

Northcentral Regional Office CLEAN WATER PROGRAM

Application Type Renewal
Facility Type Industrial
Major / Minor
Minor

Purpose of Application

NPDES PERMIT FACT SHEET INDIVIDUAL INDUSTRIAL WASTE (IW) AND IW STORMWATER

Application No. PA0232840

APS ID 1059327

Authorization ID 1389344

Applicant and Facility Information

Applicant Name	Renovo Energy Center LLC	Facility Name	Renovo Energy Center LLC
Applicant Address	12011 Sunset Hills Road, Suite 110	Facility Address	114 Industrial Park Road
	Reston, VA 20190-5919		Renovo, PA 17764
Applicant Contact	Dan Lee	Facility Contact	TBD (not constructed)
Applicant Phone	(571) 392-6721	Facility Phone	TBD (not constructed)
Client ID	322163	Site ID	810551
NAICS Code	221112	Municipality	Renovo Borough
NAICS Description	Fossil Fuel Electric Power Generation	County	Clinton
Date Application Recei	ived <u>March 14, 2022</u>	EPA Waived?	No
Date Application Accep	oted <u>March 25, 2022</u>	If No, Reason	DEP Discretion
	Renewal of an existing NPDES per	rmit for a proposed disc	harge from the proposed Renovo Energy

Center; a two-unit, gas-fired power plant with expected net output of approximately 950 megawatts.

Public Participation

DEP will publish notice of the receipt of the NPDES permit application and a tentative decision to issue the individual NPDES permit in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin* in accordance with 25 Pa. Code § 92a.82. Upon publication in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*, DEP will accept written comments from interested persons for a 30-day period (which may be extended for one additional 15-day period at DEP's discretion), which will be considered in making a final decision on the application. Any person may request or petition for a public hearing with respect to the application. A public hearing may be held if DEP determines that there is significant public interest in holding a hearing. If a hearing is held, notice of the hearing will be published in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin* at least 30 days prior to the hearing and in at least one newspaper of general circulation within the geographical area of the discharge.

Approve	Deny	Signatures	Date		
Х		Derek S. Garner	December 19, 2022		
		Derek S. Garner / Project Manager			
Х		Nícholas W. Hartranft	December 28, 2022		
		Nicholas W. Hartranft, P.E. / Environmental Engineer Manager			

	Discharge, Receiving Waters and Water Supply Information							
Outfall No. 00° Latitude Quad Name _ Wastewater De	41º 19' 24. Renovo		Design Flow (MGD) Longitude Quad Code	0.395 -77° 45' 18.73" 0823				
Receiving Water NHD Com ID Drainage Area Q ₇₋₁₀ Flow (cfs) Elevation (ft) Watershed No. Existing Use Exceptions to U Assessment Sta	6111 2,97 252 636 9-B n/a se n/a	Branch Susquehanna River 5129) Impaired Metals	Stream Code RMI Yield (cfs/mi²) Q ₇₋₁₀ Basis Slope (ft/ft) Chapter 93 Class. Existing Use Qualifier Exceptions to Criteria	18668 97.14 0.085 Streamgage No. 01545500 0.0007 WWF, MF n/a n/a				
Cause(s) of Imp Source(s) of Imp TMDL Status		Abandoned Mine Drainage Final		n Susquehanna Watershed				
Nearest Downs PWS Waters PWS RMI		lic Water Supply Intake Branch Susquehanna River	PA American Water Compar Flow at Intake (cfs) Distance from Outfall (mi)	668 86.50				

NPDES Permit No. PA0232840

Outfall No. <u>002</u>		Design Flow (MGD)	n/a
Latitude 41º 19'	27.43"	Longitude	-77º 45' 30.31"
Quad Name Reno	vo West	Quad Code	0823
Wastewater Description	n: Stormwater		
Receiving Waters <u>W</u>	est Branch Susquehanna River	Stream Code	18668
NHD Com ID 61	1115129	RMI	97.33
Drainage Area <u>n/</u>	′a	Yield (cfs/mi²)	n/a
Q ₇₋₁₀ Flow (cfs) <u>n/</u>	′a	Q ₇₋₁₀ Basis	n/a
Elevation (ft) <u>n/</u>	′a	Slope (ft/ft)	n/a
Watershed No. 9-	-В	Chapter 93 Class.	WWF, MF
Existing Usen/	′a	Existing Use Qualifier	n/a
Exceptions to Usen/	′a	Exceptions to Criteria	n/a
Assessment Status	Impaired		
Cause(s) of Impairmen	t <u>Metals</u>		
Source(s) of Impairmer	nt <u>Abandoned Mine Drainage</u>		
TMDL Status	<u>Final</u>	Name West Branch	n Susquehanna Watershed
Nearest Downstream P	Public Water Supply Intake P	A American Water Compar	ny
PWS Waters Wes	st Branch Susquehanna River	Flow at Intake (cfs)	668
PWS RMI <u>10.6</u>	4	Distance from Outfall (mi)	86.69

NPDES Permit No. PA0232840

Outfall No. 003		Design Flow (MGD)	n/a
Latitude 41° 19'	' 27.38"	Longitude	-77º 44' 58.96"
Quad Name Reno	ovo West	Quad Code	0823
Wastewater Description	on: Stormwater		
Receiving Waters V	West Branch Susquehanna River	Stream Code	18668
NHD Com ID 6	61115129	RMI	96.36
Drainage Area <u>n</u>	n/a	Yield (cfs/mi ²)	n/a
Q ₇₋₁₀ Flow (cfs) <u>n</u>	n/a	Q ₇₋₁₀ Basis	n/a
Elevation (ft) n	n/a	Slope (ft/ft)	n/a
Watershed No. 9	9-B	Chapter 93 Class.	WWF, MF
Existing Usen	n/a	Existing Use Qualifier	_n/a
Exceptions to Use _n	n/a	Exceptions to Criteria	n/a
Assessment Status	Impaired		
Cause(s) of Impairmer	nt <u>Metals</u>		
Source(s) of Impairme	ent <u>Abandoned Mine Drainage</u>		
TMDL Status	<u>Final</u>	Name West Branch	n Susquehanna Watershed
Nearest Downstream F	Public Water Supply Intake F	A American Water Compar	ıy
PWS Waters We	est Branch Susquehanna River	Flow at Intake (cfs)	668
PWS RMI <u>10.6</u>	64	Distance from Outfall (mi)	85.72

Facility Summary

The proposed Renovo Energy Center is a 950 MW two-unit, gas-fired power plant located on a 68-acre parcel in Renovo, PA. Wastewater generated at the facility will be mainly comprised of low-volume waste, including; equipment wash downs, evaporative cooler blowdown, demineralizer system concentrate, steam cycle blowdown, sampling losses, and filter press dewatering waste. Each waste stream will be conveyed to a common wastewater collection tank where the comingled wastewater is allowed to cool to under 110 °F. After reaching a suitable temperature for discharge, the wastewater passes through a final filter and is ultimately discharged to the West Branch Susquehanna River via Outfall 001.

Onsite stormwater will be drained to one of two stormwater basins situated on the West and East sides of the property. The West basin drains 5,880,600 sq. ft. of which 6.4% is impervious. The East basin drains 8,494,200 sq. ft. of which 3.6% is impervious. The West basin discharges to an existing storm sewer via Outfall 002 and the East basin discharges to an existing storm sewer via Outfall 003.

