Action #		rshed Implementations  as been completed or is more Performance Target(s)				nes Report- Adams  untered minor obstacles  Potential Implementation Challenges or Recommendations	•	has not been t		untered a serious b es <u>Needed</u>	parrier <u>Highlight</u> changes for 2022-2023 milestone period 2020+2021 Progress to Date 2022-2023 Milestone Changes to Action Items ( <mark>highlighted</mark> )
							Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	
Priorit	ty Initiative 1: Re	porting and Tracki	ing								
1.1	Initiate additional water quality monitoring sites that promote long-term trend evaluation at key locations in Adams County	Additional sites as needed to evaluate Adams County progress as well as progress for specific land uses or projects in the county  Additional monitoring capabilities needed at monitoring station at East Berlin and Bridgeport Stations to analyze nitrogen and phosphorus  Increase technical capacity to be able to evaluate loading trends  Work w/ state so citizen stream monitoring data can be utilized  It is important to monitor implementation		Adams County	2025	Additional funding and coordination with USGS	*	Cost savings may be realized if existing stations can be upgraded where possible	Year 1 equipment cost/setting up East Berlin & Bridgeport to collect N &P  Annual costs maintaining equipment, developing regression models, calibration, displaying on the web	\$190,000 \$75,000/yr per site East Berlin & Bridgeport	Still no significant funding towards monitor upgrades, costs have only gone up since these initial estimates.  WACC volunteers still continue local water testing throughout the county.  2022  Still not significant funding available for the monitor upgrades, which will be a significant cost.  Still working with WACC volunteers doing water testing throughout the county.  2021  Discussions were held with USGS about installing the two monitors at the location listed. However, project ran into significant funding barriers.  DEP discussed the idea of SRBC getting more into water nutrient monitoring.  Staff will be partnering with local WAAC volunteers to do targeted water monitoring in the Conewago Watershed. 2020  Reached out to York County to discuss how they pursued nutrient monitoring in their

	progress in terms water quality, no using the model						County. York County Commissioners agreed to a cost sharing agreement with USGS.  Received information and will be reaching out to USGS to begin the conversation.  Adams County has not found the same funding source that was utilized in York County.
1.2	Improve data collection on urban non-structural practices  Chapter 102 prov more credit for n structural practic	on- Conservation	ams County Ongoing	Long-term compliance.  Maintaining accurate BMP inventory.	* *	*	<ul> <li>Some BMPs are being tracked when the Conservation District receives Notice of Termination (NOT) forms for National Pollutant Discharge Eliminations System (NPDES) sites.</li> <li>E&amp;S Staff are evaluating using Practice Keeper and database for new E&amp;S/NPDES Plan submissions.</li> <li>E&amp;S staff have come to CAP Coordinator with potential projects found through site inspections.</li> </ul>
							<ul> <li>Some BMPs are being tracked when the Conservation District receives Notice of Termination (NOT) forms for National Pollutant Discharge Eliminations System (NPDES) sites.</li> <li>E&amp;S Staff are evaluating using Practice Keeper and database for new E&amp;S/NPDES Plan submissions.</li> </ul>
							<ul> <li>Some BMPs are being tracked when the Conservation District receives Notice of Termination (NOT) forms for National Pollutant Discharge Eliminations System (NPDES) sites.</li> <li>Some municipalities are beginning to implement on property BMP inspections.         <ul> <li>2020</li> </ul> </li> <li>Some BMPs are being tracked when Conservation District receives Notice of</li> </ul>

1.3	Required Urban Nutrient management plans	DEP, SCC, Landscape Industry	Statewide	2020	Commercial and home use of nutrients should be evaluated in the CAST model, more data are needed on current application levels.	* *	*	*	Termination forms (NOT) for National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) sites.  Coordinator/Urban Team can work with Municipalities to track non-structural BMPs through Field Doc.  2023  Coordinator and DEP have worked out a template to be used for Urban Nutrient Management  We have gotten some interest from the Gettysburg National Park in writing an Urban Nutrient Management plan. Golf courses, small landowners, and schools have been less interested.
									Still working with DEP on templates for Urban Nutrient Management Plans (Homes, Golf Courses, Schools, Parks, etc).  Looking at utilizing a template for Urban Nutrient Management Planning used by Virginia National Parks, hoping to work with Gettysburg National Park on this effort  Legislation has been passed regarding Urban Nutrient Management.  2021  Statewide Urban Nutrient Management Legislation has been introduced but will need to be passed to see benefits.  2020  Senate Bill 915- Introduces statewide nutrient standards.  It's good that this bill has been introduced, but we need it passed for us to see benefit from it.

