

Application Type Renewal
Facility Type Industrial
Major / Minor Minor

**NPDES PERMIT FACT SHEET
INDIVIDUAL INDUSTRIAL WASTE (IW)
AND IW STORMWATER**

Application No. PA0217468
APS ID 844276
Authorization ID 1310298

Applicant and Facility Information

Applicant Name	<u>Beaver Falls Municipal Authority</u>	Facility Name	<u>Eastvale Water Treatment Plant</u>
Applicant Address	<u>1425 Eighth Avenue</u> <u>Beaver Falls, PA 15010-0400</u>	Facility Address	<u>101 2nd Avenue E</u> <u>Beaver Falls, PA 15010</u>
Applicant Contact	<u>James Riggio</u>	Facility Contact	<u>Cecil Griffith</u>
Applicant Phone	<u>(724) 846-2400</u>	Facility Phone	<u>(724) 846-2400</u>
Client ID	<u>39435</u>	Site ID	<u>630214</u>
SIC Code	<u>4941</u>	Municipality	<u>Eastvale Borough</u>
SIC Description	<u>Trans. & Utilities - Water Supply</u>	County	<u>Beaver</u>
Date Application Received	<u>March 30, 2020</u>	EPA Waived?	<u>Yes</u>
Date Application Accepted	<u>April 6, 2020</u>	If No, Reason	<u></u>
Purpose of Application	<u>Renewal NPDES Permit Coverage.</u>		

Summary of Review

The Department received an NPDES permit renewal application from Beaver Falls Municipal Authority on March 30, 2020 for the coverage of its Eastvale Water Treatment Plant. The facility is a water treatment plant, owned and operated by the Beaver Falls Municipal Authority (BFMA). The plant serves approximately 50,000 customers in several municipalities in Beaver County. The standard industrial classification (SIC) code for this type of facility is 4941, water supply.

Wastewater generated at the site is filter backwash and sludge thickener supernatant. The filter backwash and sludge thickener supernatant is collected in an equalization basin to allow settling prior to discharging via Outfall 001 to the Beaver River, designated in 25 Pa Code Chapter 93 as a Warm Water Fishery. Sludge is generated during the flocculation and primary settling portion of the potable water treatment process, as well as, the wastewater equalization basin. The sludge is treated in a sludge thickener to further reduce the water contained in the sludge prior to the solids being pressed and disposed of offsite.

The site was last inspected on July 30, 2015; one violation was noted but has since been resolved. The Permittee has no open violations.

Draft Permit issuance is recommended.

Public Participation

DEP will publish notice of the receipt of the NPDES permit application and a tentative decision to issue the individual NPDES permit in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin* in accordance with 25 Pa. Code § 92a.82. Upon publication in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*, DEP will accept written comments from interested persons for a 30-day period (which may be extended for one additional 15-day period at DEP's discretion), which will be considered in making a final decision on the application. Any person may request or petition for a public hearing with respect to the application. A public hearing may be held if DEP determines that there is

Approve	Deny	Signatures	Date
X		<i>Adam Olesnanik</i> Adam Olesnanik / Environmental Engineering Specialist	4/8/2020
X		<i>Michael E. Fifth</i> Michael E. Fifth, P.E. / Environmental Engineer Manager	4/28/2020

Summary of Review

significant public interest in holding a hearing. If a hearing is held, notice of the hearing will be published in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin* at least 30 days prior to the hearing and in at least one newspaper of general circulation within the geographical area of the discharge.

