

Southwest Regional Office CLEAN WATER PROGRAM

 Application Type
 Renewal

 Facility Type
 Municipal

 Major / Minor
 Major

NPDES PERMIT FACT SHEET INDIVIDUAL SEWAGE

 Application No.
 PA0026778

 APS ID
 802596

 Authorization ID
 1218237

Applicant and Facility Information

Applicant Name	Windber Area Authority		Facility Name	Ingleside STP
Applicant Address	1700 Stockholm Avenue		Facility Address	407 Old Walsall Road
	Wind	ber, PA 15963-2059		Johnstown, PA 15904
Applicant Contact	Mr. D	ennis Mash	Facility Contact	Same as Applicant
Applicant Phone	814.467.5574		Facility Phone	Same as Applicant
Client ID	62349	9	Site ID	450491
Ch 94 Load Status	Not Overloaded		Municipality	Richland Township
Connection Status			County	Cambria
Date Application Rece	eived	February 21, 2018	EPA Waived?	No
Date Application AcceptedFebruary 24, 2018		If No, Reason	Major Facility	
Purpose of Applicatio	n	_Application for a renewal of a	n existing NPDES Permit for	the discharge of treated Sewage.

Summary of Review

The applicant has applied for a renewal of an existing NPDES Permit, Permit No. PA0026778. The permit was previously issued by the Department on August 27, 2013 and later amended on May 31, 2017. The permit expired on August 31, 2018.

WQM Permit Amendment No. 1169402 A-6 was issued on March 11, 2005, which authorized the construction of a new STP with a hydraulic design capacity of 4.0 MGD and an organic capacity of 6,338 lbs BOD₅ per day.

The Authority submitted an ACT 537 Special Study in December 2015. The study requested a STP re-rating from 4.0 to 4.95 MGD. On April 14, 2016, Department approved that plan. The hydraulic design capacity of the STP was increased to 4.95 MGD to accommodate wet weather flow resulting from I&I within the sanitary collection system. Effluent limitations were determined using the existing discharge rate of 4.0 MGD. The organic design capacity remained unchanged at 6,338 lbs BOD₅ per day.

The existing treatment process consists of four (4) SBRs, two (2) aerobic digester, UV disinfection and a belt filter press. Dewatered solids are disposed of at a sanitary landfill. Two of the original aerated lagoons were retained for use as wet weather basins providing storage capacity of 8.3 and 7.0 million gallons for a total storage capacity of 15.3 million gallons.

The receiving stream, Stonycreek River (WWF) and UNT to Stonycreek River (CWF), is located in State Watershed No.18-E.

Storm Water Outfalls 008 & 009 are again permitted for the discharge of un-contaminated storm water runoff from areas in

Approve	Deny	Signatures	Date
x		hull C Mitebell	
		William C. Mitchell, E.I.T. / Project Manager	February 9, 2021
х		Chke	
		Christopher Kriley, P.E. / Clean Water Program Manager	February 9, 2021

Summary of Review

and around the treatment plant. These outfalls are subject to the Departments current storm water conditions listed in Part C.IV of the Permit.

The applicant has complied with Act 14 Notifications. No comments were received.

Public Participation

DEP will publish notice of the receipt of the NPDES permit application and a tentative decision to issue the individual NPDES permit in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin* in accordance with 25 Pa. Code § 92a.82. Upon publication in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*, DEP will accept written comments from interested persons for a 30-day period (which may be extended for one additional 15-day period at DEP's discretion), which will be considered in making a final decision on the application. Any person may request or petition for a public hearing with respect to the application. A public hearing may be held if DEP determines that there is significant public interest in holding a hearing. If a hearing is held, notice of the hearing will be published in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin* at least 30 days prior to the hearing and in at least one newspaper of general circulation within the geographical area of the discharge.

Discharge, Receiving water	rs and water Supply infor	mation	
Outfall No. 001		Design Flow (MGD)	4.0
Latitude <u>40° 15' 39.00</u>)"	Longitude	-78º 53' 39.00"
Quad Name Johnstowr	۱ <u> </u>	Quad Code	1614
Wastewater Description:	Sewage Effluent		
Receiving Waters Stony	creek River (WWF)	Stream Code	45084
NHD Com ID 12372	20409	RMI	8.84
Drainage Area 396		Yield (cfs/mi ²)	0.0353
			Low-Flow statistics for
$O_{\rm T}$ is Elow (cfc) 14.0			Pennsylvania Streams, Station #0204000
Elevation (ft) 1262	20	Slope (ft/ft)	0.005
Watershed No 18-E		Chapter 93 Class	0.005
Evicting Lico		Existing Les Qualifier	
Existing Use	=	Existing Use Qualifier	NONE
Acceptions to Use <u>NON</u>		Exceptions to Chiena	NONE
Assessment Status			
Cause(s) of Impairment			
Source(s) of impairment		Kiskiminetas	
TMDL Status	Final	Name Watersheds TMDL	
Background/Ambient Data		Data Source	
pH (SU)			
Temperature (°F)			
Hardness (mg/L)			
Other:			
Nearest Downstream Publi	ic Water Supply Intake	Saltsburg Borough	
PWS Waters Conema	augh River	Flow at Intake (cfs)	
PWS RMI		Distance from Outfall (mi)	

Changes Since Last Permit Issuance: None

Other Comments:

Kiskiminetas-Conemaugh River Watershed TMDL

A TMDL for the Kiskiminetas-Conemaugh River Watershed ("Kiski-Conemaugh TMDL")—of which the Stonycreek River is a part—was completed on January 29, 2010 for the control of acid mine drainage pollutants: aluminum, iron, manganese, sediment and pH. In accordance with 40 CFR § 122.44(d)(1)(vii)(B), when developing WQBELs, the permitting authority shall ensure that effluent limits developed to protect a narrative water quality criterion, a numeric water quality criterion, or both, are consistent with the assumptions and requirements of any available wasteload allocation (WLA) for the discharge prepared by the State and approved by EPA pursuant to 40 CFR § 130.7. The Ingleside STP was assigned wasteload allocations (WLAs) for aluminum, iron, and manganese by the Kiski-Conemaugh TMDL. Therefore, pursuant to § 122.44(d)(1)(vii)(B), WQBELs will be imposed at Outfall 001. Only aluminum, iron, and manganese WQBELs are imposed because the TMDL does not establish wasteload allocations for sediment or pH. The TMDL used a surrogate approach for both of those constituents by which reductions of in-stream concentrations of aluminum, iron, and manganese would result in acceptable reductions of sediment and mitigation of acidic pH.

The TMDL's allocated concentrations for aluminum, iron, and manganese are equivalent to the most stringent water quality criteria for those pollutants and those criteria will be imposed as end-of-pipe limits at Outfall 001. The methods used to implement water quality criteria are described in 25 Pa. Code §§ 96.3 and 96.4. Also, DEP's *Water Quality Toxics Management Strategy* (Doc. No. 361-2000-003) addresses design conditions in detail (Table 1 in that document), including the appropriate durations to assign to water quality criteria. The design duration for Criteria Maximum Concentration (CMC) criteria is 1 hour (acute). The design duration for Criteria Continuous Concentration (CCC) criteria is 4 days (chronic). The design duration for Threshold Human Health (THH) criteria is 30 days (chronic). The design duration for Cancer Risk Level (CRL) criteria is 70 years (chronic).

The 750 μ g/L aluminum criterion in 25 Pa. Code § 93.8c is a CMC (acute) criterion. Therefore, 750 μ g/L is imposed as a maximum daily limit. There is no CCC criterion for aluminum necessitating the imposition of a more stringent average monthly limit. Imposing 750 μ g/L as both a maximum daily and average monthly limit is protective of water quality uses.

The 1.5 mg/L iron criterion is given as a 30-day average in 25 Pa. Code § 93.7(a). Therefore, 1.5 mg/L is imposed as an average monthly limit and the maximum daily effluent limit is calculated using a multiplier of two times the average monthly limit based on DEP's *Technical Guidance for the Development and Specification of Effluent Limitations and Other Permit Conditions in NPDES Permits* (Doc. No. 362-0400-001, Chapter 3, pp. 15 – 16).

The 1 mg/L potable water supply criterion for manganese in 25 Pa. Code § 93.7(a) is a human health criterion (chronic). Per Table 1 of the *Water Quality Toxics Management Strategy*, the duration for a THH criterion is 30 days. Therefore, an average monthly effluent limit of 1 mg/L is imposed, and the maximum daily effluent limit is calculated using a multiplier of two times the average monthly limit consistent with the technical guidance cited above for iron.

Since the allocated concentrations are equivalent to water quality criteria, the Ingleside STP's compliance with concentration limits for aluminum, iron, and manganese will not result in excursions above water quality criteria and the permit will be consistent with the TMDL's WLAs. Consequently, the TMDL's load limits are not required. The TMDL's wasteload allocations and the applicable WQBELs are summarized in the table below.

Pollutant	Average Monthly (mg/L)	Maximum Daily (mg/L)
Aluminum, Total	0.75	0.75
Iron, Total	1.5	3.0
Manganese, Total	1.0	2.0

Table 5. TMDL Effluent Limits for Outfall 001

Effluent concentrations (as reported in the renewal application) for Aluminum, Iron and Manganese were significantly less than the proposed WQBELs found in Table 5 above. As a result, no schedule of compliance is needed and the new TMDL WQBELs will take effect upon permit issuance.

ischarge, Receiving Wate	ers and Water Supply Info	ormation		
Outfall No 006		Design Flow (MGD)	0	
Latitude 40° 15' 36 (00"	_ Longitude	-78º 53' 33 00"	
Quad Name Johnstov	vn	Quad Code	1614	
Wastewater Description:	Under drain leak detecti	on system located beneath Equali	zation Basin No. 2.	
Receiving Waters Stor	nycreek River (WWF)	Stream Code	45084	
NHD Com ID		RMI	8.835	
Drainage Area 396		Yield (cfs/mi ²)	0.0353	
Ω ₇₋₁₀ Flow (cfs) 14 (00	Q7-10 Basis	Low-Flow statistics for Pennsylvania Streams, Station #0304000	
Elevation (ft) 126	1	Slope (ft/ft)	0.005	
Watershed No. 18-E		Chapter 93 Class.	CWF	
Existing Use	Existing Use			
Exceptions to Use NON	Exceptions to Use NONE		NONE	
Assessment Status	Impaired			
Cause(s) of Impairment	HABITAT ALTERATION	IS, METALS		
Source(s) of Impairment	ACID MINE DRAINAGE	, ACID MINE DRAINAGE		
TMDL Status	Final	Kiskiminetas Name Watersheds	s-Conemaugh River TMDL	
Background/Ambient Dat	а	Data Source		
pH (SU)				
Temperature (°F)				
Hardness (mg/L)				
Other:				
Nearest Downstream Put	blic Water Supply Intake	Saltsburg Borough		
PWS Waters Conen	naugh River	Flow at Intake (cfs)		
PWS RMI		Distance from Outfall (mi)		

Changes Since Last Permit Issuance: NONE

Other Comments: This Outfall is associated with an under drain leak detection system. The discharge is to Stonycreek River, which is part of the Kiskiminetas-Conemaugh River Watershed that has a Final TMDL and is impaired by metals and pH. The design flow from this outfall is 0 and it is not expected to contribute to the stream impairment for which abandoned mine drainage is source of such impairment. No monitoring for T. Iron, T. Manganese and T. Aluminum will be imposed on this outfall.

The Department will again impose quarterly monitoring for flow, pH, CBOD5, TSS and Fecal Coliform under the authority of §92a.61(b).

Discharge, Receiving Waters and Water Sup	oply Information
Outfall No. 007	Design Flow (MGD)0
Latitude <u>40° 15' 42.00"</u>	Longitude78° 53' 40.00"
Quad Name Johnstown	Quad Code 1614
Wastewater Description: Under drain leal	k detection system located beneath Equalization Basin No. 1.
Receiving Waters <u>UNT to Stonycreek Riv</u>	rer (CWF) Stream Code 45218
NHD Com ID	RMI0.11
Drainage Area	Yield (cfs/mi ²)
Q ₇₋₁₀ Flow (cfs)	Q ₇₋₁₀ Basis
Elevation (ft)	Slope (ft/ft)
Watershed No. <u>18-E</u>	Chapter 93 Class. WWF
Existing Use	Existing Use Qualifier
Exceptions to Use	Exceptions to Criteria
Assessment Status Impaired	
Cause(s) of Impairment CAUSE UNKNO	OWN
Source(s) of Impairment SOURCE UNKI	NOWN
TMDL Status Final	Kiskiminetas-Conemaugh River Name Watersheds TMDL
Background/Ambient Data	Data Source
pH (SU)	
Temperature (°F)	
Hardness (mg/L)	
Other:	
Nearest Downstream Public Water Supply Ir	ntake Saltsburg Borough
PWS Waters Conemaugh River	Flow at Intake (cfs)
PWS RMI	Distance from Outfall (mi)

Changes Since Last Permit Issuance: NONE

Other Comments: This Outfall is associated with an under drain leak detection system. The discharge is to UNT to Stonycreek River, which is part of the Kiskiminetas-Conemaugh River Watershed that has a Final TMDL and is impaired by metals and pH. The design flow from this outfall is 0 and it is not expected to contribute to the stream impairment for which abandoned mine drainage is source of such impairment. No monitoring for T. Iron, T. Manganese and T. Aluminum will be imposed on this outfall.

The Department will again impose quarterly monitoring for flow, pH, CBOD5, TSS and Fecal Coliform under the authority of §92a.61(b).

Trootmont Excility Summary					
Treatment Facility Na	me: Ingleside STP	cathene racincy ourinna	' y		
WQM Permit No.	Issuance Date				
1169402					
1169402 A-6	March 11, 2005				
	Degree of			Avg Annual	
Waste Type	Treatment	Process Type	Disinfection	Flow (MGD)	
Sewage	Secondary with Ammonia Reduction	Sequencing Batch Reactor	Ultraviolet	2.422 (2017)	
Hydraulic Capacity	Organic Capacity			Biosolids	
(MGD)	(lbs/day)	Load Status	Biosolids Treatment	Use/Disposal	
4.9	6,338	Not Overloaded		Landfill	

Changes Since Last Permit Issuance: NONE

Compliance History

Operations Compliance Check Summary Report

Facility: Ingleside STP

NPDES Permit No.: PA0026778

Compliance Review Period: 1/2016 – 1/2021

Inspection Summary:

INSP ID	INSPECTED DATE	INSP TYPE	AGENCY	INSPECTION RESULT DESC
3088163	09/29/2020	Compliance Evaluation	PA Dept of Environmental Protection	Violation(s) Noted
2976792	11/07/2019	Routine/Partial Inspection	PA Dept of Environmental Protection	No Violations Noted
2891300	04/11/2019	Compliance Evaluation	PA Dept of Environmental Protection	Violation(s) Noted
2721159	03/14/2018	Compliance Evaluation	PA Dept of Environmental Protection	Violation(s) Noted
2607238	06/19/2017	Routine/Partial Inspection	PA Dept of Environmental Protection	No Violations Noted
2600858	03/21/2017	Compliance Evaluation	PA Dept of Environmental Protection	Violation(s) Noted
2504481	05/17/2016	Compliance Evaluation	PA Dept of Environmental Protection	Violation(s) Noted

Violation Summary:

VIOL ID	VIOLATION DATE	VIOLATION TYPE	VIOLATION TYPE DESC	RESOLVED DATE
897183	09/29/2020	94.21	Wasteload Management - Failure to implement required measures for an existing overload	10/18/2020
852060	04/11/2019	92A.41(A)13B	NPDES - Unauthorized bypass occurred	06/10/2019
814351	03/14/2018	92A.41(A)13B	NPDES - Unauthorized bypass occurred	04/23/2018
787218	03/21/2017	92A.44	NPDES - Violation of effluent limits in Part A of permit	06/05/2017
787219	03/21/2017	92A.41(A)13B	NPDES - Unauthorized bypass occurred	06/05/2017
764677	05/17/2016	CSL201	CSL - Unauthorized, unpermitted discharge of sewage to waters of the Commonwealth	07/26/2016

Open Violations by Client ID:

No open violations for Client ID 62349

Enforcement Summary:

ENF ID	ENF TYPE	ENF TYPE DESC	EXECUTED DATE	ENF FINALSTATUS	ENF CLOSED DATE
389386	NOV	Notice of Violation	10/18/2020		
375922	NOV	Notice of Violation	06/10/2019		
363261	NOV	Notice of Violation	04/23/2018	Administrative Close Out	08/30/2019
353941	NOV	Notice of Violation	06/05/2017	Administrative Close Out	08/30/2019
345588	NOV	Notice of Violation	07/26/2016	Administrative Close Out	08/27/2019

DMR Violation Summary:

MONITORING START DATE	MONITORING END DATE	NON COMPLIANCE TYPE	PARAMETER	SAMPLE VALUE	PERMIT VALUE	STATISTICAL BASE CODE
09/01/2016	09/30/2016	Violation of permit condition	Fecal Coliform	2419.6	1000	Instantaneous Maximum

Compliance Status:

Completed by: John Murphy

Completed date: 1/5/2021

In an email dated February 4, 2021, Mr. John Murphy made the following comments pertaining to the above Violation Dated 09/29/2020, Violation Type - 94.21, Violation Description - Wasteload Management - Failure to implement required measures for an existing overload:

- According to the 2019 Chapter 94 Report they are not currently or projected to be hydraulically overloaded. In February 2016 they reported being organically overloaded for the month. The number reported that month appears to be an outlier and I would suspect a sampling error.
- Lisa issued them an NOV in September of 2020 because of an unpermitted bypass from one of the lagoons. The
 violation type she entered into eFacts was the 'Wasteload Management Failure to implement required measures
 for an existing overload'. She may have entered this type of violation by mistake. I could change it to 'An
 Unauthorized Bypass Occurred'', which is the violation type she used on previous bypasses from the lagoon.
- The Department may pursue a CACP because of these past violations.

Development of Effluent Limitations

Outfall No.	001		Design Flow (MGD)	4.0
Latitude	40º 15' 39.00	11	Longitude	-78º 53' 39.00"
Wastewater De	escription:	Sewage Effluent	-	

Technology-Based Limitations

The following technology-based limitations apply, subject to water quality analysis and BPJ where applicable:

Pollutant	Limit (mg/l)	SBC	Federal Regulation	State Regulation
	25	Average Monthly	133.102(a)(4)(i)	92a.47(a)(1)
CBOD5	40	Average Weekly	133.102(a)(4)(ii)	92a.47(a)(2)
	30	Average Monthly	133.102(b)(1)	92a.47(a)(1)
Total Suspended Solids	45	Average Weekly	133.102(b)(2)	92a.47(a)(2)
рН	6.0 – 9.0 S.U.	Min – Max	133.102(c)	95.2(1)
Fecal Coliform				
(5/1 – 9/30)	200 / 100 ml	Geo Mean	-	92a.47(a)(4)
Fecal Coliform				
(5/1 – 9/30)	1,000 / 100 ml	IMAX	-	92a.47(a)(4)
Fecal Coliform				
(10/1 – 4/30)	2,000 / 100 ml	Geo Mean	-	92a.47(a)(5)
Fecal Coliform				
(10/1 – 4/30)	10,000 / 100 ml	IMAX	-	92a.47(a)(5)
Total Residual Chlorine	0.5	Average Monthly	-	92a.48(b)(2)

Comments: The attached WQAM63 Modeling Data confirms that the above Technology-Based Limitation for CBOD₅ is applicable.

Water Quality-Based Limitations

The discharge was previously modeled using WQAM63 to evaluate the CBOD₅, Ammonia Nitrogen and Dissolved Oxygen parameters. Because there have been no changes to the discharge or the receiving stream, the limits for those parameters are based on the previously approved modeling results (output files attached). It is unnecessary to remodel those three parameters using the current WQM 7.0.

A "Reasonable Potential Analysis" (Attachment Toxic Management Spreadsheet) was conducted. No limitations were determined through water quality modeling, using DEPs Toxic Management Spreadsheet Version 1.1. The TMS recommended Monitoring for total copper, total selenium and total zinc, because the discharge concentration is greater than 10% of the WQBEL.

The following limitations were determined through water quality modeling (output files attached):

Parameter	Limit (mg/l)	SBC	Model
Ammonia-Nitrogen			
(May 1 – Oct 31)	7.8	Average Monthly	WQAM63
Ammonia-Nitrogen			
(Nov 1 – Apr 30)	23.0	Average Monthly	WQAM63

Best Professional Judgment (BPJ) Limitations

Comments: A Dissolved Oxygen minimum limitation of 4.0 mg/L will be implemented based on the standard in 25 PA Code Chapter 93 and best professional judgment.