1.4	Establish baseline of	Develop/implement a	DEP	Adams County	Ongoing	Verification of	*	* *Staffing	* <u>2023</u>
	current practices	process for capturing		and Statewide		BMP's is time			Very little progress to date on Precision
		current agricultural				intensive.			Dairy Feeding still attempting to work with
		best management							SCC/DEP on verification methods
		practices that are							Adams County partnering with Franklin
		unreported				Identify the types			and Cumberland Counties as well as
						of unreported,			Capital RC&D and the Alliance for the Bay
						currently installed			on a NFWF funded BMP Re-verification
		Create a systematic				BMPs that would			program. This project will also look to
		process and database				provide significant			install new BMPs.
		for inventorying				credit if verified.			Adams County worked with an Ag BMP Re-
		stormwater				Focus on verifying those BMPs.			verification tech this year with funding
		management practices and facilities in MS4				those bivies.			provided through DEP.
		and non-MS4							
		municipalities				Privacy concern			
		mamorpances				Trivacy concern			<u>2022</u>
									No progress has been made on Precision
									Dairy Feeding.
									Adams partnered with Franklin and
									Cumberland Counties, as well as Capital
									RC&D on a NFWF Grant to fund a BMP Re-
									verification program.
									Coordinator is assisting with Ag Plan entry
									on Practice Keeper.
									Adams County applied for Ag BMP
									verification assistance through CB Tech
									application.
									Manure Incorporation is now
									verifiable/reportable in Practice Keeper.
									<u>2021</u>
									Coordinator's job is not necessarily to do
									verification, additional staffing could be
									helpful in this regard.
									Many BMPs are falling out of practice and
									are not able to be reverified for various
									reasons.
									<ul> <li>DEP/Bay Program still unsure of</li> </ul>
									verification on many BMPs such as Manure
									Incorporation and Dairy Precision Feeding.
									Coordinator is discussing a verification
									program with other county coordinators.

			However, there are issues such as practice
			keeper access and funding.
			<u>2020</u>
			<ul> <li>Coordinator is working with DEP to</li> </ul>
			develop standards verifications processes
			and standards.
			<ul> <li>Coordinator sharing DEP/EPA acceptable</li> </ul>
			reporting methods with planning team.
			<ul> <li>Working with technical team to help</li> </ul>
			develop new verification standards for
			Precision Dairy Feeding.
			<ul> <li>DEP is unsure of how to verify Manure</li> </ul>
			Incorporation and Dairy Precision Feeding.
			Coordinator role is not necessarily help
			with verification of practices.

Pric	rity Initiative 2: A	chieve Pollutant F	Reductions									
2.1	Establish a set of	BMP Implementation	ACOPD,	Adams County	2025	There are	Resources	Resources	Substantial	Substantial		<u>2023</u>
	BMPs that will achieve		Conservation			considerable	available for	available	staffing and	staffing and	•	Technical Appendix continues to be shared
	desired pollutant		District, NRCS,			resource	implementati	for	technical	technical		with new partners and local events,
	reductions in an		Municipalities,			limitations to	on of existing	implement	resources will	resources will		meetings, and site visits.
	efficient and cost-		DEP, EPA,			implement BMPs	programs	ation of	be needed to	be needed to	•	Priority continues to be buffers and stream
	effective manner		Stakeholders			as described		existing	fully	fully		restoration work as there is a lot of need
						throughout this		programs	implement	implement the		for that kind of work in Adams County.
						template.			the proposed	proposed		
									BMPs	BMPs		<u>2022</u>
											•	Technical Appendix continues to be shared
												at local events and meetings.
											•	Buffers and Advanced Nutrient
												Management continue to be priority
												projects, Adams County is also moving into
												Ag Plan entry and a potential BMP re-
												verification program (Pending funding).
												<u>2021</u>
											•	Technical Appendix has been shared with
												staff, and many local partner
												organizations.
											•	Priority projects continue to be Buffers
												(both Ag and Urban), Advanced Nutrient
												Management, and Stream Restoration
												(both Ag and Urban). Dairy Precision
												Feeding and Manure Incorporation will be
												priority once verification methods are
												understood.

											<ul> <li>Set of BMPs has been compiled into a technical appendix, which is being evaluated by the Conservation District Staff (See Attached)</li> <li>Prioritizing BMPs based on our resources and county needs.</li> <li>Working with private sector to accomplish our county goals.</li> <li>Buffers continue to be a priority, as does Advanced Nutrient Management.</li> </ul>
2.2	Identify key areas for installation of BMPs with greatest net effect on nutrients	Complete GIS analysis to identify priority areas.  Support legislation as necessary to achieve reductions that make the most economic sense.	ACOPD, Conservation District, DEP, WAAC, ICPRB, SRBC	Adams County	2025	This information is needed to prioritize key areas.  Landowner buy-in.	GIS expertise	Resources available for ongoing GIS analyses	Technical resources needed to complete GIS analysis	Funding needed for staff time and/or funding for external organization to conduct analysis	Continue to identify projects in or below HQ/EV streams to be able to have quicker upgrade results.  2022 Continue to identify projects in or below HQ/EV streams to be able to have quicker upgrade results. Coordinator looking to partner with Chesapeake Conservancy to work on watershed prioritization  2021 Some projects being done in Possum Creek, one of our priority watersheds. Coordinator has also started putting together landowners lists for other priority watersheds.  2020 Working with ICPRB to evaluate ground water/stream nutrient data to determine the heaviest loading areas to prioritize.
2.3	Evaluate new technologies to enhance BMP's	Identify additional technology or improvements to practices to enhance nutrient reductions	DEP, Penn State, NRCS, Conservation District	Adams County, Statewide	2020/Ongoing	Farm operator buy- in as well as funding remains a challenge.	*	*	*	*	<ul> <li>Our discussions with experts and operators in the fruit growing industry did not reveal many opportunities for projects and BMPs. Looking into potential for erosion control on orchard roads</li> </ul>

