

Application Type Renewal
Facility Type Industrial
Major / Minor Minor

**NPDES PERMIT FACT SHEET
INDIVIDUAL INDUSTRIAL WASTE (IW)
AND IW STORMWATER**

Application No. PA0222763
APS ID 983761
Authorization ID 1256882

Applicant and Facility Information

Applicant Name	<u>Charter Plastics, Inc.</u>	Facility Name	<u>Charter Plastics</u>
Applicant Address	<u>P.O. Box 770, 221 South Perry Street</u> <u>Titusville, PA 16354-0770</u>	Facility Address	<u>221 South Perry Street</u> <u>Titusville, PA 16354-1662</u>
Applicant Contact	<u>Andy Loker</u>	Facility Contact	<u></u>
Applicant Phone	<u>(814) 827-9665</u>	Facility Phone	<u></u>
Client ID	<u>91163</u>	Site ID	<u>264147</u>
SIC Code	<u>3084</u>	Municipality	<u>Titusville City</u>
SIC Description	<u>Manufacturing - Plastics, Pipe</u>	County	<u>Crawford</u>
Date Application Received	<u>December 31, 2018</u>	EPA Waived?	<u>Yes</u>
Date Application Accepted	<u>January 8, 2019</u>	If No, Reason	<u></u>
Purpose of Application	<u>Renewal of a NPDES Permit for an existing discharge of industrial waste and stormwater.</u>		

Summary of Review

This facility is engaged in the manufacture of NSF-approved polyethylene pipe via extrusion molding. Contact Cooling water from this process is subject to federal ELGs at 40 CFR 463 Subpart A.

There are no perceived impacts to any threatened or endangered mussel species as a result of discharges from this facility.

There are currently no open violations listed in EFACTS for this permittee (10/10/2019).

Public Participation

DEP will publish notice of the receipt of the NPDES permit application and a tentative decision to issue the individual NPDES permit in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin* in accordance with 25 Pa. Code § 92a.82. Upon publication in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*, DEP will accept written comments from interested persons for a 30-day period (which may be extended for one additional 15-day period at DEP's discretion), which will be considered in making a final decision on the application. Any person may request or petition for a public hearing with respect to the application. A public hearing may be held if DEP determines that there is significant public interest in holding a hearing. If a hearing is held, notice of the hearing will be published in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin* at least 30 days prior to the hearing and in at least one newspaper of general circulation within the geographical area of the discharge.

Approve	Deny	Signatures	Date
X		Adam J. Pesek, E.I.T. / Environmental Engineering Specialist	
X		Justin C. Dickey, P.E. / Environmental Engineer Manager	

Discharge, Receiving Waters and Water Supply Information

Outfall No.	<u>001</u>	Design Flow (MGD)	<u>0.144</u>
Latitude	<u>41° 37' 28.2"</u>	Longitude	<u>-79° 40' 33.2"</u>
Quad Name	<u>Titusville South</u>	Quad Code	<u>0608</u>
Wastewater Description: <u>Contact Cooling Water (CCW), Stormwater associated with industrial activity</u>			

Receiving Waters	<u>Oil Creek (via Municipal Storm Sewer)</u>	Stream Code	<u>54128</u>
NHD Com ID	<u>100473083</u>	RMI	<u>18.90</u>
Drainage Area	<u>167</u>	Yield (cfs/mi ²)	<u>0.102</u>
Q ₇₋₁₀ Flow (cfs)	<u>17.034</u>	Q ₇₋₁₀ Basis	<u>USGS #03020500</u>
Elevation (ft)	<u>1205</u>	Slope (ft/ft)	<u></u>
Watershed No.	<u>16-E</u>	Chapter 93 Class.	<u>CWF</u>
Existing Use	<u></u>	Existing Use Qualifier	<u></u>
Exceptions to Use	<u></u>	Exceptions to Criteria	<u></u>

Assessment Status Attaining Use(s)