Anti-Backsliding

Section 402(o) of the Clean Water Act (CWA), enacted in the Water Quality Act of 1987, establishes anti-backsliding rules governing two situations. The first situation occurs when a permittee seeks to revise a Technology-Based effluent

NPDES Permit Fact Sheet Ingleside STP

limitation based on BPJ to reflect a subsequently promulgated effluent guideline which is less stringent. The second situation addressed by Section 402(o) arises when a permittee seeks relaxation of an effluent limitation which is based upon a State treatment standard of water quality standard.

Previous limits can be used pursuant to EPA's anti-backsliding regulation 40 CFR 122.44 (I) Reissued permits. (1) Except as provided in paragraph (I)(2) of this section when a permit is renewed or reissued. Interim effluent limitations, standards or conditions must be at least as stringent as the final effluent limitations, standards, or conditions in the previous permit (unless the circumstances on which the previous permit was based have materially and substantially changed since the time the permit was issued and would constitute cause for permit modification or revocation and reissuance under §122.62). (2) In the case of effluent limitations established on the basis of Section 402(a)(1)(B) of the CWA, a permit may not be renewed, reissued, or modified on the basis of effluent guidelines promulgated under section 304(b) subsequent to the original issuance of such permit, to contain effluent limitations which are less stringent than the comparable effluent limitations in the previous permit.

The facility is not seeking to revise the previously permitted effluent limits.

Additional Considerations:

Ultraviolet (UV) disinfection is used therefore Total Residual Chlorine (TRC) limits are not applicable. Routine monitoring of UV Transmittance will be at the same monitoring frequency that is used for TRC.

For pH, Dissolved Oxygen (DO) and UV Transmittance, a monitoring frequency 1/day has been imposed. In general, less frequent monitoring may be established only when the permittee demonstrates that there will be no discharge on days where monitoring is not required.

Nutrient monitoring is required to establish the nutrient load from the wastewater treatment facility and the impacts that load may have on the quality of the receiving stream(s). A 1/quarter monitor and report requirement for Total N & Total P has been added to the permit as per Chapter 92.a.61.

Mass loading limits are applicable for publicly owned treatment works. Current policy requires average monthly mass loading limits be established for CBOD5, TSS, and NH₃-N and average weekly mass loading limits be established for CBOD5 and TSS. Average monthly mass loading limits (lbs/day) are based on the formula: design flow (MGD) x concentration limit (mg/L) x conversion factor (8.34).

For POTWs with design flows greater than 2,000 GPD influent BOD₅ and TSS monitoring must be established in the permit, and the monitoring should be consistent with the same frequency and sample type as is used for other effluent parameters.

Monitoring frequency for the proposed effluent limits are based upon Table 6-3, Self-Monitoring Requirements for Sewage Dischargers, from the Departments Technical Guidance for the Development and Specification of Effluent Limitations. Please note that Monitoring Requirements were changed for Flow to 2/week Metered to be consistent with the guidance.

Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) and its Major Constituents

Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) and its major constituents including sulfate, chloride, and bromide have emerged as pollutants of concern in several major watersheds in the Commonwealth. The conservative nature of these solids allows them to accumulate in surface waters and they may remain a concern even if the immediate downstream public water supply is not directly impacted. Bromide has been linked to formation of disinfection byproducts at increased levels in public water systems.

Based on these concerns and under the authority of §92a.61, DEP has determined it should implement increased monitoring in NPDES permits for these parameters: TDS, sulfate, chloride, bromide, and 1,4-dioxane.

Increased monitoring in NPDES permits will only occur when the following conditions are met:

- Where the concentration of TDS in the discharge exceeds 1,000 mg/L, or the net TDS load from a discharge exceeds 20,000 lbs/day, and the discharge flow exceeds 0.1 MGD, Part A of the permit should include monitor and

report for TDS, sulfate, chloride, and bromide. Discharges of 0.1 MGD or less should monitor and report for TDS, sulfate, chloride, and bromide if the concentration of TDS in the discharge exceeds 5,000 mg/L.

- Where the concentration of bromide in a discharge exceeds 1 mg/L and the discharge flow exceeds 0.1 MGD, Part A of the permit should include monitor and report for bromide. Discharges of 0.1 MGD or less should monitor and report for bromide if the concentration of bromide in the discharge exceeds 10 mg/L.
- Where the concentration of 1,4-dioxane (CAS 123-91-1) in a discharge exceeds 10 µg/L and the discharge flow exceeds 0.1 MGD, Part A of the permit should include monitor and report for 1,4-dioxane. Discharges of 0.1 MGD or less should monitor and report for 1,4-dioxane if the concentration of 1,4-dioxane in the discharge exceeds 100 µg/L.

Monitoring is not required for TDS, sulfate, chloride, bromide & 1,4-dioxane. Concentrations of bromide is less than 1 mg/L (application reports < 0.035 mg/L), TDS is less than 1000 mg/L (application reports 298 mg/L) & 1,4-dioxane is less than 10 ug/L (application reports < 3.9 ug/L).

Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET)

For Outfall 001, \Box Acute \boxtimes Chronic WET Testing was completed:

 \square

For the permit renewal application (4 tests).

Quarterly throughout the permit term.

Quarterly throughout the permit term and a TIE/TRE was conducted.

Other:

The dilution series used for the tests was: 100%, 66%, 31%, 16%, and 8%. The Target Instream Waste Concentration (TIWC) to be used for analysis of the results is: 0.31.

Summary of Four Most Recent Test Results

TST Data Analysis

(NOTE – In lieu of recording information below, the application manager may attach the DEP WET Analysis Spreadsheet).

	Ceriodaphnia F	Results (Pass/Fail)	Pimephales Results (Pass/Fail)		
Test Date	Survival	Reproduction	Survival	Growth	
10/28/2014	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	
10/20/2015	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	
11/22/2016	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	
10/03/2017	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	

* A "passing" result is that in which the replicate data for the TIWC is not statistically significant from the control condition. This is exhibited when the calculated t value ("T-Test Result") is greater than the critical t value. A "failing" result is exhibited when the calculated t value ("T-Test Result") is less than the critical t value.

Is there reasonable potential for an excursion above water quality standards based on the results of these tests? (*NOTE* – *In general, reasonable potential is determined anytime there is at least one test failure in the previous four tests*).

Comments: N/A

Evaluation of Test Type, IWC and Dilution Series for Renewed Permit

Acute Partial Mix Factor (PMFa): 0.66 Chronic Partial Mix Factor (PMFc): 1.0

1. Determine IWC – Acute (IWCa):

(Q_d x 1.547) / ((Q₇₋₁₀ x PMFa) + (Q_d x 1.547))

[(4.0 MGD x 1.547) / ((14.0 cfs x 0.66) + (4.0 MGD x 1.547))] x 100 = 40.1%

Is IWCa < 1%? YES X NO (YES - Acute Tests Required OR NO - Chronic Tests Required)

If the discharge is to the tidal portion of the Delaware River, indicate how the type of test was determined:

N/A

Type of Test for Permit Renewal: Chronic Tests

2a. Determine Target IWCa (If Acute Tests Required)

TIWCa = IWCa / 0.3 = 100.0%

2b. Determine Target IWCc (If Chronic Tests Required)

(Q_d x 1.547) / (Q₇₋₁₀ x PMFc) + (Q_d x 1.547)

[(4.0 MGD x 1.547) / ((14.0 cfs x 1.0) + (4.0 MGD x 1.547))] x 100 = **31.0%**

3. Determine Dilution Series

(NOTE – check Attachment C of WET SOP for dilution series based on TIWCa or TIWCc, whichever applies).

Dilution Series = 100%, 66%, 31%, 16%, and 8%.

WET Limits

Has reasonable potential been determined? YES
NO

Will WET limits be established in the permit? \Box YES \boxtimes NO

If WET limits will be established, identify the species and the limit values for the permit (TU).

N/A

If WET limits will not be established, but reasonable potential was determined, indicate the rationale for not establishing WET limits:

<mark>N/A</mark>

Proposed Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements

The limitations and monitoring requirements specified below are proposed for the draft permit, and reflect the most stringent limitations amongst technology, water quality and BPJ. Instantaneous Maximum (IMAX) limits are determined using multipliers of 2 (conventional pollutants) or 2.5 (toxic pollutants). Sample frequencies and types are derived from the "NPDES Permit Writer's Manual" (362-0400-001), SOPs and/or BPJ.

Outfall 001, Effective Period: Permit Effective Date through Permit Expiration Date.

			Effluent Li	mitations			Monitoring Requirements	
Baramotor	Mass Units	; (lbs/day) ⁽¹⁾		Concentrati	ons (mg/L)		Minimum ⁽²⁾	Required
	Average Monthly	Daily Maximum	Instantaneous Minimum	Average Monthly	Weekly Average	Instant. Maximum	Measurement Frequency	Sample Type
Flow (MGD)	Report	Report	XXX	XXX	xxx	xxx	2/week	Metered
pH (S.U.)	ххх	ххх	6.0	XXX	xxx	9.0	1/day	Grab
DO	ххх	ХХХ	4.0	XXX	xxx	ххх	1/day	Grab
CBOD5	830	1250 Wkly Avg	XXX	25.0	37.5	50	2/week	24-Hr Composite
BOD5 Raw Sewage Influent	Report	Report	XXX	Report	xxx	xxx	2/week	24-Hr Composite
TSS Raw Sewage Influent	Report	Report	XXX	Report	xxx	xxx	2/week	24-Hr Composite
TSS	1000	1500 Wkly Avg	XXX	30.0	45.0	60	2/week	24-Hr Composite
Fecal Coliform (No./100 ml) Oct 1 - Apr 30	XXX	xxx	XXX	2000 Geo Mean	XXX	10000	2/week	Grab
Fecal Coliform (No./100 ml) May 1 - Sep 30	xxx	XXX	XXX	200 Geo Mean	xxx	1000	2/week	Grab
UV Transmittance (%)	ххх	ххх	Report	XXX	XXX	XXX	1/day	Measured
Total Nitrogen	XXX	xxx	XXX	XXX	Report Daily Max	XXX	1/quarter	24-Hr Composite
Ammonia-Nitrogen Nov 1 - Apr 30	765	xxx	XXX	23.0	xxx	46	2/week	24-Hr Composite
Ammonia-Nitrogen May 1 - Oct 31	260	xxx	XXX	7.8	xxx	15	2/week	24-Hr Composite
Total Phosphorus	XXX	xxx	XXX	XXX	Report Daily Max	XXX	1/quarter	24-Hr Composite

Outfall 001, Continued (from Permit Effective Date through Permit Expiration Date)

			Effluent Lir	nitations			Monitoring Requirements	
Baramotor	Mass Units (Ibs/day) ⁽¹⁾		Concentrations (mg/L)				Minimum ⁽²⁾	Required
Falameter	Average Monthly	Daily Maximum	Instantaneous Minimum	Average Monthly	Weekly Average	Instant. Maximum	Measurement Frequency	Sample Type
					0.75			24-Hr
Total Aluminum	XXX	XXX	XXX	0.75	Daily Max	XXX	1/week	Composite
					Report			24-Hr
Total Copper	Report	Report	XXX	Report	Daily Max	XXX	1/week	Composite
					3.0			24-Hr
Total Iron	XXX	XXX	XXX	1.5	Daily Max	XXX	1/week	Composite
					2.0			24-Hr
Total Manganese	XXX	XXX	XXX	1.0	Daily Max	XXX	1/week	Composite
					Report			24-Hr
Total Selenium	Report	Report	XXX	Report	Daily Max	XXX	1/week	Composite
					Report			24-Hr
Total Zinc	Report	Report	XXX	Report	Daily Max	XXX	1/week	Composite

Compliance Sampling Location: Outfall # 001

Proposed Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements

The limitations and monitoring requirements specified below are proposed for the draft permit, and reflect the most stringent limitations amongst technology, water quality and BPJ. Instantaneous Maximum (IMAX) limits are determined using multipliers of 2 (conventional pollutants) or 2.5 (toxic pollutants). Sample frequencies and types are derived from the "NPDES Permit Writer's Manual" (362-0400-001), SOPs and/or BPJ.

Outfall 006, Effective Period: Permit Effective Date through Permit Expiration Date.

			Effluent L	imitations			Monitoring Requirements	
Parameter	Mass Units (Ibs/day) ⁽¹⁾			Concentrat	Minimum ⁽²⁾	Required		
Falance	Average Monthly	Average Weekly	Minimum	Average Monthly	Daily Maximum	Instant. Maximum	Measurement Frequency	Sample Type
Flow (MGD)	XXX	Report Daily Max	XXX	XXX	xxx	xxx	1/quarter	Measured
pH (S.U.)	xxx	xxx	xxx	xxx	Report	xxx	1/quarter	Grab
CBOD5	XXX	XXX	xxx	XXX	Report	xxx	1/quarter	Grab
TSS	XXX	XXX	xxx	XXX	Report	XXX	1/quarter	Grab
Fecal Coliform (No./100 ml)	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	Report	XXX	1/quarter	Grab

Compliance Sampling Location: Outfall # 006

Proposed Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements

The limitations and monitoring requirements specified below are proposed for the draft permit, and reflect the most stringent limitations amongst technology, water quality and BPJ. Instantaneous Maximum (IMAX) limits are determined using multipliers of 2 (conventional pollutants) or 2.5 (toxic pollutants). Sample frequencies and types are derived from the "NPDES Permit Writer's Manual" (362-0400-001), SOPs and/or BPJ.

Outfall 007, Effective Period: Permit Effective Date through Permit Expiration Date.

				Monitoring Requirements				
Baramotor	Mass Units	(lbs/day) ⁽¹⁾		Concentrat	Minimum ⁽²⁾	Required		
Falameter	Average Monthly	Average Weekly	Minimum	Average Monthly	Daily Maximum	Instant. Maximum	Measurement Frequency	Sample Type
		Report						
Flow (MGD)	XXX	Daily Max	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	1/quarter	Measured
pH (S.U.)	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	Report	XXX	1/quarter	Grab
CBOD5	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	Report	XXX	1/quarter	Grab
TSS	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	Report	XXX	1/quarter	Grab
Fecal Coliform (No./100 ml)	XXX	xxx	xxx	xxx	Report	XXX	1/quarter	Grab

Compliance Sampling Location: Outfall # 007

Low-Flow Statistics for Pennsylvania Streams

Bridge of Krings

Page 1 of 2



Low-Flow Statistics for Pennsylvania Streams



Developed by the U.S. Geological Survey for the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection

Pennsylvania Low-Flow Statistics - Query Results

LOW-FLOW STATISTICS [All flow statistics in cubic feet per second (ft³/s)] Query run on 06/17/02

Mouse over or click on table headings to view definition of statistic

STREAM NAME: Stony Creek	COUNTY: SOMERSET	LATITUDE: 40° 16' 30"
GAGE OR BRIDGE SITE: bridge	USGS QUAD: Johnstown	LONGITUDE: 78° 54' 16"
REFERENCE GAGE: 03040000	PERIOD OF RECORD ¹ : 1940-95	DRAINAGE AREA (sq. mi.): 394

Q _{1,10}	Q _{7.10}	Q _{30,10}	MEAN	MEDIAN	HARMONIC MEAN
12	14	19	580.08	284.80	120.36

FLOW DURATION TABLE (Probability of Exceedance)									
P5 P10 P20 P30 P40 P50 P60 P70 P80 P90 P9								P95	
2087.94 1415.25 847.41 576.59 402.74 284.80 199.18 136.28 88.24 49.45 29.									29.44

¹Period of Record for climatic year, April 1 through March 31

** Statistic has not been computed

http://wwwpa.er.usgs.gov/flowstats/get_stats.ihtml?getid=2360

NPDES Permit Fact Sheet Ingleside STP NPDES Permit No. PA0026778

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA Department of Environmental Protection Southwest Regional Office July 7, 2000 8-412-442-5219

SUBJECT: First Use Survey Stonycreek River Richland Township, Cambria County Stream Code: 45804 SWP: 18E

TO: Karen Crowley Sanitary Engineer Water Management

FROM: Abbcy Falcone Water Pollution Biologist Water Management

On April 25, 2000, Water Pollution Biologist Abbey Falcone conducted a point of first use survey of Stonycreek River in Cambria County (see attached map). The objective of this survey was to determine at what point the stream supports an aquatic use. The surveys were performed using a D-net 0.3 m wide with 0.8 mm pores. The substrate was disturbed until an aquatic use was found.

The first station is located at the Windber Sewage Treatment Plant, just upstream of its outfall pipe. The flow in this segment of Stonycreek is high and extremely fast. The main part of the stream channel is not wadeable, thereby making it difficult to sample the benthic community. The few shallow areas toward the stream bank were sampled with little success. No aquatic macroinvertebrates were recovered. The scouring effect of the rapid water flow does not allow for the colonization of aquatic insects. It is extremely difficult, if not impossible, for anything to adhere to the boulders that dominate the stream substrate. Water quality does not appear to be a limiting factor in the presence of an aquatic insect community but the hydrology does.

Approximately 1.5 miles downstream, Stonycreek becomes less rapid and easier to wade, although still impossible to get to the center of the stream channel. At this point, hydropsychidae (caddisflies) and macromiidae (dragonflies) were found, indicating an aquatic use to protect. Because this is the closest point that is wadeable and hydrologically suitable for the aquatic insects, it should be substituted as the point of first use for Windber Sewage Treatment Plant. The reason for this is the theory that the first station would also support these insects if it was hydrologically conducive to their colonization and

£

Karen Crowley

-2-

July 7, 2000

survival. It is the policy of this Department to determine whether a stream's aquatic use is compromised due to water quality and not on its natural hydrology. Therefore, the point of first use for Windber Sewage Treatment Plant is the Stonycreek River.

cc: T. Proch File .

AF:kld





,

. '

FILE: c:\untitled.wqm

Default Data

		Dordaro Daoa	
a.	Sti	ream Values	
	1	Q1-10/Q7-10 ratio	.64
	2	Q30-10/Q7-10 ratio	1.36
	3	Temperature:	25
	4	pH	6.4
	5	C-BOD5:	2
	6	NH3-N	0
	7	D.O. Saturation (%)	.85
	8	D.O. Goal	5
	9	Width/Depth ratio	60
1	10	<pre>KC(Headwaters only!)</pre>	0
1	11	KN	.6
b.	Dis	scharge Values (30-day avgs.)	
1	1.2	C-BOD5:	25
1	13	NH3-N:	7.8
1	14	Effluent D.O	2
1	15	Effluent Temp:	20
1	L6	КС	1.5
3	17	Balanced Technology (1=y 0=no):	0

FILE: c:\untitled.wgm

Ingleside STP - Tire Hill STP Evaluation Existing Flows and Limits Warm Period U niform Treatment

7.12

2

.1

REACH # 2 Headwaters and Tributary data

25

No. of Reaches : 2 pН RhQ7-10 т DO CBOD5 NH3-N (cfs) (c) (su) (mg/l) (mg/l) (mg/l) --_____ -----------------____ ΗW 14.0000 25 6.4 7.12 2 .1 1 0.0000

6.4

(WQAM63.EXE) Release 1.2 06-12-2003

0.0700

2

08:30:57

.

1

. '

FILE: c:\untitled.wqm

Ingleside STP - Tire Hill STP Evaluation Existing Flows and Limits Warm Period U niform Treatment

Stream Characteristics

Rh	Q7-10	Т	pH	DO	CBOD5	NH3-N
	(cfs)	(с)	(su)	(mg/1)	(mg/1)	(mg/1)
1	14	25	6.4	7.12	2	.1
2	14.07	25	6.4	7.12	2	.1

Q 1-10/Q 7-10 = .64 Q 30-10/Q 7-10 = 1.36

FILE: c:\untitled.wqm

Ingleside STP - Tire Hill STP Evaluation Existing Flows and Limits Warm Period U niform Treatment

DISCHARGE # 2 Discharger Data Q7-10 Design Conditions

Rh	FLOW (MGD)	Т (с)	pH (su)	DO (mg/1)	CBOD5 (mg/l)	NH3-N (mg/1)	KC (1/days)
1	4.0000	20	7	2	25	7.8	1.5
2	0.4500	20	7	2	25	15	1.5

(WQAM63.EXE) Release 1.2 06-12-2003 08:31:41

· . · .

FILE: c:\untitled.wqm

Ingleside STP - Tire Hill STP Evaluation Existing Flows and Limits Warm Period U niform Treatment

		R	EACH # 2			
		Reach	Characte	ristics		
Rh			RCH.	RCH.	DRAIN	
	D.O.	KN	SL.	LEN.	AREA	W/D
	GOAL	(/D)	(FT/FT)	(FT.)	(MI^2)	
1	5	.6	0.00500	11827	396	60
2	5	.6	0.00600	1162	398	33

FILE: c:\untitled.wqm

Ingleside STP - Tire Hill STP Evaluation Existing Flows and Limits Warm Period U niform Treatment

REACH # 2 Reach Characteristics Rh (/D) (Days) -- ----1 0 0 2 0 0

(WQAM63.EXE) Release 1.2

.