Discharge, Receiving Waters and Water Supply Information

Outfall No.	<u>001</u>	Design Flow (MGD)	<u>1.8</u>
Latitude	<u>40° 45' 43"</u>	Longitude	<u>-80° 18' 42"</u>
Quad Name	<u>Beaver Falls</u>	Quad Code	<u>1203</u>
Wastewater Description: <u>Treated industrial wastewater associated with potable water treatment plant.</u>			
Receiving Waters	<u>Beaver River (WWF)</u>	Stream Code	<u>33953</u>
NHD Com ID	<u>123918321</u>	RMI	<u>5.19</u>
Drainage Area	<u>3110</u>	Yield (cfs/mi ²)	<u>0.21</u>
Q ₇₋₁₀ Flow (cfs)	<u>640</u>	Q ₇₋₁₀ Basis	<u>US Army Corp of Engineers</u>
Elevation (ft)	<u>722</u>	Slope (ft/ft)	<u>0.0001</u>
Watershed No.	<u>20-B</u>	Chapter 93 Class.	<u>WWF</u>
Existing Use	<u></u>	Existing Use Qualifier	<u></u>
Exceptions to Use	<u></u>	Exceptions to Criteria	<u></u>
Assessment Status	<u>Impaired</u>		
Cause(s) of Impairment	<u>Metals, Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBS)</u>		
Source(s) of Impairment	<u>Source Unknown</u>		
TMDL Status	<u>Final</u>	Name	<u>Beaver River</u>
Nearest Downstream Public Water Supply Intake	<u>Beaver Falls Municipal Authority</u>		
PWS Waters	<u>Beaver River</u>	Flow at Intake (cfs)	<u>640</u>
PWS RMI	<u>3.06</u>	Distance from Outfall (mi)	<u>2.15</u>

Development of Effluent Limitations

Outfall No. 001 **Design Flow (MGD)** 1.8
Latitude 40° 45' 43" **Longitude** -80° 18' 42"
Wastewater Description: Treated industrial wastewater associated with potable water treatment plant.

Technology-Based Limitations

The Eastvale Water Treatment Plant is not subject to Federal Effluent Limitation Guidelines (ELGs) as the SIC code is not listed under 40 CFR parts 405 through 471.

Regulatory Effluent Standards and Monitoring Requirements

Flow monitoring is required pursuant to 25 Pa. Code § 92a.61(d)(1) which is displayed in Table 1 below.

Effluent standards for pH are also imposed on industrial wastes by 25 Pa. Code §§ 95.2(1) which is displayed in Table 1 below.

Pennsylvania regulations at 25 Pa. Code § 92a.48(b) require the imposition of technology-based TRC limits for facilities that use chlorination and that are not already subject to TRC limits based on applicable federal ELGs or a facility-specific BPJ evaluation which is displayed in Table 1 below.

Table 1. Regulatory Effluent Standards

Parameter	Monthly Avg	Daily Max	IMAX
Flow	Monitor	Monitor	----
pH	6-9 at all times		----
TRC	0.5 mg/l	----	1.6 mg/l

Best Practicable Control Technology Currently Achievable (BPT)

BPT for wastewater from treatment of WTP sludges and filter backwash is found in DEPs Technology-Based Control Requirements for Water Treatment Plant Wastes Document which falls under Best Professional Judgement under 40 CFR § 125.3 and the limits imposed are displayed in Table 2 below.

Table 2. BPT Limits for WTP sludge and filter backwash wastewater

Parameter	Monthly Avg (mg/l)	Daily Max (mg/l)
Suspended solids	30.0	60.0
Iron (total)	2.0	4.0
Aluminum (total)	4.0	8.0
Manganese (total)	1.0	2.0
Flow	Monitor	----
pH	6-9 at all times	
Total Residual Chlorine	0.5	1.0

Water Quality-Based Limitations

Toxics Screening Analysis – Procedures for Evaluating Reasonable Potential and Developing WQBELs

DEP's procedures for evaluating reasonable potential are as follows:

1. For IW discharges, the design flow to use in modeling is the average flow during production or operation and may be taken from the permit application.
2. Perform a Toxics Screening Analysis to identify toxic pollutants of concern. All toxic pollutants whose maximum concentrations, as reported in the permit application or on DMRs, are greater than the most stringent applicable water quality criterion are pollutants of concern. [This includes pollutants reported as "Not Detectable" or as "<MDL" where the method detection limit for the analytical method used by the applicant is greater than the most stringent water

quality criterion]. List all toxic pollutants of concern in a Toxics Screening Analysis section of the fact sheet (see Attachment B).

3. For any outfall with an applicable design flow, perform PENTOXSD modeling for all pollutants of concern. Use the maximum reported value from the application form or from DMRs as the input concentration for the PENTOXSD model run.
4. Compare the actual WQBEL from PENTOXSD with the maximum concentration reported on DMRs or the permit application. Use WQN data or another source to establish the existing or background concentration for naturally occurring pollutants, but generally assume zero background concentration for non-naturally occurring pollutants.
 - Establish limits in the draft permit where the maximum reported concentration equals or exceeds 50% of the WQBEL. Use the average monthly and maximum daily limits for the permit as recommended by PENTOXSD. Establish an IMAX limit at 2.5 times the average monthly limit.
 - For non-conservative pollutants, establish monitoring requirements where the maximum reported concentration is between 25% - 50% of the WQBEL.
 - For conservative pollutants, establish monitoring requirements where the maximum reported concentration is between 10% - 50% of the WQBEL.

