

Application Type	New
	Non-
Facility Type	Municipal
Major / Minor	Minor

### NPDES PERMIT FACT SHEET INDIVIDUAL SEWAGE

PA0294250
1094943
1456753

#### Applicant and Facility Information

Applicant Name	MRPI Amity Hall LLC		Facility Name	Susquehanna Crossings
Applicant Address	509 S Exeter Street Suite 216		Facility Address	3300 Block Susquehanna Trail
	Baltimo	re, MD 21202-4365		Duncannon, PA 17020
Applicant Contact	Matthew	v Clymer	Facility Contact	Matthew Clymer
Applicant Phone	(610) 8	88-6373	Facility Phone	(610) 888-6373
Client ID	377385		Site ID	866562
Ch 94 Load Status	Not Overloaded		Municipality	Watts Township
Connection Status	nection Status No Limitations		County	Perry
Date Application Received Septem		September 26, 2023	EPA Waived?	Yes
Date Application Accepted		October 10, 2023	If No, Reason	
Purpose of Application		This is an application for a new	w NPDES/WQM permit	

Approve	Deny	Signatures	Date
x		Nicholas Hong, P.E. / Environmental Engineer Nick Hong (via electronic signature)	May 13, 2024
x		Daniel W. Martin, P.E. / Environmental Engineer Manager Maria D. Bebenek for	May 21, 2024
x		Maria D. Bebenek, P.E. / Environmental Program Manager Maria D. Bebenek	May 21, 2024

#### Summary of Review

The application submitted by the applicant requests a new NPDES & WQM permit(s) for the MRPI Amity Hall, LLC / Susquehanna Crossing located at 3300 Block Susquehanna Trail, Duncannon, PA 17020 in Perry County, municipality of Watts Township. The application was received by DEP Southcentral Regional Office (SCRO) on September 26, 2023. The processing of the application was delayed due to awaiting the WQM Part II application.

The purpose of this Fact Sheet is to present the basis of information used for establishing the proposed NPDES permit effluent limitations. The Fact Sheet includes a description of the facility, a description of the facility's receiving waters, and a description of the facility's receiving waters, and a description of the facility's receiving waters attainment/non-attainment assessment status. Section 5 provides the justification for the proposed NPDES effluent limits derived from technology based effluent limits (TBEL), water quality based effluent limits (WQBEL), total maximum daily loading (TMDL), antidegradation, anti-backsliding, and/or whole effluent toxicity (WET). A brief summary of the outlined descriptions has been included in the Summary of Review section.

The subject facility is a 0.05 MGD annual average and hydraulic design flow treatment facility. The NPDES application has been processed as a Minor Sewage (Level 2) due to the type of sewage and the design flow rate for the facility. The applicant disclosed the Act 14 requirement to Perry County Planning Commission and Watts Township Board of Supervisors and the notice was received by the parties on September 5, 2023 and September 13, 2023. A planning approval letter was issued by DEP on August 23, 2023 (DEP Code No. A3-50929-100-3). The planning approval allows for the treatment plant to be sized for 50,000 gpd to accommodate potential future sewage flows.

Utilizing the DEP's web-based Emap-PA information system, the receiving waters has been determined to be the Susquehanna River. The Susquehanna River discharges into the Chesapeake Bay. The subject site is subject to the Chesapeake Bay waste implementation plan requirements. The receiving water has protected water usage for warm water fishes (WWF) and migratory fishes (MF). No Class A Wild Trout fisheries are impacted by this discharge. The absence of high quality and/or exceptional value surface waters removes the need for an additional evaluation of anti-degradation requirements.

The Susquehanna River is a Category 2 and 5 stream listed in the 2024 Integrated List of All Waters (formerly 303d Listed Streams). This stream is an attaining stream that supports recreational uses. The receiving stream is also impaired for fish consumption due to PCBs from an unknown source. The receiving waters is not subject to a local total maximum daily load (TMDL) plan to improve water quality in the subject facility's watershed.

Sludge use and disposal description and location(s): This is a new discharger. Future renewals will detail sludge use and disposal.

The proposed permit will expire five (5) years from the effective date.

Based on the review in this report, it is recommended that the permit be drafted. DEP will publish notice of the receipt of the NPDES permit application and a tentative decision to issue the individual NPDES permit in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin* in accordance with 25 Pa. Code § 92a.82. Upon publication in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*, DEP will accept written comments from interested persons for a 30-day period (which may be extended for one additional 15-day period at DEP's discretion), which will be considered in making a final decision on the application. Any person may request or petition for a public hearing with respect to the application. A public hearing may be held if DEP determines that there is significant public interest in holding a hearing. If a hearing is held, notice of the hearing will be published in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin* at least 30 days prior to the hearing and in at least one newspaper of general circulation within the geographical area of the discharge.

Any additional information or public review of documents associated with the discharge or facility may be available at PA DEP Southcentral Regional Office (SCRO), 909 Elmerton Avenue, Harrisburg, PA 17110. To make an appointment for file review, contact the SCRO File Review Coordinator at 717.705.4700.

#### 1.0 Applicant

#### **1.1 General Information**

This fact sheet summarizes PA Department of Environmental Protection's review for the NPDES renewal for the following subject facility.

Facility Name:	MRPI Amity Hall, LLC / Susquehanna Crossing
NPDES Permit #	PA0294250
Physical Address:	3300 Block Susquehanna Trail Duncannon, PA 17020
Mailing Address:	509 South Exeter Street Baltimore, MD 21202
Contact:	Matthew Clymer Owner mclymer@mrpindustrial.com
Consultant:	Max Stoner, PE Glace Associates, Inc. <u>max@glaceeng.com</u> (717) 731-1579

#### **1.2 Permit History**

MRPI Amity Hall, LLC is proposing to construct two (2) warehouse/distribution center facilities totaling approximately 1.9 M sq. ft. on their property in Watts Township, Perry County. The industrial development referred to as Susquehanna Crossings, is to be located northeast of the Routes 22/322 West on-ramp off US-15 S in the Township. The development is projected to initially generate 30,000 gpd of domestic wastewater flow. The number of EDUs projected for the development is 75 and is based on 400 gpd per EDU. A package sewage treatment facility with a design capacity of 50,000 gpd is proposed to be constructed to serve the warehouse facilities.

Treated effluent from the package plant will be discharged to the Susquehanna River. The LPSS main will be directionally drilled under Rte. 15 to Tract 20-C, owned by MRPI Amity Hall, LLC. The treated effluent line will be pumped until reaching the discharge point. The proposed development encompasses 297± acres.

Due to topography, the warehouses to be located west of Taylor Road will convey flow via LPSS to the proposed package plant to be located southeast of Routes 11 & 15 (Susquehanna Trail) and northwest of Old Trail Road. The plant site is located outside the floodplain of the Susquehanna River.

Tracts 19-B and 20-A east of Taylor Road are also owned by MRPI Amity Hall, LLC. Combined, these tracts occupy 157.4 acres of land zoned as agricultural/rural within Watts Township. The developer also owns Tracts 20-B and 20-C southeast of Rte. 15. Both parcels are zoned commercial though Tract 20-C lies within the floodplain. Tract 20-B is approximately 2 acres while 20-C is 26 acres. Any of these 4 parcels could be developed in the future. With a treatment plant capacity of 50,000 gpd and proposed flow of 30,000 gpd from Susquehanna Crossings, there will be ample capacity remaining for future development or should there be a greater usage within either of the two warehouses or possible failures of onlot septic systems or small flow treatment facilities on adjacent properties.

Permit submittal included the following information.


NPDES Application

#### 2.0 Treatment Facility Summary


#### 2.1.1 Site location

The physical address for the facility is 3300 Block Susquehanna Trail, Duncannon, PA 17020. A topographical and an aerial photograph of the facility are depicted as Figure 1 and Figure 2.

#### Figure 1: Topographical map of the subject facility




Copyright:© 2013 National Geographic Society, i-cubed



#### Figure 2: Aerial Photograph of the subject facility

Figure 3- Site Map



# U.S.G.S. MAP

SCALE: 1" = 5,280' (1 MILE) SOURCE: NEW BLOOM FIELD, PA AND HARRISBURG PA QUADS (US GEOLOGICAL SURVEY)

#### 2.2 Description of Wastewater Treatment Process

The subject facility is a 0.05 MGD annual average design flow facility. The subject facility treats wastewater using an equalization basin, a Dutchland biological reactor / future anoxic tank, a clarifier, a UV disinfection unit, and a post aeration unit prior to discharge through the outfall to the Susquehanna River. The facility will also have a sludge holding tank.

The treatment process is summarized in the table.

	Tre	eatment Facility Summa	ry	
reatment Facility Na	me: Mrpi Amity Hall LLC /	Susquehanna Crossing		
WQM Permit No.	Issuance Date			
5024401	TBD			
	Degree of			Avg Annual
Waste Type	Treatment	Process Type	Disinfection	Flow (MGD)
Sewage	Secondary	Extended Aeration	Ultraviolet	0.05
Hydraulic Capacity	Organic Capacity			Biosolids
(MGD)	(lbs/day)	Load Status	<b>Biosolids Treatment</b>	Use/Disposa
0.05		Not Overloaded		-

#### 2.3 Facility Outfall Information

The facility has the following outfall information for wastewater.

Outfall No.	001		Design Flow (MGD)	.05
Latitude	40° 26' 24.00	II.	Longitude	-76º 59' 29.00"
Wastewater De	escription:	Sewage Effluent		

The subject facility outfall is within the vicinity of another sewage/wastewater outfall. The downstream outfalls are Stardust Motel (PA0086941), LTD Ranch House (PA0083984), Sheetz (PA0261378), Pilot Travel (PA0084115) and Plaza Mgmt (PA0246867) which are all within 2 miles from the subject facility.

#### 2.3.1 Operational Considerations- Chemical Additives

Chemical additives are chemical products introduced into a waste stream that is used for cleaning, disinfecting, or maintenance and which may be detected in effluent discharged to waters of the Commonwealth. Chemicals excluded are those used for neutralization of waste streams, the production of goods, and treatment of wastewater.

The subject facility utilizes the following chemicals as part of their treatment process.

- The facility does not anticipate any wastewater chemicals.
- The WQM did indicate chlorine tablets will be used as a backup disinfection to the UV unit.

#### 3.0 Receiving Waters and Water Supply Information Detail Summary

#### 3.1 Receiving Waters

The receiving waters has been determined to be Susquehanna River. The Susquehanna River discharges into the Chesapeake Bay.

#### 3.2 Public Water Supply (PWS) Intake

The closest PWS to the subject facility is Suez Water (PWS ID #7220015) located approximately 12 miles downstream of the subject facility on the Susquehanna River. Based upon the distance and the flow rate of the facility, the PWS should not be impacted.

#### 3.3 Class A Wild Trout Streams

Class A Wild Trout Streams are waters that support a population of naturally produced trout of sufficient size and abundance to support long-term and rewarding sport fishery. DEP classifies these waters as high-quality coldwater fisheries.

The information obtained from EMAP suggests that no Class A Wild Trout Fishery will be impacted by this discharge.

#### 3.4 2024 Integrated List of All Waters (303d Listed Streams)

Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act requires States to list all impaired surface waters not supporting uses even after appropriate and required water pollution control technologies have been applied. The 303(d) list includes the reason for impairment which may be one or more point sources (i.e. industrial or sewage discharges) or non-point sources (i.e. abandoned mine lands or agricultural runoff and the pollutant causing the impairment such as metals, pH, mercury or siltation).

States or the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) must determine the conditions that would return the water to a condition that meets water quality standards. As a follow-up to listing, the state or EPA must develop a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) for each waterbody on the list. A TMDL identifies allowable pollutant loads to a waterbody from both point and non-point sources that will prevent a violation of water quality standards. A TMDL also includes a margin of safety to ensure protection of the water.

The water quality status of Pennsylvania's waters uses a five-part categorization (lists) of waters per their attainment use status. The categories represent varying levels of attainment, ranging from Category 1, where all designated water uses are met to Category 5 where impairment by pollutants requires a TMDL for water quality protection.

The receiving waters is listed in the 2024 Pennsylvania Integrated Water Quality Monitoring and Assessment Report as a Category 2 and 5 waterbody. The surface waters is an attaining stream for recreational uses. The receiving stream is also impaired for fish consumption due to PCBs from an unknown source. The designated use has been classified as protected waters for warm water fishes (WWF) and migratory fishes (MF).

#### 3.5 Low Flow Stream Conditions

Water quality modeling estimates are based upon conservative data inputs. The data are typically estimated using either a stream gauge or through USGS web based StreamStats program. The NPDES effluent limits are based upon the combined flows from both the stream and the facility discharge.

A conservative approach to estimate the impact of the facility discharge using values which minimize the total combined volume of the stream and the facility discharge. The volumetric flow rate for the stream is based upon the seven-day, 10-year low flow (Q710) which is the lowest estimated flow rate of the stream during a 7 consecutive day period that occurs once in 10 -year time period. The facility discharge is based upon a known design capacity of the subject facility.

The closest WQN station to the subject facility is the Susquehanna River @ Harrisburg, PA (WQN202). This WQN station is located approximately 18 miles downstream of the subject facility.

The closest gauge station to the subject facility is the Susquehanna River at Harrisburg, PA (USGS station number 1570500). This gauge station is located approximately 18 miles downstream of the subject facility.

For WQM modeling, pH and stream water temperature data from the water quality network station was used. pH was estimated to be 8.25 and the stream water temperature was estimated to be 23.75 C.

The hardness of the stream was estimated from the water quality network to be 109 mg/l CaCO<sub>3</sub>.

The Q710 for the facility was estimated by factoring in the stream flow to the west of Haldeman Island. The Susquehanna River flows south with approximately 15% of the flow going around Haldeman Island. The drainage area for the modeling points were multiplied by a factor of 15%. The drainage areas and modeling nodes are found in Section 4.3. Modeling point #A is a point upstream of Haldeman Island. Other modeling points are downstream of the subject facility.