All sanitary waste will be conveyed to the existing sewer.

Clean Water Act § 316(b)

This is a proposed facility that has not yet been constructed. There have been no changes to the proposal since the permit was issued in 2017. The previous § 316(b) determination is still applicable and is as follows:

Requirements applicable to cooling water intake structures for new facilities under Section 316(b) of the Clean Water Act are implemented under 40 CFR Part 125, Subpart I. A facility is subject to this subpart if it; 1) is a point source that uses or proposes to use a cooling water intake structure, 2) has at least one cooling water intake structure that uses at least 25 percent of the water it withdraws for cooling purposes, and 3) has a design intake flow greater than 2 MGD. Since this facility will have a design intake flow less than 2 MGD it is not subject to the requirements. However, 40 CFR § 125.80(c) still requires that new facilities that do not meet the threshold requirements must still meet requirements determined on a case-by-case, best professional judgment basis.

During normal operations water will be withdrawn from the West Branch Susquehanna River through wedge wire T-12 Johnson screens, or equivalent, with air burst capabilities. During extreme low water levels in the River, or if a blockage of flow through the screens occurs, an engineered 40' x 20' infiltration bed, also with back flushing capabilities, located at the deepest part of the River in the vicinity of the intake structure will be used. Water withdrawn from the West Branch Susquehanna River through the intakes will be minimized by the use of air cooled condensers.

DEP believes the design of the intake structures and use of closed-cycle air cooled condensers satisfies best technology available (BTA). Accordingly, all requirements under 40 CFR § 125.98(f) are satisfied by the proposed technology.

Compliance History

This is a proposed facility so there is no compliance history to summarize. There are currently no open violations associated with the permittee.

Development of Effluent Limitations

 Outfall No.
 001
 Design Flow (MGD)
 0.395

 Latitude
 41° 19' 27.08"
 Longitude
 -77° 45' 19.71"

Wastewater Description: Low-volume wastes

Technology-Based Limitations ("TBELs")

The following technology-based limitations apply, subject to water quality analysis and BPJ where applicable:

Pollutant	Limit (mg/l)	SBC	Federal Regulation	State Regulation
pH ⁽¹⁾	6.0 – 9.0 S.U.	Min – Max	423.15(b)(1)	95.2(1)
Total PCBs (µg/l) (2)	1.75	IMAX	423.15(b)(2)	
	30	Avg Mo	423.15(b)(3)	
Total Suspended Solids (3)	100	Daily Max	423.15(b)(3)	
	15	Avg Mo	423.15(b)(3)	95.2(2)
	20	Daily Max	423.15(b)(3)	
Oil and Grease (4)	30	IMAX		95.2(2)
Dissolved Iron (5)	7.0	IMAX		95.2(4)

- (1) A pH range of 6.0 to 9.0 is required by both federal steam electric power generating new source performance standards (NSPS) and state industrial waste regulations.
- (2) 40 CFR § 423.15(b)(2) explicitly prohibits the discharge of PCB compounds. In order to satisfy this condition DEP has proposed to establish an instantaneous maximum of 1.75 μg/l, which mirrors the Bureau of Laboratories recommended quantification limit; the lowest concentration that can be reliably achieved by accredited laboratories. By demonstrating PCB compounds are not present at a concentration higher than 1.75 μg/l, it can be reasonably assumed that they are not present in the effluent.
- (3) The NSPS require Total Suspended Solids effluent limits for low volume wastes.
- (4) Oil and Grease limits are required by both the federal NSPS and state industrial waste regulations.
- (5) Maximum Dissolved Iron concentrations are expected to be 0.8 mg/l; well below the technology-based effluent limit (TBEL) required by 25 PA § 95.2(4). Since there is no reasonable potential to approach the TBEL no limitations or monitoring requirements are proposed.

Per email confirmation dated February 21, 2017 (attached) metal cleaning wastes will not be discharged from the facility. HRSG chemical cleaning waste will be used, but it will be trucked off site. Combustion turbine off line wash water will also be trucked off-site after use. The following condition is proposed to be included in Part C of the permit:

The discharge of metal cleaning wastes and combustion turbine off line wash waters is prohibited. Any proposed discharge of these waste streams shall require a permit amendment per 25 Pa. Code § 92a.24(a).

Water Quality-Based Limitations ("WQBELs")

The first step in the WQBEL analysis was to collect background concentration levels for toxic pollutants from the Water Quality Network. The nearest stream gage to the proposed discharge is Station ID No. WQN0448 near Jersey Shore, PA. Data from the previous five years was used for the analysis.

The next step is to enter all data including expected discharge characteristics, stream background concentrations, and receiving water characteristics into the Toxics Management Spreadsheet v1.3 ("TMS"). Based on the input data, TMS makes recommendations for each pollutant; effluent limits, reporting requirements, or no action. The recommendations are as follows:

	Mass	Limits	Concentration Limits						
Pollutants	AML (lbs/day)	MDL (lbs/day)	AML	MDL	IMAX	Units	Governing WQBEL	WQBEL Basis	Comments
Total Aluminum	3.7	5.77	1,123	1,751	2,806	μg/L	1,123	AFC	Discharge Conc ≥ 50% WQBEL (RP)
Total Copper	Report	Report	Report	Report	Report	μg/L	206	AFC	Discharge Conc > 10% WQBEL (no RP)
Osmotic Pressure	XXX	XXX	580	905	1,451	mOs/kg	580	AFC	Discharge Conc ≥ 50% WQBEL (RP)

Total Dissolved Solids ("TDS") Treatment Requirements

This facility is classified as Unaffected regarding the TDS treatment requirements of 25 Pa. Code § 95.10. As stated in the preamble to the final rulemaking, Section 95.10 applies only to net loadings. Since the primary source of TDS in the blowdown is natural (i.e., ambient stream concentration), and the closed-cycle cooling system will only further concentrate the existing TDS, a net increase in TDS is not expected.

Thermal Considerations

The application states that out of the various waste streams to be collected at the wastewater collection tank only one, the HRSG blowdown, is anticipated to be above ambient temperatures. The HRSG blowdown will have a maximum temperature of 140 °F and comprises roughly 54% of the total expected flows during normal operating conditions. As stated in the Facility Description, the blended waste streams in the wastewater collection tank will be allowed to cool to under 110 °F before being discharged. The expected thermal loading to the River was analyzed using the Thermal Discharge Analysis spreadsheet (attached). The acute partial mixing factor (PMF) generated in TMS was applied to the discharge to build in an extra amount of conservancy. The spreadsheet indicates that a maximum discharge temperature of 110 °F at all times of the year will not negatively impact the River.

Best Professional Judgment ("BPJ")

The previous permit established an average monthly total residual chlorine limit of 0.2 mg/l and an instantaneous maximum of 0.5 mg/l. The justification used is as follows:

While there are no technology-based effluent limitations for chlorine in low-volume wastes at 40 CFR Part 423, a residual is expected to be present in the effluent based on expected wastewater characteristics included with the application. Since chlorine will be present in the effluent, per § 92a.48(b)(1) DEP must still establish a limit even if EPA has not promulgated an applicable effluent limit guideline for chlorine. NSPSs at Part 423 do require chlorine limitations of 0.2 mg/l

NPDES Permit Fact Sheet Renovo Energy Center LLC

average concentration and 0.5 mg/l maximum concentration for a similar waste stream; cooling tower blowdown. The expected effluent characteristics indicate that the permittee will be able to comply with these limits, and modeling output indicates these limits are protective of the receiving surface water.

