

Pennsylvania Phase 3 Watershed Implementation Plan
Steering Committee
July 31, 2017
Room 105, RCSOB
1:00 to 4:00 pm

Web Connect: <https://copa.webex.com/copa/onstage/g.php?MTID=e483abf00b9539488ba6d839026440921>

Call-in Number: 1-650-479-3208

Access Code: 647 042 032

PURPOSE:

The goal of the next three meetings is to:

1. Provide the requested briefings as identified by the Steering Committee members at the April and May meetings.
2. Finalize membership and charge of the workgroups so that they can begin work.
3. Begin to formulate recommendations on prioritization, targeting and level of effort within the watershed based on the information provided.

AGENDA:

Welcome and Introductions –Secretary Patrick McDonnell

Finalize WIP Workgroups – Secretary Patrick McDonnell

Approval of May 8 Meeting Minutes – All
Handout #1 – Draft Meeting Minutes

Phase 1 and 2 Watershed Implementation Plans – Panel Discussion

Panel:

Stormwater – Felicia Dell, Director, York County Planning Commission

Agriculture – Jennifer Reed-Harry, Assistant Vice-President, PennAg Industries Association

Wastewater – John Brosious, Deputy Executive Director, Pennsylvania Municipal Authorities Association

- What, from your point of view, came out of Phases 1 and 2 that worked and did not work?
- What would you like to see come out of Phase 3?

Bay Program Resources for Phase 3 Watershed Implementation Plan – Matt Johnston, University of Maryland, Chesapeake Bay Program Nonpoint Source Data Analyst

Handout #2 – PowerPoint Presentation

Next Steps – Nicki Kasi, Manager, Chesapeake Bay Program Office

Handout#3 – Revised Briefing Schedule

Public Comment

Handout #3 -- Revised Briefing Schedule

July:

- Phase 1 and 2 Watershed Implementation Plans – Panel discussion from local government and conservation district people.
 - Lessons Learned
 - Obstacles and Challenges
 - What does this plan mean to them

August:

- Monitoring and Trends – Susquehanna River Basin Commission, US Geological Survey, Matt Johnston
 - Further detail on results and trends
 - How can monitoring be used to document progress
 - Further clarification on the right balance between monitoring and modeling
 - Background information to ask the right questions
- Midpoint Assessment Issues (Current Status) – EPA Bay Program Office/ DEP Bay Program Office
 - Conowingo Dam
 - Sector Growth
 - Climate Change
- Summary of Results from Kickoff Session & Public Comment – DEP Chesapeake Bay Program Office

September:

- Progress Made – Matt Johnston, University of Maryland and EPA Chesapeake Bay Program Office
 - What has worked, what has helped us to achieve the progress we have made?
 - What is the investment we have made so far and where?
 - Current, up to date numbers by sector that everyone is comfortable with
 - Information should be by sector, by priority area
 - We are coming up short, why?
- BMP Research – Matt Johnston, University of Maryland and EPA Chesapeake Bay Program Office
 - What new evolving BMPs are out there, the results of the Bay Program Expert Panels
 - What BMPs are the other states using that are succeeding that we can utilize, what new practices are they going to rely upon
 - Other tracking tools
 - Best bang for the buck BMPs
- 2014 Watershed Agreement and Management Strategies – Sean Gimbel, Executive Assistant, Water Programs.
 - Integration into the Phase 3 Watershed Implementation Plan
- Midpoint Assessment Update -- EPA Bay Program Office/ DEP Bay Program Office
 - Possible Planning Targets (Tentative)