Cause(s) of Impairment

Source(s) of Impairment

TMDL Status Name

Background/Ambient Data		Data Source	
pH (SU)	<u>7.0</u>	Default	<u></u>
Temperature (°C)	<u>20</u>	Default (CWF)	<u></u>
Hardness (mg/L)	<u>182</u>	WQN 866 @ Rouseville (regression analysis)	<u></u>
Other:	<u></u>		<u></u>

Nearest Downstream Public Water Supply Intake	<u>Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc. – Emlenton</u>		
PWS Waters	<u>Allegheny River</u>	Flow at Intake (cfs)	<u></u>
PWS RMI	<u>90.0</u>	Distance from Outfall (mi)	<u>60</u>

Changes Since Last Permit Issuance:

Other Comments: Design flow is based on maximum flow reported on the application. This is the flow most representative of actual conditions when full production is occurring.

Discharge, Receiving Waters and Water Supply Information

Outfall No.	<u>002</u>	Design Flow (MGD)	<u>0</u>
Latitude	<u>41° 37' 30.3"</u>	Longitude	<u>-79° 40' 35.6"</u>
Quad Name	<u>Titusville South</u>	Quad Code	<u>0608</u>
Wastewater Description: <u>Stormwater associated with industrial activity</u>			

Outfall No.	<u>004</u>	Design Flow (MGD)	<u>0</u>
Latitude	<u>41° 37' 30.9"</u>	Longitude	<u>-79° 40' 40.5"</u>
Quad Name	<u>Titusville South</u>	Quad Code	<u>0608</u>
Wastewater Description: <u>Stormwater associated with industrial activity</u>			

Receiving Waters	<u>Oil Creek (via Municipal Storm Sewer)</u>	Stream Code	<u>54128</u>
NHD Com ID	<u>100473083</u>	RMI	<u>19.15</u>

Changes Since Last Permit Issuance:

Other Comments: These outfalls were indicated to meet a condition of "No Exposure" on the renewal application. A review of aerial imagery appears to support this.

Discharge, Receiving Waters and Water Supply Information			
Outfall No.	<u>003</u>	Design Flow (MGD)	<u>0.216</u>
Latitude	<u>41° 37' 29.3"</u>	Longitude	<u>-79° 40' 43.5"</u>
Quad Name	<u>Titusville South</u>	Quad Code	<u>0608</u>
Wastewater Description: <u>Contact Cooling Water (CCW), Stormwater associated with industrial activity</u>			
Receiving Waters	<u>Oil Creek (via Municipal Storm Sewer)</u>	Stream Code	<u>54128</u>
NHD Com ID	<u>100473083</u>	RMI	<u>19.35</u>
Drainage Area	<u>167</u>	Yield (cfs/mi ²)	<u>0.102</u>
Q ₇₋₁₀ Flow (cfs)	<u>17.034</u>	Q ₇₋₁₀ Basis	<u>USGS #03020500</u>
Elevation (ft)	<u>1205</u>	Slope (ft/ft)	<u></u>
Watershed No.	<u>16-E</u>	Chapter 93 Class.	<u>CWF</u>
Existing Use	<u></u>	Existing Use Qualifier	<u></u>
Exceptions to Use	<u></u>	Exceptions to Criteria	<u></u>
Assessment Status	<u>Attaining Use(s)</u>		
Cause(s) of Impairment	<u></u>		
Source(s) of Impairment	<u></u>		
TMDL Status	<u></u>	Name	<u></u>
Background/Ambient Data		Data Source	
pH (SU)	<u>7.0</u>	Default	<u></u>
Temperature (°C)	<u>20</u>	Default (CWF)	<u></u>
Hardness (mg/L)	<u>182</u>	WQN 866 @ Rouseville (regression analysis)	<u></u>
Other:	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u></u>
Nearest Downstream Public Water Supply Intake	<u>Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc.</u>		
PWS Waters	<u>Allegheny River</u>	Flow at Intake (cfs)	<u></u>
PWS RMI	<u>90.0</u>	Distance from Outfall (mi)	<u>60</u>

Changes Since Last Permit Issuance: Design flow used has increased due to increased production in this part of the plant.