Since there has been no proposed changes to the discharge characteristics regarding total residual chlorine, DEP recommends the existing BPJ determination remains in place.

West Branch Susquehanna River Watershed TMDL Considerations

The West Branch Susquehanna River is impaired for metals and low pH resulting from drainage of abandoned coal mines. To address the impairments, a TMDL was developed for segments in the River's watershed. The TMDL addresses the three primary metals associated with abandon mine drainage: iron, aluminum, and manganese and acidity. Since the Renovo Energy Center is a proposed discharge it is not assigned a waste load allocation (WLA) in the TMDL. Generally, when a WLA has not been assigned to a discharge DEP establishes limits at the applicable Chapter 93 water quality criterion so that there is no reasonable potential for the criterion to be exceeded. However, in this case the discharge will not be adding to the net loading of iron, aluminum, or manganese in the River. The facility water system will only concentrate the existing loading with minimal consumptive losses. Accordingly, the TMDL will not impact how the limits are established at Outfall 001.

Chesapeake Bay TMDL Considerations

Like TDS, the Chesapeake Bay TMDL is concerned with net loadings. Since the primary source of Total Nitrogen (TN) and Phosphorus (TP) in the discharge is natural, a net increase in TN or TP loading is not anticipated. Accordingly, no nutrient monitoring or limits are proposed.

Anti-Backsliding

No limits are proposed to be made less stringent.

Development of Effluent Limitations

 Outfall No.
 002
 Design Flow (MGD)
 n/a

 Latitude
 41° 19' 39.26"
 Longitude
 -77° 45' 33.16"

 Wastewater Description:
 Stormwater

Technology-Based Limitations

There are no applicable technology-based effluent limitations for industrial stormwater.

Water Quality-Based Limitations (WQBELs)

A water quality analysis for a stormwater discharge is not appropriate.

Best Professional Judgment (BPJ)

Parameter	Limit (mg/l)	Basis
рН	Report	PAG-03 Appendix H
Total Suspended Solids	Report	PAG-03 Appendix H
Oil & Grease	Report	PAG-03 Appendix H
Total Aluminum	Report	TMDL
Total Iron	Report	PAG-03 Appendix H, TMDL
Total Manganese	Report	TMDL

Monitoring requirements for the stormwater discharge at Outfall 002 are based on Appendix H of the PAG-03 NPDES General Permit for Discharges of Industrial Stormwater which establishes monitoring and benchmark values for steam electric generating facilities similar in nature to the proposed REC and the West Branch Susquehanna River TMDL. The West Branch Susquehanna River is impaired for metals and low pH resulting from drainage of abandoned coal mines. To address the impairments, a TMDL was developed for segments in the River's watershed. The TMDL addresses the three primary metals associated with abandon mine drainage; iron, aluminum, and manganese and acidity. DEP believes it is appropriate to assign monitoring frequencies for the three metals (iron, aluminum, and manganese) to collect data so that a future determination can be made if the discharge is contributing to the River's impairment.

Anti-Backsliding

No limits are proposed to be made less stringent.

	Development of Effluent Limitations							
Outfall No.	003	Design Flow (MGD)	n/a					
Latitude	41° 19' 45.32"	Longitude	-77° 45' 3.25"					
Wastewater D	Description: Stormwater							

Technology-Based Limitations

There are no applicable technology-based effluent limitations for industrial stormwater.

Water Quality-Based Limitations (WQBELs)

A water quality analysis for a stormwater discharge is not appropriate.

Best Professional Judgment (BPJ)

Parameter	Limit (mg/l)	Basis
рН	Report	PAG-03 Appendix H
Total Suspended Solids	Report	PAG-03 Appendix H
Oil & Grease	Report	PAG-03 Appendix H
Total Aluminum	Report	TMDL
Total Iron	Report	PAG-03 Appendix H, TMDL
Total Manganese	Report	TMDL

Monitoring requirements for the stormwater discharge at Outfall 003 are based on Appendix H of the PAG-03 NPDES General Permit for Discharges of Industrial Stormwater, which establishes monitoring and benchmark values for steam electric generating facilities similar in nature to the proposed REC, and the West Branch Susquehanna River TMDL. The West Branch Susquehanna River is impaired for metals and low pH resulting from drainage of abandoned coal mines. To address the impairments, a TMDL was developed for segments in the River's watershed. The TMDL addresses the three primary metals associated with abandon mine drainage; iron, aluminum, and manganese and acidity. DEP believes it is appropriate to assign monitoring frequencies for the three metals (iron, aluminum, and manganese) to collect data so that a future determination can be made if the discharge is contributing to the River's impairment.

Anti-Backsliding

No limits are proposed to be made less stringent.

Existing Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements

The existing effluent limitations and monitoring requirements are as follows:

Outfall 001, Effective Period: Permit Effective Date through Permit Expiration Date.

		Effluent Limitations						
Parameter	Mass Unit	Mass Units (lbs/day)		Concentrat	Minimum	Required		
r ai ailletei	Average Monthly	Daily Maximum	Minimum	Average Monthly	Daily Maximum	Instant. Maximum	Measurement Frequency	Sample Type
Flow	Report	Report	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	Continuous	Metered
pH (S.U.)	XXX	XXX	6.0	XXX	XXX	9.0	1/day	Grab
Total Residual Chlorine	XXX	XXX	XXX	0.2	XXX	0.5	1/day	Grab
Temperature (deg F) (°F)	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	110	XXX	Continuous	Metered
Total Suspended Solids	95	325	XXX	30.0	100.0	125	1/week	24-Hr Composite
Oil and Grease	XXX	XXX	XXX	15	20	30	1/week	Grab
Aluminum, Total	18.41	18.41	XXX	5.59	5.59	5.59	1/week	24-Hr Composite
Iron, Total	6.58	13.17	XXX	2.00	4.00	5.00	1/week	24-Hr Composite
Copper, Total	0.09	0.19	XXX	0.03	0.06	0.07	1/week	24-Hr Composite
PCBs, Total (µg/L)	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	1.75	1/month	Grab

Outfall 002, Effective Period: Permit Effective Date through Permit Expiration Date.

		Effluent Limitations						
Parameter	Mass Unit	s (Ibs/day)		Concentrat	Minimum	Required		
Parameter	Average Monthly	Average Weekly	Minimum	Average Monthly	Daily Maximum	Instant. Maximum	Measurement Frequency	Sample Type
pH (S.U.)	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	Report	XXX	1/6 months	Grab
Total Suspended Solids	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	Report	XXX	1/6 months	Grab
Oil and Grease	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	Report	XXX	1/6 months	Grab
Aluminum, Total	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	Report	XXX	1/6 months	Grab
Iron, Total	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	Report	XXX	1/6 months	Grab
Manganese, Total	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	Report	XXX	1/6 months	Grab

Outfall 003, Effective Period: Permit Effective Date through Permit Expiration Date.

