding for this Action includes: state nutrient management act program, manure management plans, CD funding, landowners, agencies, NRCS. | |
|------|--|---|-------------|------|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| 2.19 | Nutrient Management N Timing | Landowners/ operators, Federal/State/ local agencies, Private consultants, fertilizer suppliers | York County | 2025 | Lack of economic/ regulatory incentives; insufficient resources for data collection, design, implementation and verification; need coordination with fertilizer reps; landowner participation | Federal /State/ local agency trained staff, private company trained staff | Federal/Stat e/local agency grants/ programs, private grants | See Action #2.15 for needs to implement Ag BMP Action #'s 2.15 thru 2.33 | Included in \$1.2M annually for 12 dedicated staff from Action # 2.15 | 09/21: No new update. FieldDoc is reporting 0/88,400 or 0% . See PracticeKeeper for details. 12/20: Looking at forming partnership with 4R Alliance as this is a big opportunity for York County nutrient reduction. 4R Alliance is in progress of outreaching to and educating farmers. Potential funding for this Action includes: state nutrient management act program, manure management plans, CD funding, landowners, agencies, NRCS. | |
| 2.20 | 90,000 acres Tillage Management- High Residue | Landowners/ operators, Federal/State/ local agencies, Private consultants | York County | 2025 | Lack of economic/ regulatory incentives; insufficient resources for data collection, design, implementation, and verification | Federal/ State /local agency trained staff, private company trained staff | Federal/Stat e/local agency grants/ programs, private grants | See Action #2.15 for needs to implement Ag BMP Action #'s 2.15 thru 2.33 | Included in \$1.2M annually for 12 dedicated staff from Action # 2.15 | 09/21: No new update. FieldDoc is reporting 45,372.00/90,000.00 or 50%. See PracticeKeeper for details. 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical details. Potential funding for this Action includes: state nutrient management act program, manure management plans, CD (open | |

| 2.21 | Tillage Management- Conservation | 35,000 acres | Landowners/ operators, Federal/State/ local agencies, Private consultants | York County | 2025 | Lack of economic/ regulatory incentives; insufficient resources for data collection, design, implementation, and verification | Federal/ State /local agency trained staff, private company trained staff | Federal/Stat e/local agency grants/ programs, private grants | See Action #2.15 for needs to implement Ag BMP Action #'s 2.15 thru 2.33 | Included in \$1.2M annually for 12 dedicated staff from Action # 2.15 | space program and county funding), landowners, agencies, NRCS. 09/21: No new update. FieldDoc is reporting 57,382.00/35,000.00 or 164% See PracticeKeeper for details. 12/20: The goal for this Action has been exceeded in year 1. See FieldDoc for numerical details. Potential funding for this Action includes: state nutrient management act program, manure management plans, CD (open space program and county funding), landowners, agencies, NRCS. |
|------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---|-------------|------|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| 2.22 | Cover Crops Traditional | 55,000 acres planted | Landowners/ operators, Federal/State/ local agencies, Private consultants | York County | 2025 | Lack of economic/ regulatory incentives; insufficient resources for data collection, design, implementation, and verification | Federal/ State/ local agency trained staff, private company trained staff | Federal/Stat e/local agency grants/ programs, private grants | See Action #2.15 for needs to implement Ag BMP Action #'s 2.15 thru 2.39 | Included in \$1.2M annually for 12 dedicated staff from Action # 2.15 | 09/21: No new update. See PracticeKeeper for details. 12:20: See FieldDoc for numerical details. Potential funding for this Action includes: conservation plans, CEG, EQUIP, CSP (conservation stewardship program), REAP, RCPP. The CEG program being administered by YCCD will be particularly helpful towards this Action. Other partners include the Farm Bureau, MWS, etc. The actionable outcomes is the BMP is implemented and reported through Practice Keeper. |
| 2.23 | Animal Waste Management System | 3,000 animal units | Landowners/ operators, Federal/State/ local agencies, Private consultants | York County | 2025 | Lack of economic/ regulatory incentives; insufficient resources for data collection, design, implementation, and verification | Federal/ State/ local agency trained staff, private company trained staff | Federal/Stat e/local agency grants/ programs, private grants | See Action #2.15 for needs to implement Ag BMP Action #'s 2.15 thru 2.39 | Included in \$1.2M annually for 12 dedicated staff from Action # 2.15 | 09/21: No new update. See PracticeKeeper for details. 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical details. Potential funding for the Action includes: conservation plans, CEG, EQUIP, REAP, RCPP, PENNVEST, EXELON. |
| 2.24 | Barnyard Runoff Control | 70 acres | Landowners/ operators, Federal/State/ local agencies, Private consultants | York County | 2025 | Lack of economic/ regulatory incentives; insufficient resources for data collection, design, | Federal/ State/ local agency trained staff, private | Federal/Stat e/local agency grants/ programs, | See Action #2.15 for needs to implement Ag BMP Action #'s | Included in \$1.2M annually for 12 dedicated staff from Action # 2.15 | 09/21: No new update. See PracticeKeeper for details. 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical details. |