	Gauge Station Data		
USGS Station Number	1570500		
Station Name	Susquehanna River at H	arrisburg, PA	
Q710	3,200	ft <sup>3</sup> /sec	
Drainage Area (DA)	24,100	mi <sup>2</sup>	
Calculations			
The low flow yield of th	ne gauge station is:		
Low Flow Yield (LFY) = 0			
LFY =	( 3,200 ft <sup>3</sup> /sec / 24,100 mi <sup>2</sup> )		
LFY =	0.1328	ft <sup>3</sup> /sec/mi <sup>2</sup>	
	0.1528		
The low flow at the sub	ject site is based upon the DA of	19700	mi <sup>2</sup>
Note: the drainage area	a incorporates a 15% factor for flow	on the west side of isla	nd.
Q710 = (LFY@gauge stat	tion)(DA@Subject Site)(15% factor	for west side of island)	
Q710 =	392.365	ft <sup>3</sup> /sec	

3.6 Summary of Discharge,	Receiving Waters and Wa	ter Supply Information	
Outfall No. 001		Design Flow (MGD)	.05
Latitude 40° 26' 25.41	11	Longitude	05 -76º 59' 26.56"
Quad Name		Quad Code	-76° 59 20.50
Wastewater Description:	Sewage Effluent	Quad Code	
wastewater Description.	Sewage Enluent		
Receiving Waters Susqu	uehanna River (WWF, MF)	Stream Code	6685
NHD Com ID 54975	5137	RMI	87.69
Drainage Area 2955		Yield (cfs/mi <sup>2</sup> )	0.1328
Q <sub>7-10</sub> Flow (cfs) 392.3	65	Q7-10 Basis	StreamGauge
Elevation (ft) 344		Slope (ft/ft)	
Watershed No. 6-C		Chapter 93 Class.	WWF, MF
Existing Use		Existing Use Qualifier	
Exceptions to Use		Exceptions to Criteria	
Assessment Status	Not Assessed		
Cause(s) of Impairment	Not appl.		
Source(s) of Impairment	Not appl.		
TMDL Status	Not appl.	Name	
Background/Ambient Data		Data Source	
pH (SU)	8.25	WQN202; median July to Sep	t
Temperature (°C)	23.75	WQN202; median July to Sep	t
Hardness (mg/L)	109	WQN202; historical median	
Other:			
Nearest Downstream Publi	c Water Supply Intake	Susquehanna River at Harrist	burg. PA
	hanna River	Flow at Intake (cfs)	
PWS RMI		Distance from Outfall (mi)	18
			10

#### 4.0: Overview of Presiding Water Quality Standards

#### 4.1 General

There are at least six (6) different policies which determines the effluent performance limits for the NPDES permit. The policies are technology based effluent limits (TBEL), water quality based effluent limits (WQBEL), antidegradation, total maximum daily loading (TMDL), anti-backsliding, and whole effluent toxicity (WET) The effluent performance limitations enforced are the selected permit limits that is most protective to the designated use of the receiving waters. An overview of each of the policies that are applicable to the subject facility has been presented in Section 6.

#### 4.2.1 Technology-Based Limitations

TBEL treatment requirements under section 301(b) of the Act represent the minimum level of control that must be imposed in a permit issued under section 402 of the Act (40 CFR 125.3). Available TBEL requirements for the state of Pennsylvania are itemized in PA Code 25, Chapter 92a.47.

The presiding sources for the basis for the effluent limitations are governed by either federal or state regulation. The reference sources for each of the parameters is itemized in the tables. The following technology-based limitations apply, subject to water quality analysis and best professional judgement (BPJ) where applicable:

Parameter	Limit (mg/l)	SBC	Federal Regulation	State Regulation
CBOD <sub>5</sub>	25	Average Monthly	133.102(a)(4)(i)	92a.47(a)(1)
CBOD5	40	Average Weekly	133.102(a)(4)(ii)	92a.47(a)(2)
Total Suspended	30	Average Monthly	133.102(b)(1)	92a.47(a)(1)
Solids	45	Average Weekly	133.102(b)(2)	92a.47(a)(2)
рН	6.0 – 9.0 S.U.	Min – Max	133.102(c)	95.2(1)
Fecal Coliform				
(5/1 – 9/30)	200 / 100 ml	Geo Mean	-	92a.47(a)(4)
Fecal Coliform				
(5/1 – 9/30)	1,000 / 100 ml	IMAX	-	92a.47(a)(4)
Fecal Coliform (10/1 – 4/30)	2,000 / 100 ml	Geo Mean	-	92a.47(a)(5)
Fecal Coliform				
(10/1 – 4/30)	10,000 / 100 ml	IMAX	-	92a.47(a)(5)
Total Residual Chlorine	0.5	Average Monthly	-	92a.48(b)(2)

#### 4.3 Water Quality-Based Limitations

WQBEL are based on the need to attain or maintain the water quality criteria and to assure protection of designated and existing uses (PA Code 25, Chapter 92a.2). The subject facility that is typically enforced is the more stringent limit of either the TBEL or the WQBEL.

Determination of WQBEL is calculated by spreadsheet analysis or by a computer modeling program developed by DEP. DEP permit engineers utilize the following computing programs for WQBEL permit limitations: (1) MS Excel worksheet for Total Residual Chorine (TRC); (2) WQM 7.0 for Windows Wasteload Allocation Program for Dissolved Oxygen and Ammonia Nitrogen Version 1.1 (WQM Model) and (3) Toxics using DEP Toxics Management Spreadsheet for Toxics pollutants.

General Data 1	(Modeling Point #1) / MRPI Amity	(Modeling Point #2) / Stardust Motel	(Modeling Point #3) / LTD Ranch House	(Modeling Point #4) / Sheetz	(Modeling Point #5) / Pilot Travel	(Modeling Point #6) / Plaza Managemen	(Modeling Point #A)	Units
Stream Code	6685	6685	6685	6685	6685	6685	6685	
<b>River Mile Index</b>	87.69	87.44	87.14	85.56	85.26	85.1	88.77	miles
Elevation	344	343.67	343.1	337.75	337.29	337.06	345.93	feet
Latitude	40.436111	40.433955	40.430264	40.408602	40.404387	40.402295	40.44499	
Longitude	-76.994444	-76.998371	-77.001504	-77.007855	-77.008799	-77.010001	-76.97305	
Drainage Area	2955	2956	2957	2958	2959	2960	19700	sq miles
Low Flow Yield	0.1328	0.1328	0.1328	0.1328	0.1328	0.1328	0.1328	cfs/sq mile

The modeling point nodes utilized for this facility are summarized below.

#### 4.3.1 Water Quality Modeling 7.0

The WQM Model is a computer model that is used to determine NPDES discharge effluent limitations for Carbonaceous BOD (CBOD5), Ammonia Nitrogen (NH3-N), and Dissolved Oxygen (DO) for single and multiple point source discharges scenarios. WQM Model is a complete-mix model which means that the discharge flow and the stream flow are assumed to instantly and completely mixed at the discharge node.

WQM recommends effluent limits for DO, CBOD5, and NH<sub>3</sub>-N in mg/l for the discharge(s) in the simulation.

Four types of limits may be recommended. The limits are

- (a) a minimum concentration for DO in the discharge as 30-day average;
- (b) a 30-day average concentration for CBOD5 in the discharge;
- (c) a 30-day average concentration for the NH<sub>3</sub>-N in the discharge;
- (d) 24-hour average concentration for  $NH_3$ -N in the discharge.

The WQM Model requires several input values for calculating output values. The source of data originates from either EMAP, the National Map, or Stream Stats. Data for stream gauge information, if any, was abstracted from USGS Low-Flow, Base-Flow, and Mean-Flow Regression Equations for Pennsylvania Streams authored by Marla H. Stuckey (Scientific Investigations Report 2006-5130).

# The applicable WQM Effluent Limit Type are discussed in Section 6 under the corresponding parameter which is either DO, CBOD, or ammonia-nitrogen.

#### 4.3.2 Toxics Modeling

Since the design flow rate does not exceed 0.10 MGD, the facility is not subject to Toxics Modeling.

#### 4.3.3 Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET)

The facility is not subject to WET.

#### 4.4 Total Maximum Daily Loading (TMDL)

#### 4.4.1 TMDL

The goal of the Clean Water Act (CWA), which governs water pollution, is to ensure that all of the Nation's waters are clean and healthy enough to support aquatic life and recreation. To achieve this goal, the CWA created programs designed to regulate and reduce the amount of pollution entering United States waters. Section 303(d) of the CWA requires states to assess their waterbodies to identify those not meeting water quality standards. If a waterbody is not meeting standards, it is listed as impaired and reported to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. The state then develops a plan to clean up the impaired waterbody. This plan includes the development of a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) for the pollutant(s) that were found to be the cause of the water quality violations. A Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) calculates the maximum amount of a specific pollutant that a waterbody can receive and still meet water quality standards.

A TMDL for a given pollutant and waterbody is composed of the sum of individual wasteload allocations (WLAs) for point sources and load allocations (LAs) for nonpoint sources and natural background levels. In addition, the TMDL must include an implicit or explicit margin of safety (MOS) to account for the uncertainty in the relationship between pollutant loads and the quality of the receiving waterbody. The TMDL components are illustrated using the following equation:

#### $\mathsf{TMDL} = \Sigma W \mathsf{LAs} + \Sigma \ \mathsf{LAs} + \mathsf{MOS}$

Pennsylvania has committed to restoring all impaired waters by developing TMDLs and TMDL alternatives for all impaired waterbodies. The TMDL serves as the starting point or planning tool for restoring water quality.

#### 4.4.1.1 Local TMDL

The subject facility does not discharge into a local TMDL.

#### 4.4.1.2 Chesapeake Bay TMDL Requirement

The Chesapeake Bay Watershed is a large ecosystem that encompasses approximately 64,000 square miles in Maryland, Delaware, Virginia, West Virginia, Pennsylvania, New York and the District of Columbia. An ecosystem is composed of interrelated parts that interact with each other to form a whole. All of the plants and animals in an ecosystem depend on each other in some way. Every living thing needs a healthy ecosystem to survive. Human activities affect the Chesapeake Bay ecosystem by adding pollution, using resources and changing the character of the land.

Most of the Chesapeake Bay and many of its tidal tributaries have been listed as impaired under Section 303(d) of the federal Water Pollution Control Act ("Clean Water Act"), 33 U.S.C. § 1313(d). While the Chesapeake Bay is outside the boundaries of Pennsylvania, more than half of the State lies within the watershed. Two major rivers in Pennsylvania are part of the Chesapeake Bay Watershed. They are (a) the Susquehanna River and (b) the Potomac River. These two rivers total 40 percent of the entire Chesapeake Bay watershed.

The overall management approach needed for reducing nitrogen, phosphorus and sediment are provided in the Bay TMDL document and the Phase I, II, and III WIPs which is described in the Bay TMDL document and Executive Order 13508.

The Bay TMDL is a comprehensive pollution reduction effort in the Chesapeake Bay watershed identifying the necessary pollution reductions of nitrogen, phosphorus and sediment across the seven Bay watershed jurisdictions of Delaware, Maryland, New York, Pennsylvania, Virginia, West Virginia and the District of Columbia to meet applicable water quality standards in the Bay and its tidal waters.

The Watershed Implementation Plans (WIPs) provides objectives for how the jurisdictions in partnership with federal and local governments will achieve the Bay TMDL's nutrient and sediment allocations.

Phase 3 WIP provides an update on Chesapeake Bay TMDL implementation activities for point sources and DEP's current implementation strategy for wastewater. The latest revision of the supplement was September 13, 2021.

The Chesapeake Bay TMDL (Appendix Q) categorizes point sources into four sectors:

- Sector A- significant sewage dischargers;
- Sector B- significant industrial waste (IW) dischargers;
- Sector C- non-significant dischargers (both sewage and IW facilities); and
- Sector D- combined sewer overflows (CSOs).

All sectors contain a listing of individual facilities with NPDES permits that were believed to be discharging at the time the TMDL was published (2010). All sectors with the exception of the non-significant dischargers have individual wasteload allocations (WLAs) for TN and TP assigned to specific facilities. Non-significant dischargers have a bulk or aggregate allocation for TN and TP based on the facilities in that sector that were believed to be discharging at that time and their estimated nutrient loads.

Cap Loads will be established in permits as Net Annual TN and TP loads (lbs/yr) that apply during the period of October 1 – September 30. For facilities that have received Cap Loads in any other form, the Cap Loads will be modified accordingly when the permits are renewed.

Offsets have been incorporated into Cap Loads in several permits issued to date. From this point forward, permits will be issued with the WLAs as Cap Loads and will identify Offsets separately to facilitate nutrient trading activities and compliance with the TMDL.

Based upon the supplement the subject facility has been categorized as a Sector C discharger. The supplement defines Sector C as a non-significant dischargers include sewage facilities (Phase 4 facilities:  $\geq 0.2$  MGD and < 0.4 MGD and Phase 5 facilities: > 0.002 MGD and < 0.2 MGD), small flow/single residence sewage treatment facilities ( $\leq 0.002$  MGD), and non-significant IW facilities, all of which may be covered by statewide General Permits or may have individual NPDES permits.

At this time, there are approximately 850 Phase 4 and 5 sewage facilities, approximately 715 small flow sewage treatment facilities covered by a statewide General Permit, and approximately 300 non-significant IW facilities.

For Phase 5 sewage facilities with individual permits (average annual design flow on August 29, 2005 > 0.002 MGD and < 0.2 MGD), DEP will issue individual permits with monitoring and reporting for TN and TP throughout the permit term at a frequency no less than annually, unless 1) the facility has already conducted at least two years of nutrient monitoring and 2) a summary of the monitoring results are included in the next permit's fact sheet. If, however, Phase 5 facilities choose to expand, the renewed or amended permits will contain Cap Loads based on the lesser of a) existing TN/TP concentrations at current design average annual flow or b) 7,306 lbs/yr TN and 974 lbs/yr TP.