			Effluent L	imitations			Monitoring Red	quirements
Parameter	Mass Unit	s (lbs/day)		Concentrat	Minimum	Required		
Farameter	Average Monthly	Average Weekly	Minimum	Average Monthly	Daily Maximum	Instant. Maximum	Measurement Frequency	Sample Type
pH (S.U.)	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	Report	XXX	1/6 months	Grab
Total Suspended Solids	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	Report	XXX	1/6 months	Grab
Oil and Grease	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	Report	XXX	1/6 months	Grab
Aluminum, Total	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	Report	XXX	1/6 months	Grab
Iron, Total	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	Report	XXX	1/6 months	Grab
Manganese, Total	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	Report	XXX	1/6 months	Grab

Proposed Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements

The limitations and monitoring requirements specified below are proposed for the draft permit, and reflect the most stringent limitations amongst technology, water quality and BPJ. Instantaneous Maximum (IMAX) limits are determined using multipliers of 2 (conventional pollutants) or 2.5 (toxic pollutants). Sample frequencies and types are derived from the "NPDES Permit Writer's Manual" (362-0400-001), SOPs and/or BPJ.

Outfall 001, Effective Period: Permit Effective Date through Permit Expiration Date.

			Effluent L	imitations			Monitoring Requirements		
Parameter	Mass Unit	ts (lbs/day)		Concentrat	ions (mg/L)		Minimum	Required	
Farameter	Average Monthly	Daily Maximum	Minimum	Average Monthly	Daily Maximum	Instant. Maximum	Measurement Frequency	Sample Type	
Flow (MGD)	Report	Report	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	Continuous	Metered	
pH (S.U.)	XXX	XXX	6.0 Inst Min	XXX	XXX	9.0	1/day	Grab	
TRC	XXX	XXX	XXX	0.2	XXX	0.5	1/day	Grab	
Temperature (°F)	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	110	XXX	Continuous	Metered	
TSS	125	420	XXX	30.0	100.0	125	1/week	24-Hr Composite	
Osmotic Pressure (mOs/kg)	XXX	XXX	XXX	462	721	1155	1/week	24-Hr Composite	
Oil and Grease	XXX	XXX	XXX	15.0	20.0	30	1/week	Grab	
Total Aluminum (ug/L)	4.14	6.45	XXX	984.0	1535.0	2460	1/week	24-Hr Composite	
Total Copper	Report	Report	XXX	Report	Report	XXX	1/week	24-Hr Composite	
Total PCBs (ug/L)	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	1.75	1/month	Grab	

Outfall 002, Effective Period: Permit Effective Date through Permit Expiration Date.

			Effluent L	imitations			Monitoring Red	quirements
Parameter	Mass Unit	s (Ibs/day)		Concentrat	Minimum	Required		
Farameter	Average Monthly	Average Weekly	Minimum	Average Monthly	Daily Maximum	Instant. Maximum	Measurement Frequency	Sample Type
pH (S.U.)	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	Report	XXX	1/6 months	Grab
TSS	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	Report	XXX	1/6 months	Grab
Oil and Grease	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	Report	XXX	1/6 months	Grab
Total Aluminum	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	Report	XXX	1/6 months	Grab
Total Iron	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	Report	XXX	1/6 months	Grab
Total Manganese	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	Report	XXX	1/6 months	Grab

Outfall 003, Effective Period: Permit Effective Date through Permit Expiration Date.

			Effluent L	imitations			Monitoring Requirement		
Parameter	Mass Unit	s (lbs/day)		Concentrat	Minimum	Required			
Farameter	Average Monthly	Average Weekly	Minimum	Average Monthly	Daily Maximum	Instant. Maximum	Measurement Frequency	Sample Type	
pH (S.U.)	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	Report	XXX	1/6 months	Grab	
TSS	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	Report	XXX	1/6 months	Grab	
Oil and Grease	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	Report	XXX	1/6 months	Grab	
Total Aluminum	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	Report	XXX	1/6 months	Grab	
Total Iron	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	Report	XXX	1/6 months	Grab	
Total Manganese	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	Report	XXX	1/6 months	Grab	



Prepared in cooperation with the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection

Selected Streamflow Statistics for Streamgage Locations in and near Pennsylvania



Open-File Report 2011-1070

Table 1. List of U.S. Geological Survey streamgage locations in and near Pennsylvania with updated streamflow statistics.—Continued [Latitude and Longitude in decimal degrees; mi², square miles]

Streamgage number	Streamgage name	Latitude	Longitude	Drainage area (mi²)	Regulated ¹
01541303	West Branch Susquehanna River at Hyde, Pa.	41.005	-78.457	474	Y
01541308	Bradley Run near Ashville, Pa.	40.509	-78.584	6.77	N
01541500	Clearfield Creek at Dimeling, Pa.	40.972	-78.406	371	Y
01542000	Moshannon Creek at Osceola Mills, Pa.	40.850	-78.268	68.8	N
01542500	WB Susquehanna River at Karthaus, Pa.	41.118	-78.109	1,462	Y
01542810	Waldy Run near Emporium, Pa.	41.579	-78.293	5.24	N
01543000	Driftwood Branch Sinnemahoning Creek at Sterling Run, Pa.	41.413	-78.197	272	N
01543500	Sinnemahoning Creek at Sinnemahoning, Pa.	41.317	-78.103	685	N
01544000	First Fork Sinnemahoning Creek near Sinnemahoning, Pa.	41.402	-78.024	245	Y
01544500	Kettle Creek at Cross Fork, Pa.	41.476	-77.826	136	N
01545000	Kettle Creek near Westport, Pa.	41.320	-77.874	233	Y
01545500	West Branch Susquehanna River at Renovo, Pa.	41.325	-77.751	2,975	Y
01545600	Young Womans Creek near Renovo, Pa.	41.390	-77.691	46.2	N
01546000	North Bald Eagle Creek at Milesburg, Pa.	40.942	-77.794	119	N
01546400	Spring Creek at Houserville, Pa.	40.834	-77.828	58.5	N
01546500	Spring Creek near Axemann, Pa.	40.890	-77.794	87.2	N
01547100	Spring Creek at Milesburg, Pa.	40.932	-77.786	142	N
01547200	Bald Eagle Creek below Spring Creek at Milesburg, Pa.	40.943	-77.786	265	N
01547500	Bald Eagle Creek at Blanchard, Pa.	41.052	-77.604	339	Y
01547700	Marsh Creek at Blanchard, Pa.	41.060	-77.606	44.1	N
01547800	South Fork Beech Creek near Snow Shoe, Pa.	41.024	-77.904	12.2	N
01547950	Beech Creek at Monument, Pa.	41.112	-77.702	152	N
01548005	Bald Eagle Creek near Beech Creek Station, Pa.	41.081	-77.549	562	Y
01548500	Pine Creek at Cedar Run, Pa.	41.522	-77.447	604	N
01549000	Pine Creek near Waterville, Pa.	41.313	-77.379	750	N
01549500	Blockhouse Creek near English Center, Pa.	41.474	-77.231	37.7	N
01549700	Pine Creek below Little Pine Creek near Waterville, Pa.	41.274	-77.324	944	Y
01550000	Lycoming Creek near Trout Run, Pa.	41.418	-77.033	173	N
01551500	WB Susquehanna River at Williamsport, Pa.	41.236	-76.997	5,682	Y
01552000	Loyalsock Creek at Loyalsockville, Pa.	41.325	-76.912	435	N
01552500	Muncy Creek near Sonestown, Pa.	41.357	-76.535	23.8	N
01553130	Sand Spring Run near White Deer, Pa.	41.059	-77.077	4.93	N
01553500	West Branch Susquehanna River at Lewisburg, Pa.	40.968	-76.876	6,847	Y
01553700	Chillisquaque Creek at Washingtonville, Pa.	41.062	-76.680	51.3	N
01554000	Susquehanna River at Sunbury, Pa.	40.835	-76.827	18,300	Y
01554500	Shamokin Creek near Shamokin, Pa.	40.810	-76.584	54.2	N
01555000	Penns Creek at Penns Creek, Pa.	40.867	-77.048	301	N
01555500	East Mahantango Creek near Dalmatia, Pa.	40.611	-76.912	162	N
01556000	Frankstown Branch Juniata River at Williamsburg, Pa.	40.463	-78.200	291	N
01557500	Bald Eagle Creek at Tyrone, Pa.	40.684	-78.234	44.1	N
01558000	Little Juniata River at Spruce Creek, Pa.	40.613	-78.141	220	N
01559000	Juniata River at Huntingdon, Pa.	40.485	-78.019	816	LF
01559500	Standing Stone Creek near Huntingdon, Pa.	40.524	-77.971	128	N
01559700	Sulphur Springs Creek near Manns Choice, Pa.	39.978	-78.619	5.28	N
01560000	Dunning Creek at Belden, Pa.	40.072	-78.493	172	N

