			Responsible	Geographic	Expected	Potential Implementation	Resource	s <u>Available</u>	Resource	es <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date
ction #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Party(ies) and	Location	Timeline	Challenges or	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	(2021 to 2023)
iority	Initiative 1: County Pro	ogrammatic Initiative	S								
1.1A	Implement County Comprehensive Plan policies	Centre Regional Growth Boundary Full	CCPC, growth boundary municipalities	Ferguson, Patton, State	Ongoing	1	Education, outreach, 1	N/A	Local planning coordination	N/A	2023- No progress to date. Municipalities are strategizing ways to incorporate ut grade solar infrastructure into their plans which will have bearing on this Action
L.1B	-	Map existing AMD BMPs and address additional	Moshannon Creek Watershed Association,	Moshannon Creek	2021 – pilot initiation	Majority of Association's work has occurred in Clearfield	Field work,	N/A	Project design	Assume \$500k needed per	2023 - Chesapeake Bay Scientific and Technical Advisory Committee (STAC) is undertaking a technical review of AMD stream restoration and nitrogen process
1.1C	Advance local comprehensive planning efforts Penns Valley, 2006 – Implement the Source Water Protection Plan	document and setting/fulfilling 1 goal.	Centre Hall Borough, Centre Hall Water Authority	Centre Hall, Millheim, Penn Township, Potter Township	1-2 years	authorities to perform education and outreach. Recommendations include putting SWP plans on the municipal websites and consider annual/bi-annual joint meetings and informational mailings to property owners who are in proximity to Zone 1 (well heads).	flyer forms and activities lists. Coordination of meetings	Minimal for printing, postage, website maintenance (\$250)	N/A	N/A	2023- No progress to date. CCPD staffing capacity needs filled to focus on Penns Valley. CCPD hopes to fill required position to advance this initiative in 2024. 2022 - No update. 2021 - The Penns Valley Regional Planning Commission is gaining traction on completing the regional comp plan update and hosted a joint water systems operators' meeting in July 2021. The outcome of the meeting was to foster bette communication and thus coordination between the water systems to address operational issues. Future meetings may include the source water protection discussion to advance initiative.

		<u>Green</u> - action ha	s been completed or is	moving forward	l as planned	Yellow - action has encountere	d minor obsta	cles <u>Red</u> - ac	tion has not be	en taken or has	encountered a serious barrier
			Responsible	Geographic	Expected	Potential Implementation	Resources	s <u>Available</u>	Resourc	es <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Party(ies) and	Location	Timeline	Challenges or	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	(2021 to 2023)
Action # 1.1D	Description Advance local comprehensive planning efforts Penns Valley, update – Facilitate efforts to minimize flood impacts	Performance Target(s) Not set in comp plan As outreach occurs in Penns Valley, be mindful of this need and be on the lookout for opportunity to advance the effort	Party(ies) and Gregg Twp, Millheim Boro, Penn Township, Penns Valley Conservation Association	Location	Timeline 5-10 years	Challenges or Original discussions stemmed		Financial N/A			(2021 to 2023) 2023- No progress to date. CCPD staffing capacity needs filled to focus on Penns Valley. CCPD hopes to fill required position to advance this initiative in 2024. 2022 - No update. 2021 - The administrative capacity is not yet in place and the conversations have not occurred. However, the implementation timeline is far enough out that this item can be reviewed in future progress updates.
1.1E -1	Advance local comprehensive planning efforts Nittany Valley, 2004 – Evaluate areas to establish riparian buffers to stabilize stream banks and limit encroachment Advance local comprehensive planning efforts Nittany Valley, 2004 – Implement a well head/borehole protection for water wells	Utilize Chesapeake Conservancy data set to identify buffer gap project opportunities (2021) Not set in comp plan	Municipalities, Conservation District, Chesapeake Bay Foundation, Pheasants Forever, National Trout Unlimited Municipality, Centre County Planning Office	Region-wide on agricultural lands and developed land	5-10 years 5-10 years	outlined in comp plan and landowners' volunteering to plant riparian buffers unlikely. Adopting ordinances not likely on a regional scale but may require a pilot project in a willing municipality to demonstrate success. With no public water system this would take the place of the traditional source water	execution	N/A			2023- No progress to date. CCPD staffing capacity needs filled to focus on Nittany Valley. CCPD hopes to fill required position to advance this initiative in 2024. 2022 - Buffer gaps are mapped by Chesapeake Conservancy and can be utilized for project development/educational needs that support this goal. 2021 - The Nittany Valley's concentration is on public safety issues and moving into Hazard Mitigation Plan goals. However, the implementation timeline is far enough out that this item can be reviewed in future progress updates. 2023- No progress to date. CCPD staffing capacity needs to filled to focus on Nittany Valley. CCPD hopes to fill required position to advance this initiative in 2024. 2022 - No update. 2021 - The Nittany Valley's concentration is on public safety issues and moving into Hazard Mitigation Plan goals. However, the implementation timeline is far enough out that this item can be reviewed in future progress updates.

		Green - action ha	s been completed or is	moving forward	as planned	Yellow - action has encountered	d minor obsta	cles <u>Red</u> - ac	tion has not b	een taken or ha	s encountered a serious barrier
			Responsible	Geographic	Expected	Potential Implementation	Resource	s <u>Available</u>	Resourc	es <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Party(ies) and	Location	Timeline	Challenges or	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	(2021 to 2023)
1.1E-3		Complete a SWP for Walker- Twp. Water Association 2021 — meet with Walker- Township and Water- Association to facilitate- discussion and identify if a- feasibility study should be- conducted	Municipality, Water Association, DEP, Consultant	Walker Township	1-2 years	The Association structure vs. Authority structure has proven to be problematic for both DEP- technical and financial- assistance. The water system has been non-compliant in recent- years and is in need of expensive repairs. The township should- discuss the feasibility of taking- over the system under an authority and complete a SWP.	Further- discussion- with township; consultant- driven plan.	N/A	N/A	Assume \$300,000- planning cost	2021 - The Walker Township Water Association continues to address system wide issues related to installing new pipe and new hydrants, so source water planning is not (from their perspective) a high priority. Walker Township has not vocalized thei position on acquiring and operating the system under an authority structure. CCPCDO will continue the discussion in 2022, but it is unlikely that a source water protection plan will formulate in this area in the near future.
1.10E-04	J	Participate in the development of "Clean-Healthy Water" goals	Centre Region Planning-Agency, Council of-Governments Climate-Action and Adaptation-Technical Advisory-Group, Centre County-municipalities with-Climate Action Plans	Centre Region	Ongoing	Connect our plans in meaningful ways so that climate action and local water quality goals can mutually benefit	Plan- development	N/A	N/A	N/A	2022 - The final plan indicates a minor connection of clean water to climate adaptation benefits, so there is limited expectation for benefits by 2025. 2021 - The first half of 2021 was spent on getting public input on the development of a Centre Region Climate Action and Adaptation Plan (CAAP) to help prioritize the strategies to include in the plan. The Executive Summary and full reports of the Centre Region Sustainability Survey and the community forum on Addressing Climate Impacts in the Centre Region are available on the COG website https://www.crcog.net/climateaction and https://centresustains.com/. (COG website direct URLs to survey and forum pages: https://www.crcog.net/sustainsurvey and https://www.crcog.net/climate-forum) The second half of 2021 was spent writing the CAAP and having the 6 municipalities adopt it. The Plan was made available for public review in fall 2021 fall. Information can be found at www.crcog.net/climateaction and on FB and IG @centreregionalplanning. The Clean Healthy Water chapter ties to CAP goals and joint implementation will be planned in 2022.
1.1F -1	Advance local comprehensive planning efforts Milesburg/Boggs, ongoing – Work with respective water authorities to implement Source Water Protection Plans	Bi-annual review of plan and joint meeting	_	Within the Zone 1 and Zone 2	1-2 years	authorities to perform education and outreach. Recommendations include putting SWP plans on the municipal websites and consider annual/bi-annual joint meetings and informational mailings to	flyer forms and activities lists. Coordination of meetings	printing, postage, website maintenance (\$250)	N/A	Assume \$300,000 planning cost	2023 - CCPD continues to work with Milesburg/Boggs to update their plan. CCPD staffing capacity needs filled to focus on these municipalities. CCPD hopes to fill required position to advance this initiative in 2024. 2022 - Planning staff attending Spring Creek Watershed meetings to gage more about implementation of the Phase 3 component. Some consideration may be giver from Boggs to explore a well head protection overlay ordinance however much education will be needed. 2021 - This goal drew some interest at June's comp plan team meeting and concern about the extent of future development in the Spring Creek Watershed impacting the Big Spring aquifer were voiced. Team members anticipate future land swaps between the state and private developers that are at the aquifer's headwaters. The Milesburg Borough Water Authority routinely sends DEP reports to customers, so upon plan adoption, this could be a priority goal to launch implementation.