If no data are available to determine existing concentrations for expanding Phase 4 or 5 facilities, default concentrations of 25 mg/l TN and 4 mg/l TP may be used (these are the average estimated concentrations of all non-significant sewage facilities).

DEP will not issue permits to existing Phase 4 and 5 facilities containing Cap Loads unless it is done on a broad scale or unless the facilities are expanding.

For new Phase 4 and 5 sewage discharges, in general DEP will issue new permits containing Cap Loads of "0" and new facilities will be expected to purchase credits and/or apply offsets to achieve compliance, with the exception of small flow and single residence facilities.

The facility is a new Phase 5 sewage discharger. The permit shall limit the cap load to "0" for both total nitrogen and phosphorus. This facility is subject to Sector C monitoring requirements. Monitoring for nitrogen and phosphorus shall be at least 2x/month.

#### Reporting

Cap Loads will be established in permits as Net Annual TN and TP loads (lbs/yr) that apply during the period of October 1 – September 30.

Facilities with NPDES permits must use DEP's eDMR system for reporting, except small flow treatment facilities. An Annual DMR must be submitted by the end of the Truing Period, November 28. As attachments to the Annual DMR a facility must submit a completed Annual Chesapeake Bay Spreadsheet, available through DEP's Supplemental Reports website, which contains an Annual Nutrient Monitoring worksheet and an Annual Nutrient Budget worksheet. This Spreadsheet will be submitted once per Compliance Year only, and reflect all nutrient sample results (for the period October 1 – September 30), Credit transactions (including the Truing Period) and Offsets applied during the Compliance Year.

#### 4.5 Anti-Degradation Requirement

Chapter 93.4a of the PA regulations requires that surface water of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania may not be degraded below levels that protect the existing uses. The regulations specifically state that *Existing instream water uses and the level of water quality necessary to protect the existing uses shall be maintained and protected*. Antidegradation requirements are implemented through DEP's guidance manual entitled Water Quality Antidegradation Implementation Guidance (Document #391-0300-02).

The policy requires DEP to protect the existing uses of all surface waters and the existing quality of High Quality (HQ) and Exceptional Value (EV) Waters. Existing uses are protected when DEP makes a final decision on any permit or approval for an activity that may affect a protected use. Existing uses are protected based upon DEP's evaluation of the best available information (which satisfies DEP protocols and Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) procedures) that indicates the protected use of the waterbody.

For a new, additional, or increased point source discharge to an HQ or EV water, the person proposing the discharge is required to utilize a nondischarge alternative that is cost-effective and environmentally sound when compared with the cost of the proposed discharge. If a nondischarge alternative is not cost-effective and environmentally sound, the person must use the best available combination of treatment, pollution prevention, and wastewater reuse technologies and assure that any discharge is nondegrading. In the case of HQ waters, DEP may find that after satisfaction of intergovernmental coordination and public participation requirements lower water quality is necessary to accommodate important economic or social development in the area in which the waters are located. In addition, DEP will assure that cost-effective and reasonable best management practices for nonpoint source control in HQ and EV waters are achieved.

The subject facility's discharge will be to a non-special protection waters and the permit conditions are imposed to protect existing instream water quality and uses. Neither HQ waters or EV waters is impacted by this discharge.

#### 4.6 Anti-Backsliding

Anti-backsliding is a federal regulation which prohibits a permit from being renewed, reissued, or modified containing effluent limitations which are less stringent than the comparable effluent limitations in the previous permit (40 CFR 122.I.1 and 40 CFR 122.I.2).

This is a new discharger. Future renewals may be subject to anti-backsliding.

#### 5.0 NPDES Parameter Details

The basis for the proposed sampling and their monitoring frequency that will appear in the permit for each individual parameter are itemized in this Section. The final limits are the more stringent of technology based effluent treatment (TBEL) requirements, water quality based (WQBEL) limits, TMDL, antidegradation, anti-degradation, or WET.

The reader will find in this section:

- a) a justification of recommended permit monitoring requirements and limitations for each parameter in the proposed NPDES permit; and
- b) a summary of the proposed NPDES effluent limits.

#### 5.1 Recommended Monitoring Requirements and Effluent Limitations

A summary of the recommended monitoring requirements and effluent limitations are itemized in the tables. The tables are categorized by (a) Conventional Pollutants and Disinfection, (b) Nitrogen Species and Phosphorus, and (c) Chapter 92a.61 targeted parameters.

#### 5.1.1 Conventional Pollutants and Disinfection

The facility uses UV disinfection as primary and chlorine disinfection as backup.

Parameter Permit Limitation Required by <sup>1</sup> :			Recommendation		
		Monitoring:	The monitoring frequency shall be daily as a grab sample (Table 6-3).		
pH (S.U.)	TBEL	Effluent Limit:	Effluent limits may range from pH = 6.0 to 9.0		
pri (0.0.)	IDEE	Rationale:	The monitoring frequency has been assigned in accordance with Table 6-3 and the effluent limits assigned by Chapter 95.2(1).		
		Monitoring:	The monitoring frequency shall be daily as a grab sample (Table 6-3).		
Dissolved	BPJ	Effluent Limit:	Effluent limits shall be greater than 5.0 mg/l.		
Oxygen	2.0	Rationale:	The monitoring frequency has been assigned in accordance with Table 6-3 and the effluent limits assigned by best professional judgement.		
		Monitoring:	The monitoring frequency shall be 2x/month as grab sample (Table 6-3).		
		Effluent Limit:	Effluent limits shall not exceed 25 mg/l as an average monthly.		
CBOD	TBEL	Rationale:	The monitoring frequency has been assigned in accordance with Table 6-3 and the effluent limits assigned by Chapter 92a.47(a)(1). WQM modeling indicates that the TBEL is more stringent than the WQBEL. Thus, the permit limit is confined to TBEL.		
		Monitoring:	The monitoring frequency shall be 2x/month as grab sample (Table 6-3).		
		Effluent Limit:	Effluent limits shall not exceed 30 mg/l as an average monthly.		
TSS TBEL	Rationale:	The monitoring frequency has been assigned in accordance with Table 6-3 and the effluent limits assigned by Chapter 92a.47(a)(1). While there is no WQM modeling for this parameter, the permit limit for TSS is generally assigned similar effluent limits as CBOD or BOD.			
		Monitoring:	The monitoring frequency shall be on a daily basis as a grab sample when discharging		
		Effluent Limit:	The average monthly limit should not exceed 0.500 mg/l and/or 1.635 mg/l as an instantaneou maximum.		
TRC TBEL		other forms of to be imposed shall be expre- concentration Based on the facility calcula The monitorin	lorine in both combined (chloramine) and free form is extremely toxic to freshwater fish and aquatic life (Implementation Guidance Total Residual Chlorine 1). The TRC effluent limitations d on a discharger shall be the more stringent of either the WQBEL or TBEL requirements and assed in the NPDES permit as an average monthly and instantaneous maximum effluent (Implementation Guidance Total Residual Chlorine 4). stream flow rate (Iowest 7-day flow rate in 10 years) and the design flow rate of the subject ated by the TRC Evaluation worksheet, the TBEL is more stringent than the WQBEL. g frequency has been assigned in accordance with Table 6-3 and the effluent limits assigned by t8(b)(2). The facility uses UV disinfection as primary and chlorine disinfection as backup.		
		Monitoring:	The monitoring frequency is 1/day. The facility will be required to recording the UV intensity.		
UV	SOP	Effluent Limit:	No effluent requirements		
disinfection		Rationale:	Consistent with the SOP- Establishing Effluent Limitations for Individual Sewage Permits (Revised January 10, 2019), the facility will be required to have routine monitoring for UV transmittance, UV dosage, or UV intensity.		
		Monitoring:	The monitoring frequency shall be 2x/month as a grab sample (Table 6-3).		
Fecal TBEL	TBEL	Effluent Limit:	Summer effluent limits shall not exceed 200 No./100 mL as a geometric mean. Winter effluent limits shall not exceed 2000 No./100 mL as a geometric mean.		
		Rationale:	The monitoring frequency has been assigned in accordance with Table 6-3 and the effluent limits assigned by Chapter 92a.47(a)(4) and 92a.47(a)(5).		
Notes:					

3 Table 6-3 (Self Monitoring Requirements for Sewage Discharges) in Technical Guidance for the Development and Specification of Effluent Limitations and Other Permit Conditions in NPDES Permits) (Document # 362-0400-001) Revised 10/97

4 Water Quality Antidegradation Implementaton Guidance (Document # 391-0300-002)

5 Chesapeake Bay Phase 3 Watershed Implementation Plan Wastewater Supplement, Revised September 13, 2021

#### 5.1.2 Nitrogen Species and Phosphorus

	Summar	y of Propose	d NPDES Parameter Details for Nitrogen Species and Phosphorus
			MRPI Amity Hall, PA0294250
Parameter	Permit Limitation Required by <sup>1</sup> :		Recommendation
		Monitoring:	The monitoring frequency shall be 2x/mo as a grab sample
Ammonia-	Chesapeake Bay	Effluent Limit:	No effluent requirements.
Nitrogen	TMDL	Rationale:	Due to the Chesapeake Bay Implementation Plan, the facility is required to be monitored on a frequency at least 2x/mo.
		Monitoring:	The monitoring frequency shall be 1x/mo as a grab sample
Nitrate-	Chesapeake Bay	Effluent Limit:	No effluent requirements.
Nitrite as N	TMDL	Rationale:	Due to the Chesapeake Bay Implementation Plan, the facility is required to be monitored on a frequency at least 1x/mo.
	Chesapeake Bay TMDL	Monitoring:	The monitoring frequency shall be 1x/mo as a calculation
Total		Effluent Limit:	Effluent limits shall not exceed 25 mg/l as an average monthly.
Nitrogen		Rationale:	Due to the Chesapeake Bay Implementation Plan, the facility is required to be monitored on a frequency at least 1x/month.
		Monitoring:	The monitoring frequency shall be 1x/mo as a grab sample
TKN	Chesapeake Bay	Effluent Limit:	No effluent requirements.
I KIN	TMDL	Rationale:	Due to the Chesapeake Bay Implementation Plan, the facility is required to be monitored on a frequency at least 1x/mo.
		Monitoring:	The monitoring frequency shall be 2x/mo as a grab sample
Total	Chesapeake Bay	Effluent Limit:	Effluent limits shall not exceed 4 mg/l as an average monthly.
Phosphorus	TMDL	Rationale:	Due to the Chesapeake Bay Implementation Plan, the facility is required to be monitored on a frequency at least 2x/mo.
		Monitoring:	The monitoring frequency shall be 1x/yr as a calculation
Net Total	Chesapeake Bay	Effluent Limit:	A cap load of 0 lbs/yr
Nitrogen	TMDL	Rationale:	Due to the Chesapeake Bay Implementation Plan, the facility is required to be monitored on a frequency at least 1x/yr.
		Monitoring:	The monitoring frequency shall be 1x/yr as a calculation
Net Total	Chesapeake Bay	Effluent Limit:	A cap load of 0 lbs/yr
Phosphorus	TMDL	Rationale:	Due to the Chesapeake Bay Implementation Plan, the facility is required to be monitored on a frequency at least 1x/yr.
Notes:			
		ļ	

1 The NPDES permit was limited by (a) anti-Backsliding, (b) Anti-Degradation, (c) SOP, (d) TBEL, (e) TMDL, (f) WQBEL, (g) WET, or (h) Other

2 Monitoring frequency based on flow rate of 0.05 MGD.

3 Table 6-3 (Self Monitoring Requirements for Sewage Discharges) in Technical Guidance for the Development and Specification of Effluent Limitations and Other Permit Conditions in NPDES Permits) (Document # 362-0400-001) Revised 10/97

4 Water Quality Antidegradation Implementaton Guidance (Document # 391-0300-002)

5 Chesapeake Bay Phase 3 Watershed Implementation Plan Wastewater Supplement, Revised September 13, 2021

#### 5.1.3.1 Implementation of Regulation- Chapter 92a.61

Chapter 92a.61 provides provisions to DEP to monitor for pollutants that may have an impact on the quality of waters of the Commonwealth. Based upon DEP policy directives issued on March 22, 2021 and in conjunction with EPA's 2017 Triennial Review, monitoring for E. Coli shall be required.

	Summary of	Proposed N	PDES Parameter Details for polluants monitored under Chapter 92a.61				
			MRPI Amity Hall, PA0294250				
Parameter	Permit Limitation Required by <sup>1</sup> :		Recommendation				
		Monitoring:	The monitoring frequency shall be 1x/quarter as a grab sample (SOP).				
	SOP; Chapter	Effluent Limit: No effluent requirements.					
E. Coli	92a.61	Rationale:	Consistent with the SOP- Establishing Effluent Limitations for Individual Sewage Permits (Revised March 22, 2019) and under the authority of Chapter 92a.61, the facility will be required to monitor for E.Coli.				
Notes:							
1 The NPDES	permit was limited l	by (a) anti-Ba	cksliding, (b) Anti-Degradation, (c) SOP, (d) TBEL, (e) TMDL, (f) WQBEL, (g) WET, or (h) Other				
2 Monitoring f	requency based on f	low rate of 0.0	95 MGD.				

3 Table 6-3 (Self Monitoring Requirements for Sewage Discharges) in Technical Guidance for the Development and Specification of Effluent Limitations and Other Permit Conditions in NPDES Permits) (Document # 362-0400-001) Revised 10/97

4 Water Quality Antidegradation Implementaton Guidance (Document # 391-0300-002)

5 Chesapeake Bay Phase 3 Watershed Implementation Plan Wastewater Supplement, Revised September 13, 2021

#### 5.2.1 Summary of Proposed NPDES Effluent Limits

The limitations and monitoring requirements specified below are proposed for the draft permit, and reflect the most stringent limitations amongst technology, water quality and BPJ. Instantaneous Maximum (IMAX) limits are determined using multipliers of 2 (conventional pollutants) or 2.5 (toxic pollutants). Sample frequencies and types are derived from the "NPDES Permit Writer's Manual" (362-0400-001), SOPs and/or BPJ.

The proposed NPDES effluent limitations are summarized in the table below.