12/12/22, 8:38 AM StreamStats

Renovo Energy Center

Region ID: PA

Workspace ID: PA20221212133450092000

Clicked Point (Latitude, Longitude): 41.32358, -77.75514

Time: 2022-12-12 08:35:13 -0500



Drainage area at Outfall 001

☐ Collapse All

☐ Basin Characteristics

Parameter			
Code	Parameter Description	Value	Unit
BSLOPD	Mean basin slope measured in degrees	10.1495	degrees
BSLOPDRAW	Unadjusted basin slope, in degrees	10.3844	degrees
BSLPDRPA20	Unadjusted basin slope, in degrees, from PA vI	10.7013	degrees
CARBON	Percentage of area of carbonate rock	0	percent
CENTROXA83	X coordinate of the centroid, in NAD_1983_Albers, meters	-24400.7857	meters
CENTROYA83	Basin centroid horizontal (y) location in NAD 1983 Albers	239624.1844	meters
DRN	Drainage quality index from STATSGO	3.3	dimensionless
DRNAREA	Area that drains to a point on a stream	2970	square miles
ELEV	Mean Basin Elevation	1719	feet
ELEVMAX	Maximum basin elevation	2629	feet
FOREST	Percentage of area covered by forest	88.303	percent
GLACIATED	Percentage of basin area that was historically covered by glaciers	0.0008	percent
IMPNLCD01 Pe	ercentage of impervious area determined from NLCD 2001 impervious dataset	0.432	percent
LC01DEV	Percentage of land-use from NLCD 2001 classes 21-24	4.256	percent
LCIIDEV	Percentage of developed (urban) land from NLCD 2011 classes 21-24	4.3476	percent
LCTIIMP	Average percentage of impervious area determined from NLCD 2011 impervious dataset	0.4695	percent
LONG_OUT	Longitude of Basin Outlet	-77.75515	degrees
MAXTEMP	Mean annual maximum air temperature over basin area from PRISM 1971-2000 800-m grid	56.7	degrees F
OUTLETXA83	X coordinate of the outlet, in NAD_1983_Albers,meters	20496.613	meters

https://streamstats.usgs.gov/ss/

12/12/22, 8:38 AM StreamStats

Parameter Code	Parameter Description	Value	Unit
OUTLETYA83	Y coordinate of the outlet, in NAD_1983_Albers, meters	258028.5685	meters
PRECIP	Mean Annual Precipitation	42	inches
ROCKDEP	Depth to rock	4.6	feet
STORAGE	Percentage of area of storage (lakes ponds reservoirs wetlands)	0.79	percent
STRDEN	Stream Density total length of streams divided by drainage area	1.77	miles per square mile
STRMTOT	total length of all mapped streams (1:24,000-scale) in the basin	5274.62	miles
URBAN	Percentage of basin with urban development	1.1013	percent

USGS Data Disclaimer: Unless otherwise stated, all data, metadata and related materials are considered to satisfy the quality standards relative to the purpose for which the data were collected. Although these data and associated metadata have been reviewed for accuracy and completeness and approved for release by the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), no warranty expressed or implied is made regarding the display or utility of the data for other purposes, nor on all computer systems, nor shall the act of distribution constitute any such warranty.

USGS Software Disclaimer: This software has been approved for release by the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS). Although the software has been subjected to rigorous review, the USGS reserves the right to update the software as needed pursuant to further analysis and review. No warranty, expressed or implied, is made by the USGS or the U.S. Government as to the functionality of the software and related material nor shall the fact of release constitute any such warranty. Furthermore, the software is released on condition that neither the USGS nor the U.S. Government shall be held liable for any damages resulting from its authorized or unauthorized use.

USGS Product Names Disclaimer: Any use of trade, firm, or product names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

Application Version: 4.11.1 StreamStats Services Version: 1.2.22 NSS Services Version: 2.2.1

Low-Flow (Q ₇₋₁₀) (Calculation
Facility: Renovo Energy Center	
NPDES Permit No. PA02321840	
Gage Information	Outfall Information
Drain ge Area 2975 mi²	Drainage Area: 2970 mi ²
Q ₇₋₁₀ : 252 cfs	Q ₇₋₁₀ : _252 cfs
LFY: <u>0.085</u> cfsm	
Downstream Lo	eations
RMI: 95.68	RMI:
Drainage Area: 3000 mi ²	Drainage Areε :mi²
Q ₇₋₁₀ : 254.1 cfs	Q ₇₋₁₀ : cfs
<u> </u>	
RMI:	RMI:
Drainage Area: mi ²	Drainage Area:mi²
Q ₇₋₁₀ : cs	Q ₇₋₁₀ : cfs
RMI:	RMI:
Drainage Area:mi²	Drainage Area:mi ²
Q ₇₋₁₀ :cs	Q ₇₋₁₀ :cfs
RMI:	RMI:
Drainage Area:mi²	Drainage Area:mi ²
Q_{7-10} : cs	Q_{7-10} : cfs



Discharge Information

Instructions Discharge Stream

Facility: Renovo Energy Center NPDES Permit No.: PA0232840 Outfall No.: 001

Evaluation Type: Major Sewage / Industrial Waste Wastewater Description: Industrial Waste

Discharge Characteristics											
Design Flow	Hardness (mg/l)*	»∐ (CII)*	F	Partial Mix Fa	5)	Complete Mix Times (min)					
(MGD)*	Hardness (mg/l)*	pH (SU)*	AFC	CFC	THH	CRL	Q ₇₋₁₀	Q _h			
0.395	215	7									