		Green - action ha	s been completed or is	moving forward	l as planned	Yellow - action has encountere	ed minor obstac	cles <u>Red</u> - ac	ction has not b	een taken or has	encountered a serious barrier
			Responsible	Geographic	Expected	Potential Implementation	Resources	s <u>Available</u>	Resourc	es <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Party(ies) and	Location	Timeline	Challenges or	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	(2021 to 2023)
1.1F -2	Advance local comprehensive planning efforts	One-time adoption of ordinance with enforcement contingent upon program cycle of inspection and tank pumping.	Boggs Township, SEO	Upper Boggs Township beyond public sewer extent	5 years	Public acceptance and public hearing meetings may be contentious given associated program fees needed for program sustainability. Landowner education will be needed to promote proper onlot septic system maintenance and risks to groundwater resources. DEP involvement through Act 537 may act as a catalyst.	N/A	N/A	Inventory of property owners with on-lot systems; program tracking software; SEO.	budget, assume \$12,000 per	2023- CCPD continues to work with Milesburg/Boggs to update their plan. CCPD staffing capacity needs filled to focus on these municipalities. CCPD hopes to fill required position to advance this initiative in 2024. 2022 - Municipal staff changes at Boggs will likely delay this item 6-12 months. Solid examples of other programs available for consideration when time appropriate. 2021 - Boggs Township expressed concern about how to start up the program and what costs are associated. They seem open to discussing the program further in concept if the CCPCDO can provide some initial technical support and program start-up costs would be covered by grant.
1.2A	Implement County Hazard Mitigation Plan (2015, update kickoff JUNE 2020)	Improve flood prone areas with BMPs that also enhance water quality	CCPC, municipalities	Spring Mills (Penns, Sinking Creeks); Coburn (Penns, Elk, Pine Creeks)	2023-2025	Funding, land for BMPs	N/A	N/A	Planning, engineering, permitting, construction	\$5,000,000	2023- An update is slated for 2024. All municipalities have been asked to adopt resolutions and contribute their projects to this initiative. 2022 - Spring Mills/Coburn listed similar 2021 HMP goals for flood protection measures. More feedback on community interest will be gained at the HMP annual review September 2022. 2021 - No discussions with Penns Valley regarding hazard mitigation have taken place to date, though this is not planned to start until 2023.
1.2B	Implement County Hazard Mitigation Plan (2015, update 2020-2021)	enhance water quality – address tributaries impaired by ag activities (Little Marsh	•	Milesburg Boro, Boggs Twp (lower Bald Eagle and Spring Creek);	2021 – review flood-resulting pinch points and map out upstream BMP opportunities	_	Planning		Planning, engineering, permitting, construction for ultimate solution	\$5,000,000	2023 - An update is slated for 2024. All municipalities have been asked to adopt resolutions and contribute their projects to this initiative. 2022 - Partnership between Centre County Conservation District and the Farmland Preservation program continued. One field meeting with Conservation District staff and Farmland Preservation coordinator occurred with a current preservation applicant which resulted in one additional conservation plan input into PracticeKeeper. Joint inspections will continue to take place on both preserved and applicant farms. 2021 - Centre County Conservation District established a partnership with the Farmland Preservation program in Centre County planning. County staff and HRG created and mailed a letter about the CAP to all 53 preserved farms in the region. To date, two field meetings with Conservation District staff and the Farmland Preservation coordinator occurred regarding new preserved easements, which resulted in two additional Ag E&S plans to be input into PracticeKeeper. No additional mailings are planned — working on in-person meetings with preserved farm owners to discuss conservation plans & BMPs on property. Currently at 4 field meetings for farmland preservation applications — resulted in two additional Ag E&S Plans to be input into PracticeKeeper as well as two Conservation Plans to be developed. The County Farmland Preservation Program received a grant from American Farmland Trust to create an Action Plan for Soil Health for Preserved Farms. The Centre County Conservation District will be assisting their office with plan development and implementation.

		Green - action ha	s been completed or is	moving forward	l as planned	Yellow - action has encountered	ed minor obsta	cles Red - ac	tion has not be	een taken or has	encountered a serious barrier
			Responsible	Geographic	Expected	Potential Implementation		s <u>Available</u>		es <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Party(ies) and	Location	Timeline	Challenges or	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	(2021 to 2023)
1.3	Update/draft Act 167 Plans	Address climate change storm intensities and enhanced water quality needs with respect to development and agriculture Create new model stormwater ordinance that would require/incentivize additional protections for streams (high quality and impaired)	CCPC, municipal engineers, PSU	Countywide	2021 – discuss- interest to- pursue and- funding- opportunities	Should local involvement exist, funding for Plan update	Institutional- knowledge	N/A	Act 167 Plan- Development	\$350,000-	2022 - The 2022/2023 state budget includes new funding to support the development of Act 167 plans. Centre County currently areas within the County with no Act 167 plan, but with new funding plans to explore opportunities to develop an Act 167 Plan. 2021 - The CAP team discussed the existing Act 167 Plans and resulting model ordinances with key local stakeholders. The results of the discussion is that the ordinances are working as expected for flood mitigation and water quality is addressed through calculations. MS4s will be updating their ordinances based on the new DEP model ordinance in line with their permit implementation schedule.
1.4	Implement County Farmland Preservation Program with farmland preservation program incentives enhancement	Total preservation farm goal (53 farms in program currently – 8,019 acres, average purchase price \$2,291 per acre, ADD 1-2 FARMS EACH YEAR ON AVERAGE) Farmland Trust – 15 parcels Preserved farms will implement and maintain pollution control BMPs beyond what is required for compliance	Trust and Centre County Agricultural Preservation	Ŭ	2021-2022 – explore incentive opportunities		update recommendati on Priority recommendati		Practice Keeper data input/manage ment Legal Support	Assume \$150,000 per farm → \$900,000M \$60,000 per year Assume \$10,000 budget	2023- Partnership between Centre County Conservation District and the Farmland Preservation program continued. Five farms have been slated for preservation in 2023. Typically three farms are preserved annually, but extra funding supported two additional farms entering into preservation. 2022 - Partnership between Centre County Conservation District and the Farmland Preservation program continued. One field meeting with Conservation District staff and Farmland Preservation coordinator occurred with a current preservation applicant which resulted in one additional conservation plan input into PracticeKeeper. Joint inspections will continue to take place on both preserved and applicant farms. 2021 - Centre County Conservation District established a partnership with the Farmland Preservation program in Centre County planning. County staff and HRG created and mailed a letter about the CAP to all 53 preserved farms in the region. To date, two field meetings with Conservation District staff and the Farmland Preservation coordinator occurred regarding new preserved easements, which resulted in two additional Ag E&S plans to be input into PracticeKeeper. No additional mailings are planned — working on in-person meetings with preserved farm owners to discuss conservation plans & BMPs on property. Currently at 4 field meetings for farmland preservation applications — resulted in two additional Ag E&S Plans to be input into PracticeKeeper as well as two Conservation Plans to be developed. The County Farmland Preservation Program received a grant from American Farmland Trust to create an Action Plan for Soil Health for Preserved Farms. The Centre County Conservation District will be assisting their office with plan development and implementation.

		Green - action has	s been completed or is	moving forward	as planned	Yellow - action has encountered	ed minor obsta	cles <u>Red</u> - ac	tion has not be	een taken or has	encountered a serious barrier
			Responsible	Geographic	Expected	Potential Implementation	Resource	s <u>Available</u>	Resourc	es <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Party(ies) and	Location	Timeline	Challenges or	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	(2021 to 2023)
Action #	Establish funding/staff support to assist the Agricultural community (day to day support)	700 farms have their plans,	CCPD, CCCD, Contracted planners		Timeline 2021-2025	Challenges or Limited compliance activities by DEP. Insufficient funding for public sector staff to assist the ag community.		N/A	1 engineer, 1 planner, 2 technicians	\$350,000 per year \$15 per acre for a total cost of \$1,237,500	(2021 to 2023) 2023- CCCD has hired a new Watershed Specialist in support of this initiative. Penn State University is creating a Center for Agriculture Conservation Assistance which will support the agricultural community and train conservation professionals in agricultural BMPs. 2022 - The Foundation for Pennsylvania Watersheds applied for a Growing Greener grant totaling \$1.6 million to support additional ag engineering needs along with increased farm visits. CAST Progress Reports trend analysis have been used to determine new BMP goals. 2021 - Information pulled from October 1, 2020 through July 15, 2021 (not inclusive of information in PracticeKeeper prior to this date): New Act 38 plan acreage: 1,753 acres New MMP plan acreage: 4,322 acres New Ag E&S plan acreage: 5,546 acres The Centre County Conservation District applied for, and received, a Growing Greener grant to pay Contract Plan Writers to develop plans for interested farmers in Centre County. The Plan Writer develops both plans (not Act 38) if needed by the operation. The grant pays the Plan Writer directly (anywhere from \$350-\$1500 per plan). The grant requires the farmer to pay \$50 (total), which considerably alleviates the financial burdens associated with obtaining plans. Daina Beckstrand is the certified Act 38 Specialist in the office and she reviews all Act 38 plans for Centre County producers. Allyson Ulsh is working under a provisional certification to also review Act 38 plans.
1.5B	Develop plans	2 plans per month per consultant, assume backlog is complete (ag E&S and manure mgmt. plans)	CCCD, Contracted planners	Centre County ag land	2022-2023	Utilize outreach program to get landowners signed up for this during Winter 2020/2021	Contracted planners	\$50/plan to be paid to contractor	N/A	N/A	2023- Through their 649 Growing Greener Grant funding, CCCD contracted with commercial plan writers to prepare plans. The contractors have prepared 70 plans for Centre County through 2023. 2022 - Currently, only one of our three plan writers is still active, though one may begin writing plans for us again by the end of the year. The conservation district is requesting a one-year extension on the growing greener 701 grant used to pay the plan writers and may consider adding another plan writer in 2023 to bring our writer back up to three. 2021 - Now working with three plan writers (new plan writer started in June 2021). January 2020 to July 2021, 31 plans written by private plan writers through the District's Growing Greener grant. 6/1/2019 (Date of grant received) – Plan Writers first began in January 2020, Total as of 8/23/2021 is 35 plans written.