The information described above including the maximum reported discharge concentrations, the most stringent water quality criteria, the pollutant-of-concern (reasonable potential) determinations, the calculated WQBELs, and the WQBEL/monitoring recommendations are collected on a spreadsheet titled "Toxics Screening Analysis." (Attachment B).

PENTOXSD Water Quality Modeling Program

PENTOXSD Version 2.0 for Windows is a single discharge, mass-balance water quality modeling program that includes consideration for mixing, first-order decay and other factors to determine recommended WQBELs for toxic substances and several non-toxic substances. Required input data including stream code, river mile index, elevation, drainage area, discharge name, NPDES permit number and discharge flow rate are entered into PENTOXSD to establish site-specific discharge conditions. Other data such as low flow yield, reach dimensions and partial mix factors may also be entered to further characterize the conditions of the discharge and receiving water. Pollutants are then selected for analysis based on those present or likely to be present in a discharge at levels that may cause, have the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to excursions above state water quality standards (i.e., a reasonable potential analysis). Discharge concentrations for the selected pollutants are chosen to represent the "worst case" quality of the discharge (i.e., maximum reported discharge concentrations). PENTOXSD then evaluates each pollutant by computing a Waste Load Allocation for each applicable criterion, determining a recommended maximum WQBEL and comparing that recommended WQBEL with the input discharge concentration to determine which is more stringent. Based on this evaluation, PENTOXSD may recommend average monthly and maximum daily WQBELs.

Reasonable Potential Analysis and WQBEL Development for Outfall 001

Table 3: PENTOXSD Inputs

Parameter	Value
River Mile Index	5.19
Discharge Flow (MGD)	0.64
Basin/Stream Characteristics	
Parameter	Value
Area in Square Miles	3,110
Q ₇₋₁₀ (cfs)	640
Low-flow yield (cfs/mi ²)	0.21
Elevation (ft)	722
Slope	0.001

Discharges from Outfall 001 are evaluated based on concentrations reported on the application and on DMRs; data from those sources are used for toxics screening as described above. The PENTOXSD model is run with the discharge and receiving stream characteristics shown in Table 3. The pollutants selected for analysis include those identified as candidates for modeling by the Toxics Screening Analysis spreadsheet (in accordance with Step 2 of the Toxics Screening Analysis procedure discussed above). Pollutants for which water quality standards have not been promulgated (e.g., TSS, oil and grease) are excluded from the analysis.

The WQBELs calculated using PENTOXSD are compared to the maximum reported effluent concentrations as described in the Toxics Screening Analysis section above to evaluate the need to impose WQBELs or monitoring requirements in the permit. Output from the PENTOXSD model run is included in Attachment C. No WQBELs are recommended based on the Water Quality Analysis.

Total Residual Chlorine

To determine if WQBELs are required for discharges containing total residual chlorine (TRC), a discharge evaluation is performed using a DEP program called TRC_CALC created with Microsoft Excel for Windows. TRC_CALC calculates TRC Waste Load Allocations (WLAs) through the application of a mass balance model which considers TRC losses due to stream and discharge chlorine demands and first-order chlorine decay. Input values for the program include flow rates and chlorine demands for the receiving stream and the discharge, the number of samples taken per month, coefficients of TRC variability, partial mix factors, and an optional factor of safety. The mass balance model calculates WLAs for acute and chronic criteria that are then converted to long term averages using calculated multipliers. The multipliers are functions of the number of samples taken per month and the TRC variability coefficients (normally kept at default values unless site specific information is available). The most stringent limitation between the acute and chronic long-term averages is converted to an average monthly limit for comparison to the BAT average monthly limit of 0.5 mg/l from 25 Pa. Code § 92a.48(b)(2). The more stringent of these average monthly TRC limitations is imposed in the permit. The results of the modeling, included in Attachment D, indicate that no WQBELs are required for TRC.