					0 if lef	t blank	0.5 if le	eft blank	() if left blani	k	1 if lef	t blank
	Discharge Pollutant	Units	Ma	x Discharge Conc	Trib Conc	Stream Conc	Daily CV	Hourly CV	Strea m CV	Fate Coeff	FOS	Criteri a Mod	Chem Transl
	Total Dissolved Solids (PWS)	mg/L		1040		127							
1	Chloride (PWS)	mg/L		103		8.5							
Group '	Bromide	mg/L											
ğ	Sulfate (PWS)	mg/L		760		48							
	Fluoride (PWS)	mg/L		0.4									
	Total Aluminum	μg/L		3100		702							
	Total Antimony	μg/L											
	Total Arsenic	μg/L		2									
	Total Barium	μg/L		100		32							
	Total Beryllium	μg/L											
	Total Boron	μg/L											
	Total Cadmium	μg/L											
	Total Chromium (III)	μg/L		30									
	Hexavalent Chromium	μg/L											
	Total Cobalt	μg/L											
	Total Copper	μg/L		50									
0.2	Free Cyanide	μg/L											
l no	Total Cyanide	μg/L											
Group	Dissolved Iron	μg/L		800									
	Total Iron	μg/L		2000		1271							
	Total Lead	μg/L											
	Total Manganese	μg/L		800									
	Total Mercury	μg/L											
	Total Nickel	μg/L											
	Total Phenols (Phenolics) (PWS)	μg/L											
	Total Selenium	μg/L											
	Total Silver	μg/L											
	Total Thallium	μg/L											
	Total Zinc	μg/L		100		26							
L	Total Molybdenum	μg/L											
	Acrolein	μg/L	<										
	Acrylamide	μg/L	<										
	Acrylonitrile	μg/L	<										
	Benzene	μg/L	<										
	Bromoform	μg/L	<										

1	Carbon Tetrachloride	μg/L	<					
	Chlorobenzene	μg/L						
	Chlorodibromomethane	μg/L	<					
	Chloroethane	μg/L	<					
	2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether							
	Chloroform	μg/L	<					
		μg/L	<					
	Dichlorobromomethane	μg/L	<					
	1,1-Dichloroethane	μg/L	<					
က	1,2-Dichloroethane	μg/L	<					
Group	1,1-Dichloroethylene	μg/L	<					
S	1,2-Dichloropropane	μg/L	<					
9	1,3-Dichloropropylene	μg/L	<					
	1,4-Dioxane	μg/L	<					
	Ethylbenzene	μg/L	<					
	Methyl Bromide	μg/L	<					
	Methyl Chloride	μg/L	<					
	Methylene Chloride	μg/L	<					
	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	μg/L	<					
	Tetrachloroethylene							
		μg/L	<					
	Toluene	μg/L	<					
	1,2-trans-Dichloroethylene	μg/L	<					
	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	μg/L	<					
	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	μg/L	<					
	Trichloroethylene	μg/L	<					
	Vinyl Chloride	μg/L	<					
	2-Chlorophenol	μg/L	<					
	2,4-Dichlorophenol	μg/L	<					
	2,4-Dimethylphenol	μg/L	<					
	4,6-Dinitro-o-Cresol	μg/L	<					
4	2,4-Dinitrophenol	μg/L	<					
Group 4	2-Nitrophenol	μg/L	<					
2	4-Nitrophenol	μg/L	<					
9	p-Chloro-m-Cresol		<					
		μg/L						
	Pentachlorophenol	μg/L	<					
	Phenol	μg/L	<					
	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	μg/L	<					
	Acenaphthene	μg/L	<					
	Acenaphthylene	μg/L	<					
	Anthracene	μg/L	<					
	Benzidine	μg/L	<					
	Benzo(a)Anthracene	μg/L	<					
	Benzo(a)Pyrene	μg/L	<					
	3,4-Benzofluoranthene	μg/L	<					
	Benzo(ghi)Perylene	μg/L	<					
	Benzo(k)Fluoranthene	μg/L	<					
	Bis(2-Chloroethoxy)Methane	μg/L	<					
	Bis(2-Chloroethyl)Ether	μg/L	<					
	Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)Ether							
		μg/L	<					
	Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)Phthalate	μg/L	<					
	4-Bromophenyl Phenyl Ether	μg/L	<					
	Butyl Benzyl Phthalate	μg/L	<					
	2-Chloronaphthalene	μg/L	<					
	4-Chlorophenyl Phenyl Ether	μg/L	<					
	Chrysene	μg/L	<					
	Dibenzo(a,h)Anthrancene	μg/L	<					
	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	μg/L	<					
	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	μg/L	<					
	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	μg/L	<					
p 5	3,3-Dichlorobenzidine	μg/L	<					
	Diethyl Phthalate	μg/L	<					
Ď	Dimethyl Phthalate		<					
	-	μg/L						
	Di-n-Butyl Phthalate	μg/L	<					
1	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	μg/L	<					

	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	μg/L	<						
	Di-n-Octyl Phthalate	μg/L	<						
	1,2-Diphenylhydrazine	μg/L							
	Fluoranthene								
	Fluorene	μg/L	<						
	Hexachlorobenzene	μg/L	<						
		μg/L	<						
	Hexachlorobutadiene	μg/L	<						
	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	μg/L	<						
	Hexachloroethane	μg/L	<						
	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)Pyrene	μg/L	<						
	Isophorone	μg/L	<						
	Naphthalene	μg/L	<						
	Nitrobenzene	μg/L	<						
	n-Nitrosodimethylamine	μg/L	<						
	n-Nitrosodi-n-Propylamine	μg/L	<						
	n-Nitrosodiphenylamine	μg/L	<						
	Phenanthrene	μg/L	<						
	Pyrene	μg/L	<						
	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	μg/L	<						
	Aldrin	μg/L	<						
	alpha-BHC								
		μg/L	<						
	beta-BHC	μg/L	<						
	gamma-BHC	μg/L	<						
	delta BHC	μg/L	<						
	Chlordane	μg/L	<						
	4,4-DDT	μg/L	<						
	4,4-DDE	μg/L	<						
	4,4-DDD	μg/L	<						
	Dieldrin	μg/L	<						
	alpha-Endosulfan	μg/L	<						
	beta-Endosulfan	μg/L	<						
ဖ	Endosulfan Sulfate	μg/L	<						
	Endrin	μg/L	<						
i.	Endrin Aldehyde	μg/L							
٥	Heptachlor	μg/L	<						
	Heptachlor Epoxide								
	PCB-1016	μg/L	<						
		μg/L	<						
	PCB-1221	μg/L	<						
	PCB-1232	μg/L	<						
	PCB-1242	μg/L	<						
	PCB-1248	μg/L	<						
	PCB-1254	μg/L	<						
	PCB-1260	μg/L	<						
	PCBs, Total	μg/L	<						
	Toxaphene	μg/L	<						
	2,3,7,8-TCDD	ng/L	<						
	Gross Alpha	pCi/L							
	Total Beta	pCi/L	<						
p 7	Radium 226/228	pCi/L	<						
	Total Strontium	μg/L	`	400	95				
อั	Total Uranium		_	400	90				
		µg/L	<	4000	0				
	Osmotic Pressure	mOs/kg		1800	9				