									<u>2022</u>
									<ul> <li>Continuing to work with Penn State         Extension on Nutrient Management         opportunities within the Fruit Growing         industry.</li> <li>Coordinator looking to partner with         Chesapeake Conservancy to work on         watershed prioritization</li> </ul>
									<u>2021</u>
									<ul> <li>Held a meeting with Penn State Extension to begin working on new BMPs for the fruit growing industry.</li> <li>2020</li> <li>This has not yet been addressed.</li> </ul>
2.4	Conduct pilot studios	Impostigato	DED Donn	Adams Count	2020/Ongoing	Financial and *	* *	*	2022
2.4	Conduct pilot studies to identify and/or confirm efficiency of practices.	Investigate opportunities to improve efficiency of BMP's	DEP, Penn State, NRCS, Conservation District, Private Sector	Adams Count, Statewide	2020/Ongoing	technical resources will be needed but will not be able to be specified until pilots are introduced			The Rosetree Project was relatively successful. There were serious communication issues with Rosetree as well as missed deadlines.
									<u>2022</u>
						Landowner participation will be crucial			<ul> <li>The Rosetree Project has been fairly successful throughout the county and is something the Conservation District may look to expand on this program.</li> </ul>
									<u>2021</u>
									<ul> <li>Contracted with Rosetree Consulting to test the efficiency of Advanced Nitrogen Management programs.</li> <li>2020</li> <li>NRCS, Conservation District, and Penn</li> </ul>
									<ul> <li>State Extension are developing a program to test Advanced Nutrient Management on field plots.</li> <li>NRCS identified that they may have funds available for this project.</li> </ul>

									The collaborative group is in the process of
									gathering information from landowners.
2.5	Provide support for necessary legislation and regulatory changes.	Support legislation and regulatory and policy changes that will support county initiatives.  Support legislation for urban nutrient management that will establish requirements for the application of fertilizer for commercial operations (including golf courses) and homeowners.	DEP and PA legislature, local non-profit groups	Statewide, Adams County	2019/Ongoing	Support legislation and regulatory and policy changes that will provide the ability to utilize new and proven technology to reduce pollutant levels.  Support establishing requirements for urban nutrient management including planning for commercial operations (including golf courses) and homeowners regarding the application of fertilizer.	* *	* *	<ul> <li>Legislative video presentation was sent to local legislators and has also been posted on our new/updated Conservation District Website.</li> <li>2022</li> <li>Coordinator recorded video presentation that was sent to local and state legislators. This video discussed potential legislative changes, and issues that legislators at both levels could assist with.</li> <li>2021</li> <li>Once the Legislative/Policy Team is fully established, legislative support will be their role.</li> <li>Supporting legislation could also be done by local groups such as ACTU and WAAC.</li> <li>2020</li> <li>Urban Nutrient Management legislation introduced, however it must be passed in order to see benefit.</li> <li>Looking for partners to support legislation,</li> </ul>
									working with Policy/Legislation Team, which will include local legislators.
Agricul	tural Sector								
2.6	General Challenges with BMP implementation		Conservation District, NRCS, DEP, Army Corps, PDA, SCC, PAFB, FWS, PGC, NPS, landowners, Municipalities	Statewide	2019	Reduce administrative burdens of permits and current funding to better utilize our current resources: Simplify Chapter 105 permitting and create block grants for BMPs fixing existing resource concerns.		\$150,000/yr	<ul> <li>Chapter 105 permits remain an extremely time consuming and burdensome process. Moving to a strictly electronic version has made the process even more complicated.</li> <li>Positions within the district have been shuffled around to create a new ACAP Coordinator position to handle the ACAP funding.</li> <li>Thanks to DEP funding the District was able to bring in a BMP verification tech</li> <li>Despite this there still remains issues with consistent</li> </ul>

		Allow for MS4's to install BMPs outside of their MS4 area (municipal cost savings but more load reduced). (1.5x rate?)		
		Additional funding for technicians and BMPs needed to additionally increase current outputs		
		Allow flexible funding options to meet landowner's needs		
		Confidentiality of agricultural operators/produce rs		
		Verification of BMPs: annual/periodic BMPs and verification beyond installation would be difficult, time consuming, and require additional support.		
		Identify mechanism and		_

 Flexible block funding offered by DEP continues to be very helpful, it would be great to see this simplicity expand to other funding sources and permitting programs.

## 2022

- Still no funding for new Ag Techs in Adams County.
- Chapter 105 permits remain an extremely time consuming and burdensome process.
   Moving to a strictly electronic version has made the process even more complicated.
- Verification issues for some BMPs still have not been addressed or solved.
- Flexible block funding offered by DEP has been very helpful, it would be great to see this simplicity expand to other funding sources and permitting programs.

## <u>2021</u>

- No funding for new Ag-Techs.
- Little progress has been made on 105 permitting process and it remains an extremely time-consuming burden.
- Verification processes for many BMPs still unknown, Coordinator continues to work with DEP and others on this issue.
- MS4s now have a 1-mile buffer radius to perform work outside their MS4 zone.
- DEP, and other programs have offered flexible block grants that have proven to be helpful.