		Green - action ha	as been completed or is	moving forward	l as planned	Yellow - action has encountered	ed minor obsta	cles <u>Red</u> - ad	ction has not b	een taken or ha	s encountered a serious barrier
			Responsible	Geographic	Expected	Potential Implementation		s Available		es Needed	Annual Progress to Date
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Party(ies) and	Location	Timeline	Challenges or	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	(2021 to 2023)
1.5C	Gathering	Collect data regarding existing farm plans and implementation progress 50 farms per year> 200 farms through 2025	CCCD	Ag land use area	2021-2025	Farmer knowledge of the location and contents of their plan, obtaining copies of existing plans to determine if they are up to date	-	\$200,000	N/A	N/A	2023 - 52 inspections have occurred. Currently in Phase 1. 2022 - The Chesapeake Bay Agricultural Inspection Program is two inspections behind from the previous fiscal year, increasing the required inspections for the present year to 52. All farms inspected are still in the Phase 1 stage. 2021 - The Chesapeake Bay Agricultural Inspection Program is on schedule for 2021 and plan information is being entered into PracticeKeeper as part of the program workflow. As of May 31, 2021: 51 farms inspected. The Phase 1 inspection scope is completed in addition to farm networking, operational details, funding programs and the farmer's resource concerns. Implementation is discussed in relation to BMPs listed in the plans as well as updating plans at the time of inspection to include BMPs that have been installed but not previously recorded in existing Plans. "Inspections" are often not the forefront of the conversation as the term does not foster a two-way relationship within the agricultural community.
1.5D		Collect data regarding existing farm plans and implementation progress ~45 farms per year> 170 farms through 2025	CCCD, HRG, Ag Consultants, NRCS, Chesapeake Conservancy	Ag land use area	2021-2025	Farmer knowledge of the location and contents of their plan, obtaining copies of existing plans to determine if they are up to date		Conservation Plan Inventory	Existing plan	Assume 20 plan per year (\$120,000 per year)	s 2023 - Conservation District staff continue to populate PracticeKeeper with existing agricultural plan information as Bay inspections occur. Further, the BMP Verification funding secured in 2022 was used to field verify practices that already exist in the field. The entire dataset has not yet been field verified but the Conservation District continues to utilize part of its annual budget to further map and verify practices across the County. A subconsultant, HRG, was hired to run remote sensing methods and field verify grazing and other structural agricultural practices in two townships. 2022 - In the Spring of 2022, HRG participated in a beta testing group for the PracticeKeeper 3rd Party Module. PADEP initially released the module in June of 2022 before recalling the system for additional bug fixes. Phase 2 of the BMP verification grant submitted by the Foundation for PA Watersheds includes budget for interns from Susquehanna University to enter BMPs into PracticeKeeper. 2021 - As of July 15, 2021: 11 additional plans (not collected through inspection program). Farmers provided them to the Conservation District as a result of their relationships with landowners and staff's discussions with them during phone calls, REAP application rounds, etc.

		Green - action ha	s been completed or is i	moving forward	as planned	Yellow - action has encountere	d minor obsta	cles <u>Red</u> - ac	tion has not be	een taken or has	encountered a serious barrier
			Responsible	Geographic	Expected	Potential Implementation	Resource	s <u>Available</u>	Resourc	es <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Party(ies) and	Location	Timeline	Challenges or	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	(2021 to 2023)
1.6∧	LandscapeU Partnership — Planning	Receive project opportunity/prioritization deliverable to assist with 2021 implementation steps	PSU Profs — Erica Smithwick, Jason Kaye; graduate students	Legacy- sediment- landscapes Riparian- Properties Preserved- Farms	2021	Student ownership of assignment, water quality monitoring data compatibility/consistency, GIS-knowledge	Prioritization deliverable Background data Spring Creek Watershed Atlas WRMP Report		N/A	N/A	2022 - Students advanced the 2021 research in the 2021-2022 school year. WQ monitoring status database and mill dam story map with some project location priorities have been provided. We'll see if the 2022-2023 cohort of students are interested in advancing these studies into implementation (Action 1.6B). 2021 - Centre County received the student reports from the 2020-2021 academic year and is considering the conclusions for next steps and additional student project opportunities. Link to one of the public reports: Overlap of Biophysical Need for and Sociodemographic Indicators of Willingness to Adopt Riparian Buffers in Centre County, PA (psu.edu)
1.6B	LandscapeU Partnership - Implementation	Develop graduate students so that they can effectively engage in landowner outreach during implementation years		Legacy sediment landscapes Riparian Properties Preserved Farms	2022-2025	Continued graduate engagement as students graduate through program, implementation funding	Outreach boots on the ground		Digital and print materials highlighting local projects	TBD – might link to other Priority Initiatives' budgets	2023 - Students advanced the 2022 research in the 2022-2023 school year. The students advanced the research from the 2022-2023 school year by utilizing the GIS base created by previous students and implementing similar analysis in the Marsh Creek watershed. The students also focused on adoption of riparian buffers with the Plain Sect Community in that watershed. Their work provides base maps and initial/potential riparian buffer project locations. 2022 - See note from 1.6A. Also, notably, the new Chesapeake Bay technician hired this year attended LandscapeU classes, so in a way, we've already achieved the performance target. 2021 - Centre County received the student reports from the 2020-2021 academic year and is considering the conclusions for next steps and additional student project opportunities for the 2021-2022 school year.
1.6C	Quantify Land/BMPs- Managed by Penn State University	Work with office of physical- plant and other PSU groups- to ensure that water quality- improvements that they- manage are captured in- CAST/FieldDoc	Plant?, PSU College of Ag Sciences	PSU- owned/manage d land in Centre- County	2021	Getting maximum credits for experimental BMPs	Institutional- knowledge	N/A	N/A	N/A	2022 - FieldDoc project entry for existing projects continues to be on hold by PADEP. 2021 - This initiative is on hold until FieldDoc is running for urban BMP data collection. Ag BMPs will be documented in PracticeKeeper as appropriate.
1.6D	Leverage Ag Progress Days as an opportunity to educate more farmers on local water quality issues and solutions	Have a booth and class(es) at Ag Progress Days 2021	CCCD, CCPD, Chesapeake Bay Foundation, Chesapeake Conservancy, 4R- Alliance	Countywide	2021	Preparation time, county staff- availability, opportunity to- encourage farm stakeholders to- participate with us	Educational materials and demonstration exhibits			N/A	 2022 - Centre County Conservation District continues to participate in Ag Progress Days each year. 2021 - Centre County Conservation District staff participated with the riparian buffer tour and pollinator plots, while providing educational materials at Ag Progress Days. The Conservation District also presented information at the Grange Fair.

		Green - action na	s been completed or is	illovillg forward	as planned	Yellow - action has encountered			tion has not be	en taken or nas	encountered a serious barrier
			Responsible	Geographic	Expected	Potential Implementation	Resources	s <u>Available</u>	Resourc	es <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Party(ies) and	Location	Timeline	Challenges or	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	(2021 to 2023)
Action # 1.7	Description Water quality communication plan, leveraging existing documents and covering topics including Homeowner Guide to protecting Drinking Water, Agricultural Practices and BMPs that reduce pollution, Methods and land use controls that protect groundwater quality and control quantity (Riparian & Forest Buffers, slope control), Proper Turf Management Methods (Natural Landscaping and/or Chemical Application and Treatment Measures), The Homeowner's Guide to Stormwater, Urban/Suburban BMPs	Performance Target(s) Develop messages and audience; execute plan and distribute messaging through staff and partners	<u> </u>	Location	=	=		Financial	Technical Website development and continued maintenance	Financial \$30,000 per year	
1.8	Countywide WQ Credit Offset- Pilot Variables to consider: # of Farms/Forest- preserved within the- Corridors of Opportunity- (COO) Miles of riparian buffer- created within COOs. # of Ag. BMPs established- within COOs. Miles of Stream- stabilization and restoration- within COOs. Spring Creek Watershed- Resource Monitoring- Quarterly Progress # SWM facilities- maintained within municipal- park systems Acres of Preserved Open-	Demonstrate measurable success of a pilot project-area where MS4-regulated areas and non-regulated areas can benefit from achieving sediment and nutrient goals	(Association), Bald Eagle Watershed Association, USDA-NRCS, DCNR, PSU AEC Centre County Municipalities, Centre-County Agricultural Preservation Program, the Centre County Farmland Trust, Centre-County Conservation District, Clearwater Conservancy, Spring Creek Watershed Commission, Trout Unlimited (Spring Creek Chapter), Penns Valley Conservation Association	MS4 watershed HUC12 pilot area	2021	DEP acceptance of approach, permit compliance coordination among sectors	Engineering/M S4 permit- requirement coordination Project- opportunity- ideas	N/A	For- implementatio n, if the pilot works, 1 FT MS4 Coordinator, 1 PT ag Coordinator Project designand construction	Unknown until- concept is- developed and-	2022 - No update. 2021 - HRG had a discussion with the Harris Township engineer to brainstorm pilot project areas that would be potential candidates for a more cost effective and local water quality beneficial approach to implementing their MS4 Pollutant Reduction Plan (PRP). Because cost effective projects have already been identified across all o the MS4s in the county, we will explore candidate projects over the life of the CAP but will likely not pursue implementation of any until the municipalities' next MS4 permit application requirement is known.