| | | | | | | implementation, | company | private | 2.15 thru | | Potential funding for the Action includes: |
|------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------|------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|---|
| | | | | | | and verification | trained staff | grants | 2.13 till u | | conservation plans, CEG, EQUIP, REAP, |
| | | | | | | and verification | trained stair | grants | 2.33 | | RCPP, PENNVEST, EXELON. |
| | | 16,000 acres | Landowners/ | York County | 2025 | Lack of economic/ | Federal/ | Federal/Stat | See Action | Included in | 09/21: No new update. FieldDoc is |
| | | 10,000 acres | operators, | TOTA COUNTY | 2023 | regulatory | State/ local | e/local | #2.15 for | \$1.2M | reporting 1,142.00/16,000.00 or 7% |
| | | | Federal/State/ | | | incentives; | agency | agency | needs to | annually for | See PracticeKeeper for details. |
| | Precision Intensive | | local agencies, | | | insufficient | trained staff, | grants/ | implement | 12 dedicated | see Fractice Reeper for details. |
| 2.25 | Rotational/ | | Private | | | resources for data | private | programs, | Ag BMP | staff from | 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical |
| | Prescribed Grazing | | consultants | | | collection, design, | company | private | Action #'s | Action # 2.15 | details. Potential funding for the Action |
| | Treseriaed Grazing | | Constitution | | | implementation, | trained staff | grants | 2.15 thru | 71011011 11 2120 | includes: conservation plans (pasture |
| | | | | | | and verification | | g. s | 2.39 | | management practices come from |
| | | | | | | | | | | | plans), Capital RC+D, same as above. |
| | | 5,000 linear feet | Landowners/ | York County | 2025 | Lack of economic/ | Federal/ | Federal/Stat | See Action | Included in | 09/21: No new update. See |
| | | restored | operators, | · | | regulatory | State/ local | e/local | #2.15 for | \$1.2M | PracticeKeeper for details. |
| | | | Federal/State | | | incentives; | agency | agency | needs to | annually for | |
| | | | (DEP)/ local | | | resources for data | trained staff, | grants/ | implement | 12 dedicated | 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical |
| | Non-Urban Stream | | agencies, | | | collection, design, | private | programs, | Ag BMP | staff from | details. Potential funding for the Action |
| 2.26 | | | Private | | | implementation | company | private | Action #'s | Action # 2.15 | includes: CEG, EQUIP, REAP, PENNVEST, |
| | Restoration | | consultants | | | and verification; | trained staff | grants | 2.15 thru | | EXELON, DCNR, Growing Greener, 319. |
| | | | | | | DEP permitting | | | 2.39 | | |
| | | | | | | system | | | | | |
| | | | | | | delays/protocol | | | | | |
| | | | | | | needs resolution | | | | | |
| | | 6,000 acres | Landowners/ | York County | 2025 | Lack of economic/ | Federal/ | Federal/Stat | See Action | Included in | 09/21: No new update. FieldDoc is |
| | | | operators, | | | regulatory | State/ local | e/local | #2.15 for | \$1.2M | reporting 195.00/6,248.00 or 3% |
| | | | Federal/State/ | | | incentives; | agency | agency | needs to | annually for | See PracticeKeeper for details. |
| | | | local agencies, | | | Insufficient | trained staff, | grants/ | implement | 12 dedicated | |
| | - | | Private | | | resources for data | private | programs, | Ag BMP | staff from | 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical |
| 2.27 | Grass Buffer (Ag) | | consultants | | | collection, design, | company | private | Action #'s | Action # 2.15 | details. Potential funding and |
| | | | | | | Implementation, | trained staff | grants | 2.15 thru | | implementation of this Action include: |
| | | | | | | and verification; | | | 2.39 | | CEG, EQUIP, REAP, PENNVEST, EXELON, |
| | | | | | | Develop simpler variation of CREP | | | | | DCNR, Buffer My Stream, CBF, Alliance |
| | | | | | | | | | | | for Bay, Game Commission, MWS |
| | | 6.000 acros | Landownors/ | Vark County | 2025 | program | Fodoral/ | Fodoral/Stat | See Action | Included in | Program. |
| | | 6,000 acres | Landowners/ | York County | 2025 | Lack of economic/ | Federal/ State/ local | Federal/Stat e/local | #2.15 for | Included in \$1.2M | 09/21: No new update. FieldDoc is reporting 430.00/6,975.00 or 6% |
| | | | operators, Federal/State/ | | | regulatory incentives; | agency | agency | needs to | annually for | See PracticeKeeper for details. |
| | | | local agencies, | | | Insufficient | trained staff, | grants/ | implement | 12 dedicated | See FracticeReeper for details. |
| 2.28 | Forest Buffer (Ag) | | Private | | | resources for data | private | programs, | Ag BMP | staff from | 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical |
| | | | consultants | | | collection, design, | company | programs, private | Action #'s | Action # 2.15 | details. Potential funding and |
| | | | Consultants | | | Implementation, | trained staff | grants | 2.15 thru | 7.00.011 // 2.13 | implementation of this Action include: |
| | | | | | | and verification; | tramed starr | S. a | 2.39 | | CEG, EQUIP, REAP, PENNVEST, EXELON, |
| | | | | | | and vernication, | | | 2.59 | | CEG, EQUIP, REAP, PENINVEST, EXELUIN, |