## 2020

- Permitting, specifically 105 is still a very time-consuming burden, Conservation
   District staff have reached out to chapter
   105 program and taken members of 105, and Bay Office to tour sites. Multiple meetings have been held on this topic with little progress being made.
- No funding for new Ag-Techs.
- Verification processes for many BMPs still. unknown, but Coordinator is working with DEP on this issue.

		party to verify BMPs.  Getting proper credit for current BMPs if there is value.  Need ability to implement BMPs on Nation Park		<ul> <li>MS4s now have a 1-mile buffer radius, a step in the right direction.</li> <li>CBF has offered a flexible buffer grant.</li> <li>Bay Office has offered block grants, and we have applied for funding through these grants.</li> <li>Continuing to have conversations with the Bay Office about 105 permitting.</li> <li>Partners in Adams County have applied for and received buffer resources through CBF.</li> </ul>
2.7 Soil Health High Residual Ti acres annually Conservation Til acres annually Cover Crop - 30, annually Cover Crop w/FG Nutrients - 10,0 annually Prescribed Grazi 3,500 total acre. Soil Conservation Quality Plans - 1 acres annually Manure Incorpo 10,000 acres and	District, NRCS, RC&D, DEP, PDA, SCC, Plan Writers, landowners and operators  all 00 acres  any mg — s n/Water 101,000  ration —	Service property.  Need dedicated source of funding for Cover Crops.  Mechanism/staff to verifying plans and annual practices.  Weather and soils severely impact feasibility of implementation.  Determine where and how vertical tillage can be used in a farmer's conservation plan and for incorporating manure.	verification of practices  verification of practices  verification cover crops (\$45/acre) (verification cost not included)  \$1,010,000 Ag E&S plans (\$500 plan/50 acres) (verification of plans not included)	<ul> <li>District is looking at using ACAP to fund a temporary cover crop program in Adams County. However, the same issues (weather, timing of harvest, etc) will continue to affect these numbers.</li> <li>Coordinator participated in the RC&amp;D Cover Crop Survey this year.</li> <li>More staff required for a significant advance in plan writing. Adams County does not have a designated plan writer.</li> <li>NRCS continues to help fund many of these projects</li> <li>See Practice Keeper/Field Doc for BMP details</li> <li>2022</li> <li>Many issues can affect cover crop numbers that are beyond our control, (Weather, timing, etc.)</li> <li>The benefits of cover cropping are well understood by the Ag Community in Adams County. A more permanent funding source will be needed to consistently see higher numbers. This suggestion comes from Ag staff, and local farmers from our Cover Crops Advisory Team.</li> <li>Only significant way to accelerate BMP implementation is through more staffing,</li> </ul>

			does not have a designated plan writer.  Coordinator is entering backdated plans into Practice Keeper.  Manure Incorporation is now a reportable BMP in Practice Keeper.  NRCS also helping to fund and implement these practices.  See Field Doc/Practice Keeper for more detailed BMP information.  2021  To increase cover cropping in Adams County a permanent funding source is needed, similar to Maryland. This conclusion has been reached by our Ag
		•	staff, and our Cover Crops Advisory Team.  Many uncontrollable issues such as timing and weather exist that hinder cover crop numbers.  Staff is working on developing a grant-based cover crops program, but this program will be very costly to significantly increase numbers.  Only way to accelerate BMP implementation without more staffing resources is to work with private sector on projects they implement almost
		•	completely on their own.  Manure Incorporation is a BMP that Adams County wants to begin work on, but verification is not yet known. See Field Doc for more detailed BMP Numbers.  2020  Only way to accelerate implementation without additional technical resources or staffing is to work with private sector on
			projects that they can completely do on their own.  Cover Crops- Working to create a more permanent cover crop program/funding source like in Maryland. Looking at this at the state or the county level, whichever is available.

 More staff also required for a significant advance in plan writing. Adams County

										<ul> <li>To increase cover cropping Adams County a more permanent source of funding is needed like that of Maryland.</li> <li>Manure Incorporation- No units have been verified, but this is a practice that the Coordinator is looking into getting on the ground soon. Working with DEP on the verification process.</li> <li>Soil Conservation and Water Quality Plans-Conservation District is sending operators through ag reimbursement program to get new plans written, and get plans put into practice keeper by our Chesapeake Bay Technician and Nutrient Management Specialist.</li> <li>*See Field Doc for BMP Numbers.</li> </ul>
2.8	Nutrient Management	Nutrient Management Core N&P - 104,000 N&P acres annually  Nutrient Management Rate N&P - 10,000 N&P acres annually  Nutrient Management Time N&P -10,000 N&P acres annually	Conservation District, NRCS, SCC, private agricultural contractors, local farmers	Adams County	2025	Mechanism/staff to verifying annual and current practices.  Weather and soils severely impact feasibility of implementation.  Three applications over the growing season rather than one impacted by weather  Equipment to reduce overlap of fertilizer on fields \$30K/per spreader (not practical)	Conservation District staff can educate about these recommende d actions during routine conversations with farmers/lando wners	Verification of practices  1 FTE Adams Co Agronomist	\$1,040,000 Ag Manure & Fertilizer plans (\$500 plan/50 acres) \$100,000/yr	The Rosetree Project was relatively Successful. There were serious communication issues with Rosetree as well as missed deadlines.  Adams County has given the Nutrient Management delegation back to SCC so we will no longer be reviewing/inspecting/reporting on nutrient management plans as we have no one at the district to do that job.  2022  Still no funding for Adams County Agronomist, but Conservation District has been working with private sector (Rosetree) on Advanced Nutrient Management.  Adams County and Rosetree Consulting have met their acreage goals for the Advanced Nutrient Management program specified in our grant.