		<u>Green</u> - action ha	s been completed or is	moving forward	d as planned	Yellow - action has encountere	ed minor obsta	cles <u>Red</u> - a	ction has not b	een taken or has	encountered a serious barrier
			Responsible	Geographic	Expected	Potential Implementation	Resource	s <u>Available</u>	Resourc	es <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Party(ies) and	Location	Timeline	Challenges or	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	(2021 to 2023)
Priority	Initiative 2: Reporting	and Tracking									
2.1A	Existing BMP cataloguing	Expand use of existing	Lead - Chesapeake	Centre County	2021 –	EPA acceptance of the approach	, Precision	N/A	Further GIS	\$46,000 (2021	2023- A subconsultant, HRG, was hired to run remote sensing methods and field verify
	(quantity and location) for	buffer layer with urban	Conservancy		cataloguing	further refine guidance in QAPP	Conservation		and data	only)	grazing and other structural agricultural practices in two townships.
	select BMPs, expanding on	hydrology layer				'			processing/me		District Today Colored to a Colored DAAD and Control Colored
	general recommendations		Stakeholder peer review			this or so that the state can take			thod	Assume	District Technicians have integrated BMP verification into their daily workload.
		R&D into distinguishing ag,	- PSU, USGS, Farm			the burden off of counties,	General		refinement	\$200,000 annua	CCCD hired a summer intern to help advance this goal.
		pasture, and turf covers from grassed buffers	Bureau,		· ·	utilize the approach to catalogue existing BMPs and do on the	Outline			salary/benefit	cees three a summer intern to help davance this goal.
	forest buffers, grass buffers,	non grassed bullers				ground verification where	Outime			(per person) need for 2 staff	2022 - In December of 2021, Centre County was awarded \$30,000 to conduct BMP
	=	Manual digitizing where leaf	-PDA. EPA			required for reporting purposes,				people to follow	Verification. Centre County elected to use some of the money on the remote sensing
	ponds and wetlands, fencing	off <1 ft resolution imagery				this is an accelerated BMP catch				up on BMP	analysis focusing on six priority BMPs including: Barnyard Runoff Controls, Animal Waste
		is available				up approach while we continue	backcheck			verification	Management Systems, Forest Buffers, Grassed Waterways, Streambank Exclusion Fencing and wet ponds/detention basins. Remote sensing data analysis performed by
						to provide support to farmers or	n			annually	the Chesapeake Conservancy is being finalized in August of 2022 and results are
		Back check with staff field				planning and BMP installs,					provided in an online ArcGIS Portal. Qualified 3rd party staff will begin field verification
		views where required				reduce the amount of					efforts to verify the remotely identified practices. A BMP Verification Quick Guide is
						interruption of government					being developed by HRG to assist with field verification efforts.
		Add data to Practice Keeper				entities to compliant farm					
	o	or another batch upload option (FieldDoc)				operations					2021 - Funding for the initial BMP cataloguing project has not been obtained. HRG and
		option (FieldDoc)									Chesapeake Conservancy are working on a white paper that will be presented to DEP.
											THIs white paper outlines the need for more remote sensing work to be done, specifically, by taking next steps with the NRCS PA BMP Remote Sensing Pilot Project
											(Potomac River Basin) process and findings. By taking this step, the initiative is behind
											schedule and not yet funded, but we hope to build momentum toward a successful
											outcome, including buy-in from EPA/Bay Program.
2.1B	-	BMP opportunity analysis –	Lead - Chesapeake	Centre County		Different data set	Precision	N/A	Further GIS	\$44,000 (2021)	2023- As a part of the Chesapeake Conservancy's Cooperative Agreement with the
	project opportunities using	ag conservation, land	Conservancy		cataloguing	scales/precision	Conservation		and data		Chesapeake Bay Program, the CIC has been working to adapt the USDA Agricultural
	automated means	retirement, alternative crop, forest conservation, stream	Stakeholder peer review		2024 – batch		Tools		processing/ method		Conservation Planning Framework (ACPF) toolbox and produce its related GIS conservation practice opportunity outputs for the entire watershed. The toolbox
		restoration	- PSU, USGS, Farm		upload		Batch Upload		refinement		outputs a suite of NRCS agricultural practice opportunities at the field scale some
		restoration	Bureau,PDA,		processing and		Processing		remement		have direct equivalents to Bay Program BMPs, while others do not. Since there are
		Back check with staff field	municipalities with MS4		field views						many assumptions made to produce these opportunity footprints across the entire
		views	permits								watershed, they are not intended to be ground truth and should only serve as a high
					2025 –		BMP Field				level reference for helping to plan efforts in combination with expert on-the-ground
		Batch upload to FieldDoc to			implementatio		Check				input. The outputs are expected to be publicly available after June 2024.
		calculate credit opportunity			n focus						
											2022 - No progress to date.
											2021 - See 2.1A for progress as this initiative is a companion initiative.
1											
				<u> </u>			<u> </u>				

		Green - action ha	s been completed or is i	moving forward	l as planned	Yellow - action has encountere	d minor obstac	cles <u>Red</u> - ac	ction has not be	en taken or has	encountered a serious barrier
			Responsible	Geographic	Expected	Potential Implementation		s Available		es Needed	Annual Progress to Date
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Party(ies) and	Location	Timeline	Challenges or	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	(2021 to 2023)
2.2	Improve continuous data collection on urban structural and non-structural practices	Add development-related BMPs to CAST/FieldDoc so that as land use data sets are updated, there are accompanying BMPs	Municipal engineers,	Urban/suburba n landscape	2023-2025	Non-MS4 engagement (what's in it for them if they don't already have a BMP database?), difficulty obtaining past information (MS4s typically have databases from 2003-present)		N/A	Training Municipal Data Input	administrative cost per	2023- No progress to date. 2022 - Phase 1 of the BMP verification remote sensing analysis includes analyzing Centre County for Wet Ponds and Detention Basins. These BMPs will need field verified. Additionally, PA DEP has updated FieldDoc to allow for additional reporting of urban practices. 2021 - The CAP team discussed the existing Act 167 Plans and resulting model ordinances with key local stakeholders, and the need for urban BMP reporting was also discussed. Since the County records plans that are as-builts, it is possible to go back through the plans and capture BMPs for reporting in FieldDoc. Going back to 2010 is an option since that's when operation and maintenance agreements started to be required.
2.3	Implement a documentation- program for commercial and- homeowner nutrient- applications in developed- lands	Support fertilizer legislation—where legislation requires reporting, be the data clearinghouse	Centre County — department to be- determined	Countywide	TBD	Education of responsible parties, receiving timely information, training on reporting system, will need direction from State on what's expected and any reporting system that's developed	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	2022 - On July 11, Governor Wolf signed SB 251, a bipartisan bill sponsored by Senator Gene Yaw (R) and Senator Carolyn Comitta (D), into law. Pennsylvania now has a law governing turfgrass fertilizer application dates, practices, rates, and type of fertilizer used. We are uncertain on how documentation and crediting will occur with the new bill. 2021 - This initiative is being pursued by legislators. HRG has coordinated with the Chesapeake Bay Commission staff on the topic and receives periodic updates.
Priority	Initiative 3: Achieve N	ew Pollutant Reduction	ons								
3.1	Implement BMPs from Growing Greener plan development farms	Design and install four manure management systems and AHUAs per year (20 systems total) 13 acres of treated area, assuming we build 20 more loafing lots (5 farms per year)	CCCD, NRCS, Chesapeake Bay	Centre County ag land	2021-2025	technical assistance capacity to	Design, construction oversight	N/A	N/A	Assume 5 projects per year, \$440,000 each, \$2,200,000 per year (construction and technical assistance), \$6.6 M total (2023- 2025)	2023- Both 2022 projects have been completed. two additional projects were identified and should be complete by the end of 2023. 2022- Existing plans and verified BMPs continue to be reported through PracticeKeeper. As of 8/15/2022, projects to install four manure management systems or protected AHUAs have been completed, one more is expected to be completed by the end of the year and another by spring of 2023. 2021 - The majority of plans drafted to date have documented existing BMPs. Those plans and BMPs are being reported through PracticeKeeper, contributing to our overall goals. As plans are written, Plan Writers will write in BMPs that can be implemented within 3 years of the plans' date of development. The Growing Greener Plan Writing Grant is mostly dedicated to assisting farms with plan development and documentation of BMPs already implemented on the operation. The District has other Growing Greener grants dedicated to installing new BMPs to protect resource concerns on Centre County operations as well as CAP Block Grant funding and CEG Grant funding