# PART A - EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS, MONITORING, RECORDKEEPING AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS I. A. For Outfall 001 , Latitude 40° 26' 24.00" , Longitude 76° 59' 29.00" , River Mile Index 087.69 , Stream Code 6685 Receiving Waters: Susquehanna River (WWF, MF) Type of Effluent: Sewage Effluent

1. The permittee is authorized to discharge during the period from Permit Effective Date through Permit Expiration Date.

 Based on the anticipated wastewater characteristics and flows described in the permit application and its supporting documents and/or amendments, the following effluent limitations and monitoring requirements apply (see also Additional Requirements and Footnotes).

			Effluent L	imitations			Monitoring Re	quirements
Parameter	Mass Units (lbs/day) <sup>(1)</sup>			Concentrat		Minimum (2)	Required	
Parameter	Average Monthly	Weekly Average	Daily Minimum	Average Monthly	Weekly Average	Instant. Maximum	Measurement Frequency	Sample Type
Flow (MGD)	Report	Report Daily Max	xxx	xxx	xxx	xxx	Continuous	Measured
pH (S.U.)	xxx	XXX	6.0 Inst Min	xxx	XXX	9.0	1/day	Grab
Dissolved Oxygen	XXX	XXX	5.0 Inst Min	xxx	XXX	XXX	1/day	Grab
Total Residual Chlorine (TRC)	XXX	XXX	XXX	0.50	XXX	1.6	Daily when Discharging	Grab
Carbonaceous Biochemical Oxygen Demand (CBOD5)	Report	Report	xxx	25.0	40.0	XXX	2/month	Grab
Total Suspended Solids	Report	Report	xxx	30.0	45.0	XXX	2/month	Grab
Fecal Coliform (No./100 ml) Oct 1 - Apr 30	XXX	XXX	XXX	2000 Geo Mean	XXX	10000	2/month	Grab
Fecal Coliform (No./100 ml) May 1 - Sep 30	XXX	XXX	XXX	200 Geo Mean	xxx	1000	2/month	Grab
E. Coli (No./100 ml)	XXX	XXX	xxx	xxx	Report Daily Max	XXX	1/quarter	Grab
Ultraviolet light intensity (mW/cm <sup>2</sup> )	XXX	XXX	Report	xxx	XXX	XXX	1/day	Grab
Ammonia-Nitrogen	Report	XXX	XXX	Report	XXX	XXX	2/month	Grab

#### Outfall001, Continued (fromPermit Effective Date through Permit Expiration Date)

		Effluent Limitations						
Parameter	Mass Units	Mass Units (lbs/day) (1)		Concentrat	Minimum (2)	Required		
Parameter	Average	Weekly	Daily	Average	Weekly	Instant.	Measurement	Sample
	Monthly	Average	Minimum	Monthly	Average	Maximum	Frequency	Туре
		1000	100/		100/	2004		<u> </u>
Total Phosphorus	Report	XXX	XXX	Report	XXX	XXX	2/month	Grab

Samples taken in compliance with the monitoring requirements specified above shall be taken at the following location(s):

at Outfall 001

#### **NPDES Permit Fact Sheet** Susquehanna Crossings

#### PART A - EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS, MONITORING, RECORDKEEPING AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

I. B.	For Outfall 001	_, Latitude40° 26' 24.00" _, Longitude76° 59' 29.00" _, River Mile Index87.69 _, Stream Code6685
	Receiving Waters:	Susquehanna River (WWF, MF)
	Type of Effluent:	Sewage Effluent
	1. The permittee is aut	thorized to discharge during the period from Permit Effective Date through Permit Expiration Date.

2. Based on the anticipated wastewater characteristics and flows described in the permit application and its supporting documents and/or amendments, the following effluent limitations and monitoring requirements apply (see also Additional Requirements and Footnotes).

		Effluent Limitations							
Parameter	Mass Units	(lbs/day) (1)		Concentrat	tions (mg/L)		Minimum <sup>(2)</sup>	Required	
Tarameter	Monthly	Annual	Monthly	Monthly Average	Maximum	Instant. Maximum	Measurement Frequency	Sample Type	
AmmoniaN	Report	Report	XXX	Report	xxx	XXX	2/month	Grab	
KjeldahlN	Report	xxx	XXX	Report	xxx	XXX	1/month	Grab	
Nitrate-Nitrite as N	Report	xxx	XXX	Report	XXX	XXX	1/month	Grab	
Total Nitrogen	Report	Report	XXX	Report	XXX	XXX	1/month	Calculation	
Total Phosphorus	Report	Report	XXX	Report	xxx	XXX	2/month	Grab	
Net Total Nitrogen	XXX	0	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	1/year	Calculation	
Net Total Phosphorus	XXX	0	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	1/year	Calculation	

Samples taken in compliance with the monitoring requirements specified above shall be taken at the following location(s):

#### at Outfall 001

Footnotes:

See Part C for Chesapeake Bay Requirements.
 This is the minimum number of sampling events required. Permittees are encouraged, and it may be advantageous in demonstrating compliance, to perform more than the minimum number of sampling events required.

#### 5.2.2 Summary of Proposed Permit Part C Conditions

The subject facility has the following Part C conditions.

- Hauled-in Waste Restrictions •
- **Chesapeake Bay Nutrient Definitions**
- Solids Management for Non-Lagoon Treatment Systems
- UV cleaning

	Tools and References Used to Develop Permit					
	WQM for Windows Model (see Attachment					
	Toxics Management Spreadsheet (see Attachment )					
	TRC Model Spreadsheet (see Attachment )					
	Temperature Model Spreadsheet (see Attachment )					
	Water Quality Toxics Management Strategy, 361-0100-003, 4/06.					
	Technical Guidance for the Development and Specification of Effluent Limitations, 386-0400-001, 10/97.					
	Policy for Permitting Surface Water Diversions, 386-2000-019, 3/98.					
	Policy for Conducting Technical Reviews of Minor NPDES Renewal Applications, 386-2000-018, 11/96.					
	Technology-Based Control Requirements for Water Treatment Plant Wastes, 386-2183-001, 10/97.					
	Technical Guidance for Development of NPDES Permit Requirements Steam Electric Industry, 386-2183-002, 12/97.					
	Pennsylvania CSO Policy, 386-2000-002, 9/08.					
	Water Quality Antidegradation Implementation Guidance, 391-0300-002, 11/03.					
	Implementation Guidance Evaluation & Process Thermal Discharge (316(a)) Federal Water Pollution Act, 386-2000-008, 4/97.					
	Determining Water Quality-Based Effluent Limits, 386-2000-004, 12/97.					
	Implementation Guidance Design Conditions, 386-2000-007, 9/97.					
	Technical Reference Guide (TRG) WQM 7.0 for Windows, Wasteload Allocation Program for Dissolved Oxygen and Ammonia Nitrogen, Version 1.0, 386-2000-016, 6/2004.					
	Interim Method for the Sampling and Analysis of Osmotic Pressure on Streams, Brines, and Industrial Discharge 386-2000-012, 10/1997.					
	Implementation Guidance for Section 95.6 Management of Point Source Phosphorus Discharges to Lakes, Ponds, and Impoundments, 386-2000-009, 3/99.					
	Technical Reference Guide (TRG) PENTOXSD for Windows, PA Single Discharge Wasteload Allocation Program for Toxics, Version 2.0, 386-2000-015, 5/2004.					
	Implementation Guidance for Section 93.7 Ammonia Criteria, 386-2000-022, 11/97.					
	Policy and Procedure for Evaluating Wastewater Discharges to Intermittent and Ephemeral Streams, Drainage Channels and Swales, and Storm Sewers, 386-2000-013, 4/2008.					
	Implementation Guidance Total Residual Chlorine (TRC) Regulation, 386-2000-011, 11/1994.					
	Implementation Guidance for Temperature Criteria, 386-2000-001, 4/09.					
	Implementation Guidance for Section 95.9 Phosphorus Discharges to Free Flowing Streams, 386-2000-021, 10/97.					
	Implementation Guidance for Application of Section 93.5(e) for Potable Water Supply Protection Total Dissolved Solids, Nitrite-Nitrate, Non-Priority Pollutant Phenolics and Fluorides, 386-2000-020, 10/97.					
	Field Data Collection and Evaluation Protocol for Determining Stream and Point Source Discharge Design Hardness, 386-2000-005, 3/99.					
	Implementation Guidance for the Determination and Use of Background/Ambient Water Quality in the Determination of Wasteload Allocations and NPDES Effluent Limitations for Toxic Substances, 386-2000-010, 3/1999.					
	Design Stream Flows, 386-2000-003, 9/98.					
	Field Data Collection and Evaluation Protocol for Deriving Daily and Hourly Discharge Coefficients of Variation (CV) and Other Discharge Characteristics, 386-2000-006, 10/98.					
	Evaluations of Phosphorus Discharges to Lakes, Ponds and Impoundments, 386-3200-001, 6/97.					
	Pennsylvania's Chesapeake Bay Tributary Strategy Implementation Plan for NPDES Permitting, 4/07.					
$\square$	SOP: New and Reissuance Sewage Individual NPDES Permit Applications, rev 2/3/2022					
	Other:					

# Attachment A

# Stream Stats/Gauge Data

#### 14 Selected Streamflow Statistics for Streamgage Locations in and near Pennsylvania

Table 1. List of U.S. Geological Survey streamgage locations in and near Pennsylvania with updated streamflow statistics.-Continued

[Latitude and Longitude in decimal degrees; mi2, square miles]

Streamgage number	Streamgage name	Latitude	Longitude	Drainage area (mi²)	Regulated <sup>1</sup>
01561000	Brush Creek at Gapsville, Pa.	39.956	-78.254	36.8	N
01562000	Raystown Branch Juniata River at Saxton, Pa.	40.216	-78.265	756	N
01562500	Great Trough Creek near Marklesburg, Pa.	40.350	-78.130	84.6	N
01563200	Raystown Branch Juniata River below Rays Dam nr Huntingdon, Pa.	40.429	-77.991	960	Y
01563500	Juniata River at Mapleton Depot, Pa.	40.392	-77.935	2,030	Y
01564500	Aughwick Creek near Three Springs, Pa.	40.213	-77.925	205	N
01565000	Kishacoquillas Creek at Reedsville, Pa.	40.655	-77.583	164	N
01565700	Little Lost Creek at Oakland Mills, Pa.	40.605	-77.311	6.52	N
01566000	Tuscarora Creek near Port Royal, Pa.	40.515	-77.419	214	N
01566500	Cocolamus Creek near Millerstown, Pa.	40.566	-77.118	57.2	N
01567000	Juniata River at Newport, Pa.	40.478	-77.129	3,354	Y
01567500	Bixler Run near Loysville, Pa.	40.371	-77.402	15.0	N
01568000	Sherman Creek at Shermans Dale, Pa.	40.323	-77.169	207	N
01568500	Clark Creek near Carsonville, Pa.	40.460	-76.751	22.5	LF
01569000	Stony Creek nr Dauphin, Pa.	40.380	-76.907	33.2	N
01569800	Letort Spring Run near Carlisle, Pa.	40.235	-77.139	21.6	N
01570000	Conodoguinet Creek near Hogestown, Pa.	40.252	-77.021	470	LF
01570500	Susquehanna River at Harrisburg, Pa.	40.255	-76.886	24,100	Y
01571000	Paxton Creek near Penbrook, Pa.	40.308	-76.850	11.2	N
01571500	Yellow Breeches Creek near Camp Hill, Pa.	40.225	-76.898	213	N
01572000	Lower Little Swatara Creek at Pine Grove, Pa.	40.538	-76.377	34.3	N
01572025	Swatara Creek near Pine Grove, Pa.	40.533	-76.402	116	N
01572190	Swatara Creek near Inwood, Pa.	40.479	-76.531	167	N
01573000	Swatara Creek at Harper Tavern, Pa.	40.403	-76.577	337	N
01573086	Beck Creek near Cleona, Pa.	40.323	-76.483	7.87	N
01573160	Quittapahilla Creek near Bellegrove, Pa.	40.343	-76.562	74.2	N
01573500	Manada Creek at Manada Gap, Pa.	40.397	-76.709	13.5	N
01573560	Swatara Creek near Hershey, Pa.	40.298	-76.668	483	N
01574000	West Conewago Creek near Manchester, Pa.	40.082	-76.720	510	N
01574500	Codorus Creek at Spring Grove, Pa.	39.879	-76.853	75.5	Y
01575000	South Branch Codorus Creek near York, Pa.	39.921	-76.749	117	Y
01575500	Codorus Creek near York, Pa.	39.946	-76.755	222	Y
01576000	Susquehanna River at Marietta, Pa.	40.055	-76.531	25,990	Y
01576085	Little Conestoga Creek near Churchtown, Pa.	40.145	-75.989	5.82	N
01576500	Conestoga River at Lancaster, Pa.	40.050	-76.277	324	N
01576754	Conestoga River at Conestoga, Pa.	39.946	-76.368	470	N
01578310	Susquehanna River at Conowingo, Md.	39.658	-76.174	27,100	Y
01578400	Bowery Run near Quarryville, Pa.	39.895	-76.114	5.98	N
01580000	Deer Creek at Rocks, Md.	39.630	-76.403	94.4	N
01581500	Bynum Run at Bel Air, Md.	39.541	-76.330	8.52	N
01581700	Winters Run near Benson, Md.	39.520	-76.373	34.8	N
01582000	Little Falls at Blue Mount, Md.	39.604	-76.620	52.9	N
01582500	Gunpowder Falls at Glencoe, Md.	39.550	-76.636	160	Y
01583000	Slade Run near Glyndon, Md.	39.495	-76.795	2.09	N
01583100	Piney Run at Dover, Md.	39.521	-76.767	12.3	N

#### Table 2. Selected low-flow statistics for streamgage locations in and near Pennsylvania.—Continued

[ft³/s; cubic feet per second; ---, statistic not computed; <, less than]