06-12-2003

08:32:46

. .

FILE: c:\untitled.wqm

Ingleside STP - Tire Hill STP Evaluation Existing Flows and Limits Warm Period U niform Treatment

NH3-N Discharge Allocations at Q30-10 (Uniform)

DIS	Q	BASE.	MULT.	CRIT.	PCT.	NH3 – N
		CONC.	CONC.	RCH.	RED.	CRIT.
	(mgd)	(mg/l)	(mg/1)		(왕)	(mg/l)
1	4.0000	7.80	7.80	0	0	1.98
2	0.4500	15.00	15.00	0	0	1.98

FILE: c:\untitled.wqm

Ingleside STP - Tire Hill STP Evaluation Existing Flows and Limits Warm Period U niform Treatment

NH3-N Discharge Allocations at Q1-10 (Uniform)

DIS	Q	BASE.	MULT,	CRIT.	PCT.	NH3 - N
		CONC.	CONC.	RCH,	RED.	CRIT.
	(mgd)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)		(೪)	(mg/l)
1	4.0000	15.60	15.60	0	0	9.53
2	0.4500	30.00	30.00	0	0	9.59

(WQAM63.EXE) Release 1.2 06-12-2003

08:33:05

. .

.

.'

FILE: c:\untitled.wqm

Ingleside STP - Tire Hill STP Evaluation Existing Flows and Limits Warm Period U niform Treatment

D.O. Allocations (Uniform)

DIS	0	NH	13 - N	CB	OD5	CRIT.	PCT.
#		IND.	CUM.	IND.	CUM.	RCH.	REM.
		Conc.	Conc.	Conc.	Conc.		
	(MGD)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)		(%)
i	4.0000	7.8	7.8	25	25	0	0
2	0.4500	15	15	25	25	0	0

FILE: c:\untitled.wqm

Ingleside STP - Tire Hill STP Evaluation Existing Flows and Limits Warm Period U niform Treatment

(Total)Discharge = 4 MGD = 23.5 = 6.5 Width 63.20 Temp pH-CBOD-5 = 9.05 NH3-N = 2.46 Depth 225 1.05 5.55 D.O. Goal = 5 D.O. Velocity = 0.303 2.2 = 1.178W/D RATIO = 60 KC' KN **≕**.6 = 10.349 (TSIVOGLOU) KR Dis. 1 Rch. 1 Trvl Time: .451 CBOD-5 NH3-N Tr.Tm. D.O. (Days) (mg/l) (mg/l) (mg/l) -----------------0.045 8.50 2.37 5,72 0.090 7.99 2.29 5.88 0.135 7.51 2.21 6.03 2.14 0.181 7.05 6.17 0.226 6.63 2.06 6.29 0.271 6.23 1.99 6.42 0.316 5.85 1.92 6.53 0.361 5.50 1.85 6.64 0.406 5,16 1.79 6.74 0.451 4.85 1.73 6.83

(WQAM63.EXE) Release 1.2 06-12-2003 08:33:22

. . .

.

٠

FILE: c:\untitled.wqm

Ingleside STP - Tire Hill STP Evaluation Existing Flows and Limits Warm Period U niform Treatment

(Total) Dis	scha	arge =	4.45 N	1GD					
Temp	=	23.4	pH		12	6.5	Width	-	46.93
CBOD-5	-	5.51	NH3-N		=	2.16	Depth	=	1.42
D.O.	=	6.67	D.O. Go	al	=	5	Velocity	=	0.314
KC'	=	1.124	KN		62	.6	W/D RATIO	=	33
KR	≓	12.859	(TSIVC	GLC)U)				
	D:	is. 2	Rch.	2	Tr	vl T	ime: .043		
		Tr.Tm.	CBOD-	-5	NH3	-N	D.O.		
		(Days)	(mg/1	.)	(mg	/1)	(mg/l)		
				- <u>-</u>					
		0.004	5.48		2.1	.6	6.70		
		0.009	5.45		2.1	5	6.73		
		0.013	5.42		2.1	.4	6.75		
		0.017	5.39		2.1	3	6.78		
		0.021	5.36		2.1	.3	6.80		
		0.026	5.33		2.1	2	6.82		
		0.030	5.30		2.1	1	6.84		
		0.034	5.27		2.1	1	6.86		
		0.039	5.24		2.1	0	6.88		
		0.043	5.21		2.0	9	6.90		

FILE: c:\untitled.wqm

Ingleside STP - Tire Hill STP Evaluation Existing Flows and Limits Warm Period U niform Treatment

DISCHARGE CHARACTERISTICS

END OF REACH 2

(TOTAL) FLOW-MGD	4.45
TEMPERATURE	20
pH:	7
DISSOLVED OXYGEN (mg/1):	6.5
C-BOD5 (mg/1):	11.8
NH3-N (mg/1)	6.2
KC (1/Day):	1.5

(WQAM63.EXE) Release 1.2 06-12-2003

.

08:33:33

.

. .

•

 $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{R}}$

FILE: c:\untitled.wqm

 \mathbf{b}

Ingleside STP - Tire Hill STP Evaluation Existing Flows and Limits Warm Period U niform Treatment

Effluent Limitations Display

DIS	Q	NH3-N	TOX.	DISS	S. OXYGE	SN
#		1	30	C-BOD5	NH3-N	EFF.
	MGD	DAY	DAY	30-DAY	30-DAY	D.O.
1	4	15.6	7.8	25	7.8	2
2	.45	30	15	25	15	2

There were no reductions, existing limits ok. Evaluate Using a discharge Flow of 0.90 mgd for TIRE Hill STP.

(WQAM63.EXE) Release 1.2 06-12-2003

08:33:58

.

4 .

EM

FILE: a:\untitled.wqm

Default Data

a.	Sti	ream Values	
	1	Q1-10/Q7-10 ratio	.64
	2	Q30-10/Q7-10 ratio	1.36
	3	Temperature:	25
	4	pH	6.4
	5	C-BOD5	2
	6	NH3-N:	.1
	7	D.O. Saturation (%)	.85
	8	D.O. Goal:	5
	9	Width/Depth ratio	60
1	10	<pre>KC(Headwaters only!)</pre>	0
1	11	KN:	.6
b.	Dia	scharge Values (30-day avgs.)	
1	12	C-BOD5:	25
1	13	NH3-N:	7.8
1	14	Effluent D.O	2
1	15	Effluent Temp:	20
1	16	KC	1.5
1	17	Balanced Technology (1=y 0=no):	0

FILE: a:\untitled.wqm

Tire Hill STP Expansion Warm Period Equal Marginal Percent

REACH # 2 Headwaters and Tributary data

No. of Reaches : 2

Rh	Q7-10 (cfs)	т (с)	pH (su)	DO (mg/l)	CBOD5 (mg/1)	NH3-N (mg/1)
HW	14.0000	25	6.4	7.12	2	.1
1	0.0000					
2	0.0700	25	6.4	7.12	2	.1

(WQAM63.EXE) Release 1.2 06-12-2003 08:51:45

· .

FILE: a:\untitled.wqm Tire Hill STP Expansion Warm Period Equal Marginal Percent Stream Characteristics Rh 07-10 \mathbf{T} рН DO CBOD5 NH3-N (mg/l) (mg/l) (mg/l) (cfs) (c) (su) _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ ----_ _ _ _ ----- ----- $\begin{array}{cccc} 7.12 & 2 & .1 \\ 7.12 & 2 & .1 \end{array}$ 25 6.4 1 14 25 6.4 2 14.07 Q 1-10/Q 7-10 = .64Q 30-10/Q 7-10 = 1.36 . FILE: a:\untitled.wqm Tire Hill STP Expansion Warm Period Equal Marginal Percent DISCHARGE # 2 Discharger Data Q7-10 Design Conditions Rh FLOW т CBOD5 NH3-N KC pHDO (MGD) (c) (ສນ) (mg/l) (mg/l) (mg/l) (1/days) - -_____ ----_ _ _ _ ---------4.0000 20 7 7.8 1.5 2 25 1 2 0.9000 20 7 2 25 15 1.5 $\sim \sim$ Existing limits when Jugleride STP = 4.0 mgd Thre Hill STP = O.45 msd Began with existing limits to see how they would be appended when Time Hall STP expands to Diffingd (WQAM63.EXE) Release 1.2 06-12-2003 08:52:44

.

.

FILE: a:\untitled.wqm Tire Hill STP Expansion Warm Period Equal Marginal Percent REACH # 2 Reach Characteristics RCH. RCH. DRAIN Rh LEN. AREA W/D D.O. KN SL. (FT/FT) (FT.) (MI^2) GOAL (/D) ----_____ ----- -----60 1 5 .6 0.00500 11827 396 2 5 .6 0.00600 1162 398 33

FILE: a:\untitled.wqm

Tire Hill STP Expansion Warm Period Equal Marginal Percent.

	RH Reach	EACH Char	# act	2 eristic	s
KR	ТТ				

(/D) (Days) - -----0

0 1 2 0 0

.

Rh

(WQAM63.EXE) Release 1.2 06-12-2003 08:53:47

FILE: a:\Tire Hill Expansion Summer.wqm Tire Hill STP Expansion Warm Period Equal Marginal Percent

NH3-N Discharge Allocations at Q30-10 (EMPR)

DIS	Q	BASE.	MULT.	CRIT.	PCT.	NH3-N
	(mgd)	(mg/1)	(mg/1)	RCH,	кыл. (%)	(mg/1)
1	4.0000	7.76	7.12	2	8.2	1.98
2	0.9000	15.00	13.77	2	8.2	1.99

FILE: a:\Tire Hill Expansion Summer.wqm Tire Hill STP Expansion Warm Period Equal Marginal Percent

NH3-N Discharge Allocations at Q1-10 (EMPR)

DIS	Q	BASE. CONC.	MULT. CONC.	CRIT. RCH.	PCT. RED.	NH3-N CRIT.
	(mgd)	(mg/1)	(mg/1)		(೪)	(mg/l)
1	4.0000	15.60	15.60	0	0	9.53
2	0.9000	30.00	30.00	0	0	9.64

(WQAM63.EXE) Release 1.2 06-12-2003

08:54:26

.

.

1

FILE: a:\Tire Hill Expansion Summer.wqm Tire Hill STP Expansion Warm Period Equal Marginal Percent

DIS #	Q	NH IND.	3-N CUM.	CB	OD5 CUM.	CRIT. RCH.	PCT. REM.
	(MGD)	Conc. (mg/1)	Conc. (mg/1)	Conc. (mg/l)	Conc. (mg/1)		(%)
1 2	4.0000 0.9000	7.1 13.8	7.1 13.8	25 25	25 25	0 0	0 0

D.O. Allocations (EMPR)

FILE: a:\Tire Hill Expansion Summer.wqm Tire Hill STP Expansion Warm Period Equal Marginal Percent

(Total)Dis Temp CBOD-5 D.O. KC' KR	scharge = = 23.5 = 9.05 = 5.55 = 1.178 = 10.349 Dis. 1	4 MGD pH NH3-N D.O. Goal KN (TSIVOGL¢ Rch. 1	= 6.5 = 2.25 = 5 = .6 DU) Trvl Ti	Width Depth Velocity W/D RATIO ime: .451	= 63.20 = 1.05 = 0.303 = 60
	Tr.Tm. (Days)	CBOD-5 (mg/1)	NH3-N (mg/l)	D.O. (mg/l)	
	0.045	8.50 7.99	2.17 2.09	5.75 5.93	
	0.135	7.51	2.02	6.08 6.22	
	0.226 0.271 0.316	6.63 6.23 5.85	1.88 1.82 1.75	6.35 6.48 6.59	
	0.361	5.50 5.16	1.69	6.70 6.80	-
	0.451	4,85	1.58	6.89	
(WODM63 FYF)	Peleago	1 2 04	-12-2002	00.54	24
(WQAM63.EXE)	Release	1.2 06	5-12-2003	08:54:	37

• r 1 *

FILE: a:\T Tire Hill STP	ire Hill Expansio	Expansion on Warm Pe	1 Summer. eriod Equ	wqm wal Marginal	L Pe	ercent
(Total)Disch	arge =	4.9 MGD				
Temp =	23.2 1	рH	= 6.5	Width	=	47.27
CBOD-5 =	6.14 Î	NH3-N	= 2.36	Depth	12	1.43
D.O. =	6.58	D.O. Goal	= 5	Velocity	=	0.320
KC' =	1.173	KN	= .6	W/D RATIO	25	33
KR =	13.097	(TSIVOGLO)UU)			
D	is. 2	Rch. 2	Trvl Ti	.me: .042		
	Tr.Tm.	CBOD-5	NH3 – N	D.O.		
	(Days)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/1)		
	0.004	6.10	2.35	6.60		
	0.008	6.07	2.34	6,63		
-	0.013	6.03	2.34	6.65		
	0.017	6.00	2.33	6.67		
	0.021	5.96	2.32	6.69		
	0.025	5,93	2.31	6.71		
	0.029	5.90	2.31	6.73		
	0.034	5,86	2.30	6.75		
	0.038	5.83	2.29	6.77		
	0.042	5.80	2.28	6.79		

FILE: a:\Tire Hill Expansion Summer.wqm Tire Hill STP Expansion Warm Period Equal Marginal Percent

DISCHARGE CHARACTERISTICS

END OF REACH 2

(TOTAL) FLOW-MGD			•	• •					•		:	4.9
TEMPERATURE											:	20
рН			•			•	•	•	•		:	7
DISSOLVED OXYGEN	ſ.	()	mg	g/	1)	÷				:	6.2
C-BOD5 (mg/l)	•		+	• •		•	÷	÷	•		:	12.8
NH3-N (mg/l)	•		•	• •			•		•		:	6.3
KC (1/Day)	•	•	•	• •		•	•			•	:	1,5

(WQAM63.EXE) Release 1.2 06-12-2003 08:54:45
.

FILE: a:\Tire Hill Expansion Summer.wqm Tire Hill STP Expansion Warm Period Equal Marginal Percent

DIS	Q	NH	3-N	CH	30D5	CRIT.	PCT.
#		IND.	CUM.	IND.	CUM.	RCH.	REM.
		Conc.	Conc.	Conc.	Conc.		
	(MGD)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)		(%)
1	4.0000	7.1	7.1	25	25	0	0
2	0.9000	13.8	13.8	25	25	0	0

D.O. Allocations (EMPR)

(WQAM63.EXE) Release 1.2 06-12-2003

08:55:22

37

· ·

FIL Tire H	E: a:\untitled.wqm ill Expansion Warm Period Uniform Treatmer	nt
	Default Data	
a. St	ream Values	
1	Q1-10/Q7-10 ratio	.64
2	Q30-10/Q7-10 ratio	1.36
3	Temperature	25
4	рН	6.4
5	C-BOD5:	2
6	NH3-N	.1
7	D.O. Saturation (%)	.85
8	D.O. Goal:	5
9	Width/Depth ratio	60
10	KC (Headwaters only!)	0.
11	KN:	.6
b. Dia	scharge Values (30-day avgs.)	
12	C-BOD5:	25
13	NH3-N	7.8
14	Effluent D.O	2
15	Effluent Temp	20
16	KC:	1.5
17	Balanced Technology(1=y 0=no)	0

FILE: a:\untitled.wqm Tire Hill Expansion Warm Period Uniform Treatment

REACH # 2 Headwaters and Tributary data

No. of Reaches : 2

Rh	Q7-10 (cfs)	Т (с)	pH (su)	DO (mg/1)	CBOD5 (mg/1)	NH3-N (mg/l)
ΗW	14.0000	25	6.4	7.12	2	.1
1	0.0000					
2	0.0700	25	6,4	7.12	2	.1

(WQAM63.EXE) Release 1.2 06-12-2003 09:18:01

FILE: a:\untitled.wqm Tire Hill Expansion Warm Period Uniform Treatment Stream Characteristics $\mathbf{p}\mathbf{H}$ Rh Q7-10 т DO CBOD5 NH3-N (c) (su) (mg/l) (mg/l) (mg/l) (cfs) _____ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ ------ --2 .1 2 .1 6.4 7.1225 1 14 7.12 2 14.07 25 6.4 Q 1 - 10/Q 7 - 10 = .64 \hat{Q} 30-10/ \hat{Q} 7-10 = 1.36 FILE: a:\untitled.wgm Tire Hill Expansion Warm Period Uniform Treatment DISCHARGE # 2 Discharger Data Q7-10 Design Conditions т CBOD5 NH3-N KC Rh FLOW pН DO (mg/l) (mg/l) (mg/l) (1/days) (MGD) (c) (su) -------------_ _ _____ ----4.0000 20 2 7.8 1.5 7 25 1 0.9000 20 7 2 25 15 1.5 2

(WQAM63.EXE) Release 1.2

L.2 06-12-2003

09:18:50

FILE: a:\untitled.wqm Tire Hill Expansion Warm Period Uniform Treatment REACH # 2 Reach Characteristics DRAIN Rh RCH. RCH. W/D LEN. AREA D.O. KN SL. (MI^2) (FT/FT) (FT.) GOAL (/D) _____ _____ --------- -5 .6 0.00500 11827 396 60 1 398 33 0.00600 1162 2 5 .6

FILE: a:\untitled.wqm Tire Hill Expansion Warm Period Uniform Treatment

REACH # 2 Reach Characteristics Rh KR TT (/D) (Days) -- ----1 0 0

2 0 0

(WQAM63.EXE) Release 1.2

06-12-2003

09:19:44

FILE: a:\a:\Tire Hill Expansion Summer.wqm Tire Hill Expansion Warm Period Uniform Treatment

NH3-N Discharge Allocations at Q30-10 (Uniform)

DIS	Q	BASE. CONC.	MULT. CONC.	CRIT. RCH.	PCT. RED,	NH3-N CRIT.	
	(mgd)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)		(%)	(mg/l)	
1	4.0000	7.80	7.14	2	8.5	1.98	
2	0.9000	15.00	13.73	2	8.5	1.99	

FILE: a:\a:\Tire Hill Expansion Summer.wqm Tire Hill Expansion Warm Period Uniform Treatment

NH3-N Discharge Allocations at Q1-10 (Uniform)

DIS	Q	BASE.	MULT.	CRIT.	PCT.	NH3-N
		CONC.	CONC.	RCH.	RED.	CRIT.
	(mgd)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)		(%)	(mg/l)
1	4.0000	15.60	15.60	0 0	0	9.53
2	0.9000	30.00	30.00	0	0	9.64

(WQAM63.EXE) Release 1.2

06-12-2003

09:20:53

*

.

FILE: a:\a:\Tire Hill Expansion Summer.wqm Tire Hill Expansion Warm Period Uniform Treatment

D.O. Allocations (Uniform)

DIS	Q	NH	3 - N	CB	OD5	CRIT.	PCT.
#		IND.	CUM.	IND.	CUM.	RCH.	REM.
		Conc.	Conc.	Conc.	Conc.		
	(MGD)	(mg/l)	(mg/1)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)		(%)
		-					
1	4.0000	7.1	7.1	25	25	0	0
2	0.9000	13.7	13.7	25	25	0	0

FILE: a:\a:\Tire Hill Expansion Summer.wqm Tire Hill Expansion Warm Period Uniform Treatment

(Total)Dis	scharge =	4 MGD			
Temp	= 23.5	nH	- 6.5	Width	= 63.20
CBOD-5	- 9.05	MH3-N	- 0.0	Donth	- 1.05
	- 5.05	D O Cool	- 4.20	Velegitu	= 1,05
D.U.	= 5.55	D.O. GOal	= 5	velocity	= 0.303
KC.	= 1.178	KIN	= .0	W/D RATIO	= 60
KR	= 10.349	(TSIVOGLO	00}		
	Dig. 1	Rch. 1	Trvl T:	ime: .451	
	Tr.Tm.	CBOD-5	NH3 – N	D.O.	
	(Days)	(mg/l)	(mg/1)	(mg/l)	
	0.045	8.50	2.17	5.75	
	0.090	7.99	2.09	5.93	
	0.135	7.51	2.02	6.08	
	0.181	7.05	1.95	6.22	
	0.226	6.63	1.88	6.35	
	0.271	6.23	1 82	6 48	
	0.316	5 85	1 75	6 59	
	0 361	5.50	1 69	6 70	
	0.406	5.50	1 63	6.70	
	0.451	A 95	1.05	6.00	
	0.451	4.05	1.56	0.89	
(WQAM63.EXE)	Release	1.2 06	5-12-2003	09:21:	16

. .