		<u>Green</u> - action ha	s been completed or is	moving forward	as planned	Yellow - action has encountere	d minor obsta	cles <u>Red</u> - ac	tion has not be	en taken or has	encountered a serious barrier
			Responsible	Geographic	Expected	Potential Implementation	Resource	s <u>Available</u>	Resourc	es <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Party(ies) and	Location	Timeline	Challenges or	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	(2021 to 2023)
3.2	Implement Halfmoon WIP	WIP approval – 2021	Chesapeake Bay	Halfmoon	2021-2025	Funding, landowner interest in	Outreach and	\$5,000	Design, permit,	\$4,700,000	2023- A large scale full farm implementation project was completed in partnership
			Foundation, Centre	Watershed –		BMPs, implementation partner	coordination		construction		between the Chesapeake Conservancy, the Clearwater Conservancy, the
		Implementation Grant	County Conservation	Halfmoon		coordination			oversight	Land Retirement	Northcentral Pennsylvania Conservancy, and HRG. The project included wetland and
		Application – 2022 – see	District, Dr. Preisendanz	Township and						\$500 per acre:	riparian buffer restoration, streambank regrading and channel protection, 2 livestock
		plan (when approved) for	Lab Group of Penn State	Ferguson						\$89K total	stream crossings, a 39' x 47' roofed manure storage facility, a 39' x 104 roofed HUAP,
		BMP specifics	University, DCNR,	Township							agricultural stormwater management, livestock access roads, livestock water
			Chesapeake								facilities, 3,700 LF of streambank fencing, 6,840 LF of pasture fencing, and the
		Partial Implementation –	Conservancy, Western								closure of a 245,700 CF earthen manure lagoon.
		2023-2025	Pennsylvania								
			Conservancy, Keystone								2022 - A Halfmoon WIP implementation team is being co-lead by Chesapeake Bay
		Implement land retirement	10 Million Tree								Foundation and Chesapeake Conservancy. Centre County Conservation District
		to pasture - 178 acres. BMP	Partnership, Penn State								applied for 319 funding to implement early action projects in the watershed that are
		verification will support the	Agriculture &								not already funded by the non-profit partners.
		goal.	Environment Center,								
			Huntingdon County								2021 - The Halfmoon WIP was approved by EPA. The plan development partners
			Conservation District,								plan to get together in late summer/early summer to discuss next steps and will
			ClearWater								likely apply for 319 funding in Spring 2022.
			Conservancy, Partners								
			for Fish & Wildlife, Penn								
			State Extension, USDA								
			ARS Pasture Systems								
			and Watershed								
			Management Research								
			Unit								
3.3	Accelerated implementation	Implement 9 previously	Chesapeake	Beaver Branch,	2021-2030	Reinvigorating landowner	Program	\$500,000	N/A	\$16,000,000	2023- RCPP funding has opened in Centre County and focuses on Rapid Delisting
	of Rapid Delisting Catchment	scoped projects resulting in	•	Halfmoon –		interest,	management	,			Catchments. One project has been contracted through that funding in 2023.
	Strategy through the	29 acres of forest buffer, 9.4	· ·	Bald Eagle,		design/permit/construction	and GIS				Additional projects have been identified by the new Watershed Specialist that will
	Precision Conservation	acres of fencing with buffer,	Partnership	Halfmoon –		schedules, construction match					include stream restoration and buffer projects.
	Partnership	11 acres of wetland	stakeholders	Loveville, Elk		dollars	Landowner				···
		restoration, 5,000 LF of		Creek, Tributary			Outreach				2022- Running statistics for the Partnership's implementation include 15 private
		stream restoration		to Pine Creek							properties where projects have occurred, 20,000 trees planted, 7400 ft stream
											restoration, 1200 ft of buffer, 1500 ft fencing, 1200 live stakes planted, and ag
											operation BMPs. All BMPs funded/installed by the Partnership are reported to
											PADEP through the funding reports. Fundraising continues including applications for
											ag BMPs to funded through Growing Greener, EQIP, and the 319 application noted
											under Action 3.2.
											2021 - The Precision Conservation Partnership implemented 4 restoration projects
											during spring 2021 including 24.63 acres of forest buffer, 4.63 acres of herbaceous
											buffer, 890 linear feet of streambank fencing, and 910 linear feet of in-stream
											restoration within rapid stream de-listing catchments. This fall we have 6 additional
											projects fully funded where restoration will begin in rapid stream de-listing
											catchments. These include an additional 18.3 acres of forest buffer, 2.2 acres
								1			herbaceous buffer, 4 acres of wetland restoration, and 11,172 linear feet of
								1			streambank fencing.
-		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	,

		l as planned	Yellow - action has encountered minor obstacles Red - action has not been taken or ha					s encountered a serious barrier			
			Responsible	Geographic	Expected	Potential Implementation	Resource	s <u>Available</u>	Resourc	ces <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Party(ies) and	Location	Timeline	Challenges or	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	(2021 to 2023)
3.4	Reduction	hatchery locations – amend how they are viewed in CAST, collect environmental	Commission, DEP Northcentral Regional Office, local watershed	Countywide	2024-2025 – investigation 2022 – next- steps	Stakeholder interest, treatment challenges	CAST insight Hatchery operation insight	N/A	Monitoring study	N/A	2023 - No progress to date. 2022 - Organizational connections have been made but not action to date. 2021 - A hatchery tour is being coordinated between PFBC and HRG during 2021. We will view the existing facilities and treatment methods and identify potential improvement needs during the tour.
3.5		Transition manure management plans to nutrient management plans and incentivize implementation Increase existing 4R practice adoption by: 3,000 acres of N Rate, 2,800 acres of P Rate, N/P Timing and N/P Placement by 1,400 acres	4R Alliance, CBF	Priority HUC	2021-2022	Landowner interest, BMP verification (annual), CBF staff loss who had a lot of landowner relationships	Educational support	CBF grant	Cost share recommendati ons/equipment/software	\$10 per acre of advanced nutrient management planning per typ: total cost for all is \$114,000	2023 - Transitioning manure management plans to nutrient management plans is impractical for landowners as it is expensive and they require renewal every three years. This is not a priority for the Conservation District and there has been no progress to date. 4R implementation continues to occur on a farmer-driven basis. Reporting of it only occurs when the farmer works with the Conservation District on a project or if they are reviewed for a Bay inspection. HRG has worked with the counties on methods to integrate supplement NM reporting into current workflows in the Chesapeake bay Contract, Nutrient Management Contract, current PSNT programs, and equipment rental programs. HRG also provided outreach at several events to increase adoption of 4R practices within the region. 2022 - County staff turnover led to a loss in communication regarding this action. No workshops are currently in the works. Communication required between new staff members to regain momentum. 2021 - 4R Alliance staff turnover has reduced communication with the County team. Outreach restrictions due to COVID have also made having workshops to garner landowner interest more challenging. Two workshops are currently planned be held: Late August PACD-funded Homeowners Conservation Workshop, Spring 2022 PACD-funded/Chesapeake Conservancy Partnership Plan Writing Workshop in Elk Creek Watershed.

		Green - action ha	s been completed or is	moving forward	l as planned	Yellow - action has encountere	ed minor obsta	cles <u>Red</u> - ad	ction has not be	een taken or has	encountered a serious barrier
			Responsible	Geographic	Expected	Potential Implementation	Resource	s <u>Available</u>	Resourc	es <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Party(ies) and	Location	Timeline	Challenges or	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	(2021 to 2023)
3.6	Low and no-till cover crop and tillage BMPs	Determine feasibility of having a county cost share program to enhance adoption of the annual practice On an annual basis implement conservation tillage of 10,000 8,000 acres and high residue/no-till of 24,000 42,000 acres. On an annual basis implement 7,000 12,000 acres of traditional cover crops and 19,000 15,000 acres of cover crops with fall nutrients.	CCCD, Centre Co Farm Bureau	Ag land use countywide	investigation 2023 – next steps	Capacity to manage the program, landowner interest	Transect survey Landowner education	N/A	Cost share program development Capital RC&D Improved Data Reporting	\$120,000 cost- share budget per year \$90 per acre traditional per year: \$1.08M for a 3-year total of \$3.24M (incentive payment, capital RC&D) \$50 per acre fall nutrients per	2023- Through 2023 CAP Block Grant funding, CCCD developed a pilot cover crop incentive program. All acres applied for no-till which equaled 1,650 acres in the first year. CCCD will continue this program into 2024 and beyond as funding allows. 2022 - The Conservation District is considering implementing an incentive program in 2023 if Block Grant dollars can be used for the effort. 2021 - Additional documented no-till/cover crop practices this year, not inclusive of all recently entered plans in PracticeKeeper as documented in the GG Grant-funded plans -
3.7	Implement more pasture management BMPs	Off stream watering without fencing – 1,460 acres/10 farms Pasture Alternative Watering – 2,600 acres Prescribed grazing – 4,000 acres (50% by reporting) Horse pasture management – 50 acres/3 farms Forest buffers on fenced pasture corridor – 365 100 acres (50% by reporting) Grass buffers on fenced pasture corridor – 1,127 286 acres (50% by reporting)	CCCD, NRCS, Chesapeake Bay Foundation, Chesapeake Conservancy	ag lands –	2025	Landowner education, BMP funding for non-buffer work, plan updates, data gathering	Landowner education Data gathering through Bay Tech visits	CBF grant	N/A	FB Buffer with Excl: \$525,000 GB Buffer with Excl: \$137,500 Pasture Alternative Watering: \$1.3M Off Stream	2023- The large scale project referenced in Action # 3.2 incorporated rotational grazing systems, off stream watering, forest buffers and grass buffers. A second large scale project in Walker Township, expected to finish this year, will incorporate rotational grazing, a 50 ft forested riparian buffer, and streambank fencing. A smaller project in Union Township, expected to finish this year, will implement an off-stream watering system with streambank and pasture fencing. 2022 - Phase 1 of BMP verification identified 149 potential hits for streambank exclusion fencing. This data set will allow for increased reporting of buffered acres with exclusion fencing. Further, the Conservation District is hoping to partner with Capital RC&D's grazing program professionals. A meeting is scheduled in summer/fall 2022 to discuss the opportunity. 2021 - Additional documented BMPs this year, not inclusive of all recently entered plans in PracticeKeeper - 222 - Prescribed Grazing acreage Anecdotally, there is less pasture on operations than previously reported, so these targets may not be realistic.