| 2.29 | Manure Incorporation | Landowners/ operators, Federal/State/ local agencies, Private consultants | York County | 2025 | Develop simpler variation of CREP program Lack of economic/ regulatory incentives; Insufficient resources for data collection, design, implementation, and verification | Federal/ State/ local agency trained staff, private company trained staff | Federal/Stat e/local agency grants/ programs, private grants | See Action #2.15 for needs to implement Ag BMP Action #'s 2.15 thru 2.39 | Included in \$1.2M annually for 12 dedicated staff from Action # 2.15 | DCNR, Buffer My Stream, CBF, Alliance for Bay, Game Commission, MWS Program. 09/21: No new update. See PracticeKeeper for details. 12/20: A system for collecting this information is unknown. Reporting of manure incorporation happens through manure and nutrient management plans, utilizing Practice Keeper to report Manure Incorporation is sufficient but it is important to note that nothing from those plans are specific about how the manure is worked in. The law does not say that it needs to be tilled in. There is no specific way to account for acres of manure incorporated. Potential funding and implementation for this Action includes: conservation plans, nutrient plans, nutrient management programs | |
|------|-------------------------------------|---|-------------|------|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| 2.30 | Off Stream Watering without fencing | Landowners/ operators, Federal/State/ local agencies, Private consultants | York County | 2025 | Lack of economic/ regulatory incentives; Insufficient resources for data collection, design, implementation, and verification | Federal/ State/ local agency trained staff, private company trained staff | Federal/Stat e/local agency grants/ programs, private grants | See Action #2.15 for needs to implement Ag BMP Action #'s 2.15 thru 2.39 | Included in \$1.2M annually for 12 dedicated staff from Action # 2.15 | 09/21: No new update. See PracticeKeeper for details. 12/20: Potential funding and implementation for this Action includes: conservation plans, nutrient plans, nutrient management programs, Capital RC+D, CREP. See Action 2.25 | |
| 2.31 | 100 acres planted Tree Planting | Landowners/ operators, Federal/State/ local agencies, Private consultants | York County | 2025 | Lack of economic/ regulatory incentives; Insufficient resources for data collection, design, implementation, and verification | Federal/ State/ local agency trained staff, private company trained staff | Federal/Stat e/local agency grants/ programs, private grants | See Action #2.15 for needs to implement Ag BMP Action #'s 2.15 thru 2.39 | Included in \$1.2M annually for 12 dedicated staff from Action # 2.15 | 09/21: No new update. See PracticeKeeper for details. 12/20: Financial support for these efforts typically are coming from DCNR or through our Alliance for the Chesapeake Bay partners on the Project Implementation Action Team. Other funding sources include municipalities and landowners. | |