Anti-Backsliding

Previous limits can be used pursuant to EPA's anti-backsliding regulation, 40 CFR 122.44(l). The limits below in Table 4 are from the current permit. The parameters listed are from the Departments Technical Support Document (TSD) "Development of Technology-Based Control Requirements for Water Treatment Plant Wastes in Pennsylvania".

Table 4: Current Permit Effluent Limits

Parameters	Mass (lb/day)		Concentration (mg/l)			
	Average Monthly	Daily Maximum	Minimum	Average Monthly	Daily Maximum	Instant. Maximum
Flow (MGD)	Report	Report	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX
Total Suspended Solids	XXX	XXX	XXX	30	XXX	60
Total Residual Chlorine	XXX	XXX	XXX	0.5	XXX	1.0
Total Aluminum	XXX	XXX	XXX	4.0	XXX	8.0
Total Iron	XXX	XXX	XXX	2.0	XXX	4.0
Total Manganese	XXX	XXX	XXX	1.0	XXX	2.0
pH (S.U.)	XXX	XXX	6.0	XXX	XXX	9.0

Proposed Effluent Limitations for Outfall 001

The proposed effluent limitations and monitoring requirements for Outfall 001 are shown below in Table 5. Note that some values were incorrectly labeled as IMAX values in the previous permit when they should have been label as Daily Max, this has been changed to reflect existing permitting practices. The monitoring frequency will remain the same as the current permit, twice per month.

Table 5: Proposed Effluent Limitation for Outfall 001

Parameters	Mass (lb/day)		Concentration				Monitoring Requirements	
	Average Monthly	Daily Maximum	Instant. Minimum	Average Monthly	Daily Maximum	Instant. Maximum	Frequency	Sample Type
Flow (MGD)	Report	Report	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	2/Month	Measured
Total Suspended Solids (mg/L)	XXX	XXX	XXX	30.0	60.0	XXX	2/Month	Grab
Total Residual Chlorine (mg/L)	XXX	XXX	XXX	0.5	1.0	XXX	2/Month	Grab
Total Aluminum (mg/L)	XXX	XXX	XXX	4.0	8.0	XXX	2/Month	Grab
Total Iron (mg/L)	XXX	XXX	XXX	2.0	4.0	XXX	2/Month	Grab
Total Manganese (mg/L)	XXX	XXX	XXX	1.0	2.0	XXX	2/Month	Grab
pH (S.U.)	XXX	XXX	6.0	XXX	XXX	9.0	2/Month	Grab

Tools and References Used to Develop Permit	
<input type="checkbox"/>	WQM for Windows Model (see Attachment)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PENTOXSD for Windows Model (see Attachment C)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TRC Model Spreadsheet (see Attachment D)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Temperature Model Spreadsheet (see Attachment)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Toxics Screening Analysis Spreadsheet (see Attachment B)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Water Quality Toxics Management Strategy, 361-0100-003, 4/06.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Technical Guidance for the Development and Specification of Effluent Limitations, 362-0400-001, 10/97.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Policy for Permitting Surface Water Diversions, 362-2000-003, 3/98.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Policy for Conducting Technical Reviews of Minor NPDES Renewal Applications, 362-2000-008, 11/96.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Technology-Based Control Requirements for Water Treatment Plant Wastes, 362-2183-003, 10/97.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Technical Guidance for Development of NPDES Permit Requirements Steam Electric Industry, 362-2183-004, 12/97.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Pennsylvania CSO Policy, 385-2000-011, 9/08.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Water Quality Antidegradation Implementation Guidance, 391-0300-002, 11/03.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Implementation Guidance Evaluation & Process Thermal Discharge (316(a)) Federal Water Pollution Act, 391-2000-002, 4/97.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Determining Water Quality-Based Effluent Limits, 391-2000-003, 12/97.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Implementation Guidance Design Conditions, 391-2000-006, 9/97.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Technical Reference Guide (TRG) WQM 7.0 for Windows, Wasteload Allocation Program for Dissolved Oxygen and Ammonia Nitrogen, Version 1.0, 391-2000-007, 6/2004.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Interim Method for the Sampling and Analysis of Osmotic Pressure on Streams, Brines, and Industrial Discharges, 391-2000-008, 10/1997.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Implementation Guidance for Section 95.6 Management of Point Source Phosphorus Discharges to Lakes, Ponds, and Impoundments, 391-2000-010, 3/99.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Technical Reference Guide (TRG) PENTOXSD for Windows, PA Single Discharge Wasteload Allocation Program for Toxics, Version 2.0, 391-2000-011, 5/2004.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Implementation Guidance for Section 93.7 Ammonia Criteria, 391-2000-013, 11/97.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Policy and Procedure for Evaluating Wastewater Discharges to Intermittent and Ephemeral Streams, Drainage Channels and Swales, and Storm Sewers, 391-2000-014, 4/2008.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Implementation Guidance Total Residual Chlorine (TRC) Regulation, 391-2000-015, 11/1994.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Implementation Guidance for Temperature Criteria, 391-2000-017, 4/09.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Implementation Guidance for Section 95.9 Phosphorus Discharges to Free Flowing Streams, 391-2000-018, 10/97.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Implementation Guidance for Application of Section 93.5(e) for Potable Water Supply Protection Total Dissolved Solids, Nitrite-Nitrate, Non-Priority Pollutant Phenolics and Fluorides, 391-2000-019, 10/97.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Field Data Collection and Evaluation Protocol for Determining Stream and Point Source Discharge Design Hardness, 391-2000-021, 3/99.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Implementation Guidance for the Determination and Use of Background/Ambient Water Quality in the Determination of Wasteload Allocations and NPDES Effluent Limitations for Toxic Substances, 391-2000-022, 3/1999.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Design Stream Flows, 391-2000-023, 9/98.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Field Data Collection and Evaluation Protocol for Deriving Daily and Hourly Discharge Coefficients of Variation (CV) and Other Discharge Characteristics, 391-2000-024, 10/98.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Evaluations of Phosphorus Discharges to Lakes, Ponds and Impoundments, 391-3200-013, 6/97.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Pennsylvania's Chesapeake Bay Tributary Strategy Implementation Plan for NPDES Permitting, 4/07.
<input type="checkbox"/>	SOP:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other:

Attachments

- Attachment A: StreamStats Drainage Area
- Attachment B: Toxics Screening Analysis
- Attachment C: PENTOXSD Model run
- Attachment D: TRC Evaluation Model

**Attachment A:
StreamStats Drainage Area**

Outfall 001 StreamStats Report

Region ID: PA
 Workspace ID: PA20200408145727676000
 Clicked Point (Latitude, Longitude): 40.76089, -80.31282
 Time: 2020-04-08 10:57:47 -0400



Basin Characteristics			
Parameter Code	Parameter Description	Value	Unit
DRNAREA	Area that drains to a point on a stream	3110	square miles
ELEV	Mean Basin Elevation	1127.7	feet

Low-Flow Statistics Parameters (100 Percent (3110 square miles) Low Flow Region 4)					
Parameter Code	Parameter Name	Value	Units	Min Limit	Max Limit
DRNAREA	Drainage Area	3110	square miles	2.26	1400
ELEV	Mean Basin Elevation	1127.7	feet	1050	2580

Low-Flow Statistics Disclaimer (100 Percent (3110 square miles) Low Flow Region 4)

One or more of the parameters is outside the suggested range. Estimates were extrapolated with unknown errors

Low-Flow Statistics Flow Report (100 Percent (3110 square miles) Low Flow Region 4)		
Statistic	Value	Unit
7 Day 2 Year Low Flow	254	ft ³ /s
30 Day 2 Year Low Flow	336	ft ³ /s
7 Day 10 Year Low Flow	161	ft ³ /s
30 Day 10 Year Low Flow	187	ft ³ /s
90 Day 10 Year Low Flow	255	ft ³ /s

Low-Flow Statistics Citations

Stuckey, M.H., 2006, Low-flow, base-flow, and mean-flow regression equations for Pennsylvania streams: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2006-5130, 84 p. (<http://pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2006/5130/>)

USGS Data Disclaimer: Unless otherwise stated, all data, metadata and related materials are considered to satisfy the quality standards relative to the purpose for which the data were collected. Although these data and associated metadata have been reviewed for accuracy and completeness and approved for release by the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), no warranty expressed or implied is made regarding the display or utility of the data for other purposes, nor on all computer systems, nor shall the act of distribution constitute any such warranty.