		Green - action has	s been completed or is	moving forward	l as planned	Yellow - action has encountere	d minor obsta	cles <u>Red</u> - ac	tion has not be	en taken or has	encountered a serious barrier
			Responsible	Geographic	Expected	Potential Implementation	Resource	s <u>Available</u>	Resourc	es <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Party(ies) and	Location	Timeline	Challenges or	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	(2021 to 2023)
3.8	Riparian buffer and re-	Partner with Chesapeake		Centre County	2022 – line up	Landowner partnerships,	Materials	Budget	Implementatio	\$2,000,000	2023- No buffers not downstream of animal activities are planned in 2023. The new CCCD
	· ·	Bay Foundation to plant	Conservancy, CBF,	,	landowners	landowner education, volunteer		available to be	n – design,	\$3,295,000	Watershed Specialist is identifying potential sites for those types of buffers in 2024.
	downstream from animal	100,000 trees (portion of	watershed associations,			acceptance of grass buffer		determined	_	budget	
	activities)	500,000 trees that will be	Chesapeake		2023-2025 –	plantings, grass buffer			materials		2022 - Chesapeake Bay Foundation was awarded an NFWF INSR grant including funding for
	,		Conservancy, Western			maintenance guide for farmers,					riparian buffers (non-agricultural) and for building the workforce for implementation of
		= :	PA Conservancy		n '	_	Mapping				riparian buffers. The Foundation also has funding for buffer bonus dollars where they pick up the NRCS cost share and the cost for installation of a buffer. Phase 1 of BMP verification will
		4 71 292 riparian forest	,			buffers are thriving, invasive					identify potential hits for grassed waterways and forest buffers implemented in the past 10
		buffer acres				species removal during					years. These potential BMPs will need field verified but provide a great opportunity to identify
						establishment, it was					BMPs not currently reported.
		1,714 1,175 riparian grass				recommended by their peers					
		buffer acres				that ClearWater Conservancy					2021 - Additional documented BMPs this year, not inclusive of all recently entered plans in
						serves as the lead site					PracticeKeeper -
		8 acres – urban forest buffer				coordinator					3 acres – Riparian forest buffer
											Identified responsible parties continue to install new buffers and apply for funding on an
		3 acres – urban tree planting			1						annual basis. All projects will be reported through the funding agencies' required system.
		– target one landowner in									
		urbanized area									Remote sensing capability regarding the aerial photo analysis performance targets still needs to be developed with State and Federal assistance as the County formalizes the need.
		200 25 acres – urban forest									
		planting – target one large									
		landowner in urbanized area									
3.9A	Wetland restoration	11 35 acres	Chesapeake	Halfmoon –		Willing landowner; appropriate	Landowner	N/A	Preliminary	Assume	2023- No progress to date.
	implementation on marginal		Conservancy, Western	Bald Eagle,		J 0, 0 ,	outreach		design	\$300,000 for	
	production ag land		•	Beaver Branch		for successful restoration result				land acquisition	2022 - Existing partners lack wetland expertise (streamside work and agricultural
			Valley Conservation								BMPs expertise make up the current team). Human resources capacity has limited
			Association							Assume	the communication with the identified landowner. It continues to be included in the
											suite of BMPs that the Partners promote in the Halfmoon watershed.
										M project	
											2021 - Property identified in Harris Township. Next steps include scoping the project
										permit,	in the field and meeting with the landowner in 2022.
										construction)	
3 OD	Wetland restoration	Identify 1 large property	Wildlife for Everyone,	Priority	ID landowner	WFE just finished up the Soaring	GIS manning	N/A	Preliminary -	\$ 500,000	2023- No progress to date. Wetland restoration technical capacity continues to be a
3.9B	implementation	owner from University of		•	(2023)	Eagle wetland project, is there	Olo Mahhing	1 '	design	7300,000	hurdle to initiate landowner outreach.
	Implementation	Vermont restorable wetland		or non-ag)	(2023)	interest in another one? – same	Landowner		_	See 3.9A	indiale to initiate landowner outreach.
		layer to install a 350-acres-	PA Conservancy	or non-ag)	Funding (2024)	for ClearWater, landowner	outreach			366 3.3A	2022 - No progress made. Wetland restoration technical capacity is a hurdle to
		treated wetland	TA Conservancy		Tulluling (2024)	participation, permitting,	Outreach				initiate landowner outreach.
		Greated Wetland			Design/ permit	1					initiate fandowner outreach.
					(2025)						2021 - Initial contact with a representative from Wildlife For Everyone was made
					1,2023,						without response. The CAP team would benefit from a partner organization taking
					Construction						the lead on this initiative. The schedule will need to be extended to insert the step
					(2026)						of identifying a lead organization before or in parallel with identifying some
											candidate landowners.
L	ļ	<u> </u>	ļ	<u> </u>	L	ļ	Į	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	

		<u>Green</u> - action ha	s been completed or is	moving forward	l as planned	Yellow - action has encountere	ow - action has encountered minor obstacles Rec			een taken or has	encountered a serious barrier
			Responsible	Geographic	Expected	Potential Implementation	Resource	s <u>Available</u>	Resourc	es <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Party(ies) and	Location	Timeline	Challenges or	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	(2021 to 2023)
3.10	Non-urban stream restoration	37,600 feet (7 miles)	CBF, Chesapeake	Rapid delisting	2021-2022	Design/permit/construction	GIS	Existing grant	Design, permit,	Assume \$400/LF	2023- The 2023 CAP Block Grant funded two sub awardee stream restorations. The
		installed, 72,400 feet of	Conservancy, TU	areas		cycle seems to work in two-year			construction		first, through a partnership with the Western Pennsylvania Conservancy and Spring
		verified stream restoration	National, Spring Creek		2023-2024		Design/GP-1		services		Creek Trout Unlimited chapter restored 1,000 feet of eroding streambank and
			TU, NFWS, Western PA			<u>'</u>	permit			floodplain	created in-stream fish habitat by installing six log-framed stone deflectors with three
		Assume two project installs	Conservancy, Penns		2025	eroded/degraded streams exist				restoration	clusters of random boulder placement in the Spring Creek Watershed. The second
		per year per contractor	Valley Conservation			based upon 403(d) listing –				concept, not	was a subaward to the Spring Creek Trout Unlimited. A total of 525 linear feet of
		team	Association			should that not be the case in				O&M	stream were addressed with mud sills and rock cross vanes to reduce erosion
						the field, adjust quantitative goal					through bank stabilization. Fish habitat was improved through riffle enhancement
		22,200 LF in TU planned				down and ensure buffers are in					and GP-1 structures. This project also occurred in the Spring Creek Watershed.
		projects				place				\$1,110,000 for	
										TU-lead projects	2022 - \$200,000 NFWF Grant for Park Hills Drainageway, regenerative stream
											conveyance, final design and permitting, construction to start late 2022/early 2023.
											2024 Coo Initiative 2.2 for undate
											2021 - See Initiative 3.3 for update.
3.11	Implement more barnyard	110 total acres treated. 59	CCCD, Chesapeake	Livestock farms	2021-2025	Mapping challenges, typical	N/A	N/A	Consultant for	\$1.8 M per year,	2023- The large scale project referenced in Action #3.2 incorporated a 39' x 104
	runoff control/loafing lot	acres to reach that goal.	Conservancy, NRCS			logistics regarding landowner			program	\$9 M total	roofed HUAP and agricultural stormwater management. Another large scale project
	management/ag stormwater					buy-in and project			management		in Harris Township, expected to be completed this year will install a 60' x 120 roofed
	management					development/funding			and project	Barnyard Runoff	HUAP and stormwater management practices. Similarly, the large scale project in
									delivery	Control \$250K	Walker Township, referenced in Action #3.7, will install a 50' x 168' roofed HUAP and
										per project,	stormwater management practices.
										assume 1 acre	
										per project	2022 - Phase 1 of BMP verification will identify where barnyard clusters are located
										\$5.25M in total	and determine if they are livestock operations or poultry operations. Current pace of
											new BMP implementation is about 2 projects per year.
											2021 - CEG and Block Grant funding are being spent on projects that support this
											initiative. Five projects are on schedule to be completed this year including roofed
											heavy use areas and manure storage BMPs.
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>									