| 2.32 | Soil Conservation and Water Quality Plans | 180,000 acres under conservation | Landowners/ operators, Federal/State/ local agencies, Private consultants | York County | 2025 | Lack of economic/ regulatory incentives; insufficient resources for data collection, design, implementation, and verification; lack of regulatory oversight and follow through | Federal/ State/ local agency trained staff, private company trained staff | Federal/Stat e/local agency grants/ programs, private grants | See Action #2.15 for needs to implement Ag BMP Action #'s 2.15 thru 2.39 | Included in \$1.2M annually for 12 dedicated staff from Action # 2.15 | 09/21: No new update. FieldDoc is reporting 26,379.00/180,000.00 or 15%. See PracticeKeeper for details. 12:20: See FieldDoc for numerical details. Other partners include the Farm Bureau, MWS, etc. The actionable outcomes is the BMP is implemented and reported through PracticeKeeper. Ag plans are reported through Practice Keeper. Plans are documented through funding sources (YCCD, NRCS, DEP reimbursement) or Land Preservation programs. Potential funding and implementation for this Action include: funding for getting plans written, CEG, cost share money for farmers to pay for consultants, county funding, nutrient management program, Ag reimbursement program, REAP | |
|------|---|----------------------------------|--|-------------|-----------|--|---|--|---|--|---|---|
| 2.33 | Wetland Restoration – Floodplain (ag) | 12 acres established | Landowners/ operators, Federal/State/ local agencies, Private consultants | York County | 2025 | Lack of economic/ regulatory incentives; insufficient resources for data collection, design, implementation, and verification; lack of regulatory oversight and follow through | Federal/ State/ local agency trained staff, private company trained staff | Federal/Stat e/local agency grants/ programs, private grants | See Action #2.15 for needs to implement Ag BMP Action #'s 2.15 thru 2.39 | Included in \$1.2M annually for 12 dedicated staff from Action # 2.15 | 09/21: No new update. See PracticeKeeper for details. 12:20: Potential funding and implementation for this Action include: CREP, EQUIP, wetland reserve program, CEG, Growing Greener, EXELON, 319, consultants and mitigation projects, NRCS. | |
| 2.34 | Erosion & Sediment Control Level 2 | 2,500 acres | YCCD | Countywide | 2019-2025 | DEP enforcement | 4 staff | | Enforcement | | 09/21: No new update. FieldDoc is reporting 930.21/2,500 or 37% See PracticeKeeper for details. 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical details. See numbers reported to DEP. Need more consistent enforcement. | Priority Initiative 3 was eliminated and Action was moved to Priority Initiative 2 and renumbered |

| 2.35 | Dirt & Gravel Road (DGR) Erosion & Sediment Control- Driving Surface Aggregate + Raising the Roadbed [includes Low Volume Road (LVR) projects] | 31,680 feet | YCCD | Countywide | 2019-2025 | Timely DEP GP-11 reviews | 1 staff | \$623,801/yr | | Double State Conservation Commission LVR annual allocation | 09/21: No new update. See PracticeKeeper for details. 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical details. See SCC GIS numbers. The District has already committed the 2020-21 DGR and LVR funding allocations toward projects to be completed next year. | Priority Initiative 3 was eliminated and Action was moved to Priority Initiative 2 and renumbered |
|-------------|--|--------------|------|------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|-----------|--|---|---|
| 2.36 | Dirt & Gravel Road Erosion & Sediment Control - Driving Surface Aggregate with Outlets (includes LVR projects) | 168,960 feet | YCCD | Countywide | 2019-2025 | Timely DEP GP-11 reviews | Included under Action #2.35 | | | Included under Action #2.35 | 09/21: No new update. See PracticeKeeper for details. 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical details. See SCC GIS numbers. The District has already committed the 2020-21 DGR and LVR funding allocations toward projects to be completed next year. | Priority Initiative 3 was eliminated and Action was moved to Priority Initiative 2 and renumbered |
| 2.37 | Dirt & Gravel Road Erosion & Sediment Control - Outlets only (includes LVR projects) | 31,680 feet | YCCD | Countywide | 2019-2025 | Timely DEP GP-11 reviews | Included under Action #2.35 | | | Included under Action #2.35 | 09/21: No new update. See PracticeKeeper for details. 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical details. See SCC GIS numbers. The District has already committed the 2020-21 DGR and LVR funding allocations toward projects to be completed next year. | Priority Initiative 3 was eliminated and Action was moved to Priority Initiative 2 and renumbered |
| 2.38 | Forest Buffer | 20 acres | YCCD | Countywide | 2019-2025 | Dedicated education & outreach staff | 0.5 staff | \$225,000/yr | 0.5 staff | \$25,000/yr | 09/21: No new update. See PracticeKeeper for details. 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical details. See PracticeKeeper. | Priority Initiative 3 was eliminated and Action was moved to Priority Initiative 2 and renumbered |