Other Comments: Design flow is based on maximum flow reported on the application. This is the flow most representative of actual conditions when full production is occurring.

Changes Since Last Permit Issuance: The design flow used increased from 0.144 MGD to 0.216 MGD.

Other Comments:

Compliance History

DMR Data for Outfall 001 (from September 1, 2018 to August 31, 2019)

Parameter	AUG-19	JUL-19	JUN-19	MAY-19	APR-19	MAR-19	FEB-19	JAN-19	DEC-18	NOV-18	OCT-18	SEP-18
Flow (MGD) Average Monthly	0.108	0.130	0.108	0.108	0.108	0.108	0.1025	0.097	0.108	0.0864	0.115	0.108
Flow (MGD) Daily Maximum	0.108	0.130	0.108	0.108	0.108	0.108	0.108	0.108	0.108	0.108	0.144	0.108
pH (S.U.) Minimum			7.2			7.1			7.2			7.2
pH (S.U.) Instantaneous Maximum			7.3			7.3			7.4			7.3
BOD5 (lbs/day) Daily Maximum			2.7			< 2.7			2.8			2.7
BOD5 (mg/L) Daily Maximum			< 3.0			< 3.0			< 3			< 3
TSS (lbs/day) Daily Maximum			4.5			3.6			3.7			3.6
TSS (mg/L) Daily Maximum			< 4.5			4.0			< 4.0			< 4.0
Oil and Grease (lbs/day) Average Quarterly			4.5			< 5.0			< 4.8			< 4.8
Oil and Grease (lbs/day) Daily Maximum			4.5			< 5.0			< 4.8			< 4.8
Oil and Grease (mg/L) Average Quarterly			< 5.0			< 5.0			< 4.8			< 4.8
Oil and Grease (mg/L) Daily Maximum			< 5.0			< 5.0			< 4.8			< 4.8

DMR Data for Outfall 003 (from September 1, 2018 to August 31, 2019)

Parameter	AUG-19	JUL-19	JUN-19	MAY-19	APR-19	MAR-19	FEB-19	JAN-19	DEC-18	NOV-18	OCT-18	SEP-18
Flow (MGD) Average Monthly	0.137	0.108	0.126	0.144	0.158	0.144	0.126	0.135	0.144	0.144	0.144	0.144
Flow (MGD) Daily Maximum	0.144	0.108	0.144	0.144	0.216	0.144	0.144	0.144	0.144	0.144	0.144	0.144
pH (S.U.) Minimum			7.2			7.1			7.3			7.2
pH (S.U.) Instantaneous Maximum			7.3			7.4			7.3			7.3
BOD5 (lbs/day) Daily Maximum			3.2			< 3.6			3.6			3.6
BOD5 (mg/L) Daily Maximum			< 3.0			< 3.0			< 3.0			< 3.0
TSS (lbs/day) Daily Maximum			5.2			4.8			4.7			4.8
TSS (mg/L) Daily Maximum			< 5			4.0			< 4.0			< 4.0
Oil and Grease (lbs/day) Average Quarterly			5.2			< 5.0			< 4.8			< 4.8
Oil and Grease (lbs/day) Daily Maximum			5.2			< 5.0			< 4.8			< 4.8
Oil and Grease (mg/L) Average Quarterly			< 5.2			< 5.0			< 4.8			< 4.8
Oil and Grease (mg/L) Daily Maximum			< 5.2			< 5.0			< 4.8			< 4.8

Compliance History

Summary of DMRs:		No effluent violations in last 5 years
Summary of Inspections:		Facility was last inspected on March 16, 2017. The inspection report did not mention any violations. It was noted that the permittee was taking 24-hour composite samples for BOD5 and TSS, although they are only required to take an 8-hour composite sample for those parameters.