		Green - action ha	s been completed or is	moving forward	l as planned	Yellow - action has encountere	d minor obsta	cles <u>Red</u> - ac	tion has not be	een taken or has	encountered a serious barrier
			Responsible	Geographic Expected		Potential Implementation	Resources	s Available	Resourc	es Needed	Annual Progress to Date
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Party(ies) and	Location	Timeline	Challenges or	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	(2021 to 2023)
3.12	Animal waste management BMP implementation for livestock	8,500 7,400 AUs managed through new BMPs	CCCD, SCC, CEG Program (future years)	Location Livestock farms	2021-2025	Current capacity through NRCS and CCCD implements about 5 farms projects per year; Time to get through planning, design, and construction; outreach to smaller farms that likely need the assistance; match cash value for small farms; readiness to plan/implement projects when outreach efforts yields willing landowners	Project implementatio n – 2 farms/year Project	Assume \$280,000/year Assume \$420,000/year	Design, permit, construction services	Assume 2 projects per- year, \$130,000- each, \$260,000	2023- The large scale project referenced in Action #3.2 incorporated a 39' x 47' roofed manure storage facility. All three large scale projects referenced in Action #3.11 include manure stacking areas. 2022 - BMP Verification Phase 1, shows the potential to report an additional 110 animal waste storage facilities currently not reported through PracticeKeeper. 2021 - CEG and Block Grant funding are being spent on the construction of projects that support this initiative. 1800 AUs are being managed by these newly installed projects including beef, dairy, horses, swine, and poultry operations.
3.13	Mitigation Banking Pilot Project	Floodplain restoration and/or wetland restoration project that achieves future MS4 permit sediment compliance for a currently waived Township while yielding nitrogen reductions for UAJA's benefit	UAJA, Benner Township, Spring Township, Nittany Valley Joint- Recreation Authority	Benner- Township- and/or Spring- Township	Regulatory approval (2021- 2022) Design/permitti ng (2023) Construction- (2024-25)	sediment and WWTP NPDES nitrogen), funding for	Long-term operation and- maintenance Municipal/- landowner- coordination	N/A	Design, permit, construction services	Assume 2500 LF- project, \$750,000- project budget	2022 - no update. 2021 - This initiative is on hold pending updates from DEP to the mitigation banking process, regulatory changes to Chapter 105, and the next MS4 permit requirements for municipalities.
3.14	Runoff Reduction Performance Standard and Storm Water Treatment Performance Standard Implementation	Implement existing ordinances at local municipal level Catalogue existing BMPs that fit into this category and newly built ones Runoff Reduction - 1,640 acres treated, stormwater treatment - 341 acres treated.	CCPC, CCCD, developing municipalities	County-wide	Ongoing 2021-2025	Coordination/training for municipal staff, FieldDoc batch opportunity, non-MS4 engagement (what's in it for them?), difficulty obtaining past information (MS4s typically have databases from 2003-present)	Reporting platform	N/A		N/A Assume \$5000 administrative cost per municipality per year and 7 developing municipalities à \$35,000 per year \$1.9 M overall project budget	2023- No additional progress to date due to focus on MS4-credit projects by MS4s and lack of capacity to map Chapter 102-related existing runoff rate mitigation BMPs. 2022 - urban BMP cataloguing is started in this year's BMP Verification program. Should it continue to be funded, additional watersheds and BMP types will be added to the program. 2021 - This initiative is on hold until FieldDoc is running for urban BMP data collection.

		Green - action ha	s been completed or is	moving forward	l as planned	<u>Yellow</u> - action has encountere	d minor obstac	cles <u>Red</u> - ac	ction has not b	een taken or has	encountered a serious barrier
			Responsible	Geographic	Expected	Potential Implementation	Resources	s <u>Available</u>	Resourc	es <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Party(ies) and	Location	Timeline	Challenges or	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	(2021 to 2023)
3.15	MS4-related Chesapeake Bay	Plan implementation by end	Harris Township, Patton		2025	Municipal capacity to implement	Municipal	Unknown	N/A	\$4.5 M overall	2023 - MS4s continue to implement their joint PRP.
	Pollutant Reduction Plan	of current permit term	Township, College	planning area		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	engineer			project budget	
	Implementation		Township, State College				design/permit,				2022 - MS4s continue to implement their joint PRP.
		Implement bioretention (7	Borough, Ferguson Township				construction				2024. The assuministration are an exactly appropriate invalous anti-state in interest.
		AT), buffers, stream restoration (4,800 LF), street	Township				readiness				2021 - The municipalities are on track regarding implementing the joint Pollutant Reduction Plan. As BMPs are implemented, they will be reported through the MS4
		sweeping (151 miles), etc.									Annual Reports.
		(===									
3.16	Conservation Landscape/Turf	Promote new program and	CCPC, DCNR, Western	Developed	2023-2025	Landowner education and	Planting plan	N/A	N/A	\$5,000 per acre	2023- CCCD is investigating a pilot Conservation Landscape Program in Centre
	to Meadow	enable one large tract	PA Conservancy,	areas in Centre		acceptance	assistance			(forest), \$2,500	County. They are meeting with neighboring counties who have implemented similar
	Conversion/Watershed	landowners' participation	ClearWater	County						per acre	programs and determining the feasibility of such a program in 2024.
	Forestry Initiative	(20 acres of conversion)	Conservancy, Penn State	municipalities						(meadow) →	
			Ag/Turf Program							\$ 105 0,000	2022 - no update.
										(budget for all	
										forest)	2021 – Consistent DCNR Conservation Landscaping program funding is needed for
											the County to promote the opportunity and enable our partners to take the lead on a large-scale project.
											la large-scale project.
3.17	Impervious surface reduction	Identify a blighted/flood	CCPC, CDBG-eligible	Centre County	ID landowner	Lack of local interest, property	Mapping	Available	Design, permit	\$7,000,000	2022 - No progress.
3.17	project	prone area that could be	municipalities	Boroughs	(2021)	purchase/donation, suggested to		budget to be	construction	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	1002 110 progress.
	[F- 5] 5 C	converted to a park (8-acre			(===,	explore below South Hills Plaza,		determined			2021 - No progress has been made to date due to team capacity and a lack of need
		area)			Funding (2022)	streets perpendicular to					for MS4 credit. It is also not a high priority due to low nitrogen reduction potential
						Easterly/Westerly Parkway					and high anticipated capital cost.
					Design/ permit						
					(2023-2024)						
					Construction (2025)						
					(2023)						

		d as planned	<u>Yellow</u> - action has encountered minor obstacles <u>Red</u> - action has not been taken or has					s encountered a serious barrier			
			Responsible	Geographic	Expected	Potential Implementation	Resource	s <u>Available</u>	Resourc	ces <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Party(ies) and	Location	Timeline	Challenges or	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	(2021 to 2023)
3.18	Continue dirt and gravel road program		CCCD	Countywide	2025	Challenges or Continue D&G Road program funding	Education, technical assistance, project oversight	\$175,000 per year	N/A	N/A	2023- 2 DGR projects complete: Howard Township - Schwab Town Road Phase II: Approx. 590 LF, Total Roadway Project Length: Approx. 1,561 feet (Phase III planned for 2024-2025); Snow Shoe Township – Black Bear Road: Approx. 1,750 LF. 2 LVR projects complete: Potter Township - Strawberry Hill Road: Approx. 2,087 LF; Rush Township – Richard Street Spur: Approx 200 LF. 2022 - Nearly 11,500 feet of roadway will be improved through the DGLVR program in 2022. Another 8,300 feet of roadway projects are planned for 2023 as of now. 2021 - Current Conservation District records indicate that the cumulative goal of 200,000 feet has been met, but the last CAST reporting run (2018) indicated that only about ¼ of that has made it to CAST. We'll need to rectify that with our DEP partners, including potential reverification of projects that were completed before 2010. Also, funding has been used for stream crossings, which have many benefits, though do not result in significant project length. A place to report these positive impacts should also be identified, or Conservation District staff should be trained, for reporting those improvements as they also result in sediment and nutrient reductions.
3.19	Operation and Maintenance Performance	Cultivate a partnership(s) with volunteer groups or contractors who we can direct landowners to who have interest in assistance with O&M of practices that we facilitate	Volunteer group, contractor	Countywide	2023-2025	Volunteer training, liability, materials/equipment funding	Technical guidance Volunteer maintenance labor	N/A	N/A	N/A	2023- HRG met with Back County Hunters and Anglers and made great connections. PA Regional director is from State College and wants to be more engaged in projects. If a project is located on a Public Access property, BHA will support the project through social media outreach and provide member volunteer support for plantings. They are also interested in providing maintenance on riparian buffers or CREP on public access areas for farmers who don't have time. 2022 - Project partners are recognizing that maintenance budget needs to be included in funding applications. A Riparian Rangers team could be formulated in the future, pending Alliance for the Bay capacity. 2021 - In 2022, discuss the need with Native Creations, US Fish and Wildlife Partnership for Fish and Wildlife, and Clearwater Conservancy to see what model has worked for them and if it can be scaled.