**Attachment B:
Toxic Screening Analysis**

**TOXICS SCREENING ANALYSIS
WATER QUALITY POLLUTANTS OF CONCERN
VERSION 2.7**

CLEAR FORM

Facility: **Eastvale WTP**
Analysis Hardness (mg/L): **100**
Stream Flow, Q₇₋₁₀ (cfs): **640**

NPDES Permit No.: **PA0217468**
Discharge Flow (MGD): **0.64**

Outfall: **001**
Analysis pH (SU): **7**

	Parameter	Maximum Concentration in Application or DMRs (µg/L)	Most Stringent Criterion (µg/L)	Candidate for PENTOXSD Modeling?	Most Stringent WQBEL (µg/L)	Screening Recommendation
Group 1	Total Dissolved Solids	248000	500000	No		
	Chloride	66200	250000	No		
	Bromide	< 100	N/A	No		
	Sulfate	69000	250000	No		
	Fluoride	< 200	2000	No (Value < QL)		
Group 2	Total Aluminum	367	750	No		
	Total Antimony	0.1	5.6	No		
	Total Arsenic	0.5	10	No		
	Total Barium	95	2400	No		
	Total Beryllium	0.3	N/A	No		
	Total Boron	100	1600	No		
	Total Cadmium	0.08	0.271	No		
	Total Chromium	1	N/A	No		
	Hexavalent Chromium	5	10.4	No		
	Total Cobalt	0.2	19	No		
	Total Copper	11	9.3	Yes	2362.359	No Limits/Monitoring
	Total Cyanide	1	N/A	No		
	Total Iron	40	1500	No		
	Dissolved Iron	20	300	No		
	Total Lead	0.2	3.2	No		
	Total Manganese	3090	1000	Yes	647412.4	No Limits/Monitoring
	Total Mercury	< 0.05	0.05	No (Value < QL)		
	Total Molybdenum	0.9	N/A	No		
	Total Nickel	2	52.2	No		
	Total Phenols (Phenolics)	22	5	Yes	3237.062	No Limits/Monitoring
Total Selenium	< 5	5.0	No (Value < QL)			
Total Silver	0.05	3.8	No			
Total Thallium	4	0.24	Yes	155.79	No Limits/Monitoring	
Total Zinc	34	119.8	No			

**Attachment C:
PENTOXSD model run**

PENTOXSD

Modeling Input Data

Stream Code	RMI	Elevation (ft)	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Slope	PWS With (mgd)	Apply FC
33953	5.19	722.00	3110.00	0.00100	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Stream Data

LFY	Trib Flow	Stream Flow	WD Ratio	Rch Width	Rch Depth	Rch Velocity	Rch Trav Time	Tributary Hard	pH	Stream Hard	pH	Analysis Hard	pH
	(cfs)	(cfs)		(ft)	(ft)	(fps)	(days)	(mg/L)		(mg/L)		(mg/L)	
Q7-10	0.1	0	640	0	350	15	0	0	100	7	0	0	0
Qh		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	7	0	0	0

Discharge Data

Name	Permit Number	Existing Disc Flow (mgd)	Permitted Disc Flow (mgd)	Design Disc Flow (mgd)	Reserve Factor	AFC PMF	CFC PMF	THH PMF	CRL PMF	Disc Hard (mg/L)	Disc pH
Eastvale WTP	PA0217468	0	0.64	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	7

Parameter Data

Parameter Name	Disc Conc (µg/L)	Trib Conc (µg/L)	Disc Daily CV	Disc Hourly CV	Steam Conc (µg/L)	Stream CV	Fate Coef	FOS	Crit Mod	Max Disc Conc (µg/L)
COPPER	1E+10	0	0.5	0.5	0	0	0	0	1	0
MANGANESE	1E+10	0	0.5	0.5	0	0	0	0	1	0
PHENOLICS (PWS)	1E+10	0	0.5	0.5	0	0	0	0	1	0
THALLIUM	1E+10	0	0.5	0.5	0	0	0	0	1	0

Stream Code	RMI	Elevation (ft)	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Slope	PWS With (mgd)	Apply FC
33953	3.06	703.00	3121.00	0.00100	11.90	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Stream Data

	LFY	Trib Flow	Stream Flow	WD Ratio	Rch Width	Rch Depth	Rch Velocity	Rch Trav Time	Tributary		Stream		Analysis	
		(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(ft)	(ft)	(fps)	(days)	Hard	pH	Hard	pH	Hard	pH
Q7-10	0.1	0	640	0	350	15	0	0	100	7	0	0	0	0
Qh		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	7	0	0	0	0