FILE: a:	:\a:\Tire	Hill Expan	sion Sum	mer.wqm	
Tire Hill B	Expansion	Warm Perio	d Unifor	m Treatment	
(Total)Dia	scharge =	4.9 MGD			
Temp	= 23.2	pH	= 6.5	Width =	47.27
CBOD-5	= 6.14	NH3 - N	= 2.35	Depth =	1.43
D.O.	= 6.58	D.O. Goal	= 5	Velocity =	0.320
KC'	= 1.173	KN	= .6	W/D RATIO =	- 33
KR	= 13.097	(TSIVOGL	OU}		
	Dis. 2	Rch. 2	Trvl T	ime: .042	
	Tr.Tm.	CBOD-5	NH3 – N	D.O.	
	(Days)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	
	0.004	6.10	2.34	6.60	
	0.008	6.07	2.34	6.63	
	0.013	6.03	2.33	6.65	
	0.017	6.00	2.32	6.67	
	0.021	5.96	2.31	6.69	
	0.025	5.93	2.31	6.71	
	0.029	5.90	2.30	6.73	
	0.034	5.86	2.29	6.75	
	0.038	5.83	2.28	6.77	
	0.042	5.80	2.28	6.79	

FILE: a:\a:\Tire Hill Expansion Summer.wqm Tire Hill Expansion Warm Period Uniform Treatment

DISCHARGE CHARACTERISTICS

END OF REACH 2

(TOT)	(LAI	FL	OM-	-M	G.	D.		•			•			•	;	4.9
TEMP	PERA	TUR	Е.								•				:	20
pH														•	:	7
DISS	SOLV	ED	OXY	YG	E	N	(m	g/	'l)		•	•	\$	6.2
C-BC	DD5	(mg	/1)).				•		•	•	•	•	•	:	12.8
NH3 -	N (mg/	1)		•			•			•		•	•	:	6.3
KC ((1/D	ay)	۰.		•		÷	÷		•	+		•	÷	;	1.5

(WQAM63.EXE) Release 1.2 06-12-2003 09:21:23

FILE: a:\a:\Tire Hill Expansion Summer.wqm Tire Hill Expansion Warm Period Uniform Treatment

Effluent Limitations Display

DIS	Q	NH3-N	TOX.	DISS	B. OXYGE	ЗN
#		1	30	C-BOD5	NH3-N	EFF.
	MGD	DAY	DAY	30-DAY	30-DAY	D.O.
1	4	14.3	7.1	25	7.1	2
2	.9	27.5	13.7	25	13.7	2

(WQAM63.EXE) Release 1.2

06-12-2003

09:21:39

· · · ·

.

.

FILE: c:\untitled.wqm Maintain Ingleside Limits Reduce Tire Hill Ammonia UT Warm Period
Default Data
a. Stream Values
1 Q1-10/Q7-10 ratio
2 030-10/07-10 ratio 1.36
3 Temperature
4 pH
5 C-BOD5 2
6 NH3-N
7 D.O. Saturation (%)
8 D.O. Goal
9 Width/Depth ratio
10 KC. (Headwaters only!)
11 KN
h Digcharge Values (30-day avgs)
12 C.BODE · 25
12 C-DODS
13 NR3-N
14 Birluent D.O
15 Billuent Temp 20
16 RC 1.5
17 Balanced Technology(l=y 0=no) 0

FILE: c:\untitled.wqm Maintain Ingleside Limits Reduce Tire Hill Ammonia UT Warm Period

REACH # 2 Headwaters and Tributary data

No. of Reaches : 2

Rh	Q7-10 (cfs)	Т (с)	pH (su)	DO (mg/1)	CBOD5 (mg/l)	NH3-N (mg/1)
HW	14.0000	25	6.4	7.12	2	.1
1	0.0000					
2	0.0700	25	6.4	7.12	2	.1

(WQAM63.EXE) Release 1.2 06-12-2003 09:30:45

F i

 F: Maint	ILE: c:\u tain Ingl	ntitled eside I	l.wqm imits	Reduce '	Tire Hi	ll Ammonia	a UT Warm	Period
	Stre	am Char	acteri	istics				
Rh	Q7-10 (cfs)	т (с)	pH (su)	DO (mg/l)	CBOD5 (mg/l)	NH3-N (mg/l)		
1 2	14 14.07	25 25	6.4 6.4	7.12 7.12	2 2	.1		
Q 1- Q 3(-10/Q 7-1 D-10/Q 7-	0 = .6 10 = 1	4					

FILE: c:\untitled.wqm Maintain Ingleside Limits Reduce Tire Hill Ammonia UT Warm Period

DISCHARGE # 2 Discharger Data Q7-10 Design Conditions

Rh	FLOW	т	pH	DÓ	CBOD5	NH3-N	KC
	(MGD)	(c)	(su)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(1/days)
1	4.0000	20	7	2	25	7.8	1.5
2	0.9000	20	7	2	25	10	1.5

(WQAM63.EXE) Release 1.2 06-12-2003 09:31:54

F Main	ILE: d tain 1	:\unt Ingles	itled.wqm ide Limit:	s Reduce	Tire Hi	ill Ammonia	UT Warm	Period
		R Reach	EACH # 2 Characte:	ristics				
Rh			RCH.	RCH.	DRAIN			
	D.O.	KN	SL.	LEN.	AREA	W/D		
	GOAL	(/D)	(FT/FT)	(FT.)	(MI^2)			
1	5	.6	0.00500	11827	396	60		
2	5	.6	0.00600	1162	398	33		

FILE: c:\untitled.wqm

Maintain Ingleside Limits Reduce Tire Hill Ammonia UT Warm Period

REACH # 2 Reach Characteristics

Rh

KR TT (/D) (Days) -- ---- -----1 0 0 2 0 0

(WQAM63.EXE) Release 1.2 06-12-2003 09:32:44

.

FILE: c:\untitled.wqm Maintain Ingleside Limits Reduce Tire Hill Ammonia UT Warm Period NH3-N Discharge Allocations at Q30-10 (Uniform) BASE. MULT. CRIT. PCT. NH3-N CONC. CONC. RCH. RED. CRIT. DIS Q (mgd) (mg/1) (mg/1) (%) (mg/l) - - -. -------- -----4.0000 7.80 7.80 0 0 0.9000 10.00 10.00 0 1.98 1 2 1.99

FILE: c:\untitled.wqm Maintain Ingleside Limits Reduce Tire Hill Ammonia UT Warm Period

NH3-N Discharge Allocations at Q1-10 (Uniform)

DIS	Q	BASE. CONC.	MULT. CONC.	CRIT. RCH.	PCT. RED.	NH3-N CRIT.
	(mgd)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)		(%)	(mg/1)
1	4.0000	15.60	15.60	0 (0	9.53
2	0.9000	20.00	20.00	0 (0	9.64

(WQAM63.EXE) Release 1.2

.2 06-12-2003

09:33:14

ċ

FILE: c:\untitled.wqm Maintain Ingleside Limits Reduce Tire Hill Ammonia UT Warm Period

DIS	Q	NH	3-N	CB	OD5	CRIT.	PCT.
#		IND.	CUM.	IND.	CUM.	RCH.	REM.
		Conc.	Conc.	Conc.	Conc.		
	(MGD)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/1)	(mg/l)		(%)
1	4.0000	7.8	7.8	25	25	0	0
2	0.9000	10	10	25	25	0	0

D.O. Allocations (Uniform)

FILE: c:\untitled.wqm Maintain Ingleside Limits Reduce Tire Hill Ammonia UT Warm Period

(Tot	al)Dis	cha	arge =	4	M	GÐ						
Т	emp	=	23.5	pН			=	6.5		Width	=	63.20
C	BOD-5	=	9.05	NH:	3 1	N	=	2.46		Depth	=	1.05
D	.0.	=	5.55	D.0).	Goal	=	5		Velocity	=	0.303
K	C'	=	1.178	KN			=	.6	-	W/D RATIO	=	60
K	R	-	10.349	(1	rs:	IVOGL	CUC					
		D:	is. 1	Ro	ch	. 1	\mathbf{T}_{2}	rvl T:	im	e: .451		
			Tr.Tm.	(CBO	OD-5	NH:	3 - N		D.O.		
			(Days)		(mg	g/l)	(mg	g/l)	(mg/l)		
			0.045	1	3.!	50	2.3	37	5	.72		
			0.090		7.5	99	2.2	29	5	.88		
			0.135		7.!	51	2.2	21	6	.03		
			0.181		7.0	05	2.3	14	6	.17		
			0.226	6	5.0	63	2.0	06	6	.29		
			0.271	6	5.3	23	1.9	99	6	.42		
			0.316		5.4	85	1.9	92	6	.53		
			0,361	5	5.9	50	1.8	85	6	.64		
			0.406	Ę	5.3	16	1.	79	6	.74		
			0.451	4	ŧ.1	85	1.	73	6	.83		
												-

(WQAM63.EXE) Release 1.2 06-12-2003 09:33:29

	FILE: c:\unt. Maintain Ingles	itled.wqm ide Limits Reduc	ce Tire H	Iill Ammonia	UT Warm Period
	(Total)Dischar Temp = 2 CBOD-5 = 6 D.O. = 6 KC' = 1 KR = 1 Dis	ge = 4.9 MGD 3.2 pH .14 NH3-N 6.52 D.O. Goal .173 KN 3.097 (TSIVOGLO . 2 Rch. 2	= 6.5 = 2.25 = 5 = .6 DU) Trvl Ti	Width Depth Velocity W/D RATIO .me: .042	= 47.27 = 1.43 = 0.320 = 33
	т ()	r.Tm. CBOD-5 Days) (mg/1)	NH3-N (mg/l)	D.O. (mg/l)	
-	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	.004 6.10 .008 6.07 .013 6.03 .017 6.00 .021 5.96 .025 5.93 .029 5.90 .034 5.86 .038 5.83 .042 5.80 tled.wqm side Limits Redu	2.25 2.24 2.23 2.22 2.22 2.21 2.20 2.20 2.19 2.18 ace Tire	6.55 6.58 6.61 6.63 6.66 6.71 6.73 6.75 6.77 Hill Ammoni	a UT Warm Period
	DISCHAR	GE CHARACTERIST	ICS		
	END OF REACH	2			
	(TOTAL) FLOW-MC TEMPERATURE pH DISSOLVED OXYGI C-BOD5 (mg/l). NH3-N (mg/l) KC (1/Day)	GD	4.9 20 7 5.1 12.8 5 1.5		

(WQAM63.EXE) Release 1.2 06-12-2003 09:33:35

.

FILE: c:\untitled.wqm Maintain Ingleside Limits Reduce Tire Hill Ammonia UT Warm Period Effluent Limitations Display DIS NH3-N TOX. DISS. OXYGEN 0 1 30 C-BOD5 NH3-N EFF. # MGD DAY DAY 30-DAY 30-DAY D.O. --- ----- ---- ----- ------ -----14 2.9 ſ Try raising to 11 mill to see if allocation occurs

FILE: c:\untitled.wgm

Maintain Ingleside Limits Reduce Tire Hill Ammonia UT Warm Period

D.O. Allocations (Uniform)

DIS	Q	NH	3-N	CBOD5CRIT.				
#		IND.	CUM.	IND.	CUM.	RCH.	REM.	
		Conc.	Conc.	Conc.	Conc.			
	(MGD)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	,	(%)	
1	4.0000	7.8	7.8	25	25	0	0	
2	0.9000	10	10	25	25	0	0	

(WQAM63.EXE) Release 1.2 06-12-2003 09:33:49

-

51

.

FILE: c:\untitled.wqm Maintain Ingleside Limits Reduce Tire Hill Ammonia UT Warm Period DISCHARGE # 2 Discharger Data Q7-10 Design Conditions т $\mathbf{p}\mathbf{H}$ Rh FLOW DO CBOD5 NH3-N \mathbf{KC} (mg/l) (mg/l) (mg/l) (1/days) (MGD) (c) (su) - -----------_ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ ------ - - - -_ _ _ _ _ _ 4.0000 1 20 7 2 25 7.8 1.5 (11) 0.9000 20 7 2 25 2 1.5 Tryn

FILE: c:\untitled.wqm Maintain Ingleside Limits Reduce Tire Hill Ammonia UT Warm Period

NH3-N Discharge Allocations at Q30-10 (Uniform)

DIS	Q	BASE. CONC.	MULT. CONC.	CRIT. RCH.	PCT. RED.	NH3-N CRIT.
	(mgd)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)		(%)	(mg/l)
1	4.0000	7.80	7.80	0	0	1.98
2	0.9000	11.00	11.00	0	0	1.99

(WQAM63.EXE) Release 1.2

06-12-2003

09:36:33

,

4

 $\sim 10^{-1}$

.

FILE: c:\untitled.wqm Maintain Ingleside Limits Reduce Tire Hill Ammonia UT Warm Period NH3-N Discharge Allocations at Q1-10 (Uniform) DIS BASE. MULT. CRIT. PCT. NH3-N Q CONC. CONC. RCH. RED. CRIT. (mgd) (mg/l) (mg/l) (%) (mg/1) -------- ----- -------------4.000015.6015.60000.900022.0022.0000 9.53 1 2 9.64

FILE: c:\untitled.wqm

Maintain Ingleside Limits Reduce Tire Hill Ammonia UT Warm Period

D.O. Allocations (Uniform)

DIS	Q	NH	[3−N	CE	PCT.		
#		IND.	CUM.	IND.	CUM.	RCH.	REM.
	(MGD)	(mg/l)	(mg/1)	(mg/l)	(mg/1)		(%)
1 2	4.0000 0.9000	7.8 11	7.8 11	25 25	25 25	0 0	0 0

(WQAM63.EXE) Release 1.2 06-12-2003 09:36:47

53

ċ

FILE: c:\untitled.wqm Maintain Ingleside Limits Reduce Tire Hill Ammonia UT Warm Period DISCHARGE # 2 Discharger Data Q7-10 Design Conditions т Rh FLOW pHDO CBOD5 NH3-N KC (c) (MGD) (mg/l) (mg/l) (mg/l) (1/days) (su) - -_ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ ----_ _ _ _ _ _ 4.0000 20 7 2 25 7.8 (12) 1 1.5 0.9000 7 2 25 2 20 1.5 To maintain Inglarides existing NH3-N limit of 7.8 mill. the expended Tire Hall site limit con be Il milt without causing En allocation to occur. FILE: c:\untitled.wqm Maintain Ingleside Limits Reduce Tire Hill Ammonia UT Warm Period

NH3-N Discharge Allocations at Q30-10 (Uniform)

DIS	Q (mgd)	BASE. CONC. (mg/l)	MULT. CONC. (mg/1)	CRIT. RCH.	PCT, RED. (%)	NH3-N CRIT, (mg/l)
1 2	4.0000	7.80	7.71	2	1.1 1.1	1.98 1.99

(WQAM63.EXE) Release 1.2 06-12-2003

09:37:37

,

.'

FILE: c:\untitled.wqm Maintain Ingleside Limits Reduce Tire Hill Ammonia UT Warm Period NH3-N Discharge Allocations at Q1-10 (Uniform) DIS Q BASE. MULT. CRIT. PCT. NH3-N CONC. CONC. RCH. RED. CRIT. (mgd) (mg/l) (mg/l) (%) (mg/l) 1 4.0000 15.60 15.60 0 0 9.53 2 0.9000 24.00 24.00 0 0 9.64

FILE: c:\untitled.wqm

Maintain Ingleside Limits Reduce Tire Hill Ammonia UT Warm Period

D.O. Allocations (Uniform)

DIS	Q	NH	3-N	CBOD5CRIT.					
#		IND.	CUM.	IND.	CUM.	RCH.	REM.		
		Conc.	Conc.	Conc.	Conc.				
	(MGD)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)		(%)		
1	4.0000	7.7	7.7	25	25	0	0		
2	0.9000	11.9	11.9	25	25	0	0		

(WQAM63.EXE) Release 1.2 06-12-2003 09:37:45

.

÷

FILE: c:\untitled.wqm Maintain Ingleside Limits Reduce Tire Hill Ammonia UT Warm Period Effluent Limitations Display DIS NH3-N TOX. DISS. OXYGEN Q 1 30 C-BOD5 NH3-N EFF. # MGD DAY DAY 30-DAY 30-DAY D.O. ___ ____ 15.4 7.7 25 7.7 2 14 23.7 11.9 25 .9 11.9 2 2

(WQAM63.EXE) Release 1.2 06-12-2003

09:38:00

FILE: c:\untitled.wgm Ingleside STP and Tire Hill STP Cold Period UT Default Data a. Stream Values Q30-10/Q7-10 ratio..... 1.36 2 Temperature..... 5 3 pH..... 6.4 4 5 7 8 D.O. Goal..... 5 9 Width/Depth ratio..... 60 b. Discharge Values (30-day avgs.) 12 C-BOD5..... 25 13 NH3-N..... 23 14 Effluent D.O..... 2 15 Effluent Temp..... 15 16 KC..... 1.5 17 Balanced Technology(1=y 0=no)..... 0

FILE: c:\untitled.wqm

Ingleside STP and Tire Hill STP Cold Period UT

REACH # 2 Headwaters and Tributary data

No. of Reaches : 2

Rh	Q7-10	т	pH	DO	CBOD5	NH3-N
	(cfs)	(c)	(su)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)
HW	28.0000	5	6.4	10.82	2	.1
1	0.0000					
2	0.1400	5	6.4	10.82	2	.1

(WQAM63.EXE) Release 1.2 06-12-2003

10:56:01

F1 Ingle	ILE: c:\u eside STF	ntitle and T	l.wqm ire Hili	1 STP Co	ld Per	iod UT
	Stre	am Chai	racteri	stics		
Rh	Q7-10 (cfs)	т (с)	pH (su)	DO (mg/l)	CBOD5 (mg/l)	NH3-N (mg/l)
1 2	28 28.14	5 5	6.4 6.4	10.82 10.82	2 2	.1
Q 1- Q 30	-10/Q 7-1 D-10/Q 7-	0 = .6 10 = .0	54 1.36			

FILE: c:\untitled.wqm Ingleside STP and Tire Hill STP Cold Period UT

		RI	EACH # 2			
		Reach	Characte:	ristics		
Rh			RCH.	RCH.	DRAIN	
	D.O.	KN	SL.	LEN.	AREA	W/D
	GOAL	(/D)	(FT/FT)	(FT.)	(MI^2)	
1	5	.6	0.00500	11827	396	60
2	5	.6	0.00600	1162	398	33

(WQAM63.EXE) Release 1.2 06-12-2003 10:56:55

.

. .

> FILE: c:\untitled.wqm Ingleside STP and Tire Hill STP Cold Period UT

> > .

REACH # 2

Reach Characteristics

Rh KR TT (/D) (Days) -----

0 1 0 2 0 0

FILE: c:\untitled.wqm Ingleside STP and Tire Hill STP Cold Period UT

> DISCHARGE # 2 Discharger Data Q7-10 Design Conditions

Rh	FLOW (MGD)	Т (с)	pH (su)	DO (mg/l)	CBOD5 (mg/1)	NH3-N (mg/1)	KC (1/days)
1	4.0000	15	7	2	25	23	1.5
2	0.9000	1,5	7	2	25	25	1.5

(WQAM63.EXE) Release 1.2 06-12-2003

10:59:33

. .

F: Ingle	ILE: (eside	stP a	itled and Ti	.wqm re Hil	1 STP	Cold	Period	UT
Rh		I Reacl	REACH 1 Char RCH	# 2 acteri . R	stics	DRAI	IN	
	D.O. GOAL	KN (/D)	$_{\rm SL.}$	L FT) (EN. FT.)	AREA (MI	λ ₩, `2)	/D

1	5	.6	0.00500	11827	396	60
2	5	.6	0.00600	1162	398	33

FILE: c:\untitled.wqm Ingleside STP and Tire Hill STP Cold Period UT

REACH # 2 Reach Characteristics

Rh

KR TT (/D) (Days) -- ---- ------1 0 0 2 0 0

(WQAM63.EXE) Release 1.2 06-12-2003 10:59:50

. 1

.

FILE: c:\untitled.wqm Ingleside STP and Tire Hill STP Cold Period UT NH3-N Discharge Allocations at Q30-10 (Uniform) DIS Q BASE. MULT. CRIT. PCT. NH3-N CONC. CONC. RCH. RED. CRIT. (mgd) (mg/l) (mg/l) (%) (mg/1) - - ------1 4.0000 23.00 23.00 0 0 5.67 0.9000 25.00 25.00 0 0 2 5.64

FILE: c:\untitled.wqm Ingleside STP and Tire Hill STP Cold Period UT

NH3-N Discharge Allocations at Q1-10 (Uniform)

DIS	Q	BASE. CONC.	MULT.	CRIT. RCH.	PCT. RED.	NH3-N CRIT.
	(mgd)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)		(%)	(mg/l)
1	4.0000	46.00	46.00	0	0	25.56
2	0.9000	50.00	50.00	0	0	25.46

(WQAM63.EXE) Release 1.2 06-12-2003

.