		Green - action ha	s been completed or is	moving forward	l as planned	Yellow - action has encountered	ed minor obsta	cles Red - ac	ction has not be	en taken or ha	s encountered a serious barrier
			Responsible	Geographic	Expected	Potential Implementation		s Available		es Needed	Annual Progress to Date
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Party(ies) and	Location	Timeline	Challenges or	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	(2021 to 2023)
3.20A	Private Funding	Identify some private funding sources that may be able to supplement public funding sources/existing sources utilized for stakeholders	Existing project	Centre County	2023-2025	Need to expand network, educational aspect of less common funders, logistics of utilizing unproven funding sources (or lesser known)	Financial services	N/A	N/A	N/A	2023 - FFAR and Walton Family Foundation opportunities did not proceed. No progress to report from County-led activities. Chesapeake Conservancy continues to demonstrate project value to private foundations and those dollars eventually are invested on farms in the Rapid Delisting watersheds. 2022 - HRG and LFT are exploring potential opportunities to apply to the Foundation for Food & Agriculture Research (FFAR) and the Walton Family Foundation's new program, Achieving Conservation through Targeting Information, Outreach and Networking (ACTION) to support innovative research that increases adoption of conservation practices. A potential grant application would bolster farm visits in Centre County to increase the adoption of Conservation Practices. 2021 - As a result of a successful application submitted by the PADEP Chesapeake Bay Office, the CAP Team worked with Millersville University through the Governor's Office of Performance Through Excellence to identify private entities who may have interest in investing in local clean water projects. The student team also developed a flyer that we can use when performing initial outreach with the identified entities.
3.20B	Public/Non-profit Funding	Fill-out our public/non-profit source list	Western PA Conservancy, Natural Lands Trust, Chesapeake Bay Foundation, Hamer Foundation		2024-2025	Partners use similar funding sources, becoming more competitive	Financial services	N/A	N/A	N/A	2023- The list continues to be static. Our group continues to analyze new funding programs coming from State and Federal sources and will revisit this list for match/partner opportunities. 2022 - The list of potential funding partners was completed and is now static. As our group analyzes the new funding programs coming from State and Federal sources, we'll revisit this list for match/partner opportunities. 2021 - We are on track to complete the list of potential funding partners during 2021.
3.20C	Public/private Farm BMP sponsorship program	Utilize REAP program to leverage funding, sponsor farmers who perform BMPs and pay them cash from tax rebates that corporation receives from funding the program		Centre County	2024-2025	Corporate interest,	Initial coordination	N/A	N/A	N/A	2023 - No progress. See progress under 3.20B as this is a companion initiative. 2022 - No progress. See progress under 3.20B as this is a companion initiative. 2021 - See Initiative 3.20A for progress as this is a companion initiative. Rather than having private donors provide funding to Centre County, the goal of the Millersville University project was to suggest to companies to sign up to be a REAP sponsor.

		Green - action ha	s been completed or is	moving forward	d as planned	Yellow - action has encountered	ed minor obsta	icles Red - a	action has not be	een taken or ha	s encountered a serious barrier
		<u> </u>	Responsible	Geographic	Expected	Potential Implementation		s <u>Available</u>	_	es <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Party(ies) and	Location	Timeline	Challenges or	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	(2021 to 2023)
Priority	Initiative 4: Research,	Education, and Train	ing								
4.1A	Incorporate existing water quality monitoring data into Chesapeake Data Explorer/Chesapeake Monitoring Cooperative database	Successful data input/acceptance by ALLARM program by end of 2021	ALLARM, Keystone Water Resources Center – Spring Creek, Senior Environmental Corps, PSU, watershed associations	Countywide	2021-2025	Data precision, QAQC, opportunity to educate landowners about local stream health and what they can do about it	Data QAQC and training Data Input	N/A	N/A	N/A	2023- Capacity changes with the Coordinator and Conservation Districts has pushed the convening of the Watershed Specialists. We will reevaluate in 2024 if this should be a priority at the County level or if it should be scaled to the State or Federal level. 2022 - ALLARM is in the process of developing citizen scientist monitoring data collection protocols to assist with stream health data collection. Should the protocol be approved, it will be shared with all Counties. 2021 - ALLARM worked with local partners to obtain data, clean and organize it to Chesapeake Monitoring Cooperative standards, geo-reference the data, and upload the data to the Chesapeake Data Explorer.
4.1B	Initiate additional water quality monitoring sites that promote long-term trend evaluation at key locations in Centre County	Location identification, financial and volunteer budget analysis, and initial landowner communication by end of 2021 CAST-21 acknowledgement of our data Map existing monitoring locations Expand monitoring based on Corridors of Opportunity area monitoring gaps	ALLARM, Keystone Water Resources Center – Spring Creek, Senior Environmental Corps, PSU, local watershed associations	To be determined	2023-2025	Land access, expanded voluntee need, equipment/materials budget, Consistent data collection, QAQC continuation Consideration to expand the Keystone Water Resources Center to cover places like Bald Eagle, Buffalo Run, etc. to get a continual basis	\$30,000 intern salary budget QAQC	N/A	Sample Collection for new sites identified through CAP implementatio n	\$10,000 EQUIPMENT	2022:In the fall of 2022, HRG will convene the Watershed Specialist to identify the largest "gaps" of water quality monitoring data, develop strategies to comprehensively incorporate new data to support CAP efforts. Further, the LandscapeU project that analyzed Centre County data sources/status will be included in a County-specific strategy to expand monitoring. 2021 - See 4.1A progress

		Green - action ha	s been completed or is	moving forward	l as planned	Yellow - action has encountere	d minor obsta	cles <u>Red</u> - ac	tion has not b	een taken or ha	encountered a serious barrier
			Responsible	Geographic	Expected	Potential Implementation	Resource	s <u>Available</u>	Resourc	es <u>Needed</u>	Annual Progress to Date
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Party(ies) and	Location	Timeline	Challenges or	Technical	Financial	Technical	Financial	(2021 to 2023)
4.2	Enhance the capacity of local	Share each other's social	Spring Creek Watershed	Countywide	Ongoing	Continued coordination among a	Social media	Limited match	N/A	\$5000 per	2023- The CCCD has hired a new Watershed Specialist who will add capacity to this
	watershed associations for	media content	Commission, Bald Eagle			lot of active groups, keep project	shares			organization to	initiative. HRG has also reconnected with the Spring Creek Watershed Commission
	short-term success and long-		Watershed Association,			leads list active so that				produce	and is helping build capacity with that watershed association to advance their
	term sustainability	When developing water	Spring Creek Chapter of			watershed approach to grant				promotional	project implementation goals.
		quality projects, include	Trout Unlimited,			applications can be developed	Project			materials (hats,	
		them in the scoping/funding	National Trout			well in advance of submittal	development			shirts, stickers)	2022 - County staff turnover resulted in a loss of communication between certain
		process	Unlimited, Penns Valley			deadlines, see if Spring Creek	support			for members	county staff and local watershed associations. Those conversations have begun
			Conservation			Watershed Commission could					again. Social media content will continue to be shared and relationship between
		When we utilize/obtain	Association, Moshannon	n		serve as the coordinating party					county and local watershed associations rebuilt.
		funding for outreach,	Creek Watershed								
		reserve some for partner	Association								2021 - COVID-related restrictions and delays on last year's projects have resulted in
		promotional items (hats,									fewer organizational meetings than in past years. Organizations that are project-
		shirts, etc.)									focused continue to work with landowners, solicit funding, and complete designs
											and construction at a pace of a about four projects per organization per year. They
		Encourage project									all continue to need additional membership, grant funding, and technical assistance
		implementation on the									to scale efforts up, though fortunately implementation has not slowed down.
		watershed level so that									
		these partners enhance									
		their relationships with non-									
		peers with a co-benefit of									
		diversifying their									
		membership									
4.3	Farm decision maker trips to	Two trips per year, increase	CBF, CCCD, NRCS,	Centre County	Fall and Spring		N/A	Trip	N/A	\$2000 per year	2022 - This program has not picked up post-COVID and needs a supplemental
	showcase BMPs that work	participation by 10% each-	County Farm Bureau		of each year	participation each year, expand-		coordination		to expand	funding source from CBF. Educational trips will continue to be a communications
		year				logistics/# of local and Bay trips		and field		resources	strategy.
						to allow for increased		facilitation			
						participation, consider					2021 - Initial steps have been taken to determine if a trip can be scheduled for Fall
						alternative transportation-					2021. COVID restrictions condensed the amount of time in 2021 where the trips
						options to canoes if it helps to					could realistically occur.
						scale up the initiative					
	L	1	L	1	l	J.	I	<u>I</u>	l	1	I.