Streamgage number	Period of record used in analysis <sup>1</sup>	Number of years used in analysis	1-day, 10-year (ft³/s)	7-day, 10-year (ft³/s)	7-day, 2-year (ft³/s)	30-day, 10-year (ft³/s)	30-day, 2-year (ft³/s)	90-day, 10-year (ft³/s)
01565000	1941-2008	37	17.6	18.6	28.6	20.3	32.4	24.4
01565700	1965-1981	17	.4	.4	.9	.5	1.1	.8
01566000	1913-2008	52	4.3	7.9	18.8	12.4	25.6	19.2
01566500	1932-1958	27	1.7	2.4	4.0	3.2	5.7	4.9
01567000	<sup>2</sup> 1974-2008	35	504	534	725	589	857	727
01567000	<sup>3</sup> 1901–1972	72	311	367	571	439	704	547
01567500	1955-2008	54	2.0	2.2	3.3	2.6	3.8	3.1
01568000	1931-2008	78	12.7	15.5	25.5	19.2	32.0	26.0
01568500	<sup>2</sup> 1943–1997	55	1.8	2.3	4.3	2.7	5.0	3.1
01569000	1939-1974	14	2.6	4.0	7.4	5.1	9.4	7.8
01569800	1978-2008	31	15.9	17.0	24.4	18.4	26.1	20.3
01570000	31913-1969	35		63.1	110	76.1	124	95.3
01570000	<sup>2</sup> 1971–2008	38	63.1	69.3	109	78.3	125	97.8
01570500	<sup>3</sup> 1901–1972	72	2,310	2,440	4,000	2,830	4,950	3,850
01570500	<sup>2</sup> 1974–2008	35	3,020	3,200	5,180	3,690	6,490	4,960
01571000	1941-1995	16	.1	.2	.6	.3	1.2	-1,200
01571500	1911-2008	62	81.6	86.8	115	94.0	124	105
01572000	1921-1984	14	2.1	2.3	4.8	3.0	6.5	4.5
01572025	1990-2008	17	15.2	16.4	26.7	18.5	34.6	27.7
01572190	1990-2008	17	19.1	20.5	36.2	23.9	45.8	35.3
01573000	1920-2008	89	18.0	22.0	52.0	30.8	69.2	50.9
01573086	1965-1981	17	.5	.6	2.6	.8	3.3	1.1
01573160	1977-1994	18	26.9	29.6	46.4	33.6	51.9	39.5
01573500	1939-1958	20	1.3	1.4	2.5	1.8	3.2	2.6
01573560	1977-2008	30	50.3	62.0	104	76.9	131	108
01574000	1930-2008	79	8.0	11.1	32.0	17.7	47.0	33.9
01574500	<sup>2</sup> 1968–2008	41	14.2	24.0	35.9	29.4	42.0	33.3
01574500	<sup>3</sup> 1930–1966	34	2.3	7.1	11.5	9.3	14.8	12.7
01575000	<sup>2</sup> 1973–1995	23	.7	1.4	6.7	3.2	12.0	9.3
01575000	<sup>3</sup> 1929–1971	43	.1	.6	10.3	2.3	15.0	6.1
01575500	<sup>2</sup> 1948–1996	49	12.1	18.7	41.3	23.9	50.0	33.8
01576000	<sup>3</sup> 1933–1972	40	2,100	2,420	4,160	2,960	5,130	4,100
01576000	<sup>2</sup> 1974–2008	35	2,990	3,270	5,680	3,980	7,180	5,540
01576085	1984-1995	12	.4	.5	.8	.7	1.2	1.2
01576500	1931-2008	78	27.2	38.6	79.4	49.1	97.3	66.1
01576754	1986-2008	23	74.2	84.9	151	106	189	147
401578310	1969-2008	40	549	2,820	5,650	4,190	7,380	6,140
01578400	1964-1981	18	1.4	1.5	2.7	4,190	3.2	2.5
401580000	1904–1981	81	19.7	22.8	48.1	28.1	51.8	35.4
401581500	1928-2008	28	.2	.3	1.2	.8	1.7	1.5
401581700	1940-2008	40	4.7	5.5	17.5	8.1	18.3	12.0
401582000	1969-2008	63	11.3	12.5	25.0	15.5	28.0	20.3
401582500	1946-2008	27	41.2	43.9	78.8	53.8		20.5
401582500		33	41.2	43.9	/8.8	.3	90.6	
01383000	1949-1981	33			./		1.0	.6

# Attachment B

# WQM 7.0 Modeling Output Values

	<u>SWP Basin</u> Stream 07K 6						
RMI	Name	Permit Number	Disc Flow (mgd)	Parameter	Effl. Limit 30-day Ave. (mg/L)	Effl. Limit Maximum (mg/L)	Effl. Limit Minimum (mg/L)
87.690	MRPI Amity	PA0294250-24	0.050	CBOD5	25		
				NH3-N	25	50	
				Dissolved Oxygen			5
RMI	Name	Permit Number	Disc Flow (mgd)	Parameter	Effl. Limit 30-day Ave. (mg/L)	Effl. Limit Maximum (mg/L)	Effl. Limit Minimum (mg/L)
87.440	Stardust Hotel	PA0086941-24	0.004	CBOD5	25		
				NH3-N	25	50	
				Dissolved Oxygen			5
RMI	Name	Permit Number	Disc Flow (mgd)	Parameter	Effl. Limit 30-day Ave. (mg/L)	Effl. Limit Maximum (mg/L)	Effl. Limit Minimum (mg/L)
87.140	LTD Ranch House	PA0083984-24	0.004	CBOD5	25		
				NH3-N	25	50	
				Dissolved Oxygen			5
RMI	Name	Permit Number	Disc Flow (mgd)	Parameter	Effl. Limit 30-day Ave. (mg/L)	Effl. Limit Maximum (mg/L)	Effl. Limit Minimum (mg/L)
85.560	Sheetz	PA0261378-24	0.007	CBOD5	25		
				NH3-N	25	50	
				Dissolved Oxygen			5

### WQM 7.0 Effluent Limits

Version 1.1

	<u>SWP Basin</u> Str 07K	ream Code 6685		<u>Stream Name</u> SUSQUEHANNA R	-		
RMI	Name	Permit Number	Disc Flow (mgd)	Parameter	Effl. Limit 30-day Ave. (mg/L)	Effl. Limit Maximum (mg/L)	Effl. Limit Minimum (mg/L)
85.260	Pilot Travel	PA0084115-24	0.008	CBOD5	25		
				NH3-N	25	50	
				Dissolved Oxygen			5

# WQM 7.0 Effluent Limits

### WQM 7.0 Wasteload Allocations

SWP Basin	Stream Code	Stream Name
07K	6685	SUSQUEHANNA RIVER

#### **NH3-N Acute Allocations**

RMI	Discharge Name	Baseline Criterion (mg/L)	Baseline WLA (mg/L)	Multiple Criterion (mg/L)	Multiple WLA (mg/L)	Critical Reach	Percent Reduction
87.690	MRPI Amity	1.77	50	1.77	50	0	0
87.440	Stardust Hotel	1.77	50	1.77	50	0	0
87.140	LTD Ranch Hous	1.77	50	1.77	50	0	0
85.560	Sheetz	1.77	50	1.78	50	0	0
85.260	Pilot Travel	1.77	50	1.78	50	0	0

#### **NH3-N Chronic Allocations**

RMI	Discharge Name	Baseline Criterion (mg/L)	Baseline WLA (mg/L)	Multiple Criterion (mg/L)	Multiple WLA (mg/L)	Critical Reach	Percent Reduction
87.690	MRPI Amity	.42	25	.42	25	0	0
87.440	Stardust Hotel	.41	25	.42	25	0	0
87.140	LTD Ranch Hous	.41	25	.42	25	0	0
85.560	Sheetz	.41	25	.42	25	0	0
85.260	Pilot Travel	.41	25	.42	25	0	0

#### **Dissolved Oxygen Allocations**

		CBC	DD5	NH	3-N	Dissolved	<u>i Oxygen</u>	Critical	Percent
RMI	Discharge Name	Baseline (mg/L)	Multiple (mg/L)	Baseline (mg/L)	Multiple (mg/L)	Baseline (mg/L)	Multiple (mg/L)	Reach	Reduction
87.69	MRPI Amity	25	25	25	25	5	5	0	0
87.44	Stardust Hotel	25	25	25	25	5	5	0	0
87.14	LTD Ranch House	25	25	25	25	5	5	0	0
85.56	Sheetz	25	25	25	25	5	5	0	0
85.26	Pilot Travel	25	25	25	25	5	5	0	0

	SWF Basi			Stre	am Name		RMI	Eleva (ft)		Drainage Area (sq mi)	Slope (ft/ft)	PWS Withdrawal (mgd)	Apply FC
	07K	66	685 SUSQ	UEHANN	A RIVER		87.69	0 3	44.00	2955.00	0.00000	0.00	✓
					S	tream Dat	a						
Design Cond.	LFY	Trib Flow	Stream Flow	Rch Trav Time	Rch Velocity	WD Ratio	Rch Width	Rch Depth	Tem		Tem		
	(cfsm)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(days)	(fps)		(ft)	(ft)	(°C)		(°C)		
Q7-10 Q1-10 Q30-10	0.133	0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00	0.000 0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000	0.0	0.00	0.00	23	.75 8.2	25 (	0.00 0.00	)
					D	ischarge (	Data						
						Existing	Permitte	Design		Dis		sc	

Disc

Flow

(mgd)

Parameter Data

0.0500

Disc

Conc

(mg/L)

25.00

5.00

25.00

Permit Number

PA0294250-24

Parameter Name

Name

CBOD5

NH3-N

Dissolved Oxygen

MRPI Amity

d Disc

Flow

(mgd)

0.0500

Trib

Conc

(mg/L)

2.00

8.24

0.00

Disc

Flow

(mgd)

0.0500

Stream

Conc

Reserve

Factor

0.000

(mg/L) (1/days)

0.00

0.00

0.00

Fate Coef

1.50

0.00

0.70

Temp

(°C)

25.00

pH

7.00

	SWF Basir			Stre	am Name		RMI		/ation ft)	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Slope (ft/ft)	PWS Withdrawal (mgd)	Apply FC
	07K	66	685 SUSC	UEHANN	A RIVER		87.44	10	343.67	2956.00	0.00000	0.0	0 🔽
					S	tream Da	ta						
Design Cond.	LFY	Trib Flow	Stream Flow	Rch Trav Time	Rch Velocity	WD Ratio	Rch Width	Rch Depth	Tem	<u>Tributary</u> p pH	Tem	<u>Stream</u> p pH	
Conta.	(cfsm)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(days)	(fps)		(ft)	(ft)	(°C	)	(°C	)	
Q7-10	0.133	0.00	0.00	0.000	0.000	0.0	0.00	0.00	) 2	3.75 8.	25	0.00 0.0	00
Q1-10		0.00	0.00	0.000	0.000								
Q30-10		0.00	0.00	0.000	0.000								
						ischarge	Data						

	Dis	charge Da	ata				
Name	Permit Number	Existing Disc Flow (mgd)	Permitte d Disc Flow (mgd)	Design Disc Flow (mgd)	Reserve Factor		Disc pH
Stardust Hotel	PA0086941-24	0.0036	0.0036	0.0036	6 0.00	0 25.0	0 7.00
	Par	rameter Da	ata				
Pa	rameter Name	Discor				ate Coef	
14		(mg	/L) (mg	/L) (m	ig/L) (1/	days)	
CBOD5		25	5.00	2.00	0.00	1.50	
Dissolved O	xygen	ŧ	5.00 8	3.24	0.00	0.00	
NH3-N		25	5.00 (	0.00	0.00	0.70	

	SWP Basir			Stre	am Name		RMI	Eleva (ft		Drainage Area (sq mi)	Slope (ft/ft)	PWS Withdrawal (mgd)	Apply FC
	07K	66	685 <mark>SU</mark> SQ		A RIVER		87.14	<b>10</b> 3	43.10	2957.00	0.00000	0.00	•
					S	tream Da	ta						
Design Cond.	LFY	Trib Flow	Stream Flow	Rch Trav Time	Rch Velocity	WD Ratio	Rch Width	Rch Depth	<u>1</u> Temp	ributary pH	Tem	<u>Stream</u> p pH	
oonu.	(cfsm)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(days)	(fps)		(ft)	(ft)	(°C)		(°C)	)	
Q7-10	0.133	0.00	0.00	0.000	0.000	0.0	0.00	0.00	23.	75 8.2	5 0	0.00 00.00	
Q1-10		0.00	0.00	0.000	0.000								
Q30-10		0.00	0.00	0.000	0.000								

	Dis	scharge D	ata							
Name	Permit Number	Existing Disc Flow (mgd)	d D Fk	mitte lisc ow gd)	Desi Dis Flo (mg	sc F	Rese Fact		Disc Femp (°C)	Disc pH
LTD Ranch House	PA0083984-24	0.0045	0.0	0045	0.0	0045	0.	000	25.00	7.00
	Pa	rameter D	ata							
Dara	meter Name	Dis Co		Trit		Stream		Fate Coef		
Para	meter name	(mg	j/L)	(mg	/L)	(mg/l	.)	(1/days	)	
CBOD5		2	5.00	2	2.00	0.	00	1.5	D	19
Dissolved Oxy	gen		5.00	8	3.24	0.	00	0.0	D	
NH3-N		2	5.00	(	0.00	0	00	0.7	5	

	SWP Basi			Stre	am Name		RMI	Elev:	ation ( t)	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Slope (ft/ft)	PWS Withdrawal (mgd)	Apply FC
	07K	66	85 SUSC	UEHANN	A RIVER		85.56	0	337.75	2958.00	0.00000	0.00	•
3					S	tream Da	ta						
Design Cond.	LFY	Trib Flow	Stream Flow	Rch Trav Time	Rch Velocity	WD Ratio	Rch Width	Rch Depth	Temp	f <u>ributary</u> pH	Tem	<u>Stream</u> p pH	
	(cfsm)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(days)	(fps)		(ft)	(ft)	(°C)		(°C	)	
Q7-10	0.133	0.00	0.00	0.000	0.000	0.0	0.00	0.00	23.	.75 8.2	5 (	0.00 00.00	8
Q1-10		0.00	0.00	0.000	0.000								
Q30-10		0.00	0.00	0.000	0.000								