11:00:04

i ja i i

> FILE: c:\untitled.wqm Ingleside STP and Tire Hill STP Cold Period UT

D.O. Allocations (Uniform)

DIS #	Q	NH IND. Conc.	3-N CUM. Conc.	CE IND. Cong.	CUM.	CRIT. RCH.	PCT. REM.
	(MGD)	(mg/1)	(mg/1)	(mg/1)	(mg/1)		(%)
1 2	4.0000 0.9000	23 25	23 25	25 25	25 25	0 0	0 0

FILE: c:\untitled.wqm Ingleside STP and Tire Hill STP Cold Period UT

(Total)Dia	scharge =	4 MGD			
Temp	= 6.8	pH	= 6.5	Width	= 70.97
CBOD-5	= 6.16	NH3-N	= 4.24	Depth	= 1.18
D.O.	= 9.22	D.O. Goal	= 5	Velocity	= 0.407
KC'	= 1.059	KN	≕ .6	W/D RATIO	= 60
KR	= 9.5010	0 (TSIVOGLA	OU)		
	Dis. 1	Rch. 1	Trvl Ti	ne: .336	
	Tr.Tm.	CBOD-5	NH3-N	D.O.	
	(Days)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	
	0.034	6.04	4.21 9	9.75	
	0.067	5,93	4.18 10	0.14	
	0.101	5.81	4.15 10	0.43	
	0.134	5.70	4.12 10	0.64	
	0.168	5.59	4.09 10	0.79	
	0.202	5.48	4.06 10	0.82	
	0.235	5.38	4.03 10	0.82	
	0.269	5.28	4.00 10	0.82	
	0.302	5.17	3.97 10	0.82	
	0.336	5.07	3.95 10	0.82	
				-	
(WQAM63.EXE)	Release	1.2 06	5-12-2003	11:00:	12

FILE: c:\untitled.wqm Ingleside STP and Tire Hill STP Cold Period UT	
(Total)Discharge = 4.9 MGD Temp = 7.1 pH = 6.5 Width CBOD-5 = 5.84 NH3-N = 4.75 Depth D.O. = 10.48 D.O. Goal = 5 Velocity KC' = 1.091 KN = .6 W/D RATI KR = 11.85 (TSIVOGLOU) Dis. 2 Rch. 2 Trvl Time: .032	= 52.77 = 1.60 7 = 0.423 10 = 33
Tr.Tm. CBOD-5 NH3-N D.O. (Days) (mg/1) (mg/1) (mg/1)	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
FILE: c:\untitled.wqm Ingleside STP and Tire Hill STP Cold Period UT	
DISCHARGE CHARACTERISTICS	
END OF REACH 2	
(TOTAL) FLOW-MGD	•
(WOAM63 RXE) Release 1 2 06-12 2002 11 0	0.22

ال الم ج

FILE: c:\untitled.wqm Ingleside STP and Tire Hill STP Cold Period UT

Effluent Limitations Display

DIS	Q	NH3-1	XOT N	. DISS	S. OXYGI	EN
#		1	30	C-BOD5	NH3-N	EFF.
	MGD	DAY	DAY	30-DAY	30-DAY	D.O.
			-			
1	4	46	23	25	23	2
2	. 9	50	25	25	25	2

(WQAM63.EXE) Release 1.2 06-12-2003 11:00:32

Applicant: Name of plant:	Windber Area Authority Ingleside STP
Permit Number:	PA0026778
Municipality:	Richland Township
County:	Cambria County
Receiving stream:	Stonycreek River

The following program will calulate partial mix factors for acute and chronic conditions:

calculated fields

net stream flow (Qs cfs)=	14
discharge flow (Qd mgd)=	4
velocity (fps)=	0.303
width (feet) =	63.23
depth (feet) =	1.054
slope (ft/ft) =	0.005

or

complete mix time (min) =

34.40

FOR ACUTE CONDITIONS: IF COMPLETE MIX TIME < 15 MINUTES THEN PMF = 1, IF > 15 MINUTES CALCULATE PMFa

PMFa =

0.660	
66.03	%

FOR CHRONIC CONDITIONS: IF COMPLETE MIX TIME < 720 MINUTES THEN PMF = 1, IF > 720 MINUTES CALCULATE PMFc

PMFc =	1.000 or 100.00 %	
IWCc=[Qd * 1.547] / [(Q	s*PMFc) + (Qd * 1.547)] =	0.3065
Target IWCc=IWCc/1=	0.307	30.65 %
IWCa=[Qd * 1.547] / [(Q	s*PMFa)+(Qd * 1.547)] =	0.4010
Target IWCa=IWCa/0.3=	1.000 or	100.00 %
WET tests should pass if than the target IWCa (acu	f percentage for C.dubia LC50 a ute) or NOEC > target IWCc (chi	and P.promelas LC50 are greater ronic).

Program written by David Ponchione on April 8, 1999

Program run by : on January 12, 2021

For Department use only



Discharge Information

Discharge Stream

														_	
Fac	ility: Ingl	leside STP				NF	DES Per	mit No.:	PA0026	778		Outfall	No.: 001		
Eva	luation Type:	Major Sewage	/ Industr	ial Wa	ste	w	astewater	Descrip	tion: Tre	ated Sev	wage				
						-									
					Discha	rge Ch	aracteris	tics							
De	sian Flow					Part	ial Mix Fa	actors (F	PMFs)		Com	plete Mi	x Times	(min)
	(MGD)*	Hardness (mg/l)*	pH(SU)*	AFC	:	CFC	THE	1	CRL	Q	7-10	G	2.	-
	4	89.07		7	0.66	3	1				1	4			_
	-											-			
						0.50	aff hlank	0586	ft hlank) if left hian	br.	1 If lef	t hlank	
						0.0.0	an prentik	0.0116	in prenk	· ·	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	<u> </u>	111161	- Digrin	
	Disch	arge Pollutant	Units	Max [)ischarge	Trib	Stream	Daily	Hourly	Strea	Fate	FOS	Criteri	Che	em
	Disch	argeronutant	onits		Conc	Conc	Conc	CV	CV	m CV	Coeff	100	a Mod	Tra	nsl
	Total Dissolve	ed Solids (PWS)	ma/L		298										
-	Chloride (PW)	S)	ma/L		64.5		-								Ħ
ğ	Bromide	-,	mg/L	<	0.035										H
5	Sulfate (PWS)	mg/L	<	2.29		_								
-	Fluoride (PW	, S)	mg/L				-								H
	Total Aluminu	m	µg/L		15.1										
	Total Antimon	iy	µg/L		1		_								
	Total Arsenic		µg/L		1.1		_								
	Total Barium		µg/L		24										
	Total Berylliur	n	µg/L	<	0.24		_								
	Total Boron		µg/L		178		_								
	Total Cadmiu	m	µg/L	<	0.067										
	Total Chromiu	um (III)	µg/L	<	0.15		_								
	Hexavalent C	hromium	µg/L	<	0.25		-								
	Total Cobalt		µg/L		0.69									<u> </u>	
N	Total Copper		µg/L		5.2		_								_
9	Free Cyanide Total Cyanide		µg/L	<	2.4										Ħ
ē	Disselved Ise		µg/L	<u> </u>	2.4		_			<u> </u>					
O	Total Iron	1	µg/L		80.3	┢┼╌┼╴┼								<u> </u>	_
	Total Lead		100/L		0.31		_							<u> </u>	
	Total Mangan	ese	ug/L		63		-			<u> </u>				<u> </u>	_
	Total Mercury		ug/L	<	0.059		_								_
	Total Nickel		µg/L		1.6										_
	Total Phenols	(Phenolics) (PWS)	µg/L		11		-								
	Total Seleniur	m	µg/L		3.77										
	Total Silver		µg/L	<	0.07										
	Total Thallium	1	µg/L	<	0.028		_								
	Total Zinc		µg/L		64.4										
	Total Molybde	enum	µg/L		2.8		_								_
	Acrolein		µg/L	<	0.93		-								#
	Acrylamide		µg/L		0.45		_								
	Acrylonitrile		µg/L	<	0.48		_								
	Denzeñe		µg/L	~	0.42										H
					11.00.5									A	And in case of the local division of the loc

Discharge Information

Page 1

Toxics Management Spreadsheet Version 1.1, October 2020

NPDES Permit Fact Sheet Ingleside STP

	Carbon Tetrachloride	µg/L	<	0.41	_					
	Chlorobenzene	µg/L	۷	0.26						
	Chlorodibromomethane	µg/L	<	0.24	_					
	Chloroethane	ua/L	<	0.32	-					
	2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether	ua/L	<	5.29	_					
	Chloroform	ug/L	<	0.24		<u> </u>				
	Dichlorobromomethane	ua/L	<	0.25	_					
	1.1-Dichloroethane	ug/L	<	0.3	-	<u> </u>				
-	1.2-Dichloroethane	ug/l	<	0.4		<u> </u>				┝┼╌┼╌┼
G.	1.1-Dichlomethylene	ug/l	~	0.9						
0	1.2 Dishlaranaana	- 1994 1101		0.27	_					
5	1.2 Dichloropropane	µg/L		0.37	_					
	1.4 Dievane	µg/L	-	2.0	-					
	1,4-Dioxane	µg/L	· ·	3.8	_					
	Ethylbenzene	µg/L	<	0.3	_					
	Methyl Bromide	µg/L	<	0.48	_					
	Methyl Chloride	µg/L	<	0.44	-					
	Methylene Chloride	µg/L	<	0.33	_					
	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	µg/L	<	0.45						
	Tetrachloroethylene	µg/L	<	0.37	_					
	Toluene	µg/L	<	0.19	 _					
	1,2-trans-Dichloroethylene	µg/L	<	0.44	_					
	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	µg/L	<	0.42						
	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	µg/L	۷	0.25	_					
	Trichloroethylene	µg/L	<	0.42	-					
	Vinyl Chloride	µg/L	<	0.29	_					
	2-Chlorophenol	µg/L	<	0.1						
	2,4-Dichlorophenol	µg/L	<	0.14	_					
	2.4-Dimethylphenol	ua/L	<	0.1	-					
	4.6-Dinitro-o-Cresol	ua/L	<	0.19	_					
4	2 4-Dinitrophenol	uo/l	<	0.26						
9	2-Nitrophenol	uo/l	<	0.11	_					
2	4-Nitrophenol	uo/l	<	0.61	-					
0	n-Chloro-m-Crosol	10/		0.15		<u> </u>				
	Pentachlorophanol	ug/L	-	0.13	_					
	Phasel	Pg/L	_	0.21	_					
	2.4.8 Tricklerenhanel	µg/L	-	0.12	_					
<u> </u>	2,4,0-1 hchiorophenol	µg/L	~	0.12	_					
	Acenaphthene	µg/L	<	0.31	_					
	Acenaphtnylene	µg/L		0.00	_					
	Anthracene	µg/L		0.1	_					++++
	Benzidine	µg/L	<	0.22	-					
	Benzo(a)Anthracene	µg/L	<	0.18	_					
	Benzo(a)Pyrene	µg/L	<	0.13						
	3,4-Benzofluoranthene	µg/L	<	0.01	_					
	Benzo(ghi)Perylene	µg/L		0.12	_					
	Benzo(k)Fluoranthene	µg/L	<	0.17						
	Bis(2-Chloroethoxy)Methane	µg/L	<	0.1						
	Bis(2-Chloroethyl)Ether	µg/L	<	0.1	_					- - -
	Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)Ether	µg/L	<	0.05	-					
	Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)Phthalate	µg/L		0.84						
	4-Bromophenyl Phenyl Ether	µg/L	<	0.1						
	Butyl Benzyl Phthalate	µg/L		0.33	_					
	2-Chloronaphthalene	µg/L		0.07	-					
	4-Chlorophenyl Phenyl Ether	µg/L	<	0.11						
	Chrysene	µg/L	<	0.15	_					
	Dibenzo(a,h)Anthrancene	µg/L	<	0.13	-					
	1.2-Dichlorobenzene	uo/L	<	0.08						
	1.3-Dichlorobenzene	uo/L	<	0.1						
	1.4-Dichlorobenzene	uo/L		0.08	_					
b	3.3-Dichlorobenzidine	µ0/	<	0.23	-					
no	Diethyl Phthalate	µo/l	-	0.16						
ō	Dimethyl Phthalate	uel		0.07						
	Di-n-Butyl Phthalate	ug/L		0.41	_					
	2.4.Dinitratoluono	Hall Hall	-	0.17	-					
I	z, - onno oudene	Part		9.17						

Discharge Information

NPDES Permit Fact Sheet Ingleside STP

	2.6-Dinitrotoluene	ua/L	<	0.24	H		÷						H
	Di-n-Octyl Phthalate	uo/l		0.2	H	+	t						tt
	1.2-Dinbenylbydrazine	ug/l	<	0.10	Ħ	┿	÷					÷	÷
	Elucranthene	Have -	_	0.18		+	E						\square
	Fluoranthene	µg/L		0.14	⊢	+	╞					╞┼╴	₩
	Fluorene	µg/L		0.08	┝┼	+-	┾					++-	┿
	Hexachlorobenzene	µg/L	<	0.18	╞╪	╪	╞					╞╞═	₩
	Hexachlorobutadiene	µg/L	<	0.16	Ì	+	t						
	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	µg/L	<	0.19									
	Hexachloroethane	µg/L	<	0.11			Ļ						
	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)Pyrene	µg/L	۷	0.15	\rightarrow		┢						++
	Isophorone	µg/L		0.12			F						
	Naphthalene	µg/L		0.09	Ti		T						\square
	Nitrobenzene	µg/L	<	0.12	Ì	Ť.	Ĺ						\square
	n-Nitrosodimethylamine	ug/L	<	0.43	Ħ	+	t						Ħ
	n-Nitrosodi-n-Propylamine	uo/l	<	0.12	Ħ	+	ŧ						Ħ
	n Nitrosodinhonylamino	ug/l	-	0.16	\vdash	+	+					++	++
	Phonosthrono	HQ/L	_	0.10	Ħ	÷	÷	 				Ħ	Ħ
	Phenanthrene	µg/L		0.10	Ì		È						\pm
	Pyrene	µg/L		0.13	H	_	Ļ					<u> </u>	H
_	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	µg/L		0.1	⊢∔	_	╞					4	\square
	Aldrin	µg/L	<				╘						
	alpha-BHC	µg/L	<		\vdash		t						
	beta-BHC	µg/L	٨		Ť	T	ī						
	gamma-BHC	µg/L	<				Γ						
	delta BHC	ua/L	<		Ħ	+	t						Ħ
	Chlordane	ug/l	<		Ħ	+	ŧ						Ħ
	44.001	-97-	-		H	+	╈						+++
	4,4-001	HQ/L	-		Ħ	╪	÷	 				╞╞═	÷
	4,4-DDE	µg/L	~		Ħ	÷	÷						\pm
	4,4-DDD	µg/L	<										
	Dieldrin	µg/L	<			_	Ļ						Ц
	alpha-Endosulfan	µg/L	<				+						
	beta-Endosulfan	µg/L	<		\vdash		┢						++
9	Endosulfan Sulfate	µg/L	٨		Fì	1	T					itt	Ħ
١	Endrin	µg/L	<										
5	Endrin Aldehyde	µg/L	<				t						
~	Hentachlor	uo/l	<		Þ	+	t						Ħ
	Hentachlor Enovide	ug/l	~		\vdash	+	÷						÷
		1991			Ħ	╪	÷					╞╞╤	÷
	POB-1010	µg/L	-		Ĥ	÷	÷					i i	÷
	PGB-1221	µg/L	<			_	Ļ						-
	PCB-1232	µg/L	<		\square	_	+						++
	PCB-1242	µg/L	<				╞						\square
	PCB-1248	µg/L	<			+	÷						
	PCB-1254	µg/L	<		Hì	+	t	1					H
	PCB-1260	µg/L	<										
	PCBs, Total	µg/L	<				Ļ						
	Toxaphene	µo/L	<		H	-	F						Ħ
	2.3.7.8-TCDD	no/l	<		Ħ	+	t						÷
-	Gross Alpha	nCi/l			Hì	÷	÷					i i i i	÷÷
	Total Reta	pOirt pCi/l	/		Ħ	÷	÷						Ħ
5	Pedia Deta	POIL			╞╡	+	÷						⇔
ž	Radium 220/228	pCi/L	<		┝┼	+-	÷						
5	Total Strontium	µg/L	<		⊨	+	╞						╞
~	Total Uranium	µg/L	<		Þ	+	1						#
	Osmotic Pressure	mOs/kg			Ť		Ĺ						
							Ļ						
					H	-	÷						
					Ħ	+	ŧ						
							1						
					Ħ		F						
					Ħ	-	F						
					H	-	+						
					H		+						
					Ħ	+	÷						
							Ĺ						

Toxics Management Spreadsheet Version 1.1, October 2020



Stream / Surface Water Information

Ingleside STP, NPDES Permit No. PA0026778, Outfall 001

Instructions Discharge Stream

Receiving Surface W	/ater Name:					No. Reaches to Mod	el: <u>1</u>	Statewide Criteria Great Lakes Criteria
Location	Stream Code*	RMI*	Elevation (ft)*	DA (mi ²)*	Slope (ft/ft)	PWS Withdrawal (MGD)	Apply Fish Criteria*	ORSANCO Criteria
Point of Discharge	045084	8.84	1262	396	0.005		Yes	
End of Reach 1	045084	6.66	1205	398	0.005		Yes	

Q 7-10

Location	PMI	LFY	Flow	(cfs)	W/D	Width	Depth	Velocit	Time	Tributa	ary	Stream	n	Analys	sis
Location	1 SWII	(cfs/mi ²)*	Stream	Tributary	Ratio	(ft)	(ft)	y (fps)	(days)	Hardness	pН	Hardness*	pH*	Hardness	pН
Point of Discharge	8.84	0.035	14			63.23	1.054	0.303				100	7		
End of Reach 1	6.66	0.035													

Qn

Location	RMI	LFY	Flow	(cfs)	W/D	Width	Depth	Velocit	Time	Tributa	ary	Stream	m	Analys	sis
Location	TSW1	(cfs/mi ²)	Stream	Tributary	Ratio	(ft)	(ft)	y (fps)	(daws)	Hardness	pН	Hardness	pН	Hardness	pН
Point of Discharge	8.84														
End of Reach 1	6.66														

Stream / Surface Water Information

1/5/2021

NPDES Permit No. PA0026778

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

Toxics Management Spreadsheet Version 1.1, October 2020

Model Results

Ingleside STP, NPDES Permit No. PA0026778, Outfall 001

	Instructions	Results		RETURN TO INPUTS		SAVE AS PDF		PRINT	All	⊖ Inputs	O Results	🔿 Limits	
--	--------------	---------	--	------------------	--	-------------	--	-------	-----	----------	-----------	----------	--

☑ Hydrodynamics

Q 7-10

RMI	Stream Flow (cfs)	PWS Withdrawal (cfs)	Net Stream Flow (cfs)	Discharge Analysis Flow (cfs)	Slope (ft/ft)	Depth (ft)	Width (ft)	W/D Ratio	Velocity (fps)	Time (days)	Complete Mix Time (min)
8.84	14		14	6.188	0.005	1.054	63.23	59.991	0.303	0.44	14.0
6.66	14.070		14.07								

Qh

RMI	Stream Flow (cfs)	PWS Withdrawal (cfs)	Net Stream Flow (cfs)	Discharge Analysis Flow (cfs)	Slope (ft/ft)	Depth (ft)	Width (ft)	W/D Ratio	Velocity (fps)	Time (days)	Complete Mix Time (min)
8.84	74.59		74.59	6.188	0.005	1.94	63.23	32.592	0.659	0.202	24.453
6.66	74.92		74.92								

Wasteload Allocations

AFC CCT	Г (min): 1	4	PMF:	0.660	Ana	lysis Hardnes	ss (mg/l):	95.616 Analysis pH: 7.00
Pollutants	Conc	Stream CV	Trib Conc (µg/L)	Fate Coef	WQC (µg/L)	WQ Obj (µg/L)	WLA (µg/L)	Comments
Total Dissolved Solids (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Chloride (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Sulfate (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Aluminum	0	0		0	750	750	1,870	
Total Antimony	0	0		0	1,100	1,100	2,743	
Total Arsenic	0	0		0	340	340	848	Chem Translator of 1 applied
Total Barium	0	0		0	21,000	21,000	52,357	
Total Boron	0	0		0	8,100	8,100	20,195	
Total Cadmium	0	0		0	1.928	2.04	5.08	Chem Translator of 0.946 applied
Total Chromium (III)	0	0		0	549.224	1,738	4,333	Chem Translator of 0.316 applied
Hexavalent Chromium	0	0		0	16	16.3	40.6	Chem Translator of 0.982 applied
Total Cobalt	0	0		0	95	95.0	237	
Total Copper	0	0		0	12.883	13.4	33.5	Chem Translator of 0.96 applied
Free Cyanide	0	0		0	22	22.0	54.9	