					Currently no template plans for inorganic fertilizer plans.  Yields vary based on soils and weather.  Establishing soil specific, realistic yield goals requires considerable one-on-one interaction  Several years of results are needed for review and development of a variable rate technology program.			<ul> <li>Agriculture Conservation Assistance         Program will be a significant source of         funding over the next 3 years.         2021     </li> <li>No funding for an Agronomist in Adams         County, Conservation District working with         Private Sector on advanced nutrient         management to reduce the burden on CD         Staff.</li> <li>District Staff have been working with DEP         on a way to implement fertilizer only plans         using nutrient balance sheets.</li> <li>Rosetree Consulting currently working on         an Advanced Nutrient Management         program covering on numerous farms         county wide.         2020     </li> <li>Verification still a hurdle.</li> <li>No funding for an Agronomist in Adams         County, CD working with Private Sector on         advanced nutrient management to reduce         the burden on CD Staff.</li> <li>Adams County is not eligible for CEG.</li> <li>Private sector will provide incentive         payments, and 1 on 1 consulting with         landowners.</li> <li>Received funding through Implementation         Grant for a nutrient management project         in Adams County.</li> <li>Conservation District sending operators to         states plan reimbursement program for</li> </ul>
								<ul> <li>in Adams County.</li> <li>Conservation District sending operators to states plan reimbursement program for manure management plans.</li> </ul>
2.9	Riparian	Stream Restoration – 10,000 new linear feet Grass Buffers w/Exclusion Fencing – 200 new acres Forest Buffer w/Exclusion Fencing – 100 new acres Grass Buffers – 1,000 new acres	Conservation District, USDA, DEP, Army Corps, DCNR, contractors, local farmers, nonprofits, PFBC, CBF, PACD, nonprofits	ty   2025	Chapter 105 permitting a major hurdle for drainage and stream restoration projects.  Prioritize grass waterways on areas of active field	Third party maintenance of buffers	\$2,400,000 Forest buffer planting (\$4,000/ acre)	<ul> <li>2 acres of buffer is being added to an existing buffer with the Gettysburg Municipal Authority, in partnership with the Alliance for the Bay.</li> <li>5 Stream restoration projects being constructed this summer with CAP funds, with another 3 being explored for the future.</li> </ul>

Forest Buffers – 500 new	erosion to capture	Almost 25 000 trace and shrubs have been
acres	soil and nutrients	Almost 25,000 trees and shrubs have been  distributed to lead to design days on the results.
deres		\$7,150,000 distributed to local landowners through
Wetland Restoration – 25	and not based GIS	the District's spring and fall Tree
new acres	database.	Distribution Events.
		eroding fields 2022
	Prioritize forest	(\$6,500/ acre)
	buffers on 3rd	5 Ongoing stream restoration projects,  with many analysis hairs avalanted.
		with more opportunities being explored.
	order streams on	\$937,500 One of these 5 is being constructed
	agricultural lands	fencing currently, 2 more are funded and will
	and 1 <sup>st</sup> /2nd order	associated w/ begin construction late fall 2022, the last 2
	in abandoned ag	buffers are shovel ready and looking for funding.
	land.	Over 12,000 trees will be distributed to
		(\$3,125/ acre) local landowners at the District's Tree
		Distribution Event. Over 10,000 trees
	Buffers are a labor-	were distributed during the Spring Tree
	intensive	\$880,000 Distribution Event.
	management	stream • CAP Funds paid for a nearly 6-acre buffer
	practice.	restoration with a private landowner this summer.
		(\$88/ linear ft) <u>2021</u>
	Incentive program	6 Stream Restoration Projects ongoing in
	needed to	different stages. Projects taking place on
	compensate for	\$156,250 both Ag and Non-Ag lands.
	long-term loss of	wetland  • Nearly 3-acre buffer being installed on
	crops.	restoration local business land in multiple locations.
		(\$6,250/ acre)  • 30 Acres of buffers have been planted this
	Remove buffered	year, with another 20 plus planned for
	lands from tax	next year.
	parcels	\$4,000/0.5 • Multiple other Riparian Buffers being
	parceis	acre of planted on the land of various resident's
	The ability to fix	saturated county wide.
	failing buffers is	• 17 plus acres of grass buffers have been
	needed (e.g.	installed by Conservation District and NRCS
	stream movement	staff with another 25 acres planned for
	over time	next year.
	undermines	Over 8,000 trees will be distributed to
	buffers and they	Adams County residents and landowners
	cannot be fixed	through the CBF K10 program. Trees
	without permits	distributed by District staff and local
	and expense).	partners.
		<u>2020</u>
	Need more	Chapter 105 permitting still a major
	research on value	hurdle.