	Dis	scharge D	ata							
Name	Permit Number	Existing Disc Flow (mgd)	Pern d D Fk (mg	isc w	Desi Dis Flo (mg	C F	Rese Fac		Disc Temp (°C)	Disc pH
Sheetz	PA0261378-24	0.0075	0.0	075	0.0	0075	0.	000	25.00	7.00
	Pa	rameter D	ata							
P	arameter Name	Dis Co		Trit		Strea		Fate Coef		
		(mg	/L)	(mg/	L)	(mg/	L)	(1/days	)	
CBOD5		2	5.00	2	2.00	0	.00	1.5	)	
Dissolved C	xygen		5.00	8	3.24	0	.00	0.0	0	
NH3-N		2	5.00	0	0.00	0	.00	0.7	0	

	SWP Basir			Stre	am Name		RMI	Elevat		Drainage Area (sq mi)	Slope (ft/ft)	PWS Withdrawa (mgd)	App I FC
	07K	66	85 SUSC	UEHANN	A RIVER		85.26	0 33	7.29	2959.00	0.00000	0.	oo 🗹
					St	ream Dat	a						
Design Cond.	LFY	Trib Flow	Stream Flow	Rch Trav Time	Rch Velocity	WD Ratio	Rch Width	Rch Depth	<u>T</u> Temp	Fributary pH	Tem	<u>Stream</u> p pH	I
e e na	(cfsm)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(days)	(fps)		(ft)	(ft)	(°C)		(°C)	)	
Q7-10	0.133	0.00	0.00	0.000	0.000	0.0	0.00	0.00	23.	.75 8.2	5 (	0.00 0.	00
Q1-10		0.00	0.00	0.000	0.000								
Q30-10		0.00	0.00	0.000	0.000								
					Di	scharge l	Data						
			Name	Der	mit Number	Existing Disc	Permitte d Disc	Design Disc	Rese				

Name	Permit Number	Disc Flow (mgd)	d Disc Flow (mgd)	Disc Flow (mgd	Res Fa	erve T ctor	ſemp (°C)	рН
Pilot Travel	PA0084115-24	0.0080	0.0080	0.00	80 (	0.000	25.00	7.00
	Par	rameter Da	ata					
Pa	rameter Name	Disc			tream Conc	Fate Coef		
		(mg/	'L) (mç	g/L) (	mg/L)	(1/days)		
CBOD5		25	5.00	2.00	0.00	1.50	)	
Dissolved O	xygen	5	5.00	8.24	0.00	0.00	)	
NH3-N		25	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.70	)	

	SWP Basir			Stre	am Name		RMI	Elevatio (ft)	A	nage rea mi)	Slope (ft/ft)	PWS Withdrawa (mgd)	Appl I FC
	07K	66	85 SUSQ	UEHANN	A RIVER		85.100	337	7.06 2	960.00	0.00000	0.0	00 🗹
92 1					St	ream Data	ı						
Design Cond.	LFY	Trib Flow	Stream Flow	Rch Trav Time	Rch Velocity	WD Ratio	Rch Width	Rch Depth	<u>Tribu</u> Temp	ntary pH	Tem	<u>Stream</u> p pH	
cond.	(cfsm)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(days)	(fps)		(ft)	(ft)	(°C)		(°C	)	
Q7-10	0.133	0.00	0.00	0.000	0.000	0.0	0.00	0.00	23.75	8.25	. (	0.00 0.	00
Q1-10		0.00	0.00	0.000	0.000								
Q30-10		0.00	0.00	0.000	0.000								
					Di	scharge D	ata						
			Name	Per	mit Number	Existing Disc Flow (mgd)	Permitte d Disc Flow (mgd)	Design Disc Flow (mgd)	Reserve Factor	Disc Temp (°C)		sc H	
		Plaza	Mgmt	PA	0246867-24	0.0150	20722028	DASTA DA	0.000	20122	.00	7.00	

Parameter Data

Parameter Name

CBOD5

NH3-N

Dissolved Oxygen

Disc

Conc

(mg/L)

25.00

5.00

25.00

Trib

Conc

(mg/L)

2.00

8.24

0.00

Stream

Conc

Fate

Coef

1.50

0.00

0.70

(mg/L) (1/days)

0.00

0.00

0.00

	Stream Code			Stream Name	
07K	6685		SUS	SQUEHANNA RIVER	
<u>RMI</u> 87.690	Total Discharg 0.0		l) <u>Ana</u>	lysis Temperature (°C) 23,750	Analysis pH 8.249
Reach Width (ft)	Reach D			Reach WDRatio	Reach Velocity (fps)
376,716	1.1			314.594	0.870
Reach CBOD5 (mg/L)	Reach Ko		F	Reach NH3-N (mg/L)	Reach Kn (1/days)
2.00	0.0	04	-	0.00	0.934
Reach DO (mg/L)	Reach Kr	(1/days)		Kr Equation	Reach DO Goal (mg/L
8.242	1.1	09		Tsivoglou	5
ach Travel Time (days	<u>5)</u>	Subreact	Results		
0.018	TravTime (days)	e CBOD5 (mg/L)	NH3-N (mg/L)	D.O. (mg/L)	
	(days)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	
	0.00	2 2.00	0.00	7.70	
	0.00	4 2.00	0.00	7.70	
	0.00	5 2.00	0.00	7.70	
	0.00	7 2.00	0.00	7.70	
	0.00	9 2.00	0.00	7.70	
	0.01	1 2.00	0.00	7.70	
	0.01	2 2.00	0.00	7.70	
	0.01	4 2.00	0.00	7.70	
	0.01	6 2.00	0.00	7.70	
	0.01	8 2.00	0.00	7.70	
PMI	Total Discharr	e Elow (mod	() Ana	lvsis Temperature (°C)	Analysis nH
<u>RMI</u> 87 440	Total Discharg		l) <u>Ana</u>	lysis Temperature (°C) 23 750	Analysis pH 8 248
<u>RMI</u> 87.440 Reach Width (ft)	0.0	54	l) <u>Ana</u>	23.750	8.248
87.440		54 epth (ft)	l <u>) Ana</u>		
87.440 <u>Reach Width (ft)</u>	0.0 Reach D	54 9epth (ft) 89		23.750 Reach WDRatio	8.248 Reach Velocity (fps)
87.440 <u>Reach Width (ft)</u> 372.079	0.0 <u>Reach D</u> 1.1 <u>Reach Ko</u> 0.0	54 9epth (ft) 89 : (1/days) 04		23.750 <u>Reach WDRatio</u> 313.043 <u>Reach NH3-N (mg/L)</u> 0.01	8.248 <u>Reach Velocity (fps)</u> 0.888 <u>Reach Kn (1/days)</u> 0.934
87.440 <u>Reach Width (ft)</u> 372.079 Reach CBOD5 (mg/L)	0.0 <u>Reach D</u> 1.1 <u>Reach Ko</u> 0.0 <u>Reach Kr</u>	54 89 <u>(1/days)</u> 04 (1/days)		23.750 <u>Reach WDRatio</u> 313.043 <u>Reach NH3-N (mg/L)</u> 0.01 <u>Kr Equation</u>	8.248 <u>Reach Velocity (fps)</u> 0.888 <u>Reach Kn (1/days)</u> 0.934 <u>Reach DO Goal (mg/L</u>
87.40 <u>Reach Width (ft)</u> 372.079 <u>Reach CBOD5 (mg/L)</u> 2.00	0.0 <u>Reach D</u> 1.1 <u>Reach Ko</u> 0.0	54 89 <u>(1/days)</u> 04 (1/days)		23.750 <u>Reach WDRatio</u> 313.043 <u>Reach NH3-N (mg/L)</u> 0.01	8.248 <u>Reach Velocity (fps)</u> 0.888 <u>Reach Kn (1/days)</u> 0.934
87.440 <u>Reach Width (ft)</u> 372.079 <u>Reach CBOD5 (mg/L)</u> 2.00 <u>Reach DO (mg/L)</u> 7.703 each Travel Time (days	0.0 <u>Reach D</u> 1.1 <u>Reach Ko</u> 0.0 <u>Reach Kr</u> 1.6	54 hepth (ft) 89 (1/days) 04 (1/days) 29 Subreact	E n Results	23.750 <u>Reach WDRatio</u> 313.043 <u>Reach NH3-N (mg/L)</u> 0.01 <u>Kr Equation</u> Tsivoglou	8.248 <u>Reach Velocity (fps)</u> 0.888 <u>Reach Kn (1/days)</u> 0.934 <u>Reach DO Goal (mg/L</u>
87.440 <u>Reach Width (ft)</u> 372.079 <u>Reach CBOD5 (mg/L)</u> 2.00 <u>Reach DO (mg/L)</u> 7.703	0.0 <u>Reach D</u> 1.1 <u>Reach Ko</u> 0.0 <u>Reach Kr</u> 1.6	54 hepth (ft) 89 (1/days) 04 (1/days) 29 Subreact	Б	23.750 <u>Reach WDRatio</u> 313.043 <u>Reach NH3-N (mg/L)</u> 0.01 <u>Kr Equation</u>	8.248 <u>Reach Velocity (fps)</u> 0.888 <u>Reach Kn (1/days)</u> 0.934 <u>Reach DO Goal (mg/L</u>
87.440 <u>Reach Width (ft)</u> 372.079 <u>Reach CBOD5 (mg/L)</u> 2.00 <u>Reach DO (mg/L)</u> 7.703 sach Travel Time (days	0.0 <u>Reach D</u> 1.1 <u>Reach Kr</u> 0.0 <u>Reach Kr</u> 1.6 5) TravTime	54 <u>epth (ft)</u> 89 <u>(1/days)</u> 04 <u>(1/days)</u> 29 <b>Subreaci</b> e CBOD5 (mg/L)	E n Results NH3-N	23.750 <u>Reach WDRatio</u> 313.043 <u>Reach NH3-N (mg/L)</u> 0.01 <u>Kr Equation</u> Tsivoglou D.O.	8.248 <u>Reach Velocity (fps)</u> 0.888 <u>Reach Kn (1/days)</u> 0.934 <u>Reach DO Goal (mg/L</u>
87.440 <u>Reach Width (ft)</u> 372.079 <u>Reach CBOD5 (mg/L)</u> 2.00 <u>Reach DO (mg/L)</u> 7.703 each Travel Time (days	0.0 <u>Reach D</u> 1.1 <u>Reach Kr</u> 0.0 <u>Reach Kr</u> 1.6 5) TravTime (days)	54 <u>eepth (ft)</u> 89 04 (1/days) 29 <b>Subreact</b> CBOD5 (mg/L) 2 2.00	E NH3-N (mg/L)	23.750 <u>Reach WDRatio</u> 313.043 <u>Reach NH3-N (mg/L)</u> 0.01 <u>Kr Equation</u> Tsivoglou D.O. (mg/L)	8.248 <u>Reach Velocity (fps)</u> 0.888 <u>Reach Kn (1/days)</u> 0.934 <u>Reach DO Goal (mg/L</u>
87.440 <u>Reach Width (ft)</u> 372.079 <u>Reach CBOD5 (mg/L)</u> 2.00 <u>Reach DO (mg/L)</u> 7.703 each Travel Time (days	0.0 <u>Reach D</u> 1.1 <u>Reach Kr</u> 0.0 <u>Reach Kr</u> 1.6 3) TravTime (days) 0.00	54 <u>epth (ft)</u> 89 04 (1/days) 29 <b>Subreacle</b> CBOD5 (mg/L) 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Results NH3-N (mg/L) 0.01	23.750 <u>Reach WDRatio</u> 313.043 <u>Reach NH3-N (mg/L)</u> 0.01 <u>Kr Equation</u> Tsivoglou D.O. (mg/L) 7.70	8.248 <u>Reach Velocity (fps)</u> 0.888 <u>Reach Kn (1/days)</u> 0.934 <u>Reach DO Goal (mg/L</u>
87.440 <u>Reach Width (ft)</u> 372.079 <u>Reach CBOD5 (mg/L)</u> 2.00 <u>Reach DO (mg/L)</u> 7.703 each Travel Time (days	0.0 <u>Reach D</u> 1.1 <u>Reach Ko</u> 0.0 <u>Reach Kr</u> 1.6 5) TravTime (days) 0.00 0.00	54 <u>eepth (ft)</u> 89 64 64 62 62 62 62 62 62 62 62 62 62	E NH3-N (mg/L) 0.01 0.01	23.750 <u>Reach WDRatio</u> 313.043 <u>Reach NH3-N (mg/L)</u> 0.01 <u>Kr Equation</u> Tsivoglou D.O. (mg/L) 7.70 7.70 7.70	8.248 <u>Reach Velocity (fps)</u> 0.888 <u>Reach Kn (1/days)</u> 0.934 <u>Reach DO Goal (mg/L</u>
87.440 <u>Reach Width (ft)</u> 372.079 <u>Reach CBOD5 (mg/L)</u> 2.00 <u>Reach DO (mg/L)</u> 7.703 sach Travel Time (days	0.0 <u>Reach D</u> 1.1 <u>Reach Ko</u> 0.0 <u>Reach Kr</u> 1.6 5) TravTime (days) 0.00 0.00 0.00	54 <u>eepth (ft)</u> 89 64 64 62 62 62 62 62 62 62 62 62 62	E NH3-N (mg/L) 0.01 0.01 0.01	23.750 <u>Reach WDRatio</u> 313.043 <u>Reach NH3-N (mg/L)</u> 0.01 <u>Kr Equation</u> Tsivoglou D.O. (mg/L) 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70	8.248 <u>Reach Velocity (fps)</u> 0.888 <u>Reach Kn (1/days)</u> 0.934 <u>Reach DO Goal (mg/L</u>
87.440 <u>Reach Width (ft)</u> 372.079 <u>Reach CBOD5 (mg/L)</u> 2.00 <u>Reach DO (mg/L)</u> 7.703 each Travel Time (days	0.0 <u>Reach D</u> 1.1 <u>Reach Ko</u> 0.0 <u>Reach Kr</u> 1.6 5) TravTime (days) 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	54 eepth (ft) 89 (1/days) 04 (1/days) 29 <b>Subreacl</b> CBOD5 (mg/L) 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 0 4 2 0 0 4 2 0 0 4 2 0 0 4 2 0 0 4 2 0 0 4 2 0 0 4 2 0 0 4 2 0 0 4 2 0 0 4 2 0 0 4 2 0 0 4 2 0 0 4 2 0 0 4 2 0 0 4 2 0 0 5 (mg/L) 2 0 0 4 2 0 0 1 2 0 0 4 2 0 0 1 2 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	E Results NH3-N (mg/L) 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01	23.750 <u>Reach WDRatio</u> 313.043 <u>Reach NH3-N (mg/L)</u> 0.01 <u>Kr Equation</u> Tsivoglou D.O. (mg/L) 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70	8.248 <u>Reach Velocity (fps)</u> 0.888 <u>Reach Kn (1/days)</u> 0.934 <u>Reach DO Goal (mg/L</u>
87.440 <u>Reach Width (ft)</u> 372.079 <u>Reach CBOD5 (mg/L)</u> 2.00 <u>Reach DO (mg/L)</u> 7.703 each Travel Time (days	0.0 <u>Reach D</u> 1.1 <u>Reach Ko</u> 0.0 <u>Reach Kr</u> 1.6 3) TravTime (days) 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	54 eepth (ft) 89 (1/days) 04 (1/days) 29 <b>Subreacl</b> CBOD5 (mg/L) 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 0 4 2 2 0 0 4 2 0 0 2 2 0 0 2 2 0 0 2 2 0 0 2 2 0 0 2 2 0 2 2 0 2 2 0 2 2 0 2 2 0 2 2 0 2 2 0 2 2 0 2 2 0 2 2 0 2 2 0 2 2 0 2 2 0 2 2 0 2 2 0 2 2 0 0 4 2 2 0 0 4 2 2 0 0 4 2 2 0 0 4 2 0 0 6 2 0 0 5 (mg/L) 2 0 0 2 0 0 2 0 0 2 0 0 2 0 0 2 0 0 2 0 0 2 0 0 2 0 0 2 0 0 2 0 0 2 0 0 2 0 0 2 0 0 2 0 0 2 0 0 2 0 0 2 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	E Results NH3-N (mg/L) 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01	23.750 <u>Reach WDRatio</u> 313.043 <u>Reach NH3-N (mg/L)</u> 0.01 <u>Kr Equation</u> Tsivoglou D.O. (mg/L) 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70	8.248 <u>Reach Velocity (fps)</u> 0.888 <u>Reach Kn (1/days)</u> 0.934 <u>Reach DO Goal (mg/L</u>
87.440 <u>Reach Width (ft)</u> 372.079 <u>Reach CBOD5 (mg/L)</u> 2.00 <u>Reach DO (mg/L)</u> 7.703 each Travel Time (days	0.0 <u>Reach C</u> 1.1 <u>Reach K</u> 0.0 <u>Reach K</u> 1.6 3) TravTime (days) 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	54 29 (1/days) 04 (1/days) 29 29 29 29 20 20 20 2 200 4 2.00 4 2.00 6 2.00 8 2.00 4 2.00 2.0	E Results NH3-N (mg/L) 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01	23.750 <u>Reach WDRatio</u> 313.043 <u>Reach NH3-N (mg/L)</u> 0.01 <u>Kr Equation</u> Tsivoglou D.O. (mg/L) 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70	8.248 <u>Reach Velocity (fps)</u> 0.888 <u>Reach Kn (1/days)</u> 0.934 <u>Reach DO Goal (mg/L</u>
87.440 <u>Reach Width (ft)</u> 372.079 <u>Reach CBOD5 (mg/L)</u> 2.00 <u>Reach DO (mg/L)</u> 7.703 each Travel Time (days	0.0 <u>Reach D</u> 1.1 <u>Reach Ko</u> 0.0 <u>Reach Kr</u> 1.6 5) TravTime (days) 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.01 0.01 0.01	54 29 (1/days) 04 (1/days) 29 29 29 29 20 20 20 2 200 4 2.00 4 2.00 6 2.00 8 2.00 4 2.00 6 2.00 4 2.00 6 2.00 7 2.00 7 2.00 7 2.00 7 2.00 2.0	E Results NH3-N (mg/L) 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01	23.750 <u>Reach WDRatio</u> 313.043 <u>Reach NH3-N (mg/L)</u> 0.01 <u>Kr Equation</u> Tsivoglou D.O. (mg/L) 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70	8.248 <u>Reach Velocity (fps)</u> 0.888 <u>Reach Kn (1/days)</u> 0.934 <u>Reach DO Goal (mg/L</u>