Discharge Data

Name	Permit Number	Existing Disc Flow	Permitted Disc Flow	Design Disc Flow	Reserve Factor	AFC PMF	CFC PMF	THH PMF	CRL PMF	Disc Hard	Disc pH
		(mgd)	(mgd)	(mgd)						(mg/L)	
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	7

Parameter Data

Parameter Name	Disc Conc	Trib Conc	Disc Daily CV	Disc Hourly CV	Steam Conc	Stream CV	Fate Coef	FOS	Crit Mod	Max Disc Conc
	(µg/L)	(µg/L)			(µg/L)					(µg/L)
COPPER	0	0	0.5	0.5	0	0	0	0	1	0
MANGANESE	0	0	0.5	0.5	0	0	0	0	1	0
PHENOLICS (PWS)	0	0	0.5	0.5	0	0	0	0	1	0
THALLIUM	0	0	0.5	0.5	0	0	0	0	1	0

PENTOXSD Analysis Results

Hydrodynamics

SWP Basin

Stream Code:

Stream Name:

20B

33953

BEAVER RIVER

RMI	Stream Flow (cfs)	PWS With (cfs)	Net Stream Flow (cfs)	Disc Analysis Flow (cfs)	Reach Slope	Depth (ft)	Width (ft)	WD Ratio	Velocity (fps)	Reach Trav Time (days)	CMT (min)
-----	----------------------	-------------------	--------------------------	-----------------------------	-------------	---------------	---------------	----------	-------------------	---------------------------	--------------

Q7-10 Hydrodynamics

5.190	640	0	640	0.99007	0.001	15	350	23.333	0.1221	1.0661	91.113
3.060	640	18.409	621.59	NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA

Qh Hydrodynamics

5.190	2106.6	0	2106.6	0.99007	0.001	25.325	350	13.820	0.2378	0.5474	41.623
3.060	2106.6	18.409	2088.2	NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA

PENTOXSD Analysis Results

Wasteload Allocations

RMI	Name	Permit Number							
5.19	Eastvale WTP	PA0217468							
AFC									
Q7-10:	CCT (min)	15	PMF	0.405	Analysis pH	7	Analysis Hardness	100	
	Parameter		Stream Conc (µg/L)	Stream CV	Trib Conc (µg/L)	Fate Coef	WQC (µg/L)	WQ Obj (µg/L)	WLA (µg/L)
	COPPER		0	0	0	0	13.439	13.999	3685.66
	MANGANESE		Dissolved WQC. Chemical translator of 0.96 applied.			0	NA	NA	NA
	PHENOLICS (PWS)		0	0	0	0	NA	NA	NA
	THALLIUM		0	0	0	0	65	65	17113.13
CFC									
Q7-10:	CCT (min)	91.113	PMF	1	Analysis pH	7	Analysis Hardness	100	
	Parameter		Stream Conc (µg/L)	Stream CV	Trib Conc (µg/L)	Fate Coef	WQC (µg/L)	WQ Obj (µg/L)	WLA (µg/L)
	COPPER		0	0	0	0	8.956	9.329	6039.65
	MANGANESE		Dissolved WQC. Chemical translator of 0.96 applied.			0	NA	NA	NA
	PHENOLICS (PWS)		0	0	0	0	NA	NA	NA
	THALLIUM		0	0	0	0	13	13	8416.361
THH									
Q7-10:	CCT (min)	91.113	PMF	NA	Analysis pH	NA	Analysis Hardness	NA	
	Parameter		Stream Conc (µg/L)	Stream CV	Trib Conc (µg/L)	Fate Coef	WQC (µg/L)	WQ Obj (µg/L)	WLA (µg/L)
	COPPER		0	0	0	0	NA	NA	NA
	MANGANESE		0	0	0	0	1000	1000	647412.4
	PHENOLICS (PWS)		0	0	0	0	5	5	3237.062
	THALLIUM		WQC applied at RMI 3.06 with a design stream flow of 640.			0	0.24	0.24	155.379
CRL									
Qh:	CCT (min)	41.623	PMF	1					