					-fttd		Consideration and the Materials of
					of saturated buffers.		Coordinator working with Watershed     Coordinator working with watershed
					bullers.		Specialist and advisory teams on these
							projects.
							Over 2,000 seedlings distributed to local
							landowners by ACCD and partners through
							the CBF K10 program.
							• 2.5 acres of new Riparian Buffer in 2020.
							Met with municipal engineers to discuss
							funding for urban stream restoration, from
							these meetings applied for, and received
							partial funding for a restoration project
							that will include buffers and exclusion
							fencing among many other BMPs.
							1,000 acres of Grass Buffers, funding
							through EQUIP.
							344 acres of Forest Buffers, many funded
							through DCNR, CREP, WPC, and CBF.
							* For Other BMP Numbers See Field Doc.
2.10	Other Ag BMPs Land Retirement – 1,500	Conservation	Adams County	2025	Focus on small to	\$5,000,000	<u>2023</u>
	new acres	District, NRCS,			mid-sized animal	new waste	Trying to work with DEP to get solar farms
	Forest Harvesting	contractors,			operations	storage	to be reported as land retirement.
	Practices – 100%	industry, local			needing: well-	(\$1,250/AU)	Waste storage facility applied for through
	Waste Storage Facilities –	farmers, DCNR			placed fencing,		CAP funds last year will be an ACAP
	4,000 new Animal Units				dedicated sacrifice		project.
					areas, and/or	\$1,875,000	NRCS is also assisting with funding and
	Barnyard Runoff Controls				manure storage.	Barnyard	implementation of some of these BMPs.
	– 15 new acres					runoff controls	See Practice Keeper/Field Doc for more
						(\$125,000/	details.
					Expand manure	acre)	
					storage capacity	·	
					for dairy		<u>2022</u>
					operations to six		
					months of storage:		Conservation District planning a new
					expand		Waste Storage Facility, this will likely be a
					infrastructure,		CAP Implementation Grant project.
					covering and/or		NRCS is also assisting with funding and
					digesters.	Proposed cost	implementation of some of these BMPs.
						for reduction	See Field Doc and Practice Keeper for more
					E al ala	of N - \$8-10/lb	detailed BMP information.
					Evaluate exporting	(1.1 M lb	<u>2021</u>
					or the	annual	2021
					reintroduction of	reduction =	
					existing technology	аррх \$10М)	
					(utilize local energy		

						and nutrient reduction facility) to address local poultry manure management			<ul> <li>NRCS has installed many barnyard runoff and other ag projects, with more planned for next year.</li> <li>4 new Waste Storage Facilities have been constructed using EQIP funds with more planned for the near future.</li> </ul>
						Land retirement would be implemented when converted to solar farm  Options for extending manure storages may be outside normal funding priorities  Satellite storage facilities for manure may not be used for multiple purposes.			<ul> <li>Conservation District is working with DEP to identify solar fields as retired ag land.</li> <li>* See Field Doc for BMP Numbers.</li> <li>Coordinator is working with ag techs to evaluate new waste storage facilities and innovative ways to find funding.</li> <li>Barnyard and Waste Management work being done by NRCS.</li> </ul>
Urban S	Sector			1			I		
2.11	Seek creative solutions to focus on the problem (pollution) within the contributing area (not just within the close vicinity of the MS4/Urban Area)	Redefine MS4 area to allow mitigating efforts to occur outside of the urbanized areas	DEP, ACOPD, municipalities	Adams County	Ongoing	Policy or legislative * change required.	*	*	• DEP released guidelines that allow MS4 communities to work in a mile radius outside of their designated zones. We have not had a good project to utilize this buffer yet.
									<ul> <li>DEP released guidelines that allow MS4 communities to work in a mile radius outside of their designated zones.</li> <li>Coordinator will look to work with MS4s in order to see how best to utilize this buffer area.</li> </ul>

								*			<ul> <li>DEP released guidelines that allow MS4 communities to work in a mile radius outside of their designated zones.</li> <li>MS4 engineers have suggested that 1 mile is still not enough. They suggest looking at more of a watershed approach. Allowing MS4s to do work within local watersheds would be more helpful than a small distance outside of their municipal boundary.</li> <li>2020</li> <li>DEP released guidelines that allow MS4 communities to work in a mile radius outside of their designated zones.</li> </ul>
2.12	Create a documentation program for urban nutrient management	Support current proposed fertilizer legislation for nutrient applications in urban environments.	DEP, Landscaping Industry	Statewide	2020	Legislation required.	*		*		Coordinator and DEP have worked out a template to be used for Urban Nutrient Management.  2022  No documentation program exists. Coordinator trying to work with DEP on this issue. Reaching out to local golf courses, and parks to gauge interest in Urban Nutrient Management  2021  No real progress made. Legislation will be required for this initiative.  2020  Senate introduced statewide nutrient standard legislation. Benefits from the statewide nutrient management bill will not be realized until the bill is passed.
2.13	Install riparian buffers on public lands	Implement riparian buffers on public lands. Try to coordinate  -100' buffers on public parcels greater than 10	ACOPD, Conservation District, WAAC, NRCS, landowners	Adams County	2025	Landowner cooperation and funding required for successful implementation. Security issues may be a constraint of				\$500,000	<ul> <li>Qur next buffer project will be near a water treatment plant owned by Gettysburg Municipal Authority.</li> <li>Another buffer/stream restoration project is in the engineering phase currently at a</li> </ul>