# WQM 7.0 D.O.Simulation

Friday, March 8, 2024

SWP Basin 07K	Stream Code 6685		SU	Stream Name	
VIR	0005		304	SQUEHANNA RIVER	
<u>RMI</u> 87.140	Total Discharg 0.0		l) Ana	lysis Temperature (°C) 23.750	Analysis pH 8.248
Reach Width (ft)	Reach D	epth (ft)		Reach WDRatio	Reach Velocity (fps)
363.805	1.1			308.875	0.917
Reach CBOD5 (mg/L)	Reach Ko	<u> </u>	E	Reach NH3-N (mg/L)	Reach Kn (1/days)
2.00	0.0 Reach Ki			0.01	0.934 Reach DO Goal (mg/L)
Reach DO (mg/L)	Reach Kr 2.9			Kr Equation Tsivoglou	Keach DO Goai (mg/L
7.703		31		rsivogiou	5
each Travel Time (days 0.105	-	Subreact CBOD5 (mg/L)	NH3-N (mg/L)	D.O. (mg/L)	
	0.01	1 2.00	0.01	7.70	
	0.01		0.01	7.70	
	0.02		0.01	7.70	
	0.04		0.01	7.70	
	0.05		0.01	7.70	
	0.06		0.01	7.70	
	0.07		0.01	7.70	
	0.08		0.01	7.70	
	0.09		0.01	7.70	
	0.10	5 2.00	0.01	7.70	
<u>RMI</u> 85.560	Total Discharg	e Flow (mgd		lysis Temperature (°C)	Analysis pH
85.560	Total Discharg	je Flow (mgd 66		lysis Temperature (°C) 23.750	8.248
	Total Discharg	<u>e Flow (mgd</u> 66 epth (ft)		lysis Temperature (°C)	
85.560 <u>Reach Width (ft)</u> 375.054	<u>Total Dischar</u> 0.0 <u>Reach D</u>	<u>e Flow (mgd</u> 66 <u>epth (ft)</u> 94	l <u>) Ana</u>	lysis Temperature (°C) 23.750 Reach WDRatio	8.248 <u>Reach Velocity (fps)</u> 0.878 <u>Reach Kn (1/days)</u>
85.560 <u>Reach Width (ft)</u> 375.054	<u>Total Discharg</u> 0.0 <u>Reach D</u> 1.1 <u>Reach Ko</u> 0.0	<u>e Flow (mgd</u> 66 <u>epth (ft)</u> 94 <u>: (1/days)</u> 04	l <u>) Ana</u>	lysis Temperature (°C) 23.750 Reach WDRatio 314.207 Reach NH3-N (mg/L) 0.01	8.248 <u>Reach Velocity (fps)</u> 0.878 <u>Reach Kn (1/days)</u> 0.934
85.560 <u>Reach Width (ft)</u> 375.054 Reach CBOD5 (mg/L)	<u>Total Discharg</u> 0.0 <u>Reach D</u> 1.1 <u>Reach Ko</u> 0.0 <u>Reach Kr</u>	e Flow (mgd 66 epth (ft) 94 : (1/days) 04 . (1/days)	l <u>) Ana</u>	lysis Temperature (°C) 23.750 Reach WDRatio 314.207 Reach NH3-N (mg/L) 0.01 Kr Equation	8.248 <u>Reach Velocity (fps)</u> 0.878 <u>Reach Kn (1/days)</u> 0.934 <u>Reach DO Goal (mg/L</u>
85.560 <u>Reach Width (ft)</u> 375.054 <u>Reach CBOD5 (mg/L)</u> 2.00 <u>Reach DO (mg/L)</u> 7.703	Total Discharg 0.0 <u>Reach D</u> 1.1 <u>Reach Ko</u> 0.0 <u>Reach Kr</u> 1.3	e Flow (mgd 66 epth (ft) 94 : (1/days) 04 . (1/days)	l <u>) Ana</u>	lysis Temperature (°C) 23.750 Reach WDRatio 314.207 Reach NH3-N (mg/L) 0.01	8.248 <u>Reach Velocity (fps)</u> 0.878 <u>Reach Kn (1/days)</u> 0.934
85.560 <u>Reach Width (ft)</u> 375.054 <u>Reach CBOD5 (mg/L)</u> 2.00 <u>Reach DO (mg/L)</u> 7.703	Total Discharg 0.0 <u>Reach D</u> 1.1 <u>Reach Kr</u> 0.0 <u>Reach Kr</u> 1.3	<u>e Flow (mgd</u> 66 94 <u>(1/days)</u> 04 <u>(1/days)</u> 00 <b>Subreact</b> CBOD5	<u>I) Ana</u> E <b>n Results</b> NH3-N	lysis Temperature (°C) 23.750 Reach WDRatio 314.207 Reach NH3-N (mg/L) 0.01 Kr Equation Tsivoglou D.O.	8.248 <u>Reach Velocity (fps)</u> 0.878 <u>Reach Kn (1/days)</u> 0.934 <u>Reach DO Goal (mg/L</u>
85.560 <u>Reach Width (ft)</u> 375.054 <u>Reach CBOD5 (mg/L)</u> 2.00 <u>Reach DO (mg/L)</u> 7.703 ach Travel Time (days	Total Discharg 0.0 <u>Reach D</u> 1.1 <u>Reach Kr</u> 0.0 <u>Reach Kr</u> 1.3	<u>e Flow (mgd</u> 66 94 <u>(1/days)</u> 04 <u>(1/days)</u> 00 Subreact	<u>I) Ana</u> E <b>n Results</b> NH3-N	lysis Temperature (°C) 23.750 Reach WDRatio 314.207 Reach NH3-N (mg/L) 0.01 Kr Equation Tsivoglou D.O.	8.248 <u>Reach Velocity (fps)</u> 0.878 <u>Reach Kn (1/days)</u> 0.934 <u>Reach DO Goal (mg/L</u>
85.560 <u>Reach Width (ft)</u> 375.054 <u>Reach CBOD5 (mg/L)</u> 2.00 <u>Reach DO (mg/L)</u> 7.703 each Travel Time (days	Total Discharg 0.0 <u>Reach D</u> 1.1 <u>Reach Kr</u> 0.0 <u>Reach Kr</u> 1.3	e Flow (mgd 66 94 <u>(1/days)</u> 04 <u>(1/days)</u> 00 <b>Subreac!</b> € CBOD5 (mg/L)	<u>I) Ana</u> E <b>n Results</b> NH3-N	lysis Temperature (°C) 23.750 Reach WDRatio 314.207 Reach NH3-N (mg/L) 0.01 Kr Equation Tsivoglou D.O.	8.248 <u>Reach Velocity (fps)</u> 0.878 <u>Reach Kn (1/days)</u> 0.934 <u>Reach DO Goal (mg/L</u>
85.560 <u>Reach Width (ft)</u> 375.054 <u>Reach CBOD5 (mg/L)</u> 2.00 <u>Reach DO (mg/L)</u> 7.703 each Travel Time (days	Total Discharg 0.0 <u>Reach D</u> 1.1 <u>Reach Kr</u> 0.0 <u>Reach Kr</u> 1.3 ) TravTime (days)	e Flow (mgd 66 94 (1/days) 04 (1/days) 00 Subreact CBOD5 (mg/L) 2 2.00	I) <u>Ana</u> E Results NH3-N (mg/L)	lysis Temperature (°C) 23.750 Reach WDRatio 314.207 Reach NH3-N (mg/L) 0.01 Kr Equation Tsivoglou D.O. (mg/L)	8.248 <u>Reach Velocity (fps)</u> 0.878 <u>Reach Kn (1/days)</u> 0.934 <u>Reach DO Goal (mg/L</u>
85.560 <u>Reach Width (ft)</u> 375.054 <u>Reach CBOD5 (mg/L)</u> 2.00 <u>Reach DO (mg/L)</u> 7.703 each Travel Time (days	Total Discharg 0.0 <u>Reach D</u> 1.1 <u>Reach Ko</u> 0.0 <u>Reach Kr</u> 1.3 ) TravTime (days) 0.00	te Flow (mgd 66 eepth (ft) 94 (1/days) 04 (1/days) 00 Subreact € CBOD5 (mg/L) 2 2.00 4 2.00	I) <u>Ana</u> E Results NH3-N (mg/L) 0.01	lysis Temperature (°C) 23.750 Reach WDRatio 314.207 Reach NH3-N (mg/L) 0.01 Kr Equation Tsivoglou D.O. (mg/L) 7.70	8.248 <u>Reach Velocity (fps)</u> 0.878 <u>Reach Kn (1/days)</u> 0.934 <u>Reach DO Goal (mg/L</u>
85.560 <u>Reach Width (ft)</u> 375.054 <u>Reach CBOD5 (mg/L)</u> 2.00 <u>Reach DO (mg/L)</u> 7.703 each Travel Time (days	Total Discharg 0.0 <u>Reach D</u> 1.1 <u>Reach Kr</u> 0.0 <u>Reach Kr</u> 1.3 <u>0</u> TravTime (days) 0.00 0.00	e Flow (mgd 66 epth (ft) 94 (1/days) 04 (1/days) 00 Subreact c CBOD5 (mg/L) 2 2.00 4 2.00 6 2.00	<u>i) Ana</u> E <b>Results</b> NH3-N (mg/L) 0.01 0.01	lysis Temperature (°C) 23.750 Reach WDRatio 314.207 Reach NH3-N (mg/L) 0.01 Kr Equation Tsivoglou D.O. (mg/L) 7.70 7.70	8.248 <u>Reach Velocity (fps)</u> 0.878 <u>Reach Kn (1/days)</u> 0.934 <u>Reach DO Goal (mg/L</u>
85.560 <u>Reach Width (ft)</u> 375.054 <u>Reach CBOD5 (mg/L)</u> 2.00 <u>Reach DO (mg/L)</u> 7.703 each Travel Time (days	<u>Total Discharg</u> 0.0 <u>Reach D</u> 1.1 <u>Reach Ko</u> 0.0 <u>Reach Kr</u> 1.3 <u>0</u> TravTime (days) 0.00 0.00 0.00	e Flow (mgd 66 epth (ft) 94 (1/days) 04 (1/days) 00 Subreact CBOD5 (mg/L) 2 2.00 4 2.00 6 2.00 8 2.00	i) <u>Ana</u> E <b>Results</b> NH3-N (mg/L) 0.01 0.01 0.01	lysis Temperature (°C) 23.750 Reach WDRatio 314.207 Reach NH3-N (mg/L) 0.01 Kr Equation Tsivoglou D.O. (mg/L) 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70	8.248 <u>Reach Velocity (fps)</u> 0.878 <u>Reach Kn (1/days)</u> 0.934 <u>Reach DO Goal (mg/L</u>
85.560 <u>Reach Width (ft)</u> 375.054 <u>Reach CBOD5 (mg/L)</u> 2.00 <u>Reach DO (mg/L)</u> 7.703 each Travel Time (days	<u>Total Discharg</u> 0.0 <u>Reach D</u> 1.1 <u>Reach Ko</u> 0.0 <u>Reach Kr</u> 1.3 <u>0.0</u> (days) 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	te Flow (mgd 66 epth (ft) 94 (1/days) 04 (1/days) 00 Subreact CBOD5 (mg/L) 2 2.00 4 2.00 6 2.00 8 2.00 0 2.00	i) <u>Ana</u> E <b>Results</b> NH3-N (mg/L) 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01	Avsis Temperature (°C) 23.750 Reach WDRatio 314.207 Reach NH3-N (mg/L) 0.01 Kr Equation Tsivoglou D.O. (mg/L) 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70	8.248 <u>Reach Velocity (fps)</u> 0.878 <u>Reach Kn (1/days)</u> 0.934 <u>Reach DO Goal (mg/L</u>
85.560 <u>Reach Width (ft)</u> 375.054 <u>Reach CBOD5 (mg/L)</u> 2.00 <u>Reach DO (mg/L)</u> 7.703 each Travel Time (days	Total Discharg 0.0 <u>Reach D</u> 1.1 <u>Reach Ko</u> 0.0 <u>Reach Kr</u> 1.3 ) TravTime (days) 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	te Flow (mgd 66 eepth (ft) 94 (1/days) 04 (1/days) 00 Subreact CBOD5 (mg/L) 2 2.00 4 2.00 5 2.00 8 2.00 0 2.00 3 2.00	i) <u>Ana</u> E Results NH3-N (mg/L) 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01	Avsis Temperature (°C) 23.750 Reach WDRatio 314.207 Reach NH3-N (mg/L) 0.01 Kr Equation Tsivoglou D.O. (mg/L) 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70	8.248 <u>Reach Velocity (fps)</u> 0.878 <u>Reach Kn (1/days)</u> 0.934 <u>Reach DO Goal (mg/L</u>
85.560 <u>Reach Width (ft)</u> 375.054 <u>Reach CBOD5 (mg/L)</u> 2.00 <u>Reach DO (mg/L)</u> 7.703 each Travel Time (days	<u>Total Discharg</u> 0.0 <u>Reach D</u> 1.1 <u>Reach Ko</u> 0.0 <u>Reach Kr</u> 1.3 ) TravTime (days) 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.01 0.01	e Flow (mgd 66 eepth (ft) 94 (1/days) 04 (1/days) 00 <b>Subreact</b> CBOD5 (mg/L) 2 2.00 4 2.00 6 2.00 8 2.00 0 2.00 3 2.00 5 2.00	i) <u>Ana</u> E Results NH3-N (mg/L) 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01	Alysis Temperature (°C) 23.750 Reach WDRatio 314.207 Reach NH3-N (mg/L) 0.01 Kr Equation Tsivoglou D.O. (mg/L) 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70	8.248 <u>Reach Velocity (fps)</u> 0.878 <u>Reach Kn (1/days)</u> 0.934 <u>Reach DO Goal (mg/L</u>
85.560 <u>Reach Width (ft)</u> 375.054 <u>Reach CBOD5 (mg/L)</u> 2.00 <u>Reach DO (mg/L)</u> 7.703 each Travel Time (days	Total Discharg 0.0 <u>Reach D</u> 1.1 <u>Reach Ko</u> 0.0 <u>Reach Kr</u> 1.3 <u>0</u> TravTime (days) 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.01 0.01	e Flow (mgd 66 eepth (ft) 94 (1/days) 00 Subreact CBOD5 (mg/L) 2 2.00 4 2.00 6 2.00 8 2.00 0 2.00 3 2.00 5 2.00 7 2.00	I) <u>Ana</u> E Results NH3-N (mg/L) 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01	Average de la comparata de la	8.248 <u>Reach Velocity (fps)</u> 0.878 <u>Reach Kn (1/days)</u> 0.934 <u>Reach DO Goal (mg/L</u>