Development of Effluent Limitations

Outfall No. 001 Design Flow (MGD) 0.144
 Latitude 41° 37' 28.20" Longitude -79° 40' 33.2"
 Wastewater Description: Contact Cooling Water (CCW), Stormwater associated with industrial activity

Technology-Based Limitations

The following technology-based limitations apply, subject to water quality analysis and BPJ where applicable:

Parameter	Limit (mg/l)	SBC	Federal Regulation	State Regulation
BOD ₅	26	Daily Max	40 CFR 463.12(a)	
TSS	19	Daily Max	40 CFR 463.12(a)	
Oil & Grease	29	Daily Max	40 CFR 463.12(a)	
pH	6.0 – 9.0 (S.U.)	Min – Max	40 CFR 463.12(a)	25 Ch.95.2(1)
Oil & Grease	15	Monthly Average		25 Ch. 95.2(2)(ii)
Oil & Grease	30	IMAX		25 Ch. 95.2(2)(ii)

Comments:

Water Quality-Based Limitations

A “Reasonable Potential Analysis” (Attachment A) determined the following parameters were candidates for limitations: 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane, 1,1,2-Trichloroethane, 1,2-Dichloroethane, 1,3-Dichloropropylene, Carbon tetrachloride, Chlorodibromomethane, Dichlorobromomethane, Tetrachloroethylene, and Vinyl chloride.

The following limitations were determined through water quality modeling (output files attached):

Parameter	Limit (mg/l)	SBC	Model
None			

Comments: Outfall 001 and 003 were combined for PENTOXSD Modeling and the Thermal Analysis Spreadsheet due to the close proximity of the discharges in Oil Creek.

The Thermal Analysis Spreadsheet calculated lower limits from June through September. A review of thermal data from the last three permit applications show that discharge temperatures remain in a consistently close range with a maximum reported temperature of 56 °F at both outfalls which is less than the most string WQBEL calculated (64°F during Nov. 1-15). Therefore, temperature limits or monitoring is not being recommended in the renewed permit.

Best Professional Judgment (BPJ) Limitations

Comments: None

Anti-Backsliding

N/A

Development of Effluent Limitations

Outfall No. 003 **Design Flow (MGD)** 0.216
Latitude 41° 37' 29.30" **Longitude** -79° 40' 43.5"
Wastewater Description: Other Miscellaneous Discharges, Stormwater associated with industrial activity

Technology-Based Limitations

The following technology-based limitations apply, subject to water quality analysis and BPJ where applicable:

Parameter	Limit (mg/l)	SBC	Federal Regulation	State Regulation
BOD ₅	26	Daily Max	40 CFR 463.12(a)	
TSS	19	Daily Max	40 CFR 463.12(a)	
Oil & Grease	29	Daily Max	40 CFR 463.12(a)	
pH	6.0 – 9.0 (S.U.)	Min – Max	40 CFR 463.12(a)	25 Ch.95.2(1)
Oil & Grease	15	Monthly Average		25 Ch. 95.2(2)(ii)
Oil & Grease	30	IMAX		25 Ch. 95.2(2)(ii)

Comments:

Water Quality-Based Limitations

A “Reasonable Potential Analysis” (Attachment A) determined the following parameters were candidates for limitations: 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane, 1,1,2-Trichloroethane, 1,2-Dichloroethane, 1,3-Dichloropropylene, Carbon tetrachloride, Chlorodibromomethane, Dichlorobromomethane, Tetrachloroethylene, and Vinyl chloride.

The following limitations were determined through water quality modeling (output files attached):

Parameter	Limit (mg/l)	SBC	Model
None			

Comments: Outfall 001 and 003 were combined for PENTOXSD Modeling and the Thermal Analysis Spreadsheet due to the close proximity of the discharges in Oil Creek.