Stream / Surface Water Information

Renovo Energy Center, NPDES Permit No. PA0232840, Outfall 001

			ii Susqueila	nna River			No. Rea	aches to Mo	del:1	<u> </u>	_	tewide Criteri eat Lakes Crit			
Location	Stream Cod	e* RMI	* Elevati	on DA (mi²)* Slo	ope (ft/ft)		Withdrawal MGD)	Apply F Criteria		OR	SANCO Crite	eria		
Point of Discharge	018668	97.1		2970				,	Yes						
End of Reach 1	018668	95.6	8 634	3000					Yes						
Q ₇₋₁₀ Location	RMI	LFY		(cfs)	W/D	Width	Depth	Velocit	Travei Time	Tributa	•	Stream		Analys	
		(cfs/mi ²)*	Stream	Tributary	Ratio	(ft)	(ft)	y (fps)	(days)	Hardness	рН	Hardness*	pH*	Hardness	pl
Point of Discharge	97.14	0.085										100	/		
End of Reach 1	95.68	0.085													
\mathbf{Q}_h															
~ <i>''</i>	RMI	LFY	Flow	(cfs)	W/D	Width	Depth	Velocit	Travei Time	Tributa	ıry	Strea	m	Analys	sis
Location															



Model Results

Renovo Energy Center, NPDES Permit No. PA0232840, Outfall 001

Instructions	Results	RETURN	TO INPU	TS (SAVE AS	PDF	PRINT	• • A	Inputs	Results	O Limits
-	Pollutants Stream Trib Conc Fate WQC WQ Obj W(A (ug/L)) Comments										7.00
		Conc (µg/L)	CV	Trib Conc (µg/L)	Coef	(µg/L)	(µg/L)			Co	omments
	oride (PWS)	8500	0		0	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A			
	fate (PWS)	48000	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	oride (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	al Aluminum	702	0		0	750	750	1,751			
	tal Arsenic	0	0		0	340	340	7,433		Chem Tran	slator of 1 applied
	tal Barium	32	0		0	21,000	21,000	458,424		Chem man	Siator or rapplied
	Chromium (III)	0	0		0	594.196	1,880	41,108		Chem Transla	ator of 0.316 applied
	tal Copper	0	0		0	14.104	14.7	321			ator of 0.96 applied
	solved Iron	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A		Chom manor	ator or oldo applied
	otal Iron	1271	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	Manganese	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	otal Zinc	26	0		0	122.383	125	2,193		Chem Transla	ator of 0.978 applied
Tota	al Strontium	95	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Osmo	otic Pressure	9	0		0	50	50.0	905			
☑ CFC	CC	` '	20	PMF:	0.350	Ana	lysis Hardne	ss (mg/l):	100.79	Analysis pH:	7.00
	Pollutants	Conc (µg/L)	Stream CV	Trib Conc (µg/L)	Fate Coef	WQC (µg/L)	WQ Obj (µg/L)	WLA (µg/L)		Co	omments
	olved Solids (PWS)	127000	0		U	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	oride (PWS)	8500	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	fate (PWS)	48000	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	oride (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Tota	al Aluminum	702	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A			
To	tal Arsenic	0	0		0	150	150	21,830		Chem Tran	slator of 1 applied

Total Barium	32	0		0	4,100	4,100	592,059		
Total Chromium (III)	0	0		0	74.594	86.7	12,623	Chem Translator of 0.86 applied	
Total Copper	0	0		0	9.016	9.39	1,367	Chem Translator of 0.96 applied	
Dissolved Iron	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Total Iron	1271	0		0	1,500	1,500	96,107	WQC = 30 day average; PMF = 1	
Total Manganese	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	, ,	
Total Zinc	26	0		0	118.929	121	13,796	Chem Translator of 0.986 applied	
Total Strontium	95	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Osmotic Pressure	9	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
✓ THH CCT (min): 720 PMF: 0.350 Analysis Hardness (mg/l): N/A Analysis pH: N/A									
Pollutants	Stream Conc (µg/L)	Stream CV	Trib Conc (µg/L)	Fate Coef	WQC (µg/L)	WQ Obj (µg/L)	WLA (µg/L)	Comments	
Total Dissolved Solids (PWS)	127000	U		0	500,000	500,000	N/A		
Chloride (PWS)	8500	0		0	250,000	250,000	N/A		
Sulfate (PWS)	48000	0		0	250,000	250,000	N/A		
Fluoride (PWS)	0	0		0	2,000	2,000	N/A		
Total Aluminum	702	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Total Arsenic	0	0		0	10	10.0	1,455		
Total Barium	32	0		0	2,400	2,400	344,653		
Total Chromium (III)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Total Copper	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Dissolved Iron	0	0		0	300	300	43,660		
Total Iron	1271	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Total Manganese	0	0		0	1,000	1,000	145,533		
Total Zinc	26	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Total Strontium	95	0		0	4,000	4,000	568,400		
Osmotic Pressure	9	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
☑ CRL CC	Γ (min): 7	20	PMF:	0.538		lysis Hardne	ss (mg/l):	N/A Analysis pH: N/A	
Pollutants	Conc (µg/L)	Stream CV	Trib Conc (µg/L)	Fate Coef	WQC (µg/L)	WQ Obj (µg/L)	WLA (µg/L)	Comments	
Total Dissolved Solids (PVVS)	127000	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Chloride (PWS)	8500	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Sulfate (PWS)	48000	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Fluoride (PWS)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Total Aluminum	702	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Total Arsenic	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Total Barium	32	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Total Chromium (III)	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Total Copper	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Dissolved Iron	0	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Total Iron	1271	0		0	N/A	N/A	N/A		

Total Manganese	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Zinc	26	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Strontium	95	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Osmotic Pressure	9	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

☑ Recommended WQBELs & Monitoring Requirements

No. Samples/Month:

4

	Mass	Limits	Concentration Limits						
Pollutants	AML (lbs/day)	MDL (lbs/day)	AML	MDL	IMAX	Units	Governing WQBEL	WQBEL Basis	Comments
Total Aluminum	3.7	5.77	1,123	1,751	2,806	μg/L	1,123	AFC	Discharge Conc ≥ 50% WQBEL (RP)
Total Copper	Report	Report	Report	Report	Report	μg/L	206	AFC	Discharge Conc > 10% WQBEL (no RP)
Osmotic Pressure	XXX	XXX	580	905	1,451	mOs/kg	580	AFC	Discharge Conc ≥ 50% WQBEL (RP)

☑ Other Pollutants without Limits or Monitoring

The following pollutants do not require effluent limits or monitoring based on water quality because reasonable potential to exceed water quality criteria was not determined and the discharge concentration was less than thresholds for monitoring, or the pollutant was not detected and a sufficiently sensitive analytical method was used (e.g., <= Target QL).