Model Results

1/5/2021

NPDES Permit Fact Sheet Ingleside STP

Dissolved Iron	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Iron	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Lead	0	0		0	61.503	77.1	192	Chem Translator of 0.798 applied
Total Manganese	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Mercury	0	0		0	1.400	1.65	4.11	Chem Translator of 0.85 applied
Total Nickel	0	0		0	450.810	452	1,126	Chem Translator of 0.998 applied
Total Phenols (Phenolics) (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Selenium	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	Chem Translator of 0.922 applied
Total Silver	0	0		0	2.978	3.5	8.74	Chem Translator of 0.85 applied
Total Thallium	0	0		0	65	65.0	162	
Total Zinc	0	0		0	112.813	115	288	Chem Translator of 0.978 applied
Acrolein	0	0		0	3	3.0	7.48	
Acrylonitrile	0	0		0	650	650	1,621	
Benzene	0	0		0	640	640	1,596	
Bromoform	0	0		0	1,800	1,800	4,488	
Carbon Tetrachloride	0	0		0	2,800	2,800	6,981	
Chlorobenzene	0	0		0	1,200	1,200	2,992	
Chlorodibromomethane	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether	0	0		0	18,000	18,000	44,878	
Chloroform	0	0		0	1,900	1,900	4,737	
Dichlorobromomethane	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
1,2-Dichloroethane	0	0		0	15,000	15,000	37,398	
1,1-Dichloroethylene	0	0		0	7,500	7,500	18,699	
1,2-Dichloropropane	0	0		0	11,000	11,000	27,425	
1,3-Dichloropropylene	0	0		0	310	310	773	
Ethylbenzene	0	0	++-	0	2,900	2,900	7,230	
Methyl Bromide	0	0		0	550	550	1,371	
Methyl Chloride	0	0		0	28,000	28,000	69,810	
Methylene Chloride	0	0		0	12,000	12,000	29,919	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0	0		0	1,000	1,000	2,493	
Tetrachloroethylene	0	0		0	700	700	1,745	
Toluene	0	0		0	1,700	1,700	4,238	
1,2-trans-Dichloroethylene	0	0		0	6,800	6,800	16,954	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0	0		0	3,000	3,000	7,480	
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0	0		0	3,400	3,400	8,477	
Trichloroethylene	0	0		0	2,300	2,300	5,734	
Vinyl Chloride	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2-Chlorophenol	0	0		0	560	560	1,396	
2,4-Dichlorophenol	0	0		0	1,700	1,700	4,238	
2,4-Dimethylphenol	0	0		0	660	660	1,646	
4,6-Dinitro-o-Cresol	0	0		0	80	80.0	199	
2,4-Dinitrophenol	0	0		0	660	660	1,646	
2-Nitrophenol	0	0		0	8,000	8,000	19,946	
4-Nitrophenol	0	0		0	2,300	2,300	5,734	
p-Chloro-m-Cresol	0	0		0	160	160	399	
Pentachlorophenol	0	0		0	8.723	8.72	21.7	
Phenol	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	0	0	++-	0	460	460	1,147	

Model Results

1/5/2021

NPDES Permit Fact Sheet Ingleside STP

Acenaphthene	0	0		0	83	83.0	207	
Anthracene	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Benzidine	0	0		0	300	300	748	
Benzo(a)Anthracene	0	0		0	0.5	0.5	1.25	
Benzo(a)Pyrene	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
3,4-Benzofluoranthene	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Benzo(k)Fluoranthene	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Bis(2-Chloroethyl)Ether	0	0		0	30,000	30,000	74,796	
Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)Ether	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)Phthalate	0	0		0	4,500	4,500	11,219	
4-Bromophenyl Phenyl Ether	0	0		0	270	270	673	
Butyl Benzyl Phthalate	0	0		0	140	140	349	
2-Chloronaphthalene	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Chrysene	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Dibenzo(a,h)Anthrancene	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0	0		0	820	820	2,044	
1.3-Dichlorobenzene	0	0		0	350	350	873	
1.4-Dichlorobenzene	0	0		0	730	730	1,820	
3.3-Dichlorobenzidine	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Diethyl Phthalate	0	0		0	4,000	4.000	9,973	
Dimethyl Phthalate	0	0		0	2,500	2.500	6,233	
Di-n-Butyl Phthalate	0	0		0	110	110	274	
2.4-Dinitrotoluene	0	0		0	1,600	1,600	3,989	
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	0	0		0	990	990	2,468	
1.2-Diphenvlhvdrazine	0	0		0	15	15.0	37.4	
Fluoranthene	0	0		0	200	200	499	
Fluorene	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hexachlorobenzene	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hexachlorobutadiene	0	0		0	10	10.0	24.9	
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	0	0		0	5	5.0	12.5	
Hexachloroethane	0	0		0	60	60.0	150	
Indeno(1.2.3-cd)Pyrene	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Isophorone	0	0		0	10,000	10,000	24,932	
Naphthalene	0	0		0	140	140	349	
Nitrobenzene	0	0		0	4,000	4,000	9,973	
n-Nitrosodimethylamine	0	0		0	17,000	17,000	42,385	
n-Nitrosodi-n-Propylamine	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
n-Nitrosodiphenylamine	0	0		0	300	300	748	
Phenanthrene	0	0		0	5	5.0	12.5	
Pyrene	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0	0		0	130	130	324	
								ł
CFC CC	T (min):	14	PMF:	1	Ana	lysis Hardne	ss (mg/l):	96.65 Analysis pH: 7.00
					•	-		
	Stream	Stream	Trib Conc	Fate	WQC	WQ Obi		
Pollutants	Conc	CV	(ug/L)	Coef	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	WLA (µg/L)	Comments

Pollutants	Conc	Stream CV	Trib Conc (µg/L)	Fate Coef	WQC (µg/L)	WQ Obj (µg/L)	WLA (µg/L)	Comments
Total Dissolved Solids (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Model Results

1/5/2021
Chloride (PWS)	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Sulfate (PWS)	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Aluminum	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Antimony	0	0	0	220	220	718	
Total Arsenic	0	0	0	150	150	489	Chem Translator of 1 applied
Total Barium	0	0	0	4,100	4,100	13,376	
Total Boron	0	0	0	1,600	1,600	5,220	
Total Cadmium	0	0	0	0.240	0.26	0.86	Chem Translator of 0.91 applied
Total Chromium (III)	0	0	0	72.075	83.8	273	Chem Translator of 0.86 applied
Hexavalent Chromium	0	0	0	10	10.4	33.9	Chem Translator of 0.962 applied
Total Cobalt	0	0	0	19	19.0	62.0	
Total Copper	0	0	0	8.699	9.06	29.6	Chem Translator of 0.96 applied
Free Cyanide	0	0	0	5.2	5.2	17.0	
Dissolved Iron	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Iron	0	0	0	1,500	1,500	4,894	WQC = 30 day average; PMF = 1
Total Lead	0	0	0	2.425	3.05	9.94	Chem Translator of 0.796 applied
Total Manganese	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Mercury	0	0	0	0.770	0.91	2.96	Chem Translator of 0.85 applied
Total Nickel	0	0	0	50.529	50.7	165	Chem Translator of 0.997 applied
Total Phenols (Phenolics) (PWS)	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Selenium	0	0	0	4.600	4.99	16.3	Chem Translator of 0.922 applied
Total Silver	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	Chem Translator of 1 applied
Total Thallium	0	0	0	13	13.0	42.4	
Total Zinc	0	0	0	114.777	116	380	Chem Translator of 0.986 applied
Acrolein	0	0	0	3	3.0	9.79	
Acrylonitrile	0	0	0	130	130	424	
Benzene	0	0	0	130	130	424	
Bromoform	0	0	0	370	370	1,207	
Carbon Tetrachloride	0	0	0	560	560	1,827	
Chlorobenzene	0	0	0	240	240	783	
Chlorodibromomethane	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether	0	0	0	3,500	3,500	11,419	
Chloroform	0	0	0	390	390	1,272	
Dichlorobromomethane	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
1,2-Dichloroethane	0	0	0	3,100	3,100	10,114	
1,1-Dichloroethylene	0	0	0	1,500	1,500	4,894	
1,2-Dichloropropane	0	0	0	2,200	2,200	7,177	
1,3-Dichloropropylene	0	0	0	61	61.0	199	
Ethylbenzene	0	0	0	580	580	1,892	
Methyl Bromide	0	0	0	110	110	359	
Methyl Chloride	0	0	0	5,500	5,500	17,943	
Methylene Chloride	0	0	0	2,400	2,400	7,830	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0	0	0	210	210	685	
Tetrachloroethylene	0	0	0	140	140	457	
Toluene	0	0	0	330	330	1,077	
	1						1

1/5/2021

1,2-trans-Dichloroethylene	0	0	0	1,400	1,400	4,567	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0	0	0	610	610	1,990	
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0	0	0	680	680	2,218	
Trichloroethylene	0	0	0	450	450	1,468	
Vinyl Chloride	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2-Chlorophenol	0	0	0	110	110	359	
2,4-Dichlorophenol	0	0	0	340	340	1,109	
2,4-Dimethylphenol	0	0	0	130	130	424	
4,6-Dinitro-o-Cresol	0	0	0	16	16.0	52.2	
2,4-Dinitrophenol	0	0	0	130	130	424	
2-Nitrophenol	0	0	0	1,600	1,600	5,220	
4-Nitrophenol	0	0	0	470	470	1,533	
p-Chloro-m-Cresol	0	0	0	30	30.0	97.9	
Pentachlorophenol	0	0	0	6.693	6.69	21.8	
Phenol	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	0	0	0	91	91.0	297	
Acenaphthene	0	0	0	17	17.0	55.5	
Anthracene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Benzidine	0	0	0	59	59.0	192	
Benzo(a)Anthracene	0	0	0	0.1	0.1	0.33	
Benzo(a)Pyrene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
3,4-Benzofluoranthene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Benzo(k)Fluoranthene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Bis(2-Chloroethyl)Ether	0	0	0	6,000	6,000	19,575	
Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)Ether	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)Phthalate	0	0	0	910	910	2,969	
4-Bromophenyl Phenyl Ether	0	0	0	54	54.0	176	
Butyl Benzyl Phthalate	0	0	0	35	35.0	114	
2-Chloronaphthalene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Chrysene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Dibenzo(a,h)Anthrancene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0	0	0	160	160	522	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0	0	0	69	69.0	225	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0	0	0	150	150	489	
3,3-Dichlorobenzidine	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Diethyl Phthalate	0	0	0	800	800	2,610	
Dimethyl Phthalate	0	0	0	500	500	1,631	
Di-n-Butyl Phthalate	0	0	0	21	21.0	68.5	
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	0	0	0	320	320	1,044	
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	0	0	0	200	200	652	
1,2-Diphenylhydrazine	0	0	0	3	3.0	9.79	
Fluoranthene	0	0	0	40	40.0	130	
Fluorene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hexachlorobenzene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hexachlorobutadiene	0	0	0	2	2.0	6.52	
.=							1

1/5/2021

Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	0	0		0	1	1.0	3.26	
Hexachloroethane	0	0		0	12	12.0	39.1	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)Pyrene	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Isophorone	0	0		0	2,100	2,100	6,851	
Naphthalene	0	0		0	43	43.0	140	
Nitrobenzene	0	0		0	810	810	2,643	
n-Nitrosodimethylamine	0	0		0	3,400	3,400	11,092	
n-Nitrosodi-n-Propylamine	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
n-Nitrosodiphenylamine	0	0		0	59	59.0	192	
Phenanthrene	0	0		0	1	1.0	3.26	
Pyrene	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0	0		0	26	26.0	84.8	
☑ THH CC [™]	Г (min): 1	14	PMF:	1	Ana	alysis Hardne	ss (mg/l):	N/A Analysis pH: N/A
Pollutants	Conc	Stream CV	Trib Conc (µg/L)	Fate Coef	WQC (µg/L)	WQ Obj (µg/L)	WLA (µg/L)	Comments
Total Dissolved Solids (PWS)	0	0		0	500,000	500,000	N/A	
Chloride (PWS)	0	0		0	250,000	250,000	N/A	
Sulfate (PWS)	0	0		0	250,000	250,000	N/A	
Total Aluminum	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Antimony	0	0		0	5.6	5.6	18.3	
Total Arsenic	0	0		0	10	10.0	32.6	
Total Barium	0	0		0	2,400	2,400	7,830	
Total Boron	0	0		0	3,100	3,100	10,114	
Total Cadmium	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Chromium (III)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hexavalent Chromium	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Cobalt	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Copper	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Free Cyanide	0	0		0	140	140	457	
Dissolved Iron	0	0		0	300	300	979	
Total Iron	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Lead	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Manganese	0	0		0	1,000	1,000	3,262	
Total Mercury	0	0		0	0.050	0.05	0.16	
Total Nickel	0	0		0	610	610	1,990	
Total Phenols (Phenolics) (PWS)	0	0		0	5	5.0	N/A	
Total Selenium	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Silver	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Thallium	0	0		0	0.24	0.24	0.78	
Total Zinc	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Acrolein	0	0		0	6	6.0	19.6	
Acrylonitrile	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Benzene	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Model Results

1/5/2021

Bromoform	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Carbon Tetrachloride	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Chlorobenzene	0	0		0	130	130	424	
Chlorodibromomethane	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Chloroform	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Dichlorobromomethane	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
1,2-Dichloroethane	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
1,1-Dichloroethylene	0	0		0	33	33.0	108	
1,2-Dichloropropane	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
1,3-Dichloropropylene	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Ethylbenzene	0	0		0	530	530	1,729	
Methyl Bromide	0	0		0	47	47.0	153	
Methyl Chloride	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Methylene Chloride	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Tetrachloroethylene	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Toluene	0	0		0	1,300	1,300	4,241	
1,2-trans-Dichloroethylene	0	0		0	140	140	457	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Trichloroethylene	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Vinyl Chloride	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2-Chlorophenol	0	0		0	81	81.0	264	
2,4-Dichlorophenol	0	0		0	77	77.0	251	
2,4-Dimethylphenol	0	0		0	380	380	1,240	
4,6-Dinitro-o-Cresol	0	0		0	13	13.0	42.4	
2,4-Dinitrophenol	0	0		0	69	69.0	225	
2-Nitrophenol	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
4-Nitrophenol	0	0	╞┊╧	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
p-Chloro-m-Cresol	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Pentachlorophenol	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Phenol	0	0	╞┼┼╴	0	10,400	10,400	33,929	
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Acenaphthene	0	0		0	670	670	2,186	
Anthracene	0	0		0	8,300	8,300	27,078	
Benzidine	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Benzo(a)Anthracene	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Benzo(a)Pyrene	0	0	╞┼┼╴	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
3,4-Benzofluoranthene	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Benzo(k)Fluoranthene	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Bis(2-Chloroethyl)Ether	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)Ether	0	0		0	1,400	1,400	4,567	
Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)Phthalate	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
4-Bromophenyl Phenyl Ether	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Model Results

1/5/2021

Butyl Benzyl Phthalate	0	0		0	150	150	489	
2-Chloronaphthalene	0	0		0	1,000	1,000	3,262	
Chrysene	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Dibenzo(a,h)Anthrancene	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0	0		0	420	420	1,370	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0	0		0	420	420	1,370	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0	0		0	420	420	1,370	
3,3-Dichlorobenzidine	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Diethyl Phthalate	0	0		0	17,000	17,000	55,462	
Dimethyl Phthalate	0	0		0	270,000	270,000	880,860	
Di-n-Butyl Phthalate	0	0		0	2,000	2,000	6,525	
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
1,2-Diphenylhydrazine	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Fluoranthene	0	0		0	130	130	424	
Fluorene	0	0		0	1,100	1,100	3,589	
Hexachlorobenzene	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hexachlorobutadiene	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	0	0		0	40	40.0	130	
Hexachloroethane	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)Pyrene	0	0		0	0.0038	0.004	0.012	
Isophorone	0	0		0	35	35.0	114	
Naphthalene	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Nitrobenzene	0	0		0	17	17.0	55.5	
n-Nitrosodimethylamine	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
n-Nitrosodi-n-Propylamine	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
n-Nitrosodiphenylamine	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Phenanthrene	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Pyrene	0	0		0	830	830	2,708	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0	0		0	35	35.0	114	
<i>⊡ CRL</i> cc1	Г (min): 24.	453	PMF:	1	Ana	Ilysis Hardne	ss (mg/l):	N/A Analysis pH: N/A
Pollutants	Conc	Stream	Trib Conc	Fate	WQC	WQ Obj	WLA (µg/L)	Comments
Total Dissolved Colida (DMC)	(ug/L)	CV	(µg/L)	Coef	(µg/L)	(µg/L)		
Total Dissolved Solids (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Childred (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	NVA NVA	N/A	
Suitate (FWS)	0	0		0	N/A	NVA	N/A	
Total Autominum	0	0		0	N/A	NVA NVA	N/A	
Total Antimony	0	0		0	N/A	NVA	N/A	
Total Arsenic	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Banum	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Boron	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Cadmium	U	U		U	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Chromium (III)	0	U		U	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Model Results

Total Chromium (III)

1/5/2021

Hexavalent Chromium	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Cobalt	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Copper	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Free Cyanide	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Dissolved Iron	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Iron	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Lead	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Manganese	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Mercury	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Nickel	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Phenols (Phenolics) (PWS)	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Selenium	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Silver	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Thallium	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Zinc	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Acrolein	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Acrylonitrile	0	0	0	0.051	0.051	0.67	
Benzene	0	0	0	1.2	1.2	15.7	
Bromoform	0	0	0	4.3	4.3	56.1	
Carbon Tetrachloride	0	0	0	0.23	0.23	3.0	
Chlorobenzene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Chlorodibromomethane	0	0	0	0.4	0.4	5.22	
2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Chloroform	0	0	0	5.7	5.7	74.4	
Dichlorobromomethane	0	0	0	0.55	0.55	7.18	
1,2-Dichloroethane	0	0	0	0.38	0.38	4.96	
1,1-Dichloroethylene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
1,2-Dichloropropane	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
1,3-Dichloropropylene	0	0	0	0.34	0.34	4.44	
Ethylbenzene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Methyl Bromide	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Methyl Chloride	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Methylene Chloride	0	0	0	4.6	4.6	60.1	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0	0	0	0.17	0.17	2.22	
Tetrachloroethylene	0	0	0	0.69	0.69	9.01	
Toluene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
1,2-trans-Dichloroethylene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0	0	0	0.59	0.59	7.7	
Trichloroethylene	0	0	0	2.5	2.5	32.6	
Vinyl Chloride	0	0	0	0.025	0.025	0.33	
2-Chlorophenol	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2,4-Dichlorophenol	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2,4-Dimethylphenol	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
4,6-Dinitro-o-Cresol	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
							•

1/5/2021

2,4-Dinitrophenol	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2-Nitrophenol	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
4-Nitrophenol	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
p-Chloro-m-Cresol	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Pentachlorophenol	0	0	0	0.270	0.27	3.52	
Phenol	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	0	0	0	1.4	1.4	18.3	
Acenaphthene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Anthracene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Benzidine	0	0	0	0.000086	0.00009	0.001	
Benzo(a)Anthracene	0	0	0	0.0038	0.004	0.05	
Benzo(a)Pyrene	0	0	0	0.0038	0.004	0.05	
3,4-Benzofluoranthene	0	0	0	0.0038	0.004	0.05	
Benzo(k)Fluoranthene	0	0	0	0.0038	0.004	0.05	
Bis(2-Chloroethyl)Ether	0	0	0	0.03	0.03	0.39	
Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)Ether	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)Phthalate	0	0	0	1.2	1.2	15.7	
4-Bromophenyl Phenyl Ether	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Butyl Benzyl Phthalate	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2-Chloronaphthalene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Chrysene	0	0	0	0.0038	0.004	0.05	
Dibenzo(a,h)Anthrancene	0	0	0	0.0038	0.004	0.05	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
3,3-Dichlorobenzidine	0	0	0	0.021	0.021	0.27	
Diethyl Phthalate	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Dimethyl Phthalate	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Di-n-Butyl Phthalate	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	0	0	0	0.05	0.05	0.65	
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	0	0	0	0.05	0.05	0.65	
1,2-Diphenylhydrazine	0	0	0	0.036	0.036	0.47	
Fluoranthene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Fluorene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hexachlorobenzene	0	0	0	0.00028	0.0003	0.004	
Hexachlorobutadiene	0	0	0	0.44	0.44	5.74	
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hexachloroethane	0	0	0	1.4	1.4	18.3	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)Pyrene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Isophorone	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Naphthalene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Nitrobenzene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
n-Nitrosodimethylamine	0	0	0	0.00069	0.0007	0.009	
n-Nitrosodi-n-Propylamine	0	0	0	0.005	0.005	0.065	
n-Nitrosodiphenylamine	0	0	0	3.3	3.3	43.1	

1/5/2021

Phenanthrene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Pyrene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Recommended WQBELs & Monitoring Requirements

No. Samples/Month: 4

	Mass	Limits		Concentra	tion Limits				
Pollutants	AML (lbs/day)	MDL (lbs/day)	AML	MDL	IMAX	Units	Governing WQBEL	WQBEL Basis	Comments
Total Copper	Report	Report	Report	Report	Report	µg/L	21.4	AFC	Discharge Conc > 10% WQBEL (no RP)
Total Selenium	Report	Report	Report	Report	Report	µg/L	16.3	CFC	Discharge Conc > 10% WQBEL (no RP)
Total Zinc	Report	Report	Report	Report	Report	µg/L	184	AFC	Discharge Conc > 10% WQBEL (no RP)

☑ Other Pollutants without Limits or Monitoring

The following pollutants do not require effluent limits or monitoring based on water quality because reasonable potential to exceed water quality criteria was not determined and the discharge concentration was less than thresholds for monitoring, or the pollutant was not detected and a sufficiently sensitive analytical method was used (e.g., <= Target QL).