acres (96 acres of		planting trees on			local public park. Project should begin
riparian buffer)		private properties.			construction summer 2025, hopefully with
					CAP funds.
-50' buffers on pub					
parcels less than 10	,	Forest buffer credi			
acres (14 acres of riparian buffer)		challenges – 20			<u>2022</u>
ripuriuri bujjerj		years until tree			a Duffers installed have all been an arrivate
		maturity.			<ul> <li>Buffers installed have all been on private land this year.</li> </ul>
					Looking to work with public parks and
					schools on this initiative moving froward.
		Financial			schools off this initiative moving noward.
		resources.			<u>2021</u>
					2 acres of Riparian Buffers have been
					planted on public land in 2021, with
					another 5 planned for next year.
					<u>2020</u>
					Continuing work with local public partners,
					municipalities, and schools.
					<ul> <li>In the process of completing agreements</li> </ul>
					for a 5 plus acre tree planting in a local
					park.
2.14 Create additional Create forest buffe	rs, ACOPD, Adams Cour	ty 2025 Coordination with	* *	* *	<u>2023</u>
natural areas meadowlands, and	Conservation	municipalities will			The PA Fish and Boat Commission land,
other natural areas		be needed to			The PA Fish and Boat Commission land,     kept up by Adams County Trout Unlimited
that are not consid		comply with			is being turned into a largely natural area.
a nuisance	Alliance for the	ordinances and			One retired farm field is being planted with
	Bay	other planning			trees, there is a stream restoration project
		tools.			on the Conewago Creek that flows through
					the property, and the partnership is also
					creating a nature trail to show off all of the
					work being done on the site.
					<u>2022</u>
					Purchased 58 acres of farm/wetland/trout
					stream that will be preserved and
					protected in perpetuity.
					<u>2021</u>
					ACCD is working with Alliance for the Bay

								acres of lawn conversion on multiple properties across the county.  • A total of 47 acres of natural areas will be created this year between upland tree planting and lawn conversion, with another 20 plus planned for next year.  2020  • Looking heavily into the meadow plantings on both public and private lands, funding through DCNR.
2.15	Establish more Shade Tree Commissions	Establish additional Commissions  50 acres tree planting.	ACOPD, Municipalities, community members	Adams County	Funding is required to develop and implement these programs.	*	* *	This project was being handled by someone who has since retired. This initiative will be picked up once someone new has been hired for that position.
								This project was being handled by someone who has since retired. This initiative will be picked up once someone new has been hired for that position.
								<ul> <li>2021</li> <li>One borough in the County has established a Shade Tree Commissions.</li> <li>ACOPD will be sending information regarding the establishment of Shade Tree Commissions to our other borough partners.</li> </ul>
								<ul> <li>No further Shade Tree Commissions have been established.</li> <li>Coordinator plans to reach out to municipalities across the county to garner interest in Shade Tree Commissions from local leadership.</li> </ul>
2.16	Implement other urban initiatives	Full implementation of the municipal PRP plans:	ACOPD, Municipalities, community members	Adams County, municipalities	2025	Current resources being utilized		• CAP funds are paying for 5 stream restoration projects in Adams County

		Retrofit runoff reductions for 145 treated acres. 3,750 linear feet of urban stream restoration. 16 acres forest buffer. Install 2.5 acres of permeable pavement. Street sweep 109.43 miles.  Dry detention ponds to treat 100 acres. 50 acres treated by rain gardens and 25 acres treated by bioswales.					•	covering over 2,000 linear feet of stream bank.  Coordinator working with municipalities on future Urban BMP Projects.  2022  Coordinator working with Municipalities to install Urban Stormwater BMPs.  CAP Grant is funding multiple Basin Retrofits and Stream Restorations.  Cumberland Township is also implementing a Retention Basin and other Stream Restoration Projects.  2021  Coordinator is currently working closely with multiple municipalities to implement many of these BMPs including Stream Restoration, Retrofit, Detention Ponds, Swales, and Raingardens.
2.17	Promote creations of additional sewage management districts at the municipal level	Proper on-site septic system management	ACOPD, COG, Local municipalities	Adams County, municipalities	Ongoing	Current Staffing	•	Reached out to Adams County MS4s, and other Municipalities.  Met with municipal engineers to discuss project ideas and assisting them with getting funding.  Creating a framework document to match projects with funding sources as they become available/are found.  2023  This project was being handled by someone who has since retired. This initiative will be picked up once someone new has been hired for that position.

		This project was being handled by someone who has since retired. This initiative will be picked up once someone new has been hired for that position.
		<u>2021</u>
		<ul> <li>Currently 9 townships in Adams County have Sewage Management Districts.         2020     </li> <li>No action to date.</li> <li>Coordinator will be reaching out to municipal governments and urban landowners to gather interest in this initiative.</li> </ul>

	Develop a strategy to	Nutrient Management	Conservation	Adams County	Ongoing	Financial	Annual No-till	<u>2023</u>
	communicate parallel	Workshop or similar	District,			resources,	forum	The Adecid Control Collins III Montine
	goals of the CAP and	forum	ACOPD, Penn			participant		The Adams County Soil Health Meeting
	local goals such as		State			interest.		was held this year in partnership with the
	water quality, public		Extension,				Adams County	Pennsylvania No Till Alliance. The meetir
	and environmental	Annual No-till Forum	m WAAC, Municipalities,				State of the	was attended by over 120 people.
	health, economic						Waters forum	Coordinator has continued writing
	development, and	School					newsletters for the district and DEP, as	
	others	Adams County State of	Districts, Local					well as articles for local newspapers
		the Waters forum	farmers				Penn State	discussing CAP goals and progress.
							Extension	
							Training	
								<u>2022</u>
								Adams County Soil Health Meeting was
								held this year (both in person and virtual
								options) and dozens of farmers and agen
								staff attended. The topic of the meeting
								was organic farming of Corn and Soybean
								Eric Rosenbaum from Rosetree presented
								his findings so far through the Advanced
								Nutrient Management Program, and
								gathered more interest for the program.
								<u>2021</u>
								The annual Adams County Soil Heal
								Meeting was held virtually this year but w
								still attended by a couple dozen people.