Pollutants	Governing WQBEL	Units	Comments
Total Dissolved Solids (PWS)	N/A	N/A	PWS Not Applicable
Chloride (PWS)	N/A	N/A	PWS Not Applicable
Sulfate (PWS)	N/A	N/A	PWS Not Applicable
Fluoride (PWS)	N/A	N/A	PWS Not Applicable
Total Arsenic	1,455	μg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Barium	293,831	μg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Chromium (III)	12,623	μg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Dissolved Iron	43,660	μg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Iron	96,107	μg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Manganese	145,533	μg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Zinc	1,406	μg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL
Total Strontium	568,400	μg/L	Discharge Conc ≤ 10% WQBEL

Facility: Renovo Energy Center

Permit Number: PA0232840

Stream Name: West Branch Susquehanna River

Analyst/Engineer: Derek Garner

Stream Q7-10 (cfs): 252

		Facilit	ty Flows			Str	eam Flows	
	Intake	Intake	Consumptive	Discharge		Upstream	Adjusted	Downstream
	(Stream)	(External)	Loss	Flow	PMF	Stream Flow	Stream Flow	Stream Flow
	(MGD)	(MGD)	(MGD)	(MGD)		(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)
Jan 1-31	0.612	0	0.217	0.395	0.05	778.68	38.89	39.50
Feb 1-29	0.612	0	0.217	0.395	0.05	882.00	44.05	44.66
Mar 1-31	0.612	0	0.217	0.395	0.05	1638.00	81.85	82.46
Apr 1-15	0.612	0	0.217	0.395	0.05	2257.92	112.85	113.46
Apr 16-30	0.612	0	0.217	0.395	0.05	2257.92	112.85	113.46
May 1-15	0.612	0	0.217	0.395	0.05	1280.16	63.96	64.57
May 16-31	0.612	0	0.217	0.395	0.05	1280.16	63.96	64.57
Jun 1-15	0.612	0	0.217	0.395	0.05	745.92	37.25	37.86
Jun 16-30	0.612	0	0.217	0.395	0.05	745.92	37.25	37.86
Jul 1-31	0.612	0	0.217	0.395	0.05	342.72	17.09	17.70
Aug 1-15	0.612	0	0.217	0.395	0.05	350.28	17.47	18.08
Aug 16-31	0.612	0	0.217	0.395	0.05	350.28	17.47	18.08
Sep 1-15	0.612	0	0.217	0.395	0.05	272.16	13.56	14.17
Sep 16-30	0.612	0	0.217	0.395	0.05	272.16	13.56	14.17
Oct 1-15	0.612	0	0.217	0.395	0.05	322.56	16.08	16.69
Oct 16-31	0.612	0	0.217	0.395	0.05	322.56	16.08	16.69
Nov 1-15	0.612	0	0.217	0.395	0.05	456.12	22.76	23.37
Nov 16-30	0.612	0	0.217	0.395	0.05	456.12	22.76	23.37
Dec 1-31	0.612	0	0.217	0.395	0.05	756.00	37.75	38.36

Please forward all comments to Tom Starosta at 717-787-4317, tstarosta@state.pa.us.

Version 2.0 -- 07/01/2005 Reference: Implementation Guidance for Temperature Criteria, DEP-ID: 391-2000-017

NOTE: The user can only edit fields that are blue.

NOTE: MGD x 1.547 = cfs.

Facility: Renovo Energy Center

Permit Number: PA0232840

Stream: West Branch Susquehanna River

	WWF Criteria	CWF Criteria	TSF Criteria	316 Criteria	Q7-10 Multipliers	Q7-10 Multipliers
	(°F)	(°F)	(°F)	(°F)	(Used in Analysis)	(Default - Info Only)
Jan 1-31	40	38	40		3.09	3.2
Feb 1-29	40	38	40		3.5	3.5
Mar 1-31	46	42	46		6.5	7
Apr 1-15	52	48	52		8.96	9.3
Apr 16-30	58	52	58		8.96	9.3
May 1-15	64	54	64		5.08	5.1
May 16-31	72	58	68		5.08	5.1
Jun 1-15	80	60	70		2.96	3
Jun 16-30	84	64	72		2.96	3
Jul 1-31	87	66	74		1.36	1.7
Aug 1-15	87	66	80		1.39	1.4
Aug 16-31	87	66	87		1.39	1.4
Sep 1-15	84	64	84		1.08	1.1
Sep 16-30	78	60	78		1.08	1.1
Oct 1-15	72	54	72		1.28	1.2
Oct 16-31	66	50	66		1.28	1.2
Nov 1-15	58	46	58		1.81	1.6
Nov 16-30	50	42	50		1.81	1.6
Dec 1-31	42	40	42		3	2.4

NOTES:

WWF= Warm water fishes CWF= Cold water fishes TSF= Trout stocking Facility: Renovo Energy Center

Permit Number: PA0232840

Stream: West Branch Susquehanna River

	WWF			WWF	WWF		PMF
	Ambient Stream	Ambient Stream	Target Maximum	Daily	Daily		
	Temperature (°F)	Temperature (°F)	Stream Temp.1	WLA ²	WLA ³	at Discharge	
	(Default)	(Site-specific data)	(°F)	(Million BTUs/day)	(°F)	Flow (MGD)	
Jan 1-31	35	0	40	1,064	110.0	0.395	0.05
Feb 1-29	35	0	40	1,204	110.0	0.395	0.05
Mar 1-31	40	0	46	2,667	110.0	0.395	0.05
Apr 1-15	47	0	52	3,058	110.0	0.395	0.05
Apr 16-30	53	0	58	3,058	110.0	0.395	0.05
May 1-15	58	0	64	2,088	110.0	0.395	0.05
May 16-31	62	0	72	3,480	110.0	0.395	0.05
Jun 1-15	67	0	80	2,653	110.0	0.395	0.05
Jun 16-30	71	0	84	2,653	110.0	0.395	0.05
Jul 1-31	75	0	87	1,145	110.0	0.395	0.05
Aug 1-15	74	0	87	1,267	110.0	0.395	0.05
Aug 16-31	74	0	87	1,267	110.0	0.395	0.05
Sep 1-15	71	0	84	993	110.0	0.395	0.05
Sep 16-30	65	0	78	993	110.0	0.395	0.05
Oct 1-15	60	0	72	1,080	110.0	0.395	0.05
Oct 16-31	54	0	66	1,080	110.0	0.395	0.05
Nov 1-15	48	0	58	1,260	110.0	0.395	0.05
Nov 16-30	42	0	50	1,008	110.0	0.395	0.05
Dec 1-31	37	0	42	1,034	110.0	0.395	0.05

¹ This is the maximum of the WWF WQ criterion or the ambient temperature. The ambient temperature may be either the design (median) temperature for WWF, or the ambient stream temperature based on site-specific data entered by the user. A minimum of 1°F above ambient stream temperature is allocated.

² The WLA expressed in Million BTUs/day is valid for Case 1 scenarios, and disabled for Case 2 scenarios.

³ The WLA expressed in ^oF is valid only if the limit is tied to a daily discharge flow limit (may be used for Case 1 or Case 2). WLAs greater than 110°F are displayed as 110°F.

Garner, Derek

Subject: FW: Renovo Energy Center NPDES IW Application

Attachments: Intake Area Description_21Feb2017.docx; Intake-Discharge S ystem Description.pdf

From: Doug Woolridge [mailto:Doug.Woolridge@KleinschmidtGroup.com]

Sent: Tuesday, February 21, 2017 3:21 PM

To: Garner, Derek <degarner@pa.gov>

Cc: William Bousquet <bousquet.w@gmail.com>

Subject: RE: Renovo Energy Center NPDES IW Application

Hi Derek,

I have responses to the questions that you had for us last week.

Your first question dealt with potential metal cleaning waste discharges. Metal cleaning waste will not be discharged into the waste water collection tank which eventually discharges into the river. HRSG chemical cleaning waste will be used, but it will be trucked off site. Combustion turbine off line wash water will also be trucked off-site after use.

In response to your second question, I have attached an intake/discharge description. Please let us know if you have any additional questions or comments.

Thanks for your assistance.

Doug