Pollutants	Governing WQBEL	Units	Comments
Total Dissolved Solids (PWS)	N/A	N/A	PWS Not Applicable
Chloride (PWS)	N/A	N/A	PWS Not Applicable
Bromide	N/A	N/A	No WQS
Sulfate (PWS)	N/A	N/A	PWS Not Applicable
Total Aluminum	1,199	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Antimony	18.3	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Arsenic	32.6	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Barium	7,830	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Beryllium	N/A	N/A	No WQS
Total Boron	5,220	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Cadmium	0.86	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Total Chromium (III)	273	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Hexavalent Chromium	26.0	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Total Cobalt	62.0	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Free Cyanide	17.0	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 25% WQBEL
Total Cyanide	N/A	N/A	No WQS
Dissolved Iron	979	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Iron	4,894	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Lead	9.94	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Manganese	3,262	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Mercury	0.16	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Total Nickel	165	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Phenols (Phenolics) (PWS)		µg/L	PWS Not Applicable
Total Silver	5.6	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL

Model Results

1/5/2021

Total Thallium	0.78	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Total Molybdenum	N/A	N/A	No WQS
Acrolein	4.79	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Acrylonitrile	0.67	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Benzene	15.7	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Bromoform	56.1	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Carbon Tetrachloride	3.0	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Chlorobenzene	424	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Chlorodibromomethane	5.22	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Chloroethane	N/A	N/A	No WQS
2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether	11,419	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 25% WQBEL
Chloroform	74.4	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Dichlorobromomethane	7.18	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
1,1-Dichloroethane	N/A	N/A	No WQS
1,2-Dichloroethane	4.96	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
1,1-Dichloroethylene	108	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
1,2-Dichloropropane	7,177	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
1,3-Dichloropropylene	4.44	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
1,4-Dioxane	N/A	N/A	No WQS
Ethylbenzene	1,729	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Methyl Bromide	153	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Methyl Chloride	17,943	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Methylene Chloride	60.1	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	2.22	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Tetrachloroethylene	9.01	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Toluene	1,077	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
1,2-trans-Dichloroethylene	457	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1,990	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	7.7	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Trichloroethylene	32.6	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Vinyl Chloride	0.33	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
2-Chlorophenol	264	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
2,4-Dichlorophenol	251	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
2,4-Dimethylphenol	424	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
4,6-Dinitro-o-Cresol	42.4	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
2,4-Dinitrophenol	225	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
2-Nitrophenol	5,220	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
4-Nitrophenol	1,533	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
p-Chloro-m-Cresol	97.9	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 25% WQBEL
Pentachlorophenol	3.52	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Phenol	33,929	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 25% WQBEL
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	18.3	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Acenaphthene	55.5	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Acenaphthylene	N/A	N/A	No WQS
Anthracene	27,078	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 25% WQBEL

Model Results

1/5/2021

Benzidine	0.001	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Benzo(a)Anthracene	0.05	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Benzo(a)Pyrene	0.05	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
3,4-Benzofluoranthene	0.05	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Benzo(ghi)Perylene	N/A	N/A	No WQS
Benzo(k)Fluoranthene	0.05	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Bis(2-Chloroethoxy)Methane	N/A	N/A	No WQS
Bis(2-Chloroethyl)Ether	0.39	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)Ether	4,567	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)Phthalate	15.7	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 25% WQBEL
4-Bromophenyl Phenyl Ether	176	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Butyl Benzyl Phthalate	114	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 25% WQBEL
2-Chloronaphthalene	3,262	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 25% WQBEL
4-Chlorophenyl Phenyl Ether	N/A	N/A	No WQS
Chrysene	0.05	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Dibenzo(a,h)Anthrancene	0.05	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	522	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	225	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	489	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 25% WQBEL
3,3-Dichlorobenzidine	0.27	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Diethyl Phthalate	2,610	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 25% WQBEL
Dimethyl Phthalate	1,631	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 25% WQBEL
Di-n-Butyl Phthalate	68.5	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 25% WQBEL
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	0.65	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	0.65	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Di-n-Octyl Phthalate	N/A	N/A	No WQS
1,2-Diphenylhydrazine	0.47	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Fluoranthene	130	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 25% WQBEL
Fluorene	3,589	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 25% WQBEL
Hexachlorobenzene	0.004	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Hexachlorobutadiene	5.74	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	3.26	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Hexachloroethane	18.3	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)Pyrene	0.012	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Isophorone	114	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 25% WQBEL
Naphthalene	140	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 25% WQBEL
Nitrobenzene	55.5	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
n-Nitrosodimethylamine	0.009	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
n-Nitrosodi-n-Propylamine	0.065	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
n-Nitrosodiphenylamine	43.1	µg/L	Discharge Conc < TQL
Phenanthrene	3.26	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 25% WQBEL
Pyrene	2,708	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 25% WQBEL
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	84.8	µg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 25% WQBEL

Model Results

1/5/2021

DEP Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Analysis Spreadsheet							
Turne of Test	Cher	min	_	Essility No.			
Species Test	ed Ceri	odaobnia	Indes	Facility Name			
Endpoint	Ren	roduction	ingres	Authority			
TIWC (decimal) 0.31				Autionty			
No. Per Replicate 1				Permit No.			
TST b value 0.75				PA0026778			
TST alpha va	lue 0.2						
	_						
	Test Comp	letion Date		Test Comp	npletion Date		
Replicate	10/28	V2014	Replicate	10/20	/2015		
No.	Control	TIWC	No.	Control	TIWC		
1	30	29	1	42	24		
2	29	30	2	45	34		
3	29	36	3	37	36		
4	30	32	4	39	40		
5	32	33	5	37	35		
6	30	32	6	37	45		
7	4	35	7	39	39		
	30	22		41	28		
ŏ	34	32	ů i	35	30		
10				33	38		
10	- 33	- 30	10	3/	31		
11			11				
12			12				
13			13				
14			14				
15			15				
Mean	28.100	32.800	Mean	38.900	36.500		
Std Dev.	8.634	2.201	Std Dev.	2.998	5.401		
# Replicates	10	10	# Replicates	10	10		
in recpiredices			in reproduces				
T-Test Result	5.4	212	T Tost Posult	2.0	508		
T-Test Result 5.4213							
Dear of Frend	1	213	Den of Frends	3.8	000		
Deg. of Freed	om 1	5	Deg. of Freedo	om 1	4		
Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu	om 1 ie 0.8	5 662	Deg. of Freedo Critical T Value	om 1 e 0.8	681		
Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail	om 1 ie 0.8 PA	5 662 (SS	Deg. of Freedo Critical T Value Pass or Fail	om 1 e 0.8 PA	4 681 (SS		
Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail	om 1 ie 0.8 PA	5 662 ISS	Deg. of Freedo Critical T Value Pass or Fail	3.9 om 1 e 0.8 PA	681 ISS		
Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail	om 1 le 0.8 PA Test Comp	5 662 ISS	Deg. of Freedo Critical T Value Pass or Fail	om 1 e 0.8 PA Test Comp	14 681 ASS		
Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate	om 1 le 0.8 PA Test Comp 11/22	5 662 SS vetion Date	Person Result Deg. of Freedo Critical T Value Pass or Fail Replicate	0m 1 e 0.8 PA Test Comp 10/3	4 681 ASS Jetion Date (2017		
Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No.	om 1 = 0.8 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control	5 662 ISS V2D16 TIWC	Person Result Deg. of Freedo Critical T Value Pass or Fail Replicate No.	0m 1 e 0.8 PA Test Comp 10/3 Control	680 681 ISS 0letion Date (2017 TIWC		
Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1	om 1 e 0.8 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 32	213 5 662 VSS V2D16 TIWC 26	Replicate [No. 1	Test Comp 10/3 Control 31	680 681 ISS 0letion Date (2017 TIWC 15		
Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2	om 1 e 0.8 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 32 25	5 662 (SS 2016 TIWC 26 33	Replicate No.	2.8 2.8 2.8 2.8 2.8 2.8 2.8 2.8	680 (4 681 (SS (2017) TIWC 15 24		
Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3	om 1 le 0.8 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 32 25 35	213 5 662 .SS 22016 TIWC 26 33 37	Replicate No.	3.8 om 1 e 0.8 PA Test Comp 10/3 Control 31 31 32	680 (4 681 (SS 2017 TIWC 15 24 33		
Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4	om 1 le 0.8 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 32 25 35 37	213 5 662 (SS 2016 2016 7IWC 26 33 37 42	Replicate No.	3.8 om 1 e 0.8 PA Test Comp 10/3 Control 31 32 31	680 (4 681 (SS 2017 TIWC 15 24 33 27		
Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5	om 1 le 0.8 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 32 25 35 37 0	213 5 662 (SS 2016 71WC 26 33 37 42 39	Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5	3.8 om 1 e 0.8 PA Test Comp 10/3 Control 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 31	680 (4 681 (SS 2017 TIWC 15 24 33 27 22		
Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6	om 1 le 0.8 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 32 25 35 37 0 35	213 5 662 (SS 2016 71WC 26 33 37 42 39 39	Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6	3.8 om 1 e 0.8 PA Test Comp 10/3 Control 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32	380 14 681 ISS /2017 TIWC 15 24 33 27 22 28		
Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7	om 1 le 0.8 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 32 25 35 37 0 35 34	213 5 662 (SS /2016 TIWC 26 33 37 42 39 39 39 34	Replicate No.	3.8 om 1 e 0.8 PA Test Comp 10/3 Control 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 33 30	Second 14 681 ISS International State 2017 TIWC 15 24 33 27 22 28		
Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	om 1 le 0.8 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 32 25 35 37 0 35 34 31	213 5 662 (\$\$ 2016 TIWC 26 33 37 42 39 39 34 35	Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	3.8 pm 1 e 0.8 PA Test Comp 10/3 Control 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 33 30 26	380 14 681 (SS) 2017 TIWC 15 24 33 27 28 28 29		
Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	om 1 le 0.8 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 32 25 35 37 0 35 37 0 35 34 31 30	213 5 662 (\$\$ 2016 TIWC 26 33 37 42 39 39 39 39 34 35 32	Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	3.8 pm 1 e 0.8 PA Test Comp 10/3 Control 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 30 20 0	380 14 681 ISS 2017 TIWC 15 24 33 27 22 28 29 29		
Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	om 1 le 0.8 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 32 25 35 37 0 35 37 0 35 34 31 30 28	213 5 662 (SS) 2016 TIWC 26 33 37 42 39 39 39 39 39 34 35 32 34	Replicate [No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	3.8 pm 1 e 0.8 PA Test Comp 10/3 Control 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 30 26 0 30	Sec 44 681 ISS 2017 TIWC 15 24 33 27 22 28 29 29 28		
Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	om 1 le 0.8 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 32 25 35 37 0 35 34 31 30 28	213 5 662 (SS 22016 71WC 26 33 37 42 39 39 39 39 39 34 35 32 34	Replicate [No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	3.8 pm 1 a 0.8 PA Test Comp 10/3 Control 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 33 30 26 0 30	Sign 14 681 ISS 2017 TIWC 15 24 33 27 22 28 29 29 28		
Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11	om 1 le 0.8 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 32 25 35 37 0 35 34 31 30 28	213 5 662 (SS 22016 71WC 26 33 37 42 39 39 39 34 35 32 34 35 32 34	Replicate [No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11	3.8 om 1 e 0.8 PA Test Comp 10/3 Control 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 33 30 26 0 30	Sign 14 681 ISS 2017 TIWC 15 24 33 27 22 28 29 28 29 28		
Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12	om 1 le 0.8 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 32 25 35 37 0 35 34 31 30 28	213 5 662 (SS 2016 71WC 26 33 37 42 39 39 34 35 32 34 35 32 34	Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 2	3.8 om 1 e 0.8 PA Test Comp 10/3 Control 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 33 30 28 0 30	380 4 681 ISS /2017 TIWC 15 24 33 27 22 28 29 29 28		
Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	om 1 le 0.8 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 32 25 35 37 0 35 34 31 30 28	5 662 (SS V2016 TIWC 26 33 37 42 39 34 35 32 34 34	Period Critical T Value Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 14	3.8 om 1 e 0.8 PA Test Comp 10/3 Control 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 33 30	380 4 681 ISS Idetion Date (2017 TIWC 15 24 33 27 22 28 29 28 29 28		
Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	om 1 le 0.8 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 32 25 35 37 0 35 34 31 30 28	213 5 662 SS 2016 TIWC 26 33 37 42 39 39 34 39 34 35 32 34	Person Replicate Critical T Value Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 14 15	3.8 m 1 e 0.8 PA Test Comp 10/3 Control 31 31 32 31 31 33 30 26 0 30	380 4 681 (SS) 2017 TIWC 15 24 33 27 22 28 29 29 28		
Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	om 1 le 0.8 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 32 25 35 37 0 35 37 0 35 34 31 30 28	213 5 662 (\$\$ 2016 TIWC 26 33 37 42 39 39 39 39 34 35 32 34	Period Deg. of Freedo Critical T Value Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	3.8 m 1 e 0.8 PA Test Comp 10/3 Control 31 31 32 31 31 33 30 26 0 30	380 14 681 ISS 2017 TIWC 15 24 33 27 22 28 29 29 28		
Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	om 1 le 0.8 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 32 25 35 37 0 35 37 0 35 34 31 30 28	213 5 662 (SS) 2016 TIWC 26 33 37 42 39 39 39 39 34 35 32 34	Periodic Deg. of Freedo Critical T Value Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	3.8 m 1 e 0.8 PA Test Comp 10/3 Control 31 31 32 31 31 32 31 31 32 31 31 32 31 31 32 31 31 32 31 31 32 31 31 32 30 26 0 30	380 14 681 ISS 2017 TIWC 15 24 33 27 22 28 29 28 29 28		
Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 Mean	om 1 le 0.8 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 32 25 35 37 0 35 37 0 35 34 31 30 28 28 28.700	213 5 662 SS 2016 TIWC 26 33 37 42 39 30 39 30 34 35 32 34 34 35 32 34 35	Periodic Deg. of Freedo Critical T Value Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 Mean	27.500	2017 15 2017 TIWC 15 24 33 27 22 28 29 29 29 29 29 28 		
Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 14 15 Mean Std Dev.	om 1 le 0.8 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 32 25 35 37 0 35 34 31 30 28 28 28 28 28.700 10.709	213 5 662 (SS 22016 71WC 26 33 37 42 39 39 39 39 34 35 32 34 34 35 32 34 34 35 32 34	Replicate Critical T Value Pass or Fail	27.500 9.835	2017 15 2017 TIWC 15 24 33 27 22 28 29 29 29 29 28 		
Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 Mean Std Dev. # Replicates	om 1 le 0.8 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 32 25 35 37 0 35 34 31 30 28 28 28 28.700 10.709 10	213 5 662 (SS 22016 71WC 26 33 37 42 39 39 34 35 32 34 35 32 34 35 32 34 35 32 34 35 32 34 35 32 34 35 32 34 31 35 32 34 31 35 32 34 31 31 31 31 32 34 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31	Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 Mean Std Dev. # Replicates	27.500 9.835 10 10/3 10/3 10/3 10/3 10/3 10/3 10/3 1	2017 TIWC 15 24 33 27 22 28 29 29 29 29 29 28 		
Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 Mean Std Dev. # Replicates	om 1 le 0.8 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 32 25 35 37 0 35 34 31 30 28 28 28.700 10.709 10	213 5 662 (SS) 2016 TIWC 26 33 37 42 39 39 34 35 32 34 35 32 34 35 32 34 35 32 34 31 35 32 34 34 35 32 34 34 35 32 34 31 31 32 34 31 31 31 31 31 31 32 34 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31	Replicate Critical T Value Pass or Fail	27.500 9.835 10'3 10'	26.300 4.945 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		
Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 Mean Std Dev. # Replicates T-Test Result	om 1 le 0.8 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 32 25 35 37 0 35 34 31 30 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28	213 5 662 (SS) 2016 TIWC 26 33 37 42 39 39 34 39 34 35 32 34 34 35 32 34 34 35 32 34 31 5 32 34 35 32 34 36 32 34 35 32 34 36 32 34 36 32 34 36 32 34 36 32 34 36 32 34 36 32 36 36 37 37 38 38 38 38 39 39 39 34 36 39 39 34 36 37 37 38 39 39 39 34 36 36 37 37 37 37 37 38 39 39 39 34 36 36 37 37 37 38 38 38 39 39 39 34 36 36 37 37 37 37 38 39 39 34 36 36 37 37 37 37 38 39 39 34 36 36 37 37 38 39 34 36 36 37 37 38 39 38 34 36 36 37 37 38 39 39 34 36 36 37 37 37 38 39 34 34 36 32 37 37 37 38 39 34 36 37 37 37 38 39 38 34 39 39 34 39 39 34 39 36 37 37 37 37 37 38 39 39 34 39 36 37 37 37 39 39 34 39 39 34 39 39 34 39 39 34 39 39 34 39 39 39 39 34 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39	Replicate Critical T Value Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 Mean Std Dev. # Replicates T-Test Result	27.500 2.00	26.300 4.900 2017 15 24 33 27 22 28 28 28 29 29 29 29 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 20 29 29 29 20 28 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20		
Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 Mean Std Dev. # Replicates T-Test Result Deg. of Freed	om 1 le 0.8 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 32 25 35 37 0 35 34 31 30 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28	213 5 662 (SS) 2016 TIWC 26 33 37 42 39 39 39 34 35 32 34 35 32 34 34 35 32 34 34 35 32 34 34 670 7	Replicate Critical T Value Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 Mean Std Dev. # Replicates T-Test Result Deg. of Freedo	27.500 9.835 10 27.500 9.835 10 27.500 9.835 10 20 27.500 9.835 10 20 27.500 9.835 10 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 10 20 20 10 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	26.300 4/ 681 2017 71WC 15 24 33 27 22 28 29 29 29 29 29 28 29 29 29 29 29 28 10 20 4.945 10		
Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 Wean Std Dev. # Replicates T-Test Result Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu	om 1 le 0.8 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 32 25 35 37 0 35 37 0 35 37 0 35 37 0 35 37 0 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28	213 5 662 (SS) 2016 TIWC 26 33 37 42 39 39 39 39 39 34 35 32 34 35 32 34 35 32 34 35 32 34 5 670 7 633	Replicate No. 1 Control Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 Control Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 Control Pass or Fail 2 Control Pass of Fael 3 Control Pass of Fael 10 Control Pass of Fael 11 Control Pass of Fael 12 Control Pass of Fael 13 Control Pass of Freedoc 14 Control Pass of Freedoc 15 Control Pass of Freedoc	27.500 9.835 10 27.500 9.835 10 27.500 9.835 10 20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	26.300 4.04 681 (2017 71WC 15 24 33 27 22 28 29 29 29 29 28 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29		
Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 Wean Std Dev. # Replicates T-Test Result Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail	om 1 le 0.8 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 32 25 35 37 0 35 37 0 35 34 31 30 28 28 28 28 28 0 10,709 10 4.6 om 1 le 0.8 PA	213 5 662 (SS 2016 TIWC 26 33 37 42 39 39 39 39 39 39 34 35 32 34 35 32 34 35 32 34 35 32 34 35 32 34 5 5 32 34 5 5 5 8 5 5 8 5 8 5 8 5 8 5 8 5 8 5 8	Replicate [No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 14 15 Mean Std Dev. # Replicates T-Test Result Deg. of Freedo Critical T Value	27.500 9.835 10 27.500 9.835 10 27.500 9.835 10 20 0 10 2.00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	26.300 4/ 681 (2017 71WC 15 24 33 27 22 28 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29		