PENTOXSD Analysis Results

Wasteload Allocations

RMI	Name	Permit Number	Stream Conc (µg/L)	Stream CV	Trib Conc (µg/L)	Fate Coef	WQC (µg/L)	WQ Obj (µg/L)	WLA (µg/L)
5.19	Eastvale WTP	PA0217468							
	COPPER		0	0	0	0	NA	NA	NA
	MANGANESE		0	0	0	0	NA	NA	NA
	PHENOLICS (PWS)		0	0	0	0	NA	NA	NA
	THALLIUM		0	0	0	0	NA	NA	NA

PENTOXSD Analysis Results

Wasteload Allocations

RMI	Name	Permit Number
5.19	Eastvale WTP	PA0217468

PENTOXSD Analysis Results

Recommended Effluent Limitations

SWP Basin	Stream Code:	Stream Name:
20B	33953	BEAVER RIVER

RMI	Name	Permit Number	Disc Flow (mgd)
5.19	Eastvale WTP	PA0217468	0.6400

Parameter	Effluent Limit (µg/L)	Governing Criterion	Max. Daily Limit (µg/L)	Most Stringent	
				WQBEL (µg/L)	WQBEL Criterion
COPPER	2362.359	AFC	3685.66	2362.359	AFC
MANGANESE	647412.4	THH	1010000	647412.4	THH
PHENOLICS (PWS)	3237.062	THH	5050.337	3237.062	THH
THALLIUM	155.379	THH	242.416	155.379	THH

**Attachment D:
TRC Evaluation Model**

TRC EVALUATION

640	= Q stream (cfs)	0.5	= CV Daily
0.64	= Q discharge (MGD)	0.5	= CV Hourly
4	= no. samples	0.405	= AFC_Partial Mix Factor
0.3	= Chlorine Demand of Stream	1	= CFC_Partial Mix Factor
0	= Chlorine Demand of Discharge	15	= AFC_Criteria Compliance Time (min)
0.5	= BAT/BPJ Value	720	= CFC_Criteria Compliance Time (min)
	= %Factor of Safety (FOS)		=Decay Coefficient (K)
Source		Reference	
AFC Calculations		CFC Calculations	
TRC	1.3.2.iii	WLA afc = 83.532	1.3.2.iii
PENTOXSD TRG	5.1a	LTAMULT afc = 0.373	5.1c
PENTOXSD TRG	5.1b	LTA_afc= 31.126	5.1d
		WLA cfc = 201.045	
		LTAMULT cfc = 0.581	
		LTA_cfc = 116.878	
Source		Effluent Limit Calculations	
PENTOXSD TRG	5.1f	AML MULT = 1.720	
PENTOXSD TRG	5.1g	AVG MON LIMIT (mg/l) = 0.500	BAT/BPJ
		INST MAX LIMIT (mg/l) = 1.170	
WLA afc	$(.019/e^{-k \cdot AFC_tc}) + [(AFC_Yc \cdot Qs \cdot .019 / Qd \cdot e^{-k \cdot AFC_tc}) \dots + Xd + (AFC_Yc \cdot Qs \cdot Xs / Qd)] \cdot (1 - FOS / 100)$		
LTAMULT afc	$EXP((0.5 \cdot LN(cvh^2 + 1)) - 2.326 \cdot LN(cvh^2 + 1)^{0.5})$		
LTA_afc	wla_afc * LTAMULT_afc		
WLA_cfc	$(.011/e^{-k \cdot CFC_tc}) + [(CFC_Yc \cdot Qs \cdot .011 / Qd \cdot e^{-k \cdot CFC_tc}) \dots + Xd + (CFC_Yc \cdot Qs \cdot Xs / Qd)] \cdot (1 - FOS / 100)$		
LTAMULT_cfc	$EXP((0.5 \cdot LN(cvd^2 / no_samples + 1)) - 2.326 \cdot LN(cvd^2 / no_samples + 1)^{0.5})$		
LTA_cfc	wla_cfc * LTAMULT_cfc		
AML MULT	$EXP(2.326 \cdot LN((cvd^2 / no_samples + 1)^{0.5}) - 0.5 \cdot LN(cvd^2 / no_samples + 1))$		
AVG MON LIMIT	MIN(BAT_BPJ, MIN(LTA_afc, LTA_cfc) * AML_MULT)		
INST MAX LIMIT	1.5 * ((av_mon_limit / AML_MULT) / LTAMULT_afc)		