							<ul> <li>A member of Rosetree Consulting spoke about Adams County's Advanced Nutrient Management Program and began signing people up for the program.         2020     </li> <li>Held annual Soil Health Meeting in February of 2020, and plan on holding it virtually in February of 2021. The 2020 meeting was attended by over 125 farmers, topics discussed include advanced nutrient management, and the Adams County CAP.</li> <li>2021 Meeting will feature a representative from Rosetree LLC to speak about our Adams County, Advanced Nutrient Management project, which we applied for CAP funds to complete.</li> <li>Act 220 process has made the Phase 3 WIP a priority and is being incorporated in those discussions, Potomac Regional Committee Prioritizing WIP, this puts a spotlight on the importance of the County CAP.</li> </ul>
Conduct education of commercial landscape industry, golf course owners, school districts, and homeowners regarding nutrient management	Nutrient reduction outside of agriculture	ACOPD including Conservation District, WAAC, Penn State, DEP	Adams County	Ongoing	Legislation/regulati on required.	Nutrient Technician (1 FTE)	<ul> <li>No progress towards Nutrient         Management Tech in Adams County.</li> <li>Interest level from golf courses and schools on nutrient management has thus far been marginal.</li> <li>The Gettysburg National Military Park has shown interest in working on a nutrient management plan for all of their non ag property.</li> <li>Coordinator and DEP have worked out a template to be used for Urban Nutrient Management</li> <li>2022</li> <li>No progress towards Nutrient</li> </ul>

		Park. Unfortunately, response/interest level has been marginal.  Coordinator will also be reaching out to schools to see if they hold more interest in
		Urban Nutrient Management.  2021
		<ul> <li>No progress towards a nutrient management tech in Adams County.</li> <li>Staff is looking into the possibility of getting voluntary NM plans written for large landowners such as golf courses, schools, and share other potential project ideas.</li> <li>2020</li> <li>No progress towards a nutrient management tech in Adams County.</li> <li>Strategizing with staff about setting up a group meeting/call with golf course owners in the county to discuss use.</li> </ul>

## Phase 3 Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP) Progress and Milestones Template

Each county-based local area will use this template to identify:

- 1. Inputs These are both existing and needed resources, public and private, to implement the identified priority initiative. These include both technical and financial resources, such as personnel, supplies, equipment and funding.
- 2. Process what is each partner able to do where and by when. These are the action items listed under each priority initiative.
- 3. Outputs and outcomes both short and long-term. These are the priority initiatives identified by each county. The performance targets are the intermediate indicators that will measure progress.
- 4. Implementation challenges any potential issues or roadblocks to implementation that could impede outputs and outcomes.

Asterisk: Place an asterisk next to the action number(s) for action items that appear in both the County Planning and Progress Template and the Programmatic Recommendations Template.

For each Priority Initiative or Program Element: Use the fields, as defined below, to identify the inputs and the process that will be followed to achieve each priority initiative. This is the "who, what, where, when and how" of the plan:

**Description** = What. This may include programs that address prevention, education, or as specific as planned BMP installations that will address the Priority Initiative. A programmatic or policy effort will require some ability to quantify the anticipated benefits which will allow calculation of the associated nutrient reductions.

**Performance Target** = How. This is an extension of the Description above. The Performance Target details the unique BMPs that will result from implementation of the Priority Initiative and serves as a benchmark to track progress in addressing the Priority Initiative. Performance Targets may be spread across multiple Responsible Parties, Geographies, and Timelines based on the specifics of the Initiative.

Responsible Party(ies) = Who. This is/are the key partner(s) who will implement the action items though outreach, assistance or funding, and who will be responsible for delivering the identified programs or practices.

**Geographic Location** = Where. This field identifies the geographic range of the Priority Initiative, range of the Responsible Party, or planned funding/resources. *NOTE: Resource limitations alone should not limit potential implementation as additional funding may become available in the future.* 

**Expected Timeline** = When. Provide the expected completion date for the planned activity. This should be a reasonable expectation, based on knowledge and experience, that will aid in tracking progress toward addressing the Priority Initiative.

**Resources Available: Technical & Funding =** This field will note technical and financial resources secured/available to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the resources identified in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole; or, if available, to each action.

**Resources Needed: Technical & Funding =** This field will note technical and financial resources needed/outstanding to implement the program (Description). This is the total of the additional resources projected and identified as needed in the County Resources Inventory Template below allocated to the priority initiative as a whole; or, if possible, to each action.

Potential Implementation Challenges/Issues = This field will note challenges and issues that may delay program implementation (Description).

**Progress to Date** = This field will be used for annual reporting on priority initiatives. This is a description of the action(s) your county took toward achieving the priority initiative. Examples include education and outreach, programmatic changes, etc. For numeric priority initiatives your county can retrieve those numbers directly from *FieldDoc* or put in the column "See *FieldDoc*."

Justification for Change to Action Item = This field will be used for two-year milestone updates. This field allows for your county to adjust your original targets and goals based on progress to date. Your county may adjust goals and targets up or down based on your progress to date. This field requires a justification as to the reason of change, whether up or down.