# WQM 7.0 D.O.Simulation

Friday, March 8, 2024

Page 2 of 3

<u>SWP Basin</u> 07K	<u>Stream Code</u> 6685		SUS	<u>Stream Name</u> SQUEHANNA RIVER	1
RMI	Total Discharge		) <u>Ana</u>	lysis Temperature (°C	
85.260	0.07	-		23.750	8.248
Reach Width (ft)	Reach De			Reach WDRatio	Reach Velocity (fps)
375.961	1.19	-		314.544	0.875
Reach CBOD5 (mg/L)	Reach Kc		R	each NH3-N (mg/L)	Reach Kn (1/days)
2.01	0.00	-		0.01	0.934
Reach DO (mg/L)	Reach Kr			Kr Equation	Reach DO Goal (mg/L)
7.703	1.21	4		Tsivoglou	5
Reach Travel Time (days	<u>.</u>	Subreact	Results		
0.011	TravTime		NH3-N	D.O.	
	(days)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	
	0.001	2.01	0.01	7.70	
	0.002	2.01	0.01	7.70	
	0.003	2.01	0.01	7.70	
	0.004	2.01	0.01	7.70	
	0.006	2.01	0.01	7.70	
	0.007	2.01	0.01	7.70	
	0.008	2.01	0.01	7.70	
	0.009	2.01	0.01	7.70	
	0.010	2.01	0.01	7.70	
	0.011	2.01	0.01	7.70	

# WQM 7.0 D.O.Simulation

Version 1.1

	<u>SWP Basin</u> 07K			<u>m Code</u> 685	-	-	SUS	<u>Stream</u> QUEHAN	<u>Name</u> INA RIVE	R		
RMI	Stream Flow	PWS With	Net Stream Flow	Disc Analysis Flow	Reach Slope	Depth	Width	W/D Ratio	Velocity	Reach Trav Time	Analysis Temp	Analysis pH
	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(ft/ft)	(ft)	(ft)		(fps)	(days)	(°C)	
Q7-10	0 Flow											
87.690	392.42	0.00	392.42	.0773	0.00025	1.197	376.72	314.59	0.87	0.018	23.75	8.25
87.440	392.56	0.00	392.56	.0829	0.00036	1.189	372.08	313.04	0.89	0.021	23.75	8.25
87.140	392.69	0.00	392.69	.0898	0.00064	1.178	363.81	308.88	0.92	0.105	23.75	8.25
85.560	392.82	0.00	392.82	.1014	0.00029	1.194	375.05	314.21	0.88	0.021	23.75	8.25
85.260	392.96	0.00	392.96	.1138	0.00027	1.195	375.96	314.54	0.87	0.011	23.75	8.25
Q1-1(	0 Flow											
87.690	368.88	0.00	368.88	.0773	0.00025	NA	NA	NA	0.84	0.018	23.75	8.25
87.440	369.00	0.00	369.00	.0829	0.00036	NA	NA	NA	0.86	0.021	23.75	8.25
87.140	369.13	0.00	369.13	.0898	0.00064	NA	NA	NA	0.89	0.109	23.75	8.25
85.560	369.25	0.00	369.25	.1014	0.00029	NA	NA	NA	0.85	0.022	23.75	8.25
85.260	369.38	0.00	369.38	.1138	0.00027	NA	NA	NA	0.84	0.012	23.75	8.25
Q30-	10 Flow											
87.690	451.29	0.00	451.29	.0773	0.00025	NA	NA	NA	0.94	0.016	23.75	8.25
87.440	451.44	0.00	451.44	.0829	0.00036	NA	NA	NA	0.96	0.019	23.75	8.25
87.140	451.59	0.00	451.59	.0898	0.00064	NA	NA	NA	0.99	0.097	23.75	8.25
85.560	451.75	0.00	451.75	.1014	0.00029	NA	NA	NA	0.95	0.019	23.75	8.25
85.260	451.90	0.00	451.90	.1138	0.00027	NA	NA	NA	0.95	0.010	23.75	8.25

# WQM 7.0 Hydrodynamic Outputs

# WQM 7.0 Modeling Specifications

Parameters	Both	Use Inputted Q1-10 and Q30-10 Flows	
WLA Method	EMPR	Use Inputted W/D Ratio	
Q1-10/Q7-10 Ratio	0.94	Use Inputted Reach Travel Times	
Q30-10/Q7-10 Ratio	1.15	Temperature Adjust Kr	✓
D.O. Saturation	90.00%	Use Balanced Technology	✓
D.O. Goal	5		

# Attachment C TRC Evaluation

ri Annity Hali / St	isquehanna C	rossings	$\times$		PA0294 March 2			
В	С	D	E	F	G			
TRC EVALU	ATION							
		B4:B8 and E4:E7						
392.3651452	2 = Q stream (	cfs)	0.5	= CV Daily				
0.05	0.05 = Q discharge (MGD) 30 = no. samples			0.5 = CV Hourly				
30				= AFC_Partial N	lix Factor			
0.3	3 = Chlorine D	emand of Stream	1	= CFC_Partial N	lix Factor			
(	) = Chlorine D	emand of Discharge	15	= AFC_Criteria	Compliance Time (min)			
	5 = BAT/BPJ V		720	= CFC_Criteria	Compliance Time (min)			
(	= % Factor c	of Safety (FOS)	0	=Decay Coeffic	lent (K)			
Source	Reference	AFC Calculations		Reference	CFC Calculations			
TRC	1.3.2.111	WLA afc =		1.3.2.iii	WLA cfc = 1577.58			
PENTOXSD TRG		LTAMULT afc =	0.373	5.1c	LTAMULT cfc = 0.581			
PENTOXSD TRO	5.1b	LTA_afc=	602.971	5.1d	LTA_cfc = 917.13			
Source		Effluent	Limit Calo	ulations				
PENTOXSD TRG			L MULT =					
PENTOXSD TRG	6 5.1g	AVG MON LIMI			BAT/BPJ			
		INST MAX LIMI	n (mg/i) –	1.035				
WLA afc	• •	FC_tc)) + [(AFC_Yc*Qa C_Yc*Qs*Xs/Qd)]*(1-F		'e(-k*AFC_tc))				
LTAMULT afc	EXP((0.5*LN)	(cvh^2+1))-2.326*LN(d		0 5				
LIAMOLIAIC	ENI ((0.0 EII	(CVII-2+1))-2.320 LIN(C	cvn^2+1)^	0.5)				
LTAMULT afc LTA_afc	wla_afc*LTA		cvn^2+1)^	0.5)				
	wla_afc*LTA (.011/e(-k*Cl		*.011/Qd*					
LTA_afc <b>WLA_cfc</b> LTAMULT_cfc	wla_afc*LTA (.011/e(-k*Cl + Xd + (CF	MULT_afc FC_tc) + [(CFC_Yc*Qs	*.011/Qd* 08/100)	e(-k*CFC_tc) )				
LTA_afc <b>WLA_cfc</b>	wla_afc*LTA (.011/e(-k*Cl + Xd + (CF	MULT_afc FC_tc) + [(CFC_Yc*Qs C_Yc*Qs*Xs/Qd)]*(1-F (cvd^2/no_samples+1)	*.011/Qd* 08/100)	e(-k*CFC_tc) )				
LTA_afc <b>WLA_cfc</b> LTAMULT_cfc	wla_afc*LTA (.011/e(-k*Cl + Xd + (CFe EXP((0.5*LNe wla_cfc*LTA	MULT_afc FC_tc) + [(CFC_Yc*Qs C_Yc*Qs*Xs/Qd)]*(1-F (cvd^2/no_samples+1)	<b>*.011/Qd*</b> <b>08/100)</b> ))-2.326*L	<b>s(-k*CFC_tc) )</b> N(cvd^2/no_sam	nples+1)^0.5)			
LTA_afc <b>WLA_cfc</b> LTAMULT_cfc <b>LTA_cfc</b>	wla_afc*LTA (.011/e(-k*Cl + Xd + (CFC EXP((0.5*LN wla_cfc*LTA EXP(2.326*L MIN(BAT_BP	MULT_afc FC_tc) + [(CFC_Yc*Qs C_Yc*Qs*Xs/Qd)]*(1-F (cvd^2/no_samples+1) MULT_cfc	*.011/Qd* OS/100) ))-2.326*L ))-2.326*L ))-2.326*L ))-2.326*L	<b>e(-k*CFC_tc) )</b> N(cvd^2/no_sam .5*LN(cvd^2/no_ MULT)	nples+1)^0.5)			