DEP Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Analysis Spreadsheet										
Torre of Torre	Char			Facility No.						
Type of Test	Chro	nic		Facility Name						
Species Test	ed Pime	ephales	ingles	Ingleside STP7 Windber Are						
Endpoint Grow		win	Autnonty							
No. Per Peoli	al) <u>0.31</u>	-	Permit No.							
TET by alug	0.75			PA002877						
TST b value 0.75				PA0026778						
i Si aipna va	iue 0.25									
	Test Comp	letion Date		Test Comp	pletion Date					
Replicate	10/28	/2014	Replicate	10/20/2015						
No.	Control	TIWC	No.	Control	TIWC					
1	0.247	0.383	1 [0.39	0.375					
	0.255	0.245		0.00	0.264					
2	0.200	0.340	2	0.30	0.204					
3	0.275	0.314	3	0.33	0.297					
4	0.27889	0.302	4	0.304	0.41222					
5			5							
6			6							
ž			, i							
((
8			8							
9			9							
10			10							
44			44							
11										
12			12							
13			13							
14			14							
15			15							
10			10 [
Mean	0.264	0.336	Mean	0.351	0.337					
Std Dev.	0.015	0.036	Std Dev.	0.041	0.068					
# Replicates	4	4	# Replicates	4	4					
# replicates	-	-	# reproducts	-	-					
T.T			TT (D)		000					
T-Test Result	7.2	652	T-Test Result	1.9	696					
T-Test Result Deg. of Freed	7.2 om 4	652 4	T-Test Result Deg. of Freedo	1.9 om -	696 4					
T-Test Result Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu	7.2 om 4 ie 0.7	852 4 407	T-Test Result Deg. of Freedo Critical T Value	1.9 om - e 0.7	696 4 407					
T-Test Result Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail	7.2 om 4 ie 0.7 PA	852 4 407 .SS	T-Test Result Deg. of Freedo Critical T Value Pass or Fail	1.9 om - e 0.7 PA	696 4 407 NSS					
T-Test Result Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail	7.2 om 4 ie 0.7 PA	852 4 407 .SS	T-Test Result Deg. of Freedo Critical T Value Pass or Fail	1.9 om - e 0.7 PA	696 4 407 ISS					
T-Test Result Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail	7.2 om 4 ie 0.7 PA	852 4 407 .\$\$	T-Test Result Deg. of Freedo Critical T Valu Pass or Fail	1.9 om 0.7 PA	696 4 407 ASS					
T-Test Result Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail	7.2 om 4 le 0.7 PA Test Comp	852 4 407 \$\$ letion Date	T-Test Result Deg. of Freedo Critical T Valu Pass or Fail	e 0.7 PA Test Comp	696 4 407 ASS Diletion Date					
T-Test Result Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate	7.2 om 4 ie 0.7 PA Test Comp 11/22	652 4 407 SS Jetion Date /2016	T-Test Result Deg. of Freedo Critical T Value Pass or Fail Replicate	1.9 e 0.7 PA Test Comp 10/3	696 4 407 ISS Dietion Date (2017					
T-Test Result Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No.	7.2 om 4 le 0.7 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control	652 4 407 SS Jetion Date /2016 TIWC	T-Test Result Deg. of Freedo Critical T Value Pass or Fail Replicate No.	1.9 e 0.7 PA Test Comp 10/3 Control	696 4 407 ISS Jetion Date /2017 TIWC					
T-Test Result Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1	7.2 om 4 le 0.7 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 0.385	852 4 407 SS Jetion Date 72016 TIWC 0.339	T-Test Result Deg. of Freedo Critical T Value Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1	1.9 e 0.7 PA Test Comp 10/3 Control 0.431	696 4 407 ISS Deletion Date /2017 TIWC 0.425					
T-Test Result Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2	7.2 om 4 e 0.7 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 0.385 0.382	652 4 407 SS /2016 TIWC 0.339 0.345	T-Test Result Deg. of Freedo Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2	1.9 e 0.7 PA Test Comp 10/3 Control 0.431 0.485	696 4 407 ISS Detion Date (2017 TIWC 0.425 0.453					
T-Test Result Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2	7.2 om 2 le 0.7 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 0.385 0.362 0.27	652 4 407 .SS /2016 TIWC 0.339 0.345 0.354	T-Test Result Deg. of Freedo Critical T Value Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2	1.9 e 0.7 PA Test Comp 10/3 Control 0.431 0.465 0.200	696 4 407 ISS Jetion Date (2017 TIWC 0.425 0.453 0.424					
T-Test Result Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3	7.2 om 4 pe 0.7 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 0.385 0.362 0.37	652 4 407 SS /2016 TIWC 0.339 0.345 0.354	T-Test Result Deg. of Freedo Critical T Value Pass or Fail Replicate [No. 1 2 3	1.9 e 0.7 PA Test Comp 10/3 Control 0.431 0.485 0.399	696 4 407 SS 9 1017 TIWC 0.425 0.453 0.431 0.431					
T-Test Result Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4	7.2 om 4 pe 0.7 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 0.385 0.362 0.37 0.336	652 4 407 SS /2016 TIWC 0.339 0.345 0.354 0.418	T-Test Result Deg. of Freedo Critical T Value Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4	1.9 e 0.7 PA Test Comp 10/3 Control 0.431 0.465 0.399 0.369	696 4 407 (SS 2017 TIWC 0.425 0.453 0.431 0.388					
T-Test Result Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5	7.2 om 4 le 0.7 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 0.385 0.362 0.37 0.336	852 4 407 .SS .etion Date /2016 TIWC 0.339 0.345 0.354 0.418	T-Test Result Deg. of Freedo Critical T Value Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5	1.9 e 0.7 PA Test Comp 10/3 Control 0.431 0.485 0.399 0.369	696 4 407 ISS Deletion Date 2017 TIWC 0.425 0.453 0.431 0.388					
T-Test Result Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6	7.2 om 2 ie 0.7 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 0.385 0.362 0.37 0.336	652 4 407 .SS /2016 TIWC 0.339 0.345 0.354 0.354 0.418	T-Test Result Deg. of Freedo Critical T Value Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6	1.9 e 0.7 PA Test Comp 10/3 Control 0.431 0.465 0.399 0.369	696 4 407 ISS Jetion Date (2017 TIWC 0.425 0.453 0.431 0.388					
T-Test Result Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	7.2 om 4 e 0.7 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 0.385 0.362 0.37 0.336	652 4 407 SS letion Date /2016 TIWC 0.339 0.345 0.354 0.354 0.418	T-Test Result Deg. of Freedo Critical T Value Pass or Fail Replicate [No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 6	1.9 e 0.7 PA Test Comp 10/3 Control 0.431 0.465 0.399 0.369	696 4 407 ISS 0letion Date /2017 TIWC 0.425 0.453 0.431 0.388					
T-Test Result Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 2	7.2 on 4 pe 0.7 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 0.385 0.362 0.37 0.336	652 4 407 SS /2016 TIWC 0.339 0.345 0.354 0.418	T-Test Result Deg. of Freedo Critical T Value Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	1.9 e 0.7 PA Test Comp 10/3 Control 0.431 0.465 0.399 0.369	696 4 407 ISS oletion Date 2017 TIWC 0.425 0.453 0.431 0.388					
T-Test Result Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	7.2 om 4 le 0.7 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 0.385 0.362 0.37 0.336	852 4 407 SS /2016 TIWC 0.339 0.345 0.354 0.418	T-Test Result Deg. of Freedo Critical T Value Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	1.9 e 0.7 PA Test Comp 10/3 Control 0.431 0.465 0.399 0.369	696 4 407 (SS 0 1000 Date 12017 TIWC 0.425 0.453 0.431 0.388					
T-Test Result Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	7.2 om 2 le 0.7 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 0.385 0.362 0.37 0.336	652 4 407 .SS /2016 TIWC 0.339 0.345 0.354 0.354 0.418	T-Test Result Deg. of Freedo Critical T Value Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	1.9 om e 0.7 PA Test Comp 10/3 Control 0.431 0.465 0.399 0.369	696 4 407 ISS Idea Date (2017 TIWC 0.425 0.453 0.453 0.431 0.388					
T-Test Result Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	7.2 om 4 e 0.7 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 0.385 0.362 0.37 0.336	652 4 407 SS letion Date /2016 TIWC 0.339 0.345 0.354 0.354 0.418	T-Test Result Deg. of Freedo Critical T Value Pass or Fail Replicate [No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	1.9 e 0.7 PA Test Comp 10/3 Control 0.431 0.485 0.399 0.389	696 4 407 ASS 0letion Date /2017 TIWC 0.425 0.453 0.431 0.388					
T-Test Result Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	7.2 on 4 e 0.7 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 0.385 0.362 0.37 0.336	652 4 407 SS Jetion Date 2016 TIWC 0.339 0.345 0.354 0.418	T-Test Result Deg. of Freedo Critical T Value Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	1.9 e 0.7 PA Test Comp 10/3 Control 0.431 0.465 0.399 0.369	696 4 407 ASS 0letion Date /2017 TIWC 0.425 0.453 0.431 0.388					
T-Test Result Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	7.2 om 4 le 0.7 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 0.385 0.362 0.37 0.336	852 4 407 SS V2016 TIWC 0.339 0.345 0.354 0.418	T-Test Result Deg. of Freedo Critical T Value Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 10 11	1.9 e 0.7 PA Test Comp 10/3 Control 0.431 0.465 0.399 0.369	696 4 407 (SS) eletion Date 2017 TIWC 0.425 0.453 0.431 0.388					
T-Test Result Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	7.2 om 4 pe 0.7 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 0.385 0.362 0.37 0.336	652 4 407 .SS /2016 TIWC 0.339 0.345 0.354 0.354 0.418	T-Test Result Deg. of Freedo Critical T Value Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12	1.9 e 0.7 PA Test Comp 10/3 Control 0.431 0.465 0.399 0.369	696 4 407 407 455 0 1etion Date 2017 TIWC 0.425 0.453 0.431 0.388					
T-Test Result Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	7.2 om 4 e 0.7 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 0.385 0.362 0.37 0.336	852 4 407 SS letion Date /2016 TIWC 0.339 0.345 0.354 0.354 0.418	T-Test Result Deg. of Freedo Critical T Value Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	1.9 e 0.7 PA Test Comp 10/3 Control 0.431 0.485 0.399 0.389	696 4 407 SS 0letion Date /2017 TIWC 0.425 0.453 0.431 0.388					
T-Test Result Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	7.2 on 4 e 0.7 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 0.385 0.362 0.37 0.336	852 4 407 SS letion Date //2016 TIWC 0.339 0.345 0.354 0.418	T-Test Result Deg. of Freedo Critical T Value Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13 14	1.9 e 0.7 PA Test Comp 10/3 Control 0.431 0.465 0.399 0.369	696 4 407 ASS 0letion Date /2017 TIWC 0.425 0.453 0.431 0.388					
T-Test Result Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	7.2 om 4 le 0.7 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 0.385 0.362 0.37 0.336	852 4 407 SS V2016 TIWC 0.339 0.345 0.354 0.418	T-Test Result Deg. of Freedo Critical T Value Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	1.9 e 0.7 PA Test Comp 10/3 Control 0.431 0.465 0.399 0.369	696 4 407 ISS 0letion Date 2017 TIWC 0.425 0.453 0.431 0.388					
T-Test Result Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	7.2 om 4 pe 0.7 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 0.385 0.362 0.37 0.336	652 4 407 .SS /2016 TIWC 0.339 0.345 0.354 0.354 0.418	T-Test Result Deg. of Freedo Critical T Value Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 14 15	1.9 e 0.7 PA Test Comp 10/3 Control 0.431 0.465 0.399 0.369	696 4 407 407 455 0 1etion Date 2017 TIWC 0.425 0.453 0.431 0.388					
T-Test Result Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	7.2 om 4 le 0.7 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 0.385 0.362 0.37 0.336	652 4 407 SS letion Date /2016 TIWC 0.339 0.345 0.354 0.418 	T-Test Result Deg. of Freedo Critical T Value Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	1.9 e 0.7 PA Test Comp 10/3 Control 0.431 0.465 0.399 0.369	696 4 407 ASS eletion Date 2017 TIWC 0.425 0.453 0.431 0.388					
T-Test Result Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 Mean	7.2 om 4 e 0.7 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 0.385 0.362 0.37 0.336	652 4 407 SS letion Date /2016 TIWC 0.339 0.345 0.354 0.418	T-Test Result Deg. of Freedo Critical T Value Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	0.416	696 4 407 SS 0letion Date /2017 TIWC 0.425 0.453 0.431 0.388 0.388 0.000 0.424					
T-Test Result Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 Mean Std Dev.	7.2 om 4 ie 0.7 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 0.385 0.362 0.37 0.336 0.336 0.362 0.37 0.336 0.362 0.37 0.336 0.32 0.336 0.32 0.32 0.336 0.32 0.336 0.32 0.32 0.336 0.32 0.336 0.32 0.336 0.32 0.336 0.336 0.32 0.336 0.362 0.336 0.336 0.336 0.336 0.362 0.336 0.336 0.326 0.336 0.326 0.336 0.336 0.362 0.336 0.362 0.326 0.336 0.362 0.326 0.326 0.336 0.362 0.362 0.326 0.362 0.221 0.362 0.221	652 4 407 .SS /2016 TIWC 0.339 0.345 0.354 0.418	T-Test Result Deg. of Freedo Critical T Value Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 15 Mean Std Dev.	1.9 e 0.7 PP Test Comp 10/3 Control 0.431 0.465 0.399 0.369 0.369	696 4 407 ISS Idea Date 2017 TIWC 0.425 0.453 0.431 0.388 0.431 0.388 0.431 0.388					
T-Test Result Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 Mean Std Dev. # Replicates	7.2 om 4 le 0.7 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 0.385 0.362 0.37 0.336 0.336 0.362 0.37 0.336 0.362 0.37 0.336 0.321 4	652 4 407 SS 1etion Date /2016 TIWC 0.339 0.345 0.354 0.418 0.418 0.354 0.418 0.354 0.418 0.354 0.337 0.354 0.037 4	T-Test Result Deg. of Freedo Critical T Value Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 12 13 14 15 Mean Std Dev. # Replicates	1.9 e 0.7 PA Test Comp 10/3 Control 0.431 0.465 0.399 0.369 0.369 0.369	696 4 407 ISS Idetion Date (2017 TIWC 0.425 0.453 0.431 0.388 0.431 0.388 0.431 0.388					
T-Test Result Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 Wean Std Dev. # Replicates	7.2 om 4 le 0.7 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 0.385 0.362 0.37 0.336 0.336 0.336 0.362 0.37 0.363 0.021 4	652 4 407 SS letion Date /2016 TIWC 0.339 0.345 0.354 0.418 0.418 0.418 0.364 0.364 0.037 4	T-Test Result Deg. of Freedo Critical T Value Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 13 14 15 15 Mean Std Dev. # Replicates	1.9 e 0.7 PA Test Comp 10/3 Control 0.431 0.465 0.399 0.369 0.369 0.369	696 4 407 ISS International 2017 TIWC 0.425 0.453 0.431 0.388 0.431 0.388 0.431 0.388 0.431 0.388 0.431 0.388 0.431 0.388 0.431 0.388 0.431 0.388 0.431 0.388 0.431 0.424 0.027 4					
T-Test Result Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 Mean Std Dev. # Replicates	7.2 om 4 le 0.7 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 0.385 0.362 0.37 0.336 0.336 0.362 0.37 0.336 0.362 0.37 0.336 0.362 0.37 0.336 0.321 4	652 4 407 SS letion Date /2016 TIWC 0.339 0.345 0.354 0.418 0.418 0.418 0.354 0.418 0.354 0.418 0.354 0.355 0	T-Test Result Deg. of Freedo Critical T Value Pass or Fail Replicate [No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 Wean Std Dev. # Replicates	1.9 e 0.7 PA Test Comp 10/3 Control 0.431 0.485 0.399 0.389 0.389	696 4 407 SS eletion Date 2017 TIWC 0.425 0.453 0.431 0.388 0.388 0.0027 4 615					
T-Test Result Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 Mean Std Dev. # Replicates T-Test Result	7.2 om 4 le 0.7 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 0.385 0.362 0.37 0.336 0.336 0.363 0.021 4 4.8	652 4 407 SS letion Date /2016 TIWC 0.339 0.345 0.354 0.418 0.418 0.364 0.037 4	T-Test Result Deg. of Freedo Critical T Value Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 Mean Std Dev. # Replicates T-Test Result	1.9 e 0.7 PP Test Comp 10/3 Control 0.431 0.465 0.399 0.369 0.369 0.369	696 4 407 SS oletion Date (2017 TIWC 0.425 0.453 0.431 0.388 0.431 0.388 0.431 0.388 0.424 0.027 4					
T-Test Result Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 Mean Std Dev. # Replicates T-Test Result Deg. of Freed	7.2 om 4 ie 0.7 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 0.385 0.362 0.37 0.336 0.336 0.336 0.363 0.021 4 4.66 om 4.66	652 4 407 SS 1etion Date /2016 TIWC 0.339 0.345 0.354 0.354 0.418 0.418 0.418 0.364 0.037 4	T-Test Result Deg. of Freedo Critical T Value Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 15 Mean Std Dev. # Replicates T-Test Result Deg. of Freedo	1.9 e 0.7 PP Test Comp 10/3 Control 0.431 0.465 0.399 0.369 0.369 0.369	696 4 407 ISS Idetion Date (2017 TIWC 0.425 0.453 0.431 0.388 0.431 0.388 0.431 0.388 0.424 0.027 4 615 5					
T-Test Result Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 Mean Std Dev. # Replicates T-Test Result Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu	7.2 om 4 le 0.7 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 0.385 0.362 0.37 0.336 0.336 0.362 0.37 0.336 0.362 0.37 0.336 0.362 0.37 0.336 0.362 0.37 0.336 0.362 0.37 0.336 0.362 0.37 0.336 0.362 0.37 0.336 0.362 0.37 0.336 0.362 0.37 0.336 0.362 0.37 0.336 0.362 0.37 0.336 0.362 0.37 0.336 0.362 0.37 0.336 0.362 0.37 0.336 0.362 0.37 0.336 0.362 0.37 0.336 0.362 0.37 0.336 0.362 0.37 0.336 0.021 4 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.363 0.021 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.365 0.021 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.365 0.021 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.365 0.021 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7	652 4 407 SS 1etion Date /2016 TIWC 0.339 0.345 0.354 0.418 0.418 0.418 0.364 0.037 4 205 4 407	T-Test Result Deg. of Freedo Critical T Value Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 Wean Std Dev. # Replicates T-Test Result Deg. of Freedo Critical T Value	1.9 om e 0.7 Test Comp 10/3 Control 0.431 0.485 0.399 0.389 0.389 0.389 0.389 0.389 0.369	696 4 407 ISS Iletion Date (2017 TIWC 0.425 0.453 0.431 0.388 0.431 0.388 0.431 0.388 0.424 0.027 4 615 5 287					
T-Test Result Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 Wean Std Dev. # Replicates T-Test Result Deg. of Freed Critical T Valu Pass or Fail	7.2 om 4 le 0.7 PA Test Comp 11/22 Control 0.385 0.362 0.37 0.336 0.363 0.021 4 0.363 0.021 4 4.65 om 4.65 om	652 4 407 SS letion Date /2016 TIWC 0.339 0.345 0.354 0.418 0.418 0.418 0.418 0.364 0.037 4 205 4 407 SS	T-Test Result Deg. of Freedo Critical T Value Pass or Fail Replicate No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 13 14 15 13 14 15 15 Mean Std Dev. # Replicates T-Test Result Deg. of Freedo Critical T Value Pass or Fail	1.9 e 0.7 PA Test Comp 10/3 Control 0.431 0.465 0.399 0.369	696 4 407 ISS Interior Date 2017 TIWC 0.425 0.453 0.431 0.388 0.431 0.425 0.453 0.431 0.388 0.431 0.388 0.431 0.425 0.453 0.435 0.435 0.431 0.388 0.431 0.388 0.431 0.388 0.431 0.388 0.431 0.388 0.431 0.388 0.431 0.424 0.027 4 0.455 0.4					

WET Summary and Evaluation							
Facility Name	Ingleside STP						
Permit No.	PA0026778						
Design Flow (MGD)	4						
Q ₇₋₁₀ Flow (cfs)	14						
PMFa	0.66						
PMF	1						
			Test Result	s (Pass/Fail)			
		Test Date	Test Date	Test Date	Test Date		
Species	Endpoint	10/28/14	10/20/15	11/22/16	10/3/17		
Ceriodaphnia	Reproduction	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
			Test Result	s (Pass/Fail)			
		Test Date	Test Date	Test Date	Test Date		
Species	Endpoint	10/28/14	10/20/15	11/22/16	10/3/17		
Ceriodaphnia	Survival	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
			Test Result	s (Pass/Fail)			
		Test Date	Test Date	Test Date	Test Date		
Species	Endpoint	10/28/14	10/20/15	11/22/16	10/3/17		
Pimephales	Survival	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
		Test Data	Test Result	s (Pass/Fall)	Test Data		
Encoico	Endnaint	10/28/14	10/20/15	11/22/16	10/3/17		
Dimenholes	Crowth	DASS	DASS	DASS	DASS		
Pimephales	Growin	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
Reasonable Potential? NO							
Permit Recommendations							
Test Type	Chronic						
тімс	31	% Effluent					
Dilution Series	8, 16,	31, 66, 100	% Effluent				
Permit Limit None							
Permit Limit Species							