| 2.39 | Forest Buffer- Streamside with Exclusion Fencing | 2.5 acres | YCCD | Countywide | 2019-2025 | Dedicated education & outreach staff | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | 09/21: No new update. See PracticeKeeper for details. 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical details. Need willing landowners. Targeted outreach. | Priority Initiative 3 was eliminated and Action was moved to Priority Initiative 2 and renumbered |
|------|--|-----------|------|------------|-----------|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| 2.40 | Grass Buffer – Streamside with Exclusion Fencing | 5 acres | YCCD | Countywide | 2019-2025 | Dedicated education & outreach staff | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | 09/21: No new update. See PracticeKeeper for details. 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical details. Need willing landowners. Targeted outreach. | Priority Initiative 3 was eliminated and Action was moved to Priority Initiative 2 and renumbered |
| 2.41 | Forest Buffer – Narrow with Exclusion Fencing | 2.5 acres | YCCD | Countywide | 2019-2025 | Dedicated education & outreach staff | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | 09/21: No new update. See PracticeKeeper for details. 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical details. Need willing landowners. Targeted outreach. | Priority Initiative 3 was eliminated and Action was moved to Priority Initiative 2 and renumbered |
| 2.42 | Grass Buffer – Narrow with Exclusion Fencing | 5 acres | YCCD | Countywide | 2019-2025 | Dedicated education & outreach staff | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | 09/21: No new update. See PracticeKeeper for details. 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical details. Need willing landowners. Targeted outreach. | Priority Initiative 3 was eliminated and Action was moved to Priority Initiative 2 and renumbered |

| 2.43 | Grass Buffer | 5 acres | YCCD | Countywide | 2019-2025 | Dedicated education & outreach staff | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | 09/21: No new update. See PracticeKeeper for details. 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical details. Need willing landowners. Targeted outreach. | Priority Initiative 3 was eliminated and Action was moved to Priority Initiative 2 and renumbered |
|------|---|--------------------|------|------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| 2.44 | Grass Buffer- Narrow | 5 acres | YCCD | Countywide | 2019-2025 | Dedicated education & outreach staff | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | 09/21: No new update. See PracticeKeeper for details. 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical details. Need willing landowners. Targeted outreach. | Priority Initiative 3 was eliminated and Action was moved to Priority Initiative 2 and renumbered |
| 2.45 | Non Urban Stream Restoration - Floodplain | 73,500 linear feet | YCCD | Countywide | 2019-2025 | Timely DEP GP-11 reviews | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | 09/21: No new update. See PracticeKeeper for details. 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical details. Need willing landowners. Targeted outreach. | Priority Initiative 3 was eliminated and Action was moved to Priority Initiative 2 and renumbered |
| 2.46 | Urban Stream Restoration | 7,000 linear feet | YCCD | Countywide | 2019-2025 | Timely DEP GP-11 reviews | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | 09/21: No new update. See PracticeKeeper for details. 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical details. Need willing landowners. Targeted outreach. | Priority Initiative 3 was eliminated and Action was moved to Priority Initiative 2 and renumbered |

| 2.47 | Wetland Restoration - Headwater | 7 acres | YCCD | Countywide | 2019-2025 | Timely DEP GP-11 reviews | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | 09/21: No new update. See PracticeKeeper for details. 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical details. Need willing landowners. Targeted outreach. | Priority Initiative 3 was eliminated and Action was moved to Priority Initiative 2 and renumbered |
|------|-------------------------------------|---------|------|------------|-----------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| 2.48 | Wetland Restoration - Floodplain | 7 acres | YCCD | Countywide | 2019-2025 | Timely DEP GP-11 reviews | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | 09/21: No new update. See PracticeKeeper for details. 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical details. Need willing landowners. Targeted outreach. | Priority Initiative 3 was eliminated and Action was moved to Priority Initiative 2 and renumbered |
| 2.49 | Wetland Creation - Floodplain | 7 acres | YCCD | Countywide | 2019-2025 | Timely DEP GP-11 reviews | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | 09/21: No new update. See PracticeKeeper for details. 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical details. Need willing landowners. Targeted outreach. | Priority Initiative 3 was eliminated and Action was moved to Priority Initiative 2 and renumbered |
| 2.50 | Wetland Creation - Headwater | 7 acres | YCCD | Countywide | 2019-2025 | Timely DEP GP-11 reviews | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | Included under Action #2.38 | 09/21: No new update. See PracticeKeeper for details. 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical details. Need willing landowners. Targeted outreach. | Priority Initiative 3 was eliminated and Action was moved to Priority Initiative 2 and renumbered |