The Thermal Analysis Spreadsheet calculated lower limits from June through September. A review of thermal data from the last three permit applications show that discharge temperatures remain in a consistently close range with a maximum reported temperature of 56 °F at both outfalls which is less than the most string WQBEL calculated (64°F during Nov. 1-15). Therefore, temperature limits or monitoring is not being recommended in the renewed permit.

Best Professional Judgment (BPJ) Limitations

Comments: None

Anti-Backsliding

Mass limits were made less stringent due to a change in the design flow at the outfall. Backsliding is allowed due to “material and substantial alternations or additions to the permitted facility.”

Proposed Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements

The limitations and monitoring requirements specified below are proposed for the draft permit, and reflect the most stringent limitations amongst technology, water quality and BPJ. Instantaneous Maximum (IMAX) limits are determined using multipliers of 2 (conventional pollutants) or 2.5 (toxic pollutants). Sample frequencies and types are derived from the "NPDES Permit Writer's Manual" (362-0400-001), SOPs and/or BPJ.

Outfall 001, Effective Period: Permit Effective Date through Permit Expiration Date.

Parameter	Effluent Limitations						Monitoring Requirements	
	Mass Units (lbs/day) ⁽¹⁾		Concentrations (mg/L)			Minimum ⁽²⁾ Measurement Frequency	Required Sample Type	
	Average Monthly	Daily Maximum	Minimum	Average Monthly	Daily Maximum			Instant. Maximum
Flow (MGD)	Report	Report	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	1/week	Measured
pH (S.U.)	XXX	XXX	6.0 Inst Min	XXX	XXX	9.0	1/quarter	Grab
BOD5	XXX	31	XXX	XXX	26	XXX	1/quarter	8-Hr Composite
TSS	XXX	22	XXX	XXX	19	XXX	1/quarter	8-Hr Composite
Oil and Grease	18 Avg Qrtly	34	XXX	15 Avg Qrtly	29	30	1/quarter	Grab

Compliance Sampling Location: Outfall 001 (after disinfection)

Other Comments: Sampling frequencies retained from the previous permit.

Proposed Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements

The limitations and monitoring requirements specified below are proposed for the draft permit, and reflect the most stringent limitations amongst technology, water quality and BPJ. Instantaneous Maximum (IMAX) limits are determined using multipliers of 2 (conventional pollutants) or 2.5 (toxic pollutants). Sample frequencies and types are derived from the “NPDES Permit Writer’s Manual” (362-0400-001), SOPs and/or BPJ.

Outfall 003, Effective Period: Permit Effective Date through Permit Expiration Date.

Parameter	Effluent Limitations						Monitoring Requirements	
	Mass Units (lbs/day) ⁽¹⁾		Concentrations (mg/L)				Minimum ⁽²⁾ Measurement Frequency	Required Sample Type
	Average Monthly	Daily Maximum	Minimum	Average Monthly	Daily Maximum	Instant. Maximum		
Flow (MGD)	Report	Report	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	1/week	Measured
pH (S.U.)	XXX	XXX	6.0 Inst Min	XXX	XXX	9.0	1/quarter	Grab
BOD5	XXX	46	XXX	XXX	26	XXX	1/quarter	8-Hr Composite
TSS	XXX	34	XXX	XXX	19	XXX	1/quarter	8-Hr Composite
Oil and Grease	27 Avg Qrtly	52	XXX	15 Avg Qrtly	29	30	1/quarter	Grab

Compliance Sampling Location: Outfall 003 (after disinfection)

Other Comments: Sampling frequencies retained from the previous permit. Mass limits were adjusted due to change in the design flow.

ATTACHMENT A



20191010075045012
.pdf

Figure 1 - Toxic Screening Analysis



20191010075100376
.pdf

Figure 2 - PENTOXSD Modeling



20191010075115232
.pdf

Figure 3 - Thermal Analysis Spreadsheet