| | 7 acres | YCCD | Countywide | 2019-2025 | Timely DEP GP-11 | Included | Included | Included | Included | 09/21: No new update. See | Priority |
|-------------|-------------|------|------------|-----------|------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| | | | | | reviews | under Action | under Action | under Action | under Action | PracticeKeeper for details. | Initiative 3 |
| | | | | | | #2.38 | #2.38 | #2.38 | #2.38 | | was |
| | | | | | | | | | | 12/20: See FieldDoc for numerical | eliminated |
| 2.51 | Wetland | | | | | | | | | details. | and Action |
| 2.31 | Enhancement | | | | | | | | | Need willing landowners. Targeted | was moved |
| | | | | | | | | | | outreach. | to Priority |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Initiative 2 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | and |
| | | | | | | | | | | | renumbered |

Phase 3 Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP) Progress and Milestones Template

Each county-based local area will use this template to identify:

- 1. Inputs These are both existing and needed resources, public and private, to implement the identified priority initiative. These include both technical and financial resources, such as personnel, supplies, equipment and funding.
- 2. Process what is each partner able to do where and by when. These are the action items listed under each priority initiative.
- 3. Outputs and outcomes both short and long-term. These are the priority initiatives identified by each county. The performance targets are the intermediate indicators that will measure progress.
- 4. Implementation challenges any potential issues or roadblocks to implementation that could impede outputs and outcomes.

Asterisk: Place an asterisk next to the action number(s) for action items that appear in both the County Planning and Progress Template and the Programmatic Recommendations Template.

For each Priority Initiative or Program Element: Use the fields, as defined below, to identify the inputs and the process that will be followed to achieve each priority initiative. This is the "who, what, where, when and how" of the plan:

Description = What. This may include programs that address prevention, education, or as specific as planned BMP installations that will address the Priority Initiative. A programmatic or policy effort will require some ability to quantify the anticipated benefits which will allow calculation of the associated nutrient reductions.

Performance Target = How. This is an extension of the Description above. The Performance Target details the unique BMPs that will result from implementation of the Priority Initiative and serves as a benchmark to track progress in addressing the Priority Initiative. Performance Targets may be spread across multiple Responsible Parties, Geographies, and Timelines based on the specifics of the Initiative.

Responsible Party(ies) = Who. This is/are the key partner(s) who will implement the action items though outreach, assistance or funding, and who will be responsible for delivering the identified programs or practices.

Geographic Location = Where. This field identifies the geographic range of the planned implementation. This could extend to the entire county or down to a small watershed, based on the scale of the Priority Initiative, range of the Responsible Party, or planned funding/resources. NOTE: Resource limitations alone should not limit potential implementation as additional funding may become available in the future.

Expected Timeline = When. Provide the expected completion date for the planned activity. This should be a reasonable expectation, based on knowledge and experience, that will aid in tracking progress toward addressing the Priority Initiative.

Resources Available: Technical & Funding = This field will note technical and financial resources secured/available to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the resources identified in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole; or, if available, to each action.

Resources Needed: Technical & Funding = This field will note technical and financial resources needed/outstanding to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the additional resources projected and identified as needed in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole; or, if possible, to each action.

Potential Implementation Challenges/Issues = This field will note challenges and issues that may delay program implementation (Description).

Progress to Date = This field will be used for annual reporting on priority initiatives. This is a description of the action(s) your county took toward achieving the priority initiative. Examples include education and outreach, programmatic changes, etc. For numeric priority initiatives your county can retrieve those numbers directly from *FieldDoc* or put in the column "See *FieldDoc*."

Reason for Change to Action Item = This field will be used for two-year milestone updates. This field allows for your county to adjust your original targets and goals based on progress to date. Your county may adjust goals and targets up or down based on your progress to date. This field requires a reason as to the reason of